00001	
1	CITY OF MILWAUKEE
2	LICENSES COMMITTEE HEARING
3	
4	In the Matter of:
5	1818 North Hubbard Street File No. 060062
6	
7	2011/22222 1/21/2222
0	COMMITTEE MEMBERS
8	ALD. JAMES WITKOWIAK - Chairman
9	ALD. JAMES WITKOWIAK - Chairman ALD. JAMES BOHL, JR Vice Chairman
9	ALD. JOSEPH A. DUDZIK
10	Med. Coolin M. Bobein
	HEALTH DEPARTMENT by PANDORA BENDER
11	POLICE DEPARTMENT by SERGEANT CHET ULICKEY
	CITY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE by BRUCE SCHRIMPF
12	
	ALDERMAN MICHAEL McGEE
13	
1 4	LEVY & LEVY, By MARK A. LANGHOLZ, N61 W6058
14	Columbia Road, Cedarburg, Wisconsin 553012-0127,
15	appeared on behalf of the Licensee.
16	
17	Proceedings had and testimony given in the
18	above-entitled matter before the UTILITIES &
19	LICENSING COMMITTEE OF THE CITY OF MILWAUKEE on the
20	18th day of May, 2006, before Terese M. Schiebenes of
21	Milwaukee Reporters Associated, Inc.

00002	
1	PROCEEDINGS
_	
2	(All City Personnel previously affirmed.)
3	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Item No. 2, File
4	060062, motion relating to the revocation of the
5	Tavern Dance License for the premises at 1818 North
6	Hubbard Street. Good morning.
7	(Whereupon all speakers were duly affirmed.)
8	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Will the Licensee
9	identify himself for the record, please.
10	MR. RAYMOND: John Raymond.
11	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Address?
12	MR. RAYMOND: My home address, 1521
13	Ridgeview Court, Grafton.
14	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: State your appearance.
15	You're his attorney?
16	MR. LANGHOLZ: Yes. Attorney Mark Langholz,
17	North 61 West 6058 Columbia Road, Cedarburg.
18	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Let the record show
19	Alderman McGee appears in this matter. Take the
20	testimony from the Complainant first, Mr. Schrimpf?
21	MR. SCHRIMPF: Yes.
22	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Could you identify
23	yourself, both of you, for the record, please, and
24	then we'll take your testimony.
25	MR. TORRES: Manuel Torres.

00003	
1	MS. TORRES: Kathleen Torres.
2	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Your address?
3	MR. TORRES: 1801 North Commerce, No. 200.
4	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: And you are how far
5	away from this location in question?
6	MS. TORRES: 40, 50 feet.
7	MR. LANGHOLZ: Mr. Chairman, if I may.
8	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Yes.
9	MR. LANGHOLZ: I guess to give the committee
10	an update on where we're at in this process that
11	myself and Mr. Raymond from the restaurant did have a
12	meeting with the Torreses as well as the other
13	neighbor, Dr. Paul Robb, who's also present.
14	ALDERMAN McGEE: Mr. Chair, can the citizens
15	please have their testimony first?
16	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Yeah. I asked them for
17	their testimony first. You will get a chance to ask
18	them questions and respond to that.
19	MR. SCHRIMPF: Mr. Chairman, could I just
20	ask a foundation question?
21	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Go ahead.
22	MR. SCHRIMPF: Mr. Torres, are you one of
23	the Complainants in this matter?
24	MR. TORRES: One of them, yes.
25	MR. SCHRIMPF: And Mrs. Torres, you're the

00004 1 other Complainant in this matter? MS. TORRES: Yes. 3 MR. SCHRIMPF: And you filed a complaint 4 with the Office of the City Clerk dated May 9th, 2006? 5 MS. TORRES: Yes. 6 MR. SCHRIMPF: And I'm showing you a copy of 7 that complaint. Does that appear to be the complaint 8 that you signed? 9 MS. TORRES: Yes, it is. 10 MR. SCHRIMPF: And just so that the record 11 is very clear, you are both residents of the City of 12 Milwaukee? 13 MS. TORRES: Yes. 14 MR. TORRES: Yes. 15 MR. SCHRIMPF: That's all I have, Mr. 16 Chairman. 17 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Your testimony, please. 18 And if you could just pull the microphone towards 19 yourself. 20 MS. TORRES: Well, we actually filed this 21 because we made several attempts to work out this 22 noise problem with the restaurant. This has been 23 going on for over a year. We had been calling the 24 police prior to that but found out we were calling the 25 district, and nothing was really being documented nor

2.4

were citations given prior to this year. So starting January is when we started to call the non-emergency number and started documenting exactly how many times we had to call for the noise.

The noise is that they have a DJ Wednesday through Friday, and they have a live band on Saturdays. The building is very close. We have, again, made several attempts to contact them, and at first they started to turn the music down when we called, so we knew it could be done, but then it just fell on deaf ears. We actually had been in contact with Mr. McGee's office to have him assist us with this issue. Again, this had been going on for a long time, and we finally gave up talking to the restaurant. We now just call the police every time there's been noise.

In my letter, as you have a copy of, we have several dates listed here. As I said, we've been keeping track of all the times that we've had to call. And the noise goes on 1:00, 2:00 in the morning, it starts from midnight, it goes until bar closing. There's other issues just besides the noise, it's the patrons that end up coming out because their entrance on — their in—and—out where the patrons were coming out is right on our level, it's on the lower level, and the noise has just been terrible.

00006 1 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: What have you observed 2 as far as patrons coming out? MS. TORRES: Well, the patrons come out, and sometimes they sit on the patio for a little while, 4 5 but then they're coming down going to Commerce Street, 6 or they end up using the back of our building as a 7 thoroughfare, and it's yelling and it's laughing and, of course, girls after they've had a couple drinks end up getting giggly and loud. And again, this has been 8 9 10 going on. There's garbage issues, there's a lot of 11 issues pertaining to this whole nightclub atmosphere. 12 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: The people that you 13 have just testified to that are coming out making 14 noise, from your location, can you see this back door 15 that they walk out of? 16 MS. TORRES: Absolutely. 17 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: You've actually seen 18 these people walking out and making noise? 19 MS. TORRES: Absolutely. 20 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: On how many occasions? 21 MS. TORRES: As far as people coming out? 22 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: And making noise. 23 MR. TORRES: I don't know if we can put a 2.4 number on it. 25 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: More than 10?

