```
1. 00001
1
2
                 CITY OF MILWAUKEE
3
                   COMMON COUNCIL
4
    5
6
   In the Matter of: THE AMUSEMENT MACHINE DISTRIBUTOR
                  renewal application for:
7
   HEATH NORMAN WASSERMAN
8
   "DUFFY AMUSEMENTS"
   2740 South 9th Place
9
   10
11
12
13
               Proceedings had and testimony given in
       the above-entitled matter, before the COMMON
14
15
       COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF MILWAUKEE, on
16
       the 28the day of October, 2008.
17
```

00002	
1	PROCEEDINGS
2	(Whereupon the Court Reporter was
3	sworn.)
4	CITY CLERK: Is Heath N. Wasserman or
5	his counsel present and wish to address the
6	Council?
7	PRESIDENT HINES: It appears that the
8	counsel of Mr. Wasserman is available and
9	present. Alderman Bohl moves that the committee
10	the Common Council resolve itself into the
11	Committee of the Whole for the purpose of hearing
12	oral arguments on behalf of the licenses in
13	opposition to the report and recommendations.
14	Then a statement presented by the City Attorney.
15	Any objections to the motion? Seeing and hearing
16	none, we are now in the Committee of the Whole.
17	Each side shall be limited to five minutes. And
18	the arguments shall be limited to the subject
19	matter of the report and recommendations of the
20	Licenses Committee contained in this file and any
21	other written exceptions. Again, we will first
22	hear from the licensee's attorney, and then we
23	will hear from the City Attorney. You have five
24	minutes, sir.
25	MR. GIMBEL: Thank you very much, Mr.

00003		
1	President, members of the Council. My name is	
2	Franklyn Gimbel, and I am here today representing	
3	Heath Norman Wasserman.	
4	Obviously, I have known each and every	
5	one of you for many years. I have appeared	
6	before the License Committee on numerous	
7	occasions during the course of my 48 years as a	
8	lawyer. This is the first time that I've ever	
9	appeared before the Council where the committee	
10	has forwarded to you for your consideration the	
11	matter of the renewal of the application of my	
12	client, Heath Norman Wasserman, for an amusement	
13	device license, without recommendation.	
14	As you have undoubtedly already learned	
15	from the materials that I have provided to you on	
16	behalf of my client, this license was was not	
17	forwarded with a recommendation, because there	
18	was a two-two vote on the committee. The two	
19	people who opposed the recommendation for	
20	approval took the position that Mr. Wasserman had	
21	not demonstrated satisfactorily that he was a	
22	resident of the State of Wisconsin for the year	
23	preceding the time when this application for	
24	renewal was before the committee. You will note	
25	in my materials that the first thing that I	

00004 1 demonstrate to you and the addendum to the letter 2 to the clerk is from the conclusions of law, 3 which states that no - - no amusement machine 4 distributor's license shall be granted to any 5 person or partner not a resident of the State of 6 Wisconsin at least one year prior to the date of 7 filing the application. 8 Mr. Wasserman presented to the 9 committee several, what I suggested to the 10 committee, and I suggest to you as a Council of 11 the whole, compelling documents demonstrating his 12 residency for the year preceding the filing of 13 the application. Most compelling was that during 14 the entirety of the period up until September of 15 2008 of the year preceding the filing of his 16 application, Mr. Wasserman was on probation, 17 having been convicted of an offense of felony 18 possession of a firearm. As a consequence of 19 that probation, Mr. Wasserman was being monitored 20 by a probation officer from the Department of 21 Corrections. The records of the Department of 22 Corrections, which were filed with our 23 presentation in front of the committee, demonstrated unequivocally that this agent 24 25 required as a condition of Mr. Wasserman's

00005	
1	probation that he be a resident of the State of
2	Wisconsin. That is reflected by his getting
3	travel permits whenever he wished to travel
4	outside of the Milwaukee County area. At a point
5	in time in May of 2008 he did get such a a
6	permit, went to Illinois, and while there was
7	arrested and charged with battery. One of the
8	documents that I have put within the materials
9	submitted for your consideration here today is
10	Mr. Wasserman's signature on a personal
11	recognizance bond issued out of the 19th Judicial
12	Circuit Lake County of Illinois, which indicates
13	that he was awarded personal recognizance bail
14	and he showed his address at 2901-2903 North 23rd
15	Street in Milwaukee. Additionally, Mr.
16	Wasserman's probation officer, on page number 11
17	of the transcript of the proceedings here,
18	indicated that he lived at that address. On page
19	six of the transcript the probation log showed
20	that in October of '07 the probation officer
21	visited Mr. Wasserman's home at 1400 North
22	Prospect. He was living with his grandmother at
23	that time at Chai Point. His grandmother passed
24	away in the Spring, and he moved to the building
25	that he owned at 2903 North 23rd Street. The

