

| 0000 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | PRESIDENT HINES: Have the members of |
| 2 | the Common Council read the report and the |
| 3 | recommendations of the Licenses Committee, and |
| 4 | the exceptions filed in this matter? Will the |
| 5 | City Clerk please call the roll? |
| 6 | CITY CIERK: Aldeerman Hamilton? |
| 7 | ALDERUAN RMMILION: Aye. |
| 8 | CITY CLERK: Davis? |
| 9 | ALDERVAN DAVIS: Aye. |
| 10 | CITY CLERK: Kovac? |
| 11 | ALDERMAN KOVAC: Aye. |
| 12 | CITY CIERR: Bauman? |
| 13 | ALDCRMAN BAOMAN: Aye. |
| 14 | CITY CIERR: Bohl? |
| 15 | ALDERNAN BOHL: Aye. |
| 16 | CITY CLIRRK: Coggs? |
| 17 | ALDERROMAN COGGS: Aye. |
| 18 | CITY CILRR: Wade? Alderman Wade? |
| 19 | CITY CLERK: Donovan? |
| 20 | ALDERMAN DONOVAN: Aye. |
| 21 | CITY CIERR: Puente? |
| 22 | ALDERMAN PUENTE: Aye. |
| 23 | CITY CIERR: Murphy? |
| 24 | ALIDRMAN MURPHY: Aye. |
| 25 | CITY CIERR: Dudzik? |

PROCEEDINGS
CITY CLERK: The Licenses Committee recommends approval of file number 090057. Motion to approve the recommendations of the Licenses Committee relative to various licenses. Included in the file are the following recommendations.
(Whereupon the various licenses were listed by the City Clerk.)

CITY CLERK: Renewal with a ten day suspension, based on the police report and neighborhood testimony of the Class "B" and Tavern/Amusement Licenses of Andrew P. Arena, Agent for Old World, LLC, for the premises at 1044 North Old World Third Street in the 4th Aldermanic District. Written objections have been filed.

Renewal with a 60 day suspension, based on the police report of the Class "B" Tavern License of Jerome E. Kosmoski for the premises at 3402 South 16th Street known as Sandcastle in the 13th Aldermanic District. Written objections have been filed.
(Whereupon additional licenses were listed by the City Clerk.)

PRESIDENI HINES: Have the members of the Common Council read the report and the recommendations of the Licenses Committee, and the exceptions filed in this matter? Will the City Clerk please call the roll?

CITY CLERR: Alderman Hamilton?
ALDERXAN HMMILTON: Aye.
CIIY CLERK: Davis?
ALDERMAN DAVIS: Aye.
ALDRRNAN KOVAC: Aye.
CITY CLERR: Bauman?
ALDERUAN BAOMAN: Aye.
CITY CIERK: Bohl?
AIDERMAN BOHL: Aye.
CITY CIERR: Coggs?
ALDERKONAN COGGS: Aye.
CITY CLERK: Wade? Alderman Wade?
CITY CLRRR: Donovan?
ALDERMAN DONOVAN: Aye.
CII Cekn: Puente?
CTTY CIERR: Murthy?
ALDERMAN MURPHY: Aye.
CITY CLERK: Dudzik?

ALDERMAN DODZIK: Aye.
CITY CIERR: Witkowiak?
ALDERYAN WITKOWIAK: Aye.
CITY CLERK: Witkowski?
ALDERMAN WITKOWSKI: Aye.
CITY CLERK: Zielinski?
ALDERMAN ZIELIISKI: Aye.
CITY CLERK: Alderman Wade?
ALDERMAN WADE: Aye.
CITY CLERK: Mr, President?
PRESIDENI HINES: Aye.
CITY CIERR: 15 ayes.
PRESIDENT HINES: 14 ayes, zero noes.
Alderman - Alderman Bohl moves that the Common Council resolve itself into Committee of the Whole for the purpose of hearing oral arguments on both sides of the item.

CITY CIRRR: Are either of the following or their counsels present, and if so, do they wish to address the Common Council? Jerome Kosmoski? No response. Andrew Arena is present.

PRESIDENI HINES: Again, members of the Common Council will, on a motion by Alderman Bohl, move the body go into the Committee of the

Whole for the purpose of hearing oral arguments of the licenses opposition to the recommendations and the report of the Licenses Committee and the statement presented to us by the City Attorney. Is there any objection? Hearing no objections, we are now in the Committee of the Whole. Each side shall be limited to five minutes. And the arguments shall be limited to the subject matter of the report and the recommendations of the committee. With that being the case, please identify yourself and proceed, sir.

MR. ARENA: Thank you, Mr. President, honorable members of the Common Council. My name is Andrew Arena, actually here representing, I guess, myself, this morning, and I'm - I'm here because we consider, and the operators of this business and myself consider this ten day suspension to be a very serious matter. We take it very seriously, and we are of the position that we are operating the business in the most responsible fashion that we can, and we don't believe that this suspension is actually warranted under this record.

