

085551

RECEIVED
MILWAUKEE
RECEIVED

Milwaukee City Clerk:

2008 DEC 29 PM 5:44 2008

OFFICE OF
CITY ATTORNEY

I am writing to inform you I definitely do want to appeal the decision that was in the letter received Dec. 5th 2008. This letter stated that the office of the city attorney did receive my claim in the amount of \$2,053.80.

RONALD D. LEONARD
CITY CLERK
2008 DEC 26 AM 11:17
CITY OF MILWAUKEE

I also submitted a few weeks later (after discussing doing so with Mr. Overholt) the information about my car survey & an estimate of \$192 for its repair, including the ignition switch.

In the letter I received it stated that the PT-27 form that supposedly was completed prior to my vehicle being towed indicated my vehicle did in fact have damage. This is just about the only honest remark I encountered during the entire process of gathering information, making copies and finally submitting my claim around the beginning of ^{this} May of 2008.

This letter which I received on Dec. 5, 2008 goes on to say quote "the alleged son roof damage did not occur during the

tow process, because there was no reason to deal with this part of my vehicle.

When I earlier wrote that the statement indicating my vehicle had damage was the only honest thing I've encountered during this entire process since Feb. 19th of 2008, this is because the damage being referred to was the driver side was sideswiped and the passenger side also had a rather long scrape. These 2 things were already on my car before the 2/19/08 Tow! You'd have to be blind not to notice them, so the indication of this Pt. 27 form stating my vehicle had damage was true & correct. However a Pt 27 form is indicating a vehicles ^{really} obvious damages, such as flat tires, broken windows etc. Correct? For one to detect the damage on the sun roof one would have to just about be inside the car to detect that! I did not indicate to Mr. Overhold, but, on the passenger visor I had some Wendy's food coupons, also a receipt, good for cash from store mgr. John T from Farm & Fleet for \$137.26 for a returned gift item from Xmas.

The Wendy's coupons were there however, someone else was #132.264 richa seeing the cash receipts from Farm + Fleet was gone!

This letter I received also stated that City attorneys office spoke with the City parking citation review manager, he noted that it did appear I contested the citation regarding this incident. Yes, all the information regarding this citation was well communicated to me, my calls questioning info regarding an un-complex way of dealing with it and possibly having it waived were never returned. Incidentally I spoke with a person at Justice 2000 and called her a few times in between regarding this. There's record with a Mike Simon and Barbara at the City Clerks office also.

So as far as the citation manager seems as if he's done his homework too, and he has pretty much got the finale to the parking citation right more right. It seems that's all the head guys do is see the beginning of a situation of Viola! There's the final ending. No time to

ponder over the middle part, what someone
may or may not do or not do and say --
when justice is served Viola? There
you have it? How simple was that?

So in closing your statement

"Since the alleged sun roof damage appears
to be unrelated to the towing of
the vehicle and City followed standard
procedures in this matter + and cannot
accept liability + are denying your claim.
I am not thinking this is an honored
decision because even though I
may have temporarily blocked my
alley, technically there was room
for most vehicles to have went around
my vehicle, There aren't many Mack
Trucks seen in this alley so one
could maneuver their car + complete
there going through the alley w/out
much of a problem, After 32 years
you get to know the ins + outs of
alley excursions for a capable driver.

Therefore yes, I chose to appeal
the denial of this part of my claim and
I do request a hearing.

The truth has to be recognized

Sincerely

Patty Egger

for an approval not a denial, C.I File No. 09-000000

Wed.
August 27, 2008

CITY OF MILWAUKEE

Dear Clerk of Courts Office:

2008 AUG 29 PM 1:14

RONALD D. KOENIG

I currently have a claim
filed and being handled by
Mr. Robert Overholt, claims
adjuster for City of Milwaukee Services.
The claim # is 08-5550.

This estimate information
I just received last Friday 8/22/08
and Mr. Overholt said I would
be able to submit it with hopes
of incorporating it with the other
information and copies for my
claim, 08-5550 he is handling.
Thank you in advance for
your consideration regarding this
matter

CITY OF MILWAUKEE
RECEIVED

AUG 29 PM 3:28

OFFICE OF
CITY ATTORNEY

If any other information is
needed to assist or expedite my
claim please don't hesitate to
call myself or Mr. Overholt?

Sincerely,
Dan S. X

CUDAHY AUTO CARE, INC.

5302 S.PACKARD AVE.
 CUDAHY, WI 53110
 PH.# 414-744-5721

Estimate

Number: E188

Date: August 22, 2008

Bill To:

PATTY EGGERS

Ship To:

PO Number	Code
3VWRA81H0TM020769	96 VW JETTA

Product ID	Description	Quantity	Price	Tax 1	Amount
2354	SUN ROOF	1.00	350.00	✓	350.00
	LABOR SUNROOF	1.00	250.00	✓	250.00
	ING SWITCH REPAIR	1.00	150.00	✓	150.00
MILAGE: 16313					
Sub-Total					5750.00
State Tax 5.60% on 750.00					42.00
Total					5792.00