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# AMENDMENT NO. 5 to the PROJECT PLAN for TAX INCREMENTAL FINANCING DISTRICT NUMBER 37 CITY OF MILWAUKEE (GRAND AVENUE) 

## Introduction

Wis. Stat. 66.1105 (4)(h)(1) permits the Redevelopment Authority, subject to the approval of the Common Council, to amend the project plan for a tax incremental financing district.

Wis. Stat. 66.1105 (2)(f) 1.n. permits that amendment to fund projects located outside, but within one half-mile of the district's boundary.

The Common Council in 1998 created Tax Incremental District No. 37 (the "District") and approved the District's project plan (the "Plan") to revitalize the downtown retail and commercial district anchored by the Grand Avenue retail center. Initially, the District assisted in the construction of the Courtyard by Marriott hotel, as well as public infrastructure improvements in the area, totaling $\$ 2,500,000$ in project costs.

Amendment No. 1 to the Plan was approved in 1999, and provided \$9,400,000 towards the redevelopment of the former Marshall Field's/Gimbels building into office, hotel and retail uses, and is now known as the ASQ Center.

Amendment No. 2 to the Plan was approved in 2000, and provided \$5,000,000 towards the redevelopment of the Boston Store building into office, residential and retail uses.

Amendment No. 3 to the Plan was approved in 2014, and provided \$1,200,000 to Bon-Ton Stores, Inc. ("Bon-Ton"), plus $\$ 6,000$ in administrative expenses, to assist in keeping its headquarters and the Boston Store department store in downtown Milwaukee.

Amendment No. 4 to the Plan was approved in 2017 and authorized funds for a number of projects and improvements surrounding the Grand Avenue mall complex: a façade grant to assist in the redevelopment of the Grand Theater ( $\$ 750,000$ ), a forgivable loan to Bon-Ton ( $\$ 1,900,000$ ), street improvement projects ( $\$ 4,365,000$ ), other public infrastructure improvement projects $(\$ 750,000)$ and administrative expenses ( $\$ 100,000$ ), totaling $\$ 7,865,000$ in new Project Costs.

Amendment No. 5 to the Plan (the "Amendment") authorizes an additional \$3,000,000 in public infrastructure improvements for the reconstruction of North 2nd Street between West Wisconsin Avenue and North Plankinton Avenue.

Per Wis. Stat. 66.1105 (5)(b) the percentage of territory within the District that will be devoted to retail business at the end of the maximum expenditure period is estimated to be $20 \%$.

## Amendments to the Project Plan:

The following amendments are made to the District's Plan. All other sections of the Plan remain unchanged.

## I. DESCRIPTION OF THE PROJECT

## Plan Section I.D., "Proposed Public Action," is amended by adding the following:

Funds generated from the District will be used for the following purposes under Plan Amendment 5:
Street Improvement Projects ( $\$ 3,000,000$ )

- Additional 2nd Street Reconstruction. In conjunction with the renovation of the Grand Theater, reconstruct North 2nd Street from West Wisconsin Avenue to North Plankinton Avenue, including utilities, and improvements to the sidewalks abutting the Grand Theater.

The City of Milwaukee may, on its own initiative or through a cooperation agreement with the Redevelopment Authority and/or other entities, undertake any and all project and site improvements and activities considered necessary to achieve project objectives and the commitment of private investment. This Plan is not intended to limit and shall not be interpreted as limiting the Redevelopment Authority in the exercise of its powers under Wis. Stat. 66.1333 (5) within the District. It is possible that future amendments to this Project Plan for other investments in this area will be proposed.

## II. PLAN PROPOSALS

## Plan Section II.B.2, "Detailed List of Estimated Project Costs," is amended by adding the following:

The costs included in this subsection and detailed in Table B which follows are, without limitation hereof because of enumeration, claimed as eligible Project Costs as defined under Wis. Stat. 66.1105 (2)(f) and, in an amendment to the Cooperation, Reimbursement and Redevelopment Agreement entered into by and between the City of Milwaukee, the Redevelopment Authority of the City of Milwaukee, TNSH Landlord, LLC and Milwaukee Symphony Orchestra, Inc., which agreements are incorporated herein by reference, provided further that such expenditures are necessitated by this Project Plan.

