## Hello Chris,

## 2 things regarding the Recommendations to amend CCFN 10026:

- 1. On the redevelopment plan being reviewed periodically every 5 years, at a minimum that should include some random sampling of to a complete review of all of the exhibits that are attached to the plan. Also an update would be needed, such as with the "Land Acquisition plan". What actually took place/outcomes are needed.
- 2. On the BID request to have a member on the body, if like bodies have a BID member on them (such as the ARCH review board I believe in Ald. Bauman's district), I have no problem with that. However if this is precedence setting, I think we need further review, with giving emphasis to potential conflicts of interest (when it comes to funding, official positions taken, etc.)
- 3. I support all of the other recommendations as long as they don't significantly delay city business (sell of property for example).

Thank you,

Clifton Crump Fire and Police Commission 414-286-5064