CITY OF MILWAUKEE

LICENSES COMMITTEE

In the Matter of: RIDGE PLAZA INCORPORATED Class "A" Liquor and Malt application for:

GURMEET DHILLON
"RIDGE PLAZA INCORPORATED"
Best Liquor at 551 West Becher Street

Proceedings had and testimony given in
the above-entitled matter before the LICENSES

COMMITTEE OF THE CITY OF MILWAUKEE on the 5th day of January, 2010.

1	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
2	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Thank you. Our final
3	legislative item on the agenda this afternoon is
4	for Gurmeet Dhillon, agent for Ridge Plaza
5	Incorporated, Class "A" Liquor and Malt Application
6	as agent for Ridge Plaza for Best Liquor at 551
7	West Becher Street.
8	MR. KNOELLER: Good afternoon, everybody.
9	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Good afternoon.
10	MR. KNOELLER: My name is Michael
11	Knoeller, K-N-O-E-L-L-E-R, appearing on behalf of
12	Mr. Dhillon. Mr. Dhillon is here in person. And I
13	wonder if there is a little bit of housekeeping I
14	can bring before the committee before we get going
15	here? We received there was an original
16	application made on October 9, 2009, and the notice
17	of the hearing was sent and setting the hearing for
18	December 8, 2009. Proper notice of opportunity to
19	object, and so forth, was sent to all neighbors and

20	all parties. And on the notice of public hearing,
21	it said specifically that the neighborhood
22	objections must be made at that time and no letters
23	or petitions can be accepted by the committee
24	unless the provision, person is present, and
25	business competition is not a valid basis for

1	denial. That's on that notice. No objections were
2	made on December 8th, no objectors appeared. And
3	testimony was taken, the committee voted 5 to 0, or
4	6 to 0, in any event, unanimously in favor of
5	granting the application.
6	I believe that all members who are here
7	today were here then, and nobody filed an appeal to
8	that. And the matter came before the Common
9	Council on the 22nd of December, this Item 090832,
10	for granting the license. And the meeting was
11	called to order at 9:17, and then a motion was made
12	by the alderman for the district to amend the
13	motion, and the motion prevailed. No reason was
14	given we don't know what the reason was for
15	that. And I'm saying this because I know you're
16	going to ask, "Did you receive notice of the
17	hearing?" and I believe notice of a hearing is
18	notice of how/why a license could be denied.
19	The second notice of a hearing was mailed

20	to Mr. Dhillon setting a hearing for today's date.
21	On that notice, again, it says the reasons for
22	possible dismissal were the same as were on the
23	notice for the 12/8/09 hearing, and that is
24	neighborhood objections, etcetera. I'm sure you
25	know it better than I do. And no one appeared,

1	nobody objected, and there had been no objections
2	at that time at the final hearing.
3	We got a notice, or Mr. Dhillon got a
4	notice on the 23rd of today's date. And I think
5	the Licenses Committee had made a decision on this,
6	and we have no idea what the reason could be for an
7	objection because we received no notice of what the
8	objection could be. We received notice, Aldermen
9	and Alderwoman, of the prior hearing and that these
10	things could be objected to at the prior hearing.
11	Nobody objected to that. But it was the same
12	notice which went out for this hearing as was the
13	hearing previously.
14	CHAIRMAN BOHL: I would be very happy to
15	cut you short, Mr. Knoeller, if I may, and provide
16	you with some enlightenment that you may not be
17	aware of.
18	MR. KNOELLER: I'd appreciate that.
19	CHAIRMAN BOHL: My understanding is that

20	this particular location sits in the cusp of two
21	aldermanic districts. The Licenses Division
22	usually will work with the local alderperson in
23	whose district it's located, and that would be
24	Alderman Zielinski in the 14th District. However,
25	Alderman Witkowiak's district is across the street.

1	It is not typically the policy of the Licenses
2	Division to sit there and logistically when the
3	notice goes out and said that there is an
4	aldermanic district for them to set there with a
5	districtwide boundary sheet of every district in
6	the entire city block by block by block to
7	determine and say, "Oh, maybe I ought to notice the
8	other alderman whose district is across the
9	street."
10	In the interim time, Aldermen, we held a
10 11	In the interim time, Aldermen, we held a hearing where the notice for neighborhood objectors
11	hearing where the notice for neighborhood objectors
11 12	hearing where the notice for neighborhood objectors came forward. There were only three individuals
11 12 13	hearing where the notice for neighborhood objectors came forward. There were only three individuals here to testify. All three of those individuals
11 12 13	hearing where the notice for neighborhood objectors came forward. There were only three individuals here to testify. All three of those individuals were here in favor. As a result of there being no
11 12 13 14	hearing where the notice for neighborhood objectors came forward. There were only three individuals here to testify. All three of those individuals were here in favor. As a result of there being no individuals in opposition and there being no police

individuals, both neighborhood group oriented and

20	business association, that may have represented
21	portions or constituencies immediately across the
22	street in the area that were not notified as part
23	of being the local alderperson in the district
24	whose it's located but across the street, who still
25	have a vested interest in this particular license,

1	who gained word of this after the committee hearing
2	but prior to the council meeting. They did send
3	out notice to a bunch of aldermen saying, "Hey, we
4	would like to have the opportunity to do this.
5	There is not a vested property right here. This is
6	a new application." As a result, a request was
7	made and a motion was therefore made at the council
8	meeting to refer this matter back to committee to
9	provide others with opportunity to address concerns
10	that were not provided adequate notice initially
11	because they were, quote/unquote, in the wrong
12	aldermanic district, even if they were in the same
13	neighborhood.
14	That is what it is. There is no vested
15	property rights. Had there been a vested property
16	right, it would have been something very different
17	because you would have had to have had this hearing
18	applied for within a period of time to provide you
19	an opportunity not to have the license unduly

20	delayed. Because there is not the vested property
21	rights, there is the ability, and the will of the
22	council was to support unanimously the decision to
23	refer it back to committee to provide some of those
24	neighbors who had expressed concerns to have the
25	opportunity to address those very same issues that

1	were sent to you in the initial notice. That's why
2	the very same neighborhood objections are there;
3	those have not changed.
4	Whether individuals testified to those
5	matters or not, you know, as you have been before
6	this committee, is up to the ability of those
7	individuals to make the case before us. I'm not
8	going to belabor that point. What is done was done
9	legitimately. And what we are going to do is
10	proceed forward from here now forward, and I'm
11	if you want to raise an objection, all you've got
12	to do is say, "I raise an objection." I will take
13	it into account in the record, and we will move
14	forward.
15	MR. KNOELLER: Basically okay, yes. I
16	
17	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Because I don't want to
18	delay any additional time. As you are well aware,

I'm certain a number of these people here are in

20	support of your applicant. You're going to delay
21	us here very late into the evening. I don't want
22	us to belabor any point on debate of a bygone
23	issue. So that
24	MR. KNOELLER: Okay, fine. Let's get
25	going then. We are ready for whatever, because we

1	got the notice that way, and, okay, let's get ready
2	for whatever it may be.
3	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Why don't do you want
4	to bring your applicant to the table here?
5	MR. KNOELLER: Yes. Mr. Dhillon? Come
6	up here, please.
7	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Thank you. I know this
8	is a continuation, but I will still look back
9	through the formality here. I'll ask you for your
10	name and address for the record here, please.
11	MR. DHILLON: My name is Inderjeet S.
12	Dhillon, and
13	MR. KNOELLER: Can you spell it?
14	MR. DHILLON: I-N-D-E-R-J-E-E-T, initial
15	"S" and the last name is Dhillon, D-H-I-L-L-O-N.
16	CHAIRMAN BOHL: And Gurmeet is also with
17	us here as well, too, then, is that correct? You
18	are also present here with us.
19	MS. DHILLON: Yes.

20	CHAIRMAN BOHL: You are the listed agent
21	owner. You are represented by attorney, which you
22	would not need to be present here, but I will ask
23	you as the agent here, again, if you acknowledge
24	receiving notice of today's meeting with the
25	possibility your application could be denied due to

1	neignborhood objections?
2	MRS. DHILLON: Yes.
3	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Thank you. Ms. Grill, do
4	we have any holds that are still pending?
5	MS. GRILL: The health department has a
6	hold for inspection and submittal of plans.
7	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Okay, those are still
8	outstanding. There were no police reports. Of
9	those individuals who are present here today, how
10	many is there anyone here who does not wish to
11	provide testimony? Is there, are there any
12	individuals who were present here at our last
13	meeting? The three individuals. I will say that
14	the three individuals who were present at our last
15	meeting, your testimony stands. I do not want to
16	hear from you individuals. If I if this an
17	opportunity for a new hearing. I'm guessing that
18	you're, if you're you came in support of the
19	application. We have probably another 30 or so

20	individuals who may provide testimony here. This
21	is a continuation of the hearing and not a
22	duplication of an opportunity to provide testimony.
23	What I will say is, of all those who are
24	here in which to provide testimony, may I see a
25	show of hands of those who are here in opposition

1	to the license? Okay. For those of you who are
2	here in opposition to the license, and for all you
3	who are present here, I'm going to provide do we
4	have a okay. I'm going to provide two minutes
5	for your testimony.
6	First, we'll take your name and address.
7	We will ask you to provide your testimony within a
8	two-minute timeframe, both in favor and opposition.
9	I will provide additional time if there are
10	questions by committee. But we will ask for you to
11	provide your best argument and to be brief.
12	I will let individuals know, this is not
13	a beauty contest, or it is not a contest of
14	numbers. If you get to the point where, if you are
15	the tenth person to say that, "Our applicants here,
16	Mr. and Mrs. Dhillon, are the nicest people in the
17	world," we will understand, and we will, basically,
18	go on the record and say, "We believe they are the
19	nicest people in the world." The relevance of that

20	is, you substantially agree with the nine previous
21	people here who have said the same exact thing
22	which you wish to say. It would be helpful to the
23	committee's edification and to yours and others who
24	are present for you to say, "My name is so and so,
25	so and so. I live at this address. I agree with

1	the previous person." And then keep it at that if
2	it is redundant testimony.
3	So with that said, the protocol of the
4	committee here is to hear from individuals who are
5	here in opposition first. What we will do is we
6	will get your name and address for the record. At
7	the time, if you have a difficult spelling of your
8	name, please spell your name. I mean, unless your
9	name is as simple as "Smith," and it is
10	"S-M-I-T-H," if there is any question, please take
11	the time to spell that for us.
12	After you provide your name, do not
13	forget your address though. The moment that you do
14	that then we will forward testimony, we will put
15	you on the clock. You will see, with this
16	particular device, a green, yellow and red light.
17	When it hits red, I will ask you to wind up your
18	testimony at that point.

