## ALDERMANIC AMENDMENTS TO THE PROPOSED 2009 EXECUTIVE BUDGET

(PRESENTED UNDER ARTICLE IV, SECTION 9, SUBSECTION 2 OF THE COMMON COUNCIL RULES AND PROCEDURES)

## COMMON COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2008



## 24 - HOUR AMENDMENT PACK

(These are amendments which were not approved by the
Finance and Personnel Committee and were resubmitted under the $\mathbf{2 4}$ - hour rule)

|  |  | BUDGET | LEVY | RAT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2009 PROPOSED EXECUTIVE BUDGET | 1,396,259,516 | 238,405,955 | 8.113 |
|  | ing Meter Rate Increa |  | $(500,000)$ |  |
|  | Parking Fine Increase |  | $(932,150)$ |  |
|  | Snow \& Ice Control Fee Increase |  | $(1,285,000)$ |  |
|  | Tavern/Amusement License Increase |  | $(57,500)$ |  |
|  | TOTALSAMENDMENT DESCRIPTION | 1,396,259,516 | 235,631,305 | 8.019 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | EFFECT | EFFECT | EFFECT |
| 9 | DO | ) | ) | (0.001) |
| 10 | DOA - Eliminate O\&M funding for the Environmental Sustainability Director position. | $(4,893)$ | $(4,893)$ | (0.001) |
| 6 | VARIOUS DEPARTMENTS - Restore the Mayor's preferred budget. | 7,000,000 | 0 | 0.000 |
| 2A | VARIOUS DEPARTMENTS - Reduce operating expenditures in various city departments by $5 \%$. | $(3,110,453)$ | $(3,110,453)$ | (0.106) |
| 69 | POLICE, DOA - Eliminate the Safety Director and four Safety Specialist Senior positions in Police, transfer crossing guards to the DOA Homeland Security Division. | $(343,262)$ | $(343,262)$ | (0.012) |
| 8 | DOA - Eliminate the Grant Compliance Manager position. | $(68,306)$ | $(68,306)$ | (0.002) |
| 12A | DOA - Provide \$150,000 for a disparities study. | 150,000 | 150,000 | 0.005 |
| 13 | CITY ATTY - Eliminate additional FTE and funding associated with additional service DCD - Eliminate positions that are transferred from capital to O\&M funding in 2009. | $(28,900)$ | $(28,900)$ | (0.001) |
| 22 |  | $(588,412)$ | $(588,412)$ | (0.020) |
| 5 | VARIOUS DEPARTMENTS - Increase the personnel cost adjustment by $1.5 \%$. | $(5,035,659)$ | $(5,035,659)$ | (0.171) |
| 15 | CCCC - Eliminate Aldermanic Travel Special Fund. | $(30,000)$ | $(30,000)$ | (0.001) |
| 31 | ELECTION COMMISSION - Eliminate funding for facility rental. | $(50,000)$ | $(50,000)$ | (0.002) |
| 43 | FIRE \& POLICE COMMISSION - Eliminate 2 Investigator/Auditor positions. | $(108,696)$ | $(108,696)$ | (0.004) |
| 44 |  | $(54,348)$ | $(54,348)$ | (0.002) |
| 46 | FIRE \& POLICE COMMISSION - Eliminate one Investigator/Auditor position. | $(47,840)$ | $(47,840)$ | (0.002) |
| 45 | FIRE \& POLICE COMMISSION - Eliminate Office Assistant II position. | $(26,112)$ | $(26,112)$ | (0.001) |
| 40 | FIRE - Eliminate 4 Battalion Chief positions, create 3 Fire Captain-Safety Incident Officers. | $(83,196)$ | $(83,196)$ | (0.003) |
| 41A | FIRE - Maintain staffing at 2008 budgeted level. | 1,249,866 | 1,249,866 | 0.043 |
| 39 | FIRE - Restore the 5th firefighter to four ladder companies that are proposed for reduction. | 978,518 | 978,518 | 0.033 |
| 34 | FIRE - Eliminate funding for 6 passenger vans. | $(129,278)$ | $(129,278)$ | (0.004) |
| 35 | FIRE - Eliminate the Fire Public Relations Manager position. | $(55,124)$ | $(55,124)$ | (0.002) |
| 53 | HEALTH - Eliminate the Health Communications Officer position. | $(61,000)$ | $(61,000)$ | (0.002) |
| 49 | HEALTH, DNS - Transfer all positions and funding for the Health Department's Consumer Environmental Health Division to DNS. | 0 | 0 | 0.000 |
| 55 | LIBRARY -Restore funding as per the Mayor's preferred budget, one Program Assistant II position, and additional hours at 11 neighborhood libraries. | 1,217,515 | 1,217,515 | 0.0 |
| 57A | LIBRARY - Eliminate the Library Security Manager, restore one Communications Assistant I. | $(16,561)$ | $(16,561)$ | (0.001) |
| 56 | LIBRARY - Reduce Library Materials - Books and Other by $50 \%$. | $(602,700)$ | $(602,700)$ | (0.021) |
| 58A | LIBRARY - Red circle various employees. | 6,823 | 6,823 | 0.001 |
| 61 | MAYOR - Eliminate one Staff Assistant to the Mayor position. | $(43,757)$ | $(43,757)$ | (0.001) |
| 64 | DNS - Eliminate the Public Information Coordinator position. | $(65,957)$ | $(65,957)$ | (0.002) |
| 63 | DNS - Reduce equipment funding for network hardware by $\$ 9,244$. | $(9,244)$ | $(9,244)$ | (0.001) |
| 67 | POLICE - Eliminate the Safety Director and four Safety Specialist Senior positions. | $(343,262)$ | $(343,262)$ | (0.012) |
| 74 | PORT - Eliminate the Port Marketing Manager and Trade Development Representative Senior positions, create a footnoted Professional Trade Marketing Services special fund. |  |  | 0.0 |
| 85 | DPW INFRASTRUCTURE - Eliminate the Bicycle and Pedestrian Coordinator position. | $(44,568)$ | $(44,568)$ | (0.002) |
| 84 | DPW INFRASTRUCTURE - Eliminate funding for the restoration of 11 miles of bicycle lane DPW OPS - Eliminate the Fleet Operations Manager position. | $(46,000)$ | $(46,000)$ | (0.002) |
| 100 |  | $(92,036)$ | $(92,036)$ | (0.003) |
| 90 A | DPW OPS, REVENUES - Increase 2009 Snow \& Ice Control Fee by $\$ 6$ annually to fund snow \& ice control operations in crosswalks within $36-48$ hours after last snowfall. | 1,285,000 |  | 0.000 |
| 95 | DPW OPS - Reduce various Facilities operating accounts. | $(100,000)$ | $(100,000)$ | (0.003) |
| 47 | Employment Project SPA, replace with CDBG reprogramming funds. |  | $(75,000)$ | (0.003) |
| 17 | SPA - CCCC - Eliminate funding for Sister Cities International in the City Memberships SPA. | $(1,715)$ | $(1,715)$ | (0.001) |
| 117 | SPA - Reduce the Milwaukee Arts Board Projects by $\$ 100,000$. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ - Reduce the Remission of Taxes Fund by $\$ 500,000$. | $(100,000)$ | $(100,000)$ | (0.003) |
| 115 |  | $(500,000)$ | $(500,000)$ | (0.017) |
| 119 | SPA - Eliminate tuition benefits for all management employees. | $(160,000)$ | $(160,000)$ | (0.005) |
| 114 | SPA - Reduce the Workers' Compensation Fund SPA by $\$ 1.0$ million. | (1,000,000) | $(1,000,000)$ | (0.034) |
| 122 | CAPITAL - Create Light Rail Infrastructure account with $\$ 250$ million of borrowing. | 250,225,000 | 225,000 | 0.008 |
| 30 |  | $(71,575)$ | $(1,575)$ | (0.001) |
| 111 | CAPITAL - DPW OPS - Reduce funding in the Recreation Facilities Citywide program by $\$ 118,500$. | $(121,167)$ | $(2,667)$ | (0.001) |
| 110 | CAPITAL - DPW OPS - Eliminate funding for the ZMB elevator construction project. PARKING - Eliminate capital funding for the repainting of MacArthur Square structure. | $(1,227,000)$ | $(27,000)$ | (0.001) |
| 82 |  | $(290,000)$ | 0 | 0.000 |

NOTE: Most revenues associated with amendments are reflected with the amendments on this page. Exceptions are represented at the top of this page with other recognized revenues. Snow \& Ice Control Fee increase revenue assumes related expenditures will be adoped in Amendment 90A, which is presented in the 24 -Hour packet.

SPONSOR(S): ALD. BAUMAN AND BOHL
AMENDMENT 9 F

| DEPARTMENT | BUDGET <br> IMPACT | TAX LEVY <br> IMPACT | TAX RATE IMPACT <br> PER \$1,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION | $\$-4,893$ | $\$-4,893$ | $\$-0.001$ |

## AMENDMENT INTENT <br> This amendment eliminates position authority and funding for the position of Environmental Sustainability Director in the Department of Administration, Office of Sustainability.

## OVERVIEW

1. The 2007 Budget included the newly created position of Environmental Sustainability Director (Salary Grade 12) in DOA's Office of Sustainability. This position is funded by non-property tax levy sources as described in item \#1 under "Impact".
2. The Environmental Sustainability Director position heads the Office of Sustainability and works with other city staff in implementing the recommendations of Mayor Barrett's Green Team.
3. In the 2009 Proposed Budget the salary for this position is $\$ 79,572$.

## IMPACT

1. The Environmental Sustainability Director (SG 12) position is funded in part by Water Works. For 2009, it is proposed that this position be funded by Water Works ( $50 \%$ ), by the Sewer Fund ( $25 \%$ ) and by grant funds from the U.S. Department of Energy (19\%). Operating funds will cover the rest of the position (6\%). In the future, it is anticipated that grant funds and non-general revenue funds will cover the majority of costs associated with the position.
2. The net impact of this amendment is a reduction of the 2009 Budget by $\$-4,893$, for a tax rate impact of $\$-0.001$ per $\$ 1,000$ assessed valuation.

COMMITTEE VOTE (2-3): In Favor: Ald. Bauman and Dudzik
Opposed: Ald. Murphy, Hines and Kovac

```
Prepared by: Amy E. Hefter LRB - Research and Analysis Section October 27, 2008
Revised: October 31, 2008
```

By Ald. Bauman, Bohl
Item $\qquad$

## DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION

Eliminate position authority, full time equivalent, and funding for the position of Environmental Sustainability Director in the Department of Administration.

| BUDGET | TAXLEVY | TAX RATE EFFECT |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| EFFECT | $\underline{\text { EFFECT }}$ | (PER $\$ 1,000$ A.V.) |

EFFECT
EFFECT (PER $\$ 1,000$ A.V.)
Operating Budget

| BMD-2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PAGE |
| AND LINE |
| NUMBER |

(SUBMITTED, NO MOTION)
SPONSOR(S): ALD. BOHL
AMENDMENT 10

| DEPARTMENT | BUDGET <br> IMPACT | TAX LEVY <br> IMPACT | TAX RATE IMPACT <br> PER $\$ 1,000$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION | $\$-4,893$ | $\$-4,893$ | $\$-0.001$ |

[^0]
## OVERVIEW

1. The 2007 Budget included the newly created position of Environmental Sustainability Director (Salary Grade 12) in DOA's Office of Sustainability. This position is funded by non-property tax levy sources as described in item \#1 under "Impact".
2. The Environmental Sustainability Director position heads the Office of Sustainability and work with other city staff in implementing the recommendations of Mayor Barrett's Green Team.
3. In the 2009 Proposed Budget the salary for this position is $\$ 79,572$.

## IMPACT

1. The Environmental Sustainability Director (SG 12) position is funded in part by Water Works. For 2009, it is proposed that this position be funded by Water Works ( $50 \%$ ), by the Sewer Fund ( $25 \%$ ) and by grant funds from the U.S. Department of Energy (19\%). Operating funds will cover the rest of the position salary ( $6 \%$ ). In the future, it is anticipated that grant funds and non-general revenue funds will cover the majority of costs associated with the position.
2. The net impact of this amendment is a reduction of the 2009 Budget by $\$-4,893$, for a tax rate impact of \$-0.001 per \$1,000 assessed valuation.

Item $\qquad$

## DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION

Eliminate O\&M funding for the position of Environmental Sustainability Director in the Department of Administration.

| BUDGET | TAXLEVY | TAX RATE EFFECT |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| EFFECT | EFFECT | (PER $\$ 1,000$ A.V.) |

TAXLEVY EFFECT


AMENDMENT: 6 W

| DEPARTMENT | BUDGET <br> IMPACT | TAX LEVY <br> IMPACT | TAX RATE <br> IMPACT PER $\$ 1,000$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Operating Budget | $+\$ 6,700,000$ | $-\$ 300,000$ | $-\$ 0.010$ |
| Employee Retirement | $+300,000$ | $\frac{+\$ 300,000}{+\$ 0}$ | $\underline{+\$ 0.010}$ |
| Total | $+\$ 7,000,000$ | $+\$ 0.000$ |  |

## AMENDMENT INTENT

This amendment restores positions and/or funding as necessary to implement all of those services included in the Mayor's preferred budget recommendations as follows:

1. Police Department: Restore funding for 56 sworn Police Officers, enabling the department to maintain an average sworn strength of 1,964 personnel.
2. Fire Department: Restore funding for an existing Engine or Ladder Company and add funding for 5 floating Firefighter positions to be deployed as needed to maintain flexible staffing levels.
3. Library: Restore funding necessary to operate all 12 Neighborhood Libraries. All

Neighborhood Libraries shall be open 45 hours per week.
4. DPW-Sanitation: Restore "free" curbside brush collection and provide funding for a Sanitation Supervisor.
5. DPW-Fleet Services: Restore authority and funding for 3 Operations Driver Worker positions for general sanitation duties.
6. DPW-Forestry: Restore funding necessary to maintain a 6 year pruning cycle.
7. DPW-Infrastructure: Cut the reduction in street light burn time from 15 minutes to 11 minutes, allowing street lights to come on at dusk.
8. Special Purpose Accounts: Restore Business Improvement District funding of $\$ 53,500$ and provide $\$ 95,000$ for Graffiti Abatement Fund.
9. Personnel Cost Adjustments: Reduce the personnel cost adjustment for DOA, Assessor, City Attorney, City Clerk, Comptroller, DER and City Treasurer.
Funding of this amendment is intended to be provided through a $\$ 37$ annual increase in the Solid Waste Fee. The Solid Waste Fee increase will require separate action, to be initiated on November 5.

## BACKGROUND

$>$ Police Department
Maintaining a total sworn strength level within an acceptable range directly impacts the ability of the Police Department to serve the City. Sworn strength will decline with separations from the department, necessitating the need for new personnel. Through Pay Period 19 (September 20, 2008), sworn strength stood at 1,987 law enforcement personnel.

The 2009 Proposed Budget does not contain the monies necessary to maintain current sworn strength levels, providing funding for 56 fewer Police Officers. The table below displays the practical impact on sworn strength if, per the Proposed Budget, these 56 positions are not funded. Though no positions are eliminated per se, future vacancies are allowed to build up through the "Personnel Cost Adjustment". While the number of authorized sworn positions would remain unchanged, vacancies would be allowed to increase by 56 persons, and sworn strength would fall by a like amount.

PROSPECTIVE SWORN STRENGTH PER 2009 PROPOSED BUDGET

(*) Assumes preferred sworn strength of 1,964 law enforcement personnel

## $>$ Fire Department

The 2009 Proposed Budget includes the reduction of 40 positions in the Fire Department. Proposed staffing changes include:

- Reducing the number of personnel on the remaining 9 Ladder Companies that have 5 persons on an apparatus to a 4 person crew;
- Eliminating Engine Company \#20, a 4 person company, from the administrative headquarters at $7^{\text {th }}$ and James Lovell; and
- Eliminating one additional Engine or Ladder Company from a yet-to-be-determined location.


## $>$ Library

The 2009 Proposed Budget seeks a number of personnel and non-personnel reductions in the Milwaukee Public Library, including closing 2 undisclosed Neighborhood Libraries.

## > DPW-Sanitation

The 2009 Proposed Budget does not provide funding for brush collection. Rather, City residents will be able to dispose of brush at City self-help centers or have brush removed by a private tree removal service.

## > DPW-Fleet Services

The 2009 Proposed Budget includes the elimination of 7 positions of Operations Driver Worker, reducing the total number of this position to 63. Two of the Operation Driver Worker positions proposed for elimination haul construction materials from self-help centers to clean fill and recycling sites. The proposed elimination of the additional 5 Operations Driver Worker positions would affect the ability of the department to fully staff its equipment and meet service delivery needs.

## DPW-Forestry

The 2009 Proposed Budget includes reductions in Forestry staff that results in an extension of the pruning cycle from 5 years to 7 years and an increase in the spring/early summer mowing cycle from 7 to 10 days.

## > DPW-Infrastructure

The 2009 Proposed Budget includes a year round reduction in evening street light burn time by 15 minutes. Estimated energy cost savings of $\$ 240,000$ will be achieved due to the significantly higher electrical energy rates in the evening. The subject burn time occurs at the peak electrical energy rate, which is roughly 5 times the cost of electrical energy consumption during the morning off-peak rate. The expected cost savings of $\$ 240,000$ translates to $\$ 16,000$ for each minute that evening street light burn time is reduced.

## $>$ Special Purpose Accounts

## - Business Improvement Districts

The past several adopted City Budgets have included $\$ 187,000$ in a special purpose account to provide City operating support to 7 of Milwaukee's approximately 30 business improvement districts. The Business Improvement Districts ("BID") SPA is administered by the Department of City Development. The BID SPA has often been controversial during Common Council budget deliberations, as it has been viewed by some Council members as fundamentally inequitable.

The 2009 Proposed Budget decreases funding for the BID SPA from a requested $\$ 93,500$ to $\$ 40,000$, with the reduced funding being used to compensate BID Nos. 2 and $15 \$ 18,000$ and $\$ 22,000$, respectively, for their maintenance of the public portion of the Riverwalk. The City is required by agreements it has with these BIDs to reimburse them for Riverwalk maintenance costs.

## - Graffiti Abatement Fund

This account funds grants to BIDs, partnership and outreach programs, city-ordered abatement, elderly/disabled abatement and graffiti "sweeps". Sweeps are quick abatement responses to graffiti vandalism sprees affecting multiple buildings. The Graffiti Abatement Fund Special Purpose Account is not funded in the 2009 Proposed Budget.

## > Personnel Cost Adjustment

The Personnel Cost Adjustment is a deduction from the salary accounts for each department based upon the estimation and projection of the number of vacancies for the upcoming year. The 2009 Proposed Budget includes a $\$ 297,500$ increase in the Personnel Cost Adjustment for City administrative departments.

## DISCUSSION

## > Police Department

This amendment restores funding in the amount of $\$ 3,415,000$ for 56 sworn Police Officers, enabling the Police Department to maintain an average sworn strength of 1,964 personnel.

## $>$ Fire Department

This amendment restores funding authority for one Engine or Ladder Company (from a yet-to-bedetermined location) designated for elimination in the 2009 Proposed Budget. This amendment also provides funding for 5 floating Firefighter positions that will work 12 hour shifts and be used to maintain flexible staffing levels. This amendment provides $\$ 1,455,000$ for these purposes.

## $>$ Library

This amendment restores funding to the Milwaukee Public Library to provide salaries, position authority, full time equivalents, materials, equipment and other operating costs to allow all 12 branch libraries to remain open for 2009. Restored funding includes $\$ 365,610$ for library materials, $\$ 126,991$ for other equipment purchases and $\$ 176,611$ in operating expenditure funds.

This amendment further sets the total hours of operation for all Neighborhood Libraries, with the exception of Center Street, at 45 hours per week. Five libraries would increase their hours by 1.5 hours per week, while 6 libraries would decrease hours by 11 hours per week. Center Street, which is funded by CDBG funds, will be open 50 hours per week.

While passage of this amendment will restore position authority and funding for 12 positions, it will eliminate position and funding for 16 positions, for a net decrease of 4 authorized positions versus the Proposed Budget as indicated:

AMENDMENT IMPACT ON LIBRARY POSITIONS

| ELIMANAE ${ }^{\text {d }}$, + | RESTORE, 4 w |
| :---: | :---: |
| Administrative Services , , | WAministrative Services |
| 1 Program Assistant $\Pi$, | 2 Custodial Worker II-City Laborer |
| Neighborhood Libraries \& Extension Services | Tajeighboriood Libraries \& Extension Services |
| 6 Library Circulation Assistant I |  |
|  | 2 Library Circulation Aide ( 0.56 FTE ) , |
|  | 2 Library Branch Manager, |
|  | 2 Library Reference Assistant ${ }^{\text {a }}$, \%ev \% \% |
|  |  |
|  | Central Library |
|  | 1 Librarañ H |

The net impact of this amendment is the restoration of $\$ 520,000$ in funding to the Milwaukee Public Library.

## $>$ DPW Sanitation

This amendment provides $\$ 99,000$ necessary to fund 2 Operations Driver Worker positions and associated disposal tonnage fees to restore "free" brush collection. In addition, $\$ 65,000$ is provided to fund a Sanitation Supervisor position for general sanitation duties.

## > DPW-Fleet Services

This amendment provides $\$ 136,000$ necessary to fund 3 Operations Driver Worker positions proposed for elimination, enabling the department to better staff equipment and meet service delivery needs.

## > DPW-Forestry

This amendment restores the pruning cycle to 6 years by providing $\$ 200,000$ to fund 4 Urban Forestry Specialist positions and an urban Forestry Crew Leader position. Passage of this amendment will result in the pruning cycle increasing one year (from 5 years to 6 years) versus the two years (from 5 years to 7 years) in the Proposed Budget.

## > DPW-Infrastructure

This amendment provides $\$ 64,000$ to restore 4 minutes of evening street light burn time. This will allow street lights to come on at dusk. If passed, evening street light burn time will be reduced by 11 minutes versus the 15 minute reduction included in the Proposed Budget.