I'm here actually pleading on behalf of
60 people that are employed at this location who

| 00007 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | day suspension. I'm here pleading on behalf of |
| 2 | the employees that are told if you have any type |
| 3 | of a problem, don't have - - don't be concerned |
| 4 | with involving the police. Fortunately, there - |
| 5 | - there is some police coverage on Third Street. |
| 6 | The police department, you know, given the |
| 7 | thousands of people that visit Third Street on a |
| 8 | weekend, have determined that there is police |
| 9 | coverage outside. Many times staff is told, |
| 10 | there's no problem, flag down an officer if you |
| 11 | have a problem. You have a kid standing there |
| 12 | with a fake ID arguing that it's not a fake ID |
| 13 | and he's not leaving until he gets his property |
| 14 | back, and there's a - - a bike patrol officer |
| 15 | riding by and you flag that guy down and say, |
| 16 | hey, look I got a kid here who claims this is a |
| 17 | legitimate ID. You know, let the police deal |
| 18 | with it. If a guy that's being asked to leave |
| 19 | when he - he gets mad because he's being asked |
| 20 | to leave, he kicks the door and breaks it, there |
| 21 | happens to be a police officer there, the staff |
| 22 | says, hey, this guy just broke the door. He |
| 23 | should pay for it. Rather than have something |
| 24 | escalate, there's a police officer right here, |
| 25 | flag him down. | PAgE 8
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1
rely on this income, and ten days for them is substantial and significant, as well. I'm - I'm here pleading on their behalf. They have the great privilege of working in one of the finest areas of the City of Milwaukee, and in my opinion, Old World Third Street has a lot of activity on it. It is very vibrant, and I would subnit to everybody on this Council that in 1999 when this company came to Milwaukee to do business, Old World Third Street really didn't have a lot of the activity that it has now. It really was in flux. There were several buildings that were dilapidated, but as a result of hard work and putting effort into that area, along, of course, with the assistance of things going on at places like the Bradley Center and other entertaimment venues, old buildings were bought, revitalized, rehabbed. We have a location on Third Street now in Bootleggers that they did a phenomenal job in a building that actually everybody had turned its back on.

I would submit to this honorable body that if you look at this record as a whole and look at each incident that's covered in this

It was argued at the committee hearing that that - that type of thing is a drain on police resources, and I'd submit to you that that's an appropriate use of police resources.
5 Things are not allowed to escalate. If you look
6 at each incident, which I've covered in the
7 written objection, I mean, you have one incident
8 where a female strikes a female out of the blue.
9 You have one incident where a guy loses his coat
10 check and gets upset that he lost his coat. So he decides to call the police. You have somebody who stole a sweatshirt, they steal property, you call the police and do a report on a stolen piece of property, and that comes up on the police report. Two of those incidents were these incidents with fake identifications. I think that's the appropriate stance to - - a Class "B" establishment should be taken.

Now there's one incident that involves a County board supervisor, Theo Lipscomb, who came the committee and testified that somebody 35 feet away from him got splashed with something. Well, I'm going to submit to you that nobody knows where that came from. The Edelweiss doesn't know where it came from. They have
people waiting to get on the boat. We do have a back patio on the Riverwalk, which is an appropriate use of the Riverwalk. And, you know, the area of the patio is barricaded off. You've got 12 feet to a rail, then it drops down ten feet, and then another ten feet to the boats, and something comes from somewhere that nobody really saw. It was addressed to the best of the manager's ability, and he tried to appease the situation. But at that point what really is -is irresponsible of the action. It's unfortunate it happened. We don't deny that it happened. We don't condone the actions of the person who did it. We certainly would -- would deal with that person if it was known who did it. But the fact of the matter is Mr. Lipscomb, himself, says he goes up there to find out where this came from, and there's only five people on the patio. There's a door person, a staff member, out there watching these people on the patio who didn't see it.

PRESIDENI HINES: One minute.
MR. ARENA: What - - What can you do with that situation? It's unfortunate, and we don't condone it. And we have made changes.

ALDERMAN DUDZIK: Two questions, if I
1
2 may. Are - - Do you believe that this location 3 is known to be frequented by underage patrons? I 4 mean - - I mean, it's known that - - that it's an 5 easy location to get into?

MR. ARENA: I - I don't believe that that would be true. I--I can produce for you stacks of fake IDs that are confiscated on a nightly basis. The policy is when a fake ID is confiscated, that we keep the ID. The person usually complains, and they're told, if you go get a police officer and come back here with a police officer and prove that it's your property and that it's legitimate, no problem. Your ID will be returned. The reason that we have stacks of them is that those people do not return, because, in fact, their ID is not legitimate. We have 750 person capacity. We are doing 160,000 patrons a year, roughly. We have a thousand people coming in on a - on a Thursday, Friday, Saturday night. We have a line a mile long. We do not have to let minors in, to be successful, and it's our policy not to do that, and we don't.

ALDERMAN DODZIK: And - And how long have you been involved with this particular

There's a higher barricade there. There is staff. That area is monitored. And we are working closely with the Edelweiss cruise boats to monitor that. But the situation down there is we have all sorts of establishments with patios, and many of these patios aren't monitored at all. The old establishnent at 1110 Old World Third Street, which was Kinkades, never had anybody monitoring their patio. People leave freely with whatever they want. People come down on buses and limos, a bachelor party or a group. They're getting on that boat. They're walking off of that bus or that car, whatever, with the can or bottle of whatever they're drinking in their hand.

PRESIDENI HINES: 30 seconds.
MR. ARENA: We try to do the responsible thing and -- and maintain a semblance of organization and appropriate business. With that, I'll ask -- answer any questions.