One thing I would ask is, we have a

20	number of people who have entered this room here,
21	there was a sign when you did enter this room that
22	said, "Please turn off your cell phones and
23	pagers." Because I'm to ask individuals to do that
24	now, if you have not already done so, to reach in
25	your pocket to ensure. I will let anyone know that

1	if a cell phone does go off after my pointing it
2	out and you having walked passed the sign, if it
3	does go off, I will ask for you to be dismissed
4	from the room, and your testimony, if you have not
5	provided it, will not be allowed. So with that, if
6	you have any question, please reach in the pocket
7	and go ahead and double check. Okay.
8	Any additional questions? With that.
9	Barbara, a regular, so we know you, and we will
10	hear from you. Name and address.
11	MS. NELSON: Barbara Nelson, 102
12	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Oh, I'm sorry. What we
13	will do is anyone who wishes to provide testimony,
14	we will ask you raise your right hand at this
15	point. We will swear you in en masse. Anyone,
16	anyone for or against, hand up in the air. If you
17	do not have your hand raised, you will not provide
18	testimony. Both people who are in support of the
19	license and opposed. If your hand is not up in the

20	air, you will not provide testimony. I don't see
21	anybody over here, so you will all not provide
22	testimony unless your hand is in the air.
23	MS. BLACK: Do you solemnly affirm under
24	the pains and penalties of perjury in the State of
25	Wisconsin the testimony you are about to give is

1	the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the
2	truth?
3	ALL: Yes.
4	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Okay. Name and address
5	for the record.
6	MS. NELSON: Barbara Nelson, 1022 West
7	Lincoln Avenue. I have known Dhillon and his wife
8	for many years. They are nice people. However,
9	Dhillon, shame on you. Our neighborhood does not
10	yet need another liquor outlet. You fought hard to
11	have the neighborhood just to allow you to have a
12	24-hour operation. Please, don't press your luck
13	and try and force the liquor license down our
14	throat.
15	I have a City of Milwaukee letter that
16	shows they were denied a license due to
17	oversaturation in '95, and I will say that the
18	argument still applies today. You all have in
19	front of you, I believe, a copy on your computers

20	of the saturation in this area. And Alderman Bohl,
21	tell me if that isn't more than is in your whole
22	district, or close to it? Do you have a copy of
23	this, Alderman Bohl? Or I can pass it out. I have
24	a copy.
25	MR. WITKOWIAK: Mr. Chair.

1	MS. NELSON: I can verify that in the
2	last couple years our neighborhood has had more
3	than our share of drunks plowing into our building.
4	My building was one of them per the photo I'm going
5	to submit into the record. My family has
6	personally, also, has had two drunks plow into our
7	cars that were stopped at stop lights on Lincoln.
8	So as it is, there is just too much liquor flowing
9	on our streets as it is.
10	This property, as you know, is on the
11	border between Aldermanic District 14 of Mr.
12	Zielinski, Alderman Zielinski, and Alderman
13	Witkowiak's district. But Tony, I must tell you,
14	you're running for state public office, and you
15	didn't have
16	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Barbara, I would ask that
17	we not make additional
18	MS. NELSON: I must say that I personally
19	was disappointed that Alderman Witkowiak was not

20	advised prior to the last hearing about this. It
21	flew under the radar and it was disappointing to
22	me. I request that you please deny this liquor
23	license based on existing oversaturation of liquor
24	licenses in our district already.
25	ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI: Mr. Chair.

1	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Thank you. One moment
2	Alderman Zielinski. I will let you address that.
3	We do have a concentration map that has
4	been provided that is centered based on the
5	location of 551 West Becher Street. It would not
6	have been part of this. Copy has been provided to
7	the attorney for Mr. Dhillon. At this time here,
8	we'll have Alderman Kovac move to make this
9	concentration map, dated December 1, 2009, based on
10	the location of 551 West Becher Street, part of our
11	official record in this proceeding. Are there any
12	objections to that? Hearing none, so ordered.
13	Alderman Zielinski.
14	ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI: Ms. Nelson, how many
15	blocks do you live away from this location?
16	MS. NELSON: I would say about four,
17	four-and-a-half.
18	ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI: Four-and-a-half?
19	MS NELSON: I live on 10th and Lincoln

20	ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI: You're on
21	MS. NELSON: Two blocks to Becher and
22	then two blocks over on North.
23	MR. DHILLON: That's more than
24	ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI: Well, I'm very
25	familiar

1	MS. NELSON: I'm the neighborhood
2	advocate.
3	ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI: Well, I mean the
4	question is, how many blocks this is 5th and
5	Becher, you're on 10th and Lincoln. How many
6	blocks would you say that is?
7	MS. NELSON: Isn't that about five, six
8	blocks away?
9	ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI: Okay. In the
10	closing arguments, I'll add it up. Thank you.
11	That's all.
12	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Are there questions by
13	committee?
14	MS. NELSON: Alderman Bohl, Chairman
15	Bohl.
16	CHAIRMAN BOHL: One moment here. If I
17	just may say to the audience, I have a reputation
18	of not running a circus for a committee. And if
19	individuals want to treat it like a circus, then

20	I'll ask you to leave the room, stay out, okay?
21	This is not an opportunity for applauding, for
22	laughing, for providing additional commentary. If
23	you want to do that, go to the movies.
24	MS. NELSON: May I request this be
25	submitted, Chairman Bohl?

1	CHAIRMAN BOHL: What is it?
2	MS. NELSON: This is my substantiation to
3	the argument that we have enough liquor flowing in
4	our neighborhood as it is, um, a picture
5	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Photos?
6	MS. NELSON: This is one photo,
7	repetitive one photo, of a drunk hitting my
8	building. And my building was one of five on the
9	street that got hit.
10	CHAIRMAN BOHL: And when did this occur?
11	MS. NELSON: This was a couple years ago.
12	I don't know if I have a date on it, 2008.
13	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Is there a desire by
14	committee to put this into the record?
15	ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI: I don't understand
16	the correlation between a car that smashed into a
17	building and there being too many liquor outlets in
18	the area.
19	MS. NELSON: It just refers to this

20	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Well, that's why I
21	before I even wanted, I wanted to see if there was
22	a
23	MS. NELSON: That's okay.
24	CHAIRMAN BOHL: desire to do that.
25	Were there any additional questions for Ms. Nelson

I	from the committee? Mr. Knoeller, were there
2	questions that you have relating to her testimony?
3	MR. KNOELLER: Simply, you indicated that
4	you had cars hitting on Lincoln Avenue, isn't that
5	correct?
6	CHAIRMAN BOHL: We didn't take it into
7	the record, so that's you're asking questions of
8	an item that isn't in the record, so.
9	MR. KNOELLER: Well, she testified that
10	it was on Lincoln, and Lincoln Avenue.
11	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Okay.
12	MR. KNOELLER: For what it's worth, these
13	were on Lincoln Avenue. Where on Lincoln Avenue,
14	ma'am?
15	MS. NELSON: 10th and Lincoln.
16	MR. KNOELLER: 10th and Lincoln. Okay.
17	And do you know where Mr. Dhillon's store is?
18	MS. NELSON: I have been there many
19	times.

20	MR. KNOELLER: That's approximately 13
21	blocks away from the business.
22	MS. NELSON: I didn't think it was that
23	far.
24	MR. KNOELLER: Well, 10th is six and
25	three blocks north of that. So I'm so, nine

1	blocks.
2	MS. NELSON: Okay, I'll take your word
3	for it. I don't have a map in front of me.
4	ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI: Mr. Chairman.
5	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Let's just say it's about
6	a handful plus or minus, okay? I don't if
7	anybody else has to do the math and start doing the
8	seven, five, four, six, whoopee, whoopee ding, it's
9	about a handful, okay. Well just cut to the chase
10	of that. Any other questions?
11	MR. KNOELLER: No further questions.
12	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Thank you for your
13	testimony. Next witness.
14	MR. WHITE: Neil White, W-H-I-T-E.
15	Lincoln Village Business Association, 1133 West
16	Lincoln Avenue. It was called to my attention
17	after the initial license meeting from neighbors
18	along Becher Street in Witkowiak's district that
19	there was a large uproar about this. They had

20	contacted me because I had dealt with similar
21	issues with taverns along Becher Street and the
22	park. I contacted Mr. Witkowiak's office after
23	that to learn the neighbors in his district were
24	not too pleased with this and which leads us to
25	where we are today.

1	Our organization has taken the stand
2	since we began that we will not be in support of
3	liquor licenses in the area unless it's tied to an
4	identity facility. We hold true to that to this
5	point.
6	I would like to submit the listing of the
7	1995 Common Council who denied this exact same
8	location a license due to overconcentration. For
9	the record, if it's not already, I don't know if
10	it's even on the record yet. If it's not part of
11	the record, I'd like to submit that. And I'd also
12	like to point out, in reference to the
13	concentration map, that there is 12 liquor outlets
14	within three blocks. There is not a three, five or
15	handful of blocks; there is 12 liquor outlets in a
16	three-block radius of this location. And many of
17	the neighbors expressed to our office that they
18	don't feel that will improve the quality of life by
19	adding a 13th.

20	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Thank you for your
21	brevity, Mr. White.
22	MR. KNOELLER: I haven't seen that.
23	MR. WHITE: I, unfortunately, did not
24	bring copies of that.
25	ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI: Mr. Chair.

1	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Questions by committee
2	first? Alderman Zielinski.
3	ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI: Given that you're
4	the director of the Business Association on Lincoln
5	Avenue and there is a concern about the liquor
6	license establishment, do you ever, in the context
7	of your position, go online and look at the various
8	meetings, like proposal meetings, licensing
9	meetings to see if anything comes to your
10	attention?
11	MR. WHITE: Thank you for pointing that
12	out, Tony. This project is outside of our
13	district. But I was alerted through other people
14	that we extended our services to after the initial
15	liquor license meeting.
16	ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI: Just look at here,
17	it's just the 12th District.
18	MR. WHITE: No, the Lincoln Village Main
19	Street District

20	ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI: Okay. Thank you.
21	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Other questions by
22	committee? All right. Thank you, Mr. White, for
23	your testimony. Are there any questions?
24	MR. KNOELLER: Yes, this other
25	document

1	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Mr. Knoeller, what I will
2	say to you is, do not refer to that document until
3	such time unless you have an objection for us
4	taking it into consideration. Otherwise, you are
5	making reference to a document that is not part of
6	the record. If it's not part of the record, there
7	is no point in your raising question to it.
8	MR. KNOELLER: Okay. Thank you.
9	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Do you have an objection
10	here? Are you going to raise any objection to
11	MR. KNOELLER: My only objection would be
12	that it applies to a different address.
13	MR. WHITE: It is for the 551 Becher.
14	MR. DHILLON: No, it's not the same.
15	MR. KNOELLER: No, it's not. It says
16	it's 575. Okay. This applies to the same this
17	applies to 575 West Becher at a Quick Mart.
18	MR. WHITE: Which is part of the same
19	block.

20	MR. KNOELLER: It's part of the same
21	area; not the same block. That's my only
22	objection.
23	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Well, I haven't seen it
24	because you took if from me to provide you an
25	opportunity. So why don't we

1	MR. KNOELLER: Okay, I'm sorry.
2	CHAIRMAN BOHL: No, that's your right to
3	do that. You want to make sure that you have a
4	right to take a look at that.
5	Members, this is a, this was a notice
6	that was sent out. It's dated June of 1995, so it
7	is rather aged, but it is a notice. It appears
8	that Mr. Dhillon applied for a liquor license, a
9	Class "A" Malt License here, somewhere in the same
10	block face of where he is applying now. And it was
11	the recommendation of the Common Council in June of
12	1995 to deny that application based on the
13	concentration of alcohol beverage outlets in the
14	area.
15	For what it's worth, is there any desire
16	of the committee to consider this into the record?
17	ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI: I have a question,
18	Mr. Chairman, of the City Attorney.
19	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Alderman Zielinski.

