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TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
ALDERMAN BOHL: Third item on our agenda this morning is for Andy Arena, Old World, LLC Class 'B' Tavern and Tavern Amusement renewal application as agent for Buckhead Saloon at 1044 North Old World Third Street.

MR. ARENA: Good morning.
ALDERMAN BOHL: We actually get to swear you in here. How do you like that?

MR. ARENA: Excellent.
ALDERMAN BOHL: If all you gentlemen intend to provide testimony here to us as well, too, please raise your hands and swear you in.

ANDREW ARENA, WITNESS, DULY SWORN
TATE WINCKLER, WITNESS, DULY SWORN
THEODORE LIPSCOMB, WITNESS, DULY SWORN
ALDERMAN BOHL: And for our agent, we'd need name and address for our record, please.

MR. ARENA: Andrew Arena, 3045 South Beverly Drive, New Berlin, Wisconsin 53146. I acknowledge receipt of the notice of the hearing today.

ALDERMAN BOHL: All right. Thank you. I was going to ask Ms. Grill, because there is a change of entertainment, if there were any holds,
but we'll get to that when she does come back. Sergeant, we'll go, after having acknowledged receipt, we will go right to the police report.

SGT. MacGILLIS: Very good. I'll start with Item 22: On 6-7-2008 at 2:30 a.m. Milwaukee Police were dispatched to 1044 North Old World Third Street for a fight complaint. Officer spoke to two victims who stated while they were inside Buckhead Saloon they were attacked by an unknown female. Officers observed one victim to have a swollen left eye and the other victim complained of -- it says paint to her arm, it should be pain to her arm. Both refused medical attention. Both victims stated that security for the tavern had the woman detained but let her go prior to police arrival. Officers reviewed video surveillance, which was in poor quality, and which did not provide enough to identify an actor. It should be noted that the bar manager, Sean Thompson, was injured during this incident as well.

Item 23: On 12-6-2008 at 2:00 a.m.
Milwaukee Police were dispatched to 1044 North Old World Third Street for trouble with a subject complaint. Investigation found a patron tried to
retrieve his coat from the coat check when he was told they could not locate it. The patron was asked to return the following day when they would most likely find it and it could be returned to him. Words were exchanged between the patron and an employee with police being called. Officers removed the patron from the tavern and asked him to come back the following day to retrieve his coat. A citation was issued to the patron for disorderly conduct unrelated to the complaint.

Item 24: On 12-28-2008 at 2:30 a.m.
Milwaukee Police were dispatched to 1044 North Old World Third Street for trouble with a subject complaint. Officers spoke to the manager, Brian Geelan, who stated a patron who was asked to leave had broken the front glass door of the bar. The subject was still on scene and cooperative with police as well as Geelan. Geelan stated he did not want any charges issued since the patron offered to pay for the damages. The call was advised. Item 25: On 2-21-2009 at 2:25 a.m. Milwaukee Police were flagged down for a trouble with a subject complaint at 1044 North Old World Third Street. Officers spoke to the manager, Tate Winckler, who stated a Buckhead's hooded sweatshirt
was being worn by a patron and that the shirts are worn only by employees. Winckler further stated the sweatshirts are not sold for retail purposes. Winckler stated he tried to approach the patron and ask for the sweatshirt back, but the patron refused. Police spoke to patron regarding the sweatshirt who then returned it back to the manager and the call was advised.

Item 26: On 2-26-2009 at 11:43 p.m., pardon me, Milwaukee Police were flagged down by security for Buckhead Saloon regarding trouble with a subject. Officers observed several security guards physically detaining a patron on the ground in the roadway. Police identified themselves to this subject who then began fighting with officers. The subject was eventually placed into custody. Officers spoke to the bar manager, Andrew High, who stated the subject was on the dance floor grabbing women when security approached him and asked him to leave. The patron then became irate and started to cause a disturbance. Security escorted the patron out from the tavern. While doing so, the patron attempted to punch one of the guards. Several security officers assisted in detaining the patron until police arrived. Charges of disorderly conduct
and resisting arrest were being sought.
Item 27: On 3-6-2009 at 1:20 a.m. Milwaukee Police were on patrol when they were flagged down by security for Buckhead Saloon. A staff member advised police that a subject tried to enter the tavern using a fake ID and pointed out the actor to officers. Police conducted a field interview, and the actor admitted trying to use the a fake ID to gain entry into the tavern. The subject was cited and released.

And Item 28: On 3-13-2009 at 12:34 a.m. Milwaukee Police were flagged down by the door man of Buckhead Saloon for a trouble with a subject complaint. Police spoke to Darrell Dixon who stated an underage subject attempted to enter the tavern using a fake -- correction -- using a false ID. Officers conducted a field interview on the subject and issued him a citation for misrepresenting age.

And that's all I have.
ALDERMAN BOHL: Thank you, Sergeant.
Before we get to you, Mr. Arena, I just wanted to see. Ms. Grill, we have a change in entertainment. I don't know if that would spark any particular holds.

MS. GRILL: No, there's not.

ALDERMAN BOHL: Thank you.
Mr. Arena, any questions or comments that you have related to the notes contained in the police report?

MR. ARENA: Yes. I would just like to review some of those items.

I had ordered the actual reports on those incidents, and $I$ would like to point out that on Item No. 22, and I can submit these reports to the committee if it is deemed necessary; the camera on that incident revealed that the description given was accurate, but the quality wasn't good enough to identify the actor. There was a description given by these two ladies. Apparently there was some type of a random type of swing or punch taken at somebody on the dance floor. Security immediately did step in and attempted to detain the woman; however, what sometimes happens is if the person that is putting up too much of a fight and an argument, you can't really detain them and hold them against their will. We try to detain people. Our security is only allowed to defend themselves and to calm the situation down.

The police were called. We were cooperative. The report indicates that the tavern
was cooperative and it stated that during the same fight Thompson was injured. His injury was actually minimal. So that would be Incident No. 22 .

Incident No. 23: This is the coat check incident, which the general manager, the licensed general manager is here to address that. He can go into greater detail. This particular individual was having a problem because he lost his coat check ticket, and Mr. Winckler will go into that in more detail.

And Item No. 24: You know, a gentleman asked to leave at closing time. For whatever reason he decides to break the door. Then he realizes that he knows he did something not so bright and he paid for that damage.

I would indicate that on Item No. 24 and Item No. 23, it's not indicated in the police report, nor in the report that's before the committee, but the police officers observed these people to be overly intoxicated or overserved. I just think that it's poor behavior by certain individuals.

Item No. 25 is the hooded sweatshirt issue on a patron who was approached. He did have property that belonged to the establishment. That
particular clothing is not allowed to be removed from the establishment by anybody. So it was suspected that somehow he found a way to obtain this. It was investigated by the manager; and, pursuant to our policy, there was an issue so he did contact the police. The police came and resolved this, and there was no further issue.

Number 26 , there again, that was somebody that was detained and became irate; and why he would decide to fight with the police after he was detained because of his poor behavior, I don't understand that behavior myself. But that was an incident that took place on the street.

And of course the last two issues, pursuant to our policy, if we catch somebody with a fake ID, we do detain and call the police; and I think that that's a good policy. In particular, this location is somewhat of a large location. It's in a large entertainment district. I think people that visit the City of Milwaukee find themselves in this area. It's close to the Bradley Center, close to the Arena, close to the theater; and I believe that Buckhead Saloon is an anchor on that street that helps create the activity that is going on on that street. I think the street has become a
destination. I think it's the number one entertainment destination in the city; and as a result, there are large amounts of people. There are several large venues on the street, and people go from place to place; and so you have a lot of activity, and sometimes certain people, unknown to you, will behave in a poor way. And as a result, it's the policy of Buckhead Saloon to call the police when there is any incident.

Buckhead serves about 1,000 people to 1,100 people a night when it's opened. We employ 60 people, and I would tell you that these are very good, high paying jobs, and it allows many college students and many people to work and earn very good money, with not having to work necessarily a full-time job or full-time type hours. And in that regard, $I$ think we are a benefit to the city.

I guess that's the extent of my comments on the police report. If there's any questions on any of those incidents, Tate can address those as well.

ALDERMAN BOHL: Thank you, Mr. Arena.
Are there any questions by committee at this time? (No response.)

Mr. Arena, in speaking to a couple of
issues that you addressed, I think first and foremost, no one from this committee nor the council wants to see an establishment that isn't active and ready to contact the police if they are having problems with that. So $I$ mean in that respect, we would rather have a situation where you were contacting the police than have a situation that escalates.

That being said, you also addressed the issue that Old World Third Street, like Water Street in many ways, has become more of a destination, has a few active bars and nightclubs; and so as a result, you have a situation where individuals are at times going from one location to another and where there is active drinking.

The question that I'm going to ask you relates to the -- the situation that here these incidents here involved individuals who had consumed too much alcohol. I think it would be saying too much to presuppose that individuals consumed too much necessarily right at Buckhead's because they could have entered having consumed alcohol at other locations having gone from bar to bar, doing the bar-hopping thing. But you do employ door persons or security at the door.

I mean are you attempting to screen? Do you have any policy that, if someone looks to be inebriated, or already on a questionable level, that you maybe do not enter them because, in that respect, you know, you have a responsibility to try not to, first off, overserve somebody that is already in your establishment; and, two, if somebody is already -- has already been drinking to the point where they're beyond themselves where they have been drinking excessively, is it inviting potentially the likelihood of greater problems if someone is allowed to enter the establishment if they indeed seem to be intoxicated or show the signs thereof?

So I'm just wondering if you have any policy regarding whether your bartenders see an individual that may be beyond the point of being able to serve and/or if you have a policy to at least try to guard against individuals who maybe have consumed too much from entering the establishment?

MR. ARENA: Yes. And Tate also can address that; but that is the policy, and people are turned away regularly if it's deemed that they may be a problem, or if their dress is not appropriate, if it appears that they are intoxicated. There's
also coverage on the inside for people to determine if somebody's starting to, you know, maybe appear that they have now consumed too much. Sometimes you end up with a situation where somebody may have consumed alcohol down the street, comes into your place, has one or two more, now he's reached the point of being much more intoxicated than when he came in. We have people that specifically watch that, and people are walked out regularly. Entrance is denied people regularly.

I mean when the turnover is 1,000 to 1,100 people a night, we don't have to cater to somebody who might come in and spend a dollar. We're not interested in that. That's not the type of operation that we have. There's plenty of activity, and $I$ would like -- Tate can address that further.

ALDERMAN BOHL: Sure. You are the manager?

MR. WINCKLER: I'm the general manager.
ALDERMAN BOHL: If you could, please, identify yourself for the record.

MR. WINCKLER: My name is Tate Winckler, W
I N C K L E R. And my address?
ALDERMAN BOHL: Yes.
MR. WINCKLER: 1094 West Deerwood Lane,

Franklin, Wisconsin 53132.
ALDERMAN BOHL: Thank you, Mr. Winckler, please.

MR. WINCKLER: So every night I have Darrell Dixon is listed here, he's available, and he's done it for almost eight, nine years. So if anybody is overintoxicated physically when they show up, they don't get in. That's a standing rule because last thing I want to deal with or my security personnel, or my customers, is somebody in that situation. So I have been running this since we opened in September of '05. That's the last thing I want to do, because every one of these incidents ruins my night.

So we certainly turn away numerous people for a variety of reasons. And, you know, Milwaukee people like to consume, so that's something that we are very guarded against, and because it leads to incidents like this if you let people in the door. So it's something that we're very cautious about.

ALDERMAN BOHL: Thank you. Again, I'll ask any other questions by committee at this time? (No response.)

Alderman Bauman, were there comments you wish to make at this point?

ALDERMAN BAUMAN: Just on the police report and some of the comments of the agent.

Just to point out to the Committee that there's seven reported incidents where PA-33s were generated for this cycle. There were six reported incidents where PA-33s were generated in the last cycle. This is not the number one entertainment venue in the City in Milwaukee. I'm very familiar with the entertainment venues in Downtown Milwaukee; and the claim of credit for the resurgence of Old World Third Street, if anything, this establishment is an anchor, is a drag, on the resurgence of Old World Third Street.

Were this applicant applying today, they would not get a favorable recommendation from this office because they don't serve food and they're not open during the day. The resurgence of Old World Third Street is the proliferation of establishments that are open for lunch during the afternoon, serve meals, and also operate tavern businesses at night so there is daytime activity and early evening activity on the street. Opening a tavern, a club, a straight club, no food, at 7:00 p.m. and operating to close is not leading the resurgence of Old World Third Street. If anything, it is a drag on the
resurgence of Old World Third Street.
So I take strong issues. So if there's any overreaching policy reasons why this record should be ignored because they're such a dynamic force for economic development and resurgence of old World Third Street, I have to take issue with that representation. That's not the case, and as -- that is just not the case.

I notice that with respect to every single incident listed, all seven items, the operative word were Milwaukee Police were dispatched, Milwaukee Police were dispatched, Milwaukee Police were dispatched, Milwaukee Police were flagged down, Milwaukee Police on patrol were flagged down, Milwaukee Police were flagged down. In other words, the persistent consumption of police resources to deal with entertainment venues in Downtown Milwaukee, drawing those resources away from the neighborhoods of our city where those resources are desperately needed, frequently at the time when they're most needed, namely the hours of $2: 30$ a.m., 2:25 a.m., 11:43 a.m., 1:20 a.m., 12:34 a.m., etcetera, etcetera, etcetera.

