00001							
1 2	CITY OF MILWAUKEE LICENSES COMMITTEE HEARING						
3	DICENSES COMMITTEE MEANING						
4	In the Matter of:						
5	MOBIL GAS STATION						
6	714 North 27th Street 4th District						
6							
7							
8	COMMITTEE MEMBERS						
O	COMMITTEE MEMBERS						
9	ALD. JAMES WITKOWIAK - Chairman						
	ALD. JAMES BOHL, JR Vice Chairman						
10	ALD. JOSEPH A. DUDZIK						
11	ALD. ROBERT PUENTE ALD. WILLIE WADE						
	MID: WILLIE WADE						
12	HEALTH DEPARTMENT by PAUL ZEMKE						
	LICENSE DIVISION by REBECCA BARON						
13	DEPARTMENT OF NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES by PANDORA BENDER						
14	POLICE DEPARTMENT by SERGEANT CHET ULICKEY CITY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE by BRUCE SCHRIMPF						
14	ALDERMAN ROBERT BAUMAN - 4th District						
15	HESELARIA ROSERI BIOLERA 1011 BISCITOC						
	DAVID C. BANGERT, 158 North Broadway, Suite						
16	600, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, appeared on behalf of the						
1 7	Applicant.						
17 18	Proceedings had and testimony given in the						
19	above-entitled matter before the UTILITIES &						
20	LICENSING COMMITTEE OF THE CITY OF MILWAUKEE on the						
21	28th day of March, 2006, before Terese M. Schiebenes						
22	of Milwaukee Reporters Associated, Inc.						

00002						
1	PROCEEDINGS					
2	(All City Personnel duly affirmed.)					
3	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: In the 4th District,					
4	Mandeep Dhawan, Agent for Youvraj Group, LLP, Extended					
5	Hours Establishment License renewal application for					
6	the Mobil Gas Station at 714 North 27th Street. Good					
7	afternoon. Raise your right hands, please. Who's all					
8	going to testify on this matter? Why don't you all					
9	stand who's going to testify and raise your right					
10	hands, and we can get everyone sworn at the same time.					
11	I see four people in the audience plus the people at					
12	the table.					
13	(Whereupon all speakers were duly affirmed.)					
14	MR. KULAR: Andy Kular, 10702 North Gazebo					
15	Hills, Mequon.					
16	MR. DHAWAN: Mandeep Dhawan, 4624 South 50th					
17	Street, Greenfield.					
18	MR. BANGERT: David Bangert, attorney for					
19	Mandeep Dhawan and Youvraj Group.					
20	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Let the record show					
21	that Alderman Bauman appears in this matter. Mr.					
22	Dhawan, do you admit to receiving notice in the mail					
23	there's a possibility your application could be denied					
24	because of items contained on the police report and					
25	neighborhood objections to the renewal of this license					

00003 due to disturbing the peace, loitering, littering, 1 loud music, noise, drugs, criminal activity, curfew violations, traffic, parking problems, and conduct 4 which is detrimental to the health, safety, and 5 welfare of the neighborhood? Did you receive that 6 notice? 7 MR. DHAWAN: Yes. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Neighborhood Services? 8 9 MS. BENDER: No objection. 10 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Health Department? 11 MR. ZEMKE: No objection. 12 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Sergeant Ulickey, the 13 police report, please. 14 SGT. ULICKEY: The applicant has a record 15 check scheduled for 1/29 of '07 in Branch 2 of the 16 Milwaukee Municipal Court at 8:30 a.m. for a 17 disorderly conduct that occurred on 9/16 of '05. 18 ALDERMAN PUENTE: Can you elaborate? What 19 is the subject has a record check? 20 SGT. ULICKEY: I can tell by the look on 21 your face that was coming. What it is, evidently this 22 gentleman was charged with disorderly conduct, and 23 upon going to court they said they would hold the 2.4 matter open, assuming that there's no other 25 violations, and render a disposition on 1/29 of '07.