## Special Purpose Accounts

- Business Improvement Districts

This amendment increases funding for the Business Improvement Districts Special Purpose Account from $\$ 40,000$ to $\$ 93,500$. The amendment would restore the level of funding for each of the 7 BIDs funded in 2008 to one-half the 2008 funding level as follows:

| Business Improvement District ., | - Dollar Amount |
| :---: | :---: |
| BDD No. 2 (Historic Third Ward)., | \%, 8180000 |
| BID No. 4 (Greater Mitehell Street) , , \% |  |
|  | Ta $9,9,000$ |
|  | *20, 0,500 |
| BID No. 10 (Avenues West) , |  |
|  | 2-aman |
| BLD No. 21 (Downtown Management District) . | \% 17,500 |
|  | \% 893,500 |

## - Graffiti Abatement Fund

This amendment provides $\$ 95,000$ in funding for the Graffiti Abatement Fund Special Purpose Account, restoring funding to the 2008 level.

## > Personnel Cost Adjustment

This amendment restores funding to support proposed staffing levels by reducing the Personnel Cost Adjustment for the following departments by the following amounts:

|  | Dollar Amount |
| :---: | :---: |
| Department of Administration, , \% |  |
|  | 5 , 33,550 , \% |
|  | - $53,500 \%$ |
| City Clerkt, |  |
| Comptroller, \% |  |
| Departmentof Employee Relations. | 35,900 |
| City Treasurer.avam | - 18,250 |
| Total ${ }^{\text {a }}$, | \% 8297,500 |

## FISCAL IMPACT

Passage of this amendment will increase the 2009 Budget by $\$ 7,000,000$. There is currently no tax levy or rate effect as revenues are required to be recognized by the Comptroller. Funding of this amendment is proposed to be provided through a $\$ 37$ annual increase in the Solid Waste Fee. The Solid Waste Fee increase will require separate action, to be initiated on November 5.

[^1]
## VARIOUS DEPARTMENTS AND FUNDS

$\qquad$

| BUDGET | TAXLEVY | TAX RATE EFFECT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EFFECT | EFFECT | (PER $\$ 1,000 \mathrm{A.V)}$. |

Increase the Solid Waste Fee by $\$ 37$ per year, generating a total revenue of $\$ 7.0$ million. Utilizing the generated revenues, implement the "Mayor's Preferred Budget."

$\qquad$

## VARIOUS DEPARTMENTS AND FUNDS, CONT'D


$\qquad$ VARIOUS DEPARTMENTS AND FUNDS, CONT'D

$\qquad$
VARIOUS DEPARTMENTS AND FUNDS, CONT'D

| BMD-2 <br> PAGE <br> AND LINE <br> NUMBER | DETAILED AMENDMENT | CHANGE IN 2009 POSITIONS OR UNITS COLUMN |  | CHANGE IN 2009 <br> AMOUNT COLUMN |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | NUMBER TO BE CHANGED | AMOUNT OF CHANGE | AMOUNT TO BE CHANGED | AMOUNT OF CHANGE |
|  | LIBRARY <br> ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES DECISION UNIT <br> SALARIES \& WAGES |  |  |  |  |
| 220.3-20 | Program Assistant II | 2 | -1 | \$79,882 | \$-40,282 |
| 220.5-5 | Custodial Worker II - City Laborer | 16 | -- | \$585,553 | \$-4,736 |
| 220.5-8 | Custodial Worker II - City Laborer | 9 | +2 | \$341,270 | \$+69,424 |
| 220.7-9 | Personnel Cost Adjustment | -- | -- | \$-116,139 | \$-760 |
| 220.7-20 | O\&M FTE'S | 99.75 | 0.98 | -- | -- |
| 220.8-11 | ESTIMATED EMPLOYEE FRINGE BENEFITS | -- | -- | \$1,859,517 | \$+9,695 |
|  | OPERATING EXPENDITURES |  |  |  |  |
| 220.8-15 | General Office Expense | -- | -- | \$142,700 | \$+5,000 |
| 220.8-16 | Tools \& Machinery Parts | -- | -- | \$24,750 | \$+1,000 |
| 220.8-17 | Construction Supplies | -- | -- | \$41,800 | \$+2,200 |
| 220.8-19 | Other Operating Supplies | -- | -- | \$239,250 | \$+19,750 |
| 220.8-22 | Non-Vehicle Equipment Rental | -- | -- | \$26,800 | \$+4,000 |
| 220.8-24 | Information Technology Services | -- | -- | \$214,375 | \$+5,000 |
| 220.8-25 | Property Services | -- | -- | \$292,800 | \$+1,950 |
| 220.9-5 | Reimburse Other Departments | -- | -- | \$78,500 | \$+3,300 |
|  | EQUIPMENT PURCHASES |  |  |  |  |
| 220.9-12 | Library Materials - Books \& Other | -- | -- | \$1,205,400 | \$+365,610 |
| 220.9-13 | Miscellaneous | -- | +1 | -- | \$+10,000 |


| BMD-2 <br> PAGE <br> AND LINE <br> NUMBER | DETAILED AMENDMENT | CHANGE IN 2009 POSITIONS OR UNITS COLUMN |  | CHANGE IN 2009 <br> AMOUNT COLUMN |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { NUMBER } \\ \text { TO BE } \\ \text { CHANGED } \\ \hline \hline \end{gathered}$ | AMOUNT <br> OF <br> CHANGE | AMOUNT TO BE CHANGED | AMOUNT OF CHANGE |
| 220.9-14 | Floor Maintenance Machines | 1 | +2 | \$6,000 | \$+1,600 |
| 220.9-21 | Computer Monitors | 10 | +5 | \$3,500 | \$+1,000 |
| 220.9-23 | Computer Printing Equipment | 19 | +1 | \$19,000 | \$+1,000 |
| 220.9-24 | Computers | 61 | +143 | \$40,700 | \$+74,816 |
| 220.9-25 | Miscellaneous | -- | +1 | -- | \$+11,900 |
|  | NEIGHBORHOOD LIBRARY \& EXTENSION SERVICES DECISION UNIT |  |  |  |  |
|  | SALARIES \& WAGES |  |  |  |  |
| 220.11-16 | Librarian III | 11 | -- | \$574,533 | \$-2,848 |
| 220.11-17 | Library Services Assistant | 9 | +2 | \$341,262 | \$+66,844 |
| 220.11-19 | Library Circulation Assistant I | 31 | -6 | \$985,506 | \$-153,750 |
| 220.11-21 | Library Circulation Aide (0.56 FTE) | 32 | +2 | \$285,120 | \$+17,820 |
| 220.11-22 | Library Branch Manager | 9 | +2 | \$603,290 | \$+101,349 |
| 220.11-23 | Library Reference Assistant | 8 | +2 | \$292,325 | \$+67,948 |
| 220.11-24 | Librarian II | 10 | -9 | \$442,130 | \$-392,328 |
| 220.12-16 | Library Driver | 1 | +1 | \$42,769 | \$+42,770 |
| 220.12-17 | Library Driver (D) | 1 | -- | \$42,769 | \$-436 |
| 220.13-14 | Personnel Cost Adjustment | -- | -- | \$-123,491 | \$+27,704 |
| 220.13-15 | Other (Sluft) | -- | -- | \$21,000 | \$+8,100 |
| 220.13-25 | O\&M FTE'S | 103.31 | $-6.72$ | -- | -- |
| 220.14-17 | ESTIMATED EMPLOYEE FRINGE BENEFITS | -- | -- | \$1,620,530 | \$-88,899 |
|  | OPERATING EXPENDITURES |  |  |  |  |
| 220.14-21 | General Office Expense | -- | -- | \$9,500 | \$+1,500 |
| 220.14-24 | Energy | -- | -- | \$315,100 | \$+73,210 |
| 220.14-25 | Other Operating Supplies | -- | -- | \$3,514 | \$+15,699 |
| 220.15-6 | Property Services | -- | -- | \$206,000 | \$+31,000 |

$\qquad$ VARIOUS DEPARTMENTS AND FUNDS, CONT'D


$\qquad$

## VARIOUS DEPARTMENTS AND FUNDS, CONT'D



VARIOUS DEPARTMENTS AND FUNDS, CONT'D


VARIOUS DEPARTMENTS AND FUNDS, CONT'D


SPONSOR(S) Ald Bauman
AMENDMENT 2a F

| DEPARTMENT | BUDGET IMPACT | TAX LEVY <br> IMPACT | TAX RATE IMPACT <br> PER $\$ 1,000$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All departments except City Clerk, City <br> Attorney, Comptroller, Treasurer, <br> Municipal Court \& Mayor | $\$-3,110,453$ | $\$-3,110,453$ | $\$ 0.106$ |

## AMENDMENT INTENT

Reduce the category "operating expenditures" in every department by 5\% except for the City Clerk, City Attorney, Comptroller, Treasurer, Municipal Court, and Mayor.

## OVERVIEW

1. This amendment reduces the category operating expenditures in each department by $5 \%$ except for the City Clerk, City Attorney، Comptroller, Treasurer, Municipal Court, and Mayor. The amendment as drafted also excludes enterprise funds and the Employee's Retirement System.
2. The amendment reduces 1 or 2 operating expenses in each departmental division, but departments will have the discretion to distribute the reduction in the appropriate operating accounts.

## IMPACT

1. The adoption of this amendment reduces the tax levy by $\$ 3,110,453$ or $\$ 0.106$ per $\$ 1,000$ of assessed valuation.

COMMITTEE VOTE: (1-4) In Favor: Ald. Bauman
Opposed: Ald. Murphy, Hines, Dudzik, Kovac

Prepared by: Jim Carroll
LRB - Research \& Analysis
October 27, 2008
Revised: November 6, 2008

$\qquad$
VARIOUS DEPARTMENT, CONT'D


$\qquad$
VARIOUS DEPARTMENT, CONT'D

$\qquad$
VARIOUS DEPARTMENT, CONT'D




## OBJECTIVE, OVERALL BUDGET LEVY EFFECT OF THIS POSSIBLE AMENDMENT TO THE 2009 PROPOSED BUDGET

By Ald. Bauman
Item
VARIOUS DEPARTMENT, CONT'D


SPONSOR(S): Ald. Dudzik
AMENDMENT: 69 W

| DEPARTMENT | BUDGET <br> IMPACT | TAX LEVY <br> IMPACT | TAX RATE <br> IMPACT PER \$1,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Police Department | $-\$ 343,262$ | $-\$ 343,262$ | $-\$ 0.012$ |

## AMENDMENT INTENT

This amendment eliminates position and funding authority for the Safety Director and the 4 Safety Specialist-Senior positions within the Safety Division of the Police Department. This amendment further proposes transferring all remaining Safety Division positions and responsibilities to the Homeland Security Division of the Department of Administration, which requires a change to the Milwaukee Code of Ordinances.

## BACKGROUND

Section 312-23 and 312-25 of the Milwaukee Code of Ordinances places responsibility for Community Safety and School Crossing Guards under the purview of the Police Department:

312-23. Community Safety. The police department shall:

1. In consultation with the safety commission, establish standards for the location of school crossing guards, conduct studies of locations for the placement of new crossing guard protection, the relocation of protection or discontinuation of service, and recommend to the common council the need for crossing guards based on the established standard and recommendations.
2. Administer the school crossing guard program.
3. Furnish school children, industrial workers, official and nonofficial groups with educational materials such as publications, films, radio and television sport announcements, and exhibits for the promotion of safety within the financial resources established by the common council.
4. Be responsible for seeing that the defensive driving course is conducted in accordance with the program guidelines adopted by common council resolution.

### 312.25. School Crossing Guards.

1. DUTIES. School crossing guards, assigned to serve as crossing guards, are authorized to direct and assist school children, other pedestrians and vehicular traffic at school crossings at times when public and private schools are in session.
2. UNIFORMS. All school crossing guards, serving as crossing guards, shall be provided with a uniform and badge as prescribed by the chief of police and which must be worn while on duty.
3. TRAINING. Training and supervision shall be provided by the police department.

The Safety Division operates within the Administration Bureau of the Police Department and is comprised of the following personnel:

| Position | Units | Pay Ränge | 2009 Proposed Bud |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sâfety Director ${ }^{\text {TS }}$ |  | , | 64, \$99,722 |
| Safety Specialist Senior | 4 | 1 | , 2, , \$246,540 |
| Office Assistant IIt | 1. | 3425 | 2. $\$ 344,9722^{\prime}$ |
| School Crossing Guard | $207 \%$ | \% C 929 | -6. $\$ 9113,378$ |
| School Crossing Guard (Aux) | 28 . | 929 | 納 849986 |
| School Crossing Guardoperator | + 3 | 929. |  |
| School Crossing Guard-Dispatcher | -3.3 | 929 | 絲. \$ $\$ 11887$ |

The basic function of the position of Safety Director is described as follows: "Under the direction of the Assistant Chief of Police-Administration Bureau, the Safety Director is responsible for providing leadership, direction and oversight for the management, coordination and delivery of Safety Programs in the areas of a city-wide School Crossing Guard Program, Safe Routes to School, Pedestrian, Water, and Bicycle Safety, Safety Patrol Education, all court mandated Defensive Driving Courses, Public Passenger Vehicle Testing, Line-of-Sight violations; supervise (4) Safety Specialist Sr. and (1) Office Assistant III. Manage the MPD-Safety Division Budget. "

The job description provided for the position of Safety Specialist-Senior reads as follows: "Under the supervision of the Safety Director, the Safety Specialist-Senior position is responsible for the administration, coordination and management of numerous programs directed citywide for community safety. These services include the School Crossing Guard Program, Pedestrian and Bicycle Safety, Safe Routes to School Program, Water Safety Program, Safety Patrol Education Program, and Employee Safety. Provides education and installation of car seats and car seat checks for State Compliance."

Individual responsibilities of the 4 current Safety Specialist-Senior positions are detailed in the attached organizational chart.

## DISCUSSION

Passage of this amendment would eliminate the positions of Safety Director and the 4 Safety Specialist-Senior positions within the Safety Division, Administration Bureau of the Police Department. This amendment further proposes transferring all remaining Safety Division positions and responsibilities to the Homeland Security Division of the Department of Administration, which necessitates a change to the Milwaukee Code of Ordinances.

## IMPACT

Passage of this amendment and an ordinance change transferring the remaining positions and responsibilities to the Homeland Security Division of the Department of Administration would effectively assign all duties previously held by the Safety Director and 4 Safety Specialist-Senior positions to the Homeland Security Director.

Passage of this amendment will decrease the 2009 Budget and tax levy by $\$ 343,262$, for a tax rate impact of $-\$ 0.012$ per $\$ 1,000$ assessed valuation.

| Prepared by: | Michael Talarczyk |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Legislative Reference Bureau |
|  | October 27, 2008 |
|  | Revised: November 3, 2008 |



## OBJECTIVE, OVERALL BUDGET LEVY EFFECT OF THIS POSSIBLE AMENDMENT TO THE 2009 PROPOSED BUDGET

By Ald. Dudzik
Page 1 of 2
Item $\qquad$ POLICE DEPARTMENT, DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION

| BUDGET | TAX LEVY | TAX RATE EFFECT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EFFECT | EFFECT | (PER \$1,000 A.V.) |

Eliminate the Safety Director and four Safety Specialist Senior positions, EFFECT
EFFECT
(PER \$1,000 A.V.) funding, and full time equivalents in the Police Department. Transfer the remaining positions and responsibilities in the Police Department Safety Division to the Department of Administration Homeland Security Division.


## OBJECTIVE, OVERALL BUDGET LEVY EFFECT OF THIS POSSIBLE AMENDMENT TO THE 2009 PROPOSED BUDGET

 By Ald. DudzikPOLICE DEPARTMENT, DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION cont'd
Item

| BMD-2 <br> PAGE <br> AND LINE <br> NUMBER | DETAILED AMENDMENT | CHANGE IN 2009 POSITIONS OR UNITS COLUMN |  | CHANGE IN 2009 <br> AMOUNT COLUMN |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { NUMBER } \\ \text { TO BE } \\ \text { CHANGED } \end{gathered}$ | AMOUNT OF CHANGE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AMOUNT } \\ & \text { TO BE } \\ & \text { CHANGED } \\ & \hline \hline \end{aligned}$ | AMOUNT OF CHANGE |
|  | POLICE DEPARTMENT ADMINISTRATION/ SERVICES DECISION UNIT <br> SALARIES \& WAGES |  |  |  |  |
| 260.10-15 | Safety Director | 1 | -1 | \$96,722 | \$-96,722 |
| 260.10-16 | Safety Specialist-Senior | 4 | -4 | \$246,540 | \$-246,540 |
| 260.10-17 | Office Assistant III | 1 | -1 | \$34,972 | \$-34,972 |
| 260.10-18 | School Crossing Guard | 207 | -207 | \$913,378 | \$-913,378 |
| 260.10-19 | School Crossing Guard (Aux.) | 28 | -28 | \$49,986 | \$-49,986 |
| 260.10-20 | School Crossing Guard - Operator | 3 | -3 | \$11,874 | \$-11,874 |
| 260.10-21 | School Crossing Guard - Dispatcher | 3 | -3 | \$11,874 | \$-11,874 |
| 260.13-5 | O\&M FTE'S | 712.75 | -53.03 | -- | -- |
| 260.14-6 | ESTIMATED EMPLOYEE FRINGE BENEFITS | -- | -- | \$10,660,606 | \$-436,911 |
|  | OPERATING EXPENDITURES |  |  |  |  |
| 260.14-10 | General Office Expense | -- | -- | \$651,777 | \$-1,375 |
| 260.14-14 | Other Operating Supplies | -- | -- | \$1,176,845 | \$-19,000 |
| 260.14-18 | Professional Services | -- | -- | \$509,385 | \$-5,000 |
| 260.14-19 | Information Technology Services | -- | -- | \$1,049,703 | \$-1,200 |
| 260.14-23 | Other Operating Services | -- | -- | \$2,088,056 | \$-1,500 |
| 380.1-3 | FRINGE BENEFIT OFFSET | -- | -- | \$-121,996,378 | \$+17,857 |


| DEPARTMENT | BUDGET <br> IMPACT | TAX LEVY <br> IMPACT | TAX RATE IMPACT <br> PER \$1,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION | $\$-68,306$ | $\$-68,306$ | $\$-0.002$ |

## AMENDMENT INTENT

This amendment eliminates position authority and funding for the position of Grant Compliance Manager in the Department of Administration, Community Development Grants Administration.

## OVERVIEW

1. The 2006 Budget created the Grant Manager position, and the position was reclassified as a Grant Compliance Manager (Salary Grade 9) in File 051158, January 18, 2006.
2. The Grant Compliance Manager position has assists DOA in researching and communicating grant opportunities to city departments, writing grant proposals, and maintaining contacts with federal, state and local granting agencies, and lobbying for additional grant appropriations.

## IMPACT

1. This amendment eliminates position authority, funding, direct labor hours and FTEs for the Grant Compliance Manager position in the Department of Administration for a savings of $\$ 68,306$.
2. The net impact of this amendment is a reduction of the 2009 Budget by $\$-68,306$, for a tax rate impact of $\$-0.002$ per $\$ 1,000$ assessed valuation.

COMMITTEE VOTE (2-3): In favor: Ald. Bauman and Dudzik
Opposed: Ald. Murphy, Hines and Kovac

Prepared by: Amy E. Hefter LRB-Research and Analysis Section October 23, 2008
Revised: October 31, 2008
$\qquad$

## DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION

Eliminate position authority, full time equivalent, and funding for the position of Grant Compliance Manager in the Department of Administration.

BUDGET
EFFECT

TAXLEVY EFFECT

TAX RATE EFFECT
(PER \$1,000 A.V.)


SPONSOR(S): Ald. Hamilton
AMENDMENT: 12a W

| DEPARTMENT | BUDGET <br> IMPACT | TAX LEVY <br> IMPACT | TAX RATE <br> IMPACT PER \$1,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Department of Administration | $+\$ 150,000$ | $+\$ 150,000$ | $+\$ 0.005$ |

## AMENDMENT INTENT

Amendment 12 a allocates $\$ 150,000$ in additional funding for the pending Disparity Study.
Amendment 12 also initially restored positions and/or funding as necessary to implement services included in the Mayor's preferred budget recommendations as follows:

1. Police Department: Restore funding for 56 sworn Police Officers, enabling the department to maintain an average sworn strength of 1,964 personnel.
2. Fire Department: Restore funding for an existing Engine or Ladder Company and add funding for 5 floating Firefighter positions to be deployed as needed to maintain flexible staffing levels. However, as these Police Department and Fire Department budget recommendations are included in Amendment 1a, they have been removed from Amendment 12a.

## BACKGROUND

In August 2007, Mason Tillman Associates, Ltd. submitted a study that reviewed the effectiveness of the City of Milwaukee's Emerging Business Enterprise Program. The study was conducted for the period January 1, 2005 through December 31, 2005. One of the recommendations of this study was that the City conduct a statistical analysis of disparity. A confirmed statistical underutilization from a disparity study would allow the City to utilize race-conscious remedies to increase utilization of African American Emerging Business Enterprises.

## DISCUSSION

This amendment allocates $\$ 150,000$ in additional funding for the pending Disparity Study.

## FISCAL IMPACT

Passage of this amendment will increase the 2009 Budget and tax levy by $\$ 150,000$, for a tax rate impact of $+\$ 0.005$ per $\$ 1,000$ assessed valuation.

Prepared by: Michael Talarczyk<br>Legislative Reference Bureau October 27, 2008<br>Revised: November 4, 2008

By Ald. Hamilton
DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION

Item $\qquad$

| BUDGET | TAX LEVY | TAX RATE EFFECT |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\underline{\text { EFFECT }}$ | $\underline{\text { EFFECT }}$ | (PER $\$ 1,000$ A.V.) |

SPONSOR: ALD. BOHL (SUBMITTED, NO MOTION)

AMENDMENT 13

| DEPARTMENT | BUDGET EFFECT | TAX LEVY <br> EFFECT | TAX RATE EFFECT <br> PER $\$ 1,000$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City Attorney | $\$-28,900$ | $\$-28,900$ | $\$-.001$ |

## AMENDMENTINTENT

Eliminate funding for a 0.4 FTE assistant city attorney position providing services to the Milwaukee Public Schools.