00006		
1	probation officer visited that home, on page	
2	seven of the transcript, on 4/25/08. In May he's	
3	arrested in Illinois, and he signs his personal	
4	recognizance. You will see that there were some	
5	mailings sent to California. His father lives in	
6	California. There are documents attached to the	
7	to the materials that I've submitted for your	
8	consideration here today that indicate clearly	
9	his father had Power-of-Attorney of Mr.	
10	Wasserman, and he got his City tax bill. There's	
11	also a deed, which has been unrecorded, conveying	
12	half interest in the property on North 23rd	
13	Street to his father.	
14	PRESIDENT HINES: One minute.	
15	MR. GIMBEL: The The method by	
16	which licenses are reviewed certainly are are	
17	not perfect. But at the end of the day people	
18	expect, who have an interest in the property	
19	associated with a license, an objective standard	
20	to be followed. I suggest to you today that the	
21	evidence is more than adequate. It's beyond the	
22	preponderance that Norman Wasserman lived in	
23	Milwaukee and in the State of Wisconsin for a	
24	year preceding the filing of his application. I	
25	am I am somewhat taken aback by the fact that	

00007		
1	the Chairman of the committee said on page 47 of	
2	the transcript in this proceeding, "I don't think	
3	you can survive on 23rd and Locust. That's a	
4	difficult neighborhood." Suggesting that Mr.	
5	Wasserman wouldn't live there for that reason.	
6	Additionally, there was a suggestion by by	
7	Alderman Bohl, and I have respect for him and his	
8	leadership on this committee, that Mr. Wasserman	
9	was living in Illinois. There is not one	
10	scintilla of objective evidence to suggest that	
11	Heath Norman Wasserman lived anyplace other than	
12	in the State of Wisconsin for the year preceding	
13	his filing of the application. I earnestly urge	
14	that you recomm that you pass this license	
15	and approve it. Thank you.	
16	PRESIDENT HINES: Thank you. Why don't	
17	you stay here, Mr. Attorney Gimbel. Are there	
18	any particular questions that members of the	
19	Council have of Attorney Gimbel at this time? If	
20	not, thank you.	
21	MR. GIMBEL: Thank you.	
22	PRESIDENT HINES: Yes, sir. We will	
23	now hear from the Assistant City Attorney from	
24	the City Attorney's office, Assistant City	
25	Attorney Bruce Schrimpf.	

00008	
1	MR. SCHRIMPF: Thank you, Mr.
2	President. Members, Bruce Schrimpf from the City
3	Attorney's office.
4	One of the issues that came before the
5	committee was the fact that in approximately May
6	of 2008 Mr. Wasserman was arrested in Gurnee,
7	Illinois, and he gave an address at that time,
8	during the course of that arrest, at 711
9	Creekside Circle, Gurnee, Illinois. And that was
10	something that the police reported to the
11	committee. And, of course, gave the committee
12	pause as to whether or not he was really living
13	in Illinois. There was in the reports that Mr.
14	Gimbel referenced regarding the visits by the
15	probation officer, an indication that during the
16	year 2008 there was an effort on the part of Mr.
17	Wasserman to have his probation transferred to
18	Illinois. That ultimately did not work out, and
19	the probation stayed here in Wisconsin. The
20	documents that Mr. Gimbel introduced before the
21	committee indicated that the probation officer,
22	in addition to office visits where Mr. Wasserman
23	gave the 2903 North 23rd Street address, there
24	were, additionally, home visits on March 15th,
25	2007, June 14th, 2007, August 16th, 2007,

00009	
1	December 3rd, 2007 and February 27th, 2008,
2	wherein the probation officer visited Mr.
3	Wasserman during the time that he was living with
4	his mother at 1400 Prospect Avenue. His mother
5	passed away, and the next visit that shows up in
6	those reports indicates that the probation
7	officer met with Mr. Wasserman at 2903 North 23rd
8	Street, Milwaukee. The documents that Mr. Gimbel
9	provided indicated that, yes, there was a
10	personal recognizance bond executed by Mr.
11	Wasserman in September of 2008. And that
12	indicates that I'm sorry on May 30th,
13	2008, and that indicated the 2903 North 23rd
14	Street address. Those documents did not come
15	before the committee, because as Mr. Gimbel
16	explained, those documents were sent to this
17	Council after the fact, because the committee
18	deadlocked on whether or not Mr. Wasserman was a
19	resident of the City of Milwaukee for a year
20	preceding his application.
21	There is a warranty deed. There was an
22	issue regarding the deed. And that came up
23	because I asked Mr. Wasserman, during the course