And I -- as I continually pointed out in cases where there's significant volumes of police
action, police involvement, police directing traffic, police dispersing crowds, there is an issue of these establishments basically passing off their cost of doing business to the taxpayers of Milwaukee by operating venues in such a way that substantial police resources are required to help them in operating their business in essence for which they're not paying.

So there are many other large entertainment venues in the City of Milwaukee which do not come before this committee with six items in one cycle and seven items the very next cycle. I'm sure they have a very good explanation for every single incident, but the bottom line is they're consuming immense resources. Other operations of similar size do not consume similar resources; and there's obviously a problem with management, business plan, the type of clientele they allow in in terms of their dress, in terms of their prior amount of drinking activity, in terms of policing the establishment inside. Whatever the case may be, I don't know. I'm not there. I suspect Mr. Arena, the agent, is not there very often either during these times of $2: 30,2: 25$ a.m., 11:43 p.m. etcetera, etcetera.

So that's -- there's more testimony to be presented. My -- I might say I'm honored to have County Supervisor Theo Lipscomb also appearing today on this matter. He has an incident of which he has personal knowledge, which took place at Buckhead's, which is extremely disturbing to me. He can speak for himself; but at the conclusion of all the testimony, I have a recommendation that $I$ would like the Committee to consider.

ALDERMAN BOHL: Thank you. Question of the Committee by Alderman Bauman at this time? (No response.)

Mr. Arena, any questions?
MR. ARENA: Alderman, do you think it's poor judgment to call the police when somebody's found with a fake identification card? Is that not the appropriate thing to do?

ALDERMAN BAUMAN: I wasn't there. I have no idea what the appropriate thing to do is. Given whatever the facts and circumstances are, I wasn't there. I don't know what took place, I don't know what the appropriate action would be.

MR. ARENA: My question doesn't require you to be there. The police report is before the Committee.

ALDERMAN BAUMAN: I don't know is the answer.

MR. ARENA: Would you agree that it is appropriate to call the police and involve the police rather than releasing people who are creating a problem out onto the street to go create a problem somewhere else or go to their car and get their weapons, which happens in many establishments?

ALDERMAN BAUMAN: I don't know. I wasn't there. I don't know what the facts and circumstances of the incident were, or whether they had guns in their cars and would have gone to get those guns had the police not been called. I do not know that.

MR. ARENA: Just like you don't know whether I'm there at 2:00 or 2:30 in the morning, right?

ALDERMAN BAUMAN: I don't know if you're there at 2:30 in the morning, that's correct.

MR. ARENA: Thank you.
ALDERMAN BOHL: All right, thank you.
This matter is cited for neighborhood objections. I know that we have one individual here who wishes to speak. I just will ask, are there any other individuals present here today in our viewing
area that wish to testify on the matter of Buckhead Saloon at 1044 North Old World Third Street. Anyone is present, show of hands. (No response.)

Let the record reflect that no one else has acknowledged that.

Good morning, Supervisor. How are you today? You have been sworn in. We will need your name and your address for the record, and then we will be able to take your testimony. I don't know if you have an additional copy of the letter that you provided to the license division here.

MR. LIPSCOMB: It's not an exact copy, no.
ALDERMAN BAUMAN: I have an extra. I can place mine on this.

ALDERMAN BOHL: What $I$ just wish to ask here is, Ms. Grill, was the Applicant here provided a copy of that in their packet?

MS. GRILL: Yes, they were.
ALDERMAN BOHL: Okay. If we were to make that letter part of our record, I just want to make certain that you had the opportunity to view that as well. So that's what the Committee wishes to do.

But why don't you proceed. You can let us know, again, name, address for the record and then your testimony.

MR. LIPSCOMB: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
Theodore Lipscomb, 5531 North Shasta Drive, Glendale. Also own property in the City of Milwaukee and represent the City.

I just wanted to add to the Committee for the Committee's consideration another incident that's not reflected in a police report that occurred on August 2, 2008 at 10:00 p.m. I was co-hosting a reunion here in Milwaukee, and we had chartered the Edelweiss Cruise line, and we were returning from our cruise and docking. The dock is just below Buckhead Saloon's outdoor patio along the Riverwalk. Several members of the party were showered from above with beer that had been thrown over the side.

I became aware of this and joined several other members in confronting the establishment, management, on the rear patio of Buckhead; and there did not appear to be very many people there, although there was someone from Buckhead there. And he claimed that he had been there, had been stationed there, and didn't see anything, didn't believe that it had come from his establishment. I contend that it was either -- it either came from inside the patio area or it was a patron right
there. I mean you could not have missed it. This is not a very large area. If that is your job to surveil that area, $I$ would think you could do that.

They say that there was no -- that there is no outdoor camera at that location so there was no ability to go back and look at who the perpetrator was. As I was having the discussion with Mr. Winckler, a patron comes out and wants to get involved in this; and he was clearly overserved. And I don't know what his point was for being involved, whether he was the perpetrator or not, but I was the one that had to tell the guy to go inside and to try to just diffuse this.

As you can imagine, the brothers and sons of the women who were showered with beer were a little irate, and so I'm trying to calm the situation down; and I didn't feel like the establishment was taking any responsibility for it or even suggesting that they had any responsibility or role in surveilling the Riverwalk, which is as you know a privilege that they have the ability to be up there serving.

So I don't know if there's any questions.
ALDERMAN BOHL: Thank you for your
testimony. Are there questions by the Committee?

ALDERMAN HAMILTON: Mr. Chair.
ALDERMAN BOHL: Yes.
ALDERMAN HAMILTON: So it was the manager, Mr. Winckler, that's here at the table that you had the contact with on that evening?

MR. LIPSCOMB: Yes. He was not the person that was stationed outside, but he was called out. He was the one that $I$ was discussing the matter with.

ALDERMAN HAMILTON: And your exchange with him wasn't positive, or was it the patron?

MR. LIPSCOMB: It was -- my exchange with him was fine in the sense that it was civil, but there was no -- there was no acknowledgment that they had any role; and he basically just denied it which, you know, he wasn't there to have seen it and he was backing up his employee. But he also wasn't acknowledging that they really had any responsibility. He was just saying we don't think it came from inside the patio; and if it happened beyond this little three foot rail, then, you know, we don't watch that, that's not our responsibility. And I'm saying, well, it likely came over the rail, whether it was thrown over the rail from inside or passed to the patron on the Riverwalk, it came from
your establishment. No one else is serving onto this patio.

ALDERMAN COGGS: Mr. Chair.
ALDERMAN BOHL: Alderman Coggs.
ALDERMAN COGGS: I just want to ask the manager, what is your recollection of that?

MR. WINCKLER: First of all, the situation was we didn't know what happened. So to answer, when I came on the scene, I had several very irate people, for good reason, because this is an unfortunate incident. Talking to my security guard, so the first thing that $I$ want to do is obviously find out what happened, and so did my security guard. He didn't see what had occurred so we're trying to figure out what was going on with the situation. And I had -- the thing that I was confused by was that in talking to Mr. Lipscomb was the -- I had no more than five people on the patio and I had one security guard standing between our patio and Edelweiss boats. And so that's why I'm unsure how it would come from our establishment and not be seen by the security guard who was out there for that purpose.

In regards to, you know, at least the question, I understand my responsibility. The

Edelweiss boats moved from over by Third Street Pier to below my patio. I met with them numerous times, but I understand my responsibility, which is why I have a closed in fence area and security guard whenever that door is open that stands between the two. And there's eight feet from the fence to the railing, and there's numerous purposes, so that his job is to make sure no one's allowed to leave there. Obviously that would never be acceptable to the security staff. So I was confused on why obviously, if that happened, why would it happen; and obviously I talked to my security guard about it.

The point of the matter that I have,
obviously I don't want an incident like that. I never had an incident like with the Edelweiss boats. I have a working relationship with them, and my job is to protect their boats from obviously individual's poor actions. So I'm upset about the incident because I don't want that to happen.

The only thing that $I$ was confused is $I$ had five people in my patio and a security guard watching that, yet there was probably 100 people waiting to board the next Edelweiss boat. I'm not the only person in the area. I had five people out there and somebody watching them, and there's other
people that get off buses, limos, bachelorette parties waiting to get on there that could have had that situation. Not denying responsibility.

The fact of the matter is $I$ didn't know what happened so $I$ was trying to figure out and diffuse the situation that was, obviously for good reason upset about it and -- but we didn't know what happened. I don't know what resolution I could have given him that would have made Mr. Lipscomb -- you know, how to do that. So I don't know what the resolution was. I certainly was there. I gave him my business card, I said I'm sorry about the incident; but we didn't know where it came from, and I don't know how to fix that.

So I don't understand my responsibility.
I feel that $I$ had everything in place that $I$ do every single night to protect those boats and protect my neighbors, which I've worked with for four years now. So I guess that's -- as far as the intoxicated patron, I'm a little frustrated by that comment because I remember the patron coming from the inside to the outside because there was an irate situation. It's kind of like a car accident. This person walked out, listening to what was going on because several members of the other group were very
upset and very loud. So as far as my recollection was, it was kind of like what are you looking at, why are you out here while $I$ was talking to Mr. Lipscomb. So I think that's unfair, but that's my opinion versus theirs.

Either way, it's an unfortunate incident. Unfortunately I didn't know who did it; and I will say, from talking with the Edelweiss, it was their opinion that -- they looked into the situation also, that they didn't believe it came from the patio. Unfortunately Dan Droidson (phonetic) is not here, he's out of town and cannot be here to testify.

ALDERMAN COGGS: Is the patio, is that like under an extended premises thing?

MR. WINCKLER: I believe that's a permanent extension.

ALDERMAN COGGS: That's a permanent extension. Ms. Grill.

MS. GRILL: Mr. Chair.
ALDERMAN BOHL: Ms. Grill.
MS. GRILL: The premises description for this license is the first floor and the Riverwalk patio so it's included as part of their permit license.

ALDERMAN COGGS: Just one last question
for you. You say you do the fence and you have a security guard to help prevent incidents like the one Supervisor Lipscomb just mentioned. Do you question the truthfulness of the incident he says? But let's say it did happen, so the security and the fence were not enough. Whenever people come before us and the issues are raised, I'm looking for how you addressing the issues. So sitting before me telling me you don't believe the incident occurred is not addressing the issue.

What is to say that this won't happen to other people on Edelweiss boats riding underneath your patio from your patrons throwing beer over?

MR. WINCKLER: Well, first of all, I do believe this incident occurred. I just said we don't know where it came from. The first we heard about it was when they, this group, came up and said, hey, we just got showered with liquid.

ALDERMAN COGGS: What are you doing when this happened?

MR. WINCKLER: I have multiple security out there. I have one or two depending how busy it is, and I keep them between the boats.

ALDERMAN COGGS: So nothing different than
what you were doing prior to this incident
occurring?
MR. WINCKLER: Correct.
ALDERMAN COGGS: Thank you.
ALDERMAN BOHL: Other questions by committee? (No response.)

Mr. Arena, any questions?
MR. ARENA: Can I ask a question of
Mr. Winckler?
Mr. Lipscomb defined or stated that he came up and was going to confront the employees of Buckhead Saloon. How many people came with Mr. Lipscomb to confront, and can you describe how that occurred to the best of your recollection?

MR. WINCKLER: Well, I was concerned because, when $I$ came on the incident, there were several people yelling at my security guard so I was worried about him, you know. They were visibly upset, and I have no problem with it. I understand why they're upset, and I know why they would be upset in the same situation. So there was several people. So the first thing was trying to make sure the situation was calm, and I believe Mr. Lipscomb and I did keep everyone calm; and we were trying to resolve the situation, I just didn't know what the resolution needed to be.

MR. ARENA: Now in regards to the -- your patron that was you say standing there, who talked to him, that patron, first?

MR. WINCKLER: I believe that group addressed that patron because they weren't happy that he was standing there.

MR. ARENA: And how did they address that patron?

MR. WINCKLER: I think it was either what are you looking at or they told him to go back inside or something.

MR. ARENA: Can you speak up, please.
MR. WINCKLER: Sorry.
MR. ARENA: And how was it that patron went back inside?

MR. WINCKLER: He just turned around and left and walked in.

MR. ARENA: Did anybody ask him to turn around and leave?

MR. WINCKLER: Yes, they had asked him to and I had asked him to.

MR. ARENA: And what's your opinion as to whether or not this patron was overintoxicated?

MR. WINCKLER: I didn't think he was. I think the situation was they were very upset about
the situation. I think he had a beer in his hand so I think that could be a logical conclusion. The patron I think come out and said hey, but he was there, and it was a pretty heated situation and they were upset with the incident; and again, I don't blame them for it.

MR. ARENA: How far away from the patron was Mr. Lipscomb and the group that he was with, the several people that he was with?

MR. WINCKLER: Five feet, eight feet.
MR. ARENA: I don't have any further questions of Mr. Winckler. I do have a brief question or two for Mr. Lipscomb.

ALDERMAN BOHL: Proceed.
MR. ARENA: You had drafted a letter to the license division, Mr. Lipscomb; is that correct?

MR. LIPSCOMB: Yes.
MR. ARENA: And in that letter you state and you stated here today that -- well, strike that. I'll go back a little bit further.