## OVERVIEW

1. The Milwaukee City Attorney is required to provide legal advice and representation to the Milwaukee School District under Wisconsin statute.
2. Until 2008, advice and representation for the Milwaukee Public Schools was provided by a 0.6 FTE assistant city attorney who was a part-time employee (e.g., she did not work on other services).
3. In 2008, a full time position was authorized for an assistant city attorney to represent the Milwaukee Public School District for reasons that include caseload and the need to assign an attorney who did not have the extensive experience of the retiring attorney.
4. This amendment eliminates a full time position that would be funded at a salary of $\$ 72,248$ and replaces it with a 0.6 FTE , fully $\mathrm{O} \& \mathrm{M}$ funded, at a salary of \$43,348.

## EFFECT

1. This amendment decreases the budget by $\$ 28,900$.
2. This amendment decreases the tax levy by $\$ 28,900$ or $\$ .001$ per $\$ 1,000$ of assessed value.
3. This amendment reduces estimate employee fringe benefits by $\$ 11,849$, and the fringe benefit offset is adjusted accordingly.

Prepared by: Richard L. Withers<br>LRB - Research and Analysis<br>10/27/2008<br>10/31/2008 revised

$\qquad$

## CITY ATTORNEY

In the City Attorney's Office, return Assistant City Attorney position responsible for MPS legaI services from full time to 0.6 FTE as in 2008 budget rather than expanding services provided.

|  |  | Page 1 of 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Item |
|  |  | 13 |
| BUDGET | TAXLEVY | TAX RATE EFFECT |
| EFFECT | EFFECT | (PER \$1,000 A.V.) |

Operating Budget
\$-28,900
\$-28,900
\$-0.001


| DEPARTMENT | BUDGET IMPACT | TAX LEVY <br> IMPACT | TAX RATE IMPACT <br> PER \$1,000 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DEPARTMENT OF <br> CITY DEVELOPMENT | $-\$ 588,412$ | $-\$ 588,412$ | $-\$ 0.020$ |

## AMENDMENT INTENT

The intent of this amendment is to eliminate position authority, salary funding and FTEs for 10 positions in the Department of City Development that were wholly or partially funded by capital funding in 2008 and will be funded entirely by tax levy funding in 2009.

## OVERVIEW

1. The 2009 Proposed Budget provides $\$ 3,767,932$ in tax levy funding for the Department of City Development's personnel costs -- $\$ 797,992(26.9 \%)$ more than the 2008 Adopted Budget. DCD has attributed the increased reliance on tax levy support to less capitalfunded administrative work relating to the creation and administration of tax incremental districts. This is reflected in the $\$ 1,076,608$ decline in the department's capital improvements deduction for 2009.
2. The " 2009 Proposed Plan and Executive Budget Summary" also states that the 2009 Proposed Budget shifts about $\$ 756,000$ in salaries from capital and grant sources to the General Fund (tax levy).
3. DCD positions being transferred from whole or partial capital funding to $\mathrm{O} \& \mathrm{M}$ funding under the 2009 Proposed Budget include the following:

Marketing Development Services Manager (SG 11) 50\% capital to $100 \%$ O\&M
Finance and Administration Manager (SG 12) 40\% capital to $100 \%$ O\&M
Budget and Management Reporting Manager (SG 11) 60\% capital to $100 \%$ O\&M
Program Assistant II (PR 530) 60\% capital to $100 \%$ O\&M
Graphics Designer II (PR 535)
$60 \%$ capital to $100 \%$ O\&M
Principal Planner (SG 7) $60 \%$ capital to $100 \%$ O\&M
Office Assistant III (PR 425)
$50 \%$ capital to $100 \%$ O\&M
$100 \%$ capital to $100 \%$ O\&M
Senior Planner (PR 576)
$60 \%$ capital to $100 \%$ O\&M
*Note: The Audiovisual Specialist II is being changed from 1.00 FTE to 0.60 FTE.

## IMPACT

1. This amendment eliminates position authority, salary funding, direct labor hours and FTEs for the 10 Department of City Development positions listed above.
2. This amendment reduces the 2009 Budget and tax levy by $\$ 588,412$, for a tax rate impact of $-\$ 0.020$ per $\$ 1,000$ assessed valuation.

| COMMITTEE VOTE (1-4): | In favor: | Ald. Bauman |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Opposed: | Ald. Murphy, Dudzik, Kovac, Hines |

Prepared by: Jeff Osterman LRB - Research \& Analysis Section October 27, 2008
Revised: October 31, 2008
$\qquad$

## DEPARTMENT OF CITY DEVELOPMENT

Eliminate position authority, FTE's, and funding for all positions that had funding shifted from capital funding to $O \& M$ funding the 2009 proposed budget.

| BUDGET | TAX LEVY | TAX RATE EFFECT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EFFECT | EFFECT | (PER $\$ 1,000 \mathrm{~A} . \mathrm{V})$. |


| Operating Budget |  | \$-588,412 |  | \$-588,412 | \$-0.020 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BMD-2 <br> PAGE | DETAILED AMENDMENT | CHANGE IN 2009 POSITTONS OR UNITS COLUMN |  | CHANGE IN 2009 <br> AMOUNT COLUMN |  |
| AND LINE NUMBER |  | NUMBER TO BE CHANGED | AMOUNT OF CHANGE | AMOUNT TO BE CHANGED | AMOUNT OF CHANGE |
|  | SECTION I.A.1. BUDGETS FOR GENERAL CITY PURPOSES |  |  |  |  |
|  | DEPARTMENT OF CITY DEVELOPMENTGENERAL MANAGEMENT \& POLICY DEVELOPMENT DECISION UNIT |  |  |  |  |
|  | SALARIES \& WAGES |  |  |  |  |
| 140.4-13 | Marketing Dev. Services Mgr. ( Y ) | 1 | -1 | \$96,722 | \$-96,722 |
| 140.4-14 | Program Assistant II (Y) | 1 | -1 | \$55,847 | \$-55,847 |
| 140.4-15 | Graphics Designer II (Y) | 1 | -1 | \$36,171 | \$-36,171 |
| 140.4-17 | Audiovisual Specialist II (Y) (0.6 FTE) | 1 | -1 | \$31,836 | \$-31,836 |
| 140.5-13 | Office Assistant III | 4 | -1 | \$103,304 | \$-34,434 |
| 140.5-14 | Office Assistant IV | 3 | -1 | \$111,213 | \$-37,071 |
| 140.6-9 | Principal Planner ( Y ) | 2 | -1 | \$150,925 | \$-74,922 |
| 140.6-11 | Senior Planner | 5 | -1 | \$295,996 | \$-53,438 |
| 140.6-21 | Finance \& Administration Manager ( Y ) | 1 | -1 | \$94,701 | \$-94,701 |
| 140.6-22 | Budget \& Mgmt. Reporting Manager (Y) | 1 | -1 | \$73,270 | \$-73,270 |
| 140.7-22 | O\&M FTE'S | 42.55 | --9.60 | -- | -- |
| 140.8-9 | ESTIMATED EMPLOYEE FRINGE BENEFITS | -- | -- | \$1,095,640 | \$-241,249 |
| 380.1-3 | FRINGE BENEFTT OFFSET | -- | -- | \$-121,996,378 | \$+241,249 |

SPONSOR(S): Ald. Bohl
Amendment \# 5 F

| Department | Budget <br> Impact | Tax Levy <br> Impact | Tax Rate Impact <br> per $\$ 1,000$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wages Supplement Fund - SPA | $\$-5,035,659$ | $\$-5,035,659$ | $\$-0.171$ |

ADMENDMENT INTENT - To reduce the Wages Supplement Fund Special Purpose Account to reflect a $1.5 \%$ increase in the Personnel Cost Adjustment for all Departments except administrative departments referenced in the Mayor's "preferred budget" recommendation.

## OVERVIEW

1. This amendment reduces the Wages Supplement Fund Special Purpose Account to reflect a $\mathbf{1} .5 \%$ increases in the Personnel Cost Adjustment for all Departments except administrative departments referenced in the Mayor's "preferred budget" recommendation.
2. The Wages Supplement Fund Special Purpose Account funds back wages, fringe benefits and new wage rates for employees covered under collective bargaining agreements which may be settled during the upcoming budget year.
3. The 2009 Proposed Budget for the Wages Supplement Fund is $\$ 20,350,000$, down $\$ 2,056,500$ from the 2008 Adopted Budget of $\$ 22,406,500$ due in part to the settlement of the labor contracts with the Milwaukee Professional Fire Fighters' Association and the Milwaukee Police Association.
4. The Personnel Cost Adjustment is an estimate of how much budgeted salaries and wages for City departments should be reduced to account for temporarily unfilled positions, positions temporarily under-filled and positions vacated during the year and re-filled by employees at a lower step level in the Salary Grade or Pay Range.
5. Personnel Cost Adjustments are generally $2 \%$ but may vary either above or below $2 \%$ for any particular department depending on circumstances and workforce makeup in the particular department.

## IMPACT

1. The adoption of this amendment reduces the tax levy by $\$ 5,035,659$ or $\$ 0.171$ per $\$ 1,000$ of assessed valuation.
2. Overestimating the Personnel Cost Adjustment may result in under-estimating actual salary and wage expenditures for the period.
3. Departments with low employee turnover and therefore fewer naturally occurring vacancies which the department can hold over or delay in filling may have to layoff staff to meet budget projections.

Committee Vote (0-5) In Favor: None Opposed: Ald. Kovac, Bauman, Murphy, Dudzik. Hines

Prepared by: Aaron Cadle
LRB - Legislative Fiscal Analyst
October 27, 2008
Revised: November 3, 2008

## VARIOUS DEPARTMENTS, SOURCE OF FUNDS FOR GENERAL CITY PURPOSES

Increase the personnel cost adjustments and reduce the full-time equivalents in various operating departments by $1.5 \%$. Amendment requires recognition of revenue change by the Comptroller.

Item $\qquad$ 5

| BUDGET | TAXLEVY | TAX RATE EFFECT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EFFECT | EFFECT | (PER $\$ 1,000$ A.V.) |

Operating Budget
\$-5,035,659
\$-5,035,659
\$-0.171


## OBJECTIVE, OVERALL BUDGET LEVY EFFECT OF THIS POSSIBLE AMENDMENT TO THE 2009 PROPOSED BUDGET

 By Ald. BohlItem $\qquad$ VARIOUS DEPARTMENTS, SOURCE OF FUNDS FOR GENERAL CITY PURPOSES CONT'D

| BMD-2 <br> PAGE <br> AND LINE <br> NUMBER | DETAILED AMENDMENT | CHANGE IN 2009 POSITIONS OR UNITS COLUMN |  | CHANGE IN 2009 <br> AMOUNT COLUMN |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | NUMBER TO BE CHANGED | AMOUNT OF CHANGE | AMOUNT TO BE CHANGED | AMOUNT OF CHANGE |
| 190.2-10 | O\&M FTE'S | 9.50 | -0.14 | -- | -- |
| 190.2-24 | ESTIMATED EMPLOYEE FRINGE BENEFITS* | -- | -- | \$273,363 | \$-4,183 |
|  | FIRE DEPARTMENT <br> FIREFIGHTING DIVISION DECISION UNIT |  |  |  |  |
|  | SALARIES \& WAGES |  |  |  |  |
| 200.4-17 | Personnel Cost Adjustment | -- | -- | -- | \$-894,576 |
| 200.5-3 | O\&M FTE'S | 951.69 | -15.11 | -- | -- |
| 200.5-26 | ESTIMATED EMPLOYEE FRINGE BENEFITS | -- | -- | \$22,377,528 | \$-304,156 |
|  | FIRE DEPARTMENT - SUPPORTING SERVICES DECISION UNIT |  |  |  |  |
|  | SALARIES \& WAGES |  |  |  |  |
| 200.12-24 | Personnel Cost Adjustment | -- | -- | -- | \$-81,258 |
| 200.13-10 | O\&M FTES | 102.36 | -1.53 | -- | -- |
| 200.14-4 | ESTIMATED EMPLOYEE FRINGE BENEFITS | -- | -- | \$1,805,467 | \$-27,628 |
|  | HEALTH DEPARTMENT |  |  |  |  |
|  | SALARIES \& WAGES |  |  |  |  |
| 210.17-25 | Personnel Cost Adjustment | -- | -- | \$-387,405 | \$-209,351 |
| 210.18-11 | O\&M FTE'S | 166.06 | -4.25 | -- | -- |
| 210.25-8 | ESTIMATED EMPLOYEE FRINGE BENEFITS* | -- | -- | \$3,370,849 | \$-85,834 |


| BMD-2 <br> PAGE. <br> AND LINE <br> NUMBER | DETAILED AMENDMENT | CHANGE IN 2009 POSITIONS OR UNITS COLUMN |  | CHANGE IN 2009 <br> AMOUNT COLUMN |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | NUMBER TO BE CHANGED | AMOUNT OF CHANGE | AMOUNT TO BE CHANGED | AMOUNT <br> OF <br> CHANGE |
|  | LIBRARY <br> ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES DECISION UNIT SALARIES \& WAGES | -- | -- | \$-116,139 | \$-69,592 |
| 220.7-9 | Personnel Cost Adjustment |  |  |  |  |
| 220.7-20 | O\&M FTE'S | 99.75 | -1.54 | -- | -- |
| 220.8-11 | ESTIMATED EMPLOYEE FRINGE BENEFITS |  | -- | \$1,859,517 | \$-28,533 |
|  | LIBRARY <br> NEIGHBORHOOD LIBRARY \& EXTENSION SERVICES DECISION UNIT | -- |  |  |  |
|  | SALARIES \& WAGES |  |  |  |  |
| 220.13-14 | Personnel Cost Adjustment | - | -2.17 | \$-123,491 | \$-73,739 |
| 220.13-25 | O\&M FTE'S | 103.31 |  | -- | -- |
| 220.14-17 | ESTIMATED EMPLOYEE FRINGE BENEFITS |  | -- | \$1,620,530 | \$-30,233 |
|  | LIBRARY <br> CENTRAL LIBRARY DECISION UNIT | -- |  |  |  |
|  | SALARIES \& WAGES |  |  |  |  |
| 220.20-5 | Personnel Cost Adjustment | -- | -- | \$-103,115 | \$-61,825 |
| 220.20-16 | O\&M FTE'S | 98.44 | -1.48 | -- | -- |
| 220.21-9 | ESTIMATED EMPLOYEE FRINGE BENEFITS | -- | -- | \$1,647,619 | \$-25,348 |

## OBJECTIVE, OVERALL BUDGET LEVY EFFECT OF THIS POSSIBLE AMENDMENT TO THE 2009 PROPOSED BUDGET

By Ald. Bohl
Item

| BMD-2 <br> PAGE <br> AND LINE <br> NUMBER | DETAILED AMENDMENT | CHANGE IN 2009 POSITIONS OR UNITS COLUMN |  | CHANGE IN 2009AMOUNT COLUMN |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { NUMBER } \\ \text { TO BE } \\ \text { CHANGED } \end{gathered}$ | AMOUNT OF CHANGE | AMOUNT TO BE CHANGED | AMOUNT <br> OF <br> CHANGE |
|  | MAYOR'S OFFICE SALARIES \& WAGES | -- | -- | \$-17,856 | \$-13,392 |
| 230.2-2 | Personnel Cost Adjustment |  |  |  |  |
| 230.2-13 | O\&M FTE'S | 12.50 | -0.25 | -- | -- |
| 230.2-21 | ESTIMATED EMPLOYEE FRINGE BENEFITS* | -- | -- | \$358,728 | \$-5,491 |
|  | MUNICIPAL COURT |  |  |  |  |
|  | SALARIES \& WAGES |  |  |  |  |
| 240.2-16 | Personnel Cost Adjustment | -- | -- | \$-106,656 | \$-31,997 |
| 240.3-2 | O\&M FTE'S | 39.50 | -0.68 | \$820,830 | -- |
| 240.3-10 | ESTIMATED EMPLOYEE FRINGE BENEFITS* | -- | -- |  | \$-13,119 |
|  | DEPARTMENT OF NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES |  |  |  |  |
|  | SALARIES \& WAGES | -- | ---3.01 | \$-541,421 |  |
| 250.7-9 | PersonneI Cost Adjustment |  |  |  | \$-141,290 |
| 250.7-20 | O\&M FTE'S | 169.58 |  | -- | -- |
| 250.8-6 | ESTIMATED EMPLOYEE FRINGE BENEFITS* | -- | -- | \$3,294,725 | \$-57,929 |

## VARIOUS DEPARTMENTS, SOURCE OF FUNDS FOR GENERAL CITY PURPOSES CONT'D



## OBJECTIVE, OVERALL BUDGET LEVY EFFECT OF THIS POSSIBLE AMENDMENT TO THE 2009 PROPOSED BUDGET

 By Ald. BohlItem $\qquad$ VARIOUS DEPARTMENTS, SOURCE OF FUNDS FOR GENERAL CITY PURPOSES CONT'D

$\qquad$
VARIOUS DEPARTMENTS, SOURCE OF FUNDS FOR GENERAL CITY PURPOSES CONT'D

| BMD-2 <br> PAGE <br> AND LINE <br> NUMBER | DETAILED AMENDMENT | CHANGE IN 2009 POSITIONS OR UNITS COLUMN |  | CHANGE IN 2009 <br> AMOUNT COLUMN |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NUMBER } \\ & \text { TO BE } \\ & \text { CHANGED } \end{aligned}$ | AMOUNT OF CHANGE | AMOUNT TOBE CHANGED | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AMOUNT } \\ & \text { OF } \\ & \text { CHANGE } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | DPW-INFRASTRUCTURE SERVICES DIVISIONFIELD OPERATIONS - CONSTRUCTION DECISION UNIT <br> SALARIES \& WAGES | -- | -- | \$-105,500 | \$-79,832 |
| 300.14-25 | Personnel Cost Adjustment |  |  |  |  |
| 300.15-11 | O\&M FTE'S | 15.06 | -1.70 | -- | -- |
| 300.15-20 | ESTIMATED EMPLOYEE FRINGE BENEFITS | -- | -- | \$324,582 | \$-32,731 |
|  | DPW-INFRASTRUCTURE SERVICES DIVISIONFIELD OPERATIONS - STREETS \& BRIDGES DECISION UNIT |  |  |  |  |
|  | SALARIES \& WAGES |  |  |  |  |
| 300.22-9 | Personnel Cost Adjustment | -- | -2.78 | \$-165,000 | \$-130,836 |
| 300.22-20 | O\&M FTE'S | 134.29 |  | -- | -- |
| 300.22-26 | ESTIMATED EMPLOYEE FRINGE BENEFITS | -- | -- | \$2,643,662 | \$-53,643 |
|  | DPW-INFRASTRUCTURE SERVICES DIVISIONFIELD OPERATIONS - ELECTRICAL SERVICES DECISION UNIT |  |  |  |  |
|  | SALARIES \& WAGES |  |  |  |  |
| 300.27-8 | Personnel Cost Adjustment | -- | -- | \$-204,600 | \$-130,950 |
| 300.27-19 | O\&M FTE'S | 71.88 | -2.78 | -- | -- |
| 300.28-2 | ESTIMATED EMPLOYEE FRINGE BENEFITS | -- | -- | \$1,473,114 | \$-53,689 |

Item $\qquad$
VARIOUS DEPARTMENTS, SOURCE OF FUNDS FOR GENERAL CITY PURPOSES CONT'D

| BMD-2 <br> PAGE <br> AND LINE <br> NUMBER | DETAILED AMENDMENT | CHANGE IN 2009 POSITIONS OR UNITS COLUMN |  | CHANGE IN 2009 <br> AMOUNT COLUMN |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NUMBER } \\ & \text { TO BE } \\ & \text { CHANGED } \end{aligned}$ | AMOUNT OF CHANGE | AMOUNT TO BE CHANGED | AMOUNT OF CHANGE |
|  | DPW - OPERATIONS DIVISION ADMINISTRATION SECTION SALARIES \& WAGES | -- | -- | \$-7,500 | \$-6,470 |
| 310.3-19 | Personnel Cost Adjustment |  |  |  |  |
| 310.4-5 | O\&M FTE'S | 7.00 | -0.18 | -- | -- |
| 310.4-14 | ESTIMATED EMPLOYEE FRINGE BENEFITS | -- | -- | \$177,876 | \$-2,653 |
|  | DPW OPERATIONS DIVISION- <br> FLEET SERVICES SECTION |  |  |  |  |
|  | SALARIES \& WAGES |  |  |  |  |
| 310.9-10 | Personnel Cost Adjustment | -- | -1.67 | \$-130,000 | \$-78,284 |
| 310.9-21 | O\&M FTE'S | 98.00 |  | \$1,891,514 | \$-32,097 |
| 310.10-7 | ESTIMATED EMPLOYEE FRINGE BENEFITS |  | $\cdots$ |  |  |
|  | DPW OPERATIONS DIVISION- <br> FLEET OPERATIONS/DISPATCH SECTION | -- |  |  |  |
|  | SALARIES \& WAGES |  |  |  |  |
| 310.14-21 | Personnel Cost Adjustment | $\cdots$ | -- | \$-234,550 | \$-77,720 |
| 310.15-7 | O\&M FTE'S | 104.05 | -1.40 | -- | -- |
| 310.15-10 | ESTIMATED EMPLOYEE FRINGE BENEFITS | -- | -- | \$2,411,704 | \$-31,865 |


| BMD-2 <br> PAGE <br> AND LINE <br> NUMBER | DETAILED AMENDMENT | CHANGE IN 2009 POSITIONS OR UNITS COLUMN |  | CHANGE IN 2009 <br> AMOUNT COLUMN |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NUMBER } \\ & \text { TO BE } \\ & \text { CHANGED } \end{aligned}$ | AMOUNT <br> OF <br> CHANGE | $\begin{gathered} \text { AMOUNT } \\ \text { TO BE } \\ \text { CHANGED } \\ \hline \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { AMOUNT } \\ \text { OF } \\ \text { CHANGE } \\ \hline \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  | DPW OPERATIONS DIVISION-FACILITIES DEVELOPMENT \& MANAGEMENT SECTION <br> SALARIES \& WAGES |  |  |  |  |
| 310.20-13 | Personnel Cost Adjustment | -- | -- | \$-115,000 | \$-82,544 |
| 310.20-24 | O\&M FTE'S | 63.77 | -1.76 | -- | -- |
| 310.21-8 | ESTIMATED EMPLOYEE FRINGE BENEFITS | -- | -- | \$1,323,014 | \$-33,843 |
|  | DPW-OPERATIONS DIVISION SANITATION SECTION |  |  |  |  |
|  | SALARIES \& WAGES |  |  |  |  |
| 310.25-15 | Personnel Cost Adjustment | -- | -- | \$-170,380 | \$-188,977 |
| 310.25-26 | O\&M FTE'S | 290.31 | - -4.22 | -- | -- |
| 310.26-17 | ESTIMATED EMPLOYEE FRINGE BENEFITS | -- | -- | \$6,018,598 | \$-77,480 |
|  | DPW-OPERATIONS DIVISION FORESTRY SECTION |  |  |  |  |
|  | SALARIES \& WAGES |  |  |  |  |
| 310.30-25 | Personnel Cost Adjustment | -- | -- | \$-100,000 | \$-126,681 |
| 310.31-11 | O\&M FTE'S | 155.10 | -3.05 | -- | -- |
| 310.31-20 | ESTIMATED EMPLOYEE FRINGE BENEFITS | -- | -- | \$3,015,713 | \$-51,939 |
| 380.1-3 | FRINGE BENEFTT OFFSET | -- | -- | \$-121,996,378 | \$+1,796,365 |


| BMD-2 <br> PAGE <br> AND LINE <br> NUMBER | DETAILED AMENDMENT | CHANGE IN 2009 POSITIONS OR UNITS COLUMN | CHANGE IN 2009 <br> AMOUNT COLUMN |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AMOUNT OF CHANGE | AMOUNT TO BE CHANGED | AMOUNT OF CHANGE |
| 400.4-13 | SECTION I.A.2. SOURCE OF FUNDS FOR GENERAL CITY PURPOSES <br> CHARGES FOR SERVICES <br> Harbor Commission | -- | \$4,795,011 | \$-27,811 |

SPONSOR(S): ALD. DUDZIK
AMENDMENT 15 F

| DEPARTMENT | BUDGET EFFECT | TAX LEVY <br> EFFECT | TAX RATE EFFECT <br> PER $\$ 1,000$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CITY CLERK'S OFFICE | $\$-30,000$ | $\$-30,000$ | $\$-0.001$ |

## AMENDMENT INTENT

This amendment eliminates the funding of $\$ 30,000$ for travel by Common Council members from the Aldermanic Travel Special Fund.

