Exhibit A

File No. 210387

Riverwalk SPROZ for Development known as Eighteen87 On Water 1887 N. Water St, Milwaukee, WI
June 18, 2021
Revised 06-28-2021
Revised 07-12-2021

Rule Enterprises is proposing to rezone 1887 N. Water Street to a new Detailed Planned Development (DPD, File No. 210172) to allow a 5-story, 79-unit multi-family residential building with associated parking. This site is also located within the Riverwalk Site Plan Review Overlay Zone (SPROZ) and as such, requires the construction of a Riverwalk.

Project Summary

(Tax Key -3540913110)

Project Overview:

The proposed project is for the design and construction of 5-story Residential Apartment building with two levels of interior and integrated parking within the building. There are total of 79 units, (7 of which will be walk-up townhouse-style units) with associated tenant amenities to include a management/leasing office, fitness room, community room, business center, storge, and indoor parking. The building height is up to 58 feet from street side elevation. A Riverwalk will also be constructed on the north and west sides of the building as shown in the drawings. Details relating to the Riverwalk, site plan, and building facades within the 50 foot overlay zone are provided in this exhibit relating to the Riverwalk Site Plan Review Overlay Zone (SPROZ). A deviation from the overlay standards is also being requested (FN 210388) with respect to the width of certain segments of the Riverwalk. Currently, the site is occupied by a one-story storage building and surface parking lot, which will be demolished to provide needed area for the new construction.

Building Overview:

- Street Level- First Floor: Main Building Resident Entrance & Lobby, leasing office, mechanicalspaces, indoor parking, trash/recycling, and all first floors of the wostory Townhomes. Additionally, 3 apartments have access on first floor.
- Second Level: Indoor Parking, Storage spaces, second floors of the two- story Townhomes, six Apartment units, and the Business center.
- Third Level: Residential tenant amenities, a community room with roof terrace access, a fitness room, storage units, and support spaces
- Fourth Fifth, Residential Unit Levels, Support spaces
- The project also includes a roof terrace area located on third floor allocated use to four units and the community room, all with direct entry access to the terrace area.

Building Materials:

The exterior materials for the proposed building will consist of a combination of the following materials. Material samples will be provided to DCD as requested, and all final material selections will be reviewed and approved by DCD in advance of permit issuance:

- Face brick: utility size
- Precast Stone sills at brick surfaces: size varies.
- Architectural fiber cement panels in various shades and cuts.
- Smooth Surface Interlocking Metal panels with concealed fasteners
- o Clear, Low E glazing
- Vinyl operable windows, and patio doors
- o Aluminum storefront, for Building Entry, and Townhome Entries
- See sheets A100-A103 for Riverwalk perspectives, A200 for site plan, Elevations on A400 A401.
- Metal railings for terrace and exterior ramps and Riverwalk
- Metal garage doors with windows
- Membrane roofing
- Steel framed and hung balconies, with associated hardware. Prefinished pipe metal railing.
 Underside of balconies will show finished painted metal framing at mid support and perimeter.
 The walking surface of the balcony is made of composite decking material, which has a through body finish, therefore the underside looks like the finished top side.

Riverwalk specifics: North

Riverwalk perspectives on Sheet A100- A103

- The River is located to the north side of the site. Grades from the River's edge rise to a plateau as an existing condition. Site's flat area meets Water Street at similar elevation.
- The sloped area along the River's edge is covered with existing greens, and trees. The project anticipates keeping this natural edge, as is, with minimal disturbance. Clearing of some of these will become critical during demolition and for staging areas needed for the construction of the new elevated ramp, and the building. It is inevitable that not all greens can be saved, however to the extent we can keep them they will be left as natural setting. Through this process perhaps some clearing becomes possible to expose views and glimpse of the water and river.
- Riverwalk is planned to run along the top of this sloped area closer to the building. The top of the slope where it plateaus, the elevation of Riverwalk along north will be kept at about 18 inches below the elevation of the firstfloor plate of the building. This allows for the Townhomes that are located on the northside to receive 3 steps before entering the units from outside. This provides some privacy and separation from public walks and the private units.

Connection at northeast corner:

- The Riverwalk connection to the east will be made with a series of three ramps and associated landings that connect to the existing Riverwalk at 1905 north Water Street which is the neighboring property. The ramp's first landing will be elevated from the grade at about 10 feet closest to the river, midway close to building the pier support will be 6 feet above grade, then 4 feet above grade diminishes to 2 feet above grade, until it matches grade on the north side. Please see info and detail on sheet A200
- This elevated portion of the ramp is structured with steel framing supported by helical pier foundation with the least impact on the MMSD sub grade structure, and surrounding foundations. The pier support for a small portion of ramp and its first landing will be over MMSD's deep tunnel sanitary line. All efforts have been made regarding coordination of this construction with MMSD project manager Micki Klappa Sullivan. (Attached is the updated string of communication emails from project Civil Engineer and MMSD PM regarding this specific project. **Exhibit B- updated.** We are continually working

| | towards receiving as build latter from NANACD |
|------------------------|---|
| | towards receiving as build Letter from MMSD. |
| | Additional revised and updated technical report from geotechnical consultant on this project attached for preliminary technical overview of loading and soil conditions which will support this construction without adverse effect to the MMSD's deep tunnel. Please see technical memo as Exhibit C, updated to certify the use of helical piers in this area with no adverse effect to MMSD infrastructure. Exhibit D of previous submittal is not required and not used, as helical piers will be used for both sanitary pipe locations of MMSD's 30- and 48-inch pipes. Exhibit E, also see revised resubmitted structural calcs that provides new information based on use of helical piers based on which the geotechnical consultant has |
| | piers based on which the geotechnical consultant has provided this certificate. |
| North Segment | p 0 1.000 and 00 and 000 |
| Riverwalk | o When Riverwalk expands over areas where the grade starts to fall off, north side of the site, the construction of ramps itself will revert to steel framing supported by helical piers as their foundation form. Ramp surfaces will be of composite decking. See Sheet A200 for extents of the 2 different types of construction proposed for the Riverwalk. Also see specification Exhibit F for material suggested for surface of the ramp where composite deck planks are being suggested. |
| West Segment Riverwalk | The Riverwalk connection to the west will be brought to the public pedestrian sidewalk meeting at Water Street. Additionally, the west side Riverwalk connects to adjacent side property at mid-way. At west side, the 18 inches of elevation difference is made up with a gentle continuous slope over 80 feet of length, after the entrance of the last townhome is cleared This ramping will be done in 2 segments to allow for connection to the west side property at mid landing of this portion of Riverwalk. |
| | Given the suggestion to widen the west side Riverwalk from 9 feet to 12 feet, we like to share our findings with the DRT team. The land is owned by the adjacent property owner as GIS map shows. It also is a vacated R.O.W due to utilities of MMSD in this area. MMSD has an easement over this area, granted from the west side property owner. To get the 3 feet and expand our western Riverwalk, we need to get an easement from |

| | west side property owner. Then potentially, we need to coordinate with MMSD to make sure we do not interfere with their road by this encouragement. Attempts have been made to connect to the side property owner, however no discussion has taken place yet. This is a process that we will continue to work on and push for, but we will not have resolution by the deadline of this submittal. |
|---|---|
| | This project will integrate a Riverwalk along its two sides, with two connections described above. The project will provide the City with a permanent public access easement for the Riverwalk, while it remains as part of the private property and will follow Riverwalk Design Guidelines to achieve this. |
| Riverwalk surfaces and railing details: | o Ramp surfaces when elevated and supported by piers will be finished with composite decking material, see Exhibit F, over steel framing supported by either helical piers or driven piers depending on location and appropriate ness to not affect the MMSD structures below. Deck planks are synthetic composite material, that are weatherproof and used for exterior surfaces such as balconies and decks. made of wood fibers encased in plastic/resin Ramp width will be 9 feet. An application to request deviation from width required for Riverwalk per design standards within this overlay zone is submitted for your consideration. |
| | The ramp will have finished pipe rail system on both sides per code and code compliant height of 42 inches. The first initial elevated ramp section will have integrated lighting within the railing system to light the ramp path. |
| | Continuation of Riverwalk on the north side at bottom of the ramp will be changed to be of concrete surfaces and will be constructed like slab on grade concrete sidewalk on the plateaued area. |
| | Riverwalk along the west side will be also made from composite decking with steel framing supported by helical pier foundation. All portion of Riverwalk will receive railing continues and of same material except when the walk is along building side at the same protected elevation. |

Lighting:

- Harp lights are integrated as best as possible, and where Riverwalk width is at 9 feet on the west side, the lighting will be provided by cutoff light fixtures mounted from the building façade with proper illumination. See Exhibit G-2
- The lighting requirements for the elevated ramp on the Northeast portion will be achieved via integrated light/Rail system, see Exhibit G-1. The balance of ramp path along the building will be illuminated by fixtures installed on the building façade. In addition to the installation of harp lights and building light fixtures, prefinished benches and trash receptacles will be provided for public use, see Exhibit H1 & H2. These are placed in a manner to not interfere with townhome entrances and provide them with privacy, while accommodating public use. Please see sheet EX1 for location and numbers. (7 harp lights, 2 trash receptacles, and 2 benches)

Landscaping:

- Riverwalk along the north edge against the building will also receive landscaping please see landscape plans, and renderings of Riverwalk perspectives on Sheet A100-A103.
- Additionally, growing vines will be planted in ground along the edge of the building on north façade. Vine species are indicated on the landscape plans. Additionally, an inground irrigation system will be placed to service and promote the growth of vines on the building mounted trellis. The trellis will be an aluminum frame structure system with interwoven smaller grid panels inbound of the structure of the frames to receive and allow space for vines to climb up from. The trellis system will be mounted to the brick wall of the parking structure along north side to provide more visual interest and will cover parking walls on seven locations.

River Side bluff will be kept with minimal disturbance as a vital requirement of bluff stability. Potentially disturbance such as removal, and or pruning of limited number of shrubs and trees may be required for installation of elevated Riverwalk, whether it is the ramp portion on Northeast, or partially overhanging along Northwest side edges of the site. This may also provide some clear line of sight at some locations toward river.



From: Christopher Carr, P.E.

To: Heather Wogsland

Cc: Colin Trautschold

Subject: MMSD

Date: Thursday, July 08, 2021 10:43:12 AM

Attachments: 58215085-Memo Regarding Helical Pier Foundations for Riverwalk near the 30 inch and 48 inch MMSD Pipes-.pdf

This would be my response on MMSD comment

See below for latest correspondence with MMSD. Our team has modified our structural approach and provided the attached memo. After reviewing the memo, MMSD has begun to draft the "build over" letter which will act as their formal approval for the work. We need to provide final details of the foundation locations but MMSD has agreed to the location and access of the Riverwalk. MMSD's primary concern is actually with the future work that will be completed adjacent to our parcel.

I will get started on the letter so I can get it out as soon as possible once I receive the information. I will specifically state that we are not approving that work on the adjacent parcel for clarity. Thanks.

Micki Klappa-Sullivan, PE, ENV SP

Manager of Engineering Planning | MMSD

P: 414.225.2178 **M:** 414.416.5389

E: MKlappaSullivan@mmsd.com

Christopher Carr, PE

Civil Engineering Group Leader The Sigma Group, Inc. 414.643.4163 414.517.6724

1300 W. Canal Street, Milwaukee, WI 53233

www.thesigmagroup.com | ccarr@thesigmagroup.com



This electronic transmission is strictly confidential and intended solely for the addressee. If you are not the intended addressee, you must not disclose, copy or take any action in reliance of this transmission.

EXHIBIT C (replaces C & D)



To: Brandon Rule, Rule Enterprises

Megan Schuetz, Movin' Out

Falamak Nourzad, NCARB, AIA, ASID, LEED AP Continuum Architects

From: Paul Koszarek, P.E., C.S.T.- Terracon

Date: July 7, 2021

RE: 1887 N. Water Street, Milwaukee, WI

Elevated River Walk Helical Pier Foundations near MMSD 30" and 48" Dia. Pipes

Terracon Project Number 58215085

Terracon was asked to review and comment on the plan to support an elevated river walk using helical piers. It is our understanding that the helical piers will be designed with verical load only. Further, it is our understanding that the top helix for the piers being installed near the MMSD 30 inch pipe will be below the invert elevation of -2.5 MCD. Regarding the 48 inch pipe, these helical piers will terminate at least 10 feet above the top of the pipe. Therefore, there will not be additional load imparted on the 30 inch or the 48 inch MMSD pipes.

Regards,

Paul J. Koszarek, P.E., C.S.T. Senior Associate/Geotechnical Department Manager




Spire Engineering, Inc. 305 N Plankinton Ave Suite 101

Milwaukee, WI 53203

| Project EIGHTEEN87 | on Water | EXHIBIT E | | Job Ref. | |
|-----------------------|---------------|-----------|----------------|----------|------|
| Section Column Design | | | Sheet no./rev. | | |
| Calc. by Spire | Date 7/7/2021 | Chk'd by | Date | App'd by | Date |

RC CIRCULAR COLUMN DESIGN (ACI318-11)

Tedds calculation version 2.2.02



Applied loads

Ultimate axial force acting on column Pu act = 20 kips Ratio of DL moment to total moment $\beta_{d} = 0.600$

Geometry of column

Column diameter h = 18.0 inClear cover to all reinforcement $c_c = 1.50 in$ Unsupported height of column about x axis $I_{ux} = 15.0 \text{ ft}$ Effective height factor about x axis $k_x = 1.00$ Column state about the x axis Braced Unsupported height of column about y axis $I_{uy} = 15.0 \text{ ft}$ $k_y = 1.00$ Effective height factor about y axis Column state about the y axis Braced

Reinforcement of column

Numbers of bars of longitudinal steel N = 8Longitudinal steel bar diameter number $D_{bar_num} = 6$ $D_{long} = 0.750 in$ Diameter of longitudinal bar Stirrup bar diameter number $D_{stir num} = 3$ Diameter of stirrup bar $D_{stir} = 0.375$ in Specified yield strength of reinforcement $f_v = 60000 \text{ psi}$ Specified compressive strength of concrete $f_c = 4000 \text{ psi}$ Modulus of elasticity of bar reinforcement $E_s = 29 \times 10^6 \text{ psi}$ Modulus of elasticity of concrete $E_c = 57000 \times f'_c^{1/2} \times (1psi)^{1/2} = 3604997 psi$ Yield strain

 $\varepsilon_{V} = f_{V} / E_{s} = 0.00207$

Ultimate design strain $\varepsilon_c = 0.003$ in/in



Spire Engineering, Inc. 305 N Plankinton Ave Suite 101 Milwaukee. WI 53203

| Project EIGHTEEN87 on Water | | | | Job Ref. | |
|-----------------------------|---------------|----------|----------------|----------|------|
| Section Column Design | | | Sheet no./rev. | | |
| Calc. by Spire | Date 7/7/2021 | Chk'd by | Date | App'd by | Date |

Check for minimum area of steel

Gross area of column $A_g = \pi \times h^2 / 4 = 254.469 \text{ in}^2$

Area of steel $A_{st} = N \times (\pi \times D_{long}^2) / 4 = 3.534 \text{ in}^2$

Minimum area of steel required $A_{st min} = 0.01 \times A_g = 2.545 in^2$

Ast> Ast_min, PASS- Minimum steel check

Check for maximum area of steel - 10.9.1

Permissible maximum area of steel $A_{st max} = 0.08 \times A_{g} = 20.358 \text{ in}^{2}$

Ast Ast_max, PASS - Maximum steel check

Slenderness check about x axis

Radius of gyration $r_x = 0.25 \times h = 4.5$ in Actual slenderness ratio $s_{rx \text{ act}} = k_x \times l_{ux} / r_x = 40$

Permissible slenderness ratio $s_{rx_perm} = min(34 - 12 * (M_{1x_act} / M_{2x_act}), 40) = 34$

Column is slender about the X axis

Magnified moments about x axis

Moment of inertia of section $I_{gx} = (\pi \times h^4)/64 = 5152.997 \text{ in}^4$

Euler's buckling load $P_{cx} = (\pi^2/(k_x \times l_{ux})^2) \times (0.4 \times E_c \times l_{gx}/(1+\beta_d)) = 1414.68 \text{ kips}$

Correction factor for actual to equiv. mmt.diagram $C_{mx} = 1.0$

Moment magnifier $\delta_{nsx} = max(C_{mx} / (1 - (P_{u act} / (0.75 \times P_{cx}))), 1.0) = 1.019$

Minimum factored moment about x axis $M_{2x_min} = P_{u_act} \times (0.6 \text{ in} + 0.03 \times \text{h}) = 1.9 \text{ kip_ft}$

Minimum magnified moment about x axis $M_{cx_min} = \delta_{nsx} \times M_{2x_min} = 1.94 \text{ kip_ft}$