00004 1 ALDERMAN PUENTE: Thank you. MR. BANGERT: Excuse me. Just to clarify, that's correct, the matter was held open. It's scheduled to be dismissed then on that date, assuming 5 there are no other violations. 6 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Mr. Bangert, do you 7 wish to lead off with anything? 8 MR. BANGERT: Your Honor, I was just 9 retained of late, actually yesterday, to represent Mr. 10 Dhawan and his group, the Youvraj Group, of which he's 11 the agent, but I've had opportunity while waiting this 12 morning to not just review the objections but to speak 13 briefly with Alderman Bauman and to some of the 14 neighbors who are here to offer their objection. 15 It appears to me that it's real clear that 16 the problems primarily that would lead to the 17 objections are there is some littering, but also 18 primarily more a problem with some panhandling and 19 loitering that take place in the vicinity of this 20 business. Mr. Dhawan and his group, the Youvraj 21 Group, have run this business for, I believe, about 22 three years. Is that correct? MR. DHAWAN: Yes. 23 2.4 MR. BANGERT: Prior to that, the other 25 witness who appears here, Mr. Kular, he's the owner of

2.4

the property and ran the business prior to Youvraj Group, so he was the owner and ran the business for about seven years. He's familiar with the same problems, I think, that are apparently kind ongoing. This committee and some of the members of the committee may be familiar with Mr. Dhawan in that he is a recent owner of another Mobil station at 49th and Lisbon, and there's been a great deal of accommodation due to some new development that he's done and some rebuilding, and I think everybody is pleased with that.

It's my understanding that the main objections are due to loitering and a panhandling problem that, from speaking with Mr. Dhawan and with Mr. Kular, the problem has been something that has been ongoing probably since the business -- well, certainly during the time that they're both familiar with, during the last 11 years that Mr. Kular ran the business and now Mr. Dhawan has run the business.

The disorderly conduct that was mentioned briefly was partly a result, was probably a result of an incident with a panhandler who then threw a knife at one of the employees who was working there. Mr. Dhawan received a call. The police were there and closed down everything while they were investigating and trying to apprehend the person that threw the

2.4

knife, but that was an incident that happened, and apparently there fortunately are not incidents of that gravity that occur often, but there are often, Mr. Dhawan says once or twice a day there are panhandlers or loiterers who are in that vicinity.

The location is 27th and Wisconsin, and so it's I'm sure familiar to most of you. There's the gas station there and there's a bus stop right on that corner, and so there's kind of a blending of people who may be hanging around the bus stop. Some will wander into the parking lot or do something at the gas station, so that's what happens.

A couple of the improvements that have been done on this location I think in the last month, Mr. Dhawan has invested in a new lighting system. From my discussions with some of the neighborhood people that are here, that's acknowledged that that's made a nice difference. It's a good improvement in that there's enhanced lighting in the parking lot. Mr. Dhawan has a sign in front of his business stating no panhandling, no loitering, but as can be imagined, that sign probably does not have a huge significance, it doesn't solve the problem.

Basically, it seems to me that what needs to be done, I've suggested to Mr. Dhawan this morning

2.4

that I think that the neighborhood has a perception that Mr. Dhawan and his employees do not always chase away the loiterers or the panhandlers as aggressively as they could or should, or it's acknowledged that there's not a lot that they can do, they can't be overly aggressive, but then at that point, the police have to be called. Mr. Dhawan indicates that that is their policy, that they will ask people to move on, and then if they don't, they will call the police. But they say that sometimes the police are very efficient and come and will cite the individuals that are there, but they, too, grow tired of that repetitive same situation.

So it's a problem that I suggested to Mr. Dhawan that perhaps a meeting with the police just to develop other strategies for dealing with what appears to be a daily problem right there at that location of his business and the bus stop in addition to making better efforts to control what is also a littering problem. Mr. Dhawan has stated that he has employees go out two to three times a day to clean up the litter, but he acknowledges that there's always some litter there. Whether it's from his location or whether it comes from the bus stop, it doesn't really matter. He acknowledges that as a business owner

00008						
1	there, it's their responsibility to do all they can					
2 3	anyway.					
	I guess that's all I have to say at this					
4 5	time. If there are any questions to Mr. Dhawan or Mr.					
	Kular, we'll respond to comments of the neighbors.					
6	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: I have a couple					
7	questions to start. How long have you operated in					
8	that location?					
9	MR. DHAWAN: Three years, sir.					
10	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: And your extended hours					
11	consist of what, is it 24 hours?					
12	MR. DHAWAN: 24 hours, yes.					
13	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: You've been operating					
14	24 hours since you've been in there?					
15	MR. DHAWAN: Yes, sir.					
16	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: And should he not be					
17	granted this, he'd have to close at what time, is it					
18	midnight? Midnight. Thank you.					
19	Alderman Bauman, do you have anything to say					
20	to lead off?					
21	ALDERMAN BAUMAN: Yes. Thank you, Mr.					
22	Chairman. I'll leave it up to the eyewitness citizens					
23	to testify as to precisely what they've observed					
24	between the hours of 12:00 a.m. and 5:00 a.m., which					
25	is, of course, the relevant period for this					

2.4

committee's consideration in renewing this license.