## OVERVIEW

The 2009 Proposed Budget allocates $\$ 30,000$ for the Aldermanic Travel Fund, $\$ 5,000$ more than in 2008. The increase is attributable primarily to increased costs of air travel and fuel costs.

## IMPACT

1. This amendment eliminates the $\$ 30,000$ funding for Aldermanic Travel.
2. The net impact of this amendment is a reduction of the 2009 Budget by $\$-30,000$, for a tax rate impact of \$ -0.001 per $\$ 1,000$ assessed valuation.

## COMMITTEE VOTE (1-4)

In Favor: Ald. Dudzik
Opposed: Ald. Murphy, Hines, Bauman, Kovac

[^2]
## OBJECTIVE, OVERALL BUDGET LEVY EFFECT OF THIS POSSIBLE AMENDMENT TO THE 2009 PROPOSED BUDGET

By Ald. Dudzik

## COMMON COUNCIL-CITY CLERK

Eliminate Aldermanic Travel allowance from Common Council-City Clerk budget.

|  | Page 1 of 1 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Item | 15 |


| BUDGET | TAX LEVY | TAX RATE EFFECT |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| EFFECT | EFFECT | (PER $\$ 1,000$ A.V.) |

Operating Budget

SPONSOR(S): ALD. DUDZIK
AMENDMENT 31 F

| DEPARTMENT | BUDGET EFFECT | TAX LEVY <br> EFFECT | TAX RATE EFFECT <br> PER $\$ 1,000$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ELECTION COMMISSION | $\$-50,000$ | $\$-50,000$ | $\$-0.002$ |

## AMENDMENT INTENT

This amendment eliminates the funding of \$50,000 in the Election Commission's
Facility Rental account related to leasing a document warehouse and field office.

## OVERVIEW

1. The 2009 Proposed Budget contains $\$ 57,000$ for the Election Commission's Facility Rental account. This account includes \$50,000 to lease a new document warehouse and small field office.
2. The Election Commission will share this space with DOA's Document and Services Section.

## IMPACT

The impact of this amendment is a reduction of the 2009 Budget by $\$-50,000$, for a tax rate impact of \$-0.002 per assessed valuation.

## COMMITTEE VOTE: (2-3)

In Favor: Ald. Bauman, Dudzik
Opposed: Ald. Murphy, Hines, Kovac

Prepared by: Teodros W. Medhin, Ph.D., Research Supervisor Legislative Reference Bureau
October 21, 2008
October 31, 2008 - Revised

## OBJECTIVE, OVERALL BUDGET LEVY EFFECT OF THIS POSSIBLE AMENDMENT TO THE 2009 PROPOSED BUDGET

 By Ald. Dudzik
## ELECTION COMMISSION

| BUDGET | TAX LEVY | TAX RATE EFFECT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EFFECT | EFFECT | (PER $\$ 1,000$ A.V.) |


(SUBMITTED, NO MOTION)
SPONSOR(S): Ald. Zielinski
Amendment \# 43

| Department | Budget <br> Impact | Tax Levy <br> Impact | Tax Rate Impact <br> per $\$ 1,000$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fire and Police Commission | $\$-108,696$ | $\$-108,696$ | $\$-0.004$ |

## ADMENDMENT INTENT - To eliminate funding and positions for 2 Investigator/Auditors in the Fire and Police Commission.

## OVERVIEW

1. This amendment eliminates funding and positions for 2 Investigator/Auditors in the Fire and Police Commission. Both these position are vacant as of October, 2008. The Fire and Police Commission is currently using an employee on loan from the Police Department (not included in the Fire and Police Commission budget) to investigate citizen complaints.

## IMPACT

1. The Fire and Police Commission will have no personnel to investigate citizen complaints other than the employee on loan from the Police Department with the adoption of this amendment.
2. The revamping of the Fire and Police Commission, which began in 2007, charged the Fire and Police Commission in part with actively and independently monitoring and investigating citizen complaints against the Fire and Police Departments. The adoption of this amendment could significantly hamper the Fire and Police Commission's ability to act independently and effectively gather the necessary information to discharge this responsibility.

Prepared by: Aaron Cadle
LRB - Legislative Fiscal Analyst
October 23, 2008
Revised: November 3, 2008

## FIRE \& POLICE COMMISSION

Item
Eliminate position authority, full-time equivalent, and funding for the
Investigator/Auditor positions in the Fire \& Police Commission.

| BUDGET | TAX LEVY | TAX RATE EFFECT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EFFECT | $\underline{\text { EFFECT }}$ | (PER $\$ 1,000$ A.V.) |


(SUBMITTED, NO MOTION)
SPONSOR(S): Ald. Bohl
Amendment \# 44

| Department | Budget <br> Impact | Tax Levy <br> Impact | Tax Rate Impact <br> per $\$ \mathbf{1}, 000$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fire and Police Commission | $\$-54,348$ | $\$-54,348$ | $\$-0.002$ |

> ADMENDMENT INTENT - To eliminate funding for one vacant Investigator/Auditor position.

## OVERVIEW

1. This amendment eliminates funding for one vacant Investigator/Auditor position in the Fire and Police Commission. The Fire and Police Commission is currently using an employee on loan from the Police Department (not included in the Fire and Police Commission budget) to investigate citizen complaints.

## IMPACT

1. Adoption of this amendment will authorize the Fire and Police Commission to hire one Investigator/Auditor instead of the 2 requested by the department.
2. The revamping of the Fire and Police Commission, which began in 2007, charged the Fire and Police Commission in part with actively and independently monitoring and investigating citizen complaints against the Fire and Police Departments. The adoption of this amendment may limit the Fire and Police Commission's capacity to process citizen complaints and slow complaint resolution when responding to citizen complaints.

Prepared by: Aaron Cadle
LRB - Legislative Fiscal Analyst
October 24, 2008
Revised: November 3, 2008
$\qquad$

## FIRE AND POLICE COMMISSION

| BUDGET | TAX LEVY | TAX RATE EFFECT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EFFECT | EFFECT | (PER $\$ 1,000$ A.V.) |

Operating Budget

| BMD-2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PAGE |
| AND LINE |
| NUMBER |


| Department | Budget <br> Impact | Tax Levy <br> Impact | Tax Rate Impact <br> per $\$ 1,000$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fire and Police Commission | $\$-47,840$ | $\$-47,840$ | $\$-0.002$ |

ADMENDMENT INTENT - To eliminate funding for one, vacant, full-time Research and Policy Analyst position.

## OVERVIEW

1. This amendment eliminates funding for one vacant, full-time Research and Policy Analyst position in the Fire and Police Commission.
2. The Fire and Police Commission currently has one filled, part-time ( 0.60 FTE ) Research and Policy Analyst position.
3. The application deadline to fill the Fire and Police Commission's vacant, full-time Research and Policy Analyst position has passed and the Department of Employee Relations is developing an interview schedule as of October 24, 2008. The Fire and Police Commission anticipates filling the position by year-end 2008.

## IMPACT

1. Adoption of this amendment will leave the Fire and Police Commission with one part-time ( 0.60 FTE) Research and Policy Analyst instead of the 1.60 FTE's requested by the department.
2. The revamping of the Fire and Police Commission, which began in 2007, charged the Fire and Police Commission in part with proactively monitoring, analyzing and researching Police and Fire Department policy. The adoption of this amendment may limit the Fire and Police Commission's capacity to be proactive in Fire and Police Department policy formulation.

## Committee Vote (2-3) In Favor: Ald. Bauman, Dudzik <br> Opposed: Ald. Kovac, Murphy, Hines

Prepared by: Aaron Cadle
LRB - Legislative Fiscal Analyst
October 27, 2008
Revised: November 3, 2008

## OBJECTIVE, OVERALL BUDGET LEVY EFFECT OF THIS POSSIBLE AMENDMENT TO THE 2009 PROPOSED BUDGET

By Ald. Bohl
$\qquad$

## FIRE AND POLICE COMMISSION

Eliminate position authority, full time equivalent, and funding for one Research \& Policy Analyst position in the Fire and Police Commission.

Operating Budget
$\$-47,840$
$\$-0.002$

(SUBMITTED, NO MOTION)
SPONSOR(S): Ald. Bohl
Amendment \#45

| Department | Budget <br> Impact | Tax Levy <br> Impact | Tax Rate Impact <br> per $\$ 1,000$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fire and Police Commission | $\$-26,112$ | $\$-26,112$ | $\$-0.001$ |

ADMENDMENT INTENT - To eliminate funding for the newly created and vacant Office Assistant II position.

## OVERVIEW

1. This amendment eliminates funding for the newly created and vacant Office Assistant II position in the Fire and Police Commission.
2. The Fire and Police Commission's Administrative Assistant III who was performing much of the department's clerical tasks was re-classed in 2008 as a Program Assistant III to bolster the Fire and Police Commission's programming.
3. The department is temporarily under-filling its vacant Research and Policy Analyst position with a temporary, part-time ( 0.50 FTE) Office Assistant II to perform clerical duties.

## IMPACT

1. Adoption of this amendment will leave the 9-person (authorized by the 2009 proposed Budget) Fire and Police Commission staff involved in pursuing the department's programmatic mission without clerical support staff. Without this position, needed clerical duties will be shifted to other Fire and Police Commission personnel with a commensurate decline in services to the department's constituents.

Prepared by: Aaron Cadle LRB - Legislative Fiscal Analyst October 24, 2008
Revised: November 3, 2008

## OBJECTIVE, OVERALL BUDGET LEVY EFFECT OF THIS POSSIBLE AMENDMENT TO THE 2009 PROPOSED BUDGET

 By Ald. Bohl
## FIRE AND POLICE COMMISSION

Eliminate position authority, full-time equivalent, and funding for one Office Assistant II position in the Fire and Police Commission.

| BUDGET | TAXLEVY | TAX RATE EFFECT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EFFECT | EFFECT | (PER $\$ 1,000$ A.V.) |



| DEPARTMENT | BUDGET IMPACT | TAX LEVY <br> IMPACT | TAX RATE IMPACT <br> PER $\$ 1,000$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fire Department | $\$-83,196$ | $\$-83,196$ | $\$-0.003$ |

## AMENDMENT INTENT

This amendment decreases the staffing by eliminating position authority, funding and FTEs for 4 Battalion Chief positions. It also restores position authority, funding and FTEs for 3 Fire Captain - Safety Incident Officer positions.

## OVERVIEW

1. The 2009 Proposed Budget increases the number of Battalion Chiefs in the Firefighting Division by 4 positions, from 15 in the 2008 Budget to 19 in 2009.
2. One Battalion Chief position is the result from a shift due to funding from the Marquette Interchange Reconstruction Project, with grant funding expiring as of December 31, 2008.
3. Three Battalion Chief positions are anticipated reclassifications of 3 Fire Captain Safety Incident Officer positions.

## IMPACT

1. This amendment eliminates 4 Battalion-Chief positions and restores 3 Fire Captain Safety Incident Officer positions, decreasing the Salaries and Wages for the Fire Department by $\$ 68,344$. It also decreases the Overtime Compensated (Special Duty) by 14,852 .
2. The change in O\&M FTES will be a decrease in 1 FTE in the Firefighting Division, from 951.69 to 950.69 .
3. The estimated employee fringe benefit decrease will be $\$ 28,287$.

In Favor: Ald. Dudzik
Opposed: Ald. Murphy, Bauman, Kovac, Hines

Prepared by: Mary Turk
$\qquad$ FIRE DEPARTMENT

Eliminate four Battalion Chief positions, FTE's and funding and restore three Fire Captain - Incident Safety Officer positions, FTE's and funding.

|  |  | Page 1 of 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Item |
|  |  | 40 |
| BUDGET | TAX LEVY | TAX RATE EFFECT |
| EFFECT | EFFECT | (PER \$1,000 A.V.) |

Operating Budget
\$-83,196
\$-83,196
\$-0.003


| DEPARTMENT | BUDGET IMPACT | TAX LEVY <br> IMPACT | TAX RATE IMPACT <br> PER $\$ 1,000$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fire Department | $\$+1,249,866$ | $\$+1,249,866$ | $\$+0.043$ |

## AMENDMENT INTENT

This amendment restores Fire Department staffing to 2008 staffing levels by adding back position authority, funding and FTEs for 14 positions for Engine \#20. This assumes the adoption of amendments 1 and 36a.

## OVERVIEW

1. The 2009 Proposed Budget includes the elimination of 40 positions in the Firefighting Division.
2. This proposed staffing includes the reduction in the number of personnel from 5 to 4 on the remaining 9 Ladder Companies that have 5 personnel on an apparatus. It also eliminates Engine Company \#20, a 4 person company, from the administrative headquarters at $7^{\text {th }}$ and James Lovell.
3. This proposed staffing also includes the addition of 2 Rapid Intervention Teams (RIT) that would replace 2 Ladder Companies, locations yet to be determined. The teams would consist of 4 positions each with the primary responsibility to rescue downed, trapped or disoriented firefighters.

## IMPACT

1. This amendment restores 14 positions to department 2008 staffing levels for Engine \#20, increasing the Salaries and Wages for the Fire Department by $\$ 969,489$. It also increases the Overtime Compensated (Special Duty) by $\$ 280,377$.
2. The change in the Firefighter Division's O\&M FTEs will be an increase in 14 FTEs, from 951.69 to 965.69 .
3. The estimated employee fringe benefit increase will be $\$ 424,954$.

COMMITTEE VOTE (2-3): In Favor: Ald. Bauman, Dudzik
Opposed: Ald. Murphy, Kovac, Hines
$\qquad$

## FIRE DEPARTMENT

| BUDGET | TAX LEVY | TAX RATE EFFECT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EFFECT | EFFECT | (PER $\$ 1,000$ A.V.) |

Add positions, FTE's and funding to restore the Fire Department staffing to 2008 levels. Assumes adoption of amendments 1 and 36A.

| BMD-2 <br> PAGE <br> AND LINE <br> NUMBER | DETAILED AMENDMENT | CHANGE IN 2009 POSITIONS OR UNITS COLUMN |  | CHANGE IN 2009 <br> AMOUNT COLUMN |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { NUMBER } \\ \text { TO BE } \\ \text { CHANGED } \end{gathered}$ | AMOUNT OF CHANGE | AMOUNT TO BE CHANGED | AMOUNT OF CHANGE |
|  | SECTION I.A.1. BUDGETS FOR GENERAL CITY PURPOSES <br> FIRE DEPARTMENT <br> FIREFIGHTING DIVISION DECISION UNIT <br> SALARIES \& WAGES |  |  |  |  |
| 200.3-8 | Fire Captain | 48 | +3 | \$4,087,866 | \$+246,868 |
| 200.3-10 | Fire Lieutenant | 152 | +2 | \$11,133,243 | \$+142,428 |
| 200.3-11 | Firefighter | 457 | +6 | \$25,335,031 | \$+379,380 |
| 200.3-12 | Heavy Equipment Operator | 183 | +3 | \$12,249,614 | \$+200,813 |
| 200.4-16 | Overtime Compensated**(Special Duty) | -- | -- | \$4,050,686 | \$+280,377 |
| 200.5-3 | O\&M FTE'S | 951.69 | +14.00 | -- | -- |
| 200.5-26 | ESTIMATED EMPLOYEE FRINGE BENEFITS | -- | -- | \$22,377,528 | \$+424,954 |
| 380.1-3 | FRINGE BENEFIT OFFSET | -- | -- | \$-121,996,378 | \$-424,954 |

Ref: 2009 BF, 7-C

| DEPARTMENT | BUDGET IMPACT | TAX LEVY <br> IMPACT | TAX RATE IMPACT <br> PER $\$ 1,000$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fire Department | $\$+978,518$ | $\$+978,518$ | $\$+0.033$ |

## AMENDMENT INTENT

This amendment restores the staffing from 4 to 5 on 4 ladder companies by adding back position authority, funding and FTEs for 12 firefighter positions.

## OVERVIEW

1. In the 2008 Proposed Budget it was proposed that 3 ladder companies and one engine company be decreased from 5 personnel to 4 personnel for a total decrease of 12 firefighter positions ( 4 positions and 3 shifts).
2. An amendment to the 2008 Proposed Budget restored the personnel decreases in the one ladder company and one engine company, thus restoring a total of 6 firefighter positions ( 2 positions and 3 shifts).
3. As a result of the restoration in one of the ladder companies in the approved 2008 Budget, there are 9 ladder companies remaining with 5 personnel, rather than 4 , representing a total of 27 firefighter positions ( 9 positions and 3 shifts).
4. In the 2009 Proposed Budget, it is proposed that the number of personnel be decreased from 5 to 4 on the remaining 9 ladder companies for a total decrease of 27 firefighter positions ( 9 positions and 3 shifts).

## IMPACT

1. This amendment restores 12 firefighter positions ( 4 positions and 3 shifts), increasing the Salaries and Wages for the Fire Department by $\$ 758,765$. It also increases the Overtime Compensated (Special Duty) by $\$ 219,753$.
2. The change in the Firefighter Division's O\&M FTEs will be an increase in 12 FTEs, from 951.69 to 963.69 .
3. The estimated employee fringe benefit increase will be $\$ 332,696$.
[^3]
## OBJECTIVE, OVERALL BUDGET LEVY EFFECT OF THIS POSSIBLE AMENDMENT TO THE 2009 PROPOSED BUDGET

By Ald. Bohl
Item $\qquad$
FIRE DEPARTMENT
Add a 5th Firefighter position, FTE and funding to 4 of the 16 Ladder Companies.
BUDGET
EFFECT

TAXLEVY EFFECT

TAX RATE EFFECT (PER \$1,000 A.V.)

Operating Budget
$\$+978,518$
\$+978,518
$\$+0.033$

| BMD-2 <br> PAGE <br> AND LINE <br> NUMBER | DETAILED AMENDMENT | CHANGE IN 2009 POSITIONS OR UNITS COLUMN |  | CHANGE IN 2009 <br> AMOUNT COLUMN |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | NUMBER TO BE CHANGED | AMOUNT OF CHANGE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AMOUNT } \\ & \text { TO BE } \\ & \text { CHANGED } \end{aligned}$ | AMOUNT <br> OF <br> CHANGE |
|  | SECTION I.A.1. BUDGETS FOR GENERAL CITY PURPOSES <br> FIRE DEPARTMENT <br> FIREFIGHTING DIVISION DECISION UNIT <br> SALARIES \& WAGES |  |  |  |  |
| 200.3-11 | Firefighter | 457 | +12 | \$25,335,031 | \$+758,765 |
| 200.4-16 | Overtime Compensated ${ }^{* *}$ (Special Duty) | -- | - | \$4,050,686 | \$+219,753 |
| 200.5-3 | O\&M FTES | 951.69 | +12.00 | -- | - |
| 200.5-26 | ESTIMATED EMPLOYEE FRINGE BENEFITS | - | -- | \$22,377,528 | \$+332,696 |
| 380.1-3 | FRINGE BENEFIT OFFSET | . -- | -- | \$-121,996,378 | \$-332,696 |


| DEPARTMENT | BUDGET IMPACT | TAX LEVY <br> IMPACT | TAX RATE IMPACT <br> PER $\$ 1,000$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fire Department | $\$-129,278$ | $\$-129,278$ | $\$-0.004$ |

## AMENDMENT INTENT

This amendment eliminates funding for 6 new passenger vans from the Fire Department's Equipment Purchases.

## OVERVIEW

1. The 2009 Proposed Budget includes in the department's Equipment Purchases 6 passenger vans at a cost of \$149,278.
2. The purchase would upgrade an aging fleet and minimize costs for personnel transport. Otherwise, personnel transport is done on fire apparatus which, on average, obtain 2.7 miles per gallon.

## IMPACT

1. This amendment eliminates 6 passenger vans, decreasing the Equipment Purchases for the Fire Department by $\$ 149,278$. However, energy expenditures would also increase $\$ 20,000$ because of increased costs in using fire apparatus for personnel transport. Therefore, the budget savings would be \$129,278.