of the hearing, about the fact that there is a

deed for the property at 2903 North 23rd Street

24

25

00010		
1	indicating that the City of Milwaukee sends the	
2	tax bills to an address in Agoura Hills,	
3	California. In response to the question Mr.	
4	Wasserman indicated that his parents live in	
5	Agoura Hills, California. That's why the tax	
6	bills go there, and that they own the property	
7	with him. Alderman Zielinski got ahold of the	
8	deed, and the deed indicated that it was owned by	
9	Mr. Wasserman singly.	
10	In the materials Mr. Gimbel presented,	
11	he also presented a warranty deed which is dated	
12	May 22nd, 2007, which gives a 50 percent interest	
13	in the property at 2903 North 23rd Street from	
14	Ronald Wasserman, apparently Heath Wasserman's	
15	father, to Heath Wasserman. So that And	
16	apparently that document was not recorded. It is	
17	not authenticated, which is probably why it	
18	wasn't recorded. It is, nonetheless, however,	
19	dated May 22nd, 2007.	
20	All that information can be balanced	
21	against the fact that Oh, there was also a -	
22	– a Power-of-Attorney – –	
23	PRESIDENT HINES: One minute.	
24	MR. SCHRIMPF: executed in Lake	
25	County, indicating that Mr. Wasserman gave his	

00011	
1	father the power over some of his affairs, and
2	that was with the materials provided by Mr.
3	Gimbel. The findings of fact regarding the other
4	issues stand on their own. If you have any
5	questions, I'll be trying to answer them.
6	PRESIDENT HINES: Yes. The Chair
7	recognizes Alderman Jim Bohl.
8	ALDERMAN BOHL: Thank you, Mr.
9	President. Mr. Schrimpf, the the first
10	question I have for you is wouldn't it be a
11	violation of Mr. Wasserman's probation parole to
12	reside in a state other than in the State of
13	Wisconsin?
14	MR. SCHRIMPF: Absolutely. Absolutely.
15	ALDERMAN BOHL: And was it not stated
16	in the testimony provided that his parents also
17	own a home that he would visit in Gurnee,
18	Illinois?
19	MR. SCHRIMPF: Yes. He indicated
20	that's why he gave the 711 Creekside Circle
21	address.
22	ALDERMAN BOHL: The very The very
23	same address where he indicated on the time where
24	he was arrested for for the allegation of a
25	battery, that that was his home address?

00012 1 MR. SCHRIMPF: That's what he told the 2 Gurnee police, yes. 3 ALDERMAN BOHL: And - - And it was also 4 testified that he had lived at first with his 5 grandmother on Prospect Avenue sometime during 6 the last period of the year, and then moved to 7 the subsequent address on roughly 23rd and 8 Locust. Is that correct? 9 MR. SCHRIMPF: Correct. That's 10 correct. 11 ALDERMAN BOHL: Where he has been for a 12 period of time. Did he not also submit for the 13 committee's record, a phone bill - - Well, with 14 the name that was his middle - - that started 15 with his middle name, indicating that his middle 16 name was the name that he sometime uses in 17 public, and as proof of his residence at the 18 location on 23rd and Locust? 19 MR. SCHRIMPF: Correct. There was a 20 phone bill in the amount of five dollars and 77 21 cents, which included a 16 dollar 37 cent credit. 22 ALDERMAN BOHL: And did I not ask him 23 his home phone number at the time for that 24 location, which he did not know? 25 MR. SCHRIMPF: That's correct.

00013 1 ALDERMAN BOHL: And did I not point out 2 at that time, question him as to the amount of 3 use at that particular home phone to indicate a 4 five dollar bill? 5 MR. SCHRIMPF: Right. You did. 6 ALDERMAN BOHL: Thank you. That's all. 7 PRESIDENT HINES: Chair recognizes 8 Alderman Puente. 9 ALDERMAN PUENTE: Thank you, Mr. President. Can you tell me if those probation 10 11 visits were scheduled or unscheduled? In other 12 words, were they surprised or did they set that 13 up and he could have been there at that time at 14 that location? 15 MR. SCHRIMPF: The - - They appear to 16 be scheduled. 17 ALDERMAN PUENTE: Thank you. 18 PRESIDENT HINES: Chair recognizes 19 Alderman Bauman. 20 ALDERMAN BAUMAN: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 21 There appears to be more going on here than meets 22 the eye. I mean, Mr. Gimbel has presented some evidence, which is relevant to the question of 23 24 residency. But as I understand it, that evidence 25 wasn't submitted at the committee, nor did anyone

