GRANT F. LANGLEYCity Attorney

RUDOLPH M. KONRAD LINDA ULISS BURKE VINCENT D. MOSCHELLA Deputy City Attorneys



THOMAS O. GARTNER BRUCE D. SCHRIMPF

ROXANE L. CRAWFORD SUSAN D. BICKERT

STUART S. MUKAMAL

THOMAS J. BEAMISH MAURITA F. HOUREN

JOHN J. HEINEN

DAVID J. STANOSZ SUSAN E. LAPPEN JAN A. SMOKOWICZ PATRICIA A. FRICKER HEIDI WICK SPOERL KURT A. BEHLING GREOG C. HAGOPIAN ELLEH H. TANGEN MELANIE R. SWANK JAY A. UNORA DONALD L. SCHRIEFER EDWARD M. EHRLICH LEONARD A. TOKUS VINCENT J. BOBOT

MIRIAM R. HORWITZ MARYNELL REGAN G. O'SULLIVAN-CROWLEY KATHRYN Z. BLOCK MEGAN T. CRUMP ELOISA DE LEÓN

ADAM B. STEPHENS KEVIN P. SULLIVAN

Assistant City Attorneys

BETH CONRADSON CLEARY THOMAS D. MILLER HEIDI E. GALVÁN

May 19, 2008

To the Honorable Common Council of the City of Milwaukee Room 205 - City Hall

Re:

Communication from Attorney Jonathan Cermele, Cermele & Associates, S.C. for legal fees for Police Officers David Ligas and Mike Wawrzonek C.I. File No.; EC 2553

Dear Council Members:

Returned herewith is a document filed by Attorney Jonathan Cermele for attorney's fees for representing Police Officers David Ligas and Mike Wawrzonek. The claim is in the amount of \$473.00 for 4.30 hours of service billed at the rate of \$110 per hour. We ask that this matter be introduced and referred to the Committee on Judiciary & Legislation.

We have reviewed this claim and advise that in our opinion, the time spent was reasonable. Legal representation was occasioned by a criminal investigation. No criminal charges were brought against the officers on whose behalf this claim was filed.

As we have advised you under similar circumstances in the past, the Common Council has discretion to reject this claim or to pay it in whole or in part. Wis. Stat. § 895.35, Bablitch and Bablitch v. Lincoln County, 82 Wis. 2d 574 (1978).

Very truly yours.

JAN A. SMOKOWICZ Assistant City Attorney

GLEY

JAS:amp Enc.

1032~2008-740.001:124731v1

MILWAUKEE POLICE DEPARTMENT

MEMORANDUM

March 12, 2008

TO: P.O. DAVID LIGAS

DISTRICT: FIVE

RE: Receipt of Legal Services from Law Firm of

Attorney Jonathan Cermele

Attorney Jonathan Cermele has made a claim with the City, indicating the attached was provided with legal services arising out of one of the following situations:

- 1) An incident occurring on: NOVEMBER 20, 2007
- 2) A citizen complaint made by: ROMERO MOORE
- 3) A police shooting incident occurring at: N/A

Is this information correct?

YES NO

Did you receive legal representation in this matter?

YES V NO

Your signature:

Print your name:

Upon completion, please return this memorandum to the Professional Performance Division at the Police Academy (Room 325) as soon as possible.

KURT LEIBOLD

Acting Captain of Police

Professional Performance Division

KRL: kjs

MILWAUKEE POLICE DEPARTMENT

MEMORANDUM

March 12, 2008

TO: P.O. MIKE WAWRZONEK

DISTRICT: FIVE

RE: Receipt of Legal Services from Law Firm of

Attorney Jonathan Cermele

Attorney Jonathan Cermele has made a claim with the City, indicating the attached was provided with legal services arising out of one of the following situations:

- 1) An incident occurring on: NOVEMBER 20, 2007
- 2) A citizen complaint made by: ROMERO MOORE
- 3) A police shooting incident occurring at: N/A

Is this information correct?

YES___NO__

Did you receive legal representation in this matter?

YES_/ NO_

Your signature:

Print your name: MICHAEL

L WANRZONEK

Upon completion, please return this memorandum to the Professional Performance Division at the Police Academy (Room 325) as soon as possible.

KURT LEIBOLD

Acting Captain of Police

Professional Performance Division

KRL: kjs

- 311 5 6 6 NW 90

CERMELE & ASSOCIATES, S.C.