These costs and costs estimates are more fully described as follows:

## Capital Costs

Under this Amendment 5, the City shall fund an estimated \$3,000,000 in the form of TID Capital Project Costs enumerated in further detail in Table A of this Plan.

TABLE A: Grand Avenue TID Amendment No. 5 Capital Project Costs

| Public Infrastructure |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Street Improvements Projects | $\$ 3,000,000$ |
| TOTAL Public Infrastructure | $\$ 3,000,000$ |
|  |  |
| TOTAL Capital Project Costs | $\mathbf{\$ 3 , 0 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ |

## Other Costs

This category of Project Costs includes estimates for administrative, professional, organizational and legal costs.

## Financing Costs

Financing costs include estimated gross interest expense on bonds that will be issued to pay for Project Costs. Estimates of bond interest are based on interest rates as set forth in the Economic Feasibility Analysis for this Project, attached as Exhibit 1, "Economic Feasibility Study for Amendment No. 5 to the Grand Avenue TID No. 37."

TABLE B: Lists of Estimated Project Costs

| A | Capital: | P3,000,000 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| B | Public Infrastructure | $\$ 0$ |
|  | Administration | Total Estimated Project Costs, excluding financing |
| C | Financing: | $\$ 3,000,000$ |
| D | Interest payment | District Costs to Date: |

Plan Section III.B.3, "Description of Timing and Methods of Financing" is amended to add the following as new section III.B.3.c.:

All Amendment 5 expenditures are expected to be incurred in 2019-20.
The City may proceed to fund any or all Project Costs using general obligation bonds or notes, or RACM revenue bonds to be issued in amounts which can be supported using tax increments in the District.

Plan Section II.B.4, "Economic Feasibility Study", is amended to add the following:
The Economic Feasibility Study for Amendment No. 5 to the Project Plan is attached as Exhibit 1, "Economic Feasibility Study for Amendment No. 5 to the Grand Avenue TID No. 37."

Based upon the anticipated tax incremental revenue to be generated by the District, the District is financially feasible and it likely to be retired on or before 2021, the 23rd year of the District.
Accordingly, the District is determined to be feasible.
Plan Section II.B.8, "List of Non-Project Costs", is amended by adding the following:
None - there are no estimated non-project costs associated with Amendment 5.

Plan Section II.B.11, "Opinion of City Attorney", is amended by adding the attached letter from the City Attorney.

## EXHIBIT 1

## ECONOMIC FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR AMENDMENT NO. 5 TO THE GRAND AVENUE TID NO. 37

## Background:

The City of Milwaukee is proposing Amendment No. 5 to the Grand Avenue Incremental District No. 37 (the "District") to pay for public infrastructure improvements totaling \$3,000,000 (the "Amendment 5 Project Costs"). The original Project Plan and Amendments 1, 2, 3 and 4 funded \$29,942,482 in District Costs to Date.

The base value of the District when created in 1998 was $\$ 60,317,400$.

## Current Property Valuation:

The total assessment of the District as of $1 / 1 / 2019$ was $\$ 130,920,947$.

## Anticipated Future Value of the District and District Cash Flow:

Attached as Table 1 is a cash flow forecast for the proposed Amendment No. 5 to the District. Basic parameters of this forecast are:

- Base Value of the District: $\$ 60,317,400$
- 2019 Value of the District: $\$ 130,920,947$.
- Tax Rate: 2.785\%
- Interest Rate: 4.75\%
- Annual Appreciation: 1.00\%
- District Costs to Date: $\$ 29,942,482$
- Amendment 5 Project Costs: \$3,000,000
- Issuance Costs: $1.00 \%$

As shown in the forecast, the District is able to amortize the District's Costs to Date and the Amendment 5 Project Costs by 2021, year 23 of the District. Given this estimate, the proposed District is determined to be feasible and capable of amortizing the District Costs to Date and Amendment 5 Project Costs within the statutory life of the proposed District.