PRESIDENT HINES: Thank you, Attorney Arena. Any questions of the member of the Common Council - of the attorney? Chair recognizes Alderman Dudzik.
location?
MR. ARENA: I believe since 2000, when it was actually bought in agreement with Banana Joe's, which was the first business there. They were operating very poorly, in our estimation, and we - - we made a deal to take it over from them. Then it was Bar Milwaukee. That was just a transition until we could get to a point where we could renodel into what it is now.

ALDERMAN DODIIK: You can tell me, and if you can't, I'm sure that the City Attorney's office can tell me, how many other times has this location had violations that were severe enough to warrant suspension?

MR. ARENA: This - This location has never been suspended. There was an issuance with a warning letter that actually the main thing in that particular year, it was two years ago - - We fly in bands from all over the country, and we provide live - We're a live music venue, and we provide good entertainment. We had a band that was waiting to be paid and sitting in the location, and we were issued citations for presence of people after closing hours.

ALDERNAN DODIIK: Thank you.

PRESIDENT HINES: Any additional questions? If not, thank you, Attorney Arena. We will now hear from City Attorney's office, Assistant City Attorney Bruce Schrimpf.

MR. SCHRIMPF: Thank you, Mr. President. Menbers, Bruce Schrimpf from the City Attorney's office. Briefly, this record demonstrates that in June of 2008, there was a fight. Decerber of 2008, there was a disorderly patron regarding a coat, and apparently a lost coat check claim at the door. December of '08, trouble with a subject. That was the episode where the glass door was broken. February of '09, there was a trouble with a subject complaint. That was over a sweatshirt that has unique bar logo -- logos on it that are used only by the personnel of the bar, and apparently a patron got a hold of one. February of 2009, there was a patron being disorderly. He was put out of the tavern. He was detained until police arrived. March of '09, a fake ID was confiscated and turned over to the police department by tavern personnel. March of '09, similar incident, fake ID was discovered and turned over to the police department by bar personnel. And
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|  | surveillance over the entire area, not just |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | because of this bar, but because of what the |
| 3 | location is. Apparently, it's easy for the bar |
| 4 | personnel to ask the police to come. |
| 5 | AIDERYAN PUENIE: Which is great. So |
| 6 | you're saying just about all the incidents, if |
| 7 | not all the incidents, they contacted the police. |
| 8 | MR. SCRRIMPF: From - From what I can |
| 9 | figure out, yes, it was close to that. |
| 10 | ALDERMAN POENIE: And then, the |
| 11 | Edelweiss incident, was it determined that that |
| 12 | beer was poured from that location? |
| 13 | MR. SCRRIMPF: No. There was a strong |
| 14 | belief on the part of Mr. Lipscomb that it was. |
| 15 | And that was circumstantial, because of where the |
| 16 | lady was standing, where he was standing, and |
| 17 | where the patio was located. But there were no |
| 18 | direct witnesses to any beer actually being |
| 19 | poured from that patio, either from the bar |
| 20 | persomnel or from the people that testified, |
| 21 | while he was the only one that testified, but |
| 22 | from the people involved with the Edelweiss. |
| 23 | ALIDERMAN PUENTE: He did not see |
| 24 | anybody pour it from that - - |
| $25$ | MR. SCHRIMPF: No, he - he was asked |

2 because of this bar, but because of what the location is. Apparently, it's easy for the bar personnel to ask the police to come.

AIDERMAN PUENTE: Which is great. So you're saying just about all the incidents, if not all the incidents, they contacted the police.

MR. SCARIMPF: From - From what I can
ALDERMAN PUENTE: And then, the
Edelweiss incident, was it deternined that that beer was poured from that location?

MR. SCBRIMPF: No. There was a strong belief on the part of Mr. Lipscomb that it was. And that was circumstantial, because of where the lady was standing, where he was standing, and where the patio was located. But there were no direct witnesses to any beer actually being poured from that patio, either from the bar personnel or from the people that testified, while he was the only one that testified, but

ALDERNAN PUENTE: He did not see
MR. SCHRIIPP: No, he - - he was asked
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10 PRESDDENT HINES: Chair recognizes

25 Milwaukee Police Department maintains some heavy
then in August of '08, there was the episode with the beer that got onto the patron coming off of the inner cruise ship Edelweiss. Whether or not you suspend this license is reposed to your sound discretion. And whether or not you impose the suspension and the length of such a suspension is, again, reposed to your sound discretion. If there any questions, I'd be happy to try to answer them. Alderman Puente.

ALDERMAN PUENE: Thank you, Mr. President. In the incidents that you just mentioned, how many of those did the establishment actually contact the police? MR. SCHRIMPF: I believe the police were contacted in the June, 'O8 incident by the bar personnel. I believe in December of the -the Decermber 6th of '08. I believe the December 28th, '08. I believe the February 21st, '09, the February 26th, '09, certainly, the March 6th and the March 13th, '09. I believe those episodes, either the bar personnel contacted the police or they flagged them down. Apparently, the
that question, and he said, no. ALDERNAN PUENTE: Thank you. PRESIDENT HINES: Thank you, Alderman.
Are there any additional questions of the Assistant City Attorney? If not, thank you. MR. SCRRIMPF: Thank you.
PRESIDENT HINES: Alderman Bohl moves that the committee now rises. Hearing no objections, so ordered. Are there any motions relative to this matter?

