1	CITY OF MILWAUKEE				
2	UTILITIES & LICENSES COMMITTEE				
3 * *	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *				
* * * *					
5 SHAH	he Matter of: , Nihar J., Class "A" Liquor and Malt renewal ication for "VIP Food & Liquor Mart" at 6329				
South	Street State Street.				
7 * * *	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *				
8 9 10	COMMITTEE MEMBERS ALD. JAMES WITKOWIAK - Chairman Ald. James Bohl, Jr Vice				
Chairman 11 12	Ald. Joseph A. Dudzik Ald.				
Robert Pu 13 Willie Wa	Ald.				
14 15 DE BENDER	PARTMENT OF NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES by PANDORA				
16 17	HEALTH DEPARTMENT by OSCAR L. BOND LICENSE DIVISION by JIM				
COPELAND 18 HOGAN	POLICE DEPARTMENT by SERGEANT JOHN				
19 SCHRIMPF	OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY by BRUCE				
20 in	Proceedings had and testimony given				
	the above-entitled matter, before the UTILITIES LICENSING COMMITTEE OF THE CITY OF MILWAUKEE,				
	the 13th day of July, 2004, reported by Donna Gulczynski of Milwaukee Reporters Associated,				

00002	
1	PROCEEDINGS
2	(NOTE: All City Personnel Were Sworn
Under	<u>-</u>
3	Oath Prior to These Proceedings.)
4	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Also in the 13th
5	District, Nihar Shah, Class "A" Liquor and Malt
6	renewal application for VIP Food & Liquor Mart
at	
7	6329 South 20th Street. Good morning.
8	MR. BANGERT: Good morning.
9	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Could you raise
your	_
10	right hand and be sworn in, please?
11	(Whereupon Nihar Shah was sworn under
oath.)	· •
12	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: And your name and
13	address, please?
14	MR. SHAH: My name is Nihar Shah. My
15	address is 3342 South Austin Street, Apartment
203,	· · ·
16	Milwaukee, Wisconsin.
17	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Could you state
your	•
18	appearance, please?
19	MR. BANGERT: David Bangert. I'm
appearin	-
20	as attorney for Mr. Shah.
21	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Thank you. Mr.
Shah,	4
22	do you admit to receiving notice there's a
possibil	
23	your application could be denied because of items
24	contained in the police report?
25	MR. SHAH: I received that letter.

```
00003
 1
                   CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: You did. Okay.
         Sergeant Hogan?
                   SERGEANT HOGAN: There were some sales
to
         underage in a police sting operation since his
license
         was last granted. The first occurred on the 27th
 5
of
         February of this year. A citation was issued
to both
7
         the licensee and the clerk who did the sale. The
8
         citation to the licensee was dismissed.
clerk
9
         paid a fine.
10
                   There was one on March the 18th of this
11
         year.
                The citation issued to the licensee was
12
         dismissed. The citation issued to the cashier
is
13
         pending. The next court date is July the 26th.
There
14
         was another one that occurred on June the 2nd.
The
15
         report on that item was received by my office too
late
16
         to be included in this report.
17
                   CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK:
                                        Thank you.
Shah,
18
         do you also admit that in the notice there was
an
         objection saying that there might be
19
neighborhood
20
         objections to loitering, littering, loud noise,
sale
21
         to underage, which was covered, and conduct which
is
22
         detrimental to the health, safety and welfare of
the
23
         neighborhood?
24
                   MR. SHAH:
                              Yes.
25
                   CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: All right.
```

```
00004
 1
         Neighborhood Services?
                   MS. BENDER: No objection.
 3
                   CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK:
                                         Health
Department?
 4
                   MR. BOND: No objection.
 5
                   CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Okay. Could you
please
 6
         comment?
                   MR. BANGERT: Well, my primary
comments are
         about the citations for sale to underage, and I
 8
think
9
         that's been the problem that has frustrated Mr.
Shah,
10
         especially during the last year, this year of
11
         operations. He's had problems, and I can state
___
12
         I've represented him on these citations, and
I can
13
         state that from my own knowledge, Mr. Shah
works
14
         full-time. The store hours are from 8 a.m. until
9
15
         p.m., and generally he works 80 percent of the
time.