00007	
1	MS. TORRES: Oh, absolutely more than 10.
2	But as far as dates, I didn't monitor the dates on
3	that.
4	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: But in your complaint
5	here, you've listed the dates that you've actually
6	called the police?
7	MS. TORRES: Well, it's actually the police
8	or the restaurant or the landlord, Tim Dixon, because
9	we did enlist his help at first because he does occupy
10	the office upstairs and said can you help us with
11	this, what can be done.
12	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Mr. Torres, do you have
13	anything to add?
14	MR. TORRES: Not at this point, no.
15	MR. SCHRIMPF: Mr. Chairman.
16	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Mr. Schrimpf.
17	MR. SCHRIMPF: Thank you. The dates that
18	you listed in your letter, are those all 2006?
19	MS. TORRES: Yes, they are.
20	MR. SCHRIMPF: We're talking about the year
21	2006?
22	MS. TORRES: Yes.
23	MR. SCHRIMPF: And on a weekly basis, how
24	many times per week are you disturbed with noise
25	emanating from this premises or patrons that you've

00008 1 observed? MR. TORRES: I'd say at least once, sometimes twice a week, but we also have neighbors 4 that are bothered by the noise. 5 MR. SCHRIMPF: I'm only interested in you 6 right now. And on any of these occasions, were you 7 awoken from sleep? 8 MS. TORRES: Absolutely. 9 MR. SCHRIMPF: That's all I have, Mr. 10 Chairman. 11 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman Bohl. 12 ALDERMAN BOHL: I'm wondering -- and I'm not 13 necessarily placing any burden on the Complainants --14 but I'm wondering if there is any police report that 15 is part of this record because I don't see it in our 16 packet here, in terms of their calls. I'm assuming 17 this may be 1st District. 18 MR. TORRES: It's the 5th District. 19 MS. TORRES: And I did ask if we needed to 20 present anything, and they told me that would be 21 available to the Committee, so I didn't get copies of 22 that. 23 ALDERMAN BOHL: Is there a police report? 2.4 MR. SCHRIMPF: Mr. Chairman. Sergeant 25 Ulickey does, apparently, have a police report.

00009 Sergeant Ulickey, what did you hand me? Could you 1 identify that document. SGT. ULICKEY: This is a police attachment. 4 When we receive an application for renewal or any 5 other instance as it relates to a licensed premise, 6 this is typed up. This is based upon a PA-33, Report 7 of an Incident Report, that our department uses in the 8 normal course of business to document any incidents 9 that occur on a licensed premise, whether it be a 10 tavern, rooming house, or some such. 11 MR. SCHRIMPF: Mr. Chairman, I would ask if 12 there are any incidents reflected in that report for 13 the dates reflected in the complaint, and I'll hand 14 Sergeant Ulickey a copy of the complaint listing the 15 dates. 16 SGT. ULICKEY: Yes, there are. 17 MR. SCHRIMPF: And what dates are those, 18 sir? 19 SGT. ULICKEY: I have January 19th, I have 20 March 5th. They have it listed as March 4th, but I 21 believe it was midnight. I have April 20th, and I 22 have several others that are not -- three, four others 23 that are not listed there.

MR. SCHRIMPF: Starting with the date of the

first date you mentioned, I think it was January 19th?

2.4

25

00010 1 SGT. ULICKEY: Yes, sir. MR. SCHRIMPF: What does the police report demonstrate? SGT. ULICKEY: On the 19th at 1:30 a.m., 5 Milwaukee police were dispatched to 1818 North Hubbard 6 for a noise nuisance complaint. As officers exited 7 their auto, they could hear loud music coming from the 8 Roots Bar, approximately 100 feet away. A citation 9 was issued to John Raymond. There had been several 10 complaints regarding loud music coming from the bar. 11 That citation is set for pretrial on 5/31 of '06. 12 MR. SCHRIMPF: I believe the second date you 13 mentioned was March the 4th? 14 SGT. ULICKEY: Yes. On 3/5 of '06 at 1:21 15 a.m., police were dispatched to 1818 North Hubbard for 16 a noise nuisance complaint. The officer who responded 17 did not at that time hear loud music coming from the 18 tavern or from the bar, however, had a complaint 19 regarding loud music and issued a citation based on 20 this complaint. John Raymond was issued a citation 21 for noise violation, and that is set for pretrial on 22 5/31 of '06. 23 MR. SCHRIMPF: And then the last date you 2.4 mentioned I believe was April 20th? 25 SGT. ULICKEY: Yes. On April 20th at 12:30

00011 1 a.m., Milwaukee police were dispatched to 1818 North Hubbard for a noise nuisance complaint. Upon officers' arrival, Roots Bar was closed and locked, 4 and no patrons were observed or vehicles leaving. The 5 Complainant, Manuel Torres, a white male, 7/9 of '56, 6 1801 North Commerce, did want a citation issued, and 7 officers issued a noise nuisance citation to John 8 Raymond. That citation is not yet in our system. 9 MR. SCHRIMPF: That's all I have. 10 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Questions by members of 11 the Committee? 12 ALDERMAN BOHL: Mr. Chairman. Question for 13 Sergeant Ulickey; that is, without necessarily 14 expounding, were any of the additional items that were 15 listed as incidents in the police report items that 16 occurred after January 1, or were these items prior to 17 January 1, 2006? 18 SGT. ULICKEY: They are all after January 19 1st of 2006. 20 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: I'd like to ask Mr. 21 Schrimpf, can we use the rest of the items on there? 22 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MR}}.$ SCHRIMPF: Let me ask another foundation 23 question, Sergeant. Are they all related to noise 2.4 nuisance complaints? SGT. ULICKEY: Yes, they are. 25

00012 1 MR. SCHRIMPF: Then it's probably fair to 2 use it. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: I'd like the rest of 4 them read into the record then. 5 SGT. ULICKEY: On March 10th of 2006 at 6 1:15, Milwaukee police were dispatched to 1818 North 7 Hubbard Street for a noise nuisance complaint. As 8 officers exited their auto, loud music could be heard 9 coming from the Roots Bar. Officers spoke to John 10 Raymond, and a citation was issued for noise nuisance. 11 That citation is set for pretrial on 5/22 of '06. 12 On March 18th, at 11:00 p.m., Milwaukee 13 14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

police were dispatched to 1818 North Commerce for a noise nuisance. Officers spoke to the bar owner, John Kuehl, who stated he had just told the band to turn the noise down 15 minutes prior to the officers arriving. There was a Complainant for the loud music, and a citation was issued to John Kuehl.