Slenderness check about y axis

Radius of gyration $r_y = 0.25 \times h = 4.5$ in Actual slenderness ratio $s_{ry \text{ act}} = k_y \times l_{uy} / r_y = 40$

Permissible slenderness ratio $s_{ry perm} = min(34 - 12 * (M_{1y act} / M_{2y act}), 40) = 34$

Column is slender about the Y axis

Magnified moments about y axis

Moment of inertia of section $I_{gy} = (\pi \times h^4)/64 = 5152.997 \text{ in}^4$

Euler's buckling load $P_{cy} = (\pi^2/(k_y \times l_{uy})^2) \times (0.4 \times E_c \times l_{gy}/(1+\beta_d)) = 1414.68 \text{ kips}$

Correction factor for actual to equiv. mmt.diagram $C_{my} = 1.0$

Moment magnifier $\delta_{nsy} = max(C_{my} / (1 - (P_{u act} / (0.75 \times P_{cy}))), 1.0) = 1.019$

Minimum factored moment about y axis $M_{2y \text{ min}} = P_u \text{ act} \times (0.6 \text{ in} + 0.03 \times \text{h}) = 1.9 \text{ kip ft}$

Minimum magnified moment about y axis $M_{cy_min} = \delta_{nsy} \times M_{2y_min} = 1.94 \text{ kip_ft}$

Axial load capacity of axially loaded column

Strength reduction factor $\phi = 0.650$

Area of steel on compression face $A'_s = A_{st} / 2 = 1.767 \text{ in}^2$ Area of steel on tension face $A_s = A_{st} / 2 = 1.767 \text{ in}^2$

Net axial load capacity of column $P_n = 0.8 \times (0.85 \times f'_c \times (A_g - A_{st}) + f_y \times A_{st}) = 852.188 \text{ kips}$

Ultimate axial load capacity of column $P_u = \phi \times P_n = 553.922$ kips

PASS: Column is safe in axial loading



Spire Engineering, Inc. 305 N Plankinton Ave Suite 101 Milwaukee, WI 53203

| Project EIGHTEEN87 on Water | | | | Job Ref. | |
|-----------------------------|---------------|----------|----------------|----------|------|
| Section Column Design | | | Sheet no./rev. | | |
| Calc. by Spire | Date 7/7/2021 | Chk'd by | Date | App'd by | Date |

Uniaxially loaded circular column

Details of column cross section

 c/d_t ratio $r_{xb} = 0.286$

Depth of tension steel $d_t = h - x_{x1} = 15.236$ in

Depth of NA from extreme compression face $c_x = r_{xb} \times d_t = 4.353$ in

Factor of depth of compressive stress block β_1 = **0.850**

Depth of equivalent rectangular stress block $a_x = min((\beta_1 \times c_x),h) = 3.700$ in

Half angle subtended by compression concrete $\theta_{\text{sbxc}} = a\cos((h/2 - a_x)/(h/2)) = 53.9 \text{ deg}$

Angle in radians $\theta_{\text{sbxc_rad}} = \theta_{\text{sbxc}} / 90 \text{ deg} \times \pi / 2 = \textbf{0.941}$

Area of compression concrete $A_{sbxc} = h^2 \times (\theta_{sbxc_rad} - sin(\theta_{sbxc}) \times cos(\theta_{sbxc}))/4 = 37.684 in^2$

Moment of area of compressive block $z_{sbx} = h^3 \times ((sin (\theta_{sbxc}))^3)/12 = 256.606 in^3$

Yield strain in steel $\varepsilon_{sx} = f_y / E_s = 0.002$

Strength reduction factor $\phi_x = 0.900$

Details of concrete block

Force carried by concrete

Forces carried by concrete $P_{xcon} = 0.85 \times f_c \times A_{sbxc} = 128.126 \text{ kips}$

Moment carried by concrete

Moment carried by concrete $M_{xcon} = 0.85 \times f'_c \times z_{sbx} = 72.705$ kip ft

Details of steel layer 1

Depth of layer $x_{x1} = 2.764$ in

Strain of layer $\epsilon_{x1} = \epsilon_c * (1 - x_{x1} / c_x) = \textbf{0.00110}$

Stress in layer $\sigma_{x1} = \min(f_y, E_s * \epsilon_{x1}) - 0.85 * f_c = 28366.99 \text{ psi}$

Force carried by layer $P_{x1} = 2 * A_{bar} * \sigma_{x1} = 25.064 \text{ kips}$

Moment carried by steel layer $M_{x1} = P_{x1} * ((h / 2) - x_{x1}) = 13.025 \text{ kip_ft}$

Details of steel layer 2

Depth of layer $x_{x2} = 6.417$ in

Strain of layer $\varepsilon_{x2} = \varepsilon_c * (1 - x_{x2} / c_x) = -0.00142$

Stress in layer $\sigma_{x2} = max(-1 * f_y, E_s * \epsilon_{x2}) = -41237.32 \text{ psi}$

Force carried by layer $P_{x2} = 2 * A_{bar} * \sigma_{x2} = -36.436 \text{ kips}$

Moment carried by steel layer $M_{x2} = P_{x2} * ((h / 2) - x_{x2}) = -7.843 \text{ kip_ft}$

Details of steel layer 3

Depth of layer $x_{x3} = 11.583$ in

Strain of layer $\varepsilon_{x3} = \varepsilon_c * (1 - x_{x3} / c_x) = -0.00498$

Stress in layer $\sigma_{x3} = max(-1 * f_y, E_s * \epsilon_{x3}) = -60000.00 \text{ psi}$

Force carried by layer $P_{x3} = 2 * A_{bar} * \sigma_{x3} = -53.014 \text{ kips}$

Moment carried by steel layer $M_{x3} = P_{x3} * ((h / 2) - x_{x3}) = 11.412 \text{ kip_ft}$

Details of steel layer 4

Depth of layer $x_{x4} = 15.236$ in

Strain of layer $\epsilon_{x4} = \epsilon_c * (1 - x_{x4} / c_x) = -0.00750$

Stress in layer $\sigma_{x4} = max(-1 * f_y, E_s * \epsilon_{x4}) = -60000.00 \text{ psi}$



Spire Engineering, Inc. 305 N Plankinton Ave Suite 101

Milwaukee, WI 53203

EIGHTEEN87 on Water

Date

7/7/2021

Section

Calc. by

Spire

Project

Column Design

Date

Sheet no./rev.

Job Ref.

App'd by Date

Force carried by layer

 $P_{x4} = 2 * A_{bar} * \sigma_{x4} = -53.014 \text{ kips}$

Chk'd by

Moment carried by steel layer $M_{x4} = P_{x4} * ((h / 2) - x_{x4}) = 27.551 \text{ kip ft}$

Force carried by steel

Sum of forces by steel $P_{xs} = -112.1 \text{ kips}$

Total force carried by column

Nominal axial load strength $P_{nx} = 22.225 \text{ kips}$

Strength reduction factor $\phi_{x} = 0.900$

Ultimate axial load carrying capacity of column $P_{ux} = \phi_x \times P_{nx} = 20.002 \text{ kips}$

Total moment carried by column

Total moment carried by column $M_{ox} = 121.183 \text{ kip ft}$

 $M_{ux} = \phi_x \times M_{ox} = 109.065 \text{kip}_ft$ Ultimate moment strength capacity of column

Check load capacity for uniaxial loads about the x axis

Factored axial load Pu act = 20 kips $P_{ux} = 20 \text{ kips}$ Ultimate axial capacity

PASS - Ultimate axial capacity exceeds factored axial load

Factored moment about x axis $M_{cx min} = 1.9 \text{ kip ft}$ Ultimate moment capacity about the x axis $M_{ux} = 109.1 \text{ kip}_{ft}$

PASS - Ultimate moment capacity exceeds factored moment about x axis

Uniaxially loaded circular column

Details of column cross section

c/dt ratio $r_{yb} = 0.293$

Depth of tension steel $d_t = h - x_{y1} = 15.750$ in Depth of NA from extreme compression face $c_v = r_{vb} \times d_t = 4.620$ in

 $\beta_1 = 0.850$ Factor of depth of compressive stress block

Depth of equivalent rectangular stress block $a_y = min((\beta_1 \times c_y),h) = 3.927$ in

Half angle subtended by compression concrete $\theta_{\text{sbyc}} = a\cos((h/2 - a_y)/(h/2)) = 55.7 \text{ deg}$