20	ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI: Given the fact that
21	it's a licenses denied due to concentration and
22	there is an inability to reapply for a three-year
23	time period, is there any legal basis for this
24	committee to consider something from 1995 for a
25	future position given the changes and other

1	factors?
2	MR. SCHRIMPF: Sure, I think you can
3	consider a great variety of information.
4	Particularly, if the area first of all, if it's
5	within the one-square mile, and from what I'm
6	hearing, it probably is. And then the other thing
7	is, if there has been no real change in
8	concentration, even though you normally consider
9	only three years, you can certainly consider
10	something as part of the overall impact on the
11	neighborhood, the number of outlets you already
12	have in the neighborhood as part of it. It's well
13	within your discretion.
14	ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI: Well, Mr. Chairman
15	given the fact that the City Attorney has indicated
16	that this is something that we can take into
17	consideration, I have no problem in terms of making
18	the motion to accept that for our subjective uses.
19	CHAIRMAN BOHL: All right, thank you.

20	Motion by Alderman Zielinski is to provide the two
21	pages provided by Mr. White as part of our official
22	record in this proceeding. Are there any
23	objections? Hearing none, so ordered. And Mr.
24	Knoeller, your objection, based on it not being the
25	same location, will be duly noted for the record

1	here.
2	Are there, were there any other questions
3	committee members have of Mr. White? Mr. Knoeller,
4	did you other than that objection based on the
5	document here, did you have any other questions for
6	Mr. White?
7	MR. KNOELLER: No, I didn't.
8	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Thank you. Next witness,
9	please.
10	MS. LACKEY: My name is Jill Florence
11	Lackey, L-A-C-K-E-Y. I am a resident of Lincoln
12	Village at 705 West Lincoln. I'm also the director
13	of Urban Anthropology, Inc., which is the resident
14	association for Lincoln Village Neighborhood.
15	Now, I certainly agree with the
16	information, the testimony that Barb Nelson has
17	given. I certainly agree with the testimony Neil
18	White has given. Ordinarily, what happens in the
19	Lincoln Village Neighborhood is we are asked to

20	hold a neighborhood association meeting when there
21	is a liquor license that is being renewed or is
22	being applied for. And we very much feel very
23	slighted in the fact that we were not asked to hold
24	this particular meeting that normally occurs in the
25	Lincoln Village Neighborhood. And this proposed

1	liquor store is within the Lincoln Village
2	Neighborhood.
3	Now, we have spent the last week on
4	calling our residents, all of whom work days, and
5	we have not had one person that says they're in
6	favor of this at this time.
7	MR. KNOELLER: Object, that's hearsay.
8	CHAIRMAN BOHL: The last portion of your
9	testimony will be stricken. One individual can
10	only testify to their own opposition.
11	Furthermore
12	MS. LACKEY: There are some residents
13	that I have
14	CHAIRMAN BOHL: I understand, and their
15	testimony will speak for itself.
16	MS. LACKEY: Thank you.
17	CHAIRMAN BOHL: The other thing that I
18	will say, and I want to go on the record and say
19	this, again, as well, too, for those of you who may

20	have the opportunity to watch this committee, we
21	start at 8:00 a.m. and we typically will run
22	through to about 8:00 p.m. It's not uncommon for
23	us to go later. We had one evening where we went
24	to 11:00 p.m. For anyone who thinks that this
25	committee just has the ability to and boy, it

1	would be a lifesaver for us to have evening
2	meetings; try starting an evening meeting. We
3	start our meetings at 8:00 a.m. Typically, by the
4	time we get to 10:00 a.m., we are already two hours
5	behind. Get to the point where somebody needs to
6	work at 5:00 or 6:00 a.m. the next morning, and say
7	that what we would have to do is literally, you
8	take that 10- or 12- or 14-hour span, we would have
9	to meet seven days a week to fit items between 6:00
10	and 9:00, and if we were running to 10:00 or 11:00
11	people would say, "I've got to get up in the
12	morning. I can't stay. I can't provide testimony
13	for this item at 8:30."
14	There is no perfect ability in the world
15	for this committee to actually fit things as
16	convenient as we would like for individuals. It
17	does become an inconvenience for individuals to
18	provide testimony, but if something is that
19	important to you, you will find the time, or

20	individuals if there is enough opposition one
21	way or another, we will get all of the opposition
22	to individuals who have licenses. And that said,
23	period.
24	I will just say that anybody that thinks
25	it is so convenient in the evening, it would be so

1	darn inconvenient for individuals because we would
2	have times and occasions where the testimony would
3	be dragging on to 2:00 or 3:00 in the morning.
4	That's a reality. That is my commentary, not
5	particularly to you necessarily, but in general,
6	because I get tired of hearing that. Because
7	people think it makes so sense and it's so simple;
8	it doesn't.
9	Questions for our witness here? Alderman
10	Zielinski.
11	ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI: Ms. Lackey, were you
12	aware that there was an effort made to have a
13	meeting take place some time within the last week
14	or two?
15	MS. LACKEY: I was not made aware of
16	that, no.
17	ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI: Okay, then I'll make
18	a reference to that later in the evening to
19	corroborate that, to show there was an effort made.

20	I just wanted to find out
21	MS. LACKEY: Our office was never
22	contacted.
23	ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI: Okay, we'll get more
24	detail as time goes on. Okay. You're on 7th and
25	Lincoln, and this is 5th and Becher, right?

1	MS. LACKEY: Yes, that's two-and-a-half
2	blocks from my residence. It's the next street
3	north is Grant, the next street is Becher.
4	ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI: That's two and
5	there's 5th and you're 7th, so
6	MS. LACKEY: Well,
7	ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI: So four blocks.
8	MS. LACKEY: Yeah.
9	ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI: Okay. The reason
10	why, Mr. Chair, if you would just bear with me, my
11	entire history of basing my decisions on licensing
12	is on issues like this. If I have someone living
13	nine, ten blocks away, you know, testifying, and
14	I've got people living a block away, two blocks
15	away in that area, that's something that's a factor
16	amongst other factors that, you know, should be
17	taking into consideration. Thank you very much.
18	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Thank you. Before we
19	hear from you, I will just list a suggestion to

20	those who are in opposition at this point, and that
21	is my if I have been cited for neighborhood
22	objections and there are specific reasons that are
23	listed for neighborhood objections, if you have a
24	history of understanding how this committee works
25	and its ability to take in, you have two minutes.

1	As much as people want to be upset over the fact
2	that you were not adequately noticed at first, the
3	fact is that we did a redo for that opportunity.
4	You're here, go ahead and waste your testimony on
5	venting, "I'm upset that I wasn't noticed." That's
6	not in the notice, and that's not going to be a
7	reason for us objecting to the license. Speak to
8	those issues please, or unfortunately, you will not
9	adequately address the needed means by which this
10	committee can deny a license.
11	I'm just giving you the I'm giving you
12	100 percent sound advice in terms of dealing with a
13	license, okay. My issue would be please focus on
14	reasons for opposition and let's not rehash. You
15	got a redo, and literally, the reason was going to
16	keep us here much later tonight, which I'm happy to
17	do, but please, focus your testimony on your
18	reasons for opposition, not on, "We're upset we
19	weren't noticed at first," okay?

20	Next witness, please.
21	MS. MUELLER: My name is Rebecca Mueller,
22	M-U-E-L-L-E-R. I live at 2319 7th Street South,
23	which should be about the same distance away as
24	Jill from the establishment.
25	I'm here because I'm a new resident of

1	the area, but I've become involved with the Urban
2	Anthropology Resident Association. I heard about
3	this hearing, and I was able to attend. Mainly
4	just attending because of the concentration. After
5	I looked at the charts and everything else, it
6	seems like granting more licenses would be kind of
7	moving a step backwards. And I'm a new resident, I
8	want to see the neighborhood go up and up. I plan
9	on being around for awhile. So that's my
10	testimony.
11	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Thank you. Thank you for
12	your testimony. Are there questions by committee
13	of this witness? Mr. Knoeller, questions?
14	MR. KNOELLER: Yes. All the people
15	objecting here today, are here today, are members
16	of the association, isn't that correct? Is it
17	Lincoln Square Association, is that the
18	MS. MUELLER: It's Urban Anthropology,
19	which is a nonprofit in the area, and it's the

20	resident association of the neighborhood.
21	MR. KNOELLER: Okay, and all these people
22	who are here today are members of that, are they
23	not?
24	CHAIRMAN BOHL: I don't know that she
25	knows that, so.

1	MR. KNOELLER: But are these people
2	members of your association, what are here today?
3	MS. MUELLER: It's not my association,
4	but I'm a resident. Therefore, I can be associated
5	with the resident association.
6	MR. KNOELLER: Okay, so you're associated
7	with that, is that correct?
8	MS. MUELLER: Mm mm.
9	MR. KNOELLER: Yes?
10	MS. MUELLER: Yes.
11	MR. KNOELLER: Okay. No more questions.
12	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Thank you. Thank you for
13	your testimony. Our next witness.
14	MR. JASZEWSKI: My name is Chris
15	Jaszewski, J-A-S-Z-E-W-S-K-I. I live at 2319 South
16	7th Street.
17	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Thank you, please
18	proceed.
19	MR. JASZEWSKI: I live with my mother who

20	is 83 years old, who is a longstanding resident of
21	the neighborhood. I remember when 6th and Becher
22	used to be Victor's Meat Market. I remember
23	running in the park, Kosciuszco Park, when I was in
24	high school, Bosco High School.
25	The neighborhood has gone downhill.

1	There's too much alcohol. In 1995, there was a
2	killing right across the bar on 8th and Becher. I
3	was there as a witness, not at the time, but at the
4	prayer vigil for the young man who died there.
5	Across the street to the north of this
6	place that now exists there, laundromat, British
7	Petroleum gas station, is a large field that's the
8	garden space for Hmong people who have been there
9	for several years.
10	The saturation of alcohol in the
11	neighborhood around here is giving the image that
12	everybody that lives in the neighborhood is a lush
13	and needs the only thing possible: More liquor. I
14	don't think this is appropriate for the
1415	don't think this is appropriate for the neighborhood. I think we need maybe restaurants
15	neighborhood. I think we need maybe restaurants

I don't see that the new people that come

20	into the neighborhood need to look at the
21	neighborhood as a downtrodden alcohol-breathing
22	neighborhood. And I'm sorry that Mr. Dhillon's
23	group has not thought about other people and other
24	ways of making money. That's my testimony.
25	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Thank you. Questions by

1	committee? Mr. Knoeller, questions?
2	MR. KNOELLER: Yes. Do you know the
3	address of that park that you referred to?
4	MR. JASZEWSKI: Kosciuszco Park. It's
5	the entire neighborhood.
6	MR. KNOELLER: Kosciuszco Park, is that
7	
8	MR. JASZEWSKI: Kosciuszco Park.
9	MR. KNOELLER: Kosciuszco Park. Where is
10	Kosciuszco Park located?
11	MR. JASZEWSKI: Lincoln Avenue.
12	MR. KNOELLER: Where on Lincoln?
13	MR. JASZEWSKI: Becher Street.
14	MR. KNOELLER: Where on Lincoln Avenue
15	MR. JASZEWSKI: 10th Street to about 5th
16	ah, 7th Street. It is a large park, a large
17	part of the neighborhood.
18	MR. KNOELLER: And what part is this
19	Hmong part that you referred to?