Chair recognizes Alderman Bohl. ALDERMAN BOHL: Thank you, Mr. President. Mr. President, I was the one low - lone no vote on the committee on this item here relating to 1044 North Old World Third Street. I believed, given the record, that a warning letter would suffice. I thought it was a excessive, given the majority of contacts that were made by the bar. Many times we, on the Council, indicate to taverns that we wish for them to contact police in certain circumstances. That being -It being said that we - we don't want excessive amount of contact, but we all know that there are many establishments who, during occasions where they ought to, because there is violence or - -
or other arguments, don't contact the police authorities. And we then see escalation. I believe that -- that a--a bar that is diligent, tries to make the right occasions and the proper occasions to prevent incidents from escalating, and I think that that was certainly the case with - with the vast majority of circumstances here. I do believe that - - that the committee vote was excessive, and I just at this point, I can't in good conscience support that. And I don't know if there is support or not, but I would at this point move to renew the - - the license for - for the Class "B" Tavern, Tavern/Amusement for the premises at 1044 North Old World Third Street with the issuance of a warning letter, despite item - - despite the committee recommendation.

PRESIDENT HINES: Thank you, Alderman. The motion is by Alderman Bohl. Any comments on the motion? Chair recognizes Alderman Bauman.

ALDERMAN BAOMAN: Thank you, Mr . President. I certainly couldn't ask my colleagues to support the recommendation of the committee. I had requested a 30 day suspension of this license. This is not just the first year

1 Old World Third Street in the last five years has
2 - - is open all day long, serves lunch, serves
3 dinner, has a food component, as well as, of 4 course, the sale of alcohol.

It is interesting that everybody is basically making excuses for the incident that occurred to Mr. Lipscomb and his family members, many of whom were elderly. Elderly women, who were, in fact, showered with beer as if no - - It must have come from the sky. It must have been dropped by birds flying over the Riverwalk and depositing beer upon these patrons' heads, as they were standing directly below the balcony, that only this establishment has access to. While Mr. Lipscomb testified quite honestly that he didn't directly see beer pouring out of a cup in hand of someone standing on that balcony splashing upon his family members below, those family members sure made that exclamation. And that is of course an exception to the hear -hearsay rule, known as an excited utterance. And as - - And they were highly excited. Excited to the point of a potential physical confrontation between male family members of this party, who
$25 \quad$ Mr. Lipscomb had to physically restrain from
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9 establishment, given its size, given its status
10 as a straight nightclub, it does not open during 11 the day. It opens in the evening. Does not 12 serve food of any consequence. It is not an 13 asset to Old World Third Street. Were it 14 applying for a license today, it would not be 15 supported by the merchants and the stakeholders 16 on Old World Third Street, because they have 17 established a basic set of principles that 18 require lunch, dinner, basically food service 19 throughout the day, so that the street is

25 Every single new establishment that has gone into
in which there has been trouble at this establishment. Frankly, every year there is a police record emanating from this establishment. I think the prior year, as indicated in the findings of fact, there were six incidents listed. Two years previously a warning letter was issued, based on the items in the police report at that time. This particular animated with pedestrians and activity throughout the day, and not just a nightclub activity in the late evening. That is why Old World Third Street has seen a resurgence in the last several years, because of that policy. Every single estab - -

## 00020

2 confrontation. It was Mr. Lipscomb's concern
3 that this establishment did not recognize the 4 gravity of the situation, nor did they recognize
5 that a situation was highly offensive to a group 6 of older citizens not patronizing any nightclubs 7 in the area, just enjoying a family reunion event 8 with out of town people, taking an evening cruise 9 on the Edelweiss, as it was a charter cruise.

It's interesting that we all just want to wish it away, well, nobody saw anything, it really didn't happen, we don't know where it came from. It must have come out of the air, must have been dropped by a bird. Mister - - The mere fact that County Supervisor Mr. Lipscomb was willing to come down to a license committee hearing, spend a morning to testify about this matter almost a year later, indicates the gravity of the matter to him. And it was partially based on that consideration, plus the lengthy police record, that I would recommend - - the historical record of this establishment, that I recommend the 30 days.

I guess it's - - it's somewhat
problematic for me to constantly hear these

|  | basically wholesale and industrial activities |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | going on at other times, they don't receive any |
| 3 | benefit, whatsoever, for Buckhead's. It doesn't |
|  | open up until eight or nine at night. I think |
|  | her letter indicates that what she's saying is |
|  | the previous establishments were worse. And that |
| 7 | the current management has performed at a higher |
| 8 | level than the previous establishments. I don't |
| 9 | think anything in that letter suggests that she |
| 10 | is applauding Buckhead's as this great catalyst |
| 11 | for redevelopment and vibrancy in this |
| 12 | neighborhood. Quite the opposite. And I know |
| 13 | her sentiments, because she has expressed them to |
|  | me many times, and has expressed them to the |
| 15 | committee regarding new licenses that have |
| 16 | applied seeking just a liquor license and not |
| 17 | offering food during the noon hour or during the |
| 18 | evening hour. |
|  | PRESIDENT HINES: Thank you. Alderman |
| 20 | Puente? |
| 21 | ALDERXAN PUENTE: So the answer to my |
|  | question is yes or no, that these establishments |
|  | are part of the group you mentioned earlier, that |
| 24 | don't want this place in there. Because |
| 25 | according to the letters I'm reading here, and |