 $\theta_{\text{sbyc_rad}} = \theta_{\text{sbyc}} / 90 \text{ deg} \times \pi / 2 = \mathbf{0.972}$ Angle in radians

A_{sbyc} = $h^2 \times (\theta_{sbyc_rad} - sin(\theta_{sbyc}) \times cos(\theta_{sbyc}))/4 = 41.015 in^2$ Area of compression concrete

Moment of area of compressive block $z_{sby} = h^3 \times ((\sin (\theta_{sbyc}))^3)/12 = 273.880 \text{ in}^3$

Yield strain in steel $\varepsilon_{sv} = f_v / E_s = 0.002$

Strength reduction factor $\phi_{V} = 0.900$

Details of concrete block

Force carried by concrete

 $P_{ycon} = 0.85 \times f_c \times A_{sbyc} = 139.451 \text{ kips}$ Forces carried by concrete

Moment carried by concrete

Moment carried by concrete $M_{ycon} = 0.85 \times f'_{c} \times z_{sby} = 77.599 \text{ kip ft}$

Details of steel layer 1

Depth of layer $x_{v1} = 2.250$ in

 $\varepsilon_{y1} = \varepsilon_c * (1 - x_{y1} / c_y) = 0.00154$ Strain of layer

 $\sigma_{y1} = \min(f_y, E_s * \epsilon_{y1}) - 0.85 * f_c = 41228.13 psi$ Stress in layer



Spire Engineering, Inc. 305 N Plankinton Ave Suite 101

Milwaukee, WI 53203

| Project EIGHTEEN87 | on Water | Job Ref. | | | |
|-----------------------|---------------|----------|----------------|----------|------|
| Section Column Design | | | Sheet no./rev. | | |
| Calc. by Spire | Date 7/7/2021 | Chk'd by | Date | App'd by | Date |

Force carried by layer $P_{y1} = A_{bar} * \sigma_{y1} = 18.214 \text{ kips}$

Moment carried by steel layer $M_{y_1} = P_{y_1} * ((h / 2) - x_{y_1}) = 10.245 \text{ kip_ft}$

Details of steel layer 2

Depth of layer $x_{y2} = 4.227$ in

Strain of layer $\epsilon_{y2} = \epsilon_c * (1 - x_{y2} / c_y) = \textbf{0.00026}$ Stress in layer $\sigma_{y2} = \min(f_y, E_s * \epsilon_{y2}) = \textbf{7396.83} \text{ psi}$ Force carried by layer $P_{y2} = 2 * A_{bar} * \sigma_{y2} = \textbf{6.536} \text{ kips}$ Moment carried by steel layer $M_{y2} = P_{y2} * ((h / 2) - x_{y2}) = \textbf{2.600} \text{ kip ft}$

Details of steel layer 3

Depth of layer $x_{y3} = 9.000$ in

Strain of layer $\varepsilon_{y3} = \varepsilon_c * (1 - x_{y3} / c_y) = -0.00284$

Stress in layer $\sigma_{y3} = \max(-1 * f_y, E_s * \epsilon_{y3}) = -60000.00 \text{ psi}$

Force carried by layer $P_{y3} = 2 * A_{bar} * \sigma_{y3} = \textbf{-53.014 kips}$ Moment carried by steel layer $M_{y3} = P_{y3} * ((h / 2) - x_{y3}) = \textbf{0.000 kip_ft}$

Details of steel layer 4

Depth of layer $x_{y4} = 13.773$ in

Strain of layer $\epsilon_{y4} = \epsilon_c * (1 - x_{y4} / c_y) = -0.00594$

Stress in layer $\sigma_{y4} = max(-1 * f_y, E_s * \epsilon_{y4}) = -60000.00 \text{ psi}$

Force carried by layer $P_{y4} = 2 * A_{bar} * \sigma_{y4} = -53.014 \text{ kips}$ Moment carried by steel layer $M_{y4} = P_{y4} * ((h / 2) - x_{y4}) = 21.086 \text{ kip ft}$

Details of steel layer 5

Depth of layer $x_{y5} = 15.750$ in

Strain of layer $\varepsilon_{y5} = \varepsilon_c * (1 - x_{y5} / c_y) = -0.00723$

Stress in layer $\sigma_{V5} = max(-1 * f_V, E_s * \epsilon_{V5}) = -60000.00 \text{ psi}$

Force carried by layer $P_{y5} = A_{bar} * \sigma_{y5} = -26.507$ kips

Moment carried by steel layer $M_{y5} = P_{y5} * ((h / 2) - x_{y5}) =$ **14.910** kip_ft

Force carried by steel

Sum of forces by steel $P_{ys} = -110.8$ kips

Total force carried by column

Nominal axial load strength P_{ny} = **22.224** kips

Strength reduction factor $\phi_{V} = 0.900$

Ultimate axial load carrying capacity of column $P_{uy} = \phi_y \times P_{ny} = 20.002$ kips

Total moment carried by column

Total moment carried by column $M_{oy} = 122.387$ kip ft

Ultimate moment strength capacity of column $M_{uy} = \phi_y \times M_{oy} = 110.148 \text{kip}_{ft}$

Check load capacity for uniaxial loads about the y axis

Factored axial load $P_{u_act} = 20 \text{ kips}$ Ultimate axial capacity $P_{uy} = 20 \text{ kips}$

PASS - Ultimate axial capacity exceeds factored axial load

Factored moment about y axis $M_{cy_min} = 1.9 \text{ kip_ft}$ Ultimate moment capacity about the y axis $M_{uy} = 110.1 \text{ kip_ft}$

PASS - Ultimate moment capacity exceeds factored moment about y axis



Spire Engineering, Inc. 305 N Plankinton Ave Suite 101 Milwaukee, WI 53203

| Project EIGHTEEN87 | on Water | Job Ref. | | | |
|-----------------------|---------------|----------|------|----------------|------|
| Section Column Design | | | | Sheet no./rev. | |
| Calc. by Spire | Date 7/7/2021 | Chk'd by | Date | App'd by | Date |

Design of column ties - 7.10.5

Spacing of lateral ties

16 times longitudinal bar diameter

48 times tie bar diameter

Least column dimension

Required tie spacing

 $s_{v_ties} = 12.000 in$

 $s_{v1} = 16 \times D_{long} = 12.000 in$

 $s_{v2} = 48 \times D_{stir} = 18.000 \text{ in}$

 $s_{v3} = min(h,b) = 18.000 in$

 $s = min(s_{v1}, s_{v2}, s_{v3}) = 12.000 in$

 $s_{v_ties} < s PASS$



Spire Engineering, Inc. 305 N Plankinton Ave Suite 101 Milwaukee, WI 53203

| Project EIGHTEEN87 on Water | | | | Job Ref. | |
|-----------------------------|---------------|----------|------|----------------|------|
| Section Pile Cap Design | | | | Sheet no./rev. | |
| Calc. by Spire | Date 7/7/2021 | Chk'd by | Date | App'd by | Date |

PILE CAP ANALYSIS & DESIGN (ACI318-11)

In accordance with ACI318-11

Tedds calculation version 2.0.05


Design summary - PASS (0.810)


Pile analysis summary

| | Unit | Applied | Allowable | Utilization | Result |
|--------------------------------|------|---------|-----------|-------------|--------|
| Pile axial compression, pile 2 | kips | 12 | 300 | 0.040 | PASS |

Pile cap design summary

| | Unit | Required | Provided | Utilization | Result |
|-------------------------|-----------------|-----------|----------|-------------|--------|
| Flexural reinforcement | in ² | 2.9 (min) | 3.5 | 0.81 | PASS |
| One way modified shear | kips | 14.7 | 64.3 | 0.228 | PASS |
| Two way col. mod. shear | psi | 16.9 | 133.9 | 0.126 | PASS |
| Two way pile shear | psi | 20.5 | 164.3 | 0.125 | PASS |







Spire Engineering, Inc. 305 N Plankinton Ave Suite 101

Milwaukee, WI 53203

| Project EIGHTEEN87 | on Water | Job Ref. | | | |
|-------------------------|---------------|----------|----------------|----------|------|
| Section Pile Cap Design | | | Sheet no./rev. | | |
| Calc. by Spire | Date 7/7/2021 | Chk'd by | Date | App'd by | Date |

Pile cap details

Pile cap width, along x axis $L_x = 5.5 \text{ ft}$ Pile cap width, along y axis $L_y = 2.5 \text{ ft}$ Total cap depth $D_{cap} = 24 \text{ in}$ Density of concrete $\gamma_{conc} = 150 \text{ lb/ft}^3$ Height of soil above pile cap $\gamma_{soil} = 0 \text{ in}$ Density of soil above pile cap $\gamma_{soil} = 80 \text{ lb/ft}^3$

