| 00001 |  |
|-------|--|
| 1     | CITY OF MILWAUKEE  |
| 2     | UTILITIES & LICENSING HEARING  |
| 3     |  |
| 4     | In the Matter of:  |
| 5     | JEWEL FOOD STORE, INC.   |
|       | 1100 East Garfield Avenue  |
| 6     |  |
|       |  |
| 7     |  |
|       |  |
| 8     | COMMITTEE MEMBERS  |
|       |  |
| 9     | ALD. JAMES WITKOWIAK - Chairman  |
|       | ALD. JAMES BOHL, JR Vice Chairman  |
| 10    | ALD. TERRY WITKOWSKI   |
|       | ALD. JOSEPH A. DUDZIK  |
| 11    | ALD. ROBERT PUENTE   |
| 1.0   | HEALTH DEDARMENT by OCCAR DOND   |
| 12    | HEALTH DEPARTMENT by OSCAR BOND  |
| 13    | DEPARTMENT OF NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES by PANDORA BENDER  |
| 13    | LICENSE DIVISION by JIM COPELAND CITY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE by BRUCE SCHRIMPF  |
| 14    | CITE ATTORNED S OFFICE BY BROCE SCHRIMFF   |
| 14    | FRIEBERT, FINERTY & ST. JOHN, by TODD S.   |
| 15    | FARRIS, 330 East Kilbourn Avenue, Milwaukee,   |
| 10    | Wisconsin, appeared on behalf of the applicant.  |
| 16    | wiscondin, appeared on senair of the approant.   |
| 17    |  |
| 18    | Proceedings had and testimony given in the   |
| 19    | above-entitled matter before the UTILITIES &   |
| 20    | LICENSING COMMITTEE OF THE CITY OF MILWAUKEE on the  |
| 21    | 16th day of July, 2004, before Terese M. Schiebenes of   |
| 22    | Milwaukee Reporters Associated, Inc.   |
| _     | Special control of the control of th |

| 00002 | PROGREDINGS  |
|-------|--|
| 1     | PROCEEDINGS  |
| 2     | (City Personnel were previously affirmed.)             |
| 3     | CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Back on the record at              |
| 4     | 9:25 a.m. In the 6th District, Michael S. Daly, agent  |
| 5     | for Jewel Food Stores, Incorporated, Class A Liquor    |
| 6     | and Malt application for Jewel-Osco #3653 at 1100 East |
| 7     | Garfield.  |
| 8     | Good morning. Could you please raise your              |
| 9     | right hands to be sworn in.                            |
| 10    | (MICHAEL DALY and LAURIE SANDERS were duly             |
| 11    | affirmed.)   |
| 12    | CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Would you state your               |
| 13    | name and address for the record.                       |
| 14    | MR. DALY: Michael S. Daly, 2050 South 103rd            |
| 15    | Court, West Allis, Wisconsin.                          |
| 16    | MS. SANDERS: Laurie Sanders, director of               |
| 17    | public affairs for Jewel-Osco. And home address or     |
| 18    | corporate address?                                     |
| 19    | CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Whichever you prefer?              |
| 20    | MS. SANDERS: 1955 West North Avenue in                 |
| 21    | Melrose Park, Illinois, Jewel-Osco headquarters.       |
| 22    | MR. FARRIS: Todd Farris, Friebert, Finerty             |
| 23    | & St. John, 330 East Kilbourn Avenue.                  |
| 24    | CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Health Department?                 |
| 25    | MR. BOND: No objection.                                |

00003 1 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Department of 2 Neighborhood Services? 3 MS. BENDER: Hold for occupancy. 4 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: And I believe this is 5 your last official duty for this morning; is that 6 correct? 7 MS. BENDER: Yes. 8 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: You're excused. Do you 9 admit to receiving a notice that there's a possibility 10 that the application could be denied because of 11 neighborhood objections to licensing of this 12 establishment as a Class A Liquor and Malt premise 13 that will lead to increased litter, loitering, traffic 14 problems, and an increase in the number of alcohol 15 beverage outlets in the area? 16 MR. DALY: Yes. 17 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: You received that 18 notice. Okay, thank you. Mr. Farris, would you like 19 to lay some groundwork for us. 20 MR. FARRIS: Mr. Chairman, I'm not sure how 21 you want to proceed. Ms. Sanders is going to make the 22 majority of our presentation. Mr. Daly doesn't really 23 have too much to say. He's the manager of the Osco 24 side of the store, and then he would also be the

manager for the liquor establishment, and he's here to

2.4

answer any questions.

We have a number of people who are supporters I would call that want to speak and then there are some opponents. I don't know how you want to proceed. I guess my view would be to have Ms. Sanders make a presentation, then maybe go back and forth between supporters and opponents so it's fair and certain people won't have to wait longer than other people.

CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Thank you. What I would like to do is have Ms. Sanders just give us like an overview, an opening overview, then we will hear from the opponents first, then we will hear from the supporters after that.

I just want to state to the people that will be testifying today -- I assume that almost everybody in the room will be testifying on this matter -- we don't want any hearsay, for those of you that don't understand that, just personal testimony. If you're here representing an organization, you can't say that 50 people that I represent say this. That would be hearsay. Your personal testimony is what matters on the record here. And just try and keep this orderly and civilized as possible. Ms. Sanders, please.

MS. SANDERS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman and

2.4

good morning. We're here before you today as a part of the application process for obtaining the liquor license at our store located at 1100 East Garfield. Having the ability to sell beer and wine and spirits in our stores will give our customers the ease and convenience of shopping at one location and it will also provide our Milwaukee customers with the same level of convenience and service and variety of products that are afforded to our customers in our suburban locations.

As an established partner and community presence in Milwaukee, Jewel-Osco takes its role of being a responsible business partner very seriously. I'd like to address several issues about potential problems related to our license applications that opponents of the application have raised. They basically fall into four categories; the crime, the over saturation of liquor outlets, our corporate citizenship, and the alleged promise made by Jewel-Osco representatives regarding application for the liquor license.

First the issue of crime. At the neighborhood meetings that I've attended, I've heard speculation regarding how crime would be an issue if granted this license. I've heard worries regarding

2.4

people coming to Jewel-Osco to purchase liquor and then driving drunken through the neighborhood, that the presence yet another liquor outlet will contribute to the crime problem in the Riverwest neighborhood.

I say to those who worry look at our other stores. Neither the opponents nor the supporters of the license have a crystal ball that we can gaze into and see what the future promises for the 1100 East Garfield location, assuming that we're granted a license. All we have to offer is our track record based on what has occurred at the locations in Milwaukee where we presently hold a Class A liquor and malt license.

Later you'll hear from individuals who can speak with some authority on the conditions at those stores. They will essentially tell you the same thing; there are no problems, that we've instituted safeguards to prevent the sale of alcohol to minors and those safeguards include age verification software, where if the consumer appears to be under the age of 30 years of age, they will be requested to present a valid form of identification that will be inputted into the software program at that register, and if their age is not appropriate with the State of Wisconsin guidelines, they will be denied the sale of

2.4

alcohol. Additionally, we have age restriction training for our cashiers, we've instituted intensive training on-site and six-month updates on that training, and we have restrictions on the sale of alcohol within our corporation that supersede or go beyond the State of Wisconsin's law. State of Wisconsin requires that you be 18 years of age in order to sell alcohol. The Jewel-Osco Corporation policy requires that our cashiers be 21 years of age in order to sell alcohol.

Jewel-Osco has signed on with the community a covenant delineating the types of beverages and products that we will and will not sell. The list of items prohibited by the covenant include 15- and 24-ounce cans of domestic or imported beer, 32- and 40-ounce bottles of domestic and imported beer, fortified or what's called low-end wines and halfpints of liquor.

Conditions that we know can and do exist at some, not all, but some corner liquor stores simply do not happen at our stores. That behavior has not existed at our stores located at Chase and Oklahoma, 35th and North, or 35th and Capitol Drive, and it will not be tolerated at 1100 East Garfield.

Turning now to the issue of over saturation

2.4

of outlets that sell alcoholic beverages. According to the Legislative Reference Bureau, there are 72 licenses issued within a one-mile radius of the 1100 East Garfield site. 61 of those are Class B tavern license holders. We have not applied for a license as a restaurant or a tavern. Six licenses are for Class A Malt, and as you know, this enables the license holder to sell fermented alcoholic beverages that could be purchased at convenience stores. We have not applied for that type of license either.

That leaves us with the final category, the Class A Liquor and Malt license. This is what we've applied for. There are five full-service liquor stores located within a one-mile radius. We are applying for one to be located within a full-service grocery store. I cannot pretend to know what criteria is used when raising the issue of over saturation. I can only ask how fair is it to lump us with restaurants and taverns when you look at shear numbers. Reasonable people may disagree. For many, five liquor stores may be too many. We only ask that you appreciate the distinction between the different categories when tackling the issue of over saturation.

Some opponents have raised the questions and concerns regarding how active is Jewel-Osco in

2.4

supporting the community organizations. We take our role as a good corporate citizen seriously. We have a record of support in the community that goes back for several years. We are proud to help such organizations in the community as the Betty Brinn Children Museum, the Wisconsin State Fair, COA, The Milwaukee Hunger Task Force, the Shriners, the Black Holocaust Museum, Ko Thi Dance Company, Heartlove Place, Harambee Organization, and the United Negro College Fund's Milwaukee chapter.

Within the last two-and-a-half years, we have given in excess of \$50,000 to these organizations. Additionally, we have given food and in kind contributions to a number of other organizations within the Milwaukee community.

Finally, opponents have stated at community meetings that promises were made to the community that Jewel-Osco would never apply for a liquor license at this location. Mr. Chairman, we've spoken with a number of individuals who were part of those negotiations to build the store back in 1998 and 1999, we have examined documentation in our corporate office in Melrose Park. There was never such a promise made. In fact, the language used in the original site plan identifies the area within the store footprint that

00010 would be used to sell liquor -- and I quote -- the 1 future liquor department, and that site plan was developed January 21, 1999. Again, there was never a 4 promise made. 5 In closing, we seek your approval today of 6 our application. We recognize that selling beer, 7 wine, and spirits is a privilege, and we don't take it 8 lightly. We think that it is a good business 9 decision, and based upon the information that I 10 presented for you today, we think that we are a good 11 business in the Milwaukee area. We are proud to be 12 here, we feel we are responsible, and we look forward 13 to many, many years of service to the residents of 14 Milwaukee, the residents of this county, and the 15 residents of the State of Wisconsin. 16 Thank you for hearing our appeal, and if 17 there are any questions, I'd be glad to take them from 18 you at this time. 19 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Thank you very much. 20 Questions by committee? 21 ALDERMAN PUENTE: Mr. Chair. 22 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman Puente. 23 ALDERMAN PUENTE: Ms. Sanders, it's my 2.4 understanding none of your stores that sell fermented

malt beverage has ever been cited for selling to

00011 1 underage; is that correct? MS. SANDERS: In the City of Milwaukee we don't have any record of ever being cited for underage 4 sales. 5 ALDERMAN PUENTE: Thank you. 6 MR. FARRIS: By way of background, too, Mr. 7 Chairman, so everybody understands the whole picture, this is an area that's 3,100 square feet in the 8 9 southwest corner of the store, it would have a 10 separate entrance, common foyer, it would be totally 11 separate from the food store, which is consistent with 12 what's happened at the other Jewel-Osco stores in 13 Milwaukee. 14 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Thank you. Any other questions, comments by committee? Okay. I'd like a 15 16 show of hands of who in the audience here is to 17 testify in opposition of this license. Would you 18 raise your hands, please. Now you can hold your right 19 hands up and be sworn in. 20 (Speakers duly affirmed.) 21 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: State your name and 22 address. 23 MS. OLIVEIRA: Rosemary Oliviera, I live at 2.4 3278 North Humboldt Boulevard, Milwaukee.

CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Go ahead with your

00012 testimony. How far away do you live? 1 MS. OLIVEIRA: I live about a mile-and-a-half. 4 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: One mile-and-a-half. 5 MS. OLIVEIRA: Right. Straight up shot on 6 Humboldt Boulevard. 7 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Your testimony, please. 8 MS. OLIVEIRA: Yes. I am here also today as 9 a representative of the neighborhood and RNA, which is 10 the Riverwest Neighborhood Association, which 11 partially unanimously -- we had two votes in favor at 12 our last meeting -- RNA would like to register their 13 opposition to this. I don't know whether that's 14 hearsay or not, but that's what I came here to say for 15 them. 16 For me, we're a neighborhood that's directly 17 impacted by liquor stores. We are a very at-risk 18 19 hard for 22 years to make our neighborhood what it is 20

21

22

23

2.4

25

impacted by liquor stores. We are a very at-risk neighborhood. I lived there 22 years, and I've worked hard for 22 years to make our neighborhood what it is today, an up-and-coming neighborhood that people want to live in. When I bought my property there 20 years ago, people were wondering if I had lost my mind, but since then, I and a lot of my neighbors -- and some of them are here today -- have been struggling to make sure that we are not adversely impacted by decisions

00013 1 by the city and by businesses and by indeed our neighbors, who in many cases have been not the most savory people. 4 The city has helped us close down drug 5 houses and helped us with crime in the neighborhood. 6 We're currently experiencing a mini crime spree, which 7 is of great concern to us now because we don't want to 8 see the neighborhood going back to what it used to be. 9 The people who couldn't come today who are the 10 teachers and the nurses and those folks that can't 11 leave their jobs, I'm sorry they can't be here. On 12 behalf of the people who are, thank you very much. 13 Our major objection or my major objection to 14 this liquor license is concentration. The map which 15 we have says that there are 72 liquor licenses. This 16 is the map. 17 ALDERMAN BOHL: Mr. Chairman. 18 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman Bohl. 19 ALDERMAN BOHL: I apologize. This item is 20 not cited for over concentration of outlets in the 21 neighborhood notice. 22 MS. OLIVEIRA: Why not? 23 MR. COPELAND: That was not part of the

language given to us as part of the objections to this

license. There was a statement if it was licensed,

2.4

there would be an increase in the number, it did not say concentration, that term was not used.

ALDERMAN BOHL: Mr. Chair, I wonder from the perspective here of Mr. Schrimpf if the way the language was written that it would add an additional outlet if that is akin to concentration.

MR. SCHRIMPF: It is, Mr. Chairman, because you're inherently talking about the number of alcohol beverage outlets. That's what concentration is, you're looking -- So if you're talking about an increase, you have to look at what's there now and how is this going to increase.

ALDERMAN BOHL: The question I would ask is does an additional outlet mean that in necessity an over concentration? Because you could have a square mile where there's three outlets and somebody could say that they don't want another one. Does that connote an over concentration?

MR. SCHRIMPF: I see what you're saying, alderman. I think, though, the notice is broad enough because it says increase in the number of alcohol beverage outlets in the area. I think you're okay.

beverage outlets in the area. I think you're okay.

CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: So in your opinion, it is okay for this committee to accept the concentration argument?

00015 1 MR. SCHRIMPF: Yes. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Go ahead. Sorry about that. These are technicalities we must discuss. MS. OLIVEIRA: Thank you. According to the 5 city map, there are 61 liquor licenses in a one-mile 6 radius. This black line up here is Center Street. I 7 would like to point out that there were several that 8 were left off. This is a liquor store on Center 9 Street within the one-mile radius. Also on this map, 10 we're missing several other, not taverns, but actually 11 places that sell I believe it would be the malt 12 license. So the count that I get is 83. So I think 13 we need to look at this map again. So that would make 14 six liquor and malt licenses within a one-mile radius 15 of the proposed liquor license. 16 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman Dudzik would 17 move to make the concentration map and supporting 18 documentation part of the record, and hearing no 19 objections, so ordered. Just FYI, it's hard to 20 believe -- Where did you get that information? 21 MS. OLIVEIRA: Mike D'Amato. 22 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: He probably got that 23 from Legislative Reference Bureau. 2.4 MS. OLIVEIRA: And I got the extra ones from 25 -- this is a printout from the city website, and I

2.4

went through this map to make sure that it was accurate, and I found some that were left off.

CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Well, I find it hard to believe that they missed so many. Alderman Dudzik will move to make this part of the record. Hearing no objections, so ordered.

MS. OLIVEIRA: Thank you. I know you wouldn't be able to take my map. Here are the ones that are missing on Center Street.

CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Any further testimony?

MS. OLIVEIRA: Yes. In terms of the promise that was brought up, our neighborhood was promised by Jewel-Osco that they would not ask for a liquor license. When the future liquor department showed up on the plan map, the neighborhood questioned it, and we were told oh, no, no, that's just one of our standard plans, we're actually going to make that a dairy department or something like that. There are witnesses to this. We have our alderman, who has also this in his memory. I called the DCD and asked a person there who was involved in this, and he also remembers the promise.

It may be a verbal promise, but it's a promise nonetheless, and there are others in the neighborhood who would be happy to sign affidavits

2.4

saying that this promise was made.

CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: One more time, though, and you've done this several times, we're being gracious to you. You can't comment on what other people are saying and what other people are doing. That's for the benefit of all the people who are going to testify today. Only personal testimony. We've given you some leeway.

MS. OLIVEIRA: I'm so sorry. Okay. I personally listened and heard this statement made when we and I, I personally questioned this plan because I had in my possession the plan. I also personally feel -- Feelings are okay, I hope.

CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Feelings are okay.

MS. OLIVEIRA: We as a neighborhood don't
have the funds that Jewel-Osco has had over the years
to push through what they wanted. A mailing that went
out to our neighborhood to encourage people to come to
this meeting in favor is unfair. We have telephones,
we also some of us have e-mailed, not that many, in
our neighborhood. So we're over the back fence.
We're talking to people on the street as they are
walking their dogs. And the advantage of a large
corporation over a small neighborhood and people like
myself who try to fight these things, it's very, very

00018 difficult for us, and I know that you understand that, 1 and it was a pleasure to hear you on the last liquor license, your very pointed questions to people who 4 testified. And so I'm registering my objection, and I 5 know you've heard me. Thank you very much. 6 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Thank you very much but 7 just stay there for a minute. I just have to ask Mr. 8 Copeland a question here. How many notices were sent 9 by the License Division? 10 MR. COPELAND: There was 818. 11 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: How did we come up with 12 a list of 818? 13 MR. COPELAND: There were petitions 14 submitted at the last hearing concerning this location 15 and the East Capitol Drive, people who were at the 16 East Capitol Drive hearing submitted a petition 17 stating they wanted to be notified if the 1100 East 18 Garfield applied for an alcohol beverage license. 19 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: 818, this is an 20 unprecedented amount of notices. Was this motivated 21 by opposition to the license? 22 MR. COPELAND: A lot of it was. It started 23 out at 400-something, then it got added as the hearing 2.4 progressed, so it wound up with a total of of 818.

MS. OLIVEIRA: Very impressive.

| 00019 |  |
|-------|--|
| 1     | CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: So you're saying that              |
| 2     | they spent a lot of money doing the mailing. Because   |
| 3     | I saw the number of notices that went out, and I       |
| 4     | actually questioned that. Each individual alderman     |
| 5     | would have a hard time sending out 800 of anything     |
| 6     | without coming under a lot of scrutiny. Questions?     |
| 7     | ALDERMAN BOHL: Mr. Chairman.                           |
| 8     | CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman Bohl.                     |
| 9     | ALDERMAN BOHL: Thank you very much. I                  |
| 10    | think that was a very valid point because, Ms.         |
| 11    | Oliveira, truly the city was working to bring          |
| 12    | opposition in here today with that extent of notice.   |
| 13    | MS. OLIVEIRA: Yes, thank you.                          |
| 14    | ALDERMAN BOHL: You indicated in your                   |
| 15    | testimony you live about a mile-and-a-half away from   |
| 16    | the grocery store?                                     |
| 17    | MS. OLIVEIRA: Right, yes.                              |
| 18    | ALDERMAN BOHL: In what direction?                      |
| 19    | MS. OLIVEIRA: North.                                   |
| 20    | ALDERMAN BOHL: You are north of the                    |
| 21    | location?  |
| 22    | MS. OLIVEIRA: Yes.                                     |
| 23    | ALDERMAN BOHL: In terms of your discussion             |
| 24    | of this alleged promise that a liquor outlet would not |
| 25    | come forward at this location, were you present at any |

| 00020 |  |
|-------|--|
| 1     | such meeting?  |
| 2     | MS. OLIVEIRA: Yes, I was.                              |
| 3     | ALDERMAN BOHL: Was that a meeting that                 |
| 4     | would have   |
| 5     | MS. OLIVEIRA: Had notes or minutes, no.                |
| 6     | ALDERMAN BOHL: So there is nothing, in                 |
| 7     | essence, in writing; is that correct?                  |
| 8     | MS. OLIVEIRA: Not that I've been able to               |
| 9     | find, but on the other hand, we haven't searched the   |
| 10    | DCD records or records that you have, the alderman     |
| 11    | have of meetings, so we'd like to do that.             |
| 12    | ALDERMAN BOHL: Could that have been a                  |
| 13    | meeting at the time when the controversy was           |
| 14    | surrounding whether or not to support Jewel-Osco       |
| 15    | coming into the location?                              |
| 16    | MS. OLIVEIRA: Yes, it was.                             |
| 17    | ALDERMAN BOHL: Because I think myself and              |
| 18    | maybe with the exception of Alderman Witkowiak who was |
| 19    | present, this was about 1998-1999; is that correct?    |
| 20    | MR. FARRIS: 1997-98.                                   |
| 21    | ALDERMAN BOHL: Do you know offhand per se              |
| 22    | and I know you may not remember an individual          |
| 23    | who it was allegedly representing the interests of     |
| 24    | Jewel-Osco that made that promise?                     |
| 25    | MS. OLIVEIRA: It was a completely different            |

00021 group of players. Yes, it would have been corporate. 1 It would have been one of their -- either their attorneys or -- It probably was one of their 4 attorneys. I'm sorry, I don't remember. I do 5 remember their attorney. They had several of them 6 during that period. They had Bruce Block, but I think 7 it was someone before Bruce Block, also. They came in 8 a little later. 9 ALDERMAN BOHL: This was at a community 10 meeting that was sponsored by Alderman D'Amato or one 11 of the other aldermen to your recollection? 12 MS. OLIVEIRA: It was a meeting and there 13 were so many, and I attended every single one of them. 14 I do not know whether it was a meeting that was a 15 small meeting where we were trying to hammer out, 16 trying to hammer out our differences that was not a 17 community meeting but a meeting called by the city and 18 Jewel-Osco to try to hammer something out or whether

 $\label{eq:alderman BOHL: Ms. Oliveira, I can appreciate that.} \\$ 

Street School or Palmer School. I just don't

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

remember.

 $\,$  MS. OLIVEIRA: If I had known what I know now, I would have written that down.

it was one of the meetings that happened at the Pierce

| 00022 |  |
|-------|--|
| 1     | ALDERMAN BOHL: The question I want to ask              |
| 2     | you is and I don't mean to delve into any of your      |
| 3     |  |
| -     | personal shopping habits do you shop any food          |
| 4     | stores, grocery stores that have a liquor license      |
| 5     | associated with them?                                  |
| 6     | MS. OLIVEIRA: Yes, I do.                               |
| 7     | ALDERMAN BOHL: Have you in your experience             |
| 8     | at those facilities deemed that loitering or littering |
| 9     | or other types of problems are associated with that?   |
| 10    | MS. OLIVEIRA: No. You know I believe that              |
| 11    | Jewel-Osco has run a fantastic business down there.    |
| 12    | It's neat, it's clean. They have kept their promise    |
| 13    | in that way, and I'm very happy about that.            |
| 14    | ALDERMAN BOHL: What I'm trying to get at is            |
| 15    | the heart of opposition here, is it more that there    |
| 16    | was a promise when they came in and you                |
| 17    | MS. OLIVEIRA: It's concentration,                      |
| 18    | concentration of liquor stores in our neighborhood is  |
| 19    | too high.  |
| 20    | ALDERMAN BOHL: Okay, thank you.                        |
| 21    | CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Any other questions by             |
| 22    | committee?   |
| 23    | ALDERMAN DUDZIK: Mr. Chair.                            |
| 24    | CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman Dudzik.                   |
| 25    | ALDERMAN DUDZIK: Thank you very much.                  |
| 25    | ADDITION DODAIN. INTAIN YOU VELY MUCH.                 |

| 00023 |   |
|-------|---|
| 1     | Ma'am, how did you get here today?                  |
| 2     | MS. OLIVEIRA: Drove my car.                         |
| 3     | ALDERMAN DUDZIK: And your position in the           |
| 4     | Riverwest Neighborhood Association is?              |
| 5     | MS. OLIVEIRA: I'm a citizen, I attend.              |
| 6     | ALDERMAN DUDZIK: But you're not an                  |
| 7     | executive board member?                             |
| 8     | MS. OLIVEIRA: No, no.                               |
| 9     | ALDERMAN DUDZIK: You're just a member.              |
| 10    | MS. OLIVEIRA: Just a person, yeah.                  |
| 11    | ALDERMAN DUDZIK: Because I was not here             |
| 12    | back in '97 or whenever. Your organization at that  |
| 13    | point in time opposed Jewel-Osco, true?             |
| 14    | MS. OLIVEIRA: There was no RNA at that              |
| 15    | time. It was after.                                 |
| 16    | ALDERMAN DUDZIK: Did you personally oppose          |
| 17    | Jewel-Osco at that time?                            |
| 18    | MS. OLIVEIRA: Yes, I did.                           |
| 19    | ALDERMAN DUDZIK: And by your own admission,         |
| 20    | they are doing a fantastic job?                     |
| 21    | MS. OLIVEIRA: Yes, they are.                        |
| 22    | ALDERMAN DUDZIK: In your opinion, although          |
| 23    | you don't live in the neighborhood, because I'm not |
| 24    | sure that I believe that somebody that lives a      |
| 25    | mile-and-a-half away is in a neighborhood to this   |