Table 1: TID 37 Amendment No. 5 Feasibility Study

| Table 1: TID 37 Amendment No. 5 Feasibility Study |  |  |  | ProjectedValue | TID Incremental Value | Increment | Cumulative Inc. Revenue | Loan repayments to TID \& other rev | Debt Service | Amend No. 4 Project Costs | Amend No. 5 Project Costs | Cash flow | After reserving |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year No. | Assessment Year | Budget Year | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Base } \\ & \text { Value } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Cum. Cash flow | for remaining debt Surplus/(deficit) | $\underset{\text { Pavoff }}{\text { Pat }}$ |
| 1 | 1998 | 1999 | 60,317,400 | 60,317,400 |  |  |  | 24,917 |  |  |  | 24,917 | 24,917 | $(45,163,506)$ |  |
| 2 | 1999 | 2000 | 60,317,400 | 66,460,000 |  |  |  | 86,666 | (130,965) |  |  | $(44,299)$ | (19,382) | $(45,06,839)$ | No |
| 3 | 2000 | 2001 | 60,317,400 | 67,141,200 | 6,142,600 | 173,189 | 173,189 | 130,442 | (155,660) |  |  | 147,971 | 128,589 | $(44,73,208)$ | No |
| 4 | 2001 | 2002 | 60,317,400 | 84,218,600 | 6,823,800 | 204,109 | 377,298 | 400,943 | $(254,783)$ |  |  | 350,269 | 478,859 | $(44,188,156)$ | No |
| 5 | 2002 | 2003 | 60,317,400 | 95,474,800 | 23,901,200 | 674,020 | 1,051,318 | 508,430 | (1,059,764) |  |  | 122,686 | 601,544 | $(42,985,706)$ | No |
| 6 | 2003 | 2004 | 60,317,400 | 98,933,300 | 35,15,400 | 979,712 | 2,031,030 | 2,391,264 | $(1,150,098)$ |  |  | 2,220,878 | 2,822,422 | (39,614,730) | No |
| 7 | 2004 | 2005 | 60,317,400 | 117,997,000 | 38,615,900 | 1,019,749 | 3,050,779 | 463,312 | (1,849,452) |  |  | (366,391) | 2,456,031 | $(38,13,669)$ | No |
| 8 | 2005 | 2006 | 60,317,400 | 115,937,400 | 57,67, 600 | 1,503,155 | 4,553,934 | 301,953 | $(1,902,893)$ |  |  | $(97,785)$ | 2,358,246 | $(36,326,561)$ | No |
| 9 | 2006 | 2007 | 60,317,400 | 133,726,000 | 55,62,000 | 1,357,668 | 5,911,602 | 339,463 | $(1,903,157)$ |  |  | $(206,026)$ | 2,152,220 | $(3,629,430)$ | No |
| 10 | 2007 | 2008 | 60,317,400 | 152,270,800 | 73,408,600 | 1,617,743 | 7,529,345 | 2,343,307 | $(2,137,460)$ |  |  | 1,823,590 | 3,975,810 | $(30,68,380)$ | No |
| 11 | 2008 | 2009 | 60,317,400 | 120,738,400 | 91,953,400 | 2,065,310 | 9,594,655 | 301,714 | $(3,883,013)$ |  |  | $(1,115,889)$ | 2,859,821 | $(28,30,356)$ | No |
| 12 | 2009 | 2010 | 60,317,400 | 140,084,500 | 60,421,000 | 1,449,257 | 11,043,912 | 373,290 | $(2,040,629)$ |  |  | (218,082) | 2,641,739 | $(26,48,809)$ | No |
| 13 | 2010 | 2011 | 60,317,400 | 111,200,300 | 79,767,100 | 2,032,261 | 13,076,173 | 2,388,010 | $(2,100,398)$ |  |  | 2,319,873 | 4,961,612 | $(22,05,538)$ | No |