Column details

Column width, along x axis $I_{x,col} = 18$ in Column width, along y axis $I_{y,col} = 18$ in Column location, x axis $x_c = 2.75$ ft Column location, y axis $y_c = 1.25$ ft

Pile details

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{Pile material} & \mbox{Steel} \\ \mbox{Steel pile section} & \mbox{Pipe STD x6} \\ \mbox{Allowable axial compression load} & \mbox{P}_{\mbox{\tiny PC,allow}} = \mbox{300 kips} \\ \end{array}$

Allowable axial tension load $P_{pT,allow} = \mathbf{0} \text{ kips}$ Allowable lateral load $V_{p,allow} = \mathbf{25} \text{ kips}$

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{Number of piles} & \text{N}_p = \textbf{2} \\ \text{Pile embedment} & \text{d}_{\text{embed}} = \textbf{6} \text{ in} \\ \text{Pile spacing} & \text{s}_p = \textbf{36} \text{ in} \\ \text{Edge distance} & \text{E} = \textbf{15} \text{ in} \\ \end{array}$

Column axial loads

Axial dead load $P_D = 10$ kips

Total area axial dead load $P_{D,area} = \gamma_{conc} * L_x * L_y * D_{cap} = 4.125 \text{ kips}$

Axial live load $P_L = 10$ kips

Pile group centroid

Centroid location, x direction $x_{pg,c} = (x_{p1} + x_{p2}) / N_p = 2.75 \text{ ft}$ Centroid location, y direction $y_{pg,c} = (y_{p1} + y_{p2}) / N_p = 1.25 \text{ ft}$

Pile distance from centroid

Pile 1 centroid distance, x direction $x_{p1,c} = x_{p1} - x_{pg,c} = -1.5$ ft Pile 1 centroid distance, y direction $y_{p1,c} = y_{p1} - y_{pg,c} = 0$ ft Pile 2 centroid distance, x direction $x_{p2,c} = x_{p2} - x_{pg,c} = 1.5$ ft Pile 2 centroid distance, y direction $y_{p2,c} = y_{p2} - y_{pg,c} = 0$ ft

Moment of inertia of pile group

Moment of inertia about x-x axis $I_{xx} = y_{p1,c}^2 + y_{p2,c}^2 = \mathbf{0} \text{ ft}^2$ Moment of inertia about y-y axis $I_{yy} = x_{p1,c}^2 + x_{p2,c}^2 = \mathbf{4.5} \text{ ft}^2$

Loading eccentricity

Eccentricity of column load, x direction $e_{x,c} = x_c - x_{pg,c} = \mathbf{0}$ ft Eccentricity of column load, y direction $e_{y,c} = y_c - y_{pg,c} = \mathbf{0}$ ft

Dead load pile forces

Dead load moment about x-x axis $M_{xD,Des} = 0 \text{ kip_ft} = 0 \text{ kip_ft}$



Spire Engineering, Inc. 305 N Plankinton Ave Suite 101 Milwaukee. WI 53203

| Project EIGHTEEN87 on Water | | | | Job Ref. | |
|-----------------------------|---------------|----------|------|----------------|------|
| Section Pile Cap Design | | | | Sheet no./rev. | |
| Calc. by Spire | Date 7/7/2021 | Chk'd by | Date | App'd by | Date |

Dead load moment about y-y axis $M_{yD,Des} = 0 \text{ kip_ft} = 0 \text{ kip_ft}$ Dead shear load on each pile, x direction $V_{p,x,D} = V_{xD} / N_p = 0 \text{ kips}$ Dead shear load on each pile, y direction $V_{p,y,D} = V_{yD} / N_p = 0 \text{ kips}$

Dead axial load on pile 1 $P_{p1,D} = (P_D + P_{D,area}) / N_p = \textbf{7.06 kips}$ Dead axial load on pile 2 $P_{p2,D} = (P_D + P_{D,area}) / N_p = \textbf{7.06 kips}$

Live load pile forces

Live load moment about x-x axis $\begin{aligned} &M_{xL,Des} = 0 \text{ kip_ft} = \textbf{0} \text{ kip_ft} \\ &\text{Live load moment about y-y axis} \\ &\text{Live shear load on each pile, x direction} \end{aligned} \qquad \begin{aligned} &M_{yL,Des} = 0 \text{ kip_ft} = \textbf{0} \text{ kip_ft} \\ &V_{p,x,L} = V_{xL} / N_p = \textbf{0} \text{ kips} \\ &V_{p,y,L} = V_{yL} / N_p = \textbf{0} \text{ kips} \end{aligned}$ Live axial load on pile 1 $P_{p1,L} = P_L / N_p = \textbf{5} \text{ kips}$ Live axial load on pile 2 $P_{p2,L} = P_L / N_p = \textbf{5} \text{ kips} \end{aligned}$

ASCE 7-10 load combinations (ASD)

1.0D (0.024)

1.0D + 1.0L (0.040)

Combination 2 results: 1.0D + 1.0L

Pile 2 axial load $P_{p2} = 1.0 * P_{p2,D} + 1.0 * P_{p2,L} = 12.06 \text{ kips}$

 $max(P_{p2} / P_{pC,allow}, 0) = 0.040$

PASS - Pile allowable compression load exceeds axial force

Pile cap design

Material details

Compressive strength of concrete $f_c = 3000 \text{ psi}$ Concrete type Normal weight

Concrete modification factor $\lambda = 1$

Yield strength of reinforcement $f_y = 60000 \text{ psi}$ Nominal cover to top reinforcement $c_{nom,top} = 3 \text{ in}$ Nominal cover to bottom reinforcement $c_{nom,bot} = 3 \text{ in}$

ASCE 7-10 load combinations (LRFD)

1.4D (0.810)

1.2D + 1.6L + 0.5Lr (0.810)

Reinforcement in y direction

Reinforcement provided 8 No.6 bot bars (8 in c/c)

Area of reinforcement provided $A_{s.prov} = 3.52 \text{ in}^2$

Minimum area of reinforcement (22.4.3.2) $A_{s,min} = 0.0018 * L_x * D_{cap} = 2.851 in^2$

PASS - Area of reinforcement provided exceeds minimum

Maximum spacing of reinforcement (24.4.3.3) $s_{max} = min(5 * D_{cap}, 18 in) = 18 in$

PASS - Maximum permissible reinforcement spacing exceeds actual spacing

Combination 2 results: 1.2D + 1.6L + 0.5Lr

One-way shear design at column face, along x axis, right side

Ultimate shear force at face of column $V_u = 14.675 \text{ kips}$ Ultimate moment force at face of column $M_u = 14.675 \text{ kip_ft}$ Depth to reinforcement $d_v = d_{x.bot} = 14.625 \text{ in}$

Distance to nearest pile $w_R = 9$ in



Spire Engineering, Inc. 305 N Plankinton Ave Suite 101 Milwaukee, WI 53203

Design shear capacity

| Project EIGHTEEN87 on Water | | | | Job Ref. | | |
|-----------------------------|---------------|----------|------|----------|----------------|--|
| Section Pile Cap Desig | | | | | Sheet no./rev. | |
| Calc. by Spire | Date 7/7/2021 | Chk'd by | Date | App'd by | Date | |

Shear strength reduction factor $\phi_V = 0.75$

Nominal shear capacity (CRSI Section 5.3) $V_n = min((d_v / (w_R + e_{tolerance})) * (3.5 - 2.5 * min(1.0, M_u / (V_u * d_v))) * (1.9 * \lambda * min(1.0, M_u /$

 $\sqrt{(f_c * 1 psi)} + 0.1 * \lambda * \sqrt{(f_c * 1 psi)} * max(1.0, V_u * d_v / M_u)), 10 * \lambda * \sqrt{(f_c * 1 psi)}$

psi)) * L_y * d_v = **85.789** kips

 $\phi V_n = \phi_v * V_n =$ **64.342**kips

 $V_u / \phi V_n = 0.228$

PASS - Design shear capacity exceeds ultimate shear load

Column modified two way shear design

Depth to reinforcement $d_{v2} = 13.875$ in

Distance to closest pile, x direction $w_x = 9$ in Distance to closest pile, y direction N.A.