00024 particular facility, is that neighborhood better off 1 2 or worse off because of the existence of this store? 3 MS. OLIVEIRA: The existence of the store, 4 in my opinion, I believe that the store is too large, 5 and that's always been my contention. 6 ALDERMAN DUDZIK: That wasn't my question. 7 My question is is the neighborhood better off because 8 you have a Jewel -- Let me tell you where I'm coming 9 from. I represent a district that would love to have 10 had a Jewel-Osco put in it, and it just didn't happen 11 for reasons I'm not going to go into, and so I'm 12 having a hard time understanding why continued 13 opposition to this facility is so strong apparently. 14 MS. OLIVEIRA: We were forced to take this 15 facility, and the neighborhood was very strongly 16 against it. However, it was through a lot of very 17 unbelievable truly machinations of Jewel-Osco, 18 including buying properties from people so they could 19 not testify against it, this building was erected. We 20 didn't really object to the Jewel-Osco, we objected to 21 the size. We would have loved to have them move to 22 your neighborhood. We didn't want it. 2.3 ALDERMAN DUDZIK: Like I said, my 2.4 constituents would have loved to have them.

25

MS. OLIVEIRA: We didn't, and we had to have

```
00025
        it. It's unfortunate.
1
                  ALDERMAN DUDZIK: I guess my last question
        or two is you spoke of a meeting that took place last
4
        night.
5
                  MS. OLIVEIRA: RNA meeting Tuesday.
6
                  ALDERMAN DUDZIK: How many people were
7
        there?
8
                  MS. OLIVEIRA: There were 28 people
9
        available to vote.
10
                  ALDERMAN DUDZIK: And only two voted in
11
         support of it?
12
                  MS. OLIVEIRA: That's correct.
13
                  ALDERMAN DUDZIK: Thank you.
14
                  MR. SCHRIMPF: Mr. Chairman.
15
                  CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Mr. Schrimpf.
16
                  MR. SCHRIMPF: I want to follow up with
17
        something that Alderman Dudzik was going into. From
18
        where you live, are you closer to the Jewel-Osco
19
        facility on Capitol --
20
                  MS. OLIVEIRA: Yes.
21
                  MR. SCHRIMPF: -- than you are to this
22
        facility?
23
                  MS. OLIVEIRA: Yes.
2.4
                  MR. SCHRIMPF: Have you had any problems
25
        with the Capitol Drive facility in terms of your
```

00026 1 residence? MS. OLIVEIRA: I believe that there's a lot of trash that comes to my street because of that 4 facility. It is a much worse maintained facility. 5 It's not like I wish they would do the same thing that 6 they're doing down on Humboldt and North up there. 7 It's not very attractive, it's not very well 8 maintained. 9 MR. SCHRIMPF: But have you had individuals 10 who are littering, walked past your house consuming 11 alcohol that you can attribute to that store? 12 MS. OLIVEIRA: They don't sell alcohol up 13 there. I do have bags in my trees and those kinds of 14 things. I don't know whether I've actually seen -- I 15 haven't followed anybody walking out of the store to 16 get off the bus. I have a bus stop in front of my 17 house. People do walk by with bags and I do have bags 18 floating around. I think everybody has those kinds of 19 things. 20 I also would like to say that we are a 21 cohesive neighborhood and this is my neighborhood, and 22 I don't believe that you can say that living a 23 mile-and-a-half away is not being in the neighborhood. 24 I know my neighborhood, I know where I live, I've

lived in all parts of that neighborhood, and I'm there

00027 1 for 22 years because of that. ALDERMAN DUDZIK: Mr. Chair, one last question, comment. 4 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman Dudzik. 5 ALDERMAN DUDZIK: I was looking at some of 6 the mailing list, which I found to be excessive as 7 indicated earlier, and I noticed that a couple of the 8 people that were mailed out actually live in my 9 district, and I'm 14 miles away. Thank you. 10 MS. OLIVEIRA: I'm thinking that maybe those 11 people don't even live in your district anymore 12 because that list was really old. 13 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Thank you. Any other 14 committee members? No. Mr. Farris, do you have any? 15 MR. FARRIS: No questions. 16 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Okay. Thank you very 17 much for your testimony. 18 MS. OLIVEIRA: Thank you. 19 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: The next person in 20 opposition, please. Name and address for the record. 21 MS. HANNAH: Katherine Hannah, 2336 North 22 I live a little closer to this Jewel-Osco 23 than Rosemary does, and I've had a different 24 perspective of development in the neighborhood than 25 Rosemary does, but we share the same perspective on

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

this. I've actually, just counting on my fingers, I've been a Riverwest resident now for 18 years, and I bought my home. And I'm three blocks away, two-and-a-half, three. I am a half a block off of North Avenue on Bremen across from the Reservoir, so really close.

With respect to loitering, I heard the question that was asked have you shopped at a grocery store that does have a liquor outlet associated with it, have you experienced loitering or inappropriate behavior, and the answer to that is yes, I have. And it's on the east side, and I've been panhandled on my way in and on my way out. You know, I'm not crazy about it. And yes, do I use the liquor store portion of it? Yes, I do. I like to have a beer, sometimes some wine with dinner. So it's not that I'm completely against consuming alcohol or people who sell it. In fact, I've worked at bars, but I do recognize from my degree in the social sciences from UWM that there is a statistical correlation that has been proven on more than one occasion between the number of liquor outlets and the type of illegal activity in the neighborhood, and by that I would mean crimes against person and crimes against property and the potential for those things to happen due to easy

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

access to substances that break down inhibitions. And of course, once you've sold it, you're not responsible for how someone uses it. You hope that they do it appropriately.

I wanted to address the promise that Rosemary brought up. I was present in a meeting where a promise was made to the community that given the opportunity to develop this Jewel-Osco, a liquor department would not be included. A pharmacy might, other departments might, a liquor department would not be included. This is a meeting that was held in a school. I was present at many of the same meetings that Rosemary was present at, and I've heard this promise more than once. I know this was made in a school. I have a vivid memory of it because someone brought up two representatives of Jewel-Osco, and I was surprised because the plan that they laid out showed floor space for a liquor department, and the community had been very active speaking against it. Particularly at that time eight years ago people were not offering to build condominiums in Riverwest. My property values weren't costing me in taxes what they do today. So people were really scared at that time. There was a lot of concern about what

development went in and what it was going to do to us.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

When I first moved into my home -- I hadn't bought it yet, I rented it -- I had a drug house next door. That was a little scary. My friends wouldn't come visit me. Now, they come. So, obviously, we care a lot and watch the development very closely. And I favored development on that corner because I wanted to see more services for my community, and now as a landlord, I care about what services are available for my tenants. It's nice to say there's a bus line close, it's nice to say that some of the little cafes and diners have opened. It's a marketing point for me. I like it. But if you put the wrong thing there, not only is it not a marketing point, it affects the quality of the people that become my neighbors. That affects my quality of life. I'm getting older. don't have as much patience for that.

With respect to the gentleman that suggested that Rosemary is a mile-and-a-half away is not a neighbor, I walk longer than that for exercise every day through my neighborhood taking a look at my neighbors, what the yards look like, what's going on, picking up trash. And Riverwest is more cohesive than many neighborhoods because we've had to be, and a mile-and-a-half is my neighbor. So please keep that in mind when you listen to people.

2.4

And that's probably also going to mean that some of the people speaking in favor of it who don't live as close as I do are also my neighbors. Of course, we like to say in my neighborhood diversity. So I'm speaking against it. I do not perceive a need for an additional liquor outlet in my neighborhood, and a promise was made that I would like to see kept, and I am delighted that Jewel-Osco has kept many of the promises that they have made. That store is well maintained, their parking lot is immaculate, they have a very proactive security force there.

Now, I don't shop there, but I have occasionally dropped off somebody who does, and I've been told to move my car, so I know those people are keeping an eye on things, and we appreciate that very much, and that does make them a good neighbor, but we hope that they listen to us again. And I realize that the people before you now were not involved in making that promise, but I hope they can be involved in keeping it and understanding what the community is concerned about.

As for the notices that went out, such an unusually large number, that kind of tells you something, that the neighborhood can be very active and they are concerned. I got one of those notices,

| 00032 |  |
|-------|--|
| 1     | but I got it very late. It was actually very hard for  |
| 2     | me to be here today because of the late notice, and if |
| 3     | I had to depend on that, I might not have been able to |
| 4     | leave work. I knew about this sooner, though, from     |
| 5     | Riverwest Neighborhood Association, so I had an        |
| 6     | opportunity to think about whether or not I wanted to  |
| 7     | appear to take time off of work, make my boss really   |
| 8     | mad. And I hope you understand that probably more      |
| 9     | people would be here possibly even on both sides if    |
| 10    | notices had gone out just a little bit sooner. Does    |
| 11    | anyone have any questions?                             |
| 12    | CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Thank you for your                 |
| 13    | testimony. Questions by committee?                     |
| 14    | ALDERMAN DUDZIK: Mr. Chair.                            |
| 15    | CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman Dudzik.                   |
| 16    | ALDERMAN DUDZIK: How long did you say you              |
| 17    | lived there?   |
| 18    | MS. HANNAH: I have lived there 18 years, I             |
| 19    | think.   |
| 20    | ALDERMAN DUDZIK: You're counting on your               |
| 21    | fingers. Thank you. I'm curious, you mentioned a       |
| 22    | number of condos that have gone up in the area.        |
| 23    | MS. HANNAH: Oh, yeah.                                  |
| 24    | ALDERMAN DUDZIK: Concentration of people               |
| 25    | has increased, obviously, right?                       |

00033 1 MS. HANNAH: They absolutely have. ALDERMAN DUDZIK: And your property value has also increased? 4 MS. HANNAH: Oh, yeah. 5 ALDERMAN DUDZIK: And that has occurred 6 since Jewel-Osco has been at this location? 7 MS. HANNAH: No. My property value started 8 going up before Jewel-Osco. 9 ALDERMAN DUDZIK: I'm saying the placement 10 of the Jewel-Osco hasn't driven your property values 11 down. 12 MS. HANNAH: Jewel-Osco has not driven my 13 property values down, however, I would love it if 14 you'd like to come with me and pick up the trash on 15 Reservoir Park every Saturday morning like I do and 16 pick up eggs, hot fries and Cokes that get discarded 17 and the bags that people put it in. 18 Jewel-Osco does a beautiful job maintaining 19 their lot. Obviously, they can't control what kids do 20 when they walk off it. And that stuff blows right

21

22

23

24

25

into my yard.

ALDERMAN DUDZIK: The reason I brought up the distance, the mile-and-a-half distance, you, obviously, are in good health and walk a considerable amount. I'm just concerned that there are people in

00034 1 this neighborhood that aren't quite capable of walking a mile-and-a-half. 3 MS. HANNAH: Oh, absolutely. I hope if 4 they're that sick that they'll check with their doctor 5 about consuming alcohol. 6 ALDERMAN DUDZIK: Point well taken. 7 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Any other questions, 8 comments by committee? Mr. Farris, do you have 9 anything? 10 MR. FARRIS: No, no questions. 11 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Thank you very much for 12 appearing this morning. We appreciate it. And the 13 next person in opposition? Good morning. Please 14 state your name and address for the record. MS. DELVALLE: My name is Brenda DelValle, 15 16 and because I am a former Milwaukee police officer, 17 I would prefer not to give my home address. 18 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: That will be fine, but 19 we have this on the sign-in sheet. Can you tell me 20 how close you live? 21 MS. DELVALLE: I live approximately eight 22 blocks north of Jewel-Osco. 23 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: And your testimony, 2.4 please. 25 MS. DELVALLE: First of all, when I met my

2.4

husband back in '99 and he told me that he lived on North Booth right off of Locust, I swore I would never live over there because of the reputation of Riverwest. I had done various undercover work in the area, and the reputation, not living there, just work reputation that Riverwest had.