 ATTORNEYS AT LAW – WWW.CERMELELAW.COM

JONATHAN CERMELE RACHEL L. PINGS MATTHEW L. GRANITZ

LAURIE A. EGGERT
- OF COUNSEL -

February 13, 2008

Mr. Ronald D. Leonhardt Milwaukee City Clerk 800 City Hall 200 East Wells Street Milwaukee, WI 53202 6310 WEST BLUEMOUND ROAD
SUITE 200
MILWAUKEE, WISCONSIN 53213
(414) 276-8750
FAX (414) 276-8906

2008 FEB 15 PHI2: 5:

RE: MPD Criminal Investigation of PO's David Ligas and

Mike Wawrzonek

Allegations Regarding Mr. Romero Moore

Date of Incident: November 20, 2007

Location of Incident: 2800 Block of North Richards Street

Dear Mr. Leonhardt:

Pursuant to Article 58 of the 2007-2009 Collective Bargaining Agreement between the City and the Milwaukee Police Association, the City should request that this claim be placed on the Common Council Committee agenda within 180 days of its receipt.

The above-named police officers have retained us to represent them in connection with the above-referenced matter.

Consistent with its policy, the City Attorney's Office has refused to represent them and, as they were performing the duties of their office at the time of the events giving rise to the incident, this claim is hereby made on their behalf for the indicated legal fees. This incident involved an arrest.

ADA Simpson reviewed the matter and did not issue charges against the officers. Attached is a copy of ADA Simpson's letter and an itemization of the time and services rendered.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

CERMELE & ASSOCIATES, S.C.

Jon Cermele

/kjs
Attachments
LIGAS and WAWRZONEK

CERMELE & ASSOCIATES, S.C.

- ATTORNEYS AT LAW - WWW.CERMELELAW.COM

JONATHAN CERMELE RACHEL L. PINGS MATTHEW L. GRANITZ

6310 WEST BLUEMOUND ROAD SUITE 200 MILWAUKEE, WISCONSIN 53213 (414) 276-8750 FAX (414) 276-8906

LAURIE A. EGGERT
- OF COUNSEL-

February 13, 2008

Mr. Ronald Leonhardt Milwaukee City Clerk City Hall, Room 205 200 East Wells Street Milwaukee WI 53202

RE: MPD Criminal Investigation of PO's David Ligas and Mike Wawrzonek

Allegations Regarding Mr. Romero Moore Date of Incident: November 20, 2007

Location of Incident: 2800 Block of North Richards Street

Professional services

		Hours
11/27/2007	Telephone call from PO Ligas regarding new criminal investigation; telephone call from PO Wawrzonek regarding same; calendar office conference with PO's Ligas and Wawrzonek; telephone call to PPD; open file.	0.40
11/30/2007	Office conference with PO Ligas; intra-office conference with Pings regarding PO Ligas' recollection of arrest; memo to file.	1.00
	Intra-office conference with Granitz; office conference with PO Wawrzonek; memo to file.	1.00
12/10/2007	Telephone call to PO Ligas; telephone call to PO Wawrzonek; memo to file.	0.20
	Telephone call to PO Ligas confirming decision not to provide PPD statement; telephone call to PPD regarding PO Ligas' decision not to provide statement; memo to file.	0.20
12/17/2007	Correspondence to clients.	0.20

Mr. Ronald Leonhardt	Page 2
	Hours
1/16/2008 Telephone call to PPD.	0.10
1/18/2008 Telephone call to PPD.	0.10
1/23/2008 Telephone call to PPD; calendar follow-up with DA's office; correspondence to clients; memo to file.	0.40
1/30/2008 Telephone call to DA's office regarding status; calendar follow-up with DA's office; memo to file.	0.20
2/7/2008 Telephone call from PPD confirming DA's office decision not to issue charges; receive and review DA's letter regarding decision to "no process"; correspondence to clients; close file.	0.50
	Amount
For professional services rendered 4.30	\$473.00
Balance due	\$473.00
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

(Rate: \$110.00 per hour)



OFFICE OF DISTRICT ATTORNEY

Milwaukee County

JOHN T. CHISHOLM · District Attorney

February 1, 2008

Mr. Romero Moore 2525 N. 19th Street Milwaukee, WI 53206

Re: Charging Decision - NO PROCESS Incident #07-327-0108 - Battery CIS #07-191; IAS #07-0676 (Regarding P.S. ID #015332 and 016259)

Mr. Moore:

I was assigned to determine whether <u>criminal</u> charges should be filed against one or both police officers that arrested you on November 20, 2007 for fleeing, resisting and violation of probation. This letter reports my decision and many of the reasons for that decision. My decision is that criminal charges should <u>not</u> be issued in this instance.

A criminal charge may only be issued where there is admissible proof of the charge which will convince the jury of guilt beyond a reasonable doubt. That "level" of proof is not available here. I do not believe 12 citizens sitting as a jury would find either officer guilty of Battery, individually or as parties to a crime. I will briefly list the "problems" that prosecution of this matter would have to address and overcome.