You stated here today that it did not appear that, once you went up the ramp, or however you get up to the upper level of the Riverwalk, there did not appear to be many people there on the patio; is that correct?

MR. LIPSCOMB: Correct.
MR. ARENA: And the patio area is fenced off, it's designated by a fence; is that your memory?

THE WITNESS: It was probably a three foot fence, three foot rail.

MR. ARENA: And you said that it did not appear that there were many people there. What is your memory as to how many people were there; is it less than five?

MR. LIPSCOMB: I think Mr. Winckler said, his characterization there was about five people sitting out there was accurate.

MR. ARENA: Okay. And do you recall, like, about how many feet below the -- that Riverwalk area the boats actually dock?

MR. LIPSCOMB: I don't know. I would say it's 20 or so feet. It's basically a grade below. You have to go down the stairs. I would clearly say though that there were not other -- this was not patrons that were waiting to board the boat or getting off the boat. They were further to the north there. They're along Highland, the south end of Highland.

MR. ARENA: Where were you when this
incident occurred when these people were showered?
MR. LIPSCOMB: I was getting off the boat. There were 70 of us, so $I$ was not right next to the people that were showered.

MR. ARENA: And were you getting off the back exit of the boat or the front end of the boat?

MR. LIPSCOMB: I don't know which way the boat comes in. You can only get off the one side, and I don't believe that they -- I don't know that they exit from two points on the boat.

MR. ARENA: Was it in the rear of the boat that you exited or the front of the boat?

MR. LIPSCOMB: I don't know.
MR. ARENA: And the people that -- did you actually see the people get showered with whatever it was they were showered with?

MR. LIPSCOMB: No.
MR. ARENA: How did you determine that it was in fact beer that they were showered with; was that based on they told you they were showered with beer?

MR. LIPSCOMB: It smelled of beer, and they weren't drinking beer.

MR. ARENA: And how far from you were you when the incident occurred when these people were
showered?
MR. LIPSCOMB: I was within 35 feet I would say. It -- I couldn't have been more than about 35 feet.

MR. ARENA: And you were informed of the incident based on what you were told, not what you actually saw; is that what I understand?

MR. LIPSCOMB: Correct. That's why my letter says that $I$ joined several people in confronting the establishment. I specifically, just to comment on Mr. Winckler's comment, I believe I joined three or four others; and I think that they were, while they were upset about the incident, I think they were reasonably upset as you would be if your wife, girlfriend, mother, sister were showered with beer and you were on a rather elegant, very nice cruise of Milwaukee. Most of these were out of town people. So this was -- you know, my concern was that this was going to be their impression of Milwaukee.

MR. ARENA: And you acknowledge that there were other people up in the Riverwalk area waiting for the boat?

MR. LIPSCOMB: Further to the north along Highland.

MR. ARENA: Well, were there other people up and around? You stated in your letter and you stated here --

MR. LIPSCOMB: Not immediately above the area where you disembark the boat where the incident occurred.

MR. ARENA: Well, you stated in your
letter that you believe that, if it did not come from inside the patio area, it was procured within the establishment, made accessible to someone within the public right-of-way. So were there people in the public right-of-way?

MR. LIPSCOMB: No. That's the characterization based on the fact that Mr. Winckler is so certain that it didn't come from inside and that the security says that they didn't see it, that they didn't see it happen in the patio area. So the only other option is that it would happen outside the patio area. So where did the alcohol come from.

MR. ARENA: Well, there were people in the common area that weren't behind the fence area. You didn't see any of this --

MR. LIPSCOMB: They weren't getting served alcohol.

MR. ARENA: If you could let me finish my
question.
Something came from somewhere, that's acknowledged.

ALDERMAN WITKOWSKI: Mr. Chairman, is this relevant? I think we've heard this before.

MR. ARENA: I think it's factual.
ALDERMAN BOHL: Mr. Arena, if you could just try to expedite this. I'll give you the opportunity to raise the question. I don't want to limit you. We're spending an awful lot of time on one incident. I'm not diminishing the severity of the incident, but go ahead.

MR. ARENA: I don't diminish the seriousness of this either. I'm very concerned, and in fact, Mr. Winckler is concerned about it as well, and I'm trying to ask the question as quickly as I can.

ALDERMAN BOHL: Go ahead. You're getting the go-ahead to do it. Green light, no pause to tell me that you're going to hit the gas. Go.

MR. ARENA: All right. So, Mr. Lipscomb, it is somewhat speculative by you, is it not, to say that this must have came from somebody from inside the fence and pass it over and somebody dumped it over; you don't know that, right? That's a fact,
you don't know how that occurred?
MR. LIPSCOMB: It's as much speculation as Mr. Winckler saying that it didn't happen inside because he wasn't there either.

MR. ARENA: And that is correct. So now you and several other people are upset, and you want to go and confront them about this. What is it that you wanted the outcome to be? You wanted them to determine who did this, if anybody?

MR. LIPSCOMB: I presume that they would have security cameras. If they can't surveil the premises with people, then $I$ would think that they would have electronic devices to help them in that effort.

MR. ARENA: There was somebody there who says that he was watching and did not see this occur.

MR. LIPSCOMB: I mean that's the problem.
MR. ARENA: And was an inquiry made by them that they checked the people out there to see if anybody saw or anybody was there to do it?

MR. LIPSCOMB: He was only watching five people. How can you not see it. I am happy to hear that Mr. Winckler acknowledges that the incident occurred or believes it occurred, because on that
night $I$ didn't have the sense that he believed it occurred, that he thought we were inventing a situation. So, quite frankly, on that night we wanted an acknowledgment, we wanted an apology, and I wanted to make sure that the premises would take some action and this wouldn't occur again.

MR. ARENA: Okay. He in fact did give you an apology that night and handed you his card and told you that, if there's anything he could do for you, he would do it, did he not?

MR. LIPSCOMB: I don't recall that he handed me a card. I took down his name, and I don't even know that $I$ had a number. I don't recall that. I mean what -- he didn't offer to make sure that it would never happen again because at that time he wasn't acknowledging that it happened.

MR. ARENA: Did you --
ALDERMAN BOHL: Mr. Arena, I just want to let you know --

MR. ARENA: I have one more question.
ALDERMAN BOHL: I'm going to give you one more minute on this. I mean me literally could write War and Peace with one incident. I am not diminishing the incident, but literally we're spending a lot of time on one incident. I don't
know where it gets to any resolution if you will outside of this. So last question here on this.

MR. ARENA: I have no more questions. I think Mr. Winckler has something to add that would be I guess somewhat in rebuttal, which would be appropriate procedure.

ALDERMAN BOHL: We will allow that as part of closing then.

MR. ARENA: Okay.
ALDERMAN BOHL: Are there any other questions the Committee has of Supervisor Lipscomb? (No response.)

Thank you, Supervisor.
And at this point here, Alderman Hamilton would move to make the August 11, 2008 two page letter from County Supervisor Dale Lipscomb part of this proceeding; and hearing no objection, that's so ordered.

At this point there were no additional individuals here to testify.

Alderman Bauman, we'll hear from you.
ALDERMAN BAUMAN: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
This last exchange with Supervisor
Lipscomb is I guess my point. This establishment and this management are in denial; nothing ever
happens there; whatever happens, they're doing the best they can. They're the greatest place in the world; they have the finest people in the world; nobody ever operates with any negligence or in any other kind of deficient manner. Everything is perfect, perfect, perfect. County Supervisor comes in, his family members are present, they get showered with beer; that didn't happen, did you see it happen. Your grandmother wet from head to toe smelling of beer; well, that didn't happen, that wasn't from us.

That's indicative of this establishment as indicated by their record over a long period of time, their almost arrogance to come before this committee and claim they're the number one entertainment venue in the City of Milwaukee. I believe some discipline is required here to send a message that, whether you're the number one entertainment venue or the 50th entertainment venue, you have to manage your property in such a way that you're not consuming excess amounts of police services; and when unfortunate incidents involving the public take place, you have to take some responsibility, you have to acknowledge that it took place, and you have to do something about it to make
sure it doesn't happen again.
ALDERMAN BOHL: Thank you.
Mr. Arena.
MR. ARENA: I really need to address an
issue there. First of all, if you recall what I said at the initial statement that $I$ made, $I$ did not say that this was the number one entertainment venue in the City of Milwaukee, I said that Third Street as a whole is the number one entertainment destination in the city. And that is my opinion; that is a matter of opinion; and $I$ would submit to you that everybody here is aware of the amount of businesses that came there within the last two years.

Secondly, we acknowledge that these people were sprayed with beer. What we don't acknowledge is that we can prove where it came from; and you have to look at it from the perspective of Mr. Winckler, who will address it. You can't go around making these accusations towards people, nobody stepping forward saying that they saw it or whatever. He tried to address it the best that he could, but he was confronted by several people that actually put him in fear. These people became very aggressive that were with Mr. Lipscomb. They got
into it with words with somebody who is just standing there as an innocent bystander as it appears to me.

I think that Mr . Lipscomb was very much within his right to come up and find out what was going on with Mr. Winckler, the very calm conversation with him, and try to address his concerns; and if it did come from that patio, he's aware that that is an issue, and he does make sure that he has adequate coverage and trains the people out there to watch.

Now, we can talk about cameras all we want, but a camera probably isn't going to prevent that incident from happening. A camera would ultimately potentially aide in helping prove who actually did something or whatever, but that probably wouldn't have prevented it. Would it maybe make sense to have a camera out there, $I$ don't know. Some of these cameras, they have limited capabilities. As you can see, the Incident No. 22, the security camera revealed that the description given was accurate, but the quality wasn't good enough to identify the actor. That's a high tech digital camera that is pretty decent, and nobody can identify that actor from there. So the cameras are
only so good, and I think sometimes too much stock is put into the cameras.

What $I$ would say about this incident is it is unfortunate. We don't like it, but it is very isolated. There has not been an issue with anything on the patio since that particular incident. I think that we've addressed the situation, and I am going to let Mr. Winckler address those other incidents if you don't mind rather than me covering the other incidents.

MR. WINCKLER: I just want to say that, you know, of all the things that are said was that I'm not trying to argue with Mr. Lipscomb. The situation is regrettable. Like I said, I've worked -- my number one priority on my back patio is to keep an eye on the Edelweiss, and I've had zero incidents like this one on record and no objection from them. So I am not in denial. If I'm defensive when I'm told I'm a bad operator of my business, I am defensive, and $I$ think everyone in my situation would be. So I mean that's where my defensiveness comes from. You're saying that I'm operating a bad business.

And my only take on this was I had one person watching about five people outside. So I
thought I was being responsible along with, you know, watching my patrons and what they were doing while they're at my establishment. Every single night there are, you know, maybe 500 people or so that board the Edelweiss boats. And I don't know, we certainly would want to find that person who did that because that's the last thing I would want to happen to their party.

So I just want that known is that I'm not in denial or not apologetic for that incident to happen. My only question is, if that event, it was somebody not with our group and my security guard was watching on that patio and somebody else did this event; it's possible it came from my patio, I never denied that possibility, it's that my license could be being reviewed here on a situation that maybe $I$ was taking care of in being responsible in operating my business.

So that's something that $I$ certainly -- I just want to say that this is the last thing $I$ want to happen to any of the Edelweiss's customers. I worked with our neighbors, and what's on my record is three and a half years of us being open and very busy. I'm not saying that we're the best or we're above the law or anything.

Four things on the record, or seven things on the record; four of them we could have handled without getting police. The guy with the sweatshirt on, I had 10 security guards that could have taken the sweatshirt from him being on my property. So I chose to get the police so we didn't have to do anything physical with the customer. The two people with the fake IDs, they were claiming that $I$ was holding their property inappropriately, and I said they can get the uniform officers and they can tell them they're 21. I don't want their property if they're actually 21.

There's only two incidents that the police were called because of physical altercation, which we're using resources for somebody acting inappropriately.

So I just want to say, you know, three and a half years $I$ have tried to run a respectful business. I am disappointed that Alderman Bauman doesn't feel that way, and we do try to improve every day. So thanks.

MR. ARENA: I think there was one thing that I needed to address.

ALDERMAN BOHL: Mr. Arena, I'm going to allow the last thing and --

MR. ARENA: Well, I think that goes to form on that issue on the application on entertainment.

ALDERMAN BOHL: All right. I'll let you address that. I think that there's been a sufficient closing here.

I just want to say that there is legislation coming before us that will allow a two minute opening and two minute closing for everyone, and with good reason. Because the -- ultimately the liberty is -- it becomes 10 minutes and then everyone else. I don't blame you for addressing an issue because you have concerns. In some ways you've addressed issues that have been addressed already, and we get to a point of redundancy.

Please proceed, and don't debate me on my asking you to address that issue and wrap it up.

MR. ARENA: That issue was on the entertainment. I checked the patron contest --

ALDERMAN BOHL: I've giving you the liberty to go. Please, if you could.

MR. ARENA: I thought that we had the costume contest in the past. That was one night. For Halloween night we have a Halloween costume contest. That's why it was there. I thought it was
always there. I usually compare last year to this year. Somewhere there's an oversight on my part, and that's all I'm saying.

ALDERMAN BOHL: All right. In terms of the change is the intent to utilize that for that one evening is what you're saying?

MR. ARENA: Exactly. That's the one evening we would have a costume contest.