So then as time went on, I and my future husband got to know the neighbors, I did live, and I've grown very fond of the neighbors. There's a lot of homeowners. They're wonderful. They would come to me as I was their major police force. So then we get Holton Avenue people driving their cars, speeding down Holton Avenue even though we have quite a few children. It's common in all neighborhoods. There's people speeding, we would come out and find beer bottles and so on from parties and so on, and it was very frustrating, coming off of Holton coming around on Booth, which is just one block east.

So then we renovated our home and we moved four blocks east from there, Brennan and Chambers Locust area. Love the neighborhood. More homeowners, so it's a little bit more quiet, except that we do have a condominium that is just being built on the other side of the park from where we live. A lot of construction, a lot of condos. That is what is

00036 1 happening in the Riverwest area now. It's booming. People are getting wind of it, they are excited because it has -- it's like the new east side 4 is what I call it, because the east side is 5 so saturated, so expensive, a lot of people just can't even rent over there. In Riverwest you can, you can 6 7 still find a place to rent for 450, and you can now 8 buy a house for 200,000-plus, and condos, they're 9 going up. I can understand why people want their 10 hands in it because it's a money maker now. 11 Now, me speaking personally as a police 12 officer, almost 20 years of dealing with people, 13 domestic problems, hold-ups, robberies, forgeries, you 14 name it, I've dealt with it, and going to a place that 15 has been held up, alcohol is related, going to a 16 domestic situation where alcohol is related. I'm 17 speaking personally on the alcohol issue and starting 18 my --19 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Excuse me, and I don't 20 want to cut you off or anything, but we have to take 21 testimony that's relevant to this and on the 22 23

2.4

25

detrimental effects of alcohol. I don't want to be --MS. DELVALLE: This is my opposition to it. It's my experience.

CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Try to stay closer to

2.4

the point.

MS. DELVALLE: Okay. Just me personally as a police officer, the problems that alcohol arises of what can happen in situations, people making decisions that they normally wouldn't make, and what I see with an alcohol store being open and the problems of loitering and panhandling and theft will go up because you will have people that cannot afford a lot of things, and they are closer to that location, and they will proceed there to do what they need to do to get what they can.

And Jewel-Osco does do a fantastic job there. I don't understand why people would oppose because it's a store and it opens up and adds employment, but when it comes to this issue, I'm very strong against it because I know that they cannot stop what people's actions are once they're out in the parking lot, but then that comes driving down Humboldt past my house. That could affect a neighbor who walks there to get alcohol two blocks away and then it affects their situation at home.

More alcohol in the neighborhood, more alcohol stores. If it's so convenient, Pick 'n Save is just a few more blocks down where they can get it there. And I do notice that their store isn't as

clean as Jewel-Osco, but I do notice there's a lot of college students coming in and out of Pick 'n Save shopping for it, a lot of younger people is what I notice there. So why would we need another liquor store? That was the meeting on Tuesday. I just don't understand why Jewel-Osco would need another liquor store.

Their store is doing fantastically. They do know the opposition by the Riverwest community, they do know, and you know a lot of people don't come out and speak for themselves. They hope that people like us will.

 $\mbox{CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK:} \quad \mbox{But unfortunately at this meeting you cannot speak for them.}$ 

MS. DELVALLE: Unfortunately.

CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: I'm not trying to be a nasty guy about this.

MS. DELVALLE: I can understand Jewel-Osco is making good money, they're involved in their community in what they do, and I can understand, but I also heard Mr. Farris here state on Tuesday that, if I understand correctly, that Jewel needs the liquor license to stay competitive or else they could possibly close. I think this is something they should have considered eight years ago when they built it,

| 00039 |  |
|-------|--|
| 1     | because they knew the opposition of it even being    |
| 2     | built in the first place. I think I pretty much have |
| 3     | stated my case here.                                 |
| 4     | CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Are there any questions          |
| 5     | by members of the committee?                         |
| 6     | ALDERMAN BOHL: Mr. Chairman.                         |
| 7     | CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman Bohl.                   |
| 8     | ALDERMAN BOHL: Thank you Mr. Chairman.               |
| 9     | The question I have for you, you mentioned a Pick 'n |
| 10    | Save that has a liquor license in the area.          |
| 11    | MS. DELVALLE: On Van Buren.                          |
| 12    | ALDERMAN BOHL: I believe that that was a             |
| 13    | license that was just recently granted.              |
| 14    | MS. DELVALLE: No. It's been there.                   |
| 15    | MR. FARRIS: The Kohl's in the Juneau                 |
| 16    | Village was more recent.                             |
| 17    | MS. DELVALLE: Which is closed.                       |
| 18    | ALDERMAN BOHL: But you indicate you                  |
| 19    | witnessed/experienced problems at that Pick 'n Save? |
| 20    | MS. DELVALLE: It's not a problem, it's what          |
| 21    | I observed. You observe people for 20 years, it just |
| 22    | doesn't go away. You watch, and I see a lot of young |
| 23    | people going in there. And Riverwest is made up of a |
| 24    | lot of young people. It's very diverse, a lot of     |
| 25    | college students, a lot of artists.                  |

| ALDERMAN BOHL: And this particular location           |
|---|
| would still be relatively close to UWM.               |
| MS. DELVALLE: It appears so, Marquette or             |
| something where I see a lot of students in there.     |
| ALDERMAN BOHL: Thank you.                             |
| CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Other committee                   |
| members, any questions?                               |
| ALDERMAN DUDZIK: Mr. Chair.                           |
| CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman Dudzik.                  |
| ALDERMAN DUDZIK: First I have to                      |
| acknowledge that I know Brenda, and it's through her  |
| work and her husband's work and a number of other     |
| people in the Riverwest area that that area is        |
| rebounding. I just have a couple of questions.        |
| Brenda, have you ever seen any panhandling            |
| or loitering at this location?                        |
| MS. DELVALLE: I don't go to Osco that much            |
| to see it, but no, personally I have not.             |
| ALDERMAN DUDZIK: And the Pick 'n Save,                |
| because you realize I'm a south sider, the Pick 'n    |
| Save that you mentioned is actually closer to UWM, is |
| it not, than this location?                           |
| MS. DELVALLE: No. I think Jewel-Osco is               |
| closer, yeah. Pick 'n Save is maybe about eight       |
| blocks south.   |
|   |

| 00041 |  |
|-------|--|
| 1     | ALDERMAN DUDZIK: And I hope you're not                 |
| 2     | offended by this next question, but can you walk a     |
| 3     | mile-and-a-half?                                       |
| 4     | MS. DELVALLE: Very much so.                            |
| 5     | ALDERMAN DUDZIK: And obviously you drink               |
| 6     | because you and I have done that on more than one      |
| 7     | occasion.  |
| 8     | MS. DELVALLE: Don't make it sound like                 |
| 9     | that. Not that much.                                   |
| 10    | ALDERMAN DUDZIK: We've done it on occasion.            |
| 11    | MS. DELVALLE: On occasion.                             |
| 12    | ALDERMAN DUDZIK: We've done it on more than            |
| 13    | one occasion.  |
| 14    | MS. DELVALLE: A few.                                   |
| 15    | CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Any questions by other             |
| 16    | members of the committee? Mr. Farris, do you have any  |
| 17    | questions?   |
| 18    | MR. FARRIS: No questions.                              |
| 19    | CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Thank you very much for            |
| 20    | appearing this morning. We appreciate your testimony.  |
| 21    | Now, to the best of my knowledge, there was only three |
| 22    | people that raised their hands as far they were in     |
| 23    | opposition; is that correct? Is there anyone else in   |
| 24    | this room that is here to testify in opposition to     |
| 25    | this license? Would you like to be heard now?          |
|       |  |

00042 1 ALDERMAN D'AMATO: It's up to you, Mr. 2 Chairman. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Please, your testimony 4 then, please, Alderman D'Amato. 5 ALDERMAN D'AMATO: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 6 Michael D'Amato, alderman for the 3rd District, City 7 Hall, 200 East Wells Street. Right here. My office 8 is right next to yours. 9 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: And how far do you live 10 from this location? 11 ALDERMAN D'AMATO: Mr. Chairman, members, 12 thank you very much. I want to make two things clear 13 in the beginning of my comments. First that this 14 store lies in the 6th District, in Alderman McGee's 15 district, and not in my district, in the 3rd District. 16 It is essentially a store that is bordered by North 17 Avenue on one side and the Milwaukee River on the 18 other and Humboldt Avenue on the west. My district 19 lies across the street on North Avenue, it also lies 20 across the river, and many of my constituents have 21 been heard either by e-mail, by phone, or by letter 22 with regard to the issuance so I speak on the behalf. 23 Secondly, I want to make it also clear that 2.4 I think that the representatives of Jewel-Osco have

made themselves extremely available to neighbors with

25

2.4

regard to this license. They have been honest and forthright and particular both opponents and supporters have complimented Ms. Sanders on her presentation and her availability. I was at a meeting with Ms. Sanders at the Brady Street Neighborhood Association, I believe you have a letter of their support in your file after a Riverwest Neighborhood Association meeting that was mentioned earlier today.

My opposition is essentially for two reasons. First, I also have personal recollection of both public and private meetings in which the representatives from Jewel-Osco and their representatives from Miller Communications indicated that there would not be a license applied for at this location. My personal recollection of it is that it occurred at least one time in public at the Palmer Street School meeting, and my recollection is that the words were spoken at that time by Mr. Ron Irwin, who is a representative of Miller Communications.

Privately I had a number of meetings at the time in which the store was attempted to be built and privately those representations were made to me, and I cannot in good conscience step away from this hearing and not provide that information to the committee. I believe that at the time Jewel-Osco meant to the

2.4

neighbors and represented to the neighbors that they would not apply for a liquor license so that the neighbors would support a zoning variance for their store to be located at that location.

That does not mean that everybody who was opposed to this in the beginning is presently opposed. I think that today's representation of both supporters and opponents is probably a fair representation of the opinion now. We received a number of e-mails from people who, like me, had a personal recollection of promises being made. I received a number of e-mails from people who were opposed to this originally who have now said they regularly shop at the store and supported that license. So there is a very mixed opinion about what's happening here.

I think that many people, including opponents, Ms. Oliveira has said that she stopped there, shopped at that location, had said that they liked the store not only because it's well run but because of its diversity of clientele. I think people see that this serves everybody, whether you're east of the river or north in Riverwest or west of Humboldt Avenue. There's a good coming together of people here.

My second reason for opposition is the issue

2.4

of concentration. You have a concentration map before you, and as I was down in my office watching the previous hearing about the Bodega, I thought that Alderman McGee made a very eloquent statement as to why we don't need more outlets in this neighborhood. The item that you just heard is a store that you denied on a vote of four-to-one that is just one block further than a half mile from this store. It is, for all intents and purposes, the same exact neighborhood. This committee voted four-to-one at that time because of concentration and because they understood Alderman McGee's argument that this neighborhood did not need more liquor outlets. I thought that was a wise decision, I think it's a wise decision here.

I also have to make it clear to you that I would hope that one of the things that you would decide at the end of this meeting or take under consideration is holding this item so that the people from Jewel-Osco could take my advice, work with perhaps an outlet that already exists in this neighborhood, transfer a license in and not add to the concentration in the area but instead take one of those licenses that exists in that half mile -- and I think there are four or five of them -- and see if they can't make an arrangement in which the number of

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

licenses can stay constant. I think that is something that should be done on a regular basis, and setting that precedent here in this case I think would be a good policy.

Lastly, let me say at that meeting of the Riverwest Neighborhood Association questions were asked about the store at Capitol Drive, and representatives from Jewel-Osco had indicated that it is their intention at some time to apply for a liquor license at that store, that's the way they do things. And I would just ask this committee, is it going to be the policy of the Common Council now that every grocery store that comes into this city is automatically granted a license? Because it seems to me that as we get into grocery wars that we will proliferate the number of licenses as more grocery stores come in because there's an expectation to remain competitive that you have to have a liquor license. I have that worry as I see more and more grocery stores coming in and more and more liquor licenses.