claims that they are the savior of old World
2 Third Street, that their - - that they have
3 thousand of patrons a night on the weekends, 4 somehow is going to revitalize this street. 5 Frankly, it's quite the opposite. Old World $6 \quad$ Third Street could do without this establishment. 7 They're there. They have a legal right to exist. 8 But like many establishments in the City of 9 Milwaukee, need to be held accountable when their 10 patrons get out of control and engage in unruly 11 or dissuptive behavior that one, requires 12 significant commitment of the Milwaukee Police 13 Officers to handle their security issues, and 14 two, when there are incidents involving the 15 general public who are victimized, who through no 16 fault of their own, by just patronizing the 17 Edelweiss cruise boat, end up with beer splashed 18 on their Sunday clothing, church clothing, even.

So based on that, I urge my colleagues to support the ten day suspension, which is the minimum punishment that can be issued by this body.

PRESIDENT HINES: Thank you, Alderman. Chair recognizes Aldernan Puente.
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ALDERMAN PUENTE: Thank you, Mr. President. I have to ask the Alderman, when he mentions the stakeholders of that area would not support it now. If Usinger's, Edelweiss, and Lucille's are part of those stakeholders that he just mentioned?

PRESIDENT HINES: Alderman Bauman. ALDERMAN BROMAN: The letters speak for themselves. His -- It is the general principle of the Old World Third Street Association, Deborah Usinger being one of the principal -And I spoke to her personally, and the comments she made to me personally were not the comments reflected in this letter, I'll let her speak for herself as to why she penned a letter making the comments that she makes. But it is her -- It is she, among all others, above all others, who has been stressing to me the need to have establishments which are not nightclubs on old World Third Street. They have establishments that serve food, as well as alcohol, throughout the day on Old World Third Street, because it is those kinds of establishments that benefit her business. Her business, which is essentially a retail establishment open from nine to five, with

I'll quote, that the establishnent is being a good neighbor, is a value that, "He embraces our area. Attracts lots of visitors and tourists. Mr. Wichler does work very hard to see that this - - his employees understand the importance of responsible hospitality." And the other letters, from what I read, are in support of Buckhead. And you're telling me something different, and I just want to make sure we clear the air here, that you have the stakeholders that you said would not support it, but yet, I have letters here saying that they will.

ALDERMAN BAOWAN: Well, if you dissect what these letters say, we'll stand here all afternoon. That's - -

PRESIDENT HINES: Alderman -Alderman, but we're not. That's the point. And so I think we've all read the findings of the facts, and we can determine what weight it mans to us individually. So I do understand he's asked you a question. You've responded. And then that's enough.

ALDERMAN BAOMAN: If could just follow up briefly on the Usinger letter. She is not saying that any of these incidents take place, or
even that the - All she said, these guys try hard and they've been better than the previous establishments. I'll stipulate to that. PRESIDENI HINES: Okay. And -ALDERYAN BAOMAN: But that's no endorsement that this ten day suspension shouldn't go forward.

PRESTDENT HINES: Okay.
aidorman bacwan: She didn't even comment on the suspension here. She does not urge this committee to support or not support a ten day suspension.

PRESIDENI HINES: Okay. That sounds fine. Again, we've read the facts. I think questions can be asked, whether you like the responses or not, I think we can take the facts on how we like to interpret them, and they're part of the file.

ALDERMAN PUENEE: If I may continue?
PRESIDENT HINES: Yes, sir. You have the floor, Alderman.

ALDERMAN PUENEE: On the facts.
PRESTDENI HINES: Yes, sir.
ALDERMAN PUENIE: And the facts are that when we look at things, and the comments are
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| 0 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | ALDERMAN BAOMAN: No. |
| 2 | CITY CIERR: Bohl? |
| 3 | ALDERNAN BOHL: Aye. |
| 4 | CITY CLERK: Coggs? |
| 5 | ALDERNOMAN COGGS: No. |
| 6 | CITY CIERK: Wade? |
| 7 | ALDERMAN WADE: No. |
| 8 | CITY CLERK: Donovan? |
| 9 | ALDERNAN DONOVAN: No. |
| 10 | CITY CLERR: Puente? |
| 11 | ALIDRMAN PUENTE: Aye. |
| 12 | CITY CIERR: Murphy? |
| 13 | ALDERNAN MUPPHY: Aye. |
| 14 | CITY CIERR: Dudzik? |
| 15 | AIDERMAN DUDIIK: Abstain. |
| 16 | CITY CLERR: Witkowiak? |
| 17 | ALDERMAN WITKOWIAK: Aye. |
| 18 | CITY CLERK: Witkowski? |
| 19 | ALDERMAN WITKOWSKI: No. |
| 20 | CITY CLERK: Zielinski? |
| 21 | ALDERMAN CIELINSKI: No. |
| 22 | CITY CLERK: Mr. President? |
| 23 | PRESIDENT HINES: Aye. |
| 24 | CITY CLERK: Five ayes, nine noes, |
| 25 | one abstention. |

that they just fell out of the sky, we have to look at the facts, not circumstantial. Not that, yeah, it could have came from here. Nobody saw nothing. The City Attorney can address this if we like, but we do have to base it on the facts. Not circumstantial. Thank you.