Now, I do not support his renewal both based on the strength of the citizen comments that I've received and on my general observation of this establishment. There is a major littering problem on this particular corner at this particular establishment, there's a major loitering problem, there is major disorder at this particular location, and the facility itself is generally in poor repair

and is poorly maintained.

Now, I understand that there's a situation where the current holder of the license, the 24-hour license, Mr. Dhawan is the lessee, he's the tenant, so the lessee has a shall a physically not going to make improvements to the

license, Mr. Dhawan is the lessee, he's the tenant, so he's obviously not going to make improvements to the physical facility which he only is leasing. The owner, on the other hand, has no incentive to make an investment in the physical facility because he's also leasing. So we have a situation where, as far as physical improvements are concerned, as far as enhanced maintenance is concerned, each party is pointing the hand to the other saving that's not my

pointing the hand to the other saying that's not my responsibility, the other guy should do that.

That's by way of general background. This is a location three blocks from my home. I go out of my way to avoid using his gas station, even though it

00010 1 is the closest station to my home because it is nothing but trouble when everyone goes in there. You are approached by -- It's like a swarm of bees 4 descending upon you when you pull up to the gas pump. 5 As far as what goes on after 12:00 a.m., 6 again, I'll leave that to the witnesses because I do 7 not have any personal knowledge after 12:00 a.m. But 8 I can tell you at 6:00 p.m. or 8:00 p.m., literally a 9 swarm of bees descends on you if you pull into this 10 location. Whether that's the licensee's fault or not, 11 no one can say, but they are coming here for the 12 privilege of doing business between the hours of 12:00 13 a.m. and 5:00 a.m., and that privilege has a high 14 burden, and I don't think that burden has been met. 15 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Thank you. 16 ALDERMAN PUENTE: Mr. Chair. 17 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman Puente. 18 ALDERMAN PUENTE: Alderman, when you say at 19 6:00 or 8:00 there's a swarm of bees, what is the 20 swarm of bees doing? 21 ALDERMAN BAUMAN: That would be individuals 22 who set upon you for panhandling and general 23 harassment. 2.4 ALDERMAN PUENTE: Is the police department

25

aware of this?

ALDERMAN BAUMAN: I believe the police department are very aware of this particular location. These tend to be low priority calls. When police do respond, as I think the licensees will probably tell you, whoever is doing the swarming has long since dispersed. So as a practical matter, it's a low priority walk-away as a rule.

ALDERMAN PUENTE: Do you know at any times during these officers' unobligated time during their routine patrol that they go through there just to check?

 $\,$ ALDERMAN BAUMAN: That I'm not aware of if they do routine checks.

ALDERMAN PUENTE: Do you know if any of them have issued a citation to anybody? You're saying this is happening all the time, correct?

ALDERMAN BAUMAN: We can hold this matter, and I can get the CAD reports that I requested two weeks ago but have not yet received. I could receive that information had the police department responded in a timely way to my request for that information. I'll be happy to come back with that information in detail. I believe there have been numerous calls for service at that location. What precise disposition of those service calls I do not know because I was unable

00012 1 to get the records in a timely way. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: First witness, please. Stand up by the microphone, state your name and 4 address for the record. 5 MR. LIPSCOMB: Thank you for the opportunity 6 to address you. Theodore Lipscomb, 2900 West Kilbourn 7 Avenue, three blocks from the business. I have a 8 number of incidents that I'd like to tell you about. 9 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Please. 10 MR. LIPSCOMB: My experience with the owner, 11 Andy, the owner of the property, go back to 2002 when 12 I first called him about issues of litter and 13 loitering and panhandling at the location. He 14 promised to get on top of the garbage problem by 15 having his employees pick up more regularly and 16 acknowledge that panhandling was detrimental to the 17 success of his business. 18 In May of 2003, several neighbors, including 19 myself and then Alderman Henningsen's office, e-mailed 20 Mobil's corporate office to complain -- I actually 21 have that e-mail -- about the conditions. Their 22 response was they can't control their dealers but

23

2.4

25

dealer.

March 30th, 2005, there was panhandling at

that they would also pass on the complaints to the

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

this location. I called the non-emergency number. May 21st, 2005, called the non-emergency number regarding illegal outdoor sales. There were about 30 feet of athletic shoes spread about, and there was a rental truck in the back selling motorized scooters. March 1st, 2006 prior to filling up -- so this is just this month -- I'm putting air in my sister-in-law's tire, and I'm panhandled. The man lingers around me the whole time. I then go to fill up my vehicle, and I witness what I believe is a drug sale. The car next to me is parked, and I believe he thinks I'm his customer because he's got his window down. It's not until a gentleman who parks behind the station comes and gets in the back seat of that vehicle does he roll up his window. 30 seconds later, that guy exits, they both drive off separately. I believe that's a drug deal. At that time, I did not call because I don't have my cell phone on me.