I think we should take an active role in trying to get licenses that presently exist into those grocery stores and try to make a business association between those two parties, and I'm happy to answer any

00047 1 questions. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Thank you for your testimony. And just FYI, the Pick 'n Save on Holt and 4 Chase was that case where they purchased an existing 5 liquor store, and the place has not been licensed 6 since then, and then that was moved to that Pick 'n 7 Save location, and the same thing happened on 18th and 8 National. ALDERMAN D'AMATO: Yes. 9 10 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: So it did not increase 11 the concentration, and it actually took out stores 12 that were actually troublesome, two troublesome 13 stores. 14 ALDERMAN D'AMATO: I think those are both 15 great models. I hope when you deliberate that you 16 would take them into consideration. 17 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Any questions by 18 committee? 19 ALDERMAN BOHL: No. Mr. Chairman, just a 20 comment. 21 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman Bohl. 22 ALDERMAN BOHL: Certainly as the alderman 23 who has the fewest alcohol beverage outlets of any of 2.4 the 15 districts, that's something that I'm very happy 25 about and I'm not troubled one bit to have the fewest.

2.4

You're point is well taken, Alderman D'Amato, regarding the decisions here of this body, but I will point out that the decision that we just took here an hour ago or so is an outlet that was licensed up to eight months ago and had a liquor license for some 15-odd years, and we did deny it here, so we did not add to the concentration, as well. In fact, if we look at the consistency of 15 years, we took something that was over 15 years in this area and we denied an additional license there. So we want to talk about long-term, a year ago, two years ago, three years ago, we did take one off the books.

ALDERMAN D'AMATO: It's not often that the committee gets to decide things that are so close in proximity that you can take one and you can concentrate on the neighborhood as a whole rather than looking at each license separately. You may not remember that decision that was made eight months ago right down the street, but certainly today you can remember the hearing just before this and Alderman McGee's excellent comments.

 $\label{eq:chairman witkowiak:} \quad \text{We appreciate your testimony.}$ 

ALDERMAN McGEE: I have a question of Alderman D'Amato. I just wanted to know being that

00049 1 the Juneau Village recent license is in close proximity, or is that your district, Juneau Village? ALDERMAN D'AMATO: Juneau Village is not my 4 district. 5 ALDERMAN McGEE: That's in close proximity to yours, right? 6 7 ALDERMAN D'AMATO: Three blocks away. 8 ALDERMAN McGEE: I want to know did you come 9 and testify against that? 10 ALDERMAN BOHL: Mr. Chairman, that was the 11 one that was just last cycle. 12 ALDERMAN D'AMATO: I had not heard from any 13 constituents, I hadn't heard from anybody with regard 14 to that license. I'm here to represent their 15 interests. 16 ALDERMAN DUDZIK: I'd just like to expand on 17 that idea a minute, Alderman D'Amato. From the time 18 that Pick 'n Save has been open, again, because I 19 wasn't on the council at the time, have you approved 20 or supported any additional liquor outlets in your 21 district? 22 ALDERMAN D'AMATO: Certainly we have 23 approved restaurants, but we have not approved any 2.4 liquor stores since the time in which Pick 'n Save has

25

been there.

00050 ALDERMAN DUDZIK: When concentration is 1 talked about, there's no differentiation between liquor stores and restaurants? ALDERMAN D'AMATO: I don't know legally 4 5 whether there is a difference, but I think in the 6 sense of the neighborhood there's a difference, 7 whether you have Sanford's Restaurant, which is within 8 two blocks of here, or you have a full-fledged 20,000 9 square foot liquor store, I certainly think that those 10 are different. 11 ALDERMAN DUDZIK: Okay, thank you. 12 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Any other questions? 13 Thank you again for being here this morning. This 14 gentleman in the plaid shirt, and after you, then 15 we'll hear testimony from Alderman Davis. Name and 16 address for the record please. 17 MR. VERTZ: Tim Vertz, 720 East Meinecke. 18 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: How far away do you 19 live from this? 20 MR. VERTZ: Five blocks. 21 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Tim, okay, and your 22 testimony, and please, try not to be redundant and 23 speak only of your personal experience. 2.4 MR. VERTZ: I can tell you, alderman, that

I'm a board member of the Riverwest Neighborhood

25

00051 1 Association. I think that the saturation point is my issue. Jewel-Osco is the store that I shop at, so I like Jewel. I'm there once a week. 4 I live across the street from the Reservoir 5 Park, and every weekend I have to pick up beer cans 6 and bottles, and I'm just worried that that's going to 7 increase. When you go across the park, you have to 8 step over broken bottles, and that's really what this 9 is about. I really like the idea of Jewel taking over 10 another license that already exists, but I really, 11 really believe that there's just enough outlets in our 12 neighborhood. 13 We work very hard actually in closing bars 14 that are problems in our neighborhood, I worked on two 15 of them, to try to clean up the neighborhood. And 16 you've heard that the neighborhood is in an upswing, 17 and it is, and in part because of that because of what 18 we're doing. So that's all I wanted to say. 19 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Thank you very much. 20 Questions by committee? 21 ALDERMAN DUDZIK: Yes, Mr. Chair. 22

CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman Dudzik.

ALDERMAN DUDZIK: If I may. Again, when the original Jewel-Osco opened up, you said you're an executive board member for the Riverwest Neighborhood

23

2.4

25

00052 Association, which did not exist --1 MR. VERTZ: Did not exist. 3 ALDERMAN DUDZIK: -- you personally opposed 4 the Jewel-Osco going in at this location? 5 MR. VERTZ: I was indifferent. 6 ALDERMAN DUDZIK: Thank you. 7 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Any other questions? 8 Thank you for your testimony, thank you for appearing. 9 Alderman Joe Davis. 10 ALDERMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman and 11 committee members. My testimony will be based upon me 12 having Jewel-Osco in the 2nd Aldermanic District at 13 the Midtown development. Also, that Jewel-Osco has a 14 liquor license along with the Pick 'n Save, which is 15 in the Midtown development, and I'd like to address 16 the issue as far as loitering and also traffic. 17 I have worked closely with Jewel-Osco 18 Corporation to make sure that that doesn't happen, and 19 they've been very responsive. I haven't seen any 20 indication of any increase in traffic, and I think 21 that these establishments have better control of 22 loitering and also traffic because I think they have 23 the staff available to address that problem along with 2.4 stand-alone liquor stores, and Alderman D'Amato had 25 eloquently stated that this committee denied a liquor

2.4

license a stand-alone liquor license, but I can tell you that these corporate partners, as far as Jewel-Osco, does not get involved in selling those things as far as drug paraphernalia, and that is important to me as an alderman in the area because when you see a partner in a community that are willing to take risks instead of generating revenue because of the popularity of certain items, you have to respect the position of that particular entity.

So my testimony is based upon my dealings with them, their responsiveness to my concerns and my constituents, and also the opportunity to control the environment that may be detrimental to a community, such as increased traffic, loitering, and criminal activity. I think it's important that us as legislators have that additional tool and partners who are willing to not pursue revenue, particularly with, as I stated before, drug paraphernalia and underage alcohol consumption and sales.

CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Thank you, Alderman Davis. And to the best of your knowledge, how long has that place had an alcoholic beverage license?

ALDERMAN DAVIS: For about two years. And if I may, Mr. Chairman, there was some concerns with the community, but I can tell you that they do

00054 1 patronize that particular location and it's convenient. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Thank you very much. 4 Questions by members of the committee? 5 ALDERMAN DUDZIK: Mr. Chair. 6 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman Dudzik. 7 ALDERMAN DUDZIK: Alderman Davis, I just 8 want to be clear as to what you're saying. Are you 9 saying in your opinion that it's more appropriate, 10 beneficial, what have you, preferred to have a large 11 grocery store that is well run with its liquor license 12 than several smaller less desirable, less appropriate 13 liquor stores or liquor outlets? 14 ALDERMAN DAVIS: That's correct, Alderman Dudzik. And if I may Mr. Chairman, the reason why, 15 16 Alderman Dudzik, they have private security on staff 17 to actually patrol not only their parking lot, but 18 they have an excellent relationship with, in my case, 19 7th District, which responds in an appropriate timely 20 manner if they have any problems. 21 ALDERMAN DUDZIK: Thank you. 22 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Any other questions by 23 members of the committee? We appreciate you being 2.4 here, and thank you for your testimony this morning,

25

Alderman Davis.

00055 1 ALDERMAN DAVIS: Thank you. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Moving on. I would like to see the hands raised of the people in the 4 audience that wish to testify in support of this 5 license today, and actually, if I could impose upon 6 you to keep your right hands raised and we'll swear 7 you all in at this time. 8 (Speakers duly affirmed.) 9 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: One at a time could you 10 come up to the microphone here and state your name and 11 address for the record. 12 MR. MARTIN: My name is Dexter Martin, I'm 13 at 6203 West Capitol Drive. I'm Chairman of the 14 Executive Committee of the African-American Chamber of 15 Commerce.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

First I would just like to say I have a lot of personal experience with the Jewel-Osco on Humboldt and North. I would just like to say I was there in the beginning, I was there as a part of the contractors that constructed the building over there, and also, I was there when the talk was being shared about the liquor store being put in at that time. I was there when there was a lot of opposition against Jewel-Osco coming to the area, and I think the attitude that was adopted, too, by Jewel-Osco from me

2.4

seeing it from the outside is they wanted to prove that they was a good corporate citizen, and so I think what they really said to the neighborhood is let us show you what we can do.

And they built a very, very impressive building on that corner that had been sitting vacant for a long, long time. And just by me viewing it as just a regular common citizen and as a contractor, when Jewel-Osco built that building there, to me it looked like it ignited all of the other building that's going on in the area, and that's why I'm proud to be here today to support business development. And to bring in a corporation like Jewel-Osco to say that we want to do this and you want to serve the community and we want to make money, sure, we all as business people want to make money. Now, at the same time is that we want to be competitive in the process.

Some of the others spoke about some of the other stores that had the liquor stores in their area, so that means that these stores are selling their product at a competitive price, and I firmly believe that Jewel-Osco, also, too, is selling their product at a competitive price just like everybody else, and I would encourage the committee to take that under consideration as you make your decision here to award

2.4

or deny the application.

And I would just like to say that Jewel-Osco has been a supporter of the African-American Chamber, a supporter of a lot of other organizations that I personally know about. I guess the city as a whole a larger corporation for assistance, they can assist some and they can't assist others, but hopefully they would review the projects that's coming through their doors and maybe take a stronger look at community support projects that would enhance the quality of life, especially in the areas where your food stores are located.

And I have to say that I believe that they have been doing that, and I'm here in support of the Jewel-Osco application. However, I would hope that they would do the right thing by working with the community leaders, working with the community-based organization, to prove to them that sure, we can be a good corporate citizen just like they have done with the building that we're talking about today.

CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Thank you for your testimony, Mr. Martin. Any questions by members of the committee? Mr. Farris, do you have any questions? MR. FARRIS: No.

CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Thank you very much for

2.4

your testimony. Can we have the next person.

MR. WHITMORE: I'm Roy Whitmore, the operating vice-president for Jewel-Osco in Wisconsin. Address is 1955 West North Avenue, Melrose Park, Illinois.

I want to make three points today. I hear the proceedings. One is that Jewel-Osco has worked for 100 years to develop a reputation that is excellent. It's a family-oriented supermarket, and we intend to protect that reputation. Whether we have a liquor store or not, we will protect that reputation at all costs. It's important for us to be good citizens in every neighborhood that we live in.

Secondly, someone mentioned Pick 'n Save a minute ago. This is a business, and without the liquor store, we are at a competitive disadvantage, and that is a fact. And any business needs to be able to grow their business, and to grow our business, we need to have a full offering to all of our customers, and there's no reason for customers in this neighborhood not to have a full offering.