On 4/30 of '06 at 1:29 a.m., Milwaukee police were dispatched to 1818 North Hubbard for a noise nuisance complaint. Complainant, Manuel Torres, stated the music was too loud, causing a disturbance. Officers found music playing inside the establishment, however, they were not able to hear the music from the street. A citation for noise nuisance was issued to

00013 1 John Kuehl. And on 5/14 of '06 at 12:05 a.m., Milwaukee police were dispatched to 1818 North Hubbard for a noise nuisance complaint. The caller, Manuel Torres, 5 stated loud music from Roots Cellar was causing a 6 disturbance. Officers were about 100 feet away from 7 the bar and could hear a band playing. The manager, 8 John Kuehl, was on the scene and was issued a citation 9 for noise nuisance. That citation and the previous 10 citation I indicated are not in our system yet. 11 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Are there any more 12 questions by members of the Committee? 13 MR. SCHRIMPF: Just one follow-up question, Mr. Chairman. Sergeant, are all these complaints 14 15 attributable from Mr. or Mrs. Torres, from your 16 records, or can't you answer that? 17 SGT. ULICKEY: That I can't answer. They do 18 list the Complainant, but some are not named. 19 MR. SCHRIMPF: That's all I have. 20 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Does the Licensee or 21 his attorney have any questions of the police report? 22 MR. LANGHOLZ: On the police report, no. 23 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Now you'd like to give 2.4 us an opening statement or something? 25 MR. LANGHOLZ: Yes, please. And I can

2.4

certainly follow up with questions for the Torreses or if the Committee would like to, as well.

CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: You'll have that opportunity.

MR. LANGHOLZ: Thank you. I as well as John Raymond met with Mr. and Mrs. Torres as well as Dr. Paul Robb, who's a neighbor to the Torreses. We were able to set up a meeting, actually, for last night, where Mr. Raymond presented the steps that he had taken to try to control the noise, acknowledged that it wasn't enough to keep the noise from bothering the neighbors in the condo, also presented to them plans from a company out of Chicago for sound abatement and bids he received from them and indicated to the residents that he is planning on signing those contracts. Having that done in terms of the sound abatement, also, in the interim until that is done has agreed to cancel much of the music on the nights that the music is going on.

At the end of that meeting, an agreement was at least reached that we would come to the Committee this morning, present what happened at the meeting, ask the Committee if the Committee, in light of that, is willing to keep this matter open but revisit it. I suppose, June 6th is the next date when this Committee

2.4

would convene on an issue like this, to at least see the status of the license, to see the status where we're at in terms of noise complaints, and with the changes in the building. And I believe at least as of even this morning when I talked to the Torreses again, they were in agreement with that, as well. So with that being said, certainly could ask some questions of the Torreses, but if the Committee would have any questions about what has been done or the meeting between myself and the neighbors.

CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: I'll make a comment to your request right now. It troubles me somewhat that it's -- You had your meeting last night. We have a sufficient record here this has been going on for a long time, and it looks like the complaints have been blatantly ignored. So now you come and you had this emergency meeting the night before, and you come to the table and you say we have everything worked out because you may be 15 minutes away from losing your license. Alderman Bohl.

ALDERMAN BOHL: Mr. Chairman, I just wanted to ask the Torreses, in terms of the occasions where they've either attempted to contact the police department or the management or the proprietor, how many of these incidents were not related to the music

2.4

either playing from the DJ or band, and were any of these instances based on occasions where you had patrons outside yelling and screaming?

MS. TORRES: We didn't keep track of how many times we called with regards to that. A lot of the patrons being outside were prior to this year, and it was being on the patio past 11:00 or the band coming out and smoking or having their break outside and laughing. And we called the restaurant every time that happened. We did not call the police back then for those instances. Again, we were trying to work with them to resolve a lot of these issues.

ALDERMAN BOHL: Have at least those type of nuisances abated themselves, have they been reduced, have you had fewer problems with outside noises in recent?

MS. TORRES: To be quite honest with you, it happens a lot in the summertime, that's when a lot of it happened, and we're coming up on the summer season here, so it's a little more difficult than the winter. Obviously, people aren't going to stand out there in the cold as much. A lot of that happened in the summer, so it's really hard to say if that's really changed a lot or not because wintertime is usually is slower for them.

ALDERMAN BOHL: And being summer, you don't want to pray for rain, as well.

MS. TORRES: And it's hard for us, also, because we don't want to sit with our windows closed. We like to open our windows in the summertime.

ALDERMAN BOHL: Thank you.

MR. LANGHOLZ: Mr. Chairman, if I could, to your comment. And I understand your -- I don't know if you said frustration -- or at least your concern here. In response to that, I can offer the following things: That when the complaints began, some of the issues that the restaurant did; they took small steps to try to address it, and I talked to them about the fact that they simply weren't enough. In terms of moving where the music was coming from, making efforts to keep the door on the lower level shut that's closest to the neighbors, building boxes to cover the windows to try the keep the music from exiting those, and so it is not that they simply ignored it and took no steps to change, but it wasn't enough to change and to solve the problem.

And in terms of the steps that the restaurant has taken to actually change the structure of the restaurant, those meetings were actually held in April when bids were received, and Mr. Raymond can

2.4

speak to this, but it's my understanding before I was retained on the case that those bids were forwarded to the Alderman's Office, as well, and I think the biggest problem -- obviously, the biggest problem is noise, but another very big problem with the case is that communication is broken down, and quite frankly, most of that comes from the restaurant. The restaurant didn't keep the neighbors engaged here in terms of letting them know these are some steps we're taking, is this enough. That wasn't done, and that, I think, has furthered the problem.

If nothing else, since I have began working with Mr. Raymond, I have made an effort to open communication with the neighbors to let them know exactly what the restaurant is doing and to also try to open it up the other way again. So because we're at that point and because that is open and, again, I think the Torreses are still in agreement with the idea of asking this Committee to at least hold this matter open for a brief period of time to make sure that the issues have been resolved.

CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: When were you retained? MR. LANGHOLZ: 10 days, two weeks ago, something in that time frame. I don't have the exact date.