First, the complaining victim [YOU] must to be someone the jury will view as truthful and of good character. Your prior record of convictions; your conduct that day (fleeing/ resisting); your three cell phones and cash; your refusal to comply with officer's orders would all be reported to the jury. Your claim to have ingested marijuana, to avoid being caught with it and, later, your report that you made that up, would be shared with the jury. That fact and your prior convictions may be considered as the jury decides whether they are hearing the truth from you during the trial.

Second, the fact that two citizen witnesses are available but they offer different versions of the events. Neither is able to report on the entire incident because their observations "begin" after the arrest had been initiated. This is like a whistle or a flag by an official in a sports event. Sometime the person/official didn't see enough to make the right call. Additionally, in a courtroom, inconsistent witnesses often "cancel each other out." In this case the citizen witnesses do not offer enough for the jury to be persuaded to your point of view/your account.

Jon N. Reddin James J. Martin Palitick J. Kentray Lovell Johnson, Jr. Kent L. Lovern Tismas A. Senuiz Alexander G. Skenau Wilham J. Molitor Portaid S. Jackson Gale G. Shotton Gary D. Malworn

Peq Farrant Ocuglasi J. Sumpson Cynthia G. Biowel Norman A. Gahn Suphania Guerra Robsten Carol E. Janick Stoven H. Glanou Linua Johnson Rayann Chandler Szychlinski Cardie Manchestor Karvieth R. Berg Warrer: D. Zier Troomy J. Cemer Brad Verpera Paul Tittio Patt tions
Mylam S. Falk
Physis M. DeCarvalhe
Dennis P. Murphy Protect A. Acresta Bruce J. Landgrat Danis J. Stingt Covel M. Lerman Jonet C. Piotasiewicz DoAnn L. Heard Patricia A. McGowett kane E. Pantuur Kann A. Loebel Mancy Eltenheim: Roman S. Oarjan Lui S. Homblum **Xarine O'Byrne** James C. Gallin James C. Grillin William P. Pipp Audrey Skwiorawski Journe I., Hardiku Christopher A. Liegel Megan P. Cannocty Laura A. Crivello Shitter States Karen A. Vespaluc Mark A. Sanders Faul C. Decknisky Cavid T. Molone Kelly L. Hedge Jefrey J. Altenburg Frachast Stencal Part Wahitson Mary M. Sowinski Kathryn K. Samer Joanotte Corboit Covid Mass Daniel J. Gaptor T. Christopher Oce Lisa P. Fricky Tillony J. Harris Daniel H. Handble Jaceb D. Corr Joy Hammond Trevor A. Sisk Androw J. Maier Hain E. Galves Nancy A. Noet Katharine F. Kucharski Eisabeth Muellut Alegiur J. Panison Grant I. Huebner Stephan Eduard Notion Christote M. Quint Michelle Ackerman Haugs Hohin J. Rosche Jeruster K. Filsodes Clave Starling Rebecca A. Kieler Matthew J. Tarbarrson Karvota L. Chikes Laran Helmig Vary C. Theisen Grigen Urter Anthony White Antony Applio luka Onscol Nicola Donalingai Eini Kusheri Lucy Kronkesi William Anthony Bell, Jr. Em Dinean Monea J. Itali Mariani J. Lons Paul M. Hauer Auron E Hail David M Slegati Amarea Kakiewsk Boxiamin Wesser Jessica Zeiles haly t Burch

Third, a jury will be reminded that police work is done by HUMANS and that humans don't do everything perfectly. Further, that police work exposes officers to violence ... both directed at them and employed by them. To assure that officers can complete their work even though violence may need to be employed, the law recognizes a PRIVILEGE to use force for self-protection and performance of duty. To convict a police officer of using too much force, the prosecutor must overcome the privilege by evidence that satisfies the jury beyond a reasonable doubt. (Remember – there is no "measurement" or scale and the proofs must consider the "human element", i.e., the fact that humans make errors . . . that are seldom CRIMES.)

Finally, juries usually have a good sense of what's fair, what's in the general best interest . . . put another way: How bad was it? How badly was he hurt? What was he up to? Is this worth an officer's career? None of those general gut-level questions are likely to be answered in favor of a verdict of guilty.

For the above reasons I have recommended to our District Attorney that this matter be closed without process.

Thank you for your cooperation with the investigation of your complaint and we wish you well in the future.

Sincerely,

Douglas J. Simpson

Assistant District Attorney

DJS:jo

c: Det. Elizabeth Stewart

Lt. Det. Karen Dubis

Chief Deputy District Attorney Jon Reddin

NP file

DJS