20	MR. JASZEWSKI: The Hmong garden is to
21	the north of your buildings on 5th Street.
22	MR. KNOELLER: That's over a block to the
23	north?
24	MR. JASZEWSKI: That is correct.
25	MR. KNOELLER: And how large is the Hmong

1	garden?
2	MR. JASZEWSKI: At least a block long, a
3	block-and-a-half long. It provides a lot of
4	vegetables for a lot of people.
5	MR. KNOELLER: This is not adjacent to
6	Mr. Dhillon's proposed establishment, is it?
7	MR. JASZEWSKI: It's across the street to
8	the north. It's not adjacent.
9	MR. KNOELLER: How far is it?
10	MR. JASZEWSKI: It's about a block away.
11	The point is, there are other people who live in
12	the neighborhood other than people who need
13	alcohol. You need to start thinking about other
14	ways of making money than alcohol. People are
15	dying across the globe because of alcohol. This is
16	not the way to prevent a culture
17	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Sir, sir, I think we've
18	granted you your two minutes, please. Questions,
19	additional questions?

20	MR. KNOELLER: No additional questions.
21	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Thank you. Thank you for
22	your testimony and time here. Is there anyone here
23	who is in opposition to the license that has not
24	provided testimony yet? Please come forward.
25	MS. WILBRANDT: My name is Lucy

1	Wilbrandt, W-I-L-B-R-A-N-D-T. I live at 1971 South
2	5th Place, a block away. And I just think we have
3	too much liquor stores already. It's making our
4	neighborhood worse. That's it.
5	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Thank you. Thank you for
6	your testimony. Any questions by committee? Mr.
7	Knoeller, questions?
8	MR. KNOELLER: No.
9	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Thank you. Thank you
10	ma'am, for your testimony here today. If we could,
11	if I could ask this of was there anyone else who
12	is here in opposition? If I could ask those of you
13	who are here in opposition, if you could relinquish
14	your seats here in the front row and find seats in
15	the gallery if you do wish to stay? I appreciate
16	that, thank you for coming down with your
17	testimony.
18	For those of you who are in support of

the license, we will go through the same protocol.

20	What I will ask individuals to do is, if you could
21	start coming forward and take these seats in the
22	front row, that way we can continue in an orderly
23	procession. Otherwise, in the past, what we will
24	do is, we'll have one person who provides
25	testimony, and then we'll get them to walk down and

1	somebody comes from the back row, it takes
2	considerable time. That is, if we could, just
3	continue in an orderly procession when someone does
4	provide their testimony.
5	The same protocol will apply. I would
6	ask that you come forward and provide your name and
7	address for the record. If you physically have
8	difficulty at the standing microphone, you may
9	please take a seat at one of the available chairs
10	that we have before us.
11	The same will apply in terms of two
12	minutes of testimony. If it gets to the point
13	where your testimony is substantially redundant, if
14	it does if it's repetitive to those individuals
15	who have spoken before you, I would ask that you
16	provide your name and address, say, "I support the
17	license and agree with the testimony that's already
18	been provided."
19	Sir, you're first here. We'll take your

20	testimony.
21	MR. PATTERSON: Thank you. My name is
22	Rachae Patterson, R-A-C-H-A-E, Patterson,
23	P-A-T-T-E-R-S-O-N. I live at 2038 South 6th
24	Street. I've been a resident for four years, and
25	I'm for it. Because it's very convenient, you

know, there is a lot more people in that area alone 1 2 that's on foot. 3 You know, I want to be somewhere where I 4 can be respected as a customer, a paying customer, than being somewhere else to be disrespected. 5 6 Where I have to go, I have to go way to Mitchell Street because there is difficulty and because of 7 8 the altercations I have with La Luna's. You know 9 what I'm saying, being called the "N" word, being disrespected in front of my wife. 10 Now, if I have to go somewhere else and 11 spend my own money because it's disrespectful, 12 disrespect me, I think somewhere closer to where I 13 live at, or with instead of having to go out of my 14 way. You know, and there is a lot more people 15

from Burnham or coming from Rogers or coming from
Mitchell. So I go out of my way, you know what I'm

that's people that's coming from Lincoln or coming

that's on foot in that area alone than it is,

16

17

18

20	saying, to me, you still have to walk.
21	You know, I understand about the alcohol
22	and those who oppose it, you know what I'm saying.
23	I live in the neighborhood. You know, I don't see
24	too much of every year on, on Maple you got a
25	shooting, you got killing on Maple, you know. Tell

1	me something about Becher. Tell me something about
2	6th and Becher, 5th and Becher. I have been over
3	there for four years.
4	ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI: Mr. Chair.
5	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Thank you for your
6	testimony. Alderman Zielinski.
7	ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI: How many blocks away
8	do you live from this establishment?
9	MR. PATTERSON: I live not even a block.
10	ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI: Okay, less than a
11	block?
12	MR. PATTERSON: Yes.
13	ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI: Thank you.
14	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Other questions by the
15	committee? Sir, just and for others'
16	edification, if you could just retain at stay at
17	the stand until we just ensure there are no other
18	questions by committee. I think we were done with
19	you, but I just want to any other questions by

20	committee? Thank you. Mr. Knoeller, would
21	MR. KNOELLER: No questions.
22	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Thank you for your
23	testimony.
24	MS. BENNETT: My name is Cassandra
25	Bennett, B-E-N-N-E-T-T. I live at 2226 South 6th

1	Street, not even a block-and-a-nail away from the
2	establishment.
3	First of all, I'd like to say that I am
4	in the favor of the license. Second of all, Mr.
5	Dhillon isn't running a bar, he is just running an
6	establishment where people are drinking there.
7	There are other people from outside of the area
8	that will be buying liquor from this establishment.
9	I mean, that's all I have to really say
10	is, I'm in agreement with it. It's not the only
11	liquor place there. It's not a bar where we have
12	problems such as, you know, drunk people, or
13	anything like that.
14	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Thank you for your
15	testimony. Are there questions that committee
16	members have? Any questions, Mr. Knoeller?
17	MR. KNOELLER: No questions, thank you.
18	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Thank you for your
19	testimony. And if you could, when individuals do

20	provide their testimony, if you could actually find
21	a seat elsewhere. What we want to do is just
22	ensure that there are seats available and we can
23	proceed in an orderly fashion.
24	Good afternoon.
25	MR. DONAVON: Good afternoon. My name is

1	Chad Donavon. Talso reside at 2226 South 6th
2	Street, about a block-and-a-half away from Mr.
3	Dhillon's establishment.
4	I agree with Mr. Patterson that it would
5	be a lot more convenient because I also have to go
6	to 9th and Mitchell because when I go to La Luna, I
7	got shook down, like I was stealing. I mean, not
8	only when I go to Mr. Dhillon's establishment do I
9	not feel like a customer, but I feel like I'm part
10	of his family. He comes, he says, "Hello," shakes
11	my hand, asks me how my girlfriend is. That's how
12	my family is. And that, I appreciate, I appreciate
13	a lot.
14	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Thank you for your
15	testimony. One moment, sir. Questions by
16	committee of this gentleman?
17	ALDERMAN HAMILTON: Mr. Chair.
18	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Alderman Hamilton.
19	ALDERMAN HAMILTON: Are you 21?

20	MR. DONAVON: Yes, sir.
21	ALDERMAN HAMILTON: All right. Just
22	checking.
23	CHAIRMAN BOHL: He was going to ask in
24	dog years here, or
25	MR. DONAVON: No, sir. I'm 22.

1	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Thank you for your
2	testimony, sir. Were there any other questions
3	that committee members had?
4	Sir.
5	MR. TISLEY: Hi, my name is John Tisley,
6	T-I-S-L-E-Y. I basically, been staying around the
7	area for around, like, six months. You know, I
8	stay exactly five houses away from where this is
9	supposed to
10	CHAIRMAN BOHL: And exactly that address
11	Mr. Tisley, would be?
12	MR. TISLEY: I'm sorry. 2052 South 5th
13	Place.
14	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Thank you.
15	MR. TISLEY: Yeah, but, you know, at the
16	same time, Mr. and Mrs. Dhillon really respect the
17	people who are supportive to the neighborhood, you
18	know. At the same time, like Patterson said, you
19	know, they do show gratitude to the customers. You

20	know, they don't disrespect anybody. I also agree
21	with the application. That's my testimony.
22	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Thank you. Thank you for
23	your testimony. Questions by committee? Mr.
24	Knoeller, any questions?
25	MR. KNOELLER: No, thank you.

1	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Thank you, sir.
2	MR. FLORES: Hi, my name is Juan Flores.
3	I stay at 2172 South 5th Place. That would be
4	exactly one block away. I have been staying there
5	for 12 years, and I haven't had no problem with
6	Dhillon and them. They've been like family, you
7	know, they say, "Hi," they welcome me, whatever.
8	Anybody that's been here objecting this,
9	that's nobody's business, in a block, two blocks
10	away from this place. They are actually not
11	against that, they're against the liquor. So I
12	don't think this liquor is messing up our
13	community; it's the drugs. And I can tell you that
14	from personal experience. So I agree with
15	everything.
16	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Thank you for your
17	testimony. Questions by committee? No questions
18	Thank you.
19	Next, and if others who intend to provide

20	testimony could start to filter into some of the
21	empty chairs here in the front row, we appreciate
22	it.
23	Good afternoon, ma'am. Your name and
24	address first.
25	MS. DOYLE: Hello, my name is Sha-Paris

1	Doyle. Do you want me to spell it out?
2	CHAIRMAN BOHL: No, but you actually need
3	to get closer to the microphone here. I'm sorry,
4	you do need to spell it out, please.
5	MS. DOYLE: My first name?
6	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Yes.
7	MS. DOYLE: S-H-A, hyphen, capital
8	P-A-R-I-S, last name Doyle, D-O-Y-L-E, and my
9	address is 2141 South 5th Place.
10	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Thank you.
11	MS. DOYLE: I am in favor of the liquor
12	store they are trying to put up. I have no
13	objections. They are very good people. So I agree
14	with everybody out here. And it's not all about
15	the liquor and people trying I'm sorry. Yeah, I
16	just agree with them. He made me laugh. I'm
17	sorry.
18	CHAIRMAN BOHL: We may have a question
19	for you.

20	ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI: Mr. Chair.
21	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Alderman Zielinski.
22	ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI: Okay. How far away
23	are you from this establishment?
24	MS. DOYLE: Like two houses down.
25	ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI: Two houses away?

1	MS. DOYLE: Yes.
2	ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI: Okay. Thank you.
3	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Other questions by
4	committee? Thank you. Thank you for your
5	testimony, and thank you for your nice smile as
6	well.
7	MS. DOYLE: Thank you.
8	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Thank you. Next witness.
9	MS. SEBEDRA: Hi, my name is Jeanette
10	Sabedra, S-A-B-E-D-R-A. I'm in favor of the liquor
11	store. Everything that the Dhillons have tried to
12	do in our community has been to help it. It's not
13	so much the alcohol in our neighborhood, it's what
14	the landlords are letting move in that causes the
15	problem. Otherwise, everyone else seems about dead
16	on with how Mr. and Mrs. Dhillon are. They're
17	nice, they treat you like family, and if they can
18	help you out, they'll help you out.
19	So I think that it wouldn't be a burden

20	on the neighborhood. If anything, it would add a
21	couple more jobs for people that live around us.
22	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Thank you, Ms. Sabedra.
23	I do have a question for you, and that is, your
24	address?
25	MS. SEBEDRA: I'm 2210 South 5th Place.