00019 1 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Do you have any 2 questions of the Torreses concerning their testimony? MR. LANGHOLZ: Is it true that the problems 4 that you've had with the restaurant have been 5 primarily associated with the music; would that be 6 true? 7 MS. TORRES: Fair to say, yes. 8 MR. LANGHOLZ: And if the noise from the 9 music or the noise coming from the restaurant were 10 taken care of, you don't object to necessarily the 11 restaurant being there, but it's just the fact that 12 the noise is bothering you at late hours? 13 MS. TORRES: Right. We do not object to the 14 restaurant being there. Obviously, we worked long and 15 hard to work through this issue with them before 16 having to come here. MR. LANGHOLZ: And if that issue can be 17 18 resolved with the music in terms of making it such 19 that you don't hear the music in your apartment, that 20 in your mind would resolve this issue; is that 21 accurate, as well? 22 MS. TORRES: As far as the music, yes. You 23 can have 100 bands in there, as long as we don't hear 2.4 them.

MR. TORRES: But it's a big if.

25

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

MR. LANGHOLZ: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: And should it be possible to shield the sound of that music, what about the people exiting at closing time? Was there any discussion in your meeting about not being able to use that door?

MR. LANGHOLZ: There was. And there are a couple of issues. One is because of the fire code, the door can't be locked, however, there are two attempts to deal with the door. One is a proposal to actually build more of a vestibule there, almost a second door coming out of there to act as a sound barrier in terms of people just taking a step out of the actual restaurant area into that as well as enforcing and placing a doorman from the restaurant at the door to set it up more as an emergency exit rather than a casual exit, just to step out and smoke or drink on the patio in the lower level. So those are the two steps being taken to usher the people, number one, for the noise in terms of the second door to create the buffer, and number two, to usher the people back upstairs and exit upstairs.

CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: This door we're referring to, is this on the north side of the building?

00021 1 MR. LANGHOLZ: It's on the south side. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: And then that door faces the condominium, right? MR. LANGHOLZ: The door itself doesn't face 5 the condominium because the condominium would be just east of the restaurant. When you step out of that 6 7 lower level door, the condominium, it is just right 8 around the corner. I think it's fair to say it's 40 9 feet. It gets close to that lower level. It doesn't 10 directly face it, but it's close. 11 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: If I knew this was an 12 issue, I would have one of my employees near the end 13 of the night stand in front of that door and say 14 unless this building is on fire, you're not leaving by 15 this exit. 16 MR. LANGHOLZ: And that is what I'm 17 committing Mr. Raymond, as well. I agree. 18 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: In the 11th hour. 19 Could I ask the Torreses just to sit back, please. We 20 have two other gentlemen here that are going to 21 testify. Name and address for the record. 22 MR. NEVELL: My name is Robert E. Nevell, my 23 address is 1801 North Commerce Street, Unit 100, 24 Milwaukee, Wisconsin. 25 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Go ahead, Mr. Nevell,

2.4

your testimony, please.

MR. NEVELL: Yes. I am a neighbor of the Torreses. I live actually right downstairs from them. I'm directly across the way from the Roots Restaurant. I want to corroborate the noise problem that had occurred, developed since they first started having music, which I believe was in the summer of 2004.

I did make calls to the restaurant over that time period. At first the restaurant was cordial and that, but slowly they just were not answering the phones regarding that matter. I never contacted the police on this issue, and one of the reasons why, I can hear the noise, my bedroom happens to be not facing directly the Roots Restaurant. I have a second bedroom facing that. Mine is next to that second bedroom, but I close off that bedroom, I never use it anymore. I use it more for storage than anything else.

My bedroom, I can still hear the noise from a distance, it's the lower bass noise, and I close off the windows, I never keep them open during the summer. The summer season, it's been very acute, the problem. And the noise problem does continue. Last Saturday, obviously -- I had prepared an affidavit myself, and I opened up the door, and the music was going full

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

swing, and it's something that we have been living with for the past couple of summer seasons, and it's particularly aggravating during the summer period.

And it's nothing to do with the patrons who are on the patio talking, which you can hear their conversations -- fine, it's during regular hours -but it's when the music starts at 10:00 p.m. at night, and it's in a residential area that it's very aggravating because that's when the music should be winding down, if anything, but that's when they're promoting. They have ads in the papers. The Onion paper has an ad, this most recent one here, indicating that the bands would be available and DJs from Wednesday, Thursday, Friday, and Saturday, with music starting at 10:00 p.m., and there's reduced-priced drinks during that time period, obviously as an incentive for patrons to enter the premises at that time period. It's not like they're just getting the upstairs dinner patrons coming downstairs and staying awhile, I think it's actually they're inviting additional guests there.

Right now, the building -- and I like Roots, I wish they will stay, it's just that they need to deal with this noise issue -- and to me the building right now is just not suited to have live

00024 1 entertainment. It's a restaurant with an office on top. It was never really intended to provide entertainment a venue. We moved into the area, it was 4 a new building, all of us, in 2001, and the building 5 was built later on, so we had no idea that this site 6 was going to have live entertainment. In fact, we 7 were told previously that it was going to be some sort 8 of a delicatessen or something that would be closing 9 by the end of the evening. So it was kind of a 10 surprise to us when this developed. 11 So, again, I am all for any type of sound 12 abatement. As long as I don't have to hear it, that 13 is something that's fine with me. But again, it's the 14 late hour music, which right now, I'm hopeful that 15 they can correct it, but it's very difficult for me to 16 believe that they can at this point, but I'm hopeful. 17 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Thank you. Committee 18 members, any questions of this witness? Did you wish 19 to question this witness? 20 MR. LANGHOLZ: No. 21 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Let me ask you this, 22 Mr. Nevell. Were you part of this meeting last night? 23 MR. NEVELL: No, I was not. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: You were not. Thank 2.4

you. Sir, identify yourself for the record.

25

00025

1 DR. ROBB: Dr. Paul Robb, 1801 North
2 Commerce Street, No. 300. I live just above the
3 Torres.
4 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: And your testimony,
5 please.
6 DR. ROBB: I actually did fill out an
7 affidavit, which I had signed notarized on Tuesday

DR. ROBB: I actually did fill out an affidavit, which I had signed notarized on Tuesday, I believe. The issue, again, has been going on for some time, it's been very irritating to myself and the neighbors. Last summer was probably the worst because at that point they were actually leaving the windows open and just letting the music flow out into the neighborhood. They, at least, closed windows and put some sound boxes up, but it clearly has not been enough.

I have called the police on several occasions, too. The last two that I've documented in my notes were from April 29th and then just this last weekend, May 13th. My problem is with all of this -- I used to make calls to the restaurant, too, last summer. At first they kind of turned the music down, and then they became less and less cooperative, and finally they just wouldn't answer the phone again. We were sort of waiting for this Licensing meeting to occur. We thought it was coming at the end of

2.4

December. We were trying to find out when that was to come and present our case at that point, and we never got good answers. I was talking to numerous people trying to find out when this was, and we didn't find out until after the fact, and that's when Kathy Torres started finding out about the proper channels to do this by calling the non-emergency number and getting the police involved. So we've been doing that since.