COMMITTEE VOTE (1-4): In Favor: Ald. Bauman
Opposed: Ald. Murphy, Kovac, Dudzik, Hines

[^4]FIRE DEPARTMENT

|  | BUDGET | TAX LEVY |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Eliminate funding for 6 passenger vans. | EFFECT | EFFECT |



Ref: 2009 BF, 7-C

SPONSOR(S) ALD. BOHL AND DUDZIK
AMENDMENT 35 F

| DEPARTMENT | BUDGET IMPACT | TAX LEVY <br> IMPACT | TAX RATE IMPACT <br> PER $\$ 1,000$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fire Department | $\$-55,124$ | $\$-55,124$ | $\$-0.002$ |

## AMENDMENT INTENT

This amendment eliminates position authority, funding and FTE for the Fire Public Relations Manager, Pay Range 07.

## OVERVIEW

1. The Fire Public Relations Manager position was filled April $21^{15 t}, 2008$, under the Department of Employee Relations auxiliary resource program.
2. The Finance and Personnel Committee approved a reclassification of the position from salary grade 9 to salary grade 7 on January $10^{\text {th }}, 2008$, in File 070985. It was approved by the Common Council on January $15^{\text {th }}, 2008$.
3. The basic function of this position is to promote positive public relations of the Milwaukee Fire Department through internal and external communications.

## IMPACT

1. This amendment eliminates the Fire Public Relations Manager position, decreasing the Salaries and Wages for the Fire Department by $\$ 55,124$.
2. The change in Supporting Services O\&M FTEs will be a decrease in one O\&M FTE, from 102.36 to 101.36.
3. The estimated employee fringe benefit decrease will be $\$ 18,742$.
4. Elimination of the position would result in a layoff.

COMMITTEE VOTE (2-3): In Favor: Ald. Bauman, Dudzik
Opposed: Ald. Murphy, Kovac, Hines

```
Prepared by: Mary Turk
            LRB - Research and Analysis Section

By Ald. Bohl, Dudzik
Item \(\qquad\) FIRE DEPARTMENT
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Eliminate funding, position authority and FTEs for the Fire Public Relations & BUDGET & TAX LEVY \\
Manager. & TAXRATE EFFECT \\
EFFECT & EFFECT & (PER \$1,000 A.V.)
\end{tabular}


Ref: 2009 BF, 7-C
fire communication mgr.xls

SPONSOR: ALD. BOHL
AMENDMENT 53 F
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline DEPARTMENT & BUDGET EFFECT & \begin{tabular}{c} 
TAX LEVY \\
EFFECT
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
TAX RATE EFFECT \\
PER \(\$ 1,000\)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Health Department & \(\$-61,000\) & \(\$-61,000\) & \(\$-0.002\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{AMENDMENT INTENT \\ Eliminate the position and funding for the Health Communications Officer in the Health Department.}

\section*{OVERVIEW}
1. The Health Communications Officer in the Milwaukee Health Department, Salary Grade 006, reports to the Health Operations Administrator.
2. The incumbent filled the position in July, 2008, and serves as the Department's public information officer and media liaison.
3. The position of Health Communications Officer is most visible during the occurrence of a public health emergency such as an epidemic. The position is also responsible for assuring public information and education about services and programs that are provided by the Department or otherwise available in the community.

\section*{EFFECT}
1. This amendment reduces the budget by \(\$ 61,000\).
2. This amendment reduces the tax levy by \(\$ 61,000\) or \(\$ 0.002\) of assessed value.
3. This amendment reduces the estimated fringe benefits by \(\$ 25,010\), and the fringe benefit offset is adjusted accordingly.

COMMITTEE ACTION (2-3): In Favor: Ald. Dudzik and Bauman
Opposed: Ald. Hines, Kovac and Murphy

Prepared by: Richard L. Withers
LRB - Research and Analysis
10/27/2008
10/31/2008 Revised

By Ald. Bohl

Eliminate position authority, full-time equivalent, and funding for the Health Communications Officer position in the Health Department.

BUDGET
EFFECT

TAX LEVY
TAX RATE EFFECT (PER \$1,000 A.V.)


SPONSOR: ALD. BAUMAN
AMENDMENT 49 F
\begin{tabular}{|l|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ DEPARTMENT } & \begin{tabular}{c} 
BUDGET \\
EFFECT
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
TAX LEVY \\
EFFECT
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
TAX RATE EFFECT \\
PER \$1,000
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
Health Department/Department of \\
Neighborhood Services
\end{tabular} & No Effect & No Effect & \(-0-\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{AMENDMENT INTENT}

Transfer positions and funding of the Consumer Environmental Health Section from the Health Department to the Department of Neighborhood Services.

\section*{OVERVIEW}
1. This amendment would move the functions and personnel responsible for Consumer Environmental Services from the Health Department to the Department of Neighborhood Services.
2. The primary objective of the Consumer Environmental Health Service is to improve the quality of consumer products and services by reducing the percent of establishments with critical foodbome illness violations on initial inspection to \(30 \%\) by 2010 .
3. In addition to approximately 5,000 food inspections performed annually, more than 1,300 other inspections are performed including rooming houses, bed and breakfast establishments and the like. Consumer Environmental Health is also responsible for inspecting more than 6,500 weights and measures devices. In 2007, Consumer Environmental Health provided 165 Food Handler Sessions and Food Safety Classes.
4. The Consumer Environmental staff consists of 26 positions including 19 Environmental Health Specialist II positions, 2 Office Assistant III positions, and one position each for Environmental Health Program Supervisor, Environmental Health Supervisor, Environmental Specialist Supervisor, Environmental Health Coordinator and Program Assistant 1.

\section*{EFFECT}

This amendment has no fiscal effect on the budget.
This amendment has no effect upon the tax levy.

\section*{COMMITTEE ACTION (1-4): In Favor: Ald. Bauman}

Opposed: Ald. Hines, Kovac, Dudzik and Murphy
Prepared by: Richard L. Withers
LRB - Research and Analysis 10/27/2008
10/31/2008 Revised
\(\qquad\)

\section*{HEALTH DEPARTMENT, DEPARTMENT OF NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES}

Transfer the position authority, full-time equivalent, and funding for the Consumer Environmental Health Division from the Health Department to the Department of Neighborhood Services.

\section*{Operating Budget}
\(\$+0\)
\$+0
\(\$+0.000\)

\(\qquad\)
HEALTH DEPARTMENT, DEPARTMENT OF NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES cont'd


SPONSOR(S): ALD. KOVAC
AMENDMENT 55 F
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline DEPARTMENT & \begin{tabular}{c} 
BUDGET \\
IMPACT
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
TAX LEVY \\
IMPACT
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
TAX RATE \\
IMPACT \\
PER \(\$ 1,000\)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline MILWAUKEE PUBLIC LIBRARY & \(\$+1,217,515\) & \(\$+1,217,515\) & \(\$+0.041\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{AMENDMENT INTENT}

This amendment provides salaries, position authority, full time equivalents, materials, equipment, and other operating costs to allow the 12 Milwaukee Public Library neighborhood libraries to remain open for 2009, and to have current (2008) hours of services continue at all neighborhood libraries.

\section*{OVERVIEW}
1. The 2009 Budget seeks number of personnel and non-personnel reductions; this includes closing 2 neighborhood libraries.

\section*{IMPACT}
1. This amendment provides \(\$ 1,217,515\) in funding to the Milwaukee Public Library to provide salaries, position authority, full time equivalents, materials equipment and other operating costs to allow all 12 branch libraries to remain open for 2009.
2. This amendment restores position authority, funding, direct labor hours and FTEs for 15 positions:

\author{
Administrative Services \\ 2 Custodial Worker II - City Laborer \\ Neighborhood Libraries \& Extension Services \\ 2 Library Services Assistant \\ 3 Circulation Assistant I \\ 2 Library Circulation Aide (0.56 FTE) \\ 2 Library Branch Manager \\ 2 Library Reference Assistant \\ 1 Library Driver \\ Central Library \\ 1 Librarian II
}
4. This amendment provides \(\$ 175,611\) in operating expenditure funds to the Milwaukee Public Library.
5. This amendment provides \(\$ 491,524\) in equipment purchases funds to the Milwaukee Public Library, including \$365,610 for Library Materials.
6. The schedule of hours for the 12 Neighborhood Libraries will not change from the 2008 Schedule of hours. Some libraries will be open 43.5 hours a week; others
will be open 56 hours a week. Center Street, which is funded by CDBG funds, will be open 50 hours a week.
7. The net impact of this amendment is an increase of the 2009 Budget by \(\$+1,217,515\), for a tax rate impact of \(\$+0.041\) per \(\$ 1,000\) assessed valuation.

COMMITTEE VOTE (1-4): In Favor: Ald. Kovac Opposed: Ald. Murphy, Bauman, Dudzik and Hines

\author{
Prepared by: Amy E. Hefter LRB - Research and Analysis Section October 27, 2008 \\ Revised, Octoer 31, 2008
}
\(\qquad\) LIBRARY

Amendment to provide salaries, position authority, full time equivalents, materials, equipment, and other operating costs to allow all 12 branch libraries to remain open for 2009, and to have current hours of service continue at all Neighborhood Libraries.
\begin{tabular}{lcc} 
BUDGET & TAXLEVY & TAX RATE EFFECT \\
\(\underline{\text { EFFECT }}\) & \(\underline{\text { EFFECT }}\) & (PER \(\$ 1,000\) A.V.)
\end{tabular}
\(\$+1,217,515 \quad \$+1,217,515\)
\(\$+0.041\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
BMD-2 \\
PAGE \\
AND LINE \\
NUMBER
\end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{DETAILED AMENDMENT} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{CHANGE IN 2009 POSITIONS OR UNTTS COLUMN} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
CHANGE IN 2009 \\
AMOUNT COLUMN
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline & & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { NUMBER } \\
& \text { TO BE } \\
& \text { CHANGED }
\end{aligned}
\] & AMOUNT OF CHANGE & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { AMOUNT } \\
& \text { TO BE } \\
& \text { CHANGED }
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
AMOUNT OF \\
CHANGE
\end{tabular} \\
\hline & \begin{tabular}{l}
SECTION I.A.1. BUDGETS FOR GENERAL CITY PURPOSES \\
LIBRARY \\
ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES DECISION UNIT \\
SALARIES \& WAGES
\end{tabular} & & & & \\
\hline 220.5-5 & Custodial Worker II - City Laborer & 16 & -- & \$585,553 & \$-4,736 \\
\hline 220.5-8 & Custodial Worker II - City Laborer & 9 & +2 & \$341,270 & \$+69,424 \\
\hline 220.7-9 & Personnel Cost Adjustment & -- & -- & \$-116,139 & \$-1,617 \\
\hline 220.7-20 & O\&M FTE'S & 99.75 & +1.95 & -- & -- \\
\hline 220.8-11 & ESTIMATED EMPLOYEE FRINGE BENEFITS & -- & -- & \$1,859,517 & \$+25,859 \\
\hline & OPERATING EXPENDITURES & & & & \\
\hline 220.8-15 & General Office Expense & -- & -- & \$142,700 & \$+5,000 \\
\hline 220.8-16 & Tools \& Machinery Parts & -- & -- & \$24,750 & \$+1,000 \\
\hline 220.8-17 & Construction Supplies & -- & -- & \$41,800 & \$+2,200 \\
\hline 220.8-19 & Other Operating Supplies & -- & -- & \$239,250 & \$+19,750 \\
\hline 220.8-22 & Non-Vehicle Equipment Rental & -- & -- & \$26,800 & \$+4,000 \\
\hline 220.8-24 & Information Technology Services & -- & -- & \$214,375 & \$+5,000 \\
\hline 220.8-25 & Property Services & -- & -- & \$292,800 & \$+1,950 \\
\hline 220.9-5 & Reimburse Other Departments & -- & -- & \$78,500 & \$+3,300 \\
\hline & EQUIPMENT PURCHASES & & & & \\
\hline 220.9-12 & Library Materials - Books \& Other & -- & -- & \$1,205,400 & \$+365,610 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Ref: \(2009 \mathrm{BF}, 7-\mathrm{C}\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
BMD-2 \\
PAGE \\
AND LINE \\
NUMBER
\end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{DETAILED AMENDMENT} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{CHANGE IN 2009 POSITIONS OR UNITS COLUMN} & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
CHANGE IN 2009 \\
AMOUNT COLUMN
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline & & NUMBER TO BE CHANGED & AMOUNT OF CHANGE & AMOUNT TO BE CHANGED & \begin{tabular}{l}
AMOUNT \\
OF \\
CHANGE
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 220.9-13 & Miscellaneous & -- & +1 & -- & \$+10,000 \\
\hline 220.9-14 & Floor Maintenance Machines & 1 & +2 & \$6,000 & \$+1,600 \\
\hline 220.9-21 & Computer Monitors & 10 & +5 & \$3,500 & \$+1,000 \\
\hline 220.9-23 & Computer Printing Equipment & 19 & +1 & \$19,000 & \$+1,000 \\
\hline 220.9-24 & Computers & 61 & +143 & \$40,700 & \$+74,838 \\
\hline 220.9-25 & Miscellaneous & -- & +1 & -- & \$+11,900 \\
\hline & NEIGHBORHOOD LIBRARY \& EXTENSION SERVICES DECISION UNIT & & & & \\
\hline & SALARIES \& WAGES & & & & \\
\hline 220.11-16 & Librarian III & 11 & -- & \$574,533 & \$-2,848 \\
\hline 220.11-17 & Library Services Assistant & 9 & +2 & \$341,262 & \$+66,844 \\
\hline 220.11-19 & Library Circulation Assistant I & 31 & +3 & \$985,506 & \$+24,686 \\
\hline 220.11-21 & Library Circulation Aide (0.56 FTE) & 32 & +2 & \$285,120 & \$+17,820 \\
\hline 220.11-22 & Library Branch Manager & 9 & +2 & \$603,290 & \$+101,349 \\
\hline 220.11-23 & Library Reference Assistant & 8 & +2 & \$292,325 & \$+67,948 \\
\hline 220.11-24 & Librarian II & 10 & +2 & \$442,130 & \$+126,344 \\
\hline 220.12-16 & Library Driver & 1 & +1 & \$42,769 & \$+42,770 \\
\hline 220.12-17 & Library Driver (D) & 1 & -- & \$42,769 & \$-436 \\
\hline 220.13-14 & Personnel Cost Adjustment & -- & -- & \$-123,491 & \$-11,314 \\
\hline 220.13-15 & Other (Shift) & -- & -- & \$21,000 & \$+8,100 \\
\hline 220.13-25 & O\&M FTE'S & 103.31 & +13.09 & -- & -- \\
\hline 220.14-17 & ESTIMATED EMPLOYEE FRINGE BENEFITS & -- & -- & \$1,620,530 & \$+180,918 \\
\hline & OPERATING EXPENDITURES & & & & \\
\hline 220.14-21 & General Office Expense & -- & -- & \$9,500 & \$+1,500 \\
\hline 220.14-24 & Energy & -- & -- & \$315,100 & \$+73,210 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\(\qquad\) LIBRARY cont'd

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{gathered}
\text { BMD-2 } \\
\text { PAGE } \\
\text { AND LINE } \\
\text { NUMBER }
\end{gathered}
\]} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{DETAILED AMENDMENT} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{CHANGE IN 2009 POSITIONS OR UNITS COLUMN} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
CHANGE IN 2009 \\
AMOUNT COLUMN
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline & & NUMBER TOBE CHANGED & AMOUNT OF CHANGE & AMOUNT TO BE CHANGED & AMOUNT OF CHANGE \\
\hline 220.22-17 & Library Furniture & 3
- & -1 & \[
\$ 1,800
\] & \[
\$-600
\] \\
\hline \multirow[t]{21}{*}{\[
380.1-3
\]} & \multirow[t]{21}{*}{FRINGE BENEFIT OFFSET} & \multirow{21}{*}{--} & \multirow{21}{*}{--} & \multirow[t]{21}{*}{\$-121,996,378} & \multirow[t]{21}{*}{\$-225,656} \\
\hline & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Ref: 2009 BF, 7-C
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline DEPARTMENT & \begin{tabular}{c} 
BUDGET \\
IMPACT
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
TAX LEVY \\
IMPACT
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
TAX RATE IMPACT \\
PER \$1,000
\end{tabular} \\
\hline MILWAUKEE PUBLIC LIBRARY & \(\$-16,561\) & \(\$-16,561\) & \(\$-0.001\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{AMENDMENT INTENT}

This amendment eliminates position authority and funding for the Milwaukee Public Library position of Library Security Manager and restores position, full time equivalent, and funding for 1 position of Communication Assistant I.

\section*{OVERVIEW}
1. One position of Library Security Manager (SG 6) is proposed for 2009. This position was authorized in 2008 with no funding.
2. The Security Manager will be responsible for safety and security of the public, staff, facilities, and materials of the Milwaukee Public Library System. This position is added due to increased system-wide operational needs. Duties will include managing security and anti-theft systems, including a video surveillance system; developing security policies and procedures; overseeing private security guards; supervising the Library Investigator and an Office Assistant II; and chairing the Disaster Preparedness Committee.
3. One position of Communication Assistant I (SR 415) proposed for elimination is within the Investigation and Call Director Section. This position is filled; the incumbent in this position will be laid off but with bumping rights (DC 48, Local 426) to a vacant Library Circulation Assistant I position. This position is proposed for elimination due to the proposed reduction of Central Library hours.

\section*{IMPACT}
1. This amendment eliminates position authority, funding, direct labor hours and FTEs for the position of Library Security Manager (SG 6).
2. This amendment restores position authority, funding, direct labor hours and FTEs for 1 position of Communications Assistant I (SR 415).
3. The net impact of this amendment is a decrease of the 2009 Budget by \(\$-16,561\), for a tax rate impact of \(\$-0.001\) per \(\$ 1,000\) assessed valuation.

COMMITTEE VOTE (2-3): In Favor: Ald. Bauman and Dudzik
Opposed: Ald. Murphy, Hines and Kovac

OBJECTIVE, OVERALL BUDGET LEVY EFFECT OF THIS POSSIBLE AMENDMENT TO THE 2009 PROPOSED BUDGET
By Ald. Bohl
Page 1 of 1
Item \(\qquad\)
LIBRARY
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline & Item & Page 1 of 1 57A \\
\hline BUDGET & TAX LEVY & TAX RATE EFFECT \\
\hline EFFECT & EFFECT & (PER \$1,000 A.V.) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Eliminate the position, full time equivalent, and funding for the Library Security Manager position and restore position, full time equivalent, and funding for one Communications Assistant I position.

Operating Budget
\$-16,561
\$-16,561
\$-0.001
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
BMD-2 \\
PAGE AND LINE NUMBER
\end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{DETAILED AMENDMENT} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{CHANGE IN 2009 POSITIONS OR UNITS COLUMN} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
CHANGE IN 2009 \\
AMOUNT COLUMN
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline & & NUMBER TO BE CHANGED & AMOUNT OF CHANGE & AMOUNT TOBE CHANGED & AMOUNT OF CHANGE \\
\hline & \begin{tabular}{l}
SECTION I.A.1. BUDGETS FOR GENERAL CITY PURPOSES \\
LIBRARY \\
ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES DECISION UNIT \\
SALARIES \& WAGES
\end{tabular} & & & & \\
\hline 220.6-23 & Communications Assistant I & 1 & +1 & \$35,115 & \$+34,423 \\
\hline 220.6-25 & Library Security Manager & 1 & -1 & \$50,984 & \$-50,984 \\
\hline 220.8-11 & - ESTIMATED EMPLOYEE FRINGE BENEFITS & -- & \(\sim\) & \$1,859,517 & \$-6,790 \\
\hline 380.1-3 & FRINGE BENEFIT OFFSET & - & -- & \$-121,996,378 & \$+6,790 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

SPONSOR(S): ALD. BOHL
AMENDMENT 56 F
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline DEPARTMENT & \begin{tabular}{c} 
BUDGET \\
IMPACT
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
TAX LEVY \\
IMPACT
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
TAX RATE IMPACT \\
PER \(\$ 1,000\)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline MILWAUKEE PUBLIC LIBRARY & \(\$-602,700\) & \(\$-602,700\) & \(\$-0.021\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{AMENDMENT INTENT}

This amendment reduces funding to the Milwaukee Public Library "Library MaterialsBooks and Other" line item by \(50 \%\) or \(-\$ 602,700\) from the 2009 Proposed Budget allocation of \(\$ 1,205,400\).

\section*{OVERVIEW}
1. Additional Equipment - Library Materials - Books \& Other, seeks \$1,205,400 a decrease of \$476,624 (-28.33\%) from the 2008 Budget.
2. The following table shows the types of library materials to be purchased, the estimated amount to be spent on each type of material and the estimated percentages of the total amount to be spent on each type of material:
\begin{tabular}{|l|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{c|}{\begin{tabular}{c} 
Material \\
Type
\end{tabular}} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
2009 Estimated \\
Amount
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Estimated \\
Percentage
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Books & \(\$ 566,000\) & \(47 \%\) \\
\hline Databases & \(\$ 217,000\) & \(18 \%\) \\
\hline Magazines, Newspapers & \(\$ 181,000\) & \(15 \%\) \\
\hline DVDs & \(\$ 121,000\) & \(10 \%\) \\
\hline CDs & \(\$ 60,200\) & \(5 \%\) \\
\hline Audio-books & \(\$ 60,200\) & \(5 \%\) \\
\hline TOTAL & \(\$ 1,205,400\) & \(\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{IMPACT}
1. This amendment reduces funding to Additional Equipment - Library Materials Books \& Other line item by \(\$ 602,700\), from \(\$ 1,205,400\) to \(\$ 602,700\).
2. The net impact of this amendment is a decrease of the 2009 Budget by \(\$-602,700\), for a tax rate impact of \(\$-0.021\) per \(\$ 1,000\) assessed valuation.

COMMITTEE VOTE (2-3): In Favor: Ald. Bauman and Dudzik
Opposed: Ald. Murphy, Hines and Kovac
```