16
         He's got a diabetic condition, and he is
required to
17
         leave to have some meals.
18
                   Since the last meeting, since the
last
19
         renewal, Mr. Shah has been frustrated because
he's, I
         know, worked very, very hard to be sure that any
20
new
21
         employees were trained and emphasized over and
over
22
         again that there has to be the proper measures
taken
23
         to check IDs.
24
                   The violation that came up February
27th,
25
         2004, was on a day that Mr. Shah was working
full-time
```

```
00005
         throughout the day, except it was his
birthday.
          Не
         took a two-hour break at about from 4 to 6 p.m.
to
 3
               The manager -- or not the manager, --
the
         employee who was left at the cash register,
that's
         when the violation occurred. Normally there's
another
 6
         manager, kind of an assistant to Mr. Shah,
someone --
7
         her name is Jody Browy, B-r-o-w-y. She's not here
         today because she is supervising the store in
9
         Mr. Shah's absence.
10
                   She wrote a letter that Mr. Shah -- It
looks
11
         to me like -- It's to Whom It May Concern.
                                                       Ιt
looks
12
         to me like it was probably addressed to the
alderman,
13
         but from my review, -- I was just provided the
letter
14
         this morning. It basically says the same thing
that
15
         I'm telling you, that Mr. Shah normally works --
16
                   CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Excuse me,
Mr. Bangert.
17
         We can't accept that.
                   MR. BANGERT: I'm not offering it.
18
Mr. Shah
19
         works 80 percent of the time, and
unfortunately these
         violations, these two in this past year, have
occurred
         on times where he took a break, and for one
21
reason or
22
         another there was a screw-up by an employee.
This
23
         Miss Browy, who generally manages in Mr.
Shah's
24
         absence, those two occurrences happened to
be, as she
         stated to me, on days that she had a health
problem
```

```
00006
 1
         and wasn't there that day.
                    Mr. Shah has done everything he
can -- I
 3
         won't say everything he can regarding the
sale to
 4
         underage, because there's one last step that
we've
5
         investigated and I've suggested to Mr. Shah,
and
 6
         1he's taken steps now to invest in. There's
some
7
         new software, -- I don't know how new it is,
but
         it's just come to our attention, -- called Legal
Age
9
         Security software which apparently has been very
10
         successful in -- if the employees use it properly
and
11
         are trained properly, -- in scanning IDs so that
12
         there's no chance that there can even be a false
ID or
13
         anything of that nature. It verifies age. That
14
         software is approximately a thousand dollars.
15
                    Mr. Shah has indicated -- well, I know
that
16
         in the last week he's made inquiries, and he's
ordered
17
         it and taken the first steps to put it into
effect.
18
         There has to be some training and installation
of
19
         that.
20
                    The second offense on March 18th,
2004,
21
         was a similar occurrence. It was in the absence
of
22
         Mr. Shah, and he fired the first employee who
sold to
23
         underage, a new employee screwed up again, and
24
         basically that's what happened. He's not trying
to
25
         offer any excuses. What's happened is a matter
of
```

```
00007
 1
         fact. I don't know -- THERE was mention of an
         instance on June 2nd, 2004, and that's one I'm
not
 3
         aware of.
 4
                   MR. SHAH: She left before.
 5
                   MR. BANGERT: Okay. So I can't
comment
 6
         regarding that.
7
                   MR. SHAH: She left, my employee left,
you
8
         know.
               David Bangert represented her, and she
went to
         Iowa.
10
                   CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Okay.
you.
11
         Questions by committee?
12
                   ALDERMAN WADE: Mr. Chair.
13
                   CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman Wade.
14
                   ALDERMAN WADE: Thank you. Sir, do you
have
15
         any children?
16
                   MR. SHAH: No.
17
                   ALDERMAN WADE: You don't have any
children?
18
                   MR. SHAH: No.