Shear perimeter length $I_{x,perim} = 42$ in Shear perimeter width $I_{y,perim} = 24$ in

Shear perimeter, modified (CRSI 5.3) $b_s = I_{x,perim} + I_{y,perim} = 66.000$ in

Area inside shear perimeter $A_{InsidePerim} = I_{x,perim} * I_{y,perim} = 1008.000 \text{ in}^2$

Ultimate shear load $V_u = abs(Sum(0.089 * P_{p1,LRFD2}, P_{p2,LRFD2}) - 1.2 * (\gamma_{conc} * D_{cap}) * (A_{cap} - P_{p1,LRFD2}, P_{p2,LRFD2}) + 1.2 * (\gamma_{conc} * D_{cap}) * (A_{cap} - P_{p1,LRFD2}, P_{p2,LRFD2}) + 1.2 * (\gamma_{conc} * D_{cap}) * (A_{cap} - P_{p1,LRFD2}, P_{p2,LRFD2}) + 1.2 * (\gamma_{conc} * D_{cap}) * (A_{cap} - P_{p1,LRFD2}, P_{p2,LRFD2}) + 1.2 * (\gamma_{conc} * D_{cap}) * (A_{cap} - P_{p1,LRFD2}, P_{p2,LRFD2}) + 1.2 * (\gamma_{conc} * D_{cap}) * (A_{cap} - P_{p1,LRFD2}, P_{p2,LRFD2}) + 1.2 * (\gamma_{conc} * D_{cap}) * (A_{cap} - P_{p1,LRFD2}, P_{p2,LRFD2}) + 1.2 * (\gamma_{conc} * D_{cap}) * (A_{cap} - P_{p1,LRFD2}, P_{p2,LRFD2}) + 1.2 * (\gamma_{conc} * D_{cap}) * (A_{cap} - P_{p1,LRFD2}, P_{p2,LRFD2}) + 1.2 * (\gamma_{conc} * D_{cap}) * (A_{cap} - P_{p1,LRFD2}, P_{p2,LRFD2}) + 1.2 * (\gamma_{conc} * D_{cap}) * (A_{cap} - P_{p1,LRFD2}, P_{p2,LRFD2}) + 1.2 * (\gamma_{conc} * D_{cap}) * (A_{cap} - P_{p1,LRFD2}, P_{p2,LRFD2}) + 1.2 * (\gamma_{conc} * D_{cap}) * (A_{cap} - P_{p1,LRFD2}, P_{p2,LRFD2}) + 1.2 * (\gamma_{conc} * D_{cap}) * (A_{cap} - P_{p1,LRFD2}, P_{p2,LRFD2}) + 1.2 * (\gamma_{conc} * D_{cap}) * (A_{cap} - P_{p1,LRFD2}, P_{p2,LRFD2}) + 1.2 * (\gamma_{conc} * D_{cap}) * (A_{cap} - P_{p1,LRFD2}, P_{p2,LRFD2}) + 1.2 * (\gamma_{conc} * D_{cap}) * (A_{cap} - P_{p1,LRFD2}, P_{p2,LRFD2}) + 1.2 * (\gamma_{conc} * D_{cap}) * (A_{cap} - P_{p2,LRFD2}, P_{p2,LRFD2}) + 1.2 * (\gamma_{conc} * D_{cap}) * (A_{cap} - P_{p2,LRFD2}, P_{p2,LRFD2}) + 1.2 * (\gamma_{conc} * D_{cap}) * (A_{cap} - P_{cap}) * (A_{cap} - P_{cap})$

 $A_{InsidePerim})) = 15.511 \text{ kips}$

Ultimate shear stress from vertical load $v_{umod} = max(V_u / (b_s * d_{v2}), 0 psi) = 16.938 psi$

Equivalent shear perimeter, traditional $b_0 = b_s + d_{v2} = 79.875$ in Column geometry factor (11.11.2.1) $\beta = I_{y,col} / I_{x,col} = 1.00$

Column location factor (11.11.2.1) $\alpha_s = 20$

Concrete shear strength, traditional (11.11.2.1) $v_{cpa} = (2 + 4/\beta)^* \lambda^* \sqrt{(f_c * 1 psi)} = 328.634 psi$

 $v_{cpb} = (\alpha_s * d_{v2} / b_o + 2) * \lambda * \sqrt{(f_c * 1 psi)} = 299.833 psi$

 $v_{cpc} = 4 * \lambda * \sqrt{(f_c * 1 psi)} = 219.089 psi$ $v_{cp} = min(v_{cpa}, v_{cpb}, v_{cpc}) = 219.089 psi$

Concrete shear strength, modified (CRSI 5.3) $v_{cp.mod} = min((d_{v2} / (2 * (e_{tolerance} + d_p / 2))) * (b_o / b_s) * v_{cp}, 32 * \sqrt{(f_c * 1 psi)}) = 0$

178.588 psi $\phi_V = 0.75$

Shear strength reduction factor

Nominal shear stress capacity (Eq. 11-2) $v_n = v_{cp.mod} = 178.588 \text{ psi}$ Design shear stress capacity (Eq. 11-1) $\phi v_n = \phi_v * v_n = 133.941 \text{ psi}$

 v_{umod} / $\phi v_n = 0.126$

 $I_{y,perim} = 28.738 in$

PASS - Design shear capacity exceeds ultimate shear load

Pile two way shear design, pile 2

Shear perimeter width

Depth to reinforcement $d_{v2} = \textbf{13.875} \text{ in}$ Shear perimeter length $I_{x,perim} = \textbf{29.238} \text{ in}$

Shear perimeter (11.11.1.2) $b_o = I_{x,perim} + I_{y,perim} = 57.975$ in

Ultimate shear load $V_u = abs(P_{p2,LRFD2}) = 16.475 \text{ kips}$

Ultimate shear stress from vertical load $v_{ug} = max(V_u / (b_o * d_{v2}), 0 psi) = 20.481 psi$

Pile geometry factor (11.11.2.1) $\beta = I_{x,pile} / I_{y,pile} = \textbf{1.07}$ Pile location factor (11.11.2.1) $\alpha_s = \textbf{20}$

Pile shear strength (11.11.2.1) $v_{cpa} = (2 + 4 / \beta) * \lambda * \sqrt{(f_c * 1 psi)} = 313.627 psi$

 $v_{cpb} = (\alpha_s * d_{v2} / b_o + 2) * \lambda * \sqrt{(f_c * 1 psi)} = 371.714 psi$



Spire Engineering, Inc. 305 N Plankinton Ave Suite 101 Milwaukee, WI 53203

Shear strength reduction factor

Nominal shear stress capacity (Eq. 11-2)


Design shear stress capacity (Eq. 11-1)

| Project EIGHTEEN87 | on Water | Job Ref. | | | |
|-------------------------|---------------|----------|------|------------------|------|
| Section Pile Cap Design | | | | Sheet no./rev. 5 | |
| Calc. by Spire | Date 7/7/2021 | Chk'd by | Date | App'd by | Date |


 $v_{cpc} = 4 * \lambda * \sqrt{(f_c * 1 psi)} = 219.089 psi$ $v_{cp} = min(v_{cpa}, v_{cpb}, v_{cpc}) = 219.089 psi$ $\phi_v = 0.75$ $v_n = min(v_{cpa}, v_{cpb}, v_{cpc}) = 219.089 psi$ $\phi v_n = \phi_v * v_n = 164.317 psi$

 v_{ug} / ϕv_n = **0.125**

PASS - Design shear capacity exceeds ultimate shear load








245 CAPITOL LANE WINCHESTER, VIRGINIA, USA 22602 TEL + 1-540-542-6300

WWW.TREX.COM
QUESTION@TREX.COM

COMPOSITE DECKING – TREX TRANSCEND AND TREX ENHANCE

Part 1 General

- 1.1 Section Includes
 - A. Composite Decking (Trex Transcend and Trex Enhance)
- 1.2 Related Sections
 - A. Section 06-1100 Wood Framing
- 1.3 References
 - A. ASTM D-7032-04: Standard Specification for Establishing Performance Ratings for Wood-Plastic Composite Deck Boards and Guardrail Systems (Guards or Handrails), ASTM International.
 - B. ASTM D-7031-04: Standard Guide for Evaluating Mechanical and Physical Properties of Wood-Plastic Composite Products, ASTM International
 - C. ASTM E-84-01: Test Method for Surface Burning Characteristics of Building Materials, ASTM International.
 - D. ASTM D 570: Water Absorption of Plastics
 - E. ASTM D 1761: Mechanical Fasteners in Wood
 - F. ASTM D -1413-99: Test method for Wood Preservatives by Laboratory Soilblock Cultures
 - G. ASTM C177: Standard Test Method for Steady-State Heat Flux Measurements and Thermal Transmission Properties by Means of the Guarded-Hot-Plate Apparatus
- 1.4 Design/Performance Requirements
 - A. Structural Performance:
 - a. Deck: Uniform Load 100lbf/sq.ft.
 - b. Tread of Stairs: Concentrated Load: 750 lbf/sq.ft., and 1/8" max. deflection with a concentrated load of 300 lbf on area of 4 sq. in.
 - B. Fire-Test Response Characteristics per ASTM E-84.