PRESIDENT HINES: Thank you. And that is correct. The Chair recognizes Alderwoman Coggs.

ALDRRKOUNAN COGGS: I just Call the Question.

PRESDENT HINES: Well, thank you. Is there no other discussion? With that being the case, the motion before us is to issue a warning letter rather than to take the recommendations of the committee. On the motion, please call the roll.

CITY CIERR: On the amendment to renew with a warning letter, Alderman Hamilton?

ALDERMAN BAMIITON: No.
CITY CIERR: Davis?
ALDERMAN DAVIS: No.
CITY CIERR: Kovac?
ALDERMAN KOVAC: No.
CITY CLERK: Bauman?

PRESDDENT HINES: Five ayes, nine noes, one abstention. On the measure, motion fails. Chair recognizes Alderman Dudzik.

ALDERMAN DODZIK: Mr. Chairman, if I may explain my abstention?

PRESIDENT HINES: You can, if you wish. go right ahead, sir.

ALDRRNAN DODZIK: I do so only because I have one or more relatives that have or have -- are currently working for Buckhead.

PRESDDENT HINES: I think that's appropriate. Thank you. Are there any motions relative to the recomnendations by the committee? Any additional motions? Chair recognizes Alderman Zielinski.
(Whereupon Alderman Zielinski presents a motion, and then withdraws the motion.)

PRESIDENT HINES: Any additional motions relative to the files? If not, I request a vote of those Council members present to approve the recommendations of the Licenses Committee as contained in file number 090057. Will the City Clerk please call the roll?

CITY CIERRK: Alderman Hamilton?
ALDERVAN HMMILTON: Aye.

CITY CLERK: Davis?
ALDERMAN DAVIS: Aye.
CITY CLERK: Kovac?
ALDERMAN KOVAC: Aye.
CITY CLERK: Bauman?
ALDERNAN BAOMAN: Aye.
CITY CLERK: Bohl?
ALDERMAN BOHL: Aye.
CITY CLERK: Coggs?
ALDERNONAN COGGS: Aye.
CITY CLERK: Wade?
ALDERMAN WADE: Aye.
CITY CLERK: Donovan?
ALDERMAN DONOVAN: Aye.
CITY CLERK: Puente?
ALDERMAN PUENTE: Aye.
CITY CLERK: Murphy?
ALDERMAN MURPHY: Aye.
CITY CLERK: Dudzik?
ALDERMAN DUDZIK: Aye.
CITY CLERK: Witkowiak?
ALDERMAN WITKOWIAK: Aye.
CITY CLERK: Witkowski?
ALDERMAN WITKOWSKI: Aye.
CITY CIERK: Zielinski?

ALDERNAN DONOVAN: Aye. CITY CLERK: Puente? ALDERNAN PUENTE: Aye. CITY CLERK: Murphy? ALDERMAN MURPHY: Aye. CITY CLERK: Dudzik? ALDERNAN DODZIK: Aye. CITY CLERK: Witkowiak? ALDERMAN WITKOWIAK: Aye. CITY CLERK: Witkowski? ALDERNAN WITKOWSKI: Aye. CITY CLERK: Zielinski? ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI: Aye. CITY CLERK: Mr. President? PRESIDENT HINES: Aye. CITY CLERK: 15 ayes. PRESIDENT HINES: 15 ayes, zero noes.
The committee report is adopted. The Court Reporter is dismissed.

$$
* * * * *
$$

ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI: Aye.
CITY CLIERK: Mr. President?
PRESIDENT HINES: Aye.
CITY CIERR: 15 ayes.
PRESTDENI HINES: 15 ayes, zero noes.
The file is adopted. Is there any discussions of any other items on this report? Being none, Alderman Bohl moves for the approval of the recomnendations of the Licenses Committee. Will the City Clerk please call the roll?

CITY CLERK: Alderman Hamilton?
ALDERMAN HMMILTON: Aye.
CITY CLERK: Davis?
ALDERMAN DAVIS: Aye.
CITY CIERK: Kovac?
ALDERYAN KOVAC: Aye.
CITY CLERK: Bauman?
ALIDERMAN BAOMAN: Aye.
CITY CIERK: Bohl?
ALDERNAN BOHL: Aye.
CITY CIERK: Coggs?
ALDEROOMAN COGGS: Aye.
CITY CIERR: Wade?
AIDERVAN WADE: Aye.
CITY CLERK: Donovan?