March 10th, 2006, my sole reason for going to the station that night is to save my wife's friend from being hassled while filling up her car, so I go with her. Three panhandlers soliciting, one right after another. The third is so persistent that he actually tries to grab the gas pump from me, and after telling him three times to back up, I finally have to

2.4

push him back.

I go inside. There's two employees working. I tell them what happened. They acknowledge it, but they don't do anything, they don't tell me yes, we've called the police, they just nod in acknowledgement. I leave. As I'm leaving, one of the guys who's panhandled me is urinating on the back of the building.

ALDERMAN PUENTE: Real quick. I don't mean to interrupt you, but could you give us the time of day, because otherwise, I'm going to ask that question at the end. It seems like you have several pages.

MR. LIPSCOMB: I'm almost done because we're now into March. My last incident is this Saturday --well, Sunday morning 2:00 a.m. I visit the gas station to fill up, and I'm immediately approached by a man selling DVDs, which I believe are bootleg versions. He's even willing to sell them three for \$7. I drive off and phone in the man's clothing desscriptoin to the non-emergency number. I call Andy and leave a message. He calls me back and tells me he owns the building, but he tells me to call Mandeep and gives me the number. I call Mandeep. He does return my call on Sunday, and we talk for some time. We meet yesterday for about an hour.

2.4

The main argument expressed to me is it's an economic argument that he can't afford to hire private security. I tell him that still doesn't explain why his employees don't call the police or tell me that they will call the police or tell the people to leave. I don't expect them to physically remove these people from the premises, but I do expect them to get involved, and I do expect them to be able to see what's going on on the premises, and I shouldn't have to serve as their consultant as to how they can clean up their business. That's not my job.

The one thing I will acknowledge is that Mandeep did call me back and that he did meet with me. However, in light of the fact that this was coming up today, I'm not sure that I would have had that same response. I don't believe that I would have had this been next weekend.

CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Of those incidents you've described, how many took place after midnight?

MR. LIPSCOMB: This last one was definitely after midnight. Some of these I only have the date. I put the notes in my cell phone. Many of them are, if not after midnight, they're 10:00, 11:00, they're late night. And I just have to say that it's the same situation no matter what time of the day I go, and if

00016 1 they can't control it before midnight when they actually have their lobby open and their employees do come out, they're certainly not controlling it once 4 the lobby is locked and they are cloistered inside the 5 store. 6 MR. SCHRIMPF: I followed -- Your first 7 date was what? MR. LIPSCOMB: First time was in late 2002 8 9 when I called the owner, then there were e-mails. 10 MR. SCHRIMPF: May of 2003? 11 MR. LIPSCOMB: May of 2003 were some e-mails 12 with the corporate office, March 30th, 2005, 13 panhandling, May 21st, 2005, that was the illegal 14 outdoor sales, March 1st -- There were three 15 incidents here in March, March 1st, March 10th, and 16 March 26th. 17 MR. SCHRIMPF: All right. Thank you. 18 ALDERMAN BOHL: I just want to make a point 19 for every person who's here on 24-hour licenses. If 20 it is a continuation of a license, it's a renewal, 21 there is a vested property right that is already in 22 that license, it is guaranteed by state statutes. As 23 such, this committee can only consider, only consider 24

items that are problematic during the hours between

midnight and 5:00 of the operations. It may be that

25

2.4

there are a lot of problems with loitering and panhandling during the day, a lot of litter during the day. Unless individuals can say that they've witnessed customers at 1:00 a.m. in the morning throwing the litter, they cannot surmise on their own, well, I suppose because I've seen it at 6:00 p.m. at night that it probably is occurring 1:00 a.m. in the morning. If you do not see it at 1:00 a.m. in the morning, it doesn't count, you can't say it. Ultimately, between the hours of midnight and 5:00 a.m.

Now, it's a very different story if it's a new license. You could say we've had problems at 7:00 and 10:00 p.m., and I don't want it happening at 2:00. That is not guaranteed a property right in the guarantee of the license. We can only hear items between midnight and 5:00 for consideration. That is not to say when you have those other problems you can't go after the occupancy permit. You could actually put in written complaints to the Board of Zoning Appeals for the issuance of a special use permit for the entire hours of operation. We here can only hear midnight to 5:00. We can't take away anything else. We can only consider midnight to 5:00 for the operation.

2.4

It sounds like there's a lot of problems here. I encourage you all to walk across to Board of Zoning Appeals, 809 North Broadway, and make written complaints forwarded to the special use permit based on the zoning. That's a different issue here. Midnight to 5:00, midnight to 5:00.