MR. LIPSCOMB: Mr. Chair.
ALDERMAN BOHL: Supervisor, I'll extend -we're taking this into committee. I'll extend you very brief courtesy.

MR. LIPSCOMB: Thank you. I appreciate it.

Just for the record, I was offended by the comment that Mr. Winckler was in fear by the other members of my party. That same comment wasn't made of me, and that brings into mind whether he wasn't in fear of me because I looked more like his patrons and less like the black gentlemen that were talking to him before I arrived. Otherwise I'm not sure why he was in fear of them, but he wasn't in fear of me. I was equally upset at the matter, and it just -that really struck a nerve to hear that he was in fear of members, relatives of mine, who were upset
with good reason; and if that's his -- if he has an inability to deal with the general public, then that's a problem.

ALDERMAN BOHL: Okay. Thank you.
MR. ARENA: That was merely based on their behavior.

MR. LIPSCOMB: You said you were in fear. I felt like it was a subcontext that you wouldn't have known, but for whatever reason, they were in fear.

ALDERMAN BOHL: That's fine.
MR. ARENA: Because they started arguing with people.

ALDERMAN BOHL: All right. We're in committee.

ALDERMAN WITKOWSKI: Being too quiet in here, Mr. Chairman.

ALDERMAN BOHL: Alderman Witkowski, I'll recognize you.

ALDERMAN WITKOWSKI: First of all, just a statement. I'm going to say that I'm insulted to sit here and think that beer fell out of the heavens as opposed to your patio is just ridiculous. This is really insulting to have to waste everybody's time with the line of questioning. That's so
insulting.
With that said, I think I'm going to pass on making a motion here.

ALDERMAN BOHL: All right. We appreciate your joining the committee today. So we don't hold that against you. We're still in committee.

ALDERMAN HAMILTON: Well, I'll make a comment, too.

ALDERMAN BOHL: Okay. Let's be brief with the comments here.

ALDERMAN HAMILTON: I'll be brief with the comment.

ALDERMAN BOHL: Because I always like when the motion speaks for your comments.

Go ahead.
ALDERMAN HAMILTON: I'm a little disappointed because, Mr. Winckler, you seem like a good manager. You seem like you're trying to do the right things. I think maybe your business plan is, you know, it's not exactly what the Alderman would like to see in the area, and there may be some things that you can do to kind of expand that to contribute more to the Old World Third Street atmosphere. But it seems like you're trying to do the right thing, and it's just a little
disappointing to think that if a group shows up, they had this terrible incident, that you wouldn't know how to handle that. Because I think you possess the ability to do that, and they shouldn't walk away from that situation feeling unfulfilled considering the impact that it has on the group, and I think you know how to do that.

So with that said, I'll state a motion, Mr. Chair. Considering the incidents and the police report and, you know, comments from the Alderman. Obviously he knows some of the incidents that are occurring in the area, they did have a number of incidents last year and a number this year; and at the same time trying to deal with some of the situations that are occurring on the strip, I know it's not easy to deal with.

So my motion would be renewal with a 10 day suspension.

ALDERMAN BOHL: Thank you. Before I begin there, $I$ just will say this is the fourth time that a phone has gone off and we've had one pager go off as well, too. We do have signs posted out front that try to elicit individuals turning off of their electronic devices just because they come at inopportune times. If you do have your cell phone
on, we're not going to hold it against you, please quietly reach in your pocket; if you will shut those off, I appreciate it.

Thank you. The motion by Alderman Hamilton is to recommend approval of the renewal of the licenses with the issuance of a 10 day suspension based on matters contained in the police report as well as the additional residential testimony.

Are there any objections to that motion?
I will object to the motion.
Hearing no other objections, the motion will carry on a 3-2-1 vote.

Mr. Schrimpf.
MR. SCHRIMPF: Mr. Arena, the Committee is going to be doing findings of fact and conclusions of law recommending renewal of this license with a 10 day suspension. You of course will receive a copy of that. You will have an opportunity to submit written objections. If so, they must be received by the close of business, 4:45 p.m., in Room 205, July 1, 2009. If you submit written objections, then you will also have the ability to appear before the Milwaukee Common Council when it considers this matter at approximately 9:00 a.m. on

July 7, 2009 in the Council Chambers of this building.

MR. ARENA: You said 4:45?
MR. SCHRIMPF: P.m. on July the 1st.
MR. ARENA: They don't close at 4:30?
MR. SCHRIMPF: No, I believe they close -actually I believe they close at 5 o'clock, but I believe the secretarial staff closes at --

MR. ARENA: Well, $I$ was there recently --
ALDERMAN BOHL: I think the license division has indicated they hope that people are in the door by 4:30.

MS. GRILL: Mr. Chair.
ALDERMAN BOHL: Ms. Grill.
MS. GRILL: The staff assistant was
located previously in the license division, and our office does close at 4:30; but the City Clerk's Office closes at 4:45, which is now where those can be filed.

ALDERMAN BOHL: Okay. Call it 4:45 then.
MR. ARENA: So that can be filed at 4:45 in the City Attorney's Office, is that what I understand?

MS. GRILL: City Clerk's Office, Room 205 of City Hall.

MR. SCHRIMPF: Well, get it in by 4:30, Mr. Arena, and then you're sure to get it in on time.

ALDERMAN BAUMAN: 4 o'clock.
MR. ARENA: Can $I$ ask that you send the findings of fact to my office, Mr. Schrimpf, please.

MR. SCHRIMPF: If you give me your card so I know where your office is, yes.

MR. ARENA: Well, you sent stuff to me before.

MR. SCHRIMPF: I don't, my secretaries do.
ALDERMAN BOHL: Thank you.
(The hearing concluded.)
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| 2 | 5 (1) | acknowledges (1) 38:24 | ahead (3)$37: 12,18 ; 50: 15$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \text { appear }(\mathbf{6}) \\ 14: 2 ; 22: 19 ; 32: 22, \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 (1) | $\begin{array}{r} 53: 7 \\ \mathbf{5 0 0}(\mathbf{1}) \end{array}$ | acknowledging (2) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { aide (1) } \\ 43: 15 \end{array}$ |  |
| 22:8 |  | 24:18;39:16 |  | 24;33:8;52:24 <br> appearing (1) |
| 2:00 (2) | 45:4 | acknowledgment (2) | alcohol (5) | 19:3 |
| 4:22;20:16 | 50th (1) | 24:14;39:4 | 12:19,22;14:5; | appears (2) |
| 2:25 (3) | $41: 19$ | acting (1) | $36: 19,24$ |  |
| 2:30 (6) | 53132 (1) | $\begin{gathered} 46: 15 \\ \text { action (3) } \end{gathered}$ | ALDERMAN (83) | 16:14;21:16 |
| 2:30 (6) $4: 6 ; 5: 11 ; 17: 21 ;$ | $\begin{gathered} 15: 1 \\ 53146(1) \end{gathered}$ | 18:1;19:22;39:6 | 3:2,8,11,17,23; |  |
| $18: 24 ; 20: 16,19$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \mathbf{5 3 1 4 6}(\mathbf{1 )} \\ 3: 20 \end{array}$ |  | 14:17,24;16:1;19:10, | 3:4;47:2 |
| 20 (1) | 5531 (1) | 26:18 |  | $16: 14$ |
| 33:18 | 22:2 | ```active (3) 12:3,12,15 activity (6) 10:24;11:6;14:15;``` | $\begin{aligned} & 11,14,18 ; 20: 1,9,18 \\ & 21 ; 21: 13,15,19 \\ & 23: 24 ; 24: 1,2,3,10 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 2008(2) \\ & 22: 8 ; 40: 15 \end{aligned}$ | 6 |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { appreciate (3) } \\ 48: 13 ; 50: 4 ; 52: 3 \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 2009(4) \\ & 52: 22 ; 53: 1 ; 55: 9, \\ & 18 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 25:3,4,4,5;28:13,17, | approach (1) |
|  |  | 16:21,22;18:20actor (6) | $\begin{aligned} & 20,25 ; 29: 19,24 ; \\ & 30: 3,4 ; 32: 14 ; 37: 4,7 \end{aligned}$ | approached (2) |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 11: 11 \\ \mathbf{6 - 7 - 2 0 0 8}(\mathbf{1}) \\ 4: 6 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| 205 (2) |  | $\begin{aligned} & 4: 19 ; 7: 7,8 ; 8: 13 \\ & 43: 23,25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18 ; 39: 18,21 ; 40: 7 \\ & 10,14,21,22 ; 42: 2 \end{aligned}$ | 6:19;9:24 <br> appropriate (6) |
| 52:22;53:24 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { actual (1) } \\ & 8: 7 \\ & \text { actually }(\mathbf{9}) \\ & 3: 8 ; 9: 2 ; 33: 16 ; \end{aligned}$ | 46:19,24;47:4,20; | $13: 24 ; 19: 17,19$ |
| ```21 (2) 46:11,12 22 (4) 4:6;8:9;9:3;43:20``` | 7 |  | 48:4,10;49:4,11,14, | 22;20:4;40:6 |
|  |  |  | 16,18,18,20;50:4,7, | approval (1) |
|  | 7 (1) |  | 9,11,13,16,20; | 52:5 |


| approximately (1) | 55:7 | 36:21 | broken (1) | 19:16;27:12;39:8, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 52:25 | aware (3) | believes (1) | 5:16 | 12;54:7 |
| area (18) | 22:16;42:12;43:9 | 38:25 | brothers (1) | care (1) |
| 10:21;21:1;22:25; | away (5) | belonged (1) | 23:14 | 45:17 |
| 23:2,3;26:4,24;33:2, | 13:23;15:15; | 9:25 | Buckhead (13) | carry (1) |
| 16;35:22;36:5,9,17, | 17:18;32:7;51:5 | below (4) | 3:5;4:9;6:11;7:4, | 52:13 |
| 19,21,21;50:21; | awful (1) | 22:12;26:2;33:15, | 13;10:23;11:8,10; | cars (1) |
| 51:12 | 37:10 | 18 | 21:1;22:12,18,20; | 20:12 |
| Arena (78) |  | benefit (1) | 30:11 | case (3) |
| 3:3,7,10,14,19,19; | B | 11:17 | Buckhead's (3) | 17:7,8;18:21 |
| 7:21;8:2,5;10:22; |  | Berlin (1) | 5:25;12:21;19:5 | cases (1) |
| 11:22,25;13:21; | B' (1) | 3:20 | building (1) | 17:25 |
| 18:22;19:13,14,23; | 3:4 | best (4) | 53:2 | catch (1) |
| 20:3,15,20;30:6,7; | bachelorette (1) | 30:13;41:2;42:22; | buses (1) | 10:15 |
| 31:1,7,12,14,18,22; | 27:1 | 45:24 | 27:1 | cater (1) |
| 32:7,11,15,18;33:2, | back (10) | Beverly (1) | business (10) | 14:12 |
| 7,14,25;34:5,11,14, | 4:1;5:8;6:5,7; | 3:20 | 18:4,7,18;27:12; | cause (1) |
| 18,24;35:5,21;36:1, | 23:6;31:10,15; | beyond (3) | 44:19,23;45:18; | 6:21 |
| 7,20,25;37:6,7,13, | 32:20;34:6;44:15 | 13:9,16;24:21 | 46:19;50:19;52:21 | cautious (1) |
| 21;38:5,15,19;39:7, | backing (1) | bit (1) | businesses (2) | 15:20 |
| 17,18,20;40:3,9; | 24:17 | 32:20 | 16:20;42:13 | cell (1) |
| 42:3,4;46:22,24; | bad (2) | black (1) | busy (2) | 51:25 |
| 47:1,18,22;48:7; | 44:19,22 | 48:20 | 29:22;45:24 | Center (1) |
| 49:5,12;52:15;53:3, | bar (5) | blame (2) | bystander (1) | 10:21 |
| 5,9,21;54:2,5,9 | 4:19;5:16;6:17 | 32:6;47:12 | $43: 2$ | certain (4) |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { argue (1) } \\ 44: 13 \end{gathered}$ | 12:23,23 bar-hopping (1) | board (3) <br> 26:23;33:21;45: | C | $\begin{aligned} & 9: 21 ; 11: 6 ; 21: 21 ; \\ & 3 \cdot 15 \end{aligned}$ |
| arguing (1) | bar-hopping (1) $12: 24$ | boat (12) | C | $\begin{gathered} 36: 15 \\ \text { certainly (4) } \end{gathered}$ |
| 49:12 | bars (1) | 26:23;33:21,22; | call (7) | 15:15;27:11;45:6, |
| argument (1) | 12:12 | 34:2,6,6,8,10,11,12; | 5:20;6:7;10:16; | 19 |
| 8:19 | bartenders (1) | 35:23;36:5 | 11:8;19:15;20:4; | Certified (2) |
| arm (2) | 13:15 | boats (9) | 53:20 | 55:5,16 |
| 4:13,13 | based (5) | 25:20;26:1,15,17 | called (5) | certify (1) |
| around (4) | 34:20;35:6;36:14; | 27:17;29:12,23; | 5:6;8:24;20:13; | 55:7 |
| 31:16,19;36:2; | 49:5;52:7 | 33:16;45:5 | 24:7;46:14 | Chair (8) |
| 42:20 | basically (3) | BOHL (47) | calm (5) | 22:1;24:1;25:3; |
| arrest (1) | 18:3;24:15;33:18 | 3:2,8,11,17,23; | 8:22;23:16;30:22, | 28:19;40:22;48:9; |
| 7:1 | Bauman (12) | 7:20;8:1;11:22; | 23;43:6 | 51:9;53:13 |
| arrival (1) | 15:24;16:1;19:11, | 14:17,20,24;15:2, | came (19) | Chairman (2) |
| 4:16 | 18;20:1,9,18;21:13; | 21;19:10;20:21; | 10:6;14:8;22:24; | 37:4;49:17 |
| arrived (2) | 40:21,22;46:19; | 21:15,19;23:24; | 24:20,23,25;25:9; | Chambers (1) |
| 6:25;48:21 | 54:4 | 24:2;25:4;28:20; | 27:13;28:10;29:16, | 53:1 |
| arrogance (1) | became (4) | 30:4;32:14;37:7,18; | 17;30:10,11,15; | change (3) |
| 41:14 | 6:20;10:9;22:16; | 39:18,21;40:7,10; | 37:2,23;42:13,17; | 3:25;7:22;48:5 |
| assistant (1) | 42:24 | 42:2;46:24;47:4,20; | 45:14 | characterization (2) |
| 53:15 | become (2) | 48:4,10;49:4,11,14, | camera (7) | 33:12;36:14 |
| assisted (1) | 10:25;12:11 | 18;50:4,9,13;51:19; | 8:10;23:5;43:13, | charges (2) |
| 6:24 | becomes (1) | 53:10,14,20;54:12 | 14,18,21,24 | 5:19;6:25 |
| atmosphere (1) | 47:11 | Both (2) | cameras (5) | chartered (1) |
| 50:24 | beer (13) | 4:14,14 | 38:11;43:12,19, | 22:10 |
| attacked (1) | 22:14;23:15; | bottom (1) | 25;44:2 | check (3) |
| $4: 10$ | 29:13;32:1;34:19, | 18:14 | can (26) | 5:1;9:4,8 |
| attempted (3) | 21,22,23;35:16; | Bradley (1) | 8:9;9:6;11:20; | checked (2) |
| 6:23;7:15;8:17 | 41:8,10;42:16; | 10:21 | 13:21;14:16;19:6; | 38:20;47:19 |
| attempting (1) | 49:22 | break (1) | 21:13,23;23:14; | chose (1) |
| 13:1 | began (1) | 9:13 | 30:7,12;31:12;34:8; | 46:6 |
| attention (1) | 6:15 | Brian (1) | 37:17;38:23;41:2; | circumstances (2) |
| 4:14 | begin (1) | 5:14 | 42:17;43:12,20,24; | 19:20;20:11 |
| Attorney's (1) | 51:19 | brief (4) | 46:10,10;50:22; | citation (2) |
| 53:22 | behave (1) | 32:12;48:12;50:9, | 53:18,21;54:5 | 5:9;7:18 |
| August (2) | 11:7 | 11 | capabilities (1) | cited (2) |
| 22:8;40:15 | behavior (4) | bright (1) | 43:20 | 7:10;20:22 |
| available (1) | 9:21;10:11,12; | $9: 14$ | $\operatorname{car}(2)$ | City (15) |
| 15:5 | 49:6 | brings (1) | 20:7;27:23 | 10:20;11:2,17; |
| Avenue (1) | behind (1) | 48:18 | card (5) | 16:8;17:19;18:10; |