Prepared by: Amy E. Hefter
LRB - Research and Analysis Section
October 24, 2008
Revised: October 31, }200

```

\section*{LIBRARY}
\begin{tabular}{ccc} 
BUDGET & TAX LEVY & TAX RATE EFFECT \\
\(\underline{\text { EFFECT }}\) & \(\underline{\text { EFFECT }}\) & (PER \(\$ 1,000\) A.V.)
\end{tabular}

Amendment to reduce Library materials budget by \(50 \%\) from 2009 proposed budget.

\author{
EFFECT
}

EFFECT
(PER \$1,000 A.V.)

\section*{Operating Budget}
\$-602,700
\$-602,700
\$-0.021
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
BMD-2
PAGE \\
AND LINE NUMBER
\end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{DETAILED AMENDMENT} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\[
\begin{gathered}
\hline \text { CHANGE IN } 2009 \\
\text { POSITIONS OR } \\
\text { UNITS COLUMN } \\
\hline
\end{gathered}
\]} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
CHANGE IN 2009 \\
AMOUNT COLUMN
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline & & NUMBER TO BE CHANGED & AMOUNT OF CHANGE & AMOUNT TO BE CHANGED & AMOUNT OF CHANGE \\
\hline 220.9-12 & \begin{tabular}{l}
SECTION I.A.1. BUDGETS FOR GENERAL CITY PURPOSES \\
LIBRARY \\
ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES DECISION UNIT \\
EQUIPMENT PURCHASES \\
Library Materials - Books \& Other
\end{tabular} & -- & -- & \$1,205,400 & \$-602,700 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

SPONSOR(S): ALD. HAMILTON
AMENDMENT 58a F
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline DEPARTMENT & \begin{tabular}{c} 
BUDGET \\
IMPACT
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
TAX LEVY \\
IMPACT
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
TAX RATE IMPACT \\
PER \(\$ 1,000\)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline MILWAUKEE PUBLIC LIBRARY & \(\$+6,823\) & \(\$+6,823\) & \(\$+0.001\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

> AMENDMENT INTENT
> This amendment establishes soft red circles for the incumbents of 5 positions in the Milwaukee Public Library that are being eliminated in the Mayor's 2009 preferred budget. This amendment assumes the passage of Amendment 1 which implements portions of the Mayor's preferred budget. This amendment is contingent on changes in the salary ordinance.

\section*{OVERVIEW}
1. The 2009 Budget seeks number of personnel and non-personnel reductions; this includes closing 2 neighborhood libraries.
2. The incumbents of 5 positions in the Milwaukee Public Library are being eliminated in the Mayor's 2009 preferred budget.

\section*{IMPACT}
3. The incumbents of 5 positions that are being eliminated in the Mayor's 2009 preferred budget will be "soft red circled" at the following salary ranges: Amy Griebenow at Pay Range 415, Anthony Frausto at Pay Range 510, and Zarina Mohd Shah, Paula Nameth, and Kimberly Tomlinson at Pay Range 528.
4. If this amendment is adopted, separate action will be required for the 2009 salary ordinance.
5. The 2009 Budget will be footnoted in the appropriate sections citing the positions to be "soft red circled" at the current rate of pay.
7. The net impact of this amendment is an increase of the 2009 Budget by \(\$+6,823\), for a tax rate impact of \(\$+0.001\) per \(\$ 1,000\) assessed valuation.

COMMITTEE VOTE (0-5): In favor:
Opposed: Ald. Murphy, Bauman, Dudzik, Hines and Kovac

Prepared by: Amy E. Hefter
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{By Ald. Hamilton} & & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Item} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Page } 1 \text { of } 2 \\
& 58 \mathrm{~A}
\end{aligned}
\]} \\
\hline & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{LIBRARY} \\
\hline Establish soft red circles for the incumbents in 5 positions that are being eliminated in the Mayor's 2009 preferred budget. This amendment assumes passage of Amendment 1 which implements portions of the Mayor's preferred budget. Amendment requires passage of changes to the salary ordinance. & \begin{tabular}{l}
BUDGET \\
EFFECT
\end{tabular} & TAX LEVY EFFECT & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { TAX RATE EFFECT } \\
& \text { (PER } \$ 1,000 \text { A.V.) }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline Operating Budget & \$+6,823 & \$+6,823 & \$+0.001 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\(\qquad\) LIBRARY cont'd

\begin{tabular}{|lccc|}
\hline Department & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Budget \\
Impact
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Tax Levy \\
Impact
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Tax Rate Impact \\
per \(\$ 1,000\)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Mayor's Office & \(\$-43,757\) & \(\$-43,757\) & \(\$-0.001\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

ADMENDMENT INTENT - To eliminate funding for the currently vacant Staff Assistant to the Mayor.

\section*{OVERVIEW}
1. This amendment eliminates the funding for the currently vacant Staff Assistant to the Mayor in the Mayor's Office.
2. The number of staff in the Mayor's Office proposed for 2009, 12 full-time and 2 part-time college interns, is unchanged since 2005.

\section*{IMPACT}
1. The adoption of this amendment reduces the tax levy by \(\$ 43,757\) or \(\$ 0.001\) per \(\$ 1,000\) of assessed valuation.

\section*{Committee Vote (2-3) In Favor: Ald. Bauman, Dudzik Opposed: Ald. Kovac, Murphy, Hines}

Prepared by: Aaron Cadle
LRB - Legislative Fiscal Analyst
October 27, 2008
Revised: November 3, 2008

\section*{OBJECTIVE, OVERALL BUDGET LEVY EFFECT OF THIS POSSIBLE AMENDMENT TO THE 2009 PROPOSED BUDGET}

By Ald. Bohl, Dudzik
Page 1 of 1
Item \(\qquad\)

\section*{MAYOR'S OFFICE}

Eliminate position authority, full time equivalent, and funding for the
currently vacant position of Staff Assistant to the Mayor in the Mayor's Office.
\begin{tabular}{ccc} 
BUDGET & TAX LEVY & TAX RATE EFFECT \\
\(\underline{\text { EFFECT }}\) & \(\underline{E F F E C T}\) & (PER \(\$ 1,000\) A.V.)
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{|l|c|c|c|}
\hline DEPARTMENT & BUDGET IMPACT & \begin{tabular}{c} 
TAX LEVY \\
IMPACT
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
TAX RATE IMPACT \\
PER \$1,000
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
Department of Neighborhood \\
Services
\end{tabular} & \(\$-65,957\) & \(\$-65,957\) & \(\$-0.002\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{AMENDMENT INTENT}

This amendment eliminates position authority, funding and FTE for the Public Information Coordinator position, Pay Range 05.

\section*{OVERVIEW}
1. The Public Information Coordinator position was filled December 27, 1987 at Salary Grade 05.
2. The basic function of this position is to create and manage all mass media and public communication items for the department. The position maintains and administers all training functions of the department including tracking of required state and national certifications, application of tuition benefits and represents the department at various inter-governmental committees.

\section*{IMPACT}
1. This amendment eliminates the Public Information Coordinator position, decreasing the Salaries and Wages for the Department of Neighborhood Services by \(\$ 65,957\).
2. The change in O\&M FTES will be a decrease in one O\&M FTE, from 169.58 to 168.58.
3. The estimated employee fringe benefit decrease will be \(\$ 27,042\).
4. Elimination of the position would result in a layoff.
\(\qquad\)

\section*{DEPARTMENT OF NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES}
\begin{tabular}{lcc} 
& & Page 1 of 1 \\
\cline { 3 - 3 } & & Item \\
\cline { 3 - 3 } BUDGET & TAXLEVY & TAX RATE EFFECT \\
\(\underline{\text { EFFECT }}\) & \(\underline{\text { EFFECT }}\) & \(\underline{\text { (PER \$1,000 A.V.) }}\)
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline DEPARTMENT & BUDGET IMPACT & \begin{tabular}{c} 
TAX LEVY \\
IMPACT
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
TAX RATE IMPACT \\
PER \(\$ 1,000\)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
Department of Neighborhood \\
Services
\end{tabular} & \(\$-9,244\) & \(\$-9,244\) & \(\$-0.001\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{AMENDMENT INTENT}

This amendment reduces the amount for the Department of Neighborhood Services' Equipment Purchases for Network Hardware and Software by \(\$ 9,244\).

\section*{OVERVIEW}
1. The 2009 Proposed Budget funds DNS Equipment Purchases for Network Hardware and Software at \(\$ 60,000\), an increase of \(\$ 19,244\) from the 2008 Budget.
2. The increase allows for the replacement of 60 computers, putting the department back on track for their computer replacement cycle after cuts in the 2008 Budget.

\section*{IMPACT}
1. This amendment reduces the amount for the Department of Neighborhood Services' Equipment Purchases for Network Hardware and Software by \(\$ 9,244\).
2. The department retains \(\$ 50,756\) for Network Hardware and Software Equipment Purchases.
3. The department will again be behind on their computer replacement cycle.

\section*{COMMITTEE VOTE (1-4):}

In Favor: Ald. Dudzik
Opposed: Ald. Murphy, Bauman, Kovac, Hines

\section*{DEPARTMENT OF NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES}

Reduce funding for computer equipment in the Network Hardware and Software Equipment Account by \(\$ 9,244\).
\begin{tabular}{ccc} 
BUDGET & TAX LEVY & TAX RATE EFFECT \\
EFFECT & EFFECT & (PER \(\$ 1,000\) A.V.)
\end{tabular}


SPONSOR(S): Ald. Bauman
AMENDMENT: 67 F
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline DEPARTMENT & \begin{tabular}{c} 
BUDGET \\
IMPACT
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
TAX LEVY \\
IMPACT
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
TAX RATE \\
IMPACT PER \$1,000
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Police Department & \(-\$ 343,262\) & \(-\$ 343,262\) & \(-\$ 0.012\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{AMENDMENT INTENT}

This amendment eliminates position and funding authority for the Safety Director and the 4 Safety Specialist-Senior positions within the Safety Division of the Police Department.

\section*{BACKGROUND}

Section 312-23 and 312-25 of the Milwaukee Code of Ordinances place responsibility for Community Safety and School Crossing Guards under the purview of the Police Department:

312-23. Community Safety. The police department shall:
1. In consultation with the safety commission, establish standards for the location of school crossing guards, conduct studies of locations for the placement of new crossing guard protection, the relocation of protection or discontinuation of service, and recommend to the common council the need for crossing guards based on the established standard and recommendations.
2. Administer the school crossing guard program.
3. Furnish school children, industrial workers, official and nonofficial groups with educational materials such as publications, films, radio and television sport announcements, and exhibits for the promotion of safety within the financial resources established by the common council.
4. Be responsible for seeing that the defensive driving course is conducted in accordance with the program guidelines adopted by common council resolution.

\subsection*{312.25. School Crossing Guards.}
1. DUTIES. School crossing guards, assigned to serve as crossing guards, are authorized to direct and assist school children, other pedestrians and vehicular traffic at school crossings at times when public and private schools are in session.
2. UNIFORMS. All school crossing guards, serving as crossing guards, shall be provided with a uniform and badge as prescribed by the chief of police and which must be worn while on duty.
3. TRAINING. Training and supervision shall be provided by the police department.

The Safety Division operates within the Administration Bureau of the Police Department and is comprised of the following personnel:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Position & Units & Pay Range & 2009 Proposed Budget \\
\hline Saffety Director - & : 21 & 11 & \$96,722 \\
\hline Safety Specililist-Senior & W3 4 & 4. & \$246,540 \\
\hline Office Assistant \(\mathrm{MIM}^{\text {, }}\), & + 1 & W絲425 & \$34,972 \\
\hline School Crossing Guard & \% 207 & 929 & \$913,378 \\
\hline School Crossing Guard (Aux:) & We. 28 & \(4 \times 229\) & - \(\$ 49,986\) \\
\hline School Crossing Guatd -operator & 533 & W 929 & \% \$11,874 \\
\hline School Crossing Guard-Dispateher & \% 3 & 929 & W2811,874 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The basic function of the position of Safety Director is described as follows: "Under the direction of the Assistant Chief of Police-Administration Bureau, the Safety Director is responsible for providing leadership, direction and oversight for the management, coordination and delivery of Safety Programs in the areas of a city-wide School Crossing Guard Program, Safe Routes to School,

Pedestrian, Water, and Bicycle Safety, Safety Patrol Education, all court mandated Defensive Driving Courses, Public Passenger Vehicle Testing, Line-of-Sight violations; supervise (4) Safety Specialist Sr. and (1) Office Assistant III. Manage the MPD-Safety Division Budget."

The job description provided for the position of Safety Specialist-Senior reads as follows: "Under the supervision of the Safety Director, the Safety Specialist-Senior position is responsible for the administration, coordination and management of numerous programs directed citywide for community safety. These services include the School Crossing Guard Program, Pedestrian and Bicycle Safety, Safe Routes to School Program, Water Safety Program, Safety Patrol Education Program, and Employee Safety. Provides education and installation of car seats and car seat checks for State Compliance." Individual responsibilities of the 4 current Safety Specialist-Senior positions are detailed in the attached organizational chart.

\section*{DISCUSSION}

Passage of this amendment would eliminate the positions of Safety Director and the 4 Safety Specialist-Senior positions within the Safety Division, Administration Bureau of the Police Department.

\section*{IMPACT}

Elimination of these positions may impact the ability of the Police Department to administer and perform duties as required in Sections 312-23 and 312-25 of the Milwaukee Code of Ordinances.

Passage of this amendment will decrease the 2009 Budget and tax levy by \(\$ 343,262\), for a tax rate impact of \(-\$ 0.012\) per \(\$ 1,000\) assessed valuation.

Opposed: Ald. Murphy, Dudzik, Kovac, Hines
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Prepared by: & Michael Talarczyk \\
& Legislative Reference Bureau \\
& October 27, 2008 \\
& Revised: November 3, 2008
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{ccc} 
BUDGET & TAXLEVY & TAX RATE EFFECT \\
EFFECT & EFFECT & (PER \(\$ 1,000\) A.V.)
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline DEPARTMENT & BUDGET IMPACT & \begin{tabular}{c} 
TAX LEVY \\
IMPACT
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
TAX RATE IMPACT PER \\
\(\$ 1,000\)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline PORT OF MILWAUKEE & \(\$ 0\) & \(\$ 0\) & \(\$ 0.000\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{AMENDMENT INTENT}

The intent of this amendment is to eliminate position authority, salary funding and FTEs for the Port Marketing Manager and Trade Development Representative-Senior positions at the Port of Milwaukee and to allocate a similar amount of funding for a new Professional Trade Marketing Services special fund in the Port's 2009 Budget.

\section*{OVERVIEW}
1. The 2009 Proposed Budget provides position authority, salary funding and FTEs for the positions of Port Marketing Manager (Salary Grade 11) and Trade Development Representative-Senior (Salary Grade 7) in the Port of Milwaukee's Marketing Section. These individuals market the Port's facilities and services to potential customers and seek to strengthen the Port's relationship with existing customers.
2. The Trade Development Representative-Senior position was vacant from March, 2006, until March, 2008, when it was filled by an individual who transferred to the Port from the Department of Employee Relations.

\section*{IMPACT}
1. This amendment eliminates position authority, salary funding, direct labor hours and FTEs for the Port Marketing Manager and Trade Development Representative-Senior positions in the Port of Milwaukee.
2. Since both of these positions are currently filled, adoption of this amendment would result in 2 layoffs.
3. This amendment also creates a new Professional Trade Marketing Services special fund in the Port's 2009 Budget and provides \(\$ 149,243\) for the new special fund (the equivalent of the combined 2009 salaries of the 2 positions being eliminated). This fund would be used by the Port to contract for professional port trade marketing services.
4. The Port of Milwaukee operates as an enterprise fund - its operating expenditures are funded by its revenues, rather than the tax levy, with surplus revenues being transferred to the City's General Fund. Thus, this amendment has no impact on the City's 2009 tax levy or tax rate.

\section*{OTHER INFORMATION}

This amendment will require a footnote in the appropriate section of the 2009 Adopted Budget stating that no expenditure shall be made from the Professional Trade Marketing Services special fund without prior Common Council approval through a resolution.

Prepared by: Jeff Osterman
LRB - Research \& Analysis Section
October 24, 2008
Revised: October 31, 2008

\section*{PORT OF MILWAUKEE}

Eliminate funding, position authority and FTE's for one Marketing Manager and one Trade Development Representative - Senior. Allocate an equivalent amount of funding for the eliminated positions to a new created special fund titled "Professional Marketing Services". This account should be footnoted that no expenditures can be made from this fund without Common Council approval via resolution.

Item \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{ccc} 
BUDGET & TAX LEVY & TAX RATE EFFECT \\
EFFECT & EFFECT & (PER \(\$ 1,000\) A.V.)
\end{tabular}

Operating Budget

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline DEPARTMENT & \begin{tabular}{c} 
BUDGET \\
IMPACT
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
TAX LEVY \\
IMPACT
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
TAX RATE \\
IMPACT PER \$1,000
\end{tabular} \\
\hline DPW - Infrastructure Services & \(-\$ 44,568\) & \(-\$ 44,568\) & \(-\$ 0.002\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{AMENDMENT INTENT}

This amendment eliminates position and funding authority for the Bicycle \& Pedestrian Coordinator.

\section*{BACKGROUND}

The Bicycle \& Pedestrian Coordinator works within the Transportation Unit of the Infrastructure Services Division. The post was originally filled as an Engineering Drafting Technician IV (PR 604) in 2005 and was renamed to Bicycle \& Pedestrian Coordinator (PR 604) in the 2006 Budget.

The Bicycle \& Pedestrian Coordinator acts as the project manager for most bicycle and pedestrian related projects and programs that the City is engaged in. Projects include trails, bike lanes, developing a City bike plan, streetscape initiatives, distributing bike racks and bike maps, as well as various neighborhood traffic calming initiatives. Programs include Streetshare, developing a Pedestrian Safety Week, Bike to Work Week, and other bike/pedestrian safety initiatives.

The Bicycle \& Pedestrian Coordinator is the chairperson of the Mayor's Bicycle \& Pedestrian Task Force. This position routinely attends evening and weekend meetings with neighborhood and business groups. The Bicycle \& Pedestrian Coordinator has been quoted in the local and national press on bicycle related issues, and has been featured on several programs broadcast on local television and radio.

Based on these responsibilities, Infrastructure Services requested that this position be reclassified from Pay Range 604 ( \(\$ 41,308\) to \(\$ 55,313\) ) with a 2008 salary of \(\$ 45,735\) to Pay Range 628 ( \(\$ 60,964\) to \(\$ 72,671\) ) with a 2009 salary of \(\$ 60,954\). This requested reclassification was not included in the 2009 Proposed Budget, with the position remaining in Pay Range 604 with a budgeted 2009 salary of \$53,057.

\section*{DISCUSSION}

This amendment would eliminate the position of Bicycle \& Pedestrian Coordinator.

\section*{FISCAL IMPACT}

Passage of this amendment will decrease the 2009 Budget and tax levy by \(\$ 44,568\), for a tax rate impact of - \(\$ 0.002\) per \(\$ 1,000\) assessed valuation.

\section*{COMMITTEE VOTE (1-4): In Favor: Ald. Dudzik}

Opposed: Ald. Murphy, Bauman, Kovac, Hines
```