1.5 Submittals

- A. Product Data Indicate sizes, profiles, surface style, and performance characteristics
- B. Samples: For each product specified, one sample representing actual product color, size, and finish.

1.6 Delivery, Storage, and Handling

- A. Store Trex products on a flat and level surface. Adjust support blocks accordingly
- B. Support Trex bundles on supplied dunnage
- C. When stacking Trex bundles, supports should start approximately 8" from each end and be spaced approximately 2ft on center. Supports should line up vertically/perpendicular to the decking product.
- D. Do not stack Trex Select decking more than 14 bundles.
- E. Keep material covered using the provided bundle cover until time of installation.
- F. See www. Trex.com for detailed storage recommendations;
 - a. http://s7d4.scene7.com/is/content/Trex/Installation%20Guide%202013pdf

1.7 Warranty

A. Provide manufactures warranty against rot, decay, splitting, checking, splintering, fungal damage, and termite damage for a period of 25 years for a residential installation and 10 years for a commercial installation. In addition provide the Trex Transcend and Trex Enhance Fade and Stain Warranty against food staining and fading beyond 5 Delta E (CIE units) for a period of 25 years for a residential installation and 10 years for a commercial installation. Specific terms for warranties can be found at; www.Trex.com

Part 2 Products

2.1 Manufacturers

- A. Contract Documents are based on products supplied by; Trex Company, Inc., 160 Exeter Dr., Winchester, VA 22603.
- B. Substitutions: Not permitted under Division 01

2.2 Applications/Scope

- A. Wood-Plastic Composite Lumber;
 - a. Material Description: Composite Decking consisting of recycled Linear Low Density Polyethylene (LLDPE) and recycled wood. The product is extruded into shapes and sizes as follows:
 - i. Trex Transcend and Trex Enhance Decking Boards; 1 x 5.5".
 - ii. Lengths -12, 16, and 20 feet
 - iii. Color To be specified by owner from Trex' standard list of colors.

b. Physical and Mechanical Properties as follows:

| Test | Test Method | Value | |
|--|------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------|
| Flame spread | ASTM E 84 | 60(Transcend) / 85(Enhance) | |
| Thermal Expansion | ASTM D 1037 | 1.9 x 10-5 inch/inch/degreeF | |
| Moisture Absorption | ASTM D 1037 | < 1% | |
| Screw Withdrawal | ASTM D1761 | 558 lbs/in | |
| Fungus Resistance | ASTM D1413 | Rating - no decay | |
| Termite Resistance | AWPAE1-72 | Rating = 9.6 | |
| | | Ultimate (Typical)Values * | Design Values |
| | | | |
| Compression Parallel | ASTM D198 | 1588 psi | 540 psi |
| Compression Parallel Compression Perpendicular | ASTM D198 ASTM D143 | 1588 psi 1437 psi | 540 psi 540 psi |
| | | • | |
| Compression Perpendicular | ASTM D143 | 1437 psi | 540 psi |
| Compression Perpendicular Bending Strength | ASTM D143 ASTM D198 | 1437 psi 3280 psi | 540 psi 500 psi |

^{*} Ultimate strength values are not meant for design analysis. Design values are for temperatures up to 130F (54C)

2.2 Accessories

A. Fasteners:

- a. Trex Universal Hideaway Hidden Fasteners
- b. Screws; See http://s7d4.scene7.com/is/content/Trex/Installation%20Guide%202013pdf for the updated recommendations on fasteners.

Part 3 Execution


3.1 Installation

- A. Install according to Trex installation guidelines. http://s7d4.scene7.com/is/content/Trex/Installation%20Guide%202013pdf
- B. Cut, drill, and rout using carbide tipped blades
- C. Do not use composite wood material for structural applications

3.2 Cleaning

A. Following cleaning recommendations as found in Trex installation guide at; http://s7d4.scene7.com/is/content/Trex/Installation%20Guide%202013pdf

EXHIBIT G1






W elcome to Wagner™ Architectural Systems. We are a leading global provider of architectural railing systems dedicated to producing life safety and lighting products that meet the highest standards of quality, engineering and code compliance.

We work with architects, lighting designers, engineers and contractors to deliver complete product systems, or custom engineer and fabricate design-specific railing and panel solutions that provide safety, assist navigation, and elevate the architectural aesthetic of common space designs.

With expertise in manufacturing, code requirements and addressing LEED building standards, we are a partner who can meet your specifications and deliver installations with the fit, finish, beauty and performance you desire.

To learn more about working with Wagner Architectural Systems or to discuss your project, visit us at wagnerarchitectural.com, or call us at 888.243.6914.



ON THE COVER: MARRIOTT MARQUIS SAN DIEGO MARINA SAN DIEGO, CA

ARCHITECT: tvsdesign PHOTOGRAPHY: ©WAYNECABLE2018

KENNETH F. BURNS MEMORIAL BRIDGE MASSACHUSETTS, DOT WORCESTER-SHREWSBURY, MA ARCHITECT: FST/STANTEC

6

10

13

14

15

Bantam™


Lumenlinear™

Lumenpost™

and Offerings

Wagner Code Compliance

Additional Wagner Systems



LUMENPOD® POINT-SOURCE ILLUMINATION

reate code-compliant lighting layouts for your most challenging designs. Lumenpod is a high performance family of compact, pointsource LED luminaries designed specifically for handrail applications. They are ideal for pathway illumination and feature both symmetric and asymmetric output options to address variable

widths, curves and elevation changes.

The flagship Lumenpod 28 is available in various optical packages with industry leading performance. Its innovative patent pending design features a simplified installation with fewer connections and components. Developed and produced domestically, Buy America projects can be specified without reservation.

Our 316 stainless steel Lumenpod 16 has a machined chamfered edge for a flush fit and integrated look in curved installations, as well as straight runs and flat material. Its mechanically threaded body and high-efficiency design make it a flexible point-source lighting solution.



CENTER FOR SUSTAINABLE LANDSCAPES GREEN ROOF PHIPPS CONSERVATORY AND BOTANICAL GARDENS

ARCHITECT: THE DESIGN ALLIANCE ARCHITECTS

PITTSBURGH, PA

The Lumenpod 28 offers the next evolution of point source architectural rail lighting. Designed for pathway illumination, it's available in symmetric and asymmetric output versions using the latest LED technology and

production techniques.

- ETL wet location, IP68 ingress protection
- Solid cast 316 stainless steel face
- IK9 impact rating and secure installation
- Rectilinear distribution promotes uniformity
- Patent pending
- · Designed, engineered and manufactured in the USA



For information on Lumenpod 28 point source luminaries, scan this QR code.

asymmetric

LUMENPOST™ COMPATIBLE

LUMENPOD® 16

The Lumenpod 16 is an ideal architectural lighting solution for any new or retrofit application. It provides distinctive projection aesthetics in straight or curved railing systems.

- Robust design withstands high impacts
- Weather resistant to IP67
- Machined 316 stainless steel resists corrosion
- Easy retrofit into existing applications
- Beam spreads from 16° to 94°
- Recessed LED provides excellent visual comfort

Fraction on Lumenpod 16 points of the interest of the code.





D esigned for performance and ease of installation, our new Bantam surface-mount fixture combines point-source illumination with the flexibility for round or flat surface installations. Bantam is perfect for new or retrofit applications and meeting egress lighting compliance requirements. Its 316 stainless steel housing, IK10 rating and tamper resistant hardware provide durability and lasting appeal. Punctuate your application with defined pools of illumination from posts, columns or walls. A subtle 10° bias projects light from the mounting surface toward the path or feature.



For information on Bantam surface mount fixtures, scan this ΩR code.