MR. KULAR: Mr. Theo did call me at 11:57 in the night of March 10th. Within a minute or two, I called him back and talked to him, and I told him that I would take care of it. I did pass that to Mandeep. He tried his level best to take care of it. Couple days or three days ago, he called me at 2:18 that morning. I pick up the phone, I call him right back. Whatever we can do, we are going to try to make the property and the business valuable.

It's another thing from 2002 to 2005. There's two years difference. 2002 I was running the business. If there was a complaint, I helped rectify it, even though at that time I did have private security hired for a few months to take care of the problem. We try our level best. We are in business. If the customers are not going to come, we are not going to survive, so we have to make sure that we have a clean operation. And whenever Mr. Theo -- last two weeks he has called at 11:57 and 2:18 in the a.m.,

2.4

and I have his phone number not even a minute later.

CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: I don't think that's unusual. I had a neighbor that was a nuisance in my neighborhood and when the nuisance occurred, I called that landlord at home. If you think that's unreasonable he called you at 2:00 in the morning, I don't think it is. It's your responsibility.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$ KULAR: I already respond when he has called me. Don't think that we are ignoring his calls.

MR. LIPSCOMB: Mr. Chairman, I just want to address Alderman Bohl's comment about BOZA. I appreciate that. That was actually where I started. This business has been a filling station long enough that it's actually not subject to a special use. That's actually when I called Licensing on Friday and first found out that this was up today. In fact, the only actual weapon we have against them is to get their attention here.

If I can, I understand the concern about incidents that occur during the day or dismissing those, but I do have some photo evidence, if you'd like, of the conditions of the station, some of which showing the litter conditions which clearly run contrary to their contention that they pick up three

```
00020
1
         times a day.
                  CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: We'll accept those.
3
                  MR. SCHRIMPF: Mr. Chairman. Mr. Lipscomb,
4
         you are the person that took those pictures?
5
                  MR. LIPSCOMB: Yes.
 6
                  MR. SCHRIMPF: When did you take those
7
        pictures?
8
                  MR. LIPSCOMB: Friday.
9
                   MR. SCHRIMPF: They depict what address?
                  MR. LIPSCOMB: 714 North 27th Street.
10
11
                  MR. SCHRIMPF: Those reprresentations are
12
        true and correct and accurate representations of the
13
        conditions?
14
                  MR. LIPSCOMB: They are. They're unaltered
15
         other than my writing on them some notations.
                  CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Mr. Bangert, do you
16
17
        have any questions of this witness?
18
                  MR. BANGERT: No, I don't.
19
                   CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Next witness, please.
20
                  MS. HANLEY: My name is Eilene Hanley. I'm
21
         a resident of 945 North 29th Street. I've used the
22
        gas station on and off over the years. The earliest
23
        personal experience I have is 5:30 a.m. being
24
        panhandled while obtaining gas. This was in December
25
        of '05. And I went in to complain to the clerk, and
```

00021 1 he basically told me nothing could be done about it. Even though Alderman Bohl countered that it can't be used, if you're not accepting the responsibility of 4 doing business properly during the day, then we know 5 it's not being done from midnight to 5:00 a.m. 6 And I would also argue that 27th Street, 7 which is known as drug alley by the Milwaukee Police 8 Department, that adding 24/7 business along there is 9 contributing to the problems and issues and the 10 neighbors and police trying to clean up that 11 reputation as drug alley. 12 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Thank you. Any 13 questions of this witness? Alderman Dudzik. 14 ALDERMAN DUDZIK: Ma'am, how long have you 15 lived at your present location? 16 MS. HANLEY: I've lived there since 17 September of '92. 18 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Thank you. Next 19 witness, please. 20

21

22 23

2.4

25

MS. JUNG: Good morning. Thank you for allowing me to speak. My name is M. Grace Jung. I live at 831 North 28th Street. I am, therefore, exactly two--and-a-half blocks from the station. My husband and I moved there in October 1999 wanting to do as much business in the neighborhood as possible.

2.4

This is not about the fact that every single time the past six years I have bought gas there, there has not been one exception where I have purchased gasoline there and not been panhandled.

More importantly, I live in Milwaukee and I work in Washington D.C., and that's a 14-hour drive, and I would like to be able to buy gas at 4:00 in the morning at my local gas station so that I can get to Washington D.C. by 7:00 or 8:00 at night. At that time of night from midnight to 5:00, I find it a playground for criminals, clusters of people, two, three, four laughing, joking. I have not been able to get to a pump at 4:00 a.m. for the traffic going through at that moment.