1	It's about a block and three houses away.
2	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Thank you. Are there
3	other questions by committee for this witness?
4	Okay, thank you for your testimony.
5	Good afternoon.
6	MS. RODRIGUEZ: Good afternoon. My name
7	is Reina Rodriguez. I live right across the street
8	at 2115 South 6th Street, and I've lived there
9	almost 15 years. I've had no trouble. I've seen
10	the family, Dhillon's family, grow up, and they are
11	very careful with who they serve and who they
12	communicate with. And if I see anything that I
13	don't like, I go to them and I tell them how I
14	feel, and they get to it and try to fix whatever it
15	is.
16	ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI: Mr. Chair.
17	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Questions? Alderman
18	Zielinski.
19	ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI: I know I have been

20	to your house many times. I've met your family, I
21	know your whole family. I know you've owned your
22	home, lived in your home how many years?
23	MS. RODRIGUEZ: 13, 14 years.
24	ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI: 13 or 14 years. So
25	you have lived across the street for 13, 14 years,

1	owned the nome?
2	MS. RODRIGUEZ: Right.
3	ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI: You live right
4	across the street?
5	MS. RODRIGUEZ: Right.
6	ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI: Say hi to your
7	family.
8	MS. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you.
9	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Other questions by
10	committee? Thank you for your testimony.
11	Mr. Knoeller, I'm not necessarily going
12	to call on you. If you do have any questions of
13	any of the witnesses, please just interrupt.
14	MR. KNOELLER: Right. That's a good way
15	Thanks.
16	MR. SIDHU: Agya, A-G-Y-A, Sidhu,
17	S-I-D-H-U.
18	CHAIRMAN BOHL: S-I-D-H-U, Sidhu?
19	MR. SIDHU: Yes.

20	CHAIRMAN BOHL: If you could actually
21	speak up just a little bit, please too, sir? Go
22	ahead.
23	MR. SIDHU: 4570 South 116th Street,
24	Greenfield. Mr. Dhillon has been for 20 years. I
25	think this liquor store is going to be a very good

1	liquor store in the neighborhood. He has the
2	nicest building. There's not a building in the
3	neighborhood even better than this one. But he
4	will provide a lot of pride. That would be a very
5	good, another liquor store and be the competition.
6	This would be very good competition for that.
7	Thank you.
8	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Thank you. Questions by
9	committee? Alderman Zielinski.
10	ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI: No, I'm not even
11	going to try to calculate how many blocks away.
12	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Follow-up question that I
13	have for the applicants here. What is currently
14	it's currently a vacant parcel here as part of the
15	
16	MR. KNOELLER: No.
17	CHAIRMAN BOHL: building that they
18	operate?
19	MR. KNOELLER: It was a building which

20	was put up, and originally there was a laundromat
21	which was to go in there. And the laundromat, I
22	guess, there was some request that perhaps that the
23	laundromat be, or that the building be half
24	laundromat and half sale of liquor.
25	And this is why we were originally I

1	wasn't here, but this is why originally this matter
2	came before the committee on December 8th. And I
3	think with this witness, I can determine his how
4	he knows Mr. Dhillon, exactly what he does, and to
5	know him, not because he lives and is a friend a
6	long time.
7	CHAIRMAN BOHL: My other follow-up
8	question has to do with the application here. I
9	mean, is it the intent, based on the request here,
10	to operate this location exclusively as a
11	full-fledged liquor store?
12	MR. KNOELLER: Yes, half no, not
13	full-fledged. Full-fledged liquor store in one
14	part and a laundromat is on the other half of the
15	building.
16	CHAIRMAN BOHL: I don't know I think
17	that there is a prohibition, is there not, Mr.
18	Schrimpf? With co-mingling alcohol with other
10	types of retail?

20	MR. SCHRIMPF: Yes, that is correct.
21	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Do you want to
22	MR. KNOELLER: We'll make the whole
23	matter, he says, can easily be a liquor store.
24	CHAIRMAN BOHL: I mean, as much, as much
25	as sometimes people, in that extensively long rinse

cycle, they want to --1 MR. KNOELLER: But if this is the 2 3 situation, what has happened here is that the laundromat machines -- I was in there myself, the 4 5 laundromat machines were almost all out, and it would be -- and he then went to a lot of expense to 6 get laundromat machines and everything like that. 7 But what has happened is, with the laundromat 8 9 machines being removed, it's very simple to remove 10 them, they can just be carted out or sold, or 11 something like that. 12 CHAIRMAN BOHL: Mr. Knoeller, my reason for knowing that is that we've had applicants in 13 the past, for example, we've had a couple of 14 15 establishments that have been like art studios that 16 have sought to have like wine, to have alcohol 17 licenses to have wine tastings there, and they could not by state law co-mingle until there was a 18

proposed change to co-mingle the sale of alcohol in

20	an establishment that provided other commercial
21	trade?
22	And that maybe Mr. Schrimpf, because I
23	don't know where that falls in terms of the
24	statutory ordinances, you can just
25	MR. SCHRIMPF: It only applies, Mr.

1	Chair, to the Class "Bs."
2	CHAIRMAN BOHL: It does only apply to
3	Class "B"?
4	MR. SCHRIMPF: Not Class "A."
5	CHAIRMAN BOHL: So the Class "A" could
6	could a Class "A" co-mingle with the first
7	laundromat?
8	MR. SCHRIMPF: Somewhat incredibly,
9	somewhat incredibly, Mr. Chairman. But I think
10	that is the case. It is found
11	CHAIRMAN BOHL: So that would apply only
12	to a Class "B" license. So as long as someone had
13	a Class "A" and did not allow for the consumption
14	of the alcohol that they may purchase while waiting
15	for the rinse cycle, they could purchase their
16	products and take it along.
17	MR. SCHRIMPF: And the statutory
18	reference, Mr. Chairman, is 125.3023(m) of the
19	statutes, and it only applies to Class "Bs."

20	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Okay. So they would be
21	allowed then technically. Do you have a question?
22	MR. KNOELLER: My only question was, how
23	do you know Mr. Dhillon, sir? Or how long have you
24	known him?
25	MR. SIDHU: Over 20 years.

1	MR. KNOELLER: Over 20 years. And what
2	business do you have?
3	MR. SIDHU: I have two liquor stores in
4	the City of Greenfield.
5	MR. KNOELLER: The City of Greenfield.
6	And you know what it is to run a proper store and a
7	correct store, isn't that correct?
8	MR. SIDHU: Yes, this would be a very
9	good store. It's very nice, very beautiful, very
10	nobody has in the neighborhood, even within
11	probably two miles, a store look like that.
12	MR. KNOELLER: Okay. My other question
13	is, have you ever had an experience with Mr.
14	Dhillon being an employee or working at a liquor
15	store?
16	MR. SIDHU: Yes, I do.
17	MR. KNOELLER: How long is that?
18	MR. SIDHU: Five years.
19	MR. KNOELLER: Okay. Thank you. I have

20	no other questions.
21	CHAIRMAN BOHL: By that, did you mean
22	that Mr. Dhillon worked for you?
23	MR. SIDHU: No, he has his own liquor
24	store.
25	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Okay. Mr. Dhillon, where

1	has that been?
2	MR. KNOELLER: It was there are a
3	number, if I may interject here, there was one in
4	Greenfield, there was one in Brown Deer, and there
5	was one in Muskego.
6	ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI: Mr. Chair.
7	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Thank you. Alderman
8	Zielinski.
9	ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI: I would just like to
10	know if there has ever been any disciplinary action
11	against your license in any one of those locations?
12	MR. DHILLON: No, none.
13	ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI: Thank you.
14	CHAIRMAN BOHL: All right. Thank you.
15	Thank you for your testimony.
16	Next witness, please. And if others who
17	intend to provide additional testimony could come
18	forward here in the front row seat.
19	Sir, your name and address, please.

20	MR. WILLIAMS: My name is Reginald S.
21	Williams. I reside at 3450 North 42nd Street,
22	which is the north side. I previously moved from
23	the south side. I stayed at 2670 South 8th Street,
24	which is right off of Cleveland.
25	I've been knowing Dhillon for a very long

1	time. He helped me beyond help and he helped. And
2	him and his wife, all they ever done was been nice
3	to me. And there have been times where I didn't
4	even have gas money to go to work, and Dhillon's
5	wife seen that I was having some problems, and she
6	saw that I was a good customer. She said, "Reggie,
7	why don't you take some credit and pay me when you
8	get paid?" She said, "Can I trust you that you
9	will pay me?" I said, "Yes, you can." So she gave
10	me the money I needed and the gas and cigarettes
11	and whatever I needed for my kids. And this didn't
12	just go on for one, she did this for me every week.
13	Every Monday or Tuesday, I got a full tank of gas,
14	and on that Friday morning, I would pay her and
15	make sure I got paid, and I would fill my vehicle
16	up again.
17	I have done work for Dhillon. He and his
18	family are very nice people. And I'm going to make
19	it short. A lot of people that come into his

20	establishment, they treat them with respect, they
21	ask them, "How can I help you? What can I get for
22	you?" And then, if some individuals out there that
23	don't like his prices, they swear at him, call out
24	a name, call him "sand so and so," "Taliban," you
25	know, that's not right. Everybody they have a

1	right to be in this country just as much as we do,
2	you know.
3	So I just want to say they are very good
4	people, and I don't think they should be denied
5	their license for the liquor store. And that's a
6	nice building, and it's a brand new building. I
7	mean, you shouldn't have no code violations or
8	anything else. That's all I have to say.
9	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Thank you. Thank you for
10	your testimony. Are there any questions committee
11	members have of this witness? All right. Thank
12	you, sir, for your time.
13	Next witness.
14	MR. LAPOINT: My name is Daniel Lapoint,
15	L-A-P-O-I-N-T. I live at 2210 South 5th Place.
16	One block well, a block and three houses down
17	from Dhillon's establishment.
18	And I'd rather see a liquor store go in
19	there than a bar because that's where everybody

20	gets in their car driving and gets in a wreck. You
21	go to the liquor store, you buy your liquor, you go
22	home and you sit on your couch and you drink it.
23	You have no problems that way. And I'm for it.
24	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Okay. Thank you for your
25	testimony. Questions by committee? All right.

1	Thank you, sir, for your testimony.
2	Good afternoon, sir. Good evening.
3	MR. LIND: Good evening. My name is
4	Timothy Lind, L-I-N-D. I live at 2118 South 5th
5	Place, just a little bit over a block away.
6	I agree with people before me. I think
7	that he should get the license. I think everybody
8	is better off, and I agree with the person just
9	before me, I would rather not see a bar. It's not
10	the fact that they are getting a license is a
11	problem, it's giving it to people who abuse the
12	right to have alcohol, you know. That's all I have
13	to say.
14	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Mr. Lind, if you could
15	just hold on for one moment. Questions by
16	committee? Mr. Knoeller, no questions? Thank you
17	sir.
18	Were there any others who are here to
19	provide testimony in favor of the license? Okay,

20	last call, any others who have not provided
21	testimony who wish to do so in support of the
22	license?
23	All right. At this time, we will hear
24	from Alderman Witkowiak.
25	ALDERMAN WITKOWIAK: Thank you, Mr.