And again, I can't overemphasize the fact that as a business, we really need to continue to grow our business, and a liquor store would help us to do that.

| 00059 |   |
|-------|---|
| 1     | And finally, and Laurie mentioned this                |
| 2     | earlier, we have been good citizens in the community  |
| 3     | with our support of many different organizations, and |
| 4     | we intend to continue to do that. So with that,       |
| 5     | that's it.  |
| 6     | CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Thank you for your                |
| 7     | testimony. Questions by committee?                    |
| 8     | ALDERMAN PUENTE: Mr. Chair.                           |
| 9     | CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman Puente.                  |
| 10    | ALDERMAN PUENTE: How many more people would           |
| 11    | you employ at Osco if granted this license?           |
| 12    | MR. WHITMORE: About 26, I think.                      |
| 13    | MR. DALY: Actually, it would be about 10 to           |
| 14    | 15 new people.  |
| 15    | ALDERMAN PUENTE: Full time?                           |
| 16    | MR. DALY: No. Two full time, the rest                 |
| 17    | would be part time.                                   |
| 18    | ALDERMAN PUENTE: Thank you.                           |
| 19    | CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Any other questions by            |
| 20    | members of the committee? Mr. Farris, any questions?  |
| 21    | MR. FARRIS: No.                                       |
| 22    | CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Thank you very much for           |
| 23    | your testimony. State your name and address.          |
| 24    | MR. HILL: My name is Sherman L. Hill. I               |
| 25    | live at 3828 North 5th Street, City of Milwaukee,     |

2.4

Wisconsin. I'm the executive director of Harambee Ombudsman Project, which is located at 335 West Wright Street, which is the Harambee Community.

I'm here today to support Jewel-Osco, their commitment to our community as a good corporate partner. Jewel-Osco takes its role as a community partner in our city very seriously and has demonstrated in various ways in sponsoring other groups. Besides Harambee, they have also given support to Heartlove, Hunger Task Force, Journey House, and American Black Holocaust Museum just to name a few, and I can speak from experience since Jewel-Osco has made numerous donations to Harambee Ombudsman Project, and those donations started probably back in 1996-97 when they helped us build a community learning lab at Harambee that the residents could come in and use.

They gave us a \$6,000 donation where we was able to set up a 12-station computer lab. Also, up until two years ago, we were able to go and ask Jewel-Osco for donations to pass out baskets for Thanksgiving, Christmas, as well as Easter. The reason why we stopped passing out those baskets is because we rent space from Ameritech, and Ameritech came in and took back 5,000 of the square feet that we

2.4

had so we had no place to store stuff anymore, but Jewel-Osco did give us -- last year gave us some certificates that we was able to pass out to some of our seniors to get ham for Christmas.

Besides I shop at Jewel-Osco as well as I shop at Pick 'n Save, and Jewel-Osco is closer to where I live, and there's a Jewel-Osco close to where I work at, and sometime when I want to have a spirit or something, if I'm going grocery shopping, I'm going to go to Pick 'n Save, even though I would rather go to Jewel-Osco. So I'm just here to say that I think that the good corporate partner in which they have been in the City of Milwaukee as well as the Harambee community, I'm hoping that you will look forward on giving them their liquor license.

But one of the things I want to say is that during this time when the Jewel-Osco was negotiating with the neighborhoods regarding developing that store on Humboldt and North, I, too, was at numerous meetings, and I did see some plans that included a liquor store, but I don't remember ever hearing any representative from Jewel-Osco saying that they would not put a liquor store in there. In fact, one of the things and one of the reasons I got involved with that discussion was because I wanted to make sure that

00062 Jewel-Osco would hire residents from the Harambee 1 community, because as you gentlemen and ladies know, the employment rate in the Harambee community is very 4 high. So that was the reason I went to the table to 5 see if we could make sure that there was jobs 6 available for residents in our community. 7 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Thank you very much for 8 your testimony. Are there any questions by committee? 9 No. Mr. Farris? 10 MR. FARRIS: No. 11 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Thank you very much for 12 appearing here this morning. Alderman Puente. ALDERMAN PUENTE: So I keep a count of -- I 13 14 would like a show of hands, please, of the people who 15 live in the neighborhood that are in support of this. 16 I see five. In case they would testify and leave, I'm 17 trying to get an idea of the neighborhood residents. 18 Thank you. 19 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Okay. Mr. Holt. Good 20 morning. State your name and address for the record. 21 MR. HOLT: Michael Holt. I am editor 22 and associate publisher for the Milwaukee Community 23 Journal. Address is 3612 North King Drive. We, as

Wisconsin's largest African-American newspaper,

editorialized its support of this license last week

24

25

2.4

for a variety of reasons. I'm basically here, though, because of my personal support.

I just want to hit up three very, very quick points because I got to try to get a hair get and get to the Sykes show. The first point is I have a sense of deja vu being here because I testified five or six years ago and some of the concerns that were levied at the time really made the hair on the back of my neck raise because sometimes when I hear these comments about litter and undesirables, it seems to be code words for black folks coming into the neighborhood, and people actually said that the last time. I was getting a sense that some of the residents of this area just do not want black folks based upon some prejudices in the neighborhoods.

I can say that since the construction, the doom and glooms have never taken place. And as people have testified both for and against, the property is well kept, enhanced development in the area, et cetera.

Secondly, I'm an exclusive Jewel-Osco shopper. With very few exceptions, I always shop there. There are concerns on my part that because of the competition with the Pick 'n Saves, et cetera, one of the reasons I shop at Jewel-Osco is because of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

products but also because they have been a good corporate neighbor. They have employed a lot of central city people, a lot of youth training programs that contribute to community organizations, and one of the things I noticed after going to all the stores is that I don't see people leaving the Jewel-Oscos which have liquor licenses open up liquor in the lot or driving away with it because it's a totally different kind of product. It is not the product sold by these little corner liquor stores and grocery stores, the 40-ouncers they pop and then throw it on the ground. They are selling basically bottled upper scale products which require corkscrews, and the average young fella is not going around with a corkscrew in his pocket, so they're not going to consume the alcohol in that area.

My last point dealing with the competition. I'm very, very fearful, given the proliferation of Pick 'n Save stores in the City of Milwaukee, that they're pushing out the other markets like Jewel-Osco and becoming a monopoly in our city. If they cannot compete, then there's a very, very real possibility that they would close, and with their closure comes a loss of much needed jobs for central city residents. Thank you.

| 1  | CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Thank you very much,               |
|----|--|
| 2  | Mr. Holt. Are there any questions?                     |
| 3  | ALDERMAN BOHL: I just want to be clear. I              |
| 4  | heard you use the term thug here.                      |
| 5  | MR. HOLT: Our office is located across                 |
| 6  | the street from a corner store that sells liquor, and  |
| 7  | we spend an inordinate amount of time picking up those |
| 8  | 40-ounce cans from thugs, because if they weren't      |
| 9  | thugs, they wouldn't litter our neighborhoods.         |
| 10 | CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Thank you for                      |
| 11 | appearing here this morning, Mr. Holt. Lucy Krajna.    |
| 12 | MS. KRAJNA: Lucy Krajna, 3305 North Bremen             |
| 13 | Street.  |
| 14 | CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: How far away are you               |
| 15 | from this location?                                    |
| 16 | MS. KRAJNA: About a mile-and-a-half.                   |
| 17 | CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Okay. And your                     |
| 18 | testimony, please.                                     |
| 19 | MS. KRAJNA: Well, I shop exclusively at                |
| 20 | Jewel-Osco. I stop there after work when I leave my    |
| 21 | job, I whip in, buy something for supper. I stop       |
| 22 | there many mornings to buy coffee so I can get it      |
| 23 | ready for my co-workers and some cream, and I do my    |
| 24 | weekly shopping there, also.                           |
|    | I would love to be able to buy wine or beer            |

2.4

or any of those things at that store without having to do my shopping, leave the stuff in the car and run to Pick 'n Save or drive over across the river to the Liquor Depot. I think Riverwest deserves something like that. I think Jewel deserves a license. They've been a good neighbor. They run a perfect store. And in my heart, I feel there's a lot of animosity yet against Jewel-Osco for building on that corner.

And I wish they would have gave one to them when they came up on East Capitol Drive because that would have even been closer for us to go to. I'm not walking in some bar to order a bottle of wine or a six-pack to go. I'm past that. I'd like to walk into a liquor store and get a decent choice on things. I see no reason whatsoever.

And if you're finding beer cans along Reservoir Park, they can't be coming from Jewel-Osco because they don't sell beer cans, you know. So what is this? I think it's time we all get together in the Riverwest. By the way, I lived there 46 years, I've lived in my house 35, I bought in 1969 when -- excuse me -- but white people were running by the hundreds because it was after the riots. I raised six kids in there. I sent them to St. Mary Czestochowa, they went to Messmer High School, and I still live there. My

00067 1 husband was the only firefighter in the City of Milwaukee who lived in the ghetto, that's what they used to say. 4 I love that neighborhood, and there's no 5 reason why anybody should deny Jewel-Osco a license, 6 no reason at all. There are no facilities, and as we 7 go to Pick 'n Save, across the bridge to Gilbert's, 8 and the other one that I can't even remember where you 9 have to park underground practically, so I would love 10 to have a chance to go in and buy bottled wine, a 11 six-pack, whatever I want. Thank you. 12 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Thank you very much for 13 your testimony. Are there any questions? 14 ALDERMAN DUDZIK: Excuse me, Lucy. MS. KRAJNA: Yes, Alderman Dudzik. 15 16 ALDERMAN DUDZIK: I just want to be clear, 17 you live approximately a mile-and-a-half from this 18 location? 19 MS. KRAJNA: Yeah. I live on Bremen and 20 Humboldt. 21 ALDERMAN DUDZIK: Are you capable of walking 22 a mile-and-a-half? 23 MS. KRAJNA: Please, Joe. I don't need a 2.4 drink that bad. 25 ALDERMAN DUDZIK: I guess I won't ask the

00068 1 next question. Thank you very much. MS. KRAJNA: You're welcome. 3 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Thank you for appearing 4 before us this morning. State your name and address 5 for the record. 6 MS. STREET: Sherry Street, my address is 7 3302 North Humboldt, and for the record I am 8 Rosemary's neighbor and probably more importantly her 9 tenant, so I'm happy to say that the diversity we 10 celebrate in Riverwest includes diversity of opinion. 11 And Lucy, my other neighbor, pretty much stole my 12 thunder. There may be a concentration of liquor 13 stores, they're freestanding, and in my opinion, 14 that's where the problems occur. 15 Like Lucy, I would enjoy having the 16 opportunity to go in and buy a bottle of nice wine 17 when I buy my spaghetti to make for dinner that 18 evening. I would like to encourage you for personal 19 reasons.

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

And I'd also like to say while I did live in the neighborhood when the store was built, I do remember the discussions that took place, and I'd like to say that I think Jewel-Osco has lived up to their promise to be a good corporate citizen. Traffic is not an issue. I often see people taking the bus. I

00069 1 myself sometimes take the bus down to that Jewel-Osco. I would like to encourage this committee to consider approving this license. Thank you. 4 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Thank you. Are there 5 any questions by members of the committee? Mr. 6 Farris? 7 MR. FARRIS: No. 8 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Thank you for appearing 9 before us this morning. 10 MS. ELMER: Good morning. My name is Linda 11 Elmer, I live at 1128 East North Avenue. If you don't 12 know the store, I consider it right across the street 13 from me. Technically the store is a block. I'm 14 across from the Columbia-St. Mary's Family Care 15 Center, which is part of the Jewel-Osco building, so I 16 don't overlook the store, so if there's litter, 17 loitering, nuisances, it will be a block away from me. 18 I've lived there five years. I own the 19 home. I've lived in Riverwest previously about a 20 block-and-a-half away from that site for three years. 21 I rented. I support. I don't see that this will be a 22 problem. I just -- I've had no problems with the 23 store at all. I already have trash in my yard. 2.4 don't think I'll see increased trash, and the 25

competition is East Pointe Pick 'n Save, which

00070 actually I prefer to shop there. I rarely go to 1 Jewel-Osco, and I don't drink, so it will not affect me at all personally except it might harm me a little 4 bit. 5 Its competition, East Pointe Pick 'n Save 6 they have their liquor license. Why not Jewel-Osco. 7 Thank you. 8 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Thank you for your testimony. Any questions of members of the committee? 9 10 ALDERMAN DUDZIK: Mr. Chair. You do realize 11 you testified under pains and penalty of perjury. 12 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Any other questions? 13 Thank you for appearing this morning. Next person. 14 State your name and address for the record. 15 MR. JOHNS: Les Johns, 230 East Oklahoma. I 16 was a strong supporter of the Jewel liquor license on 17 Chase and Oklahoma, the former Alderman Breier's 18 district, and with how Jewel made the separate 19 entrances from the liquor store made it a little 20 inconvenient but convenient for people that shop at 21 Jewel. I shop at Jewel. I think it should be any 22 grocery store that comes in the area should be able to 23 have the right to sell liquor. 2.4 Jewel-Osco is a very strong supporter in the

community in Bay View. There was organizations I

25

belong to, we started the food baskets in the Bay View community. I used to run the South Shore Frolics for five years. Jewel probably gave over \$100,000 to that event and in kind service and people, so I'm a very strong supporter. They're very active in the community. If you work with the people, they're very — they like to come out and help communities and people in the event, and I'm just a very strong supporter of them.

CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Okay. Thank you. Are there questions by members of the committee? Thank you for your testimony. The next person, please.

MR. GAYLE: My name is Paul Gayle, I'm the store director of the Jewel-Osco located on 35th and North Avenue. I just wanted to say from personal experience that we do a pretty good job of running a safe facility selling alcoholic beverages. We maintain the entire property. I believe our property has enhanced the neighborhood.

As far as one of the comments that I heard about loitering, I believe we also do an excellent job of controlling that. I certainly just want to close by saying that the opportunities that we have provided in that neighborhood have been very great. Again,

2.4

from personal experience wanted to definitely say that we provide jobs in that neighborhood and we do a great job of doing that.

CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Thank you. Any questions of this witness? Thank you very much.

MR. JOHNSON: Hi. My names is James Johnson, I'm the drug side manager of the store at 35th and North. I just want to take time to talk about the responsibility of selling liquor in our stores.

As the drug manager, I am responsible for overseeing the liquor part of the store, also, and we take the responsibility of selling alcoholic beverages very seriously. We instill in our employees that they must card everyone under the age of 30, and if they don't, it's grounds for disciplinary action. I try to put the fear of God into new people because I do not want them selling to minors. I've often refused sales to many customers, make them very upset because they did not have an I.D. at the time. I know they're probably all over the age of 21, but our policy is to sell liquor to people. If we ask them for an I.D. and they don't have an I.D., then we have to say I'm sorry but we cannot sell to you right now. And that's all I have to say.

| 00073 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|-------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1     | CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Thank you very much.               |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2     | Are there any questions? Thank you for your            |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3     | testimony. Carrie Reisenger.                           |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4     | AUDIENCE MEMBER: She had to leave.                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5     | CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Marcus Smith? No. Is               |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6     | there anyone else that wishes to testify on this       |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7     | matter? Ma'am, did you wish to speak?                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8     | AUDIENCE MEMBER: Is it too late?                       |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9     | CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: No. Please come                    |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10    | forward.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11    | (Speaker duly affirmed.)                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12    | CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: State your name and                |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13    | address for record, please.                            |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14    | MS. JACOBS: Sure. Lorraine Jacobs.                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15    | CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: And your address?                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16    | MS. JACOBS: 2412 North Dousman Street.                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17    | CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: North Dousman. And how             |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18    | far away do you live from this?                        |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19    | MS. JACOBS: About two blocks. I'm here as              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20    | a neighbor, and I'm also the secretary on the board of |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21    | the Riverwest Neighborhood Association. I'm sorry I    |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22    | wasn't able to hear other comments, so if I'm          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23    | repeating something, I apologize.                      |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24    | I just wanted to make sure that the                    |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25    | committee did recognize that at the Riverwest          |  |  |  |  |  |

Neighborhood Association meeting on Tuesday, the 13th, we did come to a consensus at that meeting to not support the liquor license at this time.

CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Ma'am, I hate to interrupt you, but you can only give personal testimony, you can't speak on behalf of others that are not here.

MS. JACOBS: Okay. The other thing just for myself as a neighbor -- I guess I had three points. One is that -- and again, if this has come up already, I apologize -- one is that there was some sort of agreement, and I wondered if that had been researched, and I would like to have the license application delayed until that's researched further. Also, at the meeting which I was at, there was some discussion and seemed to be agreement with Laurie that there would be a written commitment plan that would be written together with the neighbors and with Jewel-Osco management regarding the liquor sale, so I would like to have the decision delayed until that can be arranged.

And then there was a suggestion that if there were to be a liquor sales at this location that there would be some way of looking to see if there could be another liquor store moved into that

00075 1 location, in part, managed by both and thereby not increasing the number of liquor licenses in the neighborhood. So those are my three points. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Thank you for your 4 5 testimony. We appreciate it. Are there any questions 6 by members of the committee? Thank you so much for 7 appearing here this morning. 8 ALDERMAN BOHL: I just have a question for 9 the applicant. I just want to affirm under the record 10 here that the intention is to have a facility that 11 would have a separate entrance. 12 MR. DALY: That is correct. 13 MS. SANDERS: That's correct. 14 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman Witkowski. 15 ALDERMAN WITKOWSKI: Question for the 16 applicant. There's been testimony about litter in the 17 neighborhood and that the area around the store is in 18 great shape but not necessarily a block or two down. 19 Do you have any comment on that for the future? 20 MR. FARRIS: Mike, why don't you respond to 21 that. 22 MR. DALY: We, like you've heard, keep our 23 lot very clean. It's cleaned every night. I can't 2.4 see us being responsible for three blocks around the

store. It really hasn't been discussed as far as what

25

00076 1 we do for litter. ALDERMAN WITKOWSKI: Would you be willing to assist the neighbors? 4 MR. DALY: I can't answer that right now. 5 MS. SANDERS: I can respond. 6 MR. WHITMORE: Roy Whitmore, operating 7 vice-president. I can say that we will assist the 8 community and try to police it, but obviously, we 9 can't throw a ton of resources at it, but we're 10 certainly willing to help out. 11 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Thank you. Any other 12 questions by members of the committee? Alderman 13 McGee. 14 ALDERMAN McGEE: Wow, I can't believe we've 15 come to this point. Well, I do want to thank all of 16 Riverwest, particularly the newspaper for putting this 17 issue on the table. This has been publicly known 18 since the license was applied for. I've received 19 maybe 400 e-mails. I had to stop reading them after a 20 while. So many of them, it's ridiculous. This is

worse for me. I would love to see you all in  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{my}}$  shoes

development as far as the lobbying and all of the pro

and con, and there's going to be somebody not happy

with the decisions made. I'm one to not always make

on this one. This is similar to the Park East

21

22

23

24

25

2.4

popular decisions or the easy decisions, I like to take risk with whatever decision I make and feel comfortable making as far as my support for anything.

I have some concerns about Jewel-Osco initially being a good corporate citizen, and I think they have been a good corporate citizen for the whole entire community of Milwaukee, but that neighborhood in particular, there's just not a good relationship based on possibly the building being built in the beginning with the intention of it even being in the location on East North Avenue. So that has a lot to do with it.

I did go to the Riverwest Association meeting along with Alderman D'Amato, and it was said at the meeting that there was an agreement to not have liquor at that establishment, but then there also was an agreement that as a central figure by the name of Reverend Buck -- and forgive me for saying it, Reverend Buck, if you didn't want me to -- everyone agreed that Reverend Buck had represented Harambee's neighborhood as a community leader at this meeting that I wasn't at, and this was several years ago, and I had said at that meeting that would oppose Jewel-Osco if Reverend Buck, as we all agreed that he would tell the truth, and he's an honorable man about

2.4

this agreement, and when I talked to Reverend Buck, there was no such agreement he can remember.

But then also, on the other hand, I remember Jewel-Osco when they first came to lobby, I don't know if it was an attorney or what member from Jewel-Osco, but they did mention that there is an agreement to not have a liquor license in the first few years. They added the first few years, and being that I'm a new alderman, I'm sure that has a lot to do with them applying or else they would have applied years ago with Marlene Johnson. That's just a fact.

So given that it's up to you all to make that decision, based on the information I got as if there was an agreement, so apparently there was an agreement to not have liquor but maybe it was for that first few years or so, I don't know. But that's two sides of it.

My concern with the situation is some of the attitudes of the neighborhood and the residents when it comes to the proliferation of alcoholic establishments. You heard my plea earlier and I talked about how many liquor and malt liquor establishments are in the district, but most of them -- I was going to show you the map of my district, of the 6th District -- it looks like a spaceship. And on

2.4

Holton Street, which is really as far east as you can get for the district that it runs all the way through, and myself and Alderman D'Amato collide in parts of Holton, and there's a connected issue, but it's like the Mason-Dixon line to me. This is Holton Street, you have west of Holton, all of the proliferation of this Class A Liquor and Malt establishments are all east -- I mean all west of Holton. East when you look -- and I wanted to enter this into the record so you can see the location of where Jewel-Osco would be, and the green triangles are liquor and malt establishments and from your distance that you are, you can see that east of Holton there is not one at all liquor and malt establishment on this map.

And I think that that's kind of unfair to Jewel-Osco to try to blame some of the issues that really are prevalent west of Holton on this fear of crime and somehow the thugged out community is going to come and just start drinking 40-ounce bottles in front of their establishment and throwing bottles on the parking lot. I just really think that's a prejudice and a stereotype. Pick 'n Save doesn't have that issue, and I've been to Pick 'n Save before, and they have a liquor license. I don't think that crowd would shop at Jewel-Osco because they have 61 other

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

establishments to go to west of Holton. Why would they come to Jewel-Osco when they can go similar to the Bodega Food situation. You can just blindfold them and take off the blindfolds, and they will find the location.

Given that, I just think that it's going to cost all of us more in the long run some of these problems that appear west of Holton if we don't address them because it's really going to affect all of us, the whole city, if we don't address a lot of our social problems, but I think that -- I think that east of Holton would really have a saturation compared to west of Holton. And the people who came adamantly and vehemently in opposition to this liquor license don't have the same vigor. You don't see them testifying when I'm up here with some of the mom and pop stores asking you all to not favor renewals and new stand establishments in those locations, you don't see those people here. They only argue about just the neighborhood. So I think us as a city, if we don't really not have this racial divide, it's going to start with myself and Alderman D'Amato really working together on Holton Street to bring some class establishments into the district, and I think Jewel-Osco can be a starter, and they have a track

00081 1 record in the community that it's impeccable. Apparently, they have a lot of support from people that I actually respect. 4 So given everything I said, I just ask the 5 committee to vote in favor of Jewel-Osco having a 6 liquor and malt establishment. And I don't know if 7 you all would like this entered into the record. 8 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Yes, if that's your 9 wish, alderman. Alderman Puente moves to make the map 10 of Alderman McGee's district as part of the record. 11 Hearing no objections to that, so ordered. 12 ALDERMAN McGEE: I just ask you to look, if 13 you find Holton Street on the east, look east of it, 14 the triangles tells the numbers, that there's none, no 15 establishments east. 16 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Thank you. 17 MR. FARRIS: Mr. Chairman, committee 18 members. If there is a concern about this alleged 19 commitment not to apply, I have some e-mails. We did 20 try to find out if there had been some commitment made 21 or something back then by different people, and I have

22

23

2.4

25

some e-mails that were contemporaneous at the time, and I'd just like to read parts of them.

CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: If you could, please.

MR. FARRIS: Just real quickly. This is one

2.4

from John Coon to a number of people including Barb Nunziato, who is here. I'm just going to read part of it. This is dated April 2nd, 1998.

"Although we were approved five-one last night at the committee level, we have some work to do before Tuesday's Common Council meeting" -- this is near the end of the rezoning process -- "I would like to bring up the liquor license strategy discussion for this particular store. It is my recommendation that we not apply for a license at this time. If we do, it's 99.9 percent" -- there's a blank there -- "we will be denied. If we allow Franklin, Wauwatosa, Waukesha, West Allis, and Greenfield to open and demonstrate the format, we will have a much better chance of long-term success. There is just way too much emotion right now."

Then I have one I think it's June 9th, 1998, and this would have been after going through council and all public hearings and everything had been done. This is from Barbara Nunziato, who is here, to Mike Mallard, who is the director of Real Estate. "Mike, I sent a letter recently to Bruce Block" -- who is their attorney -- "regarding our liquor license application at the above-captioned location. Apparently Bruce sent a copy of my letter to Dave Henny because Dave

00083 1 called me and said that we are not going to pursue a liquor license at the store for about two or three years." That's where we came up with what we told 4 Alderman McGee when we first met with him, that if 5 there was a commitment, it was for two or three years. 6 We don't think it was actually a commitment, we think 7 it was a corporate decision. 8 I'm glad to make this part of the 9 record. There is some more colorful language in here 10 I don't really want to read. 11 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Please. Alderman Bohl 12 had a question. 13 ALDERMAN BOHL: Who is the first of the two? 14 MR. FARRIS: The first one was from John 15 Coon, Mike Mallard who is head of the Real Estate 16 Division, Barbara Nunziato, a number of people, Bruce 17 Block over at Reinhardt, Karen Ramos. 18 ALDERMAN BOHL: Those are who were copied? 19 MR. FARRIS: E-mail. These were the people 20 involved in the matter. 21 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman Bohl would 22 move to make a copy of these e-mails part of the 23 record. Hearing no objections, so ordered. Mr. 24 Schrimpf, would you like to add something to this discussion? 25

MR. SCHRIMPF: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. As some of the witnesses were testifying, I got in touch with Ms. Bender and I also got in touch with Mr. Gartner of our office, and Mr. Gartner is still researching some things. He indicated that it's going to take him at least a couple minutes to get to it.