| 22:3,4;41:16;42:8, | 4:12 | 52:19 | deal (5) | digital (1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10;53:17,22,24,25 | complaint (6) | correction (1) | 15:9;17:17;49:2; | 43:24 |
| civil (1) | 4:8,25;5:10,14, | 7:16 | 51:14,16 | diminish (1) |
| 24:13 | 23;7:14 | cost (1) | debate (1) | 37:13 |
| claim (2) | concern (1) | 18:4 | 47:16 | diminishing (2) |
| 16:10;41:15 | 35:18 | costume (3) | decent (1) | 37:11;39:24 |
| claimed (1) | concerned (3) | 47:23,24;48:8 | 43:24 | directing (1) |
| 22:21 | 30:14;37:14,15 | council (3) | decide (1) | 18:1 |
| claiming (1) | concerns (2) | 12:2;52:24;53:1 | 10:10 | disappointed (2) |
| 46:8 | 43:8;47:13 | County (4) | decides (1) | 46:19;50:17 |
| Class (1) | concluded (1) | 19:3;40:16;41:6; | 9:13 | disappointing (1) |
| 3:3 | 54:13 | 55:2 | deemed (2) | 51:1 |
| clearly (2) | conclusion (2) | couple (1) | 8:10;13:23 | discipline (1) |
| 23:9;33:19 | 19:7;32:2 | 11:25 | Deerwood (1) | 41:17 |
| Clerk's (2) | conclusions (1) | course (2) | 14:25 | discussing (1) |
| 53:17,24 | 52:16 | 10:14;52:18 | defend (1) | 24:8 |
| clientele (1) | conduct (2) | courtesy (1) | 8:22 | discussion (1) |
| 18:18 | 5:10;6:25 | 48:12 | defensive (2) | 23:7 |
| close (9) | conducted (2) | coverage (2) | 44:18,20 | disembark (1) |
| $10: 21,21,22$ | 7:7,17 | 14:1;43:10 | defensiveness (1) | 36:5 |
| $16: 24 ; 52: 21 ; 53: 5,6$ | confront (3) | covering (1) | 44:21 | disorderly (2) |
| 7,17 | 30:10,12;38:7 | 44:9 | deficient (1) | 5:9;6:25 |
| closed (1) | confronted (1) | create (2) | 41:5 | dispatched (6) |
| 26:4 | 42:23 | 10:24;20:6 | defined (1) | 4:7,23;5:12; |
| closes (2) | confronting (2) | creating (1) | 30:9 | 17:11,12,13 |
| 53:8,18 | 22:17;35:10 | 20:5 | denial (3) | dispersing (1) |
| closing (4) | confused (3) | credit (1) | 40:25;44:18; | 18:2 |
| 9:12;40:8;47:6,9 | 25:17;26:10,20 | 16:10 | 45:10 | district (1) |
| clothing (1) | consider (1) | crowds (1) | denied (3) | 10:19 |
| 10:1 | 19:9 | 18:2 | 14:10;24:15; | disturbance (1) |
| club (2) | consideration (1) | CRR (1) | 45:15 | 6:21 |
| 16:22,23 | 22:6 | 55:4 | denying (1) | disturbing (1) |
| coat (5) | considering (2) | Cruise (3) | 27:3 | 19:6 |
| 5:1,1,8;9:4,8 | 51:6,9 | 22:10,11;35:17 | depending (1) | division (4) |
| COGGS (9) | considers (1) | custody (1) | 29:22 | 21:11;32:16; |
| 25:3,4,5;28:13,17, | 52:25 | 6:16 | describe (1) | $53: 11,16$ |
| 25;29:19,24;30:3 | consume (2) | customer (1) | 30:12 | Dixon (2) |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { co-hosting (1) } \\ 22: 9 \end{gathered}$ | 15:17;18:16 consumed (6) | 46:7 customers | description (4) $8: 11,13: 28: 21$ | 7:14;15:5 dock (2) |
| college (1) | 12:18,20,22; | 15:10;45:21 | $43: 21$ | 22:11;33:16 |
| 11:13 | 13:19;14:3,5 | cycle (4) | designated (1) | docking (1) |
| coming (2) | consuming (2) | 16:5,7;18:12,12 | 33:3 | 22:11 |
| 27:21;47:8 | 18:15;41:21 |  | desperately (1) | dollar (1) |
| comment (7) | consumption (1) | D | 17:20 | 14:13 |
| 27:21;35:11,11; | 17:16 |  | destination (4) | done (1) |
| 48:16,17;50:8,12 | contact (3) | Dale (1) | 11:1,2;12:11; | 15:6 |
| comments (7) | 10:6;12:4;24:5 | 40:16 | 42:10 | door (8) |
| 8:2;11:18;15:24; | contacting (1) | damage (1) | detail (2) | 5:16;7:12;9:13; |
| 16:2;50:10,14; | $12: 7$ | 9:15 | 9:7,10 | 12:24,25;15:19; |
| 51:10 | contained (2) | damages (1) | detain (4) | 26:5;53:12 |
| committee (21) | 8:3;52:7 | 5:20 | 8:17,20,21;10:16 | down (12) |
| 8:10;9:19;11:23; | contend (1) | Dan (1) | detained (3) | 5:22;6:10;7:4,12; |
| 12:2;15:22;16:3; $18 \cdot 11 \cdot 19 \cdot 9,11,25$. | 22:24 | 28:11 | 4:15;10:9,11 | 8:23;14:5;17:13,14, |
| $18: 11 ; 19: 9,11,25 ;$ 21:22:22:5;23:25; | contest (4) | dance (2) $6: 18: 8 \cdot 1$ | detaining (2) | 15;23:17;33:19; |
| 30:5;40:11;41:15; | continually (1) | Darrell (2) | determine (3) | Downtown (2) |
| 48:11;49:15;50:5,6; | 17:24 | 7:14;15:5 | 14:1;34:18;38:9 | 16:9;17:17 |
| 52:15 | contribute (1) | Dated (1) | development (1) | drafted (1) |
| Committee's (1) | 50:23 | 55:18 | 17:5 | 32:15 |
| 22:6 | conversation (1) | day (8) | devices (2) | drag (2) |
| common (2) | 43:7 | 5:3,8;16:17; | 38:13;51:24 | 16:12,25 |
| 36:21;52:24 | cooperative (3) | 46:21;51:18;52:6, | different (1) | drawing (1) |
| compare (1) | 5:17;8:25;9:1 | 18;55:18 | 29:24 | 17:18 |
| 48:1 | copy (4) | daytime (1) | diffuse (2) | dress (2) |
| complained (1) | 21:10,12,17; | 16:21 | 23:13;27:6 | 13:24;18:19 |


| drinking (5) | entering (1) | 50:22 | 33:2 | 51:20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12:15;13:8,10; | 13:19 | expedite (1) | few (1) | Franklin (1) |
| 18:20;34:23 | entertainment (15) | 37:8 | 12:12 | 15:1 |
| Drive (2) | 3:25;7:23;10:19; | explanation (1) | field (2) | frankly (1) |
| 3:20;22:3 | 11:2;16:7,9;17:17; | 18:13 | 7:7,17 | 39:3 |
| Droidson (1) | 18:10;41:16,19,19; | extend (2) | fight (4) | frequently (1) |
| 28:11 | 42:7,9;47:3,19 | 48:10,11 | 4:8;8:19;9:2; | 17:20 |
| DULY (3) | Entrance (1) | extended (1) | 10:10 | front (4) |
| 3:14,15,16 | 14:9 | 28:14 | fighting (1) | 5:16;34:6,12; |
| dumped (1) | entry (1) | extension (2) | 6:15 | 51:22 |
| 37:24 | 7:9 | 28:16,18 | figure (2) | frustrated (1) |
| during (5) | equally (1) | extent (1) | 25:15;27:5 | $27: 20$ |
| 4:20;9:1;16:17, | 48:23 | 11:18 | filed (2) | full-time (2) |
| 19;18:23 | escalates (1) | extra (1) | 53:19,21 | 11:16,16 |
| dynamic (1) | 12:8 | $21: 13$ | find (5) | further (7) |
| 17:4 | escorted (1) | extremely (1) | 5:4;10:20;25:13; | $6: 2 ; 10: 7 ; 14: 16$ |
| E | 6:21 essence (1) | $\begin{array}{r} 19: 6 \\ \text { eye }(2) \end{array}$ | 43:5;45:6 findings (2) | $\begin{aligned} & 32: 11,20 ; 33: 22 \\ & 35: 24 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | 4:12;44:16 | 52:16;54:6 | G |
| 16:21 | 9:25;10:2;12:3; | F | 24:13;49:11 |  |
| earn (1) | 13:7,12,20;16:11; |  | finest (1) | gain (1) |
| 11:14 | 18:21;22:17,23; | fact (8) | 41:3 | 7:9 |
| easy (1) | 23:18;25:1,21; | 27:4;34:19;36:14; | finish (1) | gas (1) |
| 51:16 | 35:10;36:10;40:24; | 37:15,25;39:7; | 36:25 | 37:20 |
| economic (1) | 41:12;45:3 | 52:16;54:6 | firm (1) | gave (1) |
| 17:5 | establishments (3) | facts (2) | 55:6 | 27:11 |
| Edelweiss (9) | 16:18;18:3;20:8 | 19:20;20:10 | first (11) | Geelan (3) |
| 22:10;25:20;26:1, | etcetera (5) | factual (1) | 12:1;13:6;25:7, | $5: 15,18,18$ |
| 15,23;28:8;29:12; | 17:23,23,23; | 37:6 | 12;28:22;29:14,16; | general (4) |
| 44:16;45:5 | 18:24,25 | fake (6) | 30:21;31:3;42:5; | 9:5,6;14:19;49:2 |
| Edelweiss's (1) | even (2) | 7:6,9,16;10:16; | 49:20 | generated (2) |
| 45:21 | 23:19;39:13 | 19:16;46:8 | five (8) | 16:5,6 |
| effort (1) | evening (4) | false (1) | 25:18;26:21,24; | gentleman (1) |
| 38:14 | 16:21;24:5;48:6,8 | 7:16 | 32:10;33:10,12; | 9:11 |
| eight (3) | event (2) | familiar (1) | 38:22;44:25 | gentlemen (2) |
| 15:6;26:6;32:10 | 45:11,14 | 16:8 | fix (1) | 3:11;48:20 |
| either (7) | eventually (1) | family (1) | 27:14 | gets (1) |
| 18:23;22:24,24; | $6: 16$ | 41:7 | flagged (7) | 40:1 |
| $28: 6 ; 31: 9 ; 37: 14$ | everybody (1) | far (4) | 5:22;6:10;7:4,12; | girlfriend (1) |
| 38:4 | 42:12 | 27:19;28:1;32:7; | 17:13,14,15 | 35:15 |
| electronic (2) | everybody's (1) | 34:24 | floor (3) | given (5) |
| $38: 13 ; 51: 24$ | $49: 24$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { favorable (1) } \\ 16: 15 \end{gathered}$ | $6: 18 ; 8: 16 ; 28: 22$ | $8: 11,13 ; 19: 19$ |
| $35: 16$ | everyone (4) $30: 23 ; 44: 20 ; 47: 9$, | fear (8) | $5: 3,8$ | giving (1) |
| elicit (1) | 12 | 42:24;48:16,19, | food (2) | 47:20 |
| 51:23 | exact (1) | 22,22,25;49:7,10 | 16:16,23 | glass (1) |
| else (5) | 21:12 | feel (3) | foot (3) | 5:16 |
| $20: 7 ; 21: 4 ; 25: 1$ | Exactly (2) | 23:17;27:16; | 24:21;33:5,6 | Glendale (1) |
| $45: 13 ; 47: 12$ | 48:7;50:20 | $46: 20$ | force (1) | 22:3 |
| employ (2) | Excellent (1) | feeling (1) | 17:5 | go-ahead (1) |
| 11:11;12:24 | 3:10 | 51:5 | foregoing (1) | 37:19 |
| employee (2) | excess (1) | feet (7) | 55:8 | goes (1) |
| 5:6;24:17 | $41: 21$ excessively (1) | 26:6;32:10,10; | foremost (1) | 47:1 |
| employees (2) | excessively (1) | 33:15,18;35:2,4 | 12:2 | Good (15) |
| 6:2;30:10 | 13:10 | fell (1) | form (1) | 3:7,4:5;8:12; |
| end (3) | exchange (3) | 49:22 | $47: 2$ | $10: 17 ; 11: 13,14$ |
| 14:4;33:23;34:6 | 24:10,12;40:23 | felt (1) | $\begin{gathered} \text { forward (1) } \\ 42 \cdot 21 \end{gathered}$ | $18: 13 ; 21: 6 ; 25: 10$ |
| enough (4) | exchanged (1) | 49:8 | 42:21 | $27: 6 ; 43: 22 ; 44: 1$ |
| 4:18;8:12;29:6; | 5:5 | female (1) | found (3) | 47:10;49:1;50:18 |
| 43:23 | exit (2) | 4:10 | 4:25;10:3;19:16 | grabbing (1) |
| enter (4) | 34:6,10 | fence (8) | four (4) | 6:18 |
| 7:6,15;13:4,12 | exited (1) | 26:4,6;29:1,6; | 27:19;35:12;46:1, | grade (1) |
| entered (1) | 34:12 | $33: 3,6 ; 36: 21 ; 37: 24$ | $2$ | 33:18 |
| 12:22 | expand (1) | fenced (1) | fourth (1) | Gramann (1) |