My trouble, as well, is that this is the 11th hour, and this Committee was going to meet -- I don't know when it was planned exactly, but I'm sure it was before this past weekend, so even up to this past weekend, knowing that they were coming before this Committee, they still had noise issues. It just shows a reticence to actually do something productive for the neighborhood.

I'm in agreement, as well, if I don't hear it, I'm fine, but as Manuel said, it's a big if, and I don't know that we have any guarantees from these people when they've been so reticent to do anything, just dragging their feet along the whole way, and it's come to this point in the 11th hour, as you mentioned, that we're sort of getting some communication here. I didn't have any conversation with you until a couple -- Tuesday night after I put my notice in, so there

00027 1 was nothing -- no one came around the condominium, nobody put up any notice to tell anybody anything. I know you've only been hired for two weeks, but we 4 didn't hear from you until two nights ago for me. 5 So I have grave concerns about this actually 6 being fixed. If it was fixed, I'd be fine, but I have 7 grave concerns about whether it can be fixed. The 8 building is not built for that. Sound abatement, 9 there was talk about putting some changes in windows 10 and the door only. I'm not sure how much the music is 11 going to flow through the upper level and out the 12 windows of the top level, as well. I'm not sure if 13 that's going to happen. If it happens, great, but I'm 14 not sure. 15 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Thank you. Questions by members of the Committee of this witness? Do you 16 17 have any questions of this witness? 18 MR. LANGHOLZ: Just a couple. Thank you, 19 Mr. Chairman. Dr. Robb, you obviously were present at 20 the meeting, correct? 21 DR. ROBB: Yes. 22 MR. LANGHOLZ: And again, it simply is a 23

2.4

25

MR. LANGHOLZ: And again, it simply is a matter of controlling the noise in terms of any issues that you have with the restaurant, correct?

DR. ROBB: That's the majority of it. The

2.4

trouble, as Kathy said, the noise, after hours people hanging out on the patio and when they're leaving becomes more of an issue in the summer when people will kind of hang around outside as they are leaving an establishment. That was what was happening last summer. In the winter, that doesn't happen so much, obviously. So I don't know what's going to happen and whether that's going to improve because the management has done things or it's just a weather-related issue, I don't know.

MR. LANGHOLZ: With the agreement to cancel the majority of the music in the interim as well as the sound abatement, are you of the opinion that you're at least willing to see if that works in terms of fixing the problem?

DR. ROBB: Just what you said, some of the music. I think you should cancel all of the music until you have your sound improvement buffering in and you've tried it, see what the Council wants to go forward with, otherwise, I don't think there should be any music there other than light background music in the interim.

MR. LANGHOLZ: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: I have a couple questions for the Licensee. Are you the original

00029	
1	licensee of the location?
2	MR. RAYMOND: Yes, I am.
3	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: When did you open up?
4	MR. RAYMOND: February of 2004.
5	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: February of '04?
6	MR. RAYMOND: Yes.
7	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: From the time you
8	opened up until now, did your business plan change at
9	all?
10	MR. RAYMOND: No.
11	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: When did you have your
12	first live music venues?
13	MR. RAYMOND: Probably summer of '04.
14	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: You came before this
15	Committee to receive your license?
16	MR. RAYMOND: Yes.
17	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: At that time, did you
18	submit a written business plan?
19	MR. RAYMOND: I don't recall.
20	ALDERMAN BOHL: Mr. Chairman, I don't know
21	that we required at that time a full plan of
22	operation.
23	MR. SCHRIMPF: That's correct, Mr. Chair.
24	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Do you recall were you
25	asked or do you recall discussing having live music at

00030 1 this location when you came for your original license hearing? MR. RAYMOND: That was the intent of 4 receiving the Cabaret or Dance Tavern License was to 5 be able to offer music at our venue, so that was the 6 reason why I requested that license. 7 ALDERMAN DUDZIK: I just want to be clear. 8 Have you always had a Tavern Dance License? 9 MR. RAYMOND: Year one we had a Cabaret 10 License, I didn't quite comprehend the licenses that 11 were necessary, and then I realized that a Dance 12 Tavern in '05 and 06 were the same licensing to some 13 degree where I could hold live music. 14 ALDERMAN DUDZIK: I guess to follow up on 15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ALDERMAN DUDZIK: I guess to follow up on the Chairman's question, can you give me an idea of how much of your business is derived from the restaurant aspect of your business versus how much of your business is derived from the bar? Because listening to these individuals talk about your location, I think it's more of a bar than a restaurant.

MR. RAYMOND: There's two restaurants within our building, there's Roots upstairs, and there's a lower restaurant called The Root Cellar, and The Root Cellar is a more casual bar atmosphere, and the

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

upstairs Roots is more formal fine dining.

ALDERMAN DUDZIK: Maybe I'll ask my question again. Can you define for me, give me an idea how much of your business is derived from the restaurant end of business versus the bar end of business?

MR. RAYMOND: Restaurant is probably about 85 to 90 percent revenue just for food and restaurant sales, and about 10 to 15 percent is derived from the later hours, perhaps 10:00 to 2:00.

ALDERMAN DUDZIK: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Any further questions by members of the Committee? Alderman McGee.

ALDERMAN McGEE: Thank you, Mr. Chair and Committee members. I just want to extend my appreciation for your willingness to hold this meeting today and also to constituents who went through the last two years of turmoil and anguish at nights in particular because of Roots Restaurant.

In my short tenure, that was one of the agenda items for those individuals that live in the area. It's the Brewer's Hill area. A lot of those people who couldn't come today are some of the highest taxpayers in the city, and these calls are low priority calls. I had been actually working with the chief's office and also the 5th District captain who

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

exchanged, they exchanged captains within the time that I've been in office, so this has been a low priority. But I think lately, given that there is documentation of tickets recently, and I would want to submit any DNS files that I did get. Department of Neighborhood Services also sent notices -- I don't know if you have that in your files -- to the restaurant.