19
                   ALDERMAN WADE: Okay. By looking at the
20
         information that I have in front of me, although
the
21
         efforts that you put in force right now may be
noble,
22
         I think in my estimation you're a day late and
а
23
         dollar short. It seems to be that your number
one
24
         concern or problem has been sale to underage,
and I
         don't -- by looking at this record what I see
25
is a
```

```
80000
         pattern here, and -- Excuse me. Just let me
finish,
 2
         okay?
 3
                    What I see is a pattern of you not
seeing
 4
         that as an urgency, not addressing it properly,
not
 5
         taking the steps to make sure that that doesn't
occur
         again, because according to the information
 6
that I
 7
         have in front of me, it has occurred on numerous
8
         occasions. I just want to understand from you,
that
 9
         if you know this is your major concern, how did
you
10
         let this particular thing occur over and over and
over
11
         again without addressing it?
12
                    MR. SHAH: There's two issues I have.
13
         Right now when I open the store, I don't give
keys
         to anybody, and I work the prime time hours.
14
I've
15
         decided to work all the prime time hours, which
is
16
         from 3 p.m. to 9 p.m., and in the morning I take
off
17
         between 10 and 2:00 to work on whatever other
issues
18
         I have during office hours. And I have all the
19
         responsibility that I will be there from 3 to
9, but
         sometimes -- as a diabetic, I have to go get some
20
food
         so I take a break, and at 1:00 I take two shots.
21
Ι
22
         bring food at the store.
23
                    I work 14 hours some days when
employees
24
         don't show up, and I've done every measure to
close
25
         the door, and I card everyone at the door.
don't
```

```
00009
         even allow anybody without ID. I tell them to
go to
2
         the gas station to buy your soda, because then
there
         will be problems once there's too many people
in the
 4
         store, you know. Then there's too much to take
care
         of by one person.
                   ALDERMAN WADE: Okay. Having said
6
that, let
         me just ask you this. All of these sales to
minors,
         how many times have you been the person that made
the
 9
         sale?
                   MR. SHAH: I have never made -- I made
10
once
         in 2002 when I was working at Northwest Mutual.
11
I was
12
         working as an engineer, a software engineer, and
this
13
         was my side business and employees were running
ΜV
14
         stores. Right now I've given up all my profession
and
15
         concentrate on the store. I now give 100 percent
to
16
         the store.
17
                   ALDERMAN WADE: I just want to make one
more
18
         comment, then I'm finished with this. If this
is your
19
         business, your livelihood, and you know your
problem
         is underage sales, and you yourself have made
20
that
21
         mistake, --
22
                   MR. SHAH: One, one mistake I
made.
23
                   ALDERMAN WADE: Okay. Well,
I have my
24
                             Thank you.
        concerns about it.
25
                   ALDERMAN DUDZIK: Mr. Chair?
```

```
00010
 1
                   CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman
Dudzik.
                   ALDERMAN DUDZIK: Couple
points real
         quickly. I would like to have the entire police
         report read into our file.
                   CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Motion by
Alderman
         Dudzik is to recommend that the entire police
report
 7
         be made part of this file. Hearing no
objection, so
8
         ordered.
9
                   ALDERMAN DUDZIK: Sir, I do have a
couple
10
         questions, though. One would be, can you tell
me
11
         the approximate floor space of your store that is
12
         dedicated to alcohol sales?
13
                   MR. SHAH: Okay. There's 3,500 square
feet.
14
         50 percent is groceries, 50 percent is alcohol.
15
                   ALDERMAN DUDZIK: Okay. Could you give
me
16
         an idea of roughly what, by percentage, the sale
of
17
         alcohol represents?
18
                   MR. SHAH: Probably 60 percent to 70
19
         percent.
20
                   ALDERMAN DUDZIK:
                                      Thank you.
21
                   ALDERMAN BOHL: Mr. Chairman.
22
                   CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman Bohl.
23
                   ALDERMAN BOHL:
                                    Thank you, Mr.
Chairman.
         Mr. Chairman, this is a question for City
Attorney
25
         Schrimpf.
```

```
00011
1
                   Item number eight on the police
report is
         an item that occurred in the 2003 licensing
vear.