| 14 | 2011 | 2012 | 60,317,400 | 117,888,000 | 50,882,900 | 1,363,389 | 14,439,562 | 239,455 | $(2,248,114)$ |  |  | $(645,270)$ | 4,316,342 | $(20,45,694)$ | No |
| 15 | 2012 | 2013 | 60,317,400 | 121,551,700 | 57,57,600 | 1,640,869 | 16,080,431 | 243,349 | (1,745,690) |  |  | 138,528 | 4,454,870 | $(18,57,476)$ | No |
| 16 | 2013 | 2014 | 60,317,400 | 127,204,100 | 61,23,, 300 | 1,862,611 | 17,943,042 | 341,212 | $(1,672,038)$ |  |  | 531,785 | 4,986,655 | $(16,367,653)$ | No |
| 17 | 2014 | 2015 | 60,317,400 | 129,095,100 | 66,886,700 | 2,079,945 | 20,022,987 | 297,279 | $(1,563,172)$ |  |  | 814,052 | 5,800,707 | $(13,90,429)$ | No |
| 18 | 2015 | 2016 | 60,317,400 | 128,635,000 | 68,777,700 | 2,092,453 | 22,115,440 | 169,381 | $(1,221,025)$ |  |  | 1,040,809 | 6,841,517 | $(11,78,595)$ | No |
| 19 | 2016 | 2017 | 60,317,400 | 142,110,100 | 68,317,600 | 2,086,554 | 24,201,994 | 47,310 | $(1,085,052)$ |  |  | 1,048,812 | 7,890,329 | (9,594,731) | No |
| 20 | 2017 | 2018 | 60,317,400 | 124,966,300 | 64,648,900 | 2,408,464 | 26,610,458 | 50,126 | (1,923,001) |  |  | 535,589 | 8,425,918 | $(7,136,141)$ | No |
| 21 | 2018 | 2019 | 60,317,400 | 129,624,700 | 69,307,300 | 1,908,292 | 28,518,750 | 2,479,315 | $(1,553,567)$ |  |  | 2,834,040 | 11,259,958 | $(2,788,534)$ | No |
| 22 | 2019 | 2020 | 60,317,400 | 130,920,947 | 70,603,547 | 1,930,670 | 30,499,420 |  | $(199,645)$ |  |  | 1,736,025 | 12,995,983 | (817,864) | No |
| 23 | 2020 | 2021 | 60,317,400 | 132,230,156 | 71,912,756 | 2,002,770 | 32,452,190 |  | (50,534) | (1,822,122) | $(695,024)$ | $(564,909)$ | 12,431,073 | 1,184,906 | Yes |
| 24 | 2021 | 2022 | 60,317,400 | 133,552,458 | 73,235,058 | 2,039,596 | 34,491,787 |  | (199,858) | (1,822,122) | (695,024) | $(677,407)$ | 11,75,, 666 | 3,224,503 | yes |
| 25 | 2022 | 2023 | 60,317,400 | 134,887,983 | 74,57, 583 | 2,076,791 | 36,568,577 |  | (197,279) | (1,822,122) | (695,024) | $(637,634)$ | 11,116,032 | 5,301,293 | yes |
| 26 | 2023 | 2024 | 60,317,400 | 136,236,862 | 75,919,462 | 2,114,357 | 38,682,934 |  | (188,960) | (1,822,122) | $(695,024)$ | (591,749) | 10,524,283 | 7,415,650 | Yes |
| 27 | 2024 | 2025 | 60,317,400 | 137,599,231 | 77,881,831 | 2,152,299 | 40,835,233 |  | $(591,487)$ | $(1,822,122)$ | $(695,024)$ | $(956,334)$ | 9,567,949 | 9,567,949 | yes |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 40,835,233 |  | 13,921,139 | $(32,602,694)$ | $(9,110,608)$ | (3,475,121) | 9,567,949 |  |  |  |
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