But I just think it's a case, and I always say taverns and neighborhoods don't go together unless the patrons are from the neighborhood, and a lot of the noise and nuisances, and these are only a small estimate. There are residents who live west of Roots who couldn't be here today, and they had complained of actual urination on their lawns, individuals regurgitating food onto their lawns, things of that nature, just a lot of reckless behavior at night. And I just want to definitely tell you, from my side of it, I tried to reach out to the restaurant, and as an alderperson, I feel definitely disrespected by the restaurant. I assume because they're in a neighborhood such as Brewer's Hill that has a very fine reputation throughout the city that the alderman is not a person that's needed. Any of the meetings that have taken place, you would just be amazed, and

2.4

I've not been an alderman as long as you all have, but I just want to ask you as an alderperson, you call a restaurant or a tavern and report to have problems, most of the time you're going to get a call back, some kind of response.

The responses I did get was a letter saying that they did have some boards up for soundproof, that was last year, and then recently I received another letter. But on March 28th, when this came to a boil, it's just amazing, and to this day I just cannot believe this happened to myself, but a lot of things are happening out here with me. On March 28th, I called a town hall meeting for the residents with the ownership. The owners found out the residents would be there and tried to cancel the meeting after I had already sent out probably a thousand letters for those who live in the area and tried to cancel the meeting. I was like you can't cancel the meeting. I showed up because I knew that the residents would show up.

About 30 residents showed up, and believe it or not, the ownership felt compelled to not even allow us in the restaurant. It was a cold night. We stood out there for 45 minutes waiting for the lawyer for the owners to come. The owners refused to let the patrons in, who are actually the residents in the

2.4

neighborhood. I thought that would be good for business if you have a meeting to try to reconcile some differences with the constituents and with the patrons that you as a restaurant and actually come to your location. They wouldn't let anybody in.

And then the most disrespectful thing was that after 45 minutes, the police were called, and the police responded that the alderman was out here starting a ruckus. I just really couldn't believe that. And my constituents, some of them, they say that's between me and the owners, but I really think as a representative of the area that was one of the most disrespectful things you could do to an alderperson, especially when they're trying to work and resolve some issues.

So if you just look at the information that's presented on Saturdays and Wednesdays and Thursdays, there's live music after 10:00, the noise has not changed at all, nothing has actually progressed in the situation other than last-minute maneuvers by the lawyer to try to meet with what he felt was the most disgruntled residents. But there are other residents who are willing to testify if they were here today about the noise problems going on with the patrons.

00035 1 And I really suggest that they take the summer off, maybe not be revoked, but I would say at least a 90-day suspension and maybe in September, 4 after the plan is conducted by the restaurant to 5 soundproof the building, to give the residents the 6 summer off because they definitely deserve that and 7 come back in maybe September to December and see if 8 these have changed. There's students who come to this 9 location late at night and when colleges end would 10 still come. If these have changed, that would 11 be fine, and if not, then it would have to be revoked 12 in December when they come up for renewal. 13 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Thank you, Alderman. 14 Questions by members of the Committee of Alderman 15 McGee? Do you have any questions of the Alderman? 16 MR. LANGHOLZ: No. 17 18 19

CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman McGee, would you part with that newspaper for the Committee, please?

ALDERMAN McGEE: Yes.

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman Dudzik moves to make the newspaper ads part of the permanent record in this hearing. Hearing no objections, so ordered. Has the attorney had an opportunity to look at the DNS report?

00036 1 MR. LANGHOLZ: Yes. Thank you. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman Bohl moves to make the DNS report part of the permanent record in 4 this hearing. Hearing no objections, so ordered. 5 Ms. Bender, do you have any comment on the 6 DNS report? 7 MS. BENDER: No. 8 MR. SCHRIMPF: Mr. Chairman, just one item. In deciding this issue, the Committee is really 9 10 confined to the four corners of the complaint, in 11 other words, the allegations that are raised in the 12 complaint, the testimony that you heard related to 13 those allegations. There was some testimony regarding 14 I believe it was public urination and I believe it was 15 vomit. Those matters are not within the four corners 16 of the complaint. 17 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Understood. Thank you. 18 Is there anyone else here to testify in this matter? 19 Let the record show no one else acknowledges.

20

21

22

23

24

25

DR. ROBB: Can I say one thing? In our record, I think that there was certain amounts of times the police were called. There were many other times when it's just not worth getting up and getting to the phone and trying to get the police and then they're going to call you back later. You just kind

2.4

of go to another bedroom and put pillows over your head and try to sleep. It's not just those incidences. I know that's in the record, but there's enough in the record.

CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Do you have anything to say in closing before we take this matter to Committee?

MR. LANGHOLZ: I do. I have two things. One is I can't speak for the owner of the building, but for the restaurant itself, the restaurant also would like to work with the Alderman. The restaurant sent the bid for the sound abatement to the Alderman in April. When I was on the case, I placed a call to the Alderman's Office and also followed up with a letter just really, again, to try to include the Alderman in this process, as well. It was after that that I made contact with the residents. That's the first part.

The second part in closing is this; that I, again, would ask the Committee to not rule on the matter today for a couple of reasons. Number one is, in terms of the Complainant in this matter -- and I appreciate the other two neighbors coming today -- in terms of the Complainant, Mr. and Mrs. Torres, in speaking with them, it is still my understanding that

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

they join in terms of asking this Committee to keep this open, again, for a short period of time in order to make sure that these changes work and that this case has been resolved. I would also ask that it be kept open and that the Committee not make a finding on it today because of the fact that there have been small steps that have been taken, and while I understand the 11th hour being here and probably past the 11th hour, to be quite honest with you, the fact that the restaurant is willing to make cancellations of music as well as invest a substantial amount of money in making structural changes to the restaurant to satisfy the neighbors' concerns, the changes to the restaurant are going to be in excess of \$15,000 to make the changes that they have been told by sound abatement experts are needed to address this issue. And so what we're asking for is the opportunity to do that.

Should all of this have been done previously? Yes. But we're here at this point, and because the Complainants are at least willing to do that and with the understanding of canceling the music as well as the installation of the sound abatement, I would ask that the restaurant be given time to do that without actually taking the license at this point.