1	Chairman, members. Much of the testimony you just
2	heard from the supporters is true. Mr. Dhillon is
3	he's very well respected by people in the
4	neighborhood. He treats people well when you go
5	into his station. And all that stuff I agree with.
6	The reason that this was sent back to
7	committee and we asked to be heard was because of
8	the concentration issue in the neighborhood. And
9	the people that monitor this in my neighborhood,
10	for whatever reason, weren't noticed. After it was
11	recommended by the committee, because there was no
12	opposition here, it went to council and came back,
13	we went to rule on it.
14	In 1995, when this was heard last and
15	denied for concentration, this was part of the 12th
16	District. And I provided the statistics depicted
17	today with the number of alcohol beverage outlets
18	within a mile. Back in 1995, there were three
19	Class "A" Liquor and Malt on that map. Today there

20	are five. So there is actually two more than there
21	was in 1995.
22	Now, there's been a lot of questions
23	about where, how many blocks away everybody lives.
24	Just for the record, Barbara Nelson lives six
25	blocks away from this place. Now, if you go

1	somewhere between five and six blocks to the
2	southwest of here or two blocks to the north of
3	here, you have a Class "A" Liquor and Malt, both
4	directions. So my question is, do we have to
5	you put an average on that? Do we have to have a
6	liquor store every three blocks? Do we? Do you
7	want that in your neighborhood? Okay.
8	If for some reason you don't like the
9	establishment that's two blocks to the north or
10	five blocks to the southwest, go one block further
11	to either one of those and you will hit another
12	Class "A" Liquor. So in easy walking distance of
13	this place, there's four Class "A" Liquor and Malt
14	in easy walking distance of this place.
15	We don't want this area to turn into the
16	dumping ground. This is an area that you get a lot
17	people that have worked very, very hard to
18	revitalize.
19	The Mr. Dhillon and his family have

20	made a substantial investment there in purchasing
21	the old store, turning it into a gas station,
22	subsequently, building a building to the east. The
23	entire building, by the way, was a laundromat. I
24	think Mr. Dhillon's original plan was that he
25	wanted to put a car wash in there, but there was

1	some opposition from the neighbors for that. The
2	entire building was made into a laundromat. Since
3	that first meeting here, about half of the
4	laundromat equipment has been removed, I think in
5	anticipation of what Mr. Dhillon was going to have.
6	But one more time, may I remind you, two
7	blocks to the north, five blocks to the southwest,
8	don't like those proprietors, go one block further
9	that way, one block further that way. So you have
10	a total of four Class "A" Liquor and Malts in easy
11	walking distance. Do you really want another one?
12	My constituents don't want it.
13	My since this matter was made known to
14	the people of the 12th District, which is across
15	the street to the north and across the street to
16	the west, our office has received approximately a
17	dozen contacts on this, all negative. Not a single
18	person some of these people here that testified
19	are in the 12th District. They did not call my

20	office and say they were in the neighborhood. So
21	they were invited down here by Mr. Dhillon and his
22	attorney, which is absolutely their right to do
23	that. They have all the right in the world to be
24	here and testify. The people that I heard from in
25	the 12th District say they don't want it. You had

1	credible testimony from these people.
2	And these people that you heard here,
3	yeah, they are part of the association and
4	everything, but they are also residents of the
5	district. They also live there. Jill Lackey lives
6	on 7th and Lincoln. Barbara Nelson lives on 10th.
7	These people actually do live in the neighborhood
8	in walking distance.
9	So I would ask that the we don't want
10	to have a liquor store every three blocks. I would
11	ask that the committee recommend denial of this
12	license based on the concentration of the alcohol
13	beverage outlets in the area. Thank you.
14	ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI: Mr. Chair.
15	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Thank you. Questions by
16	committee? Alderman Zielinski.
17	ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI: Alderman Witkowiak,
18	was there an effort underway within the last week
19	or two to try and set up a meeting between Mr.

20	Dhillon and some of the businesses and other people
21	in the area?
22	ALDERMAN WITKOWIAK: Mr. Dhillon
23	contacted me and asked me for the name of an
24	organization which was opposed to it, which I
25	provided for him.

1	ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI: But was there any
2	discussion about possibly holding a meeting where
3	he could make his presentation to the interested
4	parties?
5	ALDERMAN WITKOWIAK: Mr. Dhillon asked
6	for contact information. I gave him the name of
7	the organization. I didn't know anything about a
8	meeting.
9	ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI: Okay, so there was
10	no discussion about setting up, having you set up a
11	meeting with businesses or other people in the area
12	to discuss this license?
13	ALDERMAN WITKOWIAK: No.
14	ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI: I just wanted to get
15	clarification. That's all I have for you. I have
16	a question later.
17	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Other questions by
18	committee members? Mr. Knoeller, questions?
10	MR KNOELLER: Alderman Witkowiak the

20	liquor store called La Luna is in your business
21	district, aldermanic district, isn't it?
22	ALDERMAN WITKOWIAK: Correct.
23	MR. KNOELLER: And did you receive
24	communication from La Luna regarding the opening,
25	the possible opening of Mr. Dhillon's business?

1	ALDERMAN WITKOWIAK: First, I heard from
2	some other people. Subsequently, I did hear from
3	La Luna. Yes,
4	MR. KNOELLER: And they were, that
5	La Luna was one of the stores which objected to
6	this, is that not correct?
7	ALDERMAN WITKOWIAK: No, they are not
8	here to object.
9	MR. KNOELLER: No, I know. But to you.
10	You mentioned that you had received contact.
11	La Luna was one of the establishments that objected
12	to it, didn't it?
13	ALDERMAN WITKOWIAK: Yes.
14	MR. KNOELLER: And did they object with
15	it based on competition?
16	ALDERMAN WITKOWIAK: I you know, when
17	one when a similar business wants to locate in a
18	neighborhood, I don't take that so when another
19	business calls up and says, "Well, we don't want

20	this. We don't want this to happen," I don't put
21	much credibility in that because it's based on
22	competition.
23	MR. KNOELLER: But did they mention that
24	to you?
25	ALDERMAN WITKOWIAK: No.

1	MR. KNOELLER: They simply objected,
2	nothing more?
3	ALDERMAN WITKOWIAK: Right. How many
4	liquor stores do we need in the neighborhood? That
5	was the question.
6	MR. KNOELLER: Well, did they mention
7	concentration?
8	ALDERMAN WITKOWIAK: No.
9	MR. KNOELLER: I have no further
10	questions.
11	ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI: Mr. Chair.
12	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Alderman Zielinski.
13	ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI: I have a question of
14	Attorney Schrimpf. When notices get or this
15	should actually be directed towards Ms. Grill. Ms.
16	Grill, when notices get sent out within a radius
17	notifying people of a liquor license application,
18	does it go to everybody within a certain radius, or
19	does it only go in that aldermanic district?

20	MS. GRILL: We typically send them out to
21	a radius of 250 feet regardless of the aldermanic
22	district.
23	ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI: So that means that
24	the 12th Aldermanic District would have received
25	notices in the mail as well?

1	MS. GRILL: If they were living within
2	the 250 feet.
3	ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI: They are across the
4	street, so they would have gotten this. Okay. I
5	just wanted to clarify that. Thank you.
6	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Any other questions for
7	Alderman Witkowiak?
8	ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI: I have one last
9	question for Alderman Witkowiak. Alderman
10	Witkowiak, when this was on the agenda for the
11	Licenses Committee, was it just not something you
12	overlooked, you didn't notice?
13	ALDERMAN WITKOWIAK: I do what I
14	typically do is I just pull my items out for the
15	12th District and didn't look at the rest of the,
16	didn't look at the rest of the agenda. So I didn't
17	see it was there.
18	ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI: Thank you. That's
19	all I have.

20	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Thank you. Thank you,
21	Alderman Witkowiak.
22	Mr. Knoeller, it's time for you to have
23	your applicants, if they wish, to provide anything
24	else to the committee, and then to provide any
25	additional closing comments.

1	MR. KNOELLER: Dhillon, do you wish to
2	say anything to the committee?
3	MR. DHILLON: Yes. I have my friend, Mr.
4	Jim Witkowiak, he is a very good alderman and I
5	know and respect him. And he also helped me when I
6	was making a gas station, and I never forget all
7	his favor. And I always admire him. He is not
8	only my alderman, he is a very good alderman.
9	And it's we have a little bit, I
10	think, misunderstanding. Like he said, the
11	laundromat is supposed to be a car wash. That was
12	the separate building. We have, still, space for
13	the car wash; it's still left. We didn't make the
14	car wash because we didn't have approval. So I
15	thank you for Mr. Witkowiak.
16	And when the first time I would just,
17	when we had the first time over here, and not, not
18	only at this time we came over here to buy the
19	license, he has always came when the City they

20	mailed the letter to some radius, to the neighbors,
21	and if any neighbors have objections, they can come
22	and testimony themselves, okay. If they have
23	objection, they're realistically objection is
24	really applied to somebody trying to make their
25	living and somebody trying to spend money in that

1	neighborhood, and make that it's like old
2	building, and make that establishment look,
3	buildings a little better.
4	And I think I will say that some
5	people just came over here to object it. I what
6	I feel, realistically, they're, they're object is
7	only they say is the liquor. It's anything is
8	possible in this world if you use or you abuse.
9	It's always bad. If somebody use as liquor as the
10	people, everybody drinking, and I'm drinking, too,
11	I'll be very honest, and if I really, too much
12	drinking, if the doctor got advice something is
13	really over.
14	So it's not the person's fault. You have
15	to have get some, otherwise if someone give you
16	some kind of health problems, something.
17	Other thing, when the first time we
18	December 8th nobody was here. And at the same

time, we have -- I really feeling something to be

20	looking a little bit to justify liquor committee
21	and the city if somebody trying to make they're
22	working hard to feed their family, to make living.
23	Property values going really down, and
24	our property tax last two years used to be \$12,000,
25	now they are \$22,000. Where this money going to

come from? I have to have -- do something to make 1 and pay off those. I stay in my business and make 2 my living. 3 4 And after December 8th, when I heard this is everything go, nobody objected. Then I tried to 5 remove all those machines, and the first moving 6 costs, because I don't have those big trucks and 7 lift truck, everybody is a charge. I paid about 8 9 \$4,900. The cost of just the machine I already 10 moved, some left, because when I heard we have to -- another meeting coming on today, it was all move 11 machine. That was cost \$274,000. Walk-in cooler, 12 13 I paid \$28,000. Those people are not going to refund my money, and so about the shelving and the 14 countertop and the subflooring and the security 15 system we added on. Because the liquor, we have to 16 17 have -- keep everything in high secured so nobody has any problems. If anybody has any kind of 18

problem, not like only me, close after 9:00, even

20	when we are running the business, we have to take
21	care of both underage or some other people. And
22	the plumbing and electric and the drywall, all
23	together has come total \$341,700. This is the
24	total lost being you just I'm going to be pretty
25	much lost this thing.

1	So I'm just requesting to be to
2	justify to, you know, just due favor, to just look
3	at if any person want to make hard work to make
4	their living. And I never in my life have any food
5	stamps, never, ever, any unemployment. We always
6	work, me and my wife, and my kids, they always
7	helping us. That's all I would ask to receive.
8	Thank you.
9	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Thank you.
10	MR. DHILLON: And I'm not new on this
11	neighborhood. I'm 17 years in this corner. Like
12	when my friend, he said that this used to be
13	Victor's Meat Market, and I run Victor's Market,
14	Meat Market, under same name: Victor's Meat, three
15	years. So we have been over here about 17 years.
16	So that's part of my community. Thank you.
17	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Questions by committee?
18	ALDERMAN KOVAC: Mr. Chair.
19	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Alderman Kovac.