WEDGE TERRACE, WPI QUAD

WORCESTER POLYTECHNIC INSTITUTE WORCESTER, MA LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT: HALVORSON DESIGN, INC. PHOTO: ©2013 ED WONSEK

LUMENLINEAR

BEAUTY. SAFETY. PERFORMANCE.

umenlinear is a state-of-the-art low-voltage LED light fixture that's an exceptional integrated linear source to enhance any stairway or walkway. Its unmatched fixture performance allows for truly spectacular installations and provides an effective Lumenrail® solution for any lighting design challenge.

Lumenlinear is a uniform and practical solution for adding beauty and light to your life safety

LUMENPOST[™] COMPATIBLE



BIG FOUR PEDESTRIAN BRIDGE BIG FOUR STATION PARK

JEFFERSONVILLE, IN ARCHITECT: TEG ARCHITECTS PHOTO: STUART MAY, UNITED CONSULTING

LUMENLINEAR"

umenlinear lighting provides ample illumination for life safety and ambiance without the glare or harshness of overhead pole lighting. The diminutive profile and variable length provide seamless runs of projected light with full IES cutoff when installed in our Lumenrail® system.



For information on Lumenlinear low-voltage LED lighting, scan this QR code.

- Stock color temperatures from 3000° K 5000° K
- Choice of clear or matte lens
- Symmetric or asymmetric distribution options
- Wet location rated
- Five-year warranty
- Energy saving design

1600 SEVENTH BUILDING

SEATTLE CENTRAL BUSINESS DISTRICT SEATTLE, WA DESIGN: HBB LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE

LUMENPOST

INTEGRATED LED DRIVER ENCLOSURE

umenpost is a cast stainless steel enclosure
designed to house an LED driver for any Lumenrail®
post mounted application. It provides easy installation,
service convenience and the durability to withstand
harsh environments and vandal abuse. The enclosure
integrates into a standard 1.90" diameter post for
aesthetic uniformity throughout the handrail system.

- Use with Lumenpod[®], Bantam[™] or Lumenlinear[™] luminaries
- Tamper proof 316 stainless steel hardware
- Industry leading 100W, 24VDC output
- · Code-compliant structural integrity
- High-quality craftsmanship and appearance



For information on Lumenpost, scan this QR code.





DECODING BUILDING CODES AND ADA STANDARDS

Prior to using any railing products, it's incumbent on designers, fabricators and installers to make themselves familiar with the local codes and standards that apply to their applications.

To ease the process and avoid costly delays, Wagner can help specify and install the right products and systems. We have been active in the world of codes and standards for over 40 years, and have been providing railing products for over 60 years. Ask us – Wagner can help you meet the demands of your local authority having jurisdiction.

To learn more about our collaborative design approach and incorporating our safe, reliable and high-performing life safety products on your next project, visit wagnerarchitectural.com or call us at 888.243.6914.

PORT ANGELES WATERFRONT PARK
PORT ANGELES, WA
ARCHITECT: LMN ARCHITECTS, SEATTLE



MORE INNOVATIVE ARCHITECTURAL RAILING SYSTEMS

Beyond the Lumenrail® illuminated railing system, Wagner offers a full range of contemporary, high-quality railing systems and accessories that can be implemented independently or mixed to produce incredible, easy-to-install, interior and exterior applications.

CABLE RAILING

- Swageless fittings for $\frac{1}{8}$ " and $\frac{3}{16}$ " diameter cable are easy to install
- Swaged fittings allow smaller holes in intermediate posts and require installation equipment
- Posts, cable, clamps, braces and hardware available

GLASS RAILING

- PanelGrip $_{\odot}$ 2, patented dry glaze, lightweight aluminum shoe molding and locking mechanisms, provide the fastest installation for ½" to ¾" (12-19 mm) thick glass
- Wet glaze aluminum shoe molding
- Legato[™], round, square or flat post railing system
- Glass mounting hardware and top rail options

STAINLESS STEEL AND ALUMINUM RAILING


- Strength, durability and low maintenance
- Stainless steel railings highlight any project and come pre-fabricated and ready to install
- Aluminum railings are provided as components or pre-fabricated and ready-to-install systems. Multiple finishes are available.

ACCESSORIES

- Woven wire mesh infill: a wide range of 304 stainless steel panels fit within slotted rail sections
- Handrail brackets, elbows, connectors, flanges, caps and more

CUSTOM ARCHITECTURAL COMPONENTS

- Post caps: steel, aluminum, bronze and cast iron with galvanized, zinc plated, anodized or polished finishes
- Balls, hemispheres and rings for ornamental applications
- Industrial-strength bike racks: durable, stylish, easy-to-install stainless steel or galvanized steel racks custom rack designs encouraged



WAGNER ARCHITECTURAL SYSTEMS IS PROUD TO PARTICIPATE IN YOUR PROJECTS AND PROMOTE THE RESULTS.

SUBMIT YOUR PHOTOS TO: SYSTEMS@MAILWAGNER.COM

MARRIOTT MARQUIS

SAN DIEGO MARINA SAN DIEGO, CA ARCHITECT: tvsdesign

PHOTOGRAPHY: ©WAYNECABLE2018



ARCHITECTURAL SYSTEMS

WAGNERARCHITECTURAL.COM ©2017 R&B WAGNER, INC. | ALL RIGHTS RESERVED. FOR ASSISTANCE CONTACT:888.243.6914 OR SYSTEMS@MAILWAGNER.COM



| PROJECT NAME: | CATALOG NUMBER: |
|---------------|---------------------|
| NOTEC: | EINTLINE COMEDITIE: |

EXHIBIT G2

Full Cutoff Wall Pack

The Full Cutoff Wall Pack is designed to cast the light down and reduce light spread. It has a tempered glass lens that will resist yellowing over time. It is wet location rated for mounting outside along the sides of buildings, schools, garages and other structures.



Features:

- Tempered glass lens, non-yellowing
- 0-10V dimming standard
- ETL, DLC Listed
- IP65 Rated
- 120-277V
- CRI: >70
- CCT: 4000K or 5000K
- Life: 50000 Hours
- Warranty: 5 Years
- Lumens:
 - o 45W = 5500
 - o 60W = 6900
 - o 75W = 8800
 - o 90W = 11000 (available Q4 2021)

Applications

- Building façade
- Carports
- Loading areas
- Driveways
- Parking areas

| WareLight Full Cutoff Wall Pack | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|---------|-------|---------------|------------|--|
| Model | Wattage | ССТ | Voltage | Generation | |
| WLFC | 45W | 4000K | MV (120-277V) | G2 | |
| | 60W | 5000K | | | |
| | 75W | | | | |
| | 90W | | | | |

Dimensions

Length: 13" (330mm) Width: 11.25" (280mm)


Height: 9.25" (230mm)
















Warehouse-Lighting.com 2750 South 163rd St New Berlin, WI 53151 Warehouse-Lighting.com
Phone: 888-454-4480
info@warehouse-lighting.com

Trash Bins- Exhibit H1



The Cityview CV2-1000 waste receptacle is a top opening high volume trash receptacle designed for high traffic areas. CityView receptacles embody a simple vertical strap design.

MATERIALS

- Vertical straps 5/16" x 1 1/2" steel flat bar
- Outside support strap 1/4" x 2" steel flat bar
- Top ring 5/8" dia. solid steel round bar
- Foot plates 5/16" x 1 1/2" stainless steel
- Lid 14 ga. spun steel lid
- Dome 12 ga. spun steel dome
- Ash inlay 13 ga. stainless steel ash pan
- Liner High Density Polyethylene liner with handles

SUSTAINABILITY

CityView benches have a recycled material content of 96.91% of which 83.97% is post consumer content. This content may vary based on the product design, product material type, and interchangeable piece parts. Recycled content estimates are an average based on steel mill provided information for steel bar product. For project specific information contact SiteScapes. All styles are 100% recyclable. For more information about SiteScapes sustainable products and policies, please refer to our **Environmental Statement**.



Riverwalk Bench Exhibit H2



he CityView CV1-1000 is SiteScapes standard bench. This bench incorporates steel bar ends and vertical straps into its backed bench design. This bench is heavy duty and stands up to any environment.

MATERIALS

- Seat straps are 1 ½" x 5/16"
- End units are steel bar
- 1 5/16" support tubes at top and bottom
- Standard 6' and 8' lengths

SUSTAINABILITY

CityView benches have a recycled material content of 96.91% of which 83.97% is post consumer content. This content may vary based on the product design, product material type, and interchangeable piece parts. Recycled content estimates are an average based on steel mill provided information for steel bar product. For project specific information contact SiteScapes. All styles are 100% recyclable. For more information about SiteScapes sustainable products and policies, please refer to our **Environmental Statement**.