00039 1 ALDERMAN McGEE: One last thing. In reference to the construction, it's my understanding that it's going to take at least six weeks before the construction is even completed, so that's an extra six 5 weeks of chaos that could go on unchecked. 6 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: This matter is in 7 Committee. 8 ALDERMAN BOHL: Mr. Chairman. 9 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman Bohl. ALDERMAN BOHL: There have been a lot of 10 11 comments that have been made and the nature of 12 complaints in this establishment. Counsel just 13 indicated that there have been some small steps made. 14 I would agree. I will tell you this much, I think 15 that there have been small steps taken, although I 16 would say that they've been taken backward rather than 17 forward. What I believe has taken place has been a 18 blatant disregard of not only the quality of life and 19 the peaceful enjoyment of residents of their home but 20 also a blatant disregard of sufficiently dealing with 21 this issue not only with the police department, 22 Department of Neighborhood Service, but also the 23 Office of Alderman McGee. 2.4 I'm profoundly disturbed that it has come

this far. It should have never gotten to this point,

25

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

and residents should not have to go to the levels that they have gone to. I just don't believe that holding this matter will sufficiently deal with the problems, nor do I believe that at this point that they ought to be rewarded as such. It never seems to amaze me how often when people dig themselves into such a sufficient hole that they somehow find religion when they find out that they're 10 feet down, and I don't feel that it ought to be sufficiently rewarded.

Now, I'm, I would guess, somewhat skeptical at this point that even with soundproofing that you will perhaps rectify the problem. Perhaps you can find a way. I'll tell you my one concern is is unless you can sufficiently control the bass in what you're playing, I've heard previous situations where the music has been remedied but where residents who are in adjacent areas still get the underlying boom, boom, and I'm going to tell you that I think that presents itself as a very real problem. If you're sitting down at 10:00 at night, 10:30 at night and winding down for the evening, even if the music isn't there, to feel the rattle, to have that play in your head when you're trying to settle for the night because you've got to get up early the next morning, I don't know that you can sufficiently deal with that, and I'm really torn

2.4

at this point on whether or not to even present you the opportunity to move forward with that, especially in light of your comment that a substantial portion of your business is not necessarily being derived from the music. I'm really torn between just yanking this license entirely and saying that this is just not a sufficient location and giving you that opportunity.

I will say that right now I'm willing to bend to at least the willingness of Alderman McGee to provide you that opportunity. If you indicate it's a substantial investment, it's a substantial investment. I call it this much; it's the price of doing business. The fact is the price of doing business also means that if you can't get it right by your neighbors, then you need not have that portion of your business in the future.

I will at this point, based on neighborhood testimony and items contained within the police report, move for a 90-day suspension of the Class B Tavern License.

MR. SCHRIMPF: Mr. Chairman, I don't believe the notice involves the Class B Tavern License. I believe it's only the Tavern Dance.

ALDERMAN BOHL: I'm sorry. I would move at this point for a 90-day suspension of the Tavern Dance

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

License. The Common Council meets, I believe, on the 31st of May, and that would provide 90 days from that time, that would take you to Labor Day, if you want to make that substantial investment. I'm going to tell you this much; it will at least provide the neighbors with a reasonable reprieve through the course of the summer.

In addition to that fact, I'm going to tell you that you better meet with the Alderman and these neighbors to find suitable means of even addressing the problems when it comes to the patrons exiting, even to the point where you do have to hire security to quietly escort people, to remind them on their way out, to continuously state to individuals that if they cannot respect the neighborhood in their entering and exiting that you don't want them in terms of your business, because what's going to happen otherwise is your Liquor License is going to be yanked, and you're not going to be in business, otherwise you're going to be serving nice food with Cherry Coke. I don't know that you want to get to that point, and I don't know that the neighbors based on their testimony want to get to that point in terms of the restaurant itself.

But the burden is on you. The burden shouldn't be on the neighbors, the burden isn't on

2.4

Alderman McGee. The burden is on you to take a proactive approach, and my hope is that this 90 days will provide you the opportunity to one, see clear that you're getting a real stern shot over the bow here.

And the last thing is the fact that you've taken the time of this body, of these employees, this is our city's tax dollars at work here that we have to hold this hearing that should not have been held, it should not have come to this. You're costing the taxpayers sufficient amount of money and time. I should be dealing with my constituents' phone calls right now and concerns in my own district and should not be here hearing this matter. Consider this: You've used up eight lives, as far as I'm concerned -- I don't know if this motion will hold -- you're on life nine. I would treat that very, very warily and bend over backwards to make certain that this works, if you can do that.

CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: The motion by Alderman Bohl is to recommend a 90-day suspension of the Tavern Dance License at 1818 North Hubbard Street, based on the police report and neighborhood testimony that we've received today.

On the motion, I want to comment on the

2.4

motion. I will not support the motion, and I feel that the proximity of this venue with the surrounding neighborhood, I don't think this place should have live music, and my fear is that should he be given the opportunity -- and it's the will of the Committee and the whole Council, this isn't my decision alone, this is based on what I've heard today -- my fear is that the Licensee will go and spend \$15,000 and take care of 80 percent of the problem.

I'm familiar with how the bass tones travel and that vibration travels, and I'm convinced that you could spend this money and get rid of the actual -- anything above that lower level bass you could probably get rid of that. I fear that that bass noise could continue to reverberate throughout the neighborhood, and then you'll bring this attorney to the table again when we say this problem hasn't been solved, and you're going to have a better argument because now you've spent \$15,000 and we're still considering taking your license away, and I just don't want to go through that.

I think you have a wonderful restaurant there, I think you're trashing your business and the neighborhood by what you're doing with the live music. I just think that the proximity of that place to the

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

neighborhood, you just have a water-and-oil situation there with that live music that I don't think is going to mix. That's my opinion. We'll see what the will of the rest of the Committee and the Council is. Alderman Dudzik.

ALDERMAN DUDZIK: Thank you very much. I guess having only three members on this Committee, there's only three possibilities that we can pursue. I'll be real honest with you, I happen to agree with the fact that there needs to be some serious steps taken, I just have to warn my fellow Council members that we have seen far more serious issues before this body with regards to shootings at locations and drug usage at locations and handed out far less -- from what I'm hearing -- far less severe penalties to these different locations. And I'm thinking of one in particular that we just handed out I think it was a 60-day suspension and there was a shooting there last night. And so I have a hard sometime supporting the idea of a 90-day suspension, although I will support some suspension if I'm given the opportunity.

By your own admission, this is apparently about 10 percent of your business, and I think that you have had ample opportunity to address this issue for the last couple years. I feel for these

2.4

neighbors, but I also understand you have certain -you should have certain opportunity to adjust.
Whether you adjust or not adequately or not, that's
something that we can take up at a later date, but I
think that a 90-day suspension, for me, on a situation
that basically boils down to a noise complaint or a
series of noise complaints, is a bit severe, given
some of our past actions.

I also have always tried to hold to the idea of progressive discipline. In some instances, it's not something that we do, in other instances, I think we do a very good job of applying progressive discipline. So at this point in time, I won't be supporting a 90-day suspension.