Panhandling during the day is tough. At night, it's tougher. But that's not even the problem. I guarantee that I do not know when a woman is on her knees next to a man at the wall what she's doing. I don't know it, and I'm not looking any further to be sure, but I see things like that often.

The amount of noise. I can't even hear myself think in the middle of the night when I pull into that station. Obviously, I'm not buying gas there anymore. When I come back from Washington D.C. when I've left at noon and I'm cruising through at

00023 2:00 or 3:00 in the morning, I make sure I look 1 because this is a block-and-a-half from my house. Clusters of people, loud noise, prostitution perhaps. 4 Maybe this guy is just giving his friend a piece of 5 qum. I don't think so. 6 This is all the time. This is constant. 7 This gas station has a very, very bad reputation for 8 what goes on and especially for the management's lack 9 of response. Thank you. 10 MR. SCHRIMPF: Mr. Chairman. First of all, 11 how many times do you visit this gas station between 12 midnight and 5:00 a.m.? 13 MS. JUNG: Twice a month. MR. SCHRIMPF: And do you see these large 14 15 congregations of people and this noise and experience 16 this panhandling all the time every time? 17 MS. JUNG: It's a yes/no question. I have 18 to be real careful and honest. 19 MR. SCHRIMPF: Yes, I want an honest answer. 20 MS. JUNG: Yes, yes. Maybe not all five 21 things at once, three out of five. 22 MR. SCHRIMPF: That's all I have. Thank 23 you. 2.4 ALDERMAN PUENTE: When in the last year have 25 you witnessed this? Every month for the last year?

00024 1 MS. JUNG: I'm being very careful instead of just brazenly saying yes. Did I not see it in February because it was cold or January? Perhaps, you 4 know, perhaps outright yes. 5 ALDERMAN PUENTE: Did you see it this month? 6 MS. JUNG: Yes, sir. 7 ALDERMAN PUENTE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. ALDERMAN DUDZIK: When you see these 8 9 different activities, do you ever call the police? 10 MS. JUNG: I have not been calling the 11 police on this station, and perhaps I should be 12 ashamed of that because I am the co-captain of the 13 28th Street Block Watch Club. I understand how hard our police are working. They're just trying so hard 14 15 at Judy's Red Hots, at this gas station, they have so 16 much to do, some of the apartment buildings. I should 17 be calling and making a record, and I'm not. 18 ALDERMAN DUDZIK: Thank you. 19 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: At this time, Alderman 20 Bohl moves to make the six pages of pictures part of 21 the permanent record in this hearing, and hearing no 22 objection, so ordered. Mr. Bangert, did you have any 23 questions of this witness? 2.4 MR. BANGERT: No, I don't. 25 MR. JUNG: Hello. I'm Mark Jung, and I'm

2.4

the young lady's husband. I'm also, among other things, a professional chef, which takes me out often, keeping me out late at night.

Regularly I drive past that establishment once a week during the hours being mentioned, usually early Saturday morning, generally at 1:00 to 3:00 a.m. I have actually patronized that petrol station for about six years now, although that has fallen off a lot recently. When they mention panhandling, this is very aggressive panhandling, and it is at 2:00 a.m, and it is every time.

I do not know for sure drugs are sold there. No one has really offered to sell me drugs, though last time was a young gentleman with a furtive attitude who asked me what I was looking for. I didn't ask for what he was offering.

As for prostitution, I know for a fact that there are prostitutes in that area, and they will approach you if you are at the pumps and if you show any interest. And this is something which is easily verified. I would suggest anyone who chooses just stop by about 2:00 a.m Saturday and see the circus.

CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Thank you. Questions by members of the committee?

ALDERMAN PUENTE: Do you call the police?

00026 1 Do you call the police when you see violations there? ${\tt MR.}$ JUNG: Generally, no, though there has been one exception. On two occasions I have seen 4 police cars, one cruise through there, and I mentioned 5 an incident that had just happened to them. The other 6 time, seen a police car having just left, I drove up 7 next to him and told him what was going on, and he 8 said he'd swing around to check it out. 9 ALDERMAN PUENTE: And did he? 10 MR. JUNG: I believe he did. 11 ALDERMAN PUENTE: You did not see him or 12 her? 13 MR. JUNG: I did not follow him. It would 14 seem to be rude. 15 ALDERMAN PUENTE: What about the first 16 incident where you told the officers, did you see that 17 officer take action? 18 MR. JUNG: He did approach some of the 19 people who were panhandling. I had not yet left the 20 station at that time. I did not see what the final 21 results were. 22 ALDERMAN PUENTE: And when was that, these 23 two incidents you're speaking of? 2.4 MR. JUNG: That would have been last March, 25 as I recall.