20	ALDERMAN KOVAC: I just want to make sure
21	I have the timeline right. You bought it 17 years
22	ago on that corner when it was Victor's Meat
23	Market?
24	MR. DHILLON: Yeah.
25	ALDERMAN KOVAC: You ran it for three

1	years as Victor's Meat Market?
2	MR. DHILLON: Victor's Meat Market.
3	ALDERMAN KOVAC: Then what happened?
4	MR. DHILLON: Um, some kind, like a
5	religious, he don't want my priest, he came from
6	India, and because we people don't eat beef, you
7	know, and he, when he came in, and plus, we have,
8	you know, go inside the store and we go upstairs,
9	we're living upstairs, 575 West Becher, and when he
10	saw we were selling those, and he said, "This is
11	against your religion. Please don't do it." So
12	then at that time I asked, you know, if I make a
13	gas station. So Mr. Witkowiak, he helped me with
14	that gas station.
15	ALDERMAN KOVAC: So that where the gas
16	station is now, is not is was it where the
17	meat market used to be in the building, you tore
18	the building down? No.
19	MR. KNOELLER: What's happening here, if

20	I may explain, there was a brand new building
21	built
22	ALDERMAN KOVAC: He built, you built that
23	building?
24	MR. KNOELLER: Yes, he built on, and the
25	gas station and the convenience store, they're

1	still there at 5/5. This new building is 515.
2	MRS. DHILLON: 551.
3	MR. KNOELLER: 551, I'm sorry, 551.
4	ALDERMAN KOVAC: And when was this built?
5	How long ago was it?
6	MR. DHILLON: It was built three this
7	April, it will be three years.
8	ALDERMAN KOVAC: And you live upstairs
9	with your family in the new building?
10	MR. DHILLON: Right.
11	MR. KNOELLER: No, not in the new
12	building.
13	MR. DHILLON: In the convenience store.
14	ALDERMAN KOVAC: Okay, but the new
15	building for three years has been a laundromat, is
16	that right?
17	MRS. DHILLON: Yes.
18	ALDERMAN KOVAC: Was it profitable as a
19	laundromat?

20	MRS. DHILLON: No, completely
21	MR. DHILLON: Very completely we
22	ALDERMAN KOVAC: And now you say, since
23	the committee vote, you moved all these machines
24	out already, so now it's empty?
25	MRS. DHILLON: We moved half of it.

1	MR. DHILLON: We moved
2	ALDERMAN KOVAC: Well, the half because
3	your plan is to have half laundromat and half
4	liquor.
5	ALDERMAN WITKOWIAK: Mr. Chair.
6	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Alderman Witkowiak.
7	ALDERMAN WITKOWIAK: Just to and I
8	have to say this, Mr. Dhillon and his family do not
9	live upstairs. It's not true. He does not live
10	upstairs.
11	CHAIRMAN BOHL: No, we have an address in
12	New Berlin as
13	MRS. DHILLON: No, now we live in New
14	Berlin.
15	ALDERMAN WITKOWIAK: Mr. Dhillon just
16	said he and his family lived upstairs. They don't
17	live upstairs.
18	MR. DHILLON: No, we used to live
19	upstairs.

20	MRS. DHILLON: We used to live upstairs.
21	ALDERMAN WITKOWIAK: Used to live
22	upstairs.
23	MR. DHILLON: We lived there five years.
24	ALDERMAN KOVAC: If you still lived
25	there, would you be turning it into a liquor store?

1	MR. DHILLON: No, no, we're not living
2	there now.
3	ALDERMAN KOVAC: But if you did, would
4	you want the liquor store and laundromat?
5	MR. DHILLON: Yeah, I liked to live up
6	there. That's fine. I have no problem to live up
7	there.
8	ALDERMAN KOVAC: Okay.
9	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Other questions for this
10	gentleman? Okay. Thank you for your testimony
11	there.
12	Mr. Knoeller, are there any additional
13	closing comments that you wish to make?
14	MR. KNOELLER: Yes, I just want to make
15	some comments to kind of verify what the majority
16	of people have said here.
17	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Mr. Knoeller, I just want
18	to add this to the committee. In addition to those
19	who provided testimony here today, we do have, in

20	addition to that, as a continuation of this
21	hearing, three additional individuals from the
22	neighborhood who provided testimony in support last
23	time as well, too. Just so that's available for
24	the record. Please proceed.
25	MR. KNOELLER: Okay. I'll be as brief as

1	I can here. Mr. Dhillon has been a successful
2	operator of his business, a gas station and the
3	convenience store. And he has, as the one witness
4	said, been an operator of a liquor store. He has
5	experience in Brown Deer, Muskego and in West
6	Allis. And he has been lived here for, lived
7	there for approximately 15 years. All indications
8	are that he has a most favorable personality to run
9	a liquor store at that address. He is married, he
10	has two children. And as he testified, the wife
11	and his two adult children sometimes help him run
12	the store.
13	And I don't know what more qualifications
14	a person could want or an association could want,
15	the Lincoln Square Association. Because I know
16	I'm at 6th and Lincoln. I don't know what greater
17	qualifications a person or a committee could want
18	to have someone run a liquor store at this address.
19	I have known Mr. Dhillon for

20	approximately, I'd say, seven years, and I've never
21	heard of anything, anything outside of this. Where
22	there's been any type of problem with a renewal of
23	a license, or whether or even in applying for a
24	license. I guess this is his first application.
25	He only came to me at the end of December.

1	I think that this is the type of person
2	that this community would want running a liquor
3	store at that location. He has proven himself in
4	the past in three different locations. He has
5	proven himself as a good businessman and an honest
6	and respectable businessman at his other location,
7	which is just to the east of this.
8	I think all indications would show that
9	this is just the type of person who you would want
10	running a liquor store in that area. This is
11	something, someone who will solidify that
12	neighborhood, who has gained a rapport with the
13	people of the neighborhood and who will contribute
14	and really improve that neighborhood.
15	I'm right there on 6th and Lincoln. I
16	know the problems, the good parts and the bad
17	parts, and Mr. Dhillon, as far as I'm concerned,
18	and as far as I know, is one of the good parts and
19	something which is going to bring up this

20	neighborhood and make it better for all concerned.
21	There may be some, there may be some
22	organizations who objected here today. Yes, I
23	heard that. But they all belong to the same
24	organization. I imagine that they got together and
25	presented their testimony today or prepared their

1	testimony for today. That's fine. They have a
2	perfect right to do that. But I don't know, they
3	aren't familiar with the daily operation of Mr.
4	Dhillon's convenience store, of his gas station and
5	of his prior experience running a liquor store in
6	that area.
7	The only liquor store which I know was
8	nearby, nearer that area, is in Alderman
9	Witkowiak's district about two blocks to the north,
10	which is this La Luna. Mr Alderman Witkowiak
11	said that he had been contacted or contacted from
12	La Luna. My gut reaction, my gut reaction is that
13	this is where the what's being fomented here.
14	This is where the objection is coming from.
15	Thank you. I have no further argument.
16	I just would request that Mr. Dhillon's license be
17	granted here. I think you, in the future, every
18	year when he is going to be coming back, you're
19	going to find that this will be a respectful and a

20	desirable establishment in the area.
21	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Thank you. Were there
22	any additional questions that committee members
23	have of Mr. Knoeller? We will lastly hear from
24	Alderman Zielinski.
25	ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI: I'll reserve my

1	comments for my motion.
2	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Okay. All right. If
3	there are no additional questions, then we are in
4	committee on this matter then.
5	ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI: Mr. Chairman.
6	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Alderman Zielinski.
7	ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI: This is probably the
8	toughest licensing decision that I have had in my
9	district. But before I go into the analysis of the
10	situation and why it is so difficult, I just have
11	to reiterate, you know, in terms of the process,
12	okay. There has been 20 other applications around
13	my district, and I've got a number of different
14	aldermen all over, and I have never been contacted
15	by one alderman about anything in my district. I
16	look at all applications. We send out notices to
17	all districts, if it's on the board or just
18	enclosed in the 14th District. This business
19	association over here, they have a paid staffer,

20	all I have to do is just look at the application,
21	and they get duly notified about what's going on.
22	What I did when it came to my attention
23	and people were saying that they didn't know about
24	this, we sent it back to committee to afford, you
25	know, people an opportunity to testify. So I think

1	that, you know, in terms of notice and letting
2	people know and providing them with an opportunity
3	to testify, it's been a completely fair, open
4	process system.
5	It's very rare, in fact, I don't even
6	remember when something has come out of committee
7	with a unanimous vote and it's been sent back to
8	committee, okay. So we bent over backwards to make
9	sure there was an ample opportunity for public
10	input in this process.
11	The reason why this is such a struggle
12	for me is that I think the biggest argument and
13	opposition is concentration, okay. That's a
14	legitimate, you know, argument. That's on the one
15	side. On the other side, what I'm looking at is,
16	you know, we've heard a lot of testimony about a
17	lot of liquor license establishments throughout the
18	years, and I can't remember one situation where

there has been a point of contention over an

20	applicant where there was not any negative feedback
21	with respect to the operation of that particular
22	business. Not one person who testified here had
23	anything negative to say about the operation of
24	this business. In fact, even the opponents of this
25	testified that this gentleman and his family are

1	completely honorable, and they deal with people
2	with a high level of integrity.
3	On top of that, with all the additional
4	notice, all the opponents are saying, "Oh, there is
5	all this opposition to this." Almost every you
6	know, one person lives seven blocks, the other
7	person lives four blocks away. Almost everybody,
8	almost everybody that testified in favor lives in
9	the immediate area. Now, we know in our respective
10	districts, if there is a problem with a particular
11	license application, there is, you know, people in
12	the immediate area that come forth. And we've got
13	people across, you know, across the street. And

There's no businesses in the immediate area. So we didn't obviously have any businesses come down here and testify, but that's another, you know, factor to consider.

He has a 24-hour operation. No

then, you know, that's just one factor.

20	complaints about the 24-hour operation either. He
21	has experience with operating other liquor license
22	establishments. He has never had any disciplinary
23	action with respect to the operation of any one of
24	those three liquor license establishments.
25	So, you know, that's what I'm weighing

1	here. That's what I'm, you know, that's what I
2	have to grapple with here. And to be quite frank,
3	I thought based, you know, based on the hoopla from
4	the e-mails and stuff I got, which we didn't get
5	notice, you know, I thought there would be a lot
6	more people down here in opposition. And
7	certainly, with all the effort and all the
8	organization, with the organization there, they
9	couldn't find more people that lived closer to this
10	establishment to object? That weighs very heavily,
11	you know, on me as well.
12	So, it's so based on that, on the
13	testimony, you know, I would move approval. And
14	I'll tell you, I really was not I thought there
15	would be a lot more, you know, opposition to this
16	based on the, you know, feedback I got from a
17	couple of the residents or the e-mails, and so
18	forth. And if they would have got more people here

that had businesses or residents in the immediate

20	area instead of living seven blocks away and all
21	these other distances away, you know, I would have
22	went the other way. Thank you.
23	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Thank you. Motion by
24	Alderman Zielinski is to recommend approval despite
25	the items contained within the notice. Is there

1	any discussion, any additional discussion on the
2	motion?
3	ALDERMAN HAMILTON: Mr. Chair.
4	CHAIRMAN BOHL: On the motion, Alderman
5	Hamilton.
6	ALDERMAN HAMILTON: I just have to add
7	something along the lines of Alderman Zielinski. I
8	wish there was a formula that we could just plug in
9	an address and then plug in all the variables and,
10	you know, do it like an algebra formula, and you
11	could find out whether "X" equals a liquor license
12	or not. And we really don't have that.
13	One of the things that really is swaying
14	me, you know, before there wasn't any opposition,
15	and I guess for us to hear it again and kind of
16	give it a new look
17	CHAIRMAN BOHL: I think we have to ban
18	you from the room.
19	ALDERMAN KOVAC: He would probably want

20	that.
21	ALDERMAN HAMILTON: You want to ban me
22	and the fact that this businessowner didn't wait
23	for a liquor license to try to run his, to try to
24	make his business profitable. He has tried many
25	different businesses already. He made improvements

1	on the property, I mean, and he is continually
2	trying to run a business. Most of the time when
3	you have people who want to open up a liquor store
4	get a liquor license, they have, you know, they
5	run, apply for a liquor license, they have a
6	rundown business that they are not willing to
7	invest in, they're not investing in the
8	neighborhood, and they are not responsive. They
9	are not doing any of the things that this
10	businessowner is doing, and yet they want the city
11	to grant them a liquor license so that they can
12	improve their business.
13	It seems to me that he this wasn't his
14	first option, and he is trying other things, and he
15	wants to have a viable business, and he is not
16	putting all his eggs in this basket. And he is
17	still willing to stay, at least businesswise, in
18	this area and continue to invest in this area. I
19	think that just goes a long way.