ALDERMAN BOHL: Ironically, Alderman Dudzik and I were just commenting on this yesterday at times when the Committee meets and we throw three balloons in different areas as far as our opinions. I don't discount what Alderman Dudzik says in terms of the severity that we've taken in terms of matters in other establishments.

I often say that this Council uses much the term progressive discipline. There are some times

where cancer hits and hits hard, and you've just got to take it all out. If an establishment is brand new and has four shootings in the first six months, I don't believe that in some instances that there deserves to be a second opportunity.

The only other comment that I would make is that this particular matter that we're taking up today applies only to the Tavern Dance License and not the Class B. This particular establishment still will have its doors open, still will have the ability to operate, still will have the ability to play some kind of quiet music in the background or do televisions or have games or something else and to create some middle niche, but their doors will still be open, they will still be able to have customers come in. I think we're taking just one element, and it's actually the lesser of the elements. If we were actually talking about a 90-day suspension of the entire Class B License, we would be talking about them shutting their doors down entirely.

We're not talking about that. We're talking about the ancillary use, the secondary use, the music to the tavern itself, and that's why I believe that it's sufficient to provide that 90-day reprieve, particularly in light of the situation where if this

2.4

gentleman seeks to move forward here, and we're talking about a six-week period of time before you get some new sound or installation installed, you're talking about half of those 12 weeks anyways in that alone. The fact is is they've had ample opportunities. This is not something where they've been called over a two-week period because this just opened up. There are times where it just builds and builds, and I think this one built sufficiently.

Mr. Chairman, I believe the motion is suitable, and I'm hopeful at the very least if we're on three different levels that is the happy medium that we at least strive at this point.

CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Further discussion on the motion? I just want to comment, and I told this to many licensees and my constituents, I do my very best nearly 100 percent of the time not to form any opinions of how I'm going to vote on something before I hear all the evidence presented at the Committee. I've told my constituents. I've never come in here and said I've heard all this stuff and we're going to have a vote to pull this guy's license or I've heard there's good things happening there, we have to protect this guy. I've never done that since I've taken this job. I wait until I hear all of the

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

testimony and everything is on the table before I formulate my opinion.

And I consider -- and this is just me speaking -- I consider every case a separate case on a case-by-case basis. I do my very best not to compare this case to some other case we heard. Every case, to me, is an individual case that comes before this Committee, and personally I don't care what we did to somebody six weeks ago because some other circumstances in some other neighborhood and some other thing happened. That's a whole different series of times and events and instances that I don't use as a comparison thing, and I think based on just what you heard from these three Committee members today that we -- and we are entitled to our different opinions and our different votes, and it will be interesting to see how this comes out -- but I personally don't believe that I have to compare this situation to something we did or didn't do in a previous case.

CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: The motion by Alderman Bohl is to recommend a 90-day suspension of the Tavern Dance License for the premises located at 1818 North Hubbard Street. Will the Clerk please call the roll.

THE CLERK: Alderman Bohl.

25 ALDERMAN BOHL: Aye.

00050 THE CLERK: Alderman Dudzik. 1 2 ALDERMAN DUDZIK: No. 3 THE CLERK: Mr. Chair. 4 CHAIRMAN BOHL: No. 5 THE CLERK: Motion fails two to one. 6 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: The matter is still in 7 Committee. Alderman Bohl. 8 ALDERMAN BOHL: Mr. Chairman, at this time, 9 I would move for denial of the Tavern License for the 10 premises at 1818 North Hubbard Street, based on 11 neighborhood complaints as well as items in the police 12 report. 13 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: The motion by Alderman 14 Bohl is to recommend revocation of the Tavern Dance 15 License for the premises at 1818 North Hubbard Street, 16 based on neighborhood testimony and items contained in 17 the police report. Is there any discussion on the 18 motion? 19 ALDERMAN BOHL: Mr. Chairman. I will just 20 say that I don't want to rule this out. I want to 21 speak to that in terms of a lesser penalty because I'm 22 really on the fringe. Should this matter come before 23 the Common Council and there is a motion to sustain 90 2.4 days, I will be voting for a 90-day suspension. In an

attempt to get enough votes to move, I'm on the fence

25

00051 leaning one way. My only other alternative is not to 1 go less, it's to go out, and that's why I'm going to make this motion here. 4 I don't know if the applicant will attempt 5 to challenge this before the entire Council, should it 6 come before the Council, I would sufficiently provide 7 an opportunity for a 90-day suspension. That is the 8 only other reasonable alternative for me. A lesser 9 suspension is not sufficient. 10 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Any further discussion 11 on the motion? City Clerk, please call the roll. 12 THE CLERK: Alderman Bohl. 13 ALDERMAN BOHL: Aye. THE CLERK: Alderman Dudzik. 14 15 ALDERMAN DUDZIK: No. 16 THE CLERK: Mr. Chair. 17 CHAIRMAN BOHL: Aye. 18 MR. SCHRIMPF: Counsel, the Committee is 19 going to be doing Findings of Fact and Conclusions of 20 Law recommending to the full Common Council that this 21 Tavern Dance License be revoked. You will receive a 22 copy of that. The Complainants will receive a copy of that, as well. You will have an opportunity to submit 23

written objections to that recommendation, which must

be filed by the close of business next week Thursday,

24

25

00052 1 which is 4:45 p.m. If you file such objections, then you will have an opportunity to appear before the Common 4 Council when it meets on this matter on May 31st, 5 2006 in the Common Council Chambers of this building, 6 the same floor but the opposite end, at approximately 7 9:00 a.m. Will you accept service on behalf of the 8 Licensee? 9 MR. LANGHOLZ: Yes. 10 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman Bohl moves for 11 approval of File No. 060062, a motion relating to the 12 revocation of the Tavern Dance Licence for the 13 premises at 1818 North Hubbard Street. Are there any 14 objections to that motion? Hearing none, so ordered. 15 Thank you all for appearing here. 16

```
00053
1 STATE OF WISCONSIN )
   CITY OF MILWAUKEE )
4
5
                  I, TERESE M. SCHIEBENES, of Milwaukee
6
        Reporters Associated, Inc., 5120 West Blue Mound Road,
        Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53208, certify that the
7
        foregoing proceedings is a full and complete
8
9
        transcript of my stenographic notes taken in the
10
        foregoing proceedings.
11
12
13
14
15
16
                               TERESE M. SCHIEBENES
17
18
                               Certified Shorthand Reporter
19
20
21
   Dated this day of
                                       , 2006.
22
23
24
```