| 55:6 | happening (1) | 43:23,25 | 4:20;9:2 | $29: 7,8 ; 47: 14$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| grandmother (1) | 43:14 | IDs (1) | injury (1) | item (13) |
| 41:9 | happens (4) | 46:8 | 9:2 | 3:2;4:6,22;5:11, |
| greater (2) | 8:18;20:8;41:1,1 | ignored (1) | innocent (1) | 21;6:9;7:2,11;8:9; |
| 9:7;13:11 | happy (2) | 17:4 | 43:2 | $9: 11,16,17,23$ |
| greatest (1) | 31:5;38:23 | imagine (1) | inopportune (1) | items (4) |
| 41:2 | head (1) | 23:14 | 51:25 | $8: 6 ; 17: 10 ; 18: 11$ |
| Green (1) | 41:9 | immediately (2) | inquiry (1) | 12 |
| 37:19 | hear (3) | 8:16;36:4 | 38:19 |  |
| Grill (13) | 38:23;40:21; | immense (1) | inside (14) | J |
| 3:24;7:22,25; | 48:24 | 18:15 | 4:9;14:1;18:21; |  |
| 21:16,18;28:18,19, | heard (2) | impact (1) | 22:25;23:12;24:20, | JENNIFER (2) |
| $20,21 ; 53: 13,14,15 \text {, }$ | $29: 16 ; 37: 5$ | 51:6 | $24 ; 27: 22 ; 31: 11,15$ | 55:4,14 |
| 24 | hearing (4) | impression (1) | 36:9,15;37:23;38:3 | job (4) |
| ground (1) | $3: 21 ; 40: 17 ; 52: 12$ | $35: 19$ | insulted (1) | 11:16;23:2;26:8, |
| 6:13 | 54:13 | improve (1) | 49:21 | $16$ |
| group (7) | heated (1) | 46:20 | insulting (2) | jobs (1) |
| 27:25;29:17;31:4; | 32:4 | inability (1) | 49:24;50:1 | 11:13 |
| 32:8;45:12;51:1,6 | heavens (1) | 49:2 | intend (1) | joined (3) |
| guard (11) | 49:22 | inappropriately (2) | 3:12 | 22:16;35:9,12 |
| 13:18;25:11,14, | help (3) | 46:9,16 | intent (1) | joining (1) |
| 19,22;26:4,12,21; | 18:6;29:2;38:13 | incident (41) | 48:5 | 50:5 |
| 29:2;30:16;45:12 | helping (1) | 4:21;8:11;9:3,4,5; | interested (1) | judgment (1) |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { guarded (1) } \\ 15: 18 \end{gathered}$ | 43:15 helps | 10:13;11:9;17:10; | 14:14 intervie |  |
| guards (3) | 10:24 | 22:6;25:11;26:14, | 7:8,17 | 52:22;53:1,4; |
| 6:13,23;46:4 | hereby (1) | 15,19;27:13;28:6; | into (10) | 55:18 |
| guess (4) | 55:7 | 29:4,9,15,25;30:15; | 6:16;7:9;9:7,9; | June (1) |
| 11:18;27:19;40:5, | hey (2) | 32:5;34:1,25;35:6, | 14:5;28:9;43:1; | $55: 8$ |
| $24$ | 29:18;32:3 | 13;36:5;37:11, 12 ; | 44:2;48:11,18 | K |
| $20: 12,13$ | $6: 17 ; 11: 13 ; 43: 23$ | $43: 14,20 ; 44: 3,6$ | $9: 20 ; 13: 13,25 ;$ | K |
| guy (2) | Highland (3) | 45:10;51:2 | 14:7;27:20 | keep (3) |
| 23:12;46:3 | 33:23,24;35:25 | incidents (16) | inventing (1) | 29:23;30:23; |
|  | imself (1) | 8:8;11:20;12:18; | 39:2 | 44:16 |
| H | 19:7 | 15:14,19;16:4,6; | investigated (1) | kind (4) |
|  | hit (1) | 29:2;41:22;44:9,10, | 10:4 | 27:23;28:2;41:5; |
| half (2) | 37:20 | 17;46:13;51:9,11,13 | Investigation (1) | 50:22 |
| 45:23;46:18 | hold (3) | included (1) | 4:25 | knowledge (1) |
| Hall (1) | 8:20;50:5;52:1 | 28:23 | inviting (1) | $19: 5$ |
| 53:25 | holding (1) | indeed (1) | 13:10 | known (2) |
| Halloween (2) | 46:9 | 13:12 | involve (1) | $45: 9 ; 49: 9$ |
| 47:24,24 | holds (2) | indicate (1) | 20:4 | knows (2) |
| HAMILLON (8) | 3:25;7:24 | 9:16 | involved (3) | 9:14;51:11 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 24: 1,3,10 ; 40: 14 ; \\ & 50: 7,11,16 ; 52: 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { honored (1) } \\ 19: 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { indicated (3) } \\ 9: 17 ; 41: 13 ; 53: 11 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 12:18;23:9, } 11 \\ \text { involvement (1) } \end{array}$ | L |
| hand (1) | hooded (2) | indicates (1) | 18:1 | L |
| 32:1 | 5:25;9:23 | 8:25 | involving (1) |  |
| handed (2) | hope (1) | indicative (1) | 41:22 | $8: 14$ |
| 39:8,12 | 53:11 | 41:12 | irate (5) | Lane (1) |
| handle (1) | hours (2) | individual (3) | 6:20;10:9;23:16; | $14: 25$ |
| 51:3 | 11:16;17:21 | 9:7;13:16;20:23 | 25:9;27:22 | large (6) |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { handled (1) } \\ 46: 2 \end{gathered}$ | I | individuals (8) 9:22;12:13,18,20; | isolated (1) | $\begin{aligned} & 10: 18,19 ; 11: 3,4 ; \\ & 18: 9 ; 23: 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| hands (2) |  | 13:18;20:25;40:20; | issuance (1) | last (13) |
| 3:13;21:3 | ID (4) | 51:23 | 52:6 | $10: 14 ; 15: 9,12$ |
| happen (15) | 7:6,9,16;10:16 | individual's (1) | issue (14) | $16: 6 ; 28: 25 ; 40: 2,23$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 26: 11,19 ; 29: 5,11 ; \\ & 36: 17.18: 38: 3: \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|r} \text { idea (1) } \\ 19: 19 \end{array}$ | $26: 18$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9: 23 ; 10: 5,7 ; \\ & 12: 10 ; 17: 6 ; 18: 2 \end{aligned}$ | $42: 13 ; 45: 7,20$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 36: 17,18 ; 38: 3 ; \\ & 39: 15 ; 41: 8,9,10 \end{aligned}$ | identification (1) | inebriated (1) 13:3 | 29:10;42:5;43:9; | $\begin{gathered} 46: 25 \\ \text { law (2) } \end{gathered}$ |
| $42: 1 ; 45: 8,11,21$ | 19:16 | informed (1) | 44:5;47:2,13,17,18 | 45:25;52:17 |
| happened (8) | identified (1) | 35:5 | issued (3) | leading (1) |
| 24:20;25:8,13; | 6:14 | initial (1) | 5:9,19;7:18 | $16: 24$ |
| 26:11;27:5,8;29:20; $39: 16$ | identify (5) | $42: 6$ | issues (6) | leads (1) |
| 39:16 | $4: 19 ; 8: 13 ; 14: 21$ | injured (2) | 10:14;12:1;17:2; | $15: 18$ |