00027 1 ALDERMAN PUENTE: Approximately a year ago? 2 MR. JUNG: Yes. ALDERMAN PUENTE: Thank you, sir. 3 4 MR. SCHRIMPF: Sir, Mr. Jung, you indicated 5 that the panhandling is aggressive in nature? 6 MR. JUNG: Yes. 7 MR. SCHRIMPF: Could you describe that for 8 the committee, please. 9 MR. JUNG: Where the fellow will approach 10 you telling you he just needs money to get out for the 11 bus or something else and you say no and he keeps 12 coming back, he wants to try to fill your tank for 13 you, even after asking him to leave you alone, you're 14 not giving him anything, I've directed him down the 15 street to the mission, whatever else, he will still 16 lurk by the automobile, sometimes even two of them at 17 a time. 18 MR. SCHRIMPF: Thank you. That's all I 19 have. 20 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Mr. Bangert, questions 21 of this witness? 22 MR. BANGERT: Yes. I was just curious, Mr. 23 Jung, you mentioned the bus stop, and that's right in 24 front of the gas station; is that right? 25 MR. JUNG: It is on Wisconsin Avenue.

00028 There's no barrier save the shelter itself 'twixt the 1 station and the bus stop. MR. BANGERT: I was just curious, regarding 4 the panhandling, from your testimony, it sounds like 5 some of it definitely occurs, from your experience, 6 when you're trying to purchase gas or fill up your gas 7 tank. Do you ever observe the same type of activity 8 or crowds of people in the bus stop area itself? 9 MR. JUNG: I have observed crowds of people 10 there, yes. Generally, they will be congregating in 11 that area along the back wall and also on the north 12 side. 13 MR. BANGERT: All right. I have nothing 14 further. 15 ALDERMAN DUDZIK: Mr. Chairman, if I may. 16 Sir, to the best of your knowledge, are there any 17 trash receptacles out in the city right-of-way? 18 MR. JUNG: I don't recall, sir. 19 ALDERMAN DUDZIK: Thank you. 20 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Is there anyone else 21 here to testify in this matter? Mr. Bangert, any 22 closing before we take this matter into committee? 23 MR. BANGERT: Well, I'd like to point out to 2.4 the committee again that the testimony is clear, and

what isn't so clear, I guess, is how much of the

25

2.4

problem -- there's no question that there's a problem -- I guess what isn't I don't believe so clear is how much of the problem is attributable to the gas station. There's panhandling and loitering on that corner.

What I've suggested to Mr. Dhawan that he do is -- he indicates that they do call the police frequently after being unsuccessful in getting people to move on -- I've encouraged him to keep a record of those calls so that they can check. Alderman Puente asked the question earlier as to whether, when the police do come, whether citations are issued. Mr. Dhawan has told me yes, that there are, clearly there are occasions when they issue citations. He said many times it's a lot of the same people that get the citations. But as far as numbers and how many times when the police come they issue the citations, that I don't think anybody here is able to tell you, today anyway.

Mr. Dhawan has stated that they would like to see that problem go away, too. Obviously, it isn't good for business. He recognizes that they have to do whatever they can to improve the problem, but it's a question of what beyond asking the people to leave and then calling the police they can do. So I guess

00030 1 that's all I have to say at this point. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman Bauman, anything? 4 ALDERMAN BAUMAN: Nothing further. 5 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: This matter is in 6 7 ALDERMAN BOHL: Mr. Chairman, based on 8 matters testified to by neighbors, I would move to 9 deny the application. 10 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Motion by Alderman Bohl 11 is to recommend denial, based on testimony that we've 12 heard here today relative to matters on the notice. Is there any discussion on the motion? 13 14 ALDERMAN PUENTE: Mr. Chair. 15 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman Puente. 16 ALDERMAN PUENTE: As we face -- and we're 17 going to be seeing more of these, and obviously, what 18 has been said earlier in the different 24 hours that 19 we've had, it weighs in, but I concur that I think the 20 police need to do whatever they can -- And I don't 21 know if they are or if they aren't from the testimony. 22 There's some people who are calling in, there's some 23 people who weren't calling in. Obviously, if they're 2.4 not calling in, the police are not aware of the 25 problem, but it seems to me that if this problem is so

2.4

persistent that they do know about it, and it is true that the police can only do so much with the incident at hand giving a citation, however, those citations, if not taken care of, will sooner or later turn into the warrants, and then at those times these individuals are taken off the street for whatever period of time. And will they come back? More than likely yes.