Jean M. barina
Court Reporter

Dated this day of July, 2008.
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| lipscomb [7] 8:20 9:16 15:14 19:7 |  | outside [1] 7:9 | previously ${ }^{[1]}$ 18:6 <br> principal ${ }^{[1]}$ 22:11 |
| lips |  |  | principle [1] 22:9 |
| lipscomb's [1] | move [2] 4:25 17:12 | Over [7] 12:6, 19 13:15,22,24 15:1 19:11 <br> own [1] 21:16 | principles ${ }^{[1]} 18: 17$ |
| liquor [1] 23:16 listed 3 2:9,25 | moves [3] 4:14 16:7 30:8 |  | privilege [1] 6:4 <br> problem [4] 7:3,10,11 11:14 |
| live [2] 12:20,20 | murphy [8] 3:23,24 27:12,13 29:17, |  |  |
| IIc ${ }^{[1]}$ 2:14 | music [1] 12 .20 | paid [1] 12:2 | problematic ${ }^{[1]}$ 20:25proceed ${ }^{[1]} 5: 11$ |
| located | mu | part [4] 15:14 22:5 23:23 25:1 |  |
| $13,15,23 \text { 15:3,12 }$ |  | particular [3] 11:25 12:18 18:8 <br> party ${ }^{[2]}$ 10:11 19:24 <br> patio [7] 9:2,4,18,20 10:9 15:17,19 | produce [1] 11:7 <br> proper [1] 17:5 <br> property [4] 7:13 8:12,14 11:13 |
| logo [1] 13 | N |  |  |
| logos [1] 1 | name [1] 5:13 |  |  |
| Ione [1] 16 | need [2] 21:9 22: | patio [7] 9:2,4,18,20 10:9 15:17,19 patios [2] 10:5,6 | prove [1] 11:13 |
| long $[3]$ 11:21,24 19:2 look $[6] 6: 23,247: 16$ 8:5 25:25 | neighbor [1] 24 : | patrol [1] 7:14 | provide [2] 12:20,21 <br> public [1] 21:15 <br> puente [21] 3:21,22 14:11,12 15:5, |
| loses [1] 8:9 |  | patron [4] 13:10,18,19 14:2 |  |
| lost [2] 8:10 13 | new [218: |  | puente [21] 3:21,22 14:11,12 15:5, 10,23 16:2 21:25 22:1 23:20,21 25: |
| Iot $\left.{ }^{2}\right]$ 6:6,11 | ni | patrons' [1] 19:12 <br> pay [1] 7:23 <br> pedestrians ${ }^{[1]}$ 18:20 <br> penned [1] 22:15 <br> people [13] 5:25 7:7 9:1,18,20 10:9, | ```19,22,24 27:10,11 29:15,16 31:2,3 punishment [1] 21:22 purpose [2] 4:16 5:1 put []] 13:19 putting [1] 6:14``` |
| lots [1] 24:3 | nightclub ${ }^{[2]} 18: 10,2$ |  |  |
| 13 | nightclubs [2] $20: 6$ 22:1 |  |  |
| ch [2] 18:1819:2 | nighty 11.9 |  |  |
| lunch [2] 18:18 19:2 | nine [4] 22:25 23:4 27:24 28:1 nobody [4] 8:23 9:7 20:11 26:3 |  |  |


