

Hello Chris,

2 things regarding the Recommendations to amend CCFN 10026:

1. On the redevelopment plan being reviewed periodically every 5 years, at a minimum that should include some random sampling of to a complete review of all of the exhibits that are attached to the plan. Also an update would be needed, such as with the “Land Acquisition plan”. What actually took place/ outcomes are needed.
2. On the BID request to have a member on the body, if like bodies have a BID member on them (such as the ARCH review board I believe in Ald. Bauman’s district), I have no problem with that. However if this is precedence setting, I think we need further review, with giving emphasis to potential conflicts of interest (when it comes to funding, official positions taken, etc.)
3. I support all of the other recommendations as long as they don’t significantly delay city business (sell of property for example).

Thank you,

Clifton Crump
Fire and Police Commission
414-286-5064