| least (2) <br> 13.18.25.24 | 27:20;32:20;50:16, | $\begin{aligned} & 24: 8 ; 26: 13 ; 27: 4 ; \\ & 42 \cdot 11 \cdot 48 \cdot 23 \cdot 52 \cdot 25 \end{aligned}$ | $48: 19 ; 50: 23$ | nine (1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| leave (5) | LLC (1) | matters (1) | $3: 3,7 ; 20: 16,19$ | nobody (3) |
| 5:15;6:20;9:12; | 3:3 | 52:7 | 21:6 | 41:4;42:21;43:24 |
| 26:8;31:19 | locate (1) | may (5) | most (3) | nor (2) |
| left (2) | 5:2 | 13:16,23;14:4; | 5:3;17:21;35:17 | 9:18;12:2 |
| 4:11;31:17 | located (1) | 18:21;50:21 | mother (1) | North (10) |
| legislation (1) | 53:16 | maybe (7) | 35:15 | 3:5;4:7,23;5:12, |
| 47:8 | location (4) | 13:4,18;14:2; | motion (8) | 23;21:2;22:2;33:23; |
| less (2) | 10:18,18;12:14; | 43:17; $45: 4,17$ | 50:3,14;51:8,17; | 35:24;55:6 |
| 33:10;48:20 | 23:5 | 50:19 | 52:4,10,11,12 | noted (1) |
| letter (8) | locations (1) | meals (1) | move (1) | 4:19 |
| 21:10,20;32:15, | 12:23 | 16:20 | 40:15 | notes (2) |
| 18;35:9;36:2,8; | logical (1) | mean (8) | moved (1) | 8:3;55:10 |
| 40:16 | 32:2 | 12:5;13:1;14:11 | 26:1 | notice (2) |
| level (2) | long (1) | 23:1;38:18;39:14, | much (10) | 3:21;17:9 |
| 13:3;32:23 | 41:13 | 22;44:21 | 8:19;12:19,20,21; | Number (11) |
| liberty (2) | look (2) | medical (1) | 13:19;14:3,7;38:2; | 10:8;11:1;16:7; |
| 47:11,21 | 23:6;42:18 | 4:14 | 43:4;44:1 | 39:13;41:15,18; |
| license (8) | looked (2) | member (1) | multiple (1) | 42:7,9;44:15;51:12, |
| 21:11;28:22,24; | 28:9;48:19 | 7:5 | 29:21 | 13 |
| 32:16;45:15;52:17; | looking (3) | members (6) | must (2) | numerous (3) |
| 53:10,16 | 28:2;29:7;31:10 | 22:13,17;27:25; | 37:23;52:20 | 15:15;26:2,7 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { licensed (1) } \\ 9: 5 \end{gathered}$ | looks (1) 13.2 | 41:7; memory (2) | $\begin{gathered} \text { myself (1) } \\ 10: 12 \end{gathered}$ | 0 |
| licenses (1) | lost (1) | 33:4,9 |  |  |
| 52:6 | 9:8 | mentioned (1) | N | object (1) |
| light (1) | $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { l o t }}$ (3) | 29:3 |  | 52:11 |
| 37:19 | 11:5;37:10;39:25 | merely (1) | name (5) | objection (2) |
| likelihood (1) | loud (1) | 49:5 | 3:18;14:22;21:8, | 40:17;44:17 |
| 13:11 | 28:1 | message (1) | 24;39:12 | objections (5) |
| likely (2) | Ltd (1) | 41:18 | namely (1) | 20:23;52:10,12, |
| 5:4;24:23 | 55:6 | met (1) | 17:21 | 20,23 |
| limit (1) | lunch (1) | 26:2 | necessarily (2) | observed (3) |
| 37:10 | 16:19 | might (2) | 11:15;12:21 | 4:11;6:12;9:19 |
| $\operatorname{limited}_{43 \cdot 19}(\mathbf{1})$ |  | 14:13;19:2 | necessary (1) | obtain (1) |
| 43:19 | M | Milwaukee (30) | 8:10 | 10:3 |
| limos (1) |  | 4:6,23;5:12,22; | need (3) | obviously (9) |
| 27:1 | MacGILLIS (1) | 6:10;7:3,12;10:20; | 3:18;21:7;42:4 | 18:17;25:12;26:9, |
| line (3) | 4:5 | 15:16;16:8,9;17:11, | needed (4) | 10,11,14,17;27:6; |
| 18:14;22:10; | machine (1) | 11,12,13,14,15,18; | 17:20,21;30:25; | 51:11 |
| 49:25 | 55:10 | 18:4,10;22:4,9; | 46:23 | occur (2) |
| LIPSCOMB (47) | making (2) | 35:17,20;41:16; | negligence (1) | 38:17;39:6 |
| 3:16;19:3;21:12; | 42:20;50:3 | 42:8;52:24;55:2,7, | 41:4 | occurred (12) |
| 22:1,2;24:6,12; | man (1) | 19 | neighborhood (1) | 22:8;25:14;29:9 |
| 25:17;27:9;28:4; | 7:12 | mind (2) | 20:22 | 15;30:13;34:1,25; |
| 29:3;30:9,12,22; | manage (1) | 44:9;48:18 | neighborhoods (1) | 36:6;38:1,25,25; |
| 32:8,13,16,17;33:1, | 41:20 | mine (2) | 17:19 | 39:2 |
| 11,17;34:2,7,13,17, | management (3) | 21:14;48:25 | neighbors (2) | occurring (3) |
| 22;35:2,8,24;36:4, | 18:17;22:18; | minimal (1) | 27:18;45:22 | 30:1;51:12,15 |
| 13,23;37:21;38:2, | 40:25 | 9:3 | nerve (1) | o'clock (2) |
| 10,18,22;39:11; | manager (13) | minute (3) | 48:24 | 53:7;54:4 |
| 40:11,16,24;42:25; | 4:20;5:14,24;6:7, | 39:22;47:9,9 | New (1) | off (12) |
| 43:4;44:13;48:9,13; | 17;9:5,6;10:4; | minutes (1) | 3:20 | 13:6;18:3;27:1; |
| 49:7 | 14:18,19;24:3;25:6; | 47:11 | next (3) | 33:3,22;34:2,5,8; |
| liquid (1) | 50:18 | misrepresenting (1) | 18:12;26:23;34:3 | 51:21,21,23;52:3 |
| 29:18 | manner (1) | 7:18 | nice (1) | offended (1) |
| listed (2) | 41:5 | missed (1) | 35:17 | 48:15 |
| 15:5;17:10 | many (11) | 23:1 | night (12) | offer (1) |
| listening (1) | 11:13,14;12:11; | money (1) | 11:11;14:12;15:4, | 39:14 |
| 27:24 | 18:9;20:8;22:19; | 11:15 | 14;16:20;27:17; | offered (1) |
| literally (2) | 30:11;32:24;33:8,9, | more (12) | 39:1,3,8;45:4;47:23, | 5:19 |
| 39:22,24 | 15 | 9:9;12:11;14:6,7; | 24 | office (7) |
| little (6) | matter (9) | 19:1;25:18;35:3; | nightclubs (1) | 16:16;53:17,18, |
| 23:16;24:21; | 19:4;20:22;21:1; | 39:20,22;40:3; | 12:12 | 22,24;54:6,8 |


| $\begin{gathered} \text { Officer (1) } \\ 4: 8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} 36: 18 \\ \text { ordered (2) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { particular (5) } \\ & 7: 24 ; 9: 7 ; 10: 1,17 ; \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 28: 16,17 \\ \text { permit (1) } \end{array}$ | 12:4,7;16:1;17:11, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Officers (13) | 8:7;40:18 | 44:6 | 28:23 | 25;18:1,1,2,6;19:15, |
| 4:11,16;5:6,14, | original (1) | parties (1) | perpetrator (2) | 24;20:4,5,13;22:7; |
| 24;6:12,15,17,24; | 55:10 | 27:2 | 23:7,11 | 41:21;46:3,6,13; |
| 7:7,17;9:19;46:10 | others (1) | party (3) | persistent (1) | 51:9;52:7 |
| often (1) | 35:12 | 22:13;45:8;48:17 | 17:16 | policing (1) |
| 18:23 | Otherwise (1) | pass (2) | person (6) | 18:20 |
| Old (15) | 48:21 | 37:24;50:2 | 8:18;24:6;26:24; | policy (9) |
| 3:3,5;4:7,23;5:12, | out (27) | passed (1) | 27:24;44:25;45:6 | 10:5,15,17;11:8; |
| 23;12:10;16:10,12, | 6:22;7:6;8:8; | 24:25 | personal (1) | 13:2,15,17,22;17:3 |
| 17,24;17:1,5;21:2; | 14:9;16:3;17:24; | passing (1) | 19:5 | poor (6) |
| 50:23 | 20:6;23:8;24:7; | 18:3 | personnel (1) | 4:17;9:21;10:11; |
| once (1) | 25:13,15,22;26:24; | past (1) | 15:10 | 11:7;19:15;26:18 |
| 32:22 | 27:5,24;28:3,12; | 47:23 | persons (1) | positive (1) |
| one (36) | 29:22;32:3;33:13; | patio (24) | 12:24 | 24:11 |
| 4:11;6:23;11:1; | 35:17;38:20;43:5, | 22:12,18,25; | perspective (1) | possess (1) |
| 12:2,14;14:6;15:13; | 11,18;49:22;51:22 | 24:20;25:2,18,20; | 42:18 | 51:4 |
| 16:7;18:12;20:23; | outcome (1) | 26:2,21;28:10,13 | phone (2) | possibility (1) |
| 21:4;23:12;24:8; | 38:8 | 23;29:13;32:25; | 51:21,25 | 45:15 |
| 25:1,19;28:25;29:3, | outdoor (2) | 33:2;36:9,17,19; | phonetic (1) | possible (1) |
| 22;34:8;37:11; | 22:12;23:5 | 43:8;44:6,15;45:13, | 28:11 | 45:14 |
| 39:20,21,23,25; | outside (5) | 14;49:23 | physical (2) | posted (1) |
| 41:15,18;42:7,9; | 24:7;27:22;36:18; | patrol (2) | 46:7,14 | 51:22 |
| 44:15,17,24;46:22; | 40:2;44:25 | 7:3;17:1 | physically (2) | potentially (2) |
| 47:23;48:6,7;51:21 | over (8) | patron (32) | 6:13;15:7 | 13:10;43:15 |
| one's (1) | 22:15;24:23,24; | 4:25;5:2,5,7,9,15 | Pier (1) | premises (4) |
| 26:8 | 26:1;29:13;37:24, | 19;6:1,4,5,6,13,20, | 26:1 | 28:14,21;38:12; |
| only (11) | 25;41:13 | 21,22,24;9:24; | place (12) | 39:5 |
| 6:2;8:21;26:20, | overintoxicated (2) | 22:25;23:8;24:11, | 10:13;11:5,5; | present (3) |
| 24;34:8;36:18; | 15:7;31:23 | 25;27:20,21;31:2,3, | 14:6;19:5,21;21:14; | 20:25;21:3;41:7 |
| 38:22;44:1,24; | overly (1) | 5,8,14,23;32:3,7; | 27:16;41:2,23,25; | presented (1) |
| 45:11;46:13 | $9: 20$ | 47:19 | $55: 11$ | $19: 2$ |
| onto (2) | overreaching (1) | patrons (4) | placed (1) | presume (1) |
| 20:6;25:1 | 17:3 | 29:13;33:21;45:2 | 6:16 | $38: 10$ |
| open (4) | overserve (1) | 48:19 | plan (2) | presuppose (1) |
| 16:17,19;26:5; | 13:6 | pause (1) | 18:18;50:19 | $12: 20$ |
| 45:23 | overserved (2) | 37:19 | Plankinton (1) | pretty (2) |
| opened (2) | 9:20;23:9 | pay (1) | 55:6 | 32:4;43:24 |
| 11:11;15:12 | oversight (1) | 5:20 | please (9) | prevent (2) |
| Opening (2) | 48:2 | paying (2) | 3:13,18;14:20; | 29:2;43:13 |
| 16:22;47:9 | own (1) | 11:13;18:8 | 15:3;31:12;47:16, | prevented (1) |
| operate (1) | 22:3 | Peace (1) | 21;52:1;54:6 | 43:17 |
| 16:20 operates | P | $39: 23$ people (63) | plenty (1) | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { previously (1) } \\ 53: 16 \end{array}$ |
| $41: 4$ | P | $8: 21 ; 9: 20 ; 10: 19$ | pm (6) | prior (3) |
| operating (5) | PA-33s (2) | 11:3,4,6,10, 11, 12, | 6:9;16:23;18:24; | 4:16;18:19;29:25 |
| 16:23;18:5,7; | 16:4,6 | 14;13:22;14:1,8,9, | 22:8;52:21;53:4 | priority (1) |
| 44:22;45:18 | packet (1) | 10,12;15:15,17,19; | pocket (1) | 44:15 |
| operation (1) | 21:17 | 20:5;22:19;25:10, | 52:2 | privilege (1) |
| 14:15 | page (1) | 18;26:21,22,24; | point (12) | 23:21 |
| operations (1) | 40:15 | 27:1;29:6,12;30:11, | 8:8;13:8,16;14:7; | probably (4) |
| 18:15 | pager (1) | 16,21;32:9,24;33:8, | 15:25;16:3;23:10; | 26:22;33:5;43:13, |
| operative (1) | 51:21 | 9,12;34:1,4,14,15, | 26:13;40:14,19,24; | 17 |
| 17:10 | paid (1) | 25;35:9,18,22;36:1, | 47:15 | problem (8) |
| operator (1) | 9:14 | 11,20;38:6,12,20, | pointed (2) | 9:8;13:24;18:17; |
| 44:19 | pain (1) | 23;41:3;42:15,20, | 7:6;17:24 | 20:6,6;30:18;38:18; |
| opinion (5) | 4:13 | 23,24;43:10;44:25; | points (1) | 49:3 |
| 28:5,9;31:22; | paint (1) | 45:4;46:7;49:13; | 34:10 | problems (2) |
| 42:10,11 | 4:13 | 53:11 | police (54) | 12:5;13:11 |
| opportunity (3) | pardon (1) | perfect (3) | 4:3,7,16,23;5:6, | procedure (1) |
| 21:21;37:9;52:19 | 6:10 | 41:6,6,6 | 12,18,22;6:6,10,14, | 40:6 |
| opposed (1) | part (5) | period (1) | 25;7:3,5,7,12,14; | proceed (3) |
| 49:23 | $21: 20 ; 28: 23 ; 40: 7,$ | $41: 13$ | $8: 4,24 ; 9: 17,19 ; 10: 6$ | 21:23;32:14; |
| option (1) | $16 ; 48: 2$ | permanent (2) | $6,10,16 ; 11: 9,19$ | 47:16 |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { proceeding (1) } \\ & 40: 17 \end{aligned}$ <br> PROCEEDINGS (2) | ```8:15 rather (4) 12:6;20:5:35:16;``` | $\begin{gathered} \text { 6:6,11;13:15 } \\ \text { regards (2) } \\ 25: 24: 31: 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 12:5;13:5;17:9 } \\ & \text { respectful (1) } \\ & \text { L6.18 } \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\text { running }}{46: 11} \text { (1) }$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3:1;55:8 | 44:9 | Registered (2) | response (6) |  |
| procured (1) | reach (1) | 55:4,15 | 11:24;15:23; | S |
| 36:9 | 52:2 | regrettable (1) | 19:12;21:3;30:5; |  |
| Professional (2) | reached (1) | 44:14 | 40:12 | Saloon (9) |
| 55:5,15 | 14:6 | regularly (3) | responsibility (10) | 3:5;4:10;6:11;7:4, |
| proliferation (1) | ready (1) | 13:23;14:9,10 | 13:5;23:18,19; | 13;10:23;11:8;21:2; |
| 16:18 | 12:4 | related (1) | 24:19,22;25:25; | 30:11 |
| property (6) | realizes (1) | 8:3 | 26:3;27:3,15;41:24 | Saloon's (1) |
| 9:25;22:3;41:20; | 9:13 | relates (1) | responsible (2) | 22:12 |
| 46:5,9,11 | really (5) | 12:17 | 45:1,17 | same (5) |
| protect (3) | 8:20;24:18;42:4; | relationship (1) | result (3) | 9:1;30:20;48:17; |
| 26:17;27:17,18 | 48:24;49:24 | 26:16 | 11:3,7;12:13 | 51:14;55:9 |
| prove (2) | Realtime (2) | relatives (1) | resurgence (6) | saw (3) |
| 42:17;43:15 | 55:5,16 | 48:25 | 16:10,12,17,24; | 35:7;38:21;42:21 |
| provide (2) | rear (2) | released (1) | 17:1,5 | saying (9) |
| 3:12;4:18 | 22:18;34:11 | 7:10 | retail (1) | 12:19;24:19,23; |
| provided (2) | reason (6) | releasing (1) | 6:3 | 38:3;42:21;44:22; |
| 21:11,16 | 9:12;25:10;27:7; | 20:5 | retrieve (2) | 45:24;48:3,6 |
| public (4) | 47:10;49:1,9 | relevant (1) | 5:1,8 | scene (2) |
| 36:11,12;41:23; | reasonably (1) | 37:5 | return (1) | 5:17;25:9 |
| 49:2 | 35:14 | remember (1) | 5:3 | Schrimpf (8) |
| punch (2) | reasons (2) | 27:21 | returned (2) | 52:14,15;53:4,6; |
| 6:23;8:15 | 15:16;17:3 | removed (2) | 5:4;6:7 | $54: 1,6,7,11$ |
| purpose (1) | rebuttal (1) | 5:6;10:1 | returning (1) | screen (1) |
| 25:23 | 40:5 | renewal (4) | 22:11 | 13:1 |
| purposes (2) | recall (4) | 3:4;51:17;52:5,17 | reunion (1) | Sean (1) |
| 6:3;26:7 | 33:14;39:11,13; | report (11) | 22:9 | 4:20 |
| pursuant (2) | 42:5 | 4:4;8:4,25;9:18, | revealed (2) | Secondly (1) |
| 10:5,15 | receipt (2) | 18;11:19;16:2; | 8:11;43:21 | 42:15 |
| put (2) | 3:21;4:3 | 19:24;22:7;51:10; | review (1) | secretarial (1) |
| 42:24;44:2 | receive (1) | 52:8 | 8:6 | 53:8 |
| putting (1) | 52:18 | reported (3) | reviewed (2) | secretaries (1) |
| 8:18 | received (1) | 16:4,5;55:8 | 4:17;45:16 | 54:11 |
| O | $52: 21$ recently | Reporter (4) | ridiculous (1) | security (28) |
| Q | recently $53: 9$ | $\begin{array}{r} 55: 5,5,15,16 \\ \text { Reporting (1) } \end{array}$ | riding (1) | $\begin{aligned} & 4: 15 ; 6: 11,12,19 \\ & 21,24 ; 7: 4 ; 8: 16,21 \end{aligned}$ |
| quality (3) | recognize (1) | 55:6 | 29:12 | 12:25;15:10;25:11, |
| 4:18;8:12;43:22 | 49:19 | reports (2) | right (16) | 13,19,22;26:4,10, |
| questionable (1) | recollection (3) | 8:7,9 | 3:23;4:3;12:21; | 12,21;29:2,5,21; |
| 13:3 | 25:6;28:1;30:13 | represent (1) | 20:17,21;22:25; | 30:16;36:16;38:11; |
| quickly (1) | recommend (1) | 22:4 | 34:3;37:21,25;43:5; | 43:21;45:12;46:4 |
| 37:16 | 52:5 | representation (1) | 47:4;48:4;49:14; | seem (3) |
| quiet (1) | recommendation (2) | 17:7 | 50:4,19,25 | 13:12;50:17,18 |
| 49:16 | 16:15;19:8 | require (1) | right-of-way (2) | seems (1) |
| quietly (1) | recommending (1) | 19:23 | 36:11,12 | 50:24 |
| 52:2 | 52:17 | required (2) | Riverwalk (7) | send (2) |
| quite (1) | record (13) | 18:6;41:17 | 22:13;23:20; | 41:17;54:5 |
| 39:3 | 3:18;14:21;17:3; | residential (1) | 24:25;28:22;32:23; | sense (3) |
| R | $\begin{aligned} & 21: 4,8,20,24 ; 41: 13 \\ & 44: 17 ; 45: 22 ; 46: 1,2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 52: 8 \\ & \text { resisting (1) } \end{aligned}$ | 33:16;35:22 | $\begin{aligned} & \quad 24: 13 ; 39: 1 ; 43: 18 \\ & \text { sent (1) } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 48:15 | 7:1 | 6:14 | 54:9 |
| rail (4) | redundancy (1) | resolution (4) | role (2) | September (1) |
| 24:21,23,24;33:6 | 47:15 | 27:8,11;30:25; | 23:20;24:15 | 15:12 |
| railing (1) | reflect (1) | 40:1 | Room (2) | Sergeant (2) |
| 26:7 | 21:4 | resolve (1) | 52:22;53:24 | 4:2;7:20 |
| raise (2) | reflected (1) | 30:24 | RPR (1) | seriousness (1) |
| 3:13;37:9 | 22:7 | resolved (1) | 55:4 | 37:14 |
| raised (1) | refused (2) | 10:6 | ruins (1) | serve (3) |
| 29:7 | 4:14;6:5 | resources (7) | 15:14 | 13:17;16:16,19 |
| ramp (1) | regard (1) | 17:16,18,19;18:6, | rule (1) | served (1) |
| 32:22 | 11:17 | 15,16;46:15 | 15:8 | 36:23 |
| random (1) | regarding (3) | respect (3) | run (1) | serves (1) |