Prepared by: Michael Talarczyk
Legislative Reference Bureau
October 27, 2008
Revised: November 3, 2008

```
\(\qquad\)

Eliminate funding, position authority and FTE's for the Bicycle and Pedestrian Coordinator position.
\begin{tabular}{ccc} 
& & Page 1 of 1 \\
& & Item \\
\cline { 3 - 3 } & & 85 \\
BUDGET & TAX LEVY & TAXRATE EFFECT \\
EFFECT & EFFECT & (PER \$1,000 A.V.)
\end{tabular}


SPONSOR(S): Ald. Dudzik
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline DEPARTMENT & \begin{tabular}{c} 
BUDGET \\
IMPACT
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
TAX LEVY \\
IMPACT
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
TAX RATE \\
IMPACT PER \$1,000
\end{tabular} \\
\hline DPW - Infrastructure Services & \(-\$ 46,000\) & \(-\$ 46,000\) & \(-\$ 0.002\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{AMENDMENT INTENT}

This amendment eliminates \(\$ 46,000\) in tax levy funding for the restoration of 11 miles of bicycle lane markings.

\section*{BACKGROUND}

A majority of funding for bicycle lanes and trails comes from federal and state sources, including the Surface Transportation Program, Transportation Enhancement funds and Congestion Mitigation \& Air Quality grants. This grantor funding typically requires some level of local participation, most commonly a \(20 \%\) match. In addition, the City may include some level of individual support for bicycle lanes and trails in the annual budget.

The 2008 Budget included \(\$ 13,000\) to restore 6 miles of bicycle lane markings. This contract is pending and scheduled for completion in Fall 2008.

\section*{DISCUSSION}

The 2009 Proposed Budget includes \(\$ 46,000\) to restore 11 miles of bicycle lane markings. The 2009 allocation has been updated to account for increased costs of labor and materials, including the use of an improved paint.

Passage of this amendment would eliminate the \(\$ 46,000\) in tax levy funding earmarked for the restoration of approximately 11 miles of bicycle lane markings.

\section*{FISCAL IMPACT}

Passage of this amendment will decrease the 2009 Budget and tax levy by \(\$ 46,000\), for a tax rate impact of \$-0.002 per \$1,000 assessed valuation. Maintenance and/or restoration of the City's current bicycle lane markings may be compromised unless an alternate funding source is found.

COMMITTEE VOTE (1-4): In Favor: Ald. Dudzik
Opposed: Ald. Murphy, Bauman, Kovac, Hines

Prepared by: Michael Talarczyk
Legislative Reference Bureau
October 27, 2008
Revised: November 3, 2008

\section*{OBJECTIVE, OVERALL BUDGET LEVY EFFECT OF THIS POSSIBLE AMENDMENT TO THE 2009 PROPOSED BUDGET}

\section*{By Ald. Dudzik}

TAX LEVY
TAX RATE EFFECT
EFFECT
(PER \$1,000 A.V.)

\section*{Operating Budget}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
BMD-2 \\
PAGE \\
AND LINE \\
NUMBER
\end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{DETAILED AMENDMENT} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{CHANGE IN 2009 POSITIONS OR UNITS COLUMN} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
CHANGE IN 2009 \\
AMOUNT COLUMN
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline & & NUMBER TO BE CHANGED & AMOUNT OF CHANGE & AMOUNT TO BE CHANGED & AMOUNT OF CHANGE \\
\hline 300.28-8 & \begin{tabular}{l}
SECTION I.A.1. BUDGETS FOR GENERAL CITY PURPOSES \\
DPW-INFRASTRUCTURE SERVICES DIVISIONFIELD OPERATIONS - ELECTRICAL SERVICES DECISION UNIT \\
OPERATING EXPENDITURES \\
Construction Supplies
\end{tabular} & -- & -- & \$746,000 & \$-46,000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

SPONSOR(S): ALD. DUDZIK, BOHL
AMENDMENT 100 F
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline DEPARTMENT & \begin{tabular}{c} 
BUDGET \\
IMPACT
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
TAX LEVY \\
IMPACT
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
TAX RATE IMPACT \\
PER \$1,000
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{c} 
DPW-OPERATIONS DIVISION, \\
BUILDINGS \& FLEET SERVICES
\end{tabular} & \(\$-92,036\) & \(\$-92,036\) & \(\$-0.003\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{AMENDMENT INTENT}

The intent of this amendment is to eliminate position authority and funding for the position of Fleet Operations Manager (SG 13) in the Department of Public WorksOperations Division-Buildings and Fleet Services, Fleet Services Section.

\section*{OVERVIEW}
1. The Fleet Operations Manager manages and directs all municipal equipment and vehicle operations, administers all dispatch functions, and develops and manages the budget of the Fleet Services Section.
2. Responsibilities include mobilizing both fleet and contractors for emergencies, including snow plowing and salting, managing snow and ice operations on a rotating basis with other division administrators, administering and implementing enhancements to the fleet information system for financial management, developing an affordable replacement schedule, developing equipment specifications and approvals, establishing lease and rental rates, managing services contracts and inventory control, and assuring compliance with state and federal laws.

\section*{IMPACT}
1. This amendment eliminates position authority, funding, direct labor hours and FTEs for the position of Fleet Operations Manager (SG 13).
2. This amendment is a reduction of the 2009 Budget by \(\$-92,036\), for a tax rate impact of \$-0.003 per \$1,000 assessed valuation.

COMMITTEE VOTE (2-3): In Favor: Ald. Bauman and Dudzik
Opposed: Ald. Murphy, Hines and Kovac

Prepared by: Amy E. Hefter
LRB - Research and Analysis Section
October 23, 2008
Revised: October 31, 2008
\(\qquad\)

\section*{DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS OPERATIONS DIVISION}

Eliminate position authority, full time equivalent, and funding for the position of Fleet Operations Manager in the Department of Public Works Operations Division Fleet Services Section.
\begin{tabular}{ccc} 
& & Page 1 of 1 \\
\cline { 3 - 3 } & & Item \\
\cline { 3 - 4 } BUDGET & TAX LEVY & TAX RATE EFFECT \\
EFFECT & EFFECT & (PER \$1,000 A.V.)
\end{tabular}

Operating Budget
\$-92,036
\$-92,036
\(\$-0.003\)


SPONSOR(S) Ald. Bauman
AMENDMENT 90a F
\begin{tabular}{|l|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ DEPARTMENT } & BUDGET IMPACT & \begin{tabular}{c} 
TAX LEVY \\
IMPACT
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
TAX RATE IMPACT \\
PER \(\$ 1,000\)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline DPW-Operations & \(+\$ 1,285,000\) & \(\$+0\) & \(\$+0.000\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{AMENDMENT INTENT}

Increase Snow. \& Ice Control Charge by \(\$ 6\) annually to fund mechanized snow removal at crosswalks and bus stops

\section*{OVERVIEW}
1. This amendment assumes adoption of a resolution increasing the snow ice fee by \(\$ 6\) specifically to fund snow and ice removal at bus stops and crosswalks utilizing mechanical equipment.
2. The Snow and Ice Control Charge 2009 revenue estimate assumes \(\$ 4.5\) million based on a rate of \(\$ 0.4788\) per foot of property frontage. This is the same rate that was established for 2008 prior to the Common Council amending the rate from 0.4788 per foot of property frontage to \(\$ 0.6522\) per foot (File \#080483) on September 12, 2008 which will generate \(\$ 6.1\) million in 2008 revenues, an increase of additional \(\$ 1.6\) million for 2008. Assuming lot frontage of 44 feet, the average Milwaukee property owner annually pays \(\$ 21.07\) for snow and ice control.
3. Currently, the effort to remove snow from crosswalks and bus commences within one day of a city-wide plowing extends for 2-3 days until complete.
3. DPW has presented various options for snow and ice removal at bus stops and crosswalks to the Public Works Committee (File \#071296).

\section*{IMPACT}
1. This amendment would fund mechanized snow removal at crosswalks and bus stops within 36 to 48 hours after the snow ceases to fall at an approximate cost of \(\$ 450,000\). DPW anticipates 3 such operations annually.

\author{
COMMITTEE VOTE: (2-3) In Favor: Ald. Bauman, Kovac \\ Opposed: Ald. Murphy, Dudzik, Hines
}

Prepared by: Jim Carroll
LRB - Research \& Analysis
October 27, 2008
Revised: \(\quad\) November 4, 2008

\section*{OBJECTIVE, OVERALL BUDGET LEVY EFFECT OF THIS POSSIBLE AMENDMENT TO THE 2009 PROPOSED BUDGET}

By Ald. Bauman
Page 1 of 1
Item
90A
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS-OPERATIONS DIVISION; SOURCE OF FUNDS
Increase the Snow and Ice Control Fee by \(\$ 6\) annually to fund snow and ice
BUDGET EFFECT control operations of mechanically clearing all curb ramps and bus stops within 36-48 hours after the end of snowfall. All revenues require recognition by the Comptroller.
Operating Budget
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c||}
\hline BMD-2 \\
PAGE \\
AND LINE \\
NUMBER
\end{tabular}

SPONSOR(S): ALD. BAUMAN
AMENDMENT 95 F
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline DEPARTMENT & \begin{tabular}{c} 
BUDGET \\
IMPACT
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
TAX LEVY \\
IMPACT
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
TAX RATE IMPACT \\
PER \(\$ 1,000\)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{c} 
DPW-OPERATIONS DIVISION, \\
BUILDINGS \& FLEET SERVICES
\end{tabular} & \(\$-100,000\) & \(\$-100,000\) & \(\$-0.003\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{AMENDMENT INTENT \\ The intent of this amendment is to reduce DPW-Operations Division-Buildings and Fleet Services-Facilities Development \& Management Section Operating Expenditures Account by \(\$ 100,000\), from \(\$ 4,319,603\) to \(\$ 4,219,603\).}

\section*{OVERVIEW}
1. The 2009 Proposed Budget provides \(\$ 4,319,603\) for the Facilities and Management Section operating expenditures, a decrease of \(\$ 129,397\) ( \(-2.90 \%\) ) from the 2008 Budget.

\section*{IMPACT}
1. This amendment will reduce funding to the following line items within the Facilities Development \& Management Section Operating Expenditures account:
\begin{tabular}{|l|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{\begin{tabular}{c} 
Line \\
Description
\end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{\begin{tabular}{c} 
2009 \\
Proposed \\
Budget
\end{tabular}} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Amendment \\
Reduction \\
Amount
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c}
\(c \mid\) \\
Amended \\
Amount
\end{tabular} \\
\hline General Office Expense & \(\$ 15,000\) & \(-\$ 1,000\) & \(\$ 14,000\) \\
\hline Tools \& Machinery Parts & \(\$ 25,000\) & \(-\$ 5,000\) & \(\$ 20,000\) \\
\hline Construction Supplies & \(\$ 320,000\) & \(-\$ 40,000\) & \(\$ 280,000\) \\
\hline Other Operating Supplies & \(\$ 140,000\) & \(-\$ 10,000\) & \(\$ 130,000\) \\
\hline Facility Rental & \(\$ 10,000\) & \(-\$ 2,000\) & \(\$ 8,000\) \\
\hline Vehicle Rental & \(\$ 10,000\) & \(-\$ 2,000\) & \(\$ 8,000\) \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
Non-Vehicle Equipment \\
Rental
\end{tabular} & \(\$ 22,000\) & \(-\$ 5,000\) & \(\$ 17,000\) \\
\hline Professional Services & \(\$ 45,000\) & \(-\$ 5,000\) & \(\$ 40,000\) \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
Information Technology \\
Services
\end{tabular} & \(\$ 30,000\) & \(-\$ 5,000\) & \(\$ 25,000\) \\
\hline Infrastructure Services & \(\$ 40,000\) & \(-\$ 10,000\) & \(\$ 30,000\) \\
\hline Other Operating Services & \(\$ 45,000\) & \(-\$ 15,000\) & \(\$ 30,000\) \\
\hline TOTAL & \(\$ 702,000\) & \(\mathbf{- \$ 1 0 0 , 0 0 0}\) & \(\$ 602,000\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
2. This amendment reduces \(\$-100,000\) to the 2009 Budget, for a tax rate impact of \(\$-0.003\) per \(\$ 1,000\) assessed valuation.

COMMITTEE VOTE (1-4): In Favor: Ald. Bauman
Opposed: Ald. Murphy, Dudzik, Hines and Kovac

\footnotetext{
Prepared by: Amy E. Hefter
LRB - Research and Analysis Section
October 23, 2008
Revised: October 31, 2008
}
\(\qquad\)

\section*{DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS OPERATIONS DIVISION}

Reduce DPW - Facilities' Operating Expenditures accounts totals by \(\$ 100,000\). The category accounts to reduce are: General Office Expense ( \(\$ 1,000\) ), Tools \& Machinery Parts \((\$ 5,000)\), Construction Supplies \((\$ 40,000)\), Other Operating Supplies \((\$ 10,000)\), Facility Rental \((\$ 2,000)\), Vehicle Rental \((\$ 2,000)\), Non-Vehicle Equipment Rental ( \(\$ 5,000\) ), Professional Services \((\$ 5,000)\), Information Technology \((\$ 5,000)\), Infrastructure Services \((\$ 10,000)\), and Other Operating Services \((\$ 15,000)\).


SPONSOR: ALD. BOHL
(SUBMITTED, NO MOTION)
AMENDMENT 47
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline DEPARTMENT & BUDGET EFFECT & \begin{tabular}{c} 
TAX LEVY \\
EFFECT
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
TAX RATE EFFECT \\
PER \(\$ 1,000\)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{c} 
Municipal Court \\
Drivers Licensure SPA
\end{tabular} & \(\$-75,000\) & \(\$-75,000\) & \(\$-0.003\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{AMENDMENT INTENT}

Eliminate \(\$ 75,000\) tax levy funding for the Drivers Licensure and Employment Project Special Purpose Account (SPA) and allocate an additional \(\$ 75,000\) in Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) reprogramming funding to maintain the City's total contribution to the Project at the 2008 level.

\section*{OVERVIEW}
1. In 2007 and 2008 , the City committed a total of \(\$ 200,000\) annually to the Driver's License Recovery and Employment program housed at the Milwaukee Area Technical College and primarily supported by a collaboration of private foundations. In each of these budget years, \(\$ 75,000\) has been provided from the tax levy and \(\$ 125,000\) has been provided from CDBG reprogramming funds.
2. This amendment shifts the City's contribution to the Drivers Licensing and Employment Project entirely to CDBG reprogramming funds.
3. Many thousands of low-income residents of neighborhoods eligible for CDBG funding are eligible for services to restore or obtain driving privileges, thus providing greater employment opportunities.

\section*{EFFECT}
1. This amendment decreases the budget by \(\$ 75,000\).
2. This amendment decreases the tax levy by \(\$ 75,000\) or \(\$ 0.003\) per \(\$ 1,000\) of assessed value.

Prepared by: Richard L. Withers
LRB - Research and Analysis
10/27/2008
10/31/2008 Revised
\(\qquad\)

\section*{SPECIAL PURPOSE ACCOUNTS - MISCELLANEOUS, GRANT AND AID PROIECTS FUND}

Eliminate the \(\$ 75,000\) in tax levy funding for the Drivers Licensure and Employment Project Special Purpose Account and allocate an additional EFFECT

TAX LEVY TAX RATE EFFECT EFFECT (PER \(\$ 1,000\) A.V.) \(\$ 75,000\) in Community Development Block Grant reprogramming funding in 2009 for the Drivers Licensure and Employment Project Special Purpose Account. This will provide total funding of \(\$ 200,000\) to the Drivers Licensure and Employment Project, all funded from CDBG reprogramming.


SPONSOR(S): ALD. DUDZIK
AMENDMENT 17 F
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline DEPARTMENT & BUDGET EFFECT & \begin{tabular}{c} 
TAX LEVY \\
EFFECT
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
TAX RATE EFFECT \\
PER \(\$ 1,000\)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline CITY CLERK'S OFFICE & \(\$-1,715\) & \(\$-1,715\) & \(\$-0.00\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{AMENDMENT INTENT}

This amendment reduces the City Memberships Special Purpose Account by \(\$ 1,715\) by eliminating the funding for Sister Cities International.

\section*{OVERVIEW}
1. The City Memberships SPA provides funding for memberships to various organizations that support the City's lobbying, policy research and professional development programs.
2. The 2009 Proposed Budget provides \(\$ 156,169\) for memberships to 7 organizations. In 2008, memberships to 9 organizations were funded.
3. In the 2009 Proposed Budget, funding for memberships to the American Management Association and the National Forum for Black Administrators were eliminated due to inactivity.
4. This SPA provides funding for the following:

League of Wisconsin Municipalities
\$ 56,054
Government Finance Officers Association \$ 1,800
Public Policy Forum \$ 1,000
Wisconsin Alliance of Cities \(\$ 67,000\)
National League of Cities \(\$ 25,000\)
Sister Cities International \$ 1,715
ICLEI-Local Governments for Sustainability \(\$ \quad 3,600\)
TOTAL \(\quad \$ 156,169\)
5. The net change in all of the memberships combined is an increase of \(\$ 2,236\).

\section*{IMPACT}
1. This amendment eliminates the \(\$ 1,715\) funding for Sister Cities International.
2. The net impact of this amendment is a reduction in the 2009 Budget by \(\$-0.00\), for a tax rate impact of \(\$-0.00\) per \(\$ 1,000\) assessed valuation.

Prepared by: Teodros W. Medhin, Ph.D., Research Supervisor Legislative Reference Bureau
October 21, 2008
October 31, 2008 - Revised

\section*{OBJECTIVE, OVERALL BUDGET LEVY EFFECT OF THIS POSSIBLE AMENDMENT TO THE 2009 PROPOSED BUDGET}
By Ald. Dudzik
SPECIAL PURPOSE ACCOUNTS - MISCELLANEOUS
Reduce City Membership Special Purpose Account allocation by \(\$ 1,715\) by
eliminating funding for membership to Sister Cities International.

Page 1 of 1
Item \(\qquad\) 17

\author{
SPECIAL PURPOSE ACCOUNTS - MISCELLANEOUS \\ Reduce City Membership Special Purpose Account allocation by \(\$ 1,715\) by eliminating funding for membership to Sister Cities International.
}

BUDGET
EFFECT
TAX LEVY
EFFECT
TAX RATE EFFECT (PER \$1,000 A.V.)

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ DEPARTMENT } & \begin{tabular}{c} 
BUDGET \\
IMPACT
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
TAX LEVY \\
IMPACT
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
TAX RATE IMPACT \\
PER \(\$ 1,000\)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
SPECIAL PURPOSE ACCOUNTS- \\
MISCELLANEOUS
\end{tabular} & \(-\$ 100,000\) & \(-\$ 100,000\) & \(-\$ 0.003\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{AMENDMENT INTENT}

The intent of this amendment is to reduce 2009 funding for the Milwaukee Arts Board Projects special purpose account from \(\$ 160,000\) to \(\$ 60,000\).

\section*{OVERVIEW}
1. The 2009 Proposed Budget provides \(\$ 160,000\) for the Milwaukee Arts Board Projects special purpose account, \(7.4 \%\) less than the 2008 Budget and several prior budgets. This special purpose account is administered by the Department of City Development.
2. The Milwaukee Arts Board Projects SPA supports the Milwaukee Arts Board's efforts to promote the development, support and enjoyment of the arts in Milwaukee, and to encourage cultural diversity in the artistic life of the city. Funds are used to provide grants to local arts groups and artists, as well as to pay consultant fees related to operation of this grant program. This SPA also provides funds for 2 annual awards to individual Milwaukee artists (\$1,500 each).
3. Actual expenditures from the Milwaukee Arts Board Projects SPA over the past 3 years were:
\[
\frac{2005}{\$ 139,585} \quad \underline{2006} \quad \frac{2007}{\$ 169,225} \quad \$ 179,230
\]

\section*{IMPACT}
1. This amendment reduces 2009 funding for the Milwaukee Arts Board Projects special purpose account from \(\$ 160,000\) to \(\$ 60,000\).
2. This amendment will reduce the 2009 Budget and tax levy by \(\$ 100,000\), for a tax rate impact of \(-\$ 0.003\) per \(\$ 1,000\) assessed valuation.

Prepared by: Jeff Osterman
LRB - Research \& Analysis Section
October 24, 2008
Revised: October 31, 2008

Item \(\qquad\)
SPECIAL PURPOSE ACCOUNTS - MISCELLANEOUS
Decrease funding for the Milwaukee Arts Board Projects Special Purpose
Account by \(\$ 100,000\).
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
BUDGET & TAXLEVY & TAXRATE EFFECT \\
EFFECT & \(\underline{\text { EFFECT }}\) & (PER \(\$ 1,000\) A.V.)
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{|l|l|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ DEPARTMENT } & \begin{tabular}{c} 
BUDGET \\
IMPACT
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
TAX LEVY \\
IMPACT
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
TAX RATE IMPACT \\
PER \(\$ 1,000\)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
SPECIAL PURPOSE ACCOUNTS- \\
MISCELLANEOUS
\end{tabular} & \(-\$ 500,000\) & \(-\$ 500,000\) & \(-\$ 0.02\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{AMENDMENT INTENT}

The intent of this amendment is to reduce 2009 funding for the Remission of Taxes Fund special purpose account from \(\$ 1,000,000\) to \(\$ 500,000\).

\section*{OVERVIEW}
1. The 2009 Proposed Budget provides \(\$ 1,000,000\) for the Remission of Taxes Fund special purpose account, double the \(\$ 500,000\) provided in the 2008 Budget and several prior budgets. This special purpose account is administered by the Assessor's Office.
2. The Remission of Taxes Fund provides the money needed to refund property taxes and applicable interest to property owners who have had their tax liability cancelled or reduced as a result of Board of Review or State Tax Appeals Commission actions. Actual disbursements from the Fund are made pursuant to Common Council resolutions.
3. Actual expenditures from the Remission of Taxes Fund SPA over the past 5 years were:
\[
\begin{array}{ccccc}
\underline{2003} & \underline{2004} & \underline{2005} & \underline{2006} & \underline{2007} \\
\$ 897,137 & \$ 1,066,290 & \$ 820,595 & \$ 1,055,344 & \$ 621,716
\end{array}
\]
4. Appropriations from the Common Council Contingent Fund are used to make up shortfalls in the Remission of Taxes Fund SPA.
5. The Assessor's Office and DOA-Budget and Management Analysis Division have proposed the 2009 funding increase for the Remission of Taxes Fund SPA for 2 reasons. First, as shown above, actual expenditures from the SPA exceed the budget appropriation each year. Secondly, according to the Assessor, the currently-known liability for the Remission of Taxes Fund in 2009 is approximately \(\$ 1.1\) million, including approximately \(\$ 770,000\) in paybacks to taxpayers that are due by January 31, 2009.

\section*{IMPACT}
1. This amendment reduces 2009 funding for the Remission of Taxes Fund special purpose account from \(\$ 1,000,000\) to \(\$ 500,000\).
2. This amendment will reduce the 2009 Budget and tax levy by \(\$ 500,000\), for a tax rate impact of \(\$ 0.02\) per \(\$ 1,000\) assessed valuation.

COMMITTEE VOTE (1-3-1): In favor: Ald. Bauman
Opposed: Ald. Murphy, Kovac, Hines
Excused: Ald. Dudzik
Prepared by: Jeff Osterman, LRB Research \& Analysis Section, October 22, 2008
Revised: October 31, 2008

By Ald. Bauman
Item \(\qquad\) SPECIAL PURPOSE ACCOUNTS - MISCELLANEOUS
\begin{tabular}{ccc} 
BUDGET & TAX LEVY & TAX RATE EFFECT \\
EFFECT & \(\underline{E F F E C T}\) & (PER \(\$ 1,000\) A.V.)
\end{tabular}

(SUBMITTED, NO MOTION)
SPONSOR(S): Ald. Zielinski
Amendment \# 119
\begin{tabular}{|lccc|}
\hline Department & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Budget \\
Impact
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Tax Levy \\
Impact
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Tax Rate Impact \\
per \(\$ 1,000\)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline DER - SPA - Tuition Reimbursement & \(\$-160,000\) & \(\$-160,000\) & \(\$-0.005\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

ADMENDMENT INTENT - To eliminate Tuition Reimbursement Benefits for all City management employees.

\section*{OVERVIEW}
1. This amendment eliminates Tuition Reimbursement Benefits for all City management employees.
2. The 2009 Proposed Budget increases tuition reimbursements \(\$ 40,000\) or \(5.26 \%\) from \(\$ 760,000\) in the 2008 Adopted Budget to \(\$ 800,000\) to be consistent with actual 2007 expenditures of \(\$ 869,613\). This amendment reduces the 2009 Proposed Budget for tuition reimbursement \(\$ 160,000\) or \(21 \%\) from 2008 levels.