20	Even for the organizations that came out
21	in opposition to this, I think that, you know, that
22	they're lucky to have a person like this in the
23	area that they can continue to work with and that
24	will respond to them when they have issues or if
25	they have issues with the business and to be an

1	example for other businesses that's in the area on
2	how to be creative with a business model and be
3	responsive to the people who live in the area. I
4	think they have that in this businessowner.
5	I'm glad to hear that he still has a good
6	relationship with Alderman Witkowiak because, you
7	know, I know it's difficult to represent an area
8	like this that has some challenges, especially when
9	it comes to liquor licenses. But at the same time,
10	you know, there are businessowners who can operate
11	responsibly. And I don't know that there is a bar
12	that would bar any operation of retail substances,
13	you know, without any discussion and without any
14	opportunity of anything new being created in that
15	area.
16	And I think if anyone should be offered
17	an opportunity to, you know, see if they could do a
18	business like this in this area, this is probably
19	the applicant that should be afforded that

20	opportunity.
21	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Thank you for your
22	testimony. Any additional discussion?
23	ALDERMAN KOVAC: Mr. Chair.
24	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Alderman Kovac.
25	ALDERMAN KOVAC: I certainly concur with

1	Alderman Zielinski that this is a really tough one.
2	Of course, it reminds me very much of another
3	really tough vote that we also took twice as a
4	committee a few blocks away, I'll defer to the
5	locals exactly how far AK Blue Bar is from this.
6	It's less than a mile.
7	You know, on the surface, there is a lot
8	of similarities. You've got immediate neighbors
9	for it, you've got larger organized neighborhood
10	group a little more sparsely out, more organized
11	than are against it. I think the two big
12	differences I see are there is, as Alderman
13	Zielinski pointed out, there is, there was nobody
14	that said a bad word about this operator, even the
15	opponents. This is strictly concentration. It's
16	not the case of AK, although plenty of people said
17	great things about AK too, but it was divided.
18	It's a new building, and there isn't a

liquor store across the street. There is one two

20	blocks away and five blocks away. There is two two
21	blocks away and two five blocks away. And like
22	Alderman Hamilton said, we don't really have a
23	formula. I mean, how much is it too far to expect
24	somebody to walk? How much is convenient? How
25	important is convenience to a neighborhood?

1	Clearly, people have access to a variety
2	of goods. I don't know, they're not losing a
3	laundromat; they are losing half a laundromat. If
4	it was my neighborhood, I would want the laundromat
5	and the liquor store, two of each.
6	This is a difficult decision for me, but
7	I do think that there's a lot of strong the fact
8	that it seems unanimous among the people in the
9	block is probably going to sway me. So I need to
10	support the motion.
11	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Thank you. I'll
12	because this is I always like to say let your
13	vote speak for your position, but this has been
14	long and contentious. I'll just sort of say, I
15	concur with the remarks of Alderman Kovac in that I
16	think this is one that sort of pits me on the
17	fence. I think that there is a lot of that
18	there certainly is a telling argument that's made
19	by Alderman Zielinski in terms of the proximity of

20	those individuals who are present.
21	I want to say one other thing though, and
22	that is when we relate to the concentration map,
23	when I look at this concentration map, I see Aaron
24	Park. I know that a portion on the eastern portion
25	of this one-square-mile radius is the Kinnickinnic

1	River and Parkway and Joseph Kosciuszco Park or
2	Kosciuszco Park in it. I think functionally about
3	at least a good third of this one-square-mile
4	radius is nonresidential parkland and/or industrial
5	in which there would be no either residential or
6	commercial whatsoever. And so you have almost 2/3
7	of a square mile in which you have a total of 44
8	alcohol beverage licenses. The comment was made
9	about whether this is more than my entire
10	aldermanic district. Yeah. I think perhaps
11	geographically, I have the second largest
12	aldermanic district, maybe to the exclusion of
13	Alderman Witkowiak that has Mitchell Field, perhaps
14	the third largest. But I believe I'm in the area
15	of 35 or 36, 34 licenses, somewhere along that line
16	in my aldermanic district, which is huge in
17	comparison to this.
18	I it the difficulty for me, that
19	strikes me, that we have good individuals. Good

20	individuals who may be good operators in terms of
21	overall cleaning of the neighborhood of litter that
22	may come in front of the store, maybe good
23	operators ensuring that we have individuals who are
24	carded, that those are certainly two important
25	things.

1	To me, if a compelling argument could be
2	made here by the local alderman who has been the
3	one who presented this as opposed to the one who is
4	across the street, I think the committee would buy
5	it; the concentration argument. So I look at the
6	map objectively in that, in that regard, and
7	inasmuch as we have, I think, good solid testimony
8	at least from neighbors on both sides of this
9	argument, that's enough to sway me given the fact
10	that there is such an overlarge concentration.
11	So I'll my only comment would be, have
12	the caveat that I if the discussion has been
13	made here based on the committee indication, and if
14	that concurs with the council, I will wish you all
15	good due luck and hope that, based on what we hear
16	from both individuals who are both opposed to and
17	in support here at least based on what they're
18	saying here, that this will be treated, in all due
19	respect, it will be operating in a manner that

20	hopefully will not bring you back before us.	
21	But with that	
22	ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI: Mr. Chair.	
23	CHAIRMAN BOHL: we have a motion,	
24	Alderman Zielinski.	
25	ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI: Just one final	

1	comment about the population density issue that was
2	raised. I used to represent the areas adjoined on
3	the county board. I have been up and down those
4	streets very many times. And I can tell you that
5	while it indicates there is, you know, some
6	parkland not too far away, this is still a densely
7	populated, you know, area. And the radius of 250
8	feet went out to 97, you know, people. I'd like to
9	ask Ms. Grill if she can comment if that's about an
10	average number or low or higher or what?
11	MS. GRILL: Well, the radius, radius will
12	vary from a few people to 800 or 900, but probably
13	average might be around 100 people.
14	ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI: So this is about the
15	average number of radius. So it's not like there
16	is a lot of vacant lots around here, is that right?
17	MS. GRILL: Yes.
18	ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI: Thank you.
19	CHAIRMAN BOHL: I mean, what I see,

20	ultimately, going to the east is you have the
21	freeway here running north/south and then east of
22	that here. I'm assuming, that you have both the
23	parkway and/or, I see some of the Soo railine, you
24	have some industrial but
25	ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI: I mean, you know,

1	that's why I went with the radius, because unless
2	you actually walk up and down the streets, you
3	can't get a flavor because the areas where there
4	are populations it's densely populated.
5	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Oh, certainly. But that
6	said, you're considering that some of that area
7	here is actually omitted and taken off and you have
8	a fair number of homes that are still within the
9	other maybe 2/3 of that radius. Yeah, certainly,
10	that point is well taken.
11	With that, why don't we call the
12	motion is for approval despite items contained
13	within the notice, why don't we
14	ALDERMAN KOVAC: Mr. Chair, one very
15	quick point. Also speaking of there not being a
16	formula, and what's the radius, I mean
17	CHAIRMAN BOHL: You're the Harvard math
18	major.
19	ALDERMAN KOVAC: I don't have a formula.

20	I'm saying, you can look at a mile, you can look at
21	a block; both are valid. Like, in this case, you
22	look at a block, it's not concentrated; you look at
23	a mile, it is. And I don't know what the right
24	radius is.
25	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Well, and, you know, that

1	begs another question. I mean, do you include the
2	fact that what I would determine is a short block
3	away, right off of 6th and Becher, there is another
4	Class "A" Malt, at least a store that we indicate
5	here as a Class "A" Malt store, and this would be a
6	liquor malt. You know, the question remains, do
7	you count, when you count apples to apples, do you
8	speak only of Class "A" Liquor stores, Liquor and
9	Malt, do you speak of malt as competition? Do you
10	look of the totality of the overall licenses? I
11	think it's sort of a blend of all those as well,
12	too. You, I think, you first try to look at the
13	Class "A" Liquor and Malt and Class "A" Liquor and
14	Malt, but I don't know that there is a formula, and
15	I don't know that
16	ALDERMAN KOVAC: There's a Class "A" Malt
17	on 6th and Becher?
18	CHAIRMAN BOHL: According to the map that
19	we have here.

20	ALDERMAN WITKOWIAK: It's a store and a
21	bar.
22	CHAIRMAN BOHL: It is, according to this,
23	St. Morelia's Market.
24	MR. KNOELLER: Morelia's, Morelia's.
25	CHAIRMAN BOHL: 2107 South 6th Street,

1	which would be 6th and Becher, would also have a
2	malt.
3	ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI: Mr. Chairman.
4	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Alderman Zielinski.
5	ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI: I'd like to respond
6	to that. I think each area is different and unique
7	unto its own. That's why we hear from the people
8	impacted in the area and have them decide.
9	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Right. Absolutely, and
10	that's one product versus, you know, that would be
11	a malt-only. That would essentially be beer or
12	whatever beer/malt alternative. It wouldn't allow
13	for wine. It wouldn't allow for other spirits as
14	well, too. Like I said, there's no perfect
15	formula, and you do look at each individual
16	okay. Why don't we go through a roll call vote?
17	MS. BLACK: Alderman Hamilton.
18	ALDERMAN HAMILTON: Aye.

MS. BLACK: Alderman Kovac.

20	ALDERMAN KOVAC: Aye.	
21	MS. BLACK: Alderman Zielinski.	
22	ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI: Aye.	
23	MS. BLACK: Mr. Chair.	
24	CHAIRMAN BOHL: That would be a very	
25	close no for me, but the motion will prevail on a 3	

1	to 1 vote here, so thank you all on both sides for
2	coming out and testifying.
3	MR. KNOELLER: Thank you.
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	

1	STATE OF WISCONSIN)
2) SS: COUNTY OF MILWAUKEE)
3	
4	
5	I, KAREN RENEE, Court Reporter and Notary
6	Public in and for the State of Wisconsin, do hereby
7	certify that the above hearing was recorded by me on
8	January 5, 2010, and reduced to writing under my
9	personal direction.
10	I further certify that I am not a
11	relative or employee or attorney or counsel of any of
12	the parties, or a relative or employee of such attorney
13	or counsel, or financially interested directly or
14	indirectly in this action.
15	In witness whereof I have hereunder set
16	my hand and affixed my seal of office at Milwaukee,
17	Wisconsin, this 13th day of January, 2010.
18	
19	

20	
	Notary Public
21	In and for the State of Wisconsin
22	2
23	
4 3	My Commission Expires: March 11, 2012.
24	
25	