       Ιf
 3
         the matter was not adjudicated then until what
would
         be the current licensing year, -- it was
 4
adjudicated
         on -- adjourned for trial on 8/25, which was a
guilty
 6
         finding, -- would that count as one -- Would that
 7
         count toward the most recent licensing year if
the
         matter would not have been considered by the
previous
9
         committee last year?
10
                   MR. SCHRIMPF: Mr. Chairman, neither
counts,
         and the reason why is because even though these
11
         weren't bartenders, the statute is very clear
12
that
13
         it has to be the licensee. And so let me just
14
         comment, Mr. Chairman, that defense counsel have
been
15
         persuasive in dealing with these citations,
getting
16
        the City Attorney's Office to dismiss the case
because
17
         it was the bartender, because of lots of excuses;
the
18
         licensee wasn't there, the licensee was out, the
19
         licensee was on a break, and the bartender did
it.
20
         And then the deal is the bartender will pay the
fine,
         and the citation as to the licensee is
dismissed.
22
                   When it comes to an underage sale, --
this
23
         isn't true for underage presence on a Class "B",
___
24
         but if you are in a liquor store and it's an
underage
25
         sale, it has to be the licensee, it cannot be
```

```
00012
         bartender. And so in the case of looking at the
 1
         bartender, you could say -- and the date, by the
way,
         the year that we're talking about, runs from the
 3
date
         of the violation, not the date of the
conviction.
 5
                    So in dealing with the bartender the
next
         time around, you can pick up the bartender if
 6
there's
 7
         two within the year from the date of the first
8
         violation, irrespective of the conviction. So
the
 9
         problem is, because of the way this shakes out
and
10
         because this is the licensee, and none of the
11
         licensees were convicted, or the licensee was
never
12
         convicted, none of them count in this case as
to the
         licensee, even though this occurred on his
premises.
14
                   I have had discussions with our
office on
15
         that, and I had those discussions in June of
this
16
         year, and I think the office now understands
that
17
         under no circumstances is the bartender to be
18
         convicted or pled out and the licensee not,
because
19
         it's the licensee who remains in charge. It's
the
20
         licensee who can hire and fire the bartenders
and the
21
         clerks in a store like this. So we've had those
22
         discussions, and I think this problem will
not
23
         reoccur.
24
                   ALDERMAN BOHL: Thank you. And as
25
         Mr. Schrimpf knows, I've expressed concerns
to him,
```

```
00013
1
         I've contacted Linda Burke, and it's a been
а
 2
         frustration of mine as a committee member now
here
 3
         for two terms to have this committee's hands
tied
 4
         behind their back when ultimately we see
dismissals
         or at times plea deals, and frankly I would
rather
 6
         have you try the case and lose the case before
 7
         municipal court than to essentially hand over
the
         store. That's my frustration.
Schrimpf,
9
         this is established by the state statutes?
                   MR. SCHRIMPF: Yeah. So that the
10
TV
11
         audience is clear, yes, this exists under
Section
12
         125.12, I believe it's (1) (a) or (a) (1),
of the
13
         statutes and various subcategories, and you
also have
14
         to read Section 125.07 (1) (a) as well in order
to get
15
         it all together.
                   ALDERMAN BOHL:
16
                                    If residents are
frustrated,
17
         rather than complain to us, they should call
their
18
         state legislature and speak with their
legislators,
         ultimately, because they're holding the law
over our
20
         head.
                They preempt us in terms of --
21
                   MR. SCHRIMPF: And in addition to
that,
22
         Mr. Chairman, it was put through a number of
years ago
23
         on one of the midnight amendments to the budget
bill
24
         in the legislature, so that's how this --
25
                   ALDERMAN BOHL: Mr. Chairman, the
only other
```

```
00014
 1
         thing I will say is that having sat on this
committee
         the last term, Mr. Shah appeared before us, and
Ι
 3
         believe that there was testimony of a woman who
came
 4
         forward whose daughter was not present
regarding
         underage sales. We could not accept her
testimony in
 6
         lieu of her daughter not being present.
 7
                   Mr. Shah, I will tell you I have
extreme
 8
         frustration, because as I expressed to you at
that
         time, underage citations -- Let me finish,
okay?
10
         don't need you to cut me off. I expressed
frustration
         to you at that time. You indicated at that time
11
that
12
         it would not happen because you were at account
here
1.3
         on one of the incidents.