3. The Department of Employee Relations reports actual tuition reimbursements paid to management employees were \(\$ 157,793\) in 2006, \(\$ 227,478\) in 2007 and \(\$ 68,026\) through September 24, 2008. The percent of actual total tuition reimbursements paid to management employees was roughly \(20.16 \%\) in 2006 and \(25.51 \%\) in 2007.

\section*{IMPACT}
1. The City's roughly 719 management employees as of October 24, 2008 are potentially affected by this amendment. 404 management employees or \(5.26 \%\) of the City's October 24, 2008 total workforce of 7,678 received tuition reimbursements in 2007.

Prepared by: Aaron Cadle
LRB - Legislative Fiscal Analyst October 23, 2008
Revised: November 3, 2008

Itèm \(\qquad\)

\section*{SPECIAL PURPOSE ACCOUNTS - MISCELLANEOUS}

Eliminate funding for management tuition benefits in the Tuition Reimbursement Special Purpose Account.
\begin{tabular}{ccc} 
BUDGET & TAXLEVY & TAX RATE EFFECT \\
EFFECT & \(\underline{\text { EFFECT }}\) & (PER \(\$ 1,000 \mathrm{~A} . \mathrm{V})\).
\end{tabular}

\section*{Operating Budget}
\(\$-160,000 \quad \$-160,000\)
\$-0.005
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
BMD-2 \\
PAGE \\
AND LINE NUMBER
\end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{DETAILED AMENDMENT} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{CHANGE IN 2009 POSITIONS OR UNITS COLUMN} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
CHANGE IN 2009 \\
AMOUNT COLUMN
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline & & NUMBER TO BE CHANGED & \begin{tabular}{l}
AMOUNT OF \\
CHANGE
\end{tabular} & AMOUNT TO BE CHANGED & \begin{tabular}{l}
AMOUNT \\
OF \\
CHANGE
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 320.6-5 & \begin{tabular}{l}
SECTION I.A.1. BUDGETS FOR GENERAL CITY PURPOSES \\
SPECIAL PURPOSE ACCOUNTS- \\
MISCELLANEOUS \\
Tuition Reimbursement Fund
\end{tabular} & -- & -- & \$800,000 & \$-160,000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

SPONSOR(S): Ald. Bauman
Amendment \# 114 F
\begin{tabular}{|lccc|}
\hline Department & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Budget \\
Impact
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Tax Levy \\
Impact
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Tax Rate Impact \\
per \(\$ 1,000\)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline DER SPA - Workers' Compensation & \(\$-1,000,000\) & \(\$-1,000,000\) & \(\$-0.034\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

ADMENDMENT INTENT - To reduce the Workers' Compensation Special Purpose Account by \(\$ 1,000,000\).

\section*{OVERVIEW}
1. This amendment reduces the Department of Employee Relations Workers' Compensation Special purpose Account by \(\$ 1,000,000\) or \(6.95 \%\) from 2009 Proposed Budget of \$14,383,000.
2. The 2009 Proposed Budget of \(\$ 14,383,000\) is up \(\$ 2,258,000\) or \(18.38 \%\) over 2008 's \(\$ 12,125,000\) Adopted Budget based on projected expenditures in 2008, increases in State-mandated indemnity payment rates and increases in medial costs.
3. The Budget Office projects 2008 Workers' Compensation expenditures will be \(\$ 13,625,000\) to \(\$ 14,125,000\).
4. The City contracts with CorVel to review workers' compensation medical bills for appropriateness of treatment and customary-and-reasonable pricing which helps contain costs. In addition, the City benefits from discounts on medial treatments procured by injured workers through CorVel's medical provider network. In 2007, such discounts were up nearly \(\$ 2,000,000\), from \(\$ 2,100,000\) in 2006 to \(\$ 4,055,188\) in 2007 due to expansion of CorVel's network.
5. The City self-funds all workers' compensation claims and is legally obligated to pay all legitimate claims upon presentation. Of the 822 workers' compensation claims processed in 2007, the City contested 211 (25.67\%). 154 of these contested claims ( \(18.74 \%\) of processed claims) were denied.

\section*{IMPACT}
1. The proposed 2009 budget for the Workers' Compensation SPA will be \(\$ 13,383,000-\$ 242,000\) to \(\$ 742,000\) less than the Budget Office's projection for 2008 expenditures of \(\$ 13,625,000\) to \(\$ 14,125,000\).

\author{
Committee Vote (1-4) In Favor: Ald. Bauman Opposed: Ald. Kovac, Murphy, Dudzik, Hines
}

Prepared by: Aaron Cadle
LRB - Legislative Fiscal Analyst
October 24, 2008
Revised: November 3, 2008
Reduce funding for the Worker's Compensation Special Purpose Account by
\begin{tabular}{lcc} 
& & Page 1 of 1 \\
& & Item \\
\cline { 3 - 3 } & & \\
BUDGET & TAX LEVY & TAXRATE EFFECT \\
EFFECT & EFFECT & (PER \$1,000 A.V.)
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{|l|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ DEPARTMENT } & \begin{tabular}{c} 
BUDGET \\
IMPACT
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
TAX LEVY \\
IMPACT
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
TAX RATE IMPACT \\
PER \(\$ 1,000\)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS- \\
SPECIAL CAPITAL PROJECTS
\end{tabular} & \(+\$ 250,225,000\) & \(+\$ 225,000\) & \(+\$ 0.008\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{AMENDMENT INTENT}

The intent of this amendment is to include \(\$ 250\) million in capital funding (new borrowing authority) for light rail system infrastructure in the 2009 Proposed Budget.

\section*{OVERVIEW}
1. Light rail transit is a form of urban public transportation using rail cars typically propelled by overhead electric power. Trains usually operate on exclusive right-of-way, but occasionally run in mixed traffic on city streets. Light rail differs from heavy rail (subway and elevated trains) in that the latter operate at higher speeds, have higher capacity and cost much more to build. Light rail also differs from streetcars in that the latter typically stop more frequently, lack station infrastructure and operate almost exclusively in street traffic.
2. Costs of constructing light rail systems vary widely, largely depending on the amount of tunneling or elevated structures required. A survey of light rail projects in North America (lightrail.com) found that the costs of recently-constructed light rail systems ranged from \(\$ 15\) million to over \(\$ 100\) million per mile, with an average cost of about \(\$ 35\) million per mile (excluding Seattle's system, which has extensive tunneling, elevated sections and stations located deep underground).
3. Among the benefits and advantages of light rail cited by its proponents are:
- Generally operates at higher speeds, with fewer stops, than buses, thus becoming a more attractive transit option than bus service.
- Connects workers with jobs.
- Stimulates economic development in the community in general and in close proximity to stations in particular.
- Attracts new riders to transit (i.e., individuals who are unwilling to take a bus may find light rail an attractive alternative to the automobile).
- Fixed infrastructure (rails, stations, overhead power system) indicates a certain level of predictability about future transit service, thereby giving real estate developers confidence in investments near light rail.
- No air-pollutant emissions from light rail vehicles.
- Makes it easier for tourists, conventioneers and other out-of-town visitors to get around the city.
4. Over the past 3 decades, various public agencies, academic think tanks, business groups, elected officials and other community leaders have proposed or recommended the creation of a light rail system in Milwaukee. No concrete proposal is currently on the table, however.
5. In 1989, the federal government allocated \(\$ 241\) million in transportation aid to the Milwaukee area. A decade later, the Wisconsin Department of Transportation, the City and Milwaukee County reached an agreement to use \(\$ 91.5\) million of these funds for a transit capital project to improve access for city residents to jobs, schools, health care and other services. Since 2000, the Milwaukee Connector Study and its Steering Committee, consisting of representatives of the City, the County, the Wisconsin Center District and the Metropolitan Milwaukee Association of Commerce, have been exploring various options for using these funds. Most recently, the Steering Committee studied 2 transit options - bus rapid transit and a 2-line, 13mile guided street tram system. The Common Council adopted a resolution expressing support for the guided street tram option (File 051610, adopted 5/9/06), but it was vetoed by the Mayor.
6. Mayor Tom Barrett's "Comprehensive Strategy for Milwaukee" calls for implementation of 2 cross-town bus rapid transit lines and a downtown streetcar loop. County Executive Scott Walker has proposed 2 bus rapid transit lines only (on different route alignments than the Mayor's proposal). Neither executive has advanced development of a light rail system for Milwaukee.
7. The Mayor's 2009 Proposed Budget provides no funding related to the construction of a light rail system in Milwaukee.
8. The \(\$ 250\) million in capital funding for a light rail system provided by this budget amendment would be used for the capital costs of constructing the system (laying track, installing overhead power infrastructure, constructing stations, acquiring rolling stock, etc.) and not for the costs of operating the system upon its completion. It is possible that these funds could be combined with the \(\$ 91.5\) million in federal dollars for the Milwaukee Connector project (also earmarked for capital expenditures), provided that the Milwaukee Connector Steering Committee recommends development of a light rail system supported by the City or vice versa.

\section*{IMPACT}
1. This amendment creates a special capital project titled "Light Rail Infrastructure" to be funded in the 2009 Budget with \(\$ 250\) million in tax-levy-supported general obligation borrowing.
2. The net impact of this amendment is an increase of the 2009 Budget by \(\$ 250,225,000\)-\(\$ 250,000,000\) in the Capital Improvements budget plus a related \(\$ 225,000\) increase in the City Debt budget. The impact on the City Debt budget is based on an assumption of \(\$ 10\) million in first-year borrowing.
3. Since only the debt financing component of this capital project is tax-levy-funded, the amendment increases the tax levy by \(\$ 225,000\), for a tax rate impact of \(+\$ 0.008\) per \(\$ 1,000\) assessed valuation.

Prepared by: Jeff Osterman
LRB - Research \& Analysis Section
October 27, 2008
Revised: October 31, 2008

\section*{OBJECTIVE, OVERALL BUDGET LEVY EFFECT OF THIS POSSIBLE AMENDMENT TO THE 2009 PROPOSED BUDGET}

By Ald. Davis
Page 1 of 1
CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS, CITY DEBT, PROPOSED BORROWING AUTHORIZATIONS
Item \(\qquad\)

Create a Special Capital Project titled "Light Rail Infrastructure" with \$250 million in tax levy supported General Obligation borrowing. Debt budget impact is based on first year borrowing of \(\$ 10\) million.
\begin{tabular}{lccc} 
Capital Improvements Budget & \(\$+250,000,000\) & \(\$+0\) & \(\$+0.000\) \\
City Debt Budget & \(\underline{\$ 225,000}\) & \(\$+225,000\) & \(\$+0.008\) \\
Total & \(\$+250,225,000\) & \(\$+225,000\) & \(\$+0.008\)
\end{tabular}

(SUBMITTED, NOT OFFERED)
SPONSOR(S): ALD. BOHL
AMENDMENT 30
\begin{tabular}{|l|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ DEPARTMENT } & \begin{tabular}{c} 
BUDGET \\
IMPACT
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
TAX LEVY \\
IMPACT
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
TAX RATE IMPACT \\
PER \(\$ 1,000\)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS- \\
DEPT. OF CITY DEVELOPMENT
\end{tabular} & \(-\$ 71,575\) & \(-\$ 1,575\) & \(-\$ 0.001\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{AMENDMENT INTENT}

The intent of this amendment is to reduce 2009 funding for the Healthy Neighborhoods Initiative capital improvements project from \(\$ 200,000\) to \(\$ 130,000\).

\section*{OVERVIEW}
1. The 2009 Proposed Budget provides \(\$ 200,000\) in Department of City Development capital funding for the Healthy Neighborhoods Initiative ("HNI"). This is the same level of funding as 2008, the City's first year of financial support for the HNI.
2. The Healthy Neighborhoods concept focuses on marketing the positive attributes of urban neighborhoods, rather than highlighting neighborhood problems. Projects funded by HNI have included small-scale neighborhood improvement initiatives, property beautification contests, neighborhood identification efforts and landscaping projects.
3. The 2008 City contribution has been committed to the following projects:
- \(\$ 50,000\) for neighborhood improvement projects in the 5 neighborhoods already participating in the Greater Milwaukee Foundation's Healthy Neighborhoods Initiative (Thurston Woods, Enderis Park, Silver City, Martin Drive and Sherman Park).
- \(\$ 20,000\) for neighborhood improvement projects in neighborhoods outside the Community Development Block Grant area. To date, these neighborhoods have included Cambridge Woods, the Garden District, Millwood Park, Kops Park, River Ridge and Story Hill.
- \(\$ 130,000\) for a home improvement loan pool for loans to property owners in the HNI neighborhoods. This loan pool is expected to become operational in 2009.
4. According to the Department of City Development, 2 additional neighborhoods - Johnsons Park and Havenwoods - will be full-fledged HNI participants in 2009 and all 5 current neighborhoods will continue their participation. Also, it is anticipated that HNI will continue to offer small grants that further the Healthy Neighborhoods objectives in neighborhoods throughout the city.
5. Common Council File 071449, adopted March 18, 2008, established the following parameters for expenditures from the HNI capital account:
- The Neighborhood Improvement Development Corporation (NIDC) shall administer the Healthy Neighborhoods Initiative.
- Every expenditure shall be matched on a dollar-for-dollar basis by financial commitments from non-City-government entities. (Note: to date, matching funds have included investment expenditures of neighborhood residents and in-kind donations from local merchants, businesses and professionals.)
- No expenditure shall be made until NIDC receives documentation of involvement of a community organization or neighborhood association in any project selected for City funding through the HNI.
- City funds from the HNI capital account shall be used to fund residential improvement loans or grants and neighborhood improvements.

\section*{IMPACT}
1. This amendment reduces funding for the Healthy Neighborhoods Initiative capital project in the 2009 Proposed Budget from \(\$ 200,000\) to \(\$ 130,000(-35.0 \%)\).
2. The impact of this amendment is a reduction of the 2009 Budget by \(\$ 71,575--\$ 70,000\) in the Capital Improvements budget plus a related \(\$ 1,575\) reduction in the City Debt budget. Since only the debt financing is tax-levy-funded, the amendment reduces the tax levy by \(\$ 1,575\), for a tax rate impact of \(-\$ 0.001\) per \(\$ 1,000\) assessed valuation.
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\section*{CAPITALIMPROVEMENTS, CITY DEBT, PROPOSED BORROWING AUTHORIZATIONS}

Reduce funding for the Department of City Development Healthy
Neighborhoods Initiative capital account.
Item \(\qquad\)

BUDGET
EFFECT

TAX LEVY EFFECT

TAX RATE EFFECT
(PER \$1,000 A.V.)
\begin{tabular}{lccc} 
Capital Improvements Budget & \(\$-70,000\) & \(\$+0\) & \(\$+0.000\) \\
City Debt Budget & \(\$-1,575\) & \(\$-1,575\) & \(\$(-0.001\) \\
Total & \(\$-71,575\) & \(\$-1,575\) & \(\$-0.001\)
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline DEPARTMENT & \begin{tabular}{c} 
BUDGET \\
IMPACT
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
TAX LEVY \\
IMPACT
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
TAX RATE IMPACT \\
PER \$1,000
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{c} 
DPW-OPERATIONS DIVISION, \\
BUILDINGS \& FLEET SERVICES \\
CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS \\
PROJECTS
\end{tabular} & \(\$-121,167\) & \(\$-2,667\) & \(\$-0.001\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{AMENDMENT INTENT}

The intent of this amendment is to reduce capital funding for the Recreation Facilities Citywide program capital account by \(\$ 118,500\), eliminating the following projects: \(4^{\text {th }} \&\) Mineral - Equipment Upgrade - Design Only ( \(\$ 13,000\) ), Cooper - Tennis Court Reconstruction ( \(\$ 91,000\) ), and Alcott - Tennis Court Reconstruction - Design Only (\$14,500).

\section*{OVERVIEW}
1. The 2009 Proposed Budget includes an allocation of \(\$ 300,000\) to the Recreation Facilities Program; \(\$ 300,000\) was allocated in the 2008 Budget.
2. Improvements in this program include maintenance and renovations in neighborhood recreation facilities, children's play areas and stand alone recreation sites. Funds will be released for projects with prior review and approval of the Common Council. 2009 projects include:
- Reiske Park - Reconstruction (ADA) - \$42,000
- \(29^{\text {th }} \&\) Meinecke - Reconstruction (ADA) - \(\$ 49,000\)
- \(13^{\text {th }} \&\) Lapham - Reconstruction (ADA) -Design Only - \(\$ 13,000\)
- \(4^{\text {th }} \&\) Mineral - Equipment Upgrade - Design Only \(-\$ 13,000\)
- Cooper - Tennis Court Reconstruction - \$91,000
- Alcott - Tennis Court Reconstruction - Design Only - \(\$ 14,500\)
- Various Sites - Non Programmed - \(\$ 25,000\)
- Engineering - \$52,500

\section*{IMPACT}
1. This amendment will reduce capital funding for the Recreation Facilities Citywide program capital account by \(\$ 118,500\), eliminating the following projects:
- \(4^{\text {th }} \&\) Mineral - Equipment Upgrade - Design Only - \(\$ 13,000\)
- Cooper - Tennis Court Reconstruction - \$91,000
- Alcott - Tennis Court Reconstruction - Design Only - \$14,500
2. The net impact of this amendment is a reduction of the 2009 Budget by \(\$-121,167\), reducing the tax levy by \(\$-2,667\), resulting in a tax rate impact of \(\$-0.001\) per \(\$ 1,000\) assessed valuation.

COMMITTEE VOTE (1-4): In Favor: Ald. Dudzik
Opposed : Ald. Murphy, Bauman, Hines and Kovac

By Ald. Dudzik
Item \(\qquad\)

\section*{CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS, CITY DEBT, PROPOSED BORROWING AUTHORIZATIONS}

Reduce funding for the Recreation Facilities Citywide capital account by \(\$ 118,500\). This funding was for the following projects: 4 th \& Mineral Equipment Upgrade Design ( \(\$ 13,000\) ), Cooper Tennis Court Reconstruction ( \(\$ 91,000\) ), and Alcott Tennis Court Reconstruction Design ( \(\$ 14,500\) ).
\begin{tabular}{lccc} 
Capital Improvements Budget & \(\$-118,500\) & \(\$+0\) & \(\$+0.000\) \\
City Debt Budget & \(\$-2,667\) & \(\underline{\$-2,667}\) & \(\frac{\$-0.001}{}\) \\
Total & \(\$-121,167\) & \(\$-2,667\) & \(\$-0.001\)
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline DEPARTMENT & \begin{tabular}{c} 
BUDGET \\
IMPACT
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
TAX LEVY \\
IMPACT
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
TAX RATE IMPACT \\
PER \(\$ 1,000\)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{c} 
DPW-OPERATIONS DIVISION, \\
BUILDINGS \& FLEET SERVICES \\
CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS \\
PROJECTS
\end{tabular} & \(\$-1,227,000\) & \(\$-27,000\) & \(\$-0.001\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{AMENDMENT INTENT}

The intent of this amendment is to reduce funding for the Facilities Systems Program capital account by \(\$ 1,200,000\), by eliminating funding for the Zeidler Municipal Building - Modernize Elevators-Construction project.

\section*{OVERVIEW}
1. The 2009 Proposed Budget includes \(\$ 1,500,000\) in capital funding to the Facility Systems Program; \(\$ 2,400,000\) was allocated in the 2008 Budget.
2. The Facility Systems Program is an ongoing program to keep the City's buildings in good operating condition. Facility systems include heating, ventilating, air conditioning (HVAC), plumbing, fire protection, electrical distribution, lighting, boilers, and computerized facility management. 2009 funding will address:
- Zeidler Municipal Bldg. - Modernize Elevators-Construction - \$1,200,000
- Various Sites - Emergency Mechanical Repairs - \(\$ 100,000\)
- Various Sites - Security Projects - \(\$ 150,000\)
- Various Sites - Emergency Electrical Repairs - \(\$ 50,000\)

\section*{IMPACT}
1. This amendment will reduce funding for the Facilities Systems Program capital account by \(\$ 1,200,000\), from \(\$ 1,500,000\) to \(\$ 300,000\), by eliminating funding for following project:
- Zeidler Municipal Bldg. - Modernize Elevators-Construction - \(\$ 1,200,000\)
2. The net impact of this amendment is a reduction of the 2009 Budget by \(\$-1,227,000\), reducing the tax levy by \(\$-27,000\), resulting in a tax rate impact of \(\$-0.001\) per \(\$ 1,000\) assessed valuation.

COMMITTEE VOTE (1-4): In Favor: Ald. Dudzik
Opposed: Ald. Murphy, Bauman, Hines and Kovac

Prepared by: Amy E. Hefter
LRB - Research and Analysis Section
October 27, 2008
Revised: October 31, 2008

\section*{OBJECTIVE, OVERALL BUDGET LEVY EFFECT OF THIS POSSIBLE AMENDMENT TO THE 2009 PROPOSED BUDGET}

By Ald. Dudzik
Page 1 of 1
Item \(\qquad\) 110
CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS, CITY DEBT, PROPOSED BORROWING AUTHORIZATIONS
Reduce funding for the Facility Systems Program capital account by \(\$ 1,200,000\). This funding was for the Zeidler Municipal Building Modernize Elevators Construction Project.

Capital Improvements Budget
City Debt Budget
Total


SPONSOR(S) Ald. Dudzik
AMENDMENT 82 F
\begin{tabular}{|l|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ DEPARTMENT } & BUDGET IMPACT & \begin{tabular}{c} 
TAX LEVY \\
IMPACT
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
TAX RATE IMPACT \\
PER \(\$ 1,000\)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline DPW - Parking Fund & \(-\$ 290.000\) & \(\$+0\) & \(\$+0.000\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{AMENDMENT INTENT}

Eliminate \(\$ 290,000\) in capital funding for the MacArthur Square Plaza Renovation Program.

\section*{OVERVIEW}
1. The 2009 Proposed Budget provides \(\$ 290,000\) in capital funding for the MacArthur Square Renovation.
2. The 2009 funding will be used to repaint the east side of the \(7^{\text {th }}\) Street level. will be repainted. This has not been done since 1992. The paint is peeling and dirty, providing an unsafe appearance. White glossy paint will be applied to provide increased lighting levels and brighten the structure's entire appearance.
3. This project does not have a property tax levy impact. The costs associated with project are funded by the Parking Fund.

\section*{IMPACT}

This amendment eliminates \(\$ 290,000\) in capital funding for the MacArthur Square Plaza Renovation Program.

COMMITTEE VOTE: (2-3) In Favor: Ald. Dudzik, Kovac
Opposed: Ald. Murphy, Bauman, Hines

Prepared by: Jim Carroll (286-8679)
LRB - Research \& Analysis Section
October 25, 2008
Revised: November 3, 2008

Item \(\qquad\)

\section*{PARKING FUND AND PROPOSED BORROWING AUTHORIZATIONS}

Eliminate funding for the MacArthur Square Renovation capital account.

BUDGET
EFFECT

TAXLEVY
EFFECT
TAX RATE EFFECT
(PER \(\$ 1,000 \mathrm{~A} . \mathrm{V}\).)

Parking Fund
\$-290,000
\(\$+0\)
\(\$+0.000\)
```


[^0]:    AMENDMENT INTENT
    This amendment eliminates tax levy funding for the portion of the salary of the Environmental Sustainability Director in the Department of Administration, Office of Sustainability paid through tax levy funds.
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