But essentially, the information -- check me if I'm wrong on this Pandora -- that Ms. Bender would have is that there was a planned development regarding this facility and that it was ultimately approved by the Common Council after the zoning was created by the Board of Zoning Appeals. Is that correct?

MS. BENDER: It is my understanding after speaking to the gentleman from the Board of Zoning Appeals that if this project would have gone before plan development area, it would have to be approved by the Common Council, and if there was any information, it would be in ZND.

MR. SCHRIMPF: Now, Mr. Gartner recalls that the issue of a liquor license was specifically addressed in the documents that he has, but he does not recall how it was addressed, in other words, if there was some delay or if there was an agreement not to or if there was a specific rejection of such an agreement to create a liquor license, but he does

2.4

recall that it was specifically mentioned, and he is trying to get ahold of those documents as we speak. The problem is he doesn't recall how it was addressed, that's the problem.

ALDERMAN BOHL: Mr. Schrimpf, if what you're saying is true, is this body, this committee and the Common Council as a body bound by the agreement that would have gone into --

MR. SCHRIMPF: Yes. My concern is if there was an agreement not to have an alcohol beverage license at this location at all or if there was agreement to -- and I don't know that this is the case, I'm only saying if -- or if there is or was an agreement to hold off on an alcohol beverage license at this location for a while and we're still within that time period is my concern, then we might have a difficulty in granting a liquor license at this location.

ALDERMAN BOHL: And that would only apply to whatever would be in any plan, general planned development for that particular parcel?

MR. SCHRIMPF: That's correct. So I guess what I'm possibly suggesting to the committee is that if we can just hold on this for a few minutes, we may be able to resolve the issue definitively, or if the

committee wants to proceed forward, I suppose it's possible to make, if the will of the committee is to grant the license, to grant it subject to confirmation that there's no violation of the plan agreement, or the other possibility is simply to hold at the call of the chair.

CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Thank you for enlightening us. I'll tell you what I'd like to do. I would just like to take a 10-minute break. We'll resume at exactly 11:30. I don't want anybody making a decision to go to lunch. We'll just take a break for 10 minutes and resume at 11:30.

(Break.)

CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: This is a resumption of the Utilities & Licenses Committee meeting for July 16th, 2004. It's 20 minutes to 12. Would the Assistant City Attorney Bruce Schrimpf please apprise us on what you found out so far.

MR. SCHRIMPF: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. We have found out lots of things. The problem is we're missing an Exhibit A to the some of the Common Council files that have been retrieved, which hopefully will answer the question.

It is certainly possible for the committee to proceed forward on this, and if the decision of the

2.4

committee is to recommend approval of the license, there would still have to be the zoning issue resolved before there would be a release of the hold from the Department of Neighborhood Services, so in that way, it would operate much like any other license that gets approved by the committee subject to a hold because, as Mr. Gartner who was here and had to leave pointed out, in order to legally operate, a premises has to have both the proper zoning as well as the proper licensure. So that would have to be resolved one way or the other.

Or the committee could chose to hold it -there are other meetings scheduled for this month -to the call of the chair, and hopefully this could be
resolved by then subject to any further information
that the committee might need.

CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Okay, thank you.

Alderman McGee, did you have some further comments?

ALDERMAN McGEE: Yeah. Before you go into committee, I just also want to encourage Jewel-Osco to build a better relationship with the neighborhood.

I'm really concerned about said license being issued and then a withdrawal as far as in that neighborhood of communication and some of the sponsorship that has been provided for some of the other parts of the city.

2.4

So that's something I wanted to say to you all. It doesn't have to be an agreement, it's just something that I would like to say on behalf of the neighborhood who is objecting to the license.

MS. SANDERS: Okay.

ALDERMAN McGEE: One more thing, too, is Alderman D'Amato being the chair of DNZ, as crafty and as intelligent as he is, you know that he would have produced that document that we're looking for by now, also.

CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Thank you for your comments. Mr. Farris, would you like to make a closing statement, please.

MR. FARRIS: No, other than we would -- I think the testimony and the evidence is overwhelmingly supportive of the proposed license. I don't think there's any evidence presented to you that there would be any problems associated with the license. It's a well-operated store. It's a store that needs to be able to compete with other stores that have licenses, the Pick 'n Save and whatever is going to open up in Juneau Village.

And I'll just briefly make my public policy argument. People on the Tuesday night meeting would say why does Jewel-Osco need a license, why should we

2.4

vote for another license? The reason I think is this store is an anchor for the neighborhood, and you want to keep this store strong, and what's good for the City of Milwaukee is to keep the store very strong and vibrant. It employs 150 people, they're going to hire another 10 to 15 people, it pays \$200,000 a year in property taxes to the City of Milwaukee. This is a \$6 million project. They built a road. You want to do everything in your power to keep it vibrant, and we've already seen that grocery stores can close. Then what do you have? You have problems. If you're lucky, you get another food store operator in there. If you're not so lucky, then you have to figure out what to do with the building.

We have a 67,000 square foot grocery store, so I think we should do everything in our power to make sure that it's strong and vital and it's there for as long as we're around. I know that's probably longer than reasonably possible. That's the public policy motivation that should drive you, I think, make sure that that store remains there, remains strong, and employs people from the neighborhood.

 $\label{eq:CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Thank you, Mr. Farris.} \\ This matter is in committee.$ 

ALDERMAN BOHL: Mr. Chairman.

2.4

CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman Bohl.
ALDERMAN BOHL: At this time, I would move approval of the Class A Liquor and Malt application for this location subject to all of the pertinent resolutions of the zoning matters necessary.

I make that motion for a number of reasons. I know in terms of the residential testimony issues and concerns have been raised over whether or not there was an alleged agreement to not move forward with the liquor license or not. One individual, one woman who came here afterwards -- I should say a couple of them asked that the matter be held to research this. I don't believe that this application here just cropped up in the last 10 days in terms of knowledge to the residents. I think it's been publicly known for some time. I can only surmise that the Riverwest Currents and the neighborhood associations in that area there had an opportunity to quote/unquote research if there was research that was provided.

I will also state for the record as much as is true that this body, this committee, the Common Council as a body is not subject to alleged gentlemen agreements, even if they were to have taken place. And I guess in terms of testimony here, I'm not

2.4

certain one way or another whether that did occur or whether or not there was a promise that it would be a matter of years or not, and so I think we need to look at the other issues that were before us.

This is cited for neighborhood objections for a number of reasons, for a number of issues including litter, loitering, traffic problems. I don't believe that the testimony to any of those issues certainly demonstrated that we could attribute that having a license at this location would add considerably to any of those specific problems, which leaves the issue of concentration.

When we look at the number of outlets at least provided in the detailed concentration map and notwithstanding the number of outlets that were brought forward by the first resident to provide testimony here -- I'll just go based on what we had in the map provided by the Legislative Reference Bureau -- 72 outlets but the vast majority of them, 61 of those being taverns or restaurants, five of them being Class A liquor and malts, the full-service venue. To me, that is what we're looking at here. I don't believe that anyone can demonstrate that adding an additional outlet at this location is going to increase consumption in the area. I don't believe

2.4

that if you add an outlet in a grocery store that people who didn't otherwise drink are going to now flock over to this area here, purchase something, and drink that they wouldn't have otherwise done anyways.

An issue was made and raised by the alderman in the 3rd District and a couple of residents in terms of having Jewel-Osco purchase a license and shifting one forward. That may have taken place in other areas. As a general rule, I don't believe the city should be in the process of handing out additional licenses. I think that we have a fair amount of licenses in the city and that individuals have an ability if they so desire to purchase liquor from a variety of outlets in many neighborhoods.

I will also state here what I mentioned earlier that we took up a matter here just a couple of hours ago in which there was a liquor license less than a half mile from this location that had up until the last six, eight months been in existence for over 15 years. They sought to bring that matter back and add that license back to the location because it had come under new ownership, and we denied it. So, in essence, we took one off the books that had been in the books for roughly 15 years. That, in essence, is doing in some part what the residents are asking.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

The final thing is is just the entire experience of purchasing alcohol or spirits from a grocery store establishment versus the small mom and pop shops. I don't want to cast a stereotype to the small pop and pop dealers or the local corner stores. Some of them are very well run, and potentially there could be problems at a grocery store outlet. I don't want to deny that that could be the case. I can only tell you from testimony I've heard from other aldermen here, others who have had experiences with Jewel Oscos, my own experience with grocery store outlets in the city and in the Milwaukee area that have those outlets, I do not personally see individuals who are out sitting in front of the establishment with the bag pounding down liquor in the parking lot, throwing, discarding those particular intoxicants or the remnants of them in the area.

I believe truly that with the covenant that has been provided here that would seek to prohibit the sale of the 40-ouncers, the more convenient type of problematic alcohol intoxicants, that you're seeking to provide a higher line of service for residents, and truly, I believe, as well, with the type of policing that you have and the efforts to go out of your way to ensure that individuals are of age and that there is a

2.4

separate area, I think that this is something that truly will limit, if not eliminate, most or all of the potential problems that may be associated at times with alcohol outlets.

So adding all those together, I do believe that this would provide residents in that area with a measure of convenience. I don't think that we're going to see any increased litter or debris in any of the associated parks blocks away because of sales of higher end beers and/or wines at this location. In essence, additional jobs, additional convenience. I think all and all it's a much better than it would be a negative, and therefore, that is my motion.

CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Motion by Alderman Bohl is to recommend approval of this license despite items contained in the notice. On the motion, is there any discussion? I'll ask again. Is there any discussion on the motion?

 $\,$  MR. COPELAND: Excuse me, Mr. Chair. I think you left some of the motion out pending the settlement of the zoning.

CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Oh, yes. With the caveat that this will have to be -- well, even if the committee recommends approval and the council approves this license, it still couldn't go forth without that

| 00095 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|-------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1     | occupancy.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2     | MR. SCHRIMPF: The clerk couldn't issue it.             |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3     | CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: That's right. With the             |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4     | caveat that there's no restrictions from the           |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5     | standpoint of zoning or occupancy.                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6     | ALDERMAN BOHL: Mr. Chairman, I just want to            |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7     | clarify that my motion is not that there be no         |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8     | restrictions, it would be that any particular zoning   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9     | matters would be satisfactorily handled because        |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10    | otherwise it's prohibited if there were, and what I    |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11    | want to say is that there is a possibility, even if    |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12    | there is a restriction, that that restriction could be |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13    | changed and that at that point the matter would be     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14    | allowed to go forward.                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15    | CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Are all committee                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16    | members clear on the motion?                           |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17    | ALDERMAN PUENTE: Yes.                                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18    | CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Let's take the roll.               |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19    | THE SECRETARY: Alderman Bohl.                          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20    | ALDERMAN BOHL: Aye.                                    |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21    | THE SECRETARY: Alderman Dudzik.                        |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22    | ALDERMAN DUDZIK: Aye.                                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23    | THE SECRETARY: Alderman Puente.                        |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24    | ALDERMAN PUENTE: Aye.                                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25    | THE SECRETARY: Alderman Witkowski.                     |  |  |  |  |  |
|       |  |  |  |  |  |  |

```
00096
1
                  ALDERMAN WITKOWSKI: Aye.
2
                  THE SECRETARY: Mr. Chair.
3
                  CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Aye.
4
                  THE SECRETARY: Five ayes.
5
                  (Proceedings concluded at 12:00 p.m.)
                             * * *
6
7
   STATE OF WISCONSIN )
8
9
   MILWAUKEE COUNTY
10
11
                  I, TERESE M. SCHIEBENES, of Milwaukee
12
        Reporters Associated, Inc., 5120 West Blue Mound Road,
13
        Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53208, certify that the
14
        foregoing proceedings is a full and complete
15
        transcript of my stenographic notes taken in the
16
        foregoing proceedings.
17
18
19
20
                               TERESE M. SCHIEBENES
21
                               Certified Shorthand Reporter
22
23
24
                                       , 2004.
   Dated this day of
25
```