

Milwaukee Historic Preservation Commission Staff Report

HPC Meeting Date: 10/9/20			
Ald. Milele Coggs District:	6		
Staff Reviewer: Tim Askin			
PTS#114383 CCF#17082	9		
Property	2019 N Palmer St		
	Brewer's Hill HD		
Owner/Applicant	JOSEPH P SEDITA	imflyboy75@gmail.com	
	ELIZABEHT J SEDITA	ejsedita@gmail.com	
	2019 N PALMER ST		
	MILWAUKEE WI 53212	414-814-3808	
Proposal	Applicant proposes a new two-car garage with u	pper level split for a peaked roof	
	storage area and a roof top deck.		
Staff Comments	The house on this property was constructed in c. 1870 in the Front Gabled style. Though research has been limited, it appears no garage has ever existed on site.		
	While the neighboring 2021 N Palmer and 2017 N Palmer have garages in the alley,		
	the rear of the 2019 N Palmer lot has only alley surface parking.		
	The proposal is to construct a 22' x 28' two-car garage with upper level split for a peaked roof storage area and an attached deck that extends into the yard. While two car garages are common to the area, the attached deck is not. The proposal includes the following: — Demolition of existing concrete retaining wall — Excavation of yard to accommodate proposed garage dimensions		
	 New concrete retaining wall and slab will 	ll be poured.	
	Proposed garage features include the following: — Eave detailing will follow the Spartan garage example provided by Staff		
	 Single painted steel 18' carriage house style garage door with either faux glass or removal of glass for security 		
	 Lighting will be 17" gooseneck lamps 		
	 6-panel colonial revival style service door Smooth LP siding with color matched to h 		
	 Owens Corning Oakridge Dimensional shi 		
	 Single window facing alley centered in up 	-	
	 Decking and railing proposed to be constr 		
	hardwood, with high quality Trex Transce	•	
	secondary option		
	 Railing design is basic rail and newel post. 	Applicant has been requested to	
	submit a specific railing design for review.		
	City code requires that a garage take up no greate	er than 15% of the area of the	
	site. After consulting Plan Exam, Staff confirmed t		
	for a garage at 2019 N Palmer is 566 SF. As proposed, the garage is 616 SF mean		
	the garage is 50 SF too large for the site.	v	
	Side setbacks appear to meet the minimum requirements. Required setbacks from		
	the alley and the house are unclear. Four feet is re	-	

	 setback from the house is variable at minimums of 3 ft. or 10 ft. based on whether the garage has a 1-hr. fire-rated interior wall finish. Plan Exam also pointed to the issue of the sidewall height. As illustrated in the provided plans, the proposed garage sidewall appears to exceed the 10' sidewall maximum. Having measured at scale, staff concluded the drawings illustrate an 11' 4.8" sidewall. Applicant believes them to be 9'-6". In terms of historic quality, the guidelines for Brewer's Hill require that new construction be designed to "harmonize with the character of the district" citing scale, form, site location and materials. The scale of the building should be compatible with surrounding buildings in overall height and bulk, expression of major divisions (foundation, body, and roof) and its individual components such as porches, overhangs, and fenestrations. The current proposal is disproportionate to its neighboring structures. The roof peak is not steep enough, and the building is too wide to accommodate the necessary peak. The porch off the backside is far too large and would be an anomaly for the neighborhood. 	
	The form of the structure is disproportionate and as previously state, does not fit the surrounding context. The roof profile is inconsistent with the parent house and the surrounding buildings and the proposed structure of the garage is too large for the neighborhood. The site location is appropriate and side setbacks meet the minimum requirement. Whether the construction meets the setbacks for the alley and the house is unclear.	
Recommendation	Most of the proposed materials are acceptable; however, staff recommends the rejection of Trex Transcend as a secondary decking material and the rejection of LP Smartside. Cementitious board siding is strongly preferred. Recommend denial	
Conditions	 If HPC Approves, the following conditions are requested by Staff: A design proposal for the deck railing must be submitted to staff Deck must not be visible from alley – the width should lie inside the dimensions of the proposed garage, not in line with it Generic cement board or hardy plank siding is preferred over LP Pitch of garage should be higher Decking and Railing should be natural Ipe hardwood Of the two proposed carriage style garage doors, Staff prefers the second door which is more rectilinear in detail The windows of the garage door should not be deleted – proposed use of faux glass for security is acceptable HPC must also indicate, which, if any zoning variances it would support, when the applicant takes the garage to the Board of Zoning Appeals for further approvals Setback from house is 3 or 10 feet, depending on finishes. No site plan has been provided. 	
Previous HPC Action	plan has been provided. • Excess footprint by 50 sq. ft. N/A Previous Council Action N/A	