| 11:10 | smelled (1) | 26:5 | 55:7 | testimony (7) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| services (1) | 34:22 | start (1) | Supervisor (9) | 3:12;19:1,8;21:9, |
| 41:22 | smelling (1) | 4:5 | 19:3;21:6;29:3; | 25;23:25;52:9 |
| serving (2) | 41:10 | started (2) | 40:11,13,16,23; | thanks (1) |
| 23:22;25:1 | sold (1) | 6:20;49:12 | 41:6;48:10 | 46:21 |
| seven (4) | 6:3 | starting (1) | Sure (10) | theater (1) |
| 16:4;17:10;18:12; | somebody (16) | 14:2 | 14:17;18:13;26:8; | 10:22 |
| 46:1 | 8:15;10:8,15; | state (3) | 30:21;39:5,14;42:1; | theirs (1) |
| several (13) | 13:6,7;14:4,12; | 32:18;51:8;55:1 | 43:9;48:21;54:2 | 28:5 |
| 6:12,23;11:4; | 15:10;26:25;37:23, | stated (16) | surveil (2) | Theo (1) |
| 22:13,16;25:9; | 24;38:15;43:1; | 4:9,14;5:15,18, | 23:3;38:11 | 19:3 |
| 27:25;30:16,20; | 45:12,13;46:15 | 25;6:2,4,18;7:14; | surveillance (1) | THEODORE (2) |
| 32:9;35:9;38:6; | somebody's (2) | 9:1;30:9;32:19,21; | 4:17 | 3:16;22:2 |
| 42:23 | 14:2;19:15 | 36:2,3,7 | surveilling (1) | thereof (1) |
| severity (1) | somehow (1) | statement (2) | 23:20 | 13:13 |
| 37:11 | 10:3 | 42:6;49:21 | suspect (1) | Third (17) |
| SGT (1) | someone (4) | stationed (2) | 18:22 | 3:2,6;4:7,24;5:13, |
| 4:5 | 13:2,11;22:20; | 22:22;24:7 | suspected (1) | 24;12:10;16:11,13, |
| Shasta (1) | 36:10 | STEIDTMANN (2) | 10:3 | 18,25;17:1,6;21:2; |
| 22:2 | sometimes (4) | 55:4,14 | suspension (3) | 26:1;42:8;50:23 |
| shirts (1) | 8:18;11:6;14:3; | step (1) | 51:18;52:7,18 | Thompson (2) |
| 6:1 | 44:1 | 8:16 | swear (2) | 4:20;9:2 |
| shorthand (1) | somewhat (3) | stepping (1) | 3:8,13 | though (1) |
| 55:10 | 10:18;37:22;40:5 | 42:21 | sweatshirt (6) | 33:20 |
| show (3) | somewhere (3) | still (2) | 5:25;6:5,6;9:23; | thought (4) |
| 13:13;15:7;21:3 | 20:7;37:2;48:2 | 5:17;50:6 | 46:3,5 | 39:2;45:1;47:22, |
| showered (12) | sons (1) | stock (1) | sweatshirts (1) | 25 |
| 22:14;23:15; | 23:14 | 44:1 | 6:3 | three (6) |
| 29:18;34:1,4,15,16, | sorry (2) | straight (1) | swing (1) | 24:21;33:5,6; |
| 19,20;35:1,15;41:8 | 27:12;31:13 | 16:23 | 8:15 | 35:12;45:23;46:17 |
| shows (1) | sought (1) | Street (25) | swollen (1) | throwing (1) |
| $51: 1$ | $7: 1$ | 3:6;4:8,24;5:13, | $4: 11$ | 29:13 |
| shut (1) | South (2) | 24;10:13,23,25,25; | SWORN (4) | thrown (2) |
| 52:2 | 3:19;33:23 | 11:4;12:10,10;14:5; | 3:14,15,16;21:7 | 22:14;24:24 |
| side (2) $22: 15 ; 3$ | $\underset{7: 23}{\text { spark (1) }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16: 11,13,18,22,25 \\ & 17: 1,6 ; 20: 6 ; 21: 2 \end{aligned}$ | T | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { ticket }(1) \\ 9: 9 \end{array}$ |
| significant (1) | speak (3) | $26: 1 ; 42: 8 ; 50: 23$ | I | times (4) |
| 17:25 | 19:6;20:24;31:12 | strike (1) | table (1) | 12:14;18:24;26:2; |
| signs (2) | speaking (1) | 32:19 | 24:4 | 51:25 |
| 13:13;51:22 | $11: 25$ | strip (1) | talk (1) | today (8) |
| similar (2) | speaks (1) | 51:15 | 43:12 | $3: 22 ; 16: 14 ; 19: 3$ |
| 18:16,16 | 50:14 | strong (1) | talked (2) | 20:25;21:7;32:19, |
| single (4) | specifically (2) | 17:2 | 26:12;31:2 | 21;50:5 |
| 17:9;18:14;27:17; | 14:8;35:10 | struck (1) | Talking (5) | toe (1) |
| $45: 3$ | speculation (1) | 48:24 | 25:11,17;28:3,8; | 41:9 |
| sister (1) | 38:2 | students (1) | 48:20 | told (6) |
| 35:15 | speculative (1) | 11:14 | TATE (6) | 5:2;31:10;34:20; |
| sit (1) | 37:22 | stuff (1) | 3:15;5:24;11:20; | 35:6;39:9;44:19 |
| 49:22 | spend (1) | 54:9 | 13:21;14:16,22 | took (5) |
| sitting (2) | 14:13 | subcontext (1) | Tavern (11) | 10:13;19:5,21; |
| 29:8;33:13 | spending (2) | 49:8 | 3:4,4;4:15;5:7; | 39:12;41:24 |
| situation (26) | 37:10;39:25 | subject (13) | 6:22;7:6,9,15;8:25; | towards (1) |
| 8:23;12:6,7,13, | spoke (6) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 4:24;5:13,17,23; | $16: 20,22$ | $42: 20$ |
| 17:14:4;15:11; | 4:8;5:14,24;6:6, | 6:12,15,16,18;7:5, | taxpayers (1) | town (2) |
| 23:17;25:7,16;27:3, | 17;7:14 | 10,13,15,17 | 18:4 | 28:12;35:18 |
| 6,23;28:9;30:20,22, | sprayed (1) | submit (4) | tech (1) | traffic (1) |
| $24 ; 31: 25 ; 32: 1,4 ;$ $39 \cdot 3 \cdot 44 \cdot 714,20$ | $42: 16$ staff (4) | 8:9;42:11;52:20, | 43:23 | 18:2 |
| 39:3;44:7,14,20; $45 \cdot 16 \cdot 51 \cdot 5$ | staff (4) | 22 | telling (1) | trains (1) |
| situations (1) | stairs (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { substantial (1) } \\ & 18: 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29: 9 \\ \text { terms (4) } \end{gathered}$ | TRANSCRIPT (1) |
| 51:15 | 33:19 | sufficient (1) | 18:19,19,20;48:4 | 3:1 |
| six (2) | standing (5) | 47:6 | terrible (1) | tried (5) |
| 16:5;18:11 | 15:8;25:19;31:2, | suggesting (1) | 51:2 | 4:25;6:4;7:5; |
| size (1) | 6;43:2 | 23:19 | testify (3) | 42:22;46:18 |
| 18:16 | stands (1) | Suite (1) | $21: 1 ; 28: 12 ; 40: 20$ | trouble (5) |