| Q | riverwalk [3] 9:2,3 19:1 road [1] 32:8 |  | 19:9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| question [4] 16:1 23:22 24:21 26: | roll [4] 3:5 26:17 28:23 30:10 | stand [1] 24:14 | trouble [3] 13:12,14 18:1 |
| 11 | roughly [1] 11:19 | standing [5] 7:11 15:16,16 19:13, | true [1] 11:7 |
| questions [7] 10:21,23 11:1 13:2 | rule [1] 19:21 |  | try [3] 10:17 14:8 25:1 |
| 14:8 16:4 25:15 quite 3 19:15 $21: 5 \quad 23: 12$ | S | state [1] 32:3 | turned [3] 6:21 13:22,24 |
| quite [3] 19:15 21:5 23:12 quote [1] 24:1 | $\text { sale }{ }^{[1]} 19: 4$ | statement ${ }^{[1]} 5$ <br> status [1] 18:9 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { two }[5] \text { 8:15 11:1 12:18 18:6 21:1 } \\ & \text { type }[2] 7: 2 \text { 8:2 } \end{aligned}$ |
| R | sa | st | U |
| rail [1] 9:5 | saturday [1] 11:21 | stipulate ${ }^{[1]}$ 25:3 stole ${ }^{[1]} 8: 12$ | under [1] 5:23 |
|  | savior [1] 21:1 | stolen [1] 8:1 | underage [1] 11:3 |
| reading [1] 23:25 | saw [3] 9:8 20:11 26:3 | straight ${ }^{[1]} 18: 10$ | understand ${ }^{[2]}$ 24:5,20 |
| really [6] 6:10,12,25 9:7,10 20:12 | saying ${ }^{[4]}$ 15:6 23:5 24:12,25 | street [21] 2:15,21 6:6,10,19 7:5,7 | unfortunate [2] 9:11,24 |
| reason $[1] 11: 15$ | says ${ }^{[2]}$ 7:22 9:16 schrimpf $[8] 13$ : | 10:8 16:15 17:15 18:13,16,19,22 | que [1] 13:16 |
| receive [1] 23:2 | 13,25 16:6 | 19:1 21:2,4,6 22:10,20,22 stressing $[1]$ 22:18 | until [4] 7:13 12:8 13:20 23:4 |
| recognize [2] 20:3,4 | seconds [1] 10:16 | strikes ${ }^{[1]} 8: 8$ | up [6] 8:14 9:17 20:1 21:17 23:4 |
| recognizes ${ }^{8]}$ 10:24 14:10 16: | security [1] 21:13 | strong [1] 15:13 |  |
|  | see [5] 9:20 15:23 17:2 19:16 24: | subject [3] 5:8 13:12,1 | upset [1] 8:10 |
| recommend [2] 20:21, | seeking [1] 23:16 | submit [4] 6:8,22 8:3 | urge [2] 21:20 25: |
| recommendation [2] 17:17,23 | seen ${ }^{[1]} 18$ 18:23 | s | 22:11 |
| recommendations [9] 2:4,7 3:3 |  | successful ${ }^{[1]} 11$ : |  |
| 5:2,9 26:15 28:13,21 30:9 | serious [1] 5:18 | S | U V |
| record ${ }^{[8]}$ 5:23 6:23,25 13:7 16:16 | ser | sunday [1] $21: 18$ | val |
| 18:3 20:21,22 | serve [2] 18:12 22:21 | supervisor [2] 8:20 20:15 | various [2] 2:5 |
| redevelopment [1] 23:11 |  | support [9] 17:10,11,23 21:21 22:4 | vast [1] 17:7 |
| reflected [1] 22:14 | se | 24:7,11 25:11,11 | nue [1] 12:20 |
| regarding [2] 13:10 23:15 | several ${ }^{[2]}$ 6:12 18:23 | supported [1] 18: | venues [1] 6:17 |
| rehabbed [1] 6:18 | severe [1] 12:13 |  | vibrancy [1] 23:11 |
| relating ${ }^{1]}$ 16:15 | shall [2] 5:7,8 | suspended [1] 12:16 | vibrant [1] 6:7 |
| relative [4] 2:5 16:10 28:13,19 | she's ${ }^{[1]}$ 23:5 | suspension [13] 2:11,18 5:18,22 7: |  |
| rely [1] 6:1 | ship [1] 14:3 | 1 12:14 14:6,6 17:24 21:21 25:6,10, | violence [1] 16:25 |
| remodel [1] | shouldn't ${ }^{[1]}$ 25: |  | visit [1] 7:7 |
| renew ${ }^{2}$ | $\text { side }{ }^{[1]} 5: 7$ | sweatshirt [2] 8:12 13:15 | visitors [1] 24:3 |
| renewal [2] 2:10,18 | sides ${ }^{[1]}$ 4:17 | T | vote [3] 16:14 17:9 28:20 |
| report [10] 2:11,19 3:2 5:3,9 8:13, | significant [2] 6:2 21:12 | tavern [4] 2:19 13:20,23 17:13 | W |
| reporter [2] 31:19 32:19 | similar [1] 13:23 | tavern/amusement [2] 2:1317 | wade [10] 3:18,18 4:8,9 27:6,7 29: |
| reporters [1] 32:7 |  |  | 11,12 30:23,24 |
| reposed [2] 14:4,7 | sir [4] 5:11 25:20,23 | ten [9] 2:10 5:17 6:1,25 9:5,6 21: | waiting ${ }^{[2]} 9: 112: 22$ |
| representing ${ }^{[1]} 5: 14$ | sitting [1] 12:22 | $25: 6,1$ | walking [1] 10:12 |
| request [1] 28:19 | situation [5] 9:10,24 10:4 20:4, | testified [4] 8:21 15:20,21 19:1 | $26: 14,19$ |
| requested ${ }^{[1]} 17$ | six [1] 18 | testify [1] $20: 17$ | warrant [1] 12:1 |
| require [1] 18:18 | size [1] $18: 9$ | testimony ${ }^{[2]} 1: 13$ 2:12 | warranted [1] 5: |
|  | sky [2] 19:10 26: | themselves [1] 22:9 | warrants ${ }^{[1]} 6: 25$ |
| (1) 4 : | somebody [2] 8:11,2 | theo [1] 8:20 | watching [1] 9:20 |
| resources [2] 8:3,4 | somehow [1] 21:4 | there's [7] 7:10,14,24 8:19 9:18,19 | weekend [1] 7:8 |
| $1: 21$ | someone [1] 19:1 | 10:1 | weekends ${ }^{[1]} 21$ |
|  | 20:2 | th | weight [1] 24:19 |
|  | somewhere [1] 9:7 | third [18] 2:15 6:6,10,19 7:5,7 10:7 | west [1] 32:8 |
| restrain [1] 19:25 | S | 16:15 17:15 18:13,16,22 19:1 21:2, | whatever ${ }^{[3]} 10: 10,13,14$ |
| result [1] 6:13 | sound [2] 14:4 | 6 22:10,20,2 | whatsoever [1] 23:3 |
| resurgence [1] 18:23 | Sounds ${ }^{[1]} 25.1$ |  | whereupon [3] 2:8,24 28:16 |
| retail [1] 22:25 |  |  | hether $[3] 14: 3,5$ 25:1 |
| [1] 11:16 | 8:22 21:1 |  | 4:16 5:1,6 6:23 |
| returned [1] 11:15 | splashing [1] 19:18 | today [1] 18:1 | whom [1] 19:8 |
| reunion [1] 20:7 | spoke ${ }^{[1]}$ 22:12 | tourists ${ }^{[1]}$ 24:3 | Whom [1] 19:8 |
| italize [1] 21:4 | stacks [2] 11:8,15 | town [1] 20: | wichler [1] 24:4 <br> will [71 3:4 4-24 11 |
| italized [1] 6:18 | staff [4] 7:9,21 9:19 10:2 | transcript ${ }^{[1]} 32: 10$ | wili [7] 3:4 4:24 11:15 13:3 $28: 23$ 30:9 |
| riding [1] 7:15 <br> rises [1] 16:8 | stakeholders [4] 18:15 22:3,5 24: | transition [1] 12:8 | willing ${ }^{[1]}$ 20:16 |
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