I just don't know, given the totality of the circumstances, if it's more of the owner or is it more of the police or is it more of the community, should we be looking at more partnerships through the different entities, whether it's DNS, the police, the communities, the owners so we get a collaboration effort to sustain not just in this gas station but in that whole area, as it was testified by the one lady that it was known as drug alley, I believe she said. If it's known, why isn't something being done about it? Why isn't the whole neighborhood being looked at rather than just one gas station? Thank you, Mr. Chair.

ALDERMAN WADE: Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman Wade.

ALDERMAN WADE: On the motion. Everything

25 Alderman Puente said I agree with, but I also feel

2.4

that this committee has a role to play in that, also. It is a privilege to be operating during that time, and if you've operated during that time for a certain amount of years or a period and we keep having the same thing go on over and over and over, obviously the person that owns the place can't control it. The police aren't getting a handle on it.

I think this committee has to step in and say that you're not holding up to your end of the privilege of being able to operate during that time. I would think that panhandlers would not be there panhandling if customers weren't there purchasing gasoline or goods. I also have gone to that gas station and have been panhandled probably worse than I have ever been other than Tijuana, Mexico in my life and actually wound up giving someone that I knew that was a former high school friend of mine a couple of dollars because I knew him, but was panhandled back to back to back from individuals. And this was during this time because it was after I had left Summerfest and Summerfest had closed.

Maybe getting someone's attention may take messing with their money a little bit, getting into their finances a little bit and let them know us as a committee, us as a city are not going to tolerate you

2.4

just making money in a neighborhood where people who live in that neighborhood don't benefit from it at all but have to deal with the detriment of it, and then you live somewhere outside of the neighborhood. A lot of times when I'm looking at the addresses of some of of these people who are doing business in the city, they don't even live in the city at all.

I think this committee has to step up and say you know what, it's time that you earn the privilege of operating between 12:00 and 5:00 in the morning, and then that way we won't have controversy when we come to this table. I think it's that time for us to go down the road and start setting the precedent that look, that is a privilege, and if you don't treat it as a privilege, it will be taken away from you.

I will be supporting this motion because a lot of times the cruising issues and some of the other things that go on stem from 24-hour operations. And the owner of the operation, their whole thing is, well, we can't do anything about it. Well, maybe this committee can help you do something about it by taking away your privilege to earn money during that time, maybe that will get your attention. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Motion by Alderman Bohl

2.4

is to recommend denial based on neighborhood testimony relative to items contained in the notice. On the motion, Alderman Puente.

ALDERMAN PUENTE: Yes. Alderman Wade, when you were approached, was that during the daytime or nighttime?

ALDERMAN WADE: I was at Summerfest and Summerfest had closed, and I believe Summerfest closes at midnight. So I drove from Summerfest after it closed at midnight over to that gas station to help a friend of mine, who actually happens to be an alderman, get some gas because he had ran out of gas, and it was like Summerfest was over on 27th Street there were so many people. It was unbelievable. It was just a strange experience to go on in the City of Milwaukee. Like I said Tijuana, Mexico I experienced that, but 27th and Wisconsin, that's a little strange for that type of behavior.

ALDERMAN PUENTE: I concur with what Alderman Wade said that we should not have that, but I assure you the panhandling is occurring prior to and other hours than midnight to 5:00. I still think that you still need the community, the police, the ownership, we as city government to address the crime in that area. Thank you.

00035 1 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Motion by Alderman Bohl is to recommend denial based on testimony relative to the notice. Are there any objections to that motion? 4 Hearing no objections, so ordered. 5 MR. SCHRIMPF: Mr. Bangert, the committee is 6 going to be doing findings of fact and conclusions of 7 law to the full Common Council recommending nonrenewal 8 of this Extented Hours license. You'll receive a copy 9 of that report. You'll have an opportunity to submit written objections to that report as well as appear 10 11 before the Milwaukee Common Council when it meets on 12 this matter, which will be on April 11th, 2006 in the 13 Common Council Chambers of this building at 14 approximately 9:00 a.m. Same floor but the opposite 15 end. 16 MR. BANGERT: Thank you.

17

```
00036
1 STATE OF WISCONSIN )
   MILWAUKEE COUNTY )
4
5
                  I, TERESE M. SCHIEBENES, of Milwaukee
6
        Reporters Associated, Inc., 5120 West Blue Mound Road,
        Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53208, certify that the
7
        foregoing proceedings is a full and complete
8
9
        transcript of my stenographic notes taken in the
10
        foregoing proceedings.
11
12
13
14
15
16
                               TERESE M. SCHIEBENES
17
18
                               Certified Shorthand Reporter
19
20
21
   Dated this day of
                                       , 2006.
22
23
24
```