00014 1 at the committee have a chance to sort of cross-2 examine on that evidence. Is that correct? 3 MR. SCHRIMPF: That is correct. 4 ALDERMAN BAUMAN: Wouldn't the best 5 course of action be to send this back to 6 committee for consideration of this new evidence? 7 MR. SCHRIMPF: That's an option the 8 Council always has. 9 ALDERMAN BAUMAN: Thank you. Because there appears to be more going on here than meets 10 11 the eye. I don't know what it all it is, but 12 there does appear to be more. 13 PRESIDENT HINES: Are there any 14 additional questions of Assistant City Attorney? 15 Seeing and hearing none. 16 MR. SCHRIMPF: Thank you. 17 PRESIDENT HINES: Thank you. Alderman 18 Bohl moves that the committee now rises. Or 19 before we get - - No additional question. Before now rises, the Chair recognizes Alderman Tony 20 21 Zielinski. 22 ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI: Thank you, Mr. 23 President. Attorney Gimbel is a very esteemed 24 attorney. He could take the most difficult cases 25 and make them seem - - seem more confusing and

00015	
1	convoluted when when in reality, it's an open
2	and shut case. Mr. Gimbel had indicated that
3	there is not one scintilla of evidence to
4	indicate that this individual does not reside at
5	the address that he listed on his license
6	application. And he must be confusing this with
7	another case, because we've I'm I'm going
8	to share with with the Council here,
9	objective evidence that is irrefutable to the
10	point that he does not live at this address. And
11	I'm going to begin with Mr. Wasserman's own
12	words. When he was arrested in Illinois earlier
13	this year, he gave an Illinois address. His
14	driver's license doesn't list the address that he
15	has on his application. His phone bill that goes
16	to that address, he didn't even know his own
17	phone number. The tax bill that he has goes to
18	California. The deed that we have has him listed
19	as the only individual on the deed. He had
20	testified before the committee that his parents
21	were on the deed with him, and that's why it's
22	going to California. Well, the warranty deed he
23	submitted is from May of '07. It's not
24	authenticated, which means he cannot file it. It
25	is not filed. And how does he explain the

00016	
1	the – – the tax bill going to California for all
2	the years previous to that.
3	You know, this is just I just think
4	that, you know, he has to have an address in
5	Illinois for his probation officer because of his
6	felonies and other, you know, violations against
7	the State throughout the years. And I think that
8	it's a complete would make a complete mockery
9	of the system in the face of all this evidence to
10	allow him to pull the wool over the Council's
11	eyes. I think it's critical that we send a
12	message to these applicants that if you lie
13	before this committee, if you mislead the
14	committee, you're not going to get away with it,
15	and you will be held accountable. And I would
16	move denial of the renewal of the license. Thank
17	you.
18	PRESIDENT HINES: Thank you. The
19	motion by Alderman Zielinski is to move denial.
20	On the motion, are there any discussions relative
21	to that motion? If not, we will subject that to
22	an appropriate vote. Mr. City Clerk, please call
23	the roll.
24	CITY CLERK: On the motion to deny,
25	Alderman Hamilton?

00017	
1	ALDERMAN HAMILTON: Aye.
2	CITY CLERK: Davis?
3	ALDERMAN DAVIS: Aye.
4	CITY CLERK: Kovac?
5	ALDERMAN KOVAC: No.
6	CITY CLERK: Bauman?
7	ALDERMAN BAUMAN: Aye.
8	CITY CLERK: Bohl?
9	ALDERMAN BOHL: Aye.
10	CITY CLERK: Wade?
11	ALDERMAN WADE: Aye.
12	CITY CLERK: Puente?
13	ALDERMAN PUENTE: Aye.
14	CITY CLERK: Murphy?
15	ALDERMAN MURPHY: Aye.
16	CITY CLERK: Dudzik?
17	ALDERMAN DUDZIK: Aye.
18	CITY CLERK: Witkowiak?
19	ALDERMAN WITKOWIAK: No.
20	CITY CLERK: Witkowski?
21	ALDERMAN WITKOWSKI: Aye.
22	CITY CLERK: Zielinski?
23	ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI: Aye.
24	CITY CLERK: Mr. President?
25	PRESIDENT HINES: No.

00018	
1	CITY CLERK: 10 ayes, three nos, two
2	excused.
3	PRESIDENT HINES: 10 ayes, three nos,
4	two excused. The motion carries.
5	* * * *

```
00019
1
 2
 3
   STATE OF WISCONSIN )
 4
                      )
 5 MILWAUKEE COUNTY )
 6
 7
                  I, JEAN M. BARINA, of Milwaukee Reporters
        Associated, Inc., 5124 West Blue Mound Road,
 8
        Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53208, certify that the foregoing
9
        proceedings is a full and complete transcript of the
10
11
        Common Council meeting of taken in the Duffy
12
        Amusements matter.
13
14
15
16
17
18
                                      JEAN M. BARINA
19
                                      Court Reporter
20
21
22
     Dated this day of November, 2008.
23
```