14
                   I will just tell you if someone is
employed
15
         by you, if they don't know the law, I believe you
are
16
         responsible because you ought to convey every
single
         day when you walk in there, card everyone, card
17
18
         everyone, card everyone; you're fired if there's
an
19
         instance. Card everyone, card everyone, card
20
         everyone. Before you leave for the day,
card
21
         everyone, card everyone, card everyone.
22
                   You've led by poor example
yourself. I
23
         think that you have looked the other way in
this
```

particular instance. I am fed up personally

I see in your record, and there's no other thing

with what

25

I can

```
00015
1
         say. I'm fed up with this record. I think you
have
         been very lax in your service to youth in terms
of
 3
         serving underage sales, and this is uncalled for,
 4
         absolutely uncalled for.
                   CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Any other
questions?
         Alderman Witkowski? Let's hear from the
alderman.
                   ALDERMAN WITKOWSKI. Thank you,
 8
         Mr. Chairman. The item is cited for neighborhood
 9
         objections, and there are neighbors here, and I
would
10
         ask that they come forward before I testify.
11
                   CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Okay. Who in the
12
         audience is here to testify on this matter? Just
one
13
         person? Raise your right hand, please, and be
sworn
14
         in.
15
                    (Whereupon Patricia Frausto was sworn
under
16
         oath.)
17
                   CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK:
                                         State your name
and
18
         address for the record.
19
                   MS. FRAUSTO: Patricia Frausto, 6324
South
20
         20th Street, Milwaukee.
21
                   CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: And how close do
you
22
         live to this establishment?
23
                   MS. FRAUSTO: Across the street, and
this is
24
         nothing against Mr. Singh because I have spoken
to him
25
         before, but I live in the condominium complex
right
```

```
00016
 1
         across the street from his liquor store. In our
         complex there are basically retired people and
single
         people, and a few people with children, but the
 3
entire
 4
         area is a residential area that borders right up
 5
         against Oak Creek to the south, and to the north,
of
 6
         course, Milwaukee, and my main objection is --
There
7
         are several.
8
                   The two primary ones I have is, number
one,
 9
         there's no need for a liquor store right there.
There
10
         are four gas stations within five minutes of that
11
         liquor store. I'm sure that at least, if not all
of
12
         them, at least one of them sells beer. On 27th
         Street, that's a very busy district with
13
Jewel-Osco
         and Pick 'N Save, both having liquor
departments.
             We
15
         have a nice area over there on 20th Street and
16
                   There's no need for a liquor store.
         College.
17
                   Secondly, the disarray of that
building
18
         is -- it looks bad in the neighborhood.
building
19
         is never kept up. The inside of the building,
20
         although Mr. Singh did say 50 percent was
occupied by
21
         groceries, I would say 80 percent is liquor and
the
22
         rest is groceries.
23
                   Again, there are four gas stations
nearby,
24
         there are convenience stores, so anybody can get
а
25
         gallon of milk that doesn't have a car if they
want
```

```
00017
         to walk, or eggs or whatever they need. And,
again,
2
         Pick 'N Save and Jewel-Osco are right down the
street,
 3
         so -- And I know my neighbors in the condominium
 4
         complex do have objections to Mr. Singh.
was
 5
         unaware of his charges of underage selling.
6
                   I did call Jeff, the previous
alderman,
 7
         at one time because there was a lot of glass
and an
         old sign that was sitting out there for
months, and
         I think Mr. Singh does not take pride in the
10
         neighborhood. And I just found out today that
he
11
         doesn't live in the neighborhood, and we do.
My
12
         parents live three blocks away from there and
have
13
         been residents for about 10 years, so -- And I've
been
14
         a resident of the condominium complex about
three and
15
         a long time resident of Milwaukee, and that's
all I
16
         have to say.
17
                   CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK:
                                         Okay.
                                                Thank
you.
      You
18
         said it's a condominium across the street?
19
                   MS. FRAUSTO: Yes, sir.
20
                   CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Okay. Any
questions by
21
         committee of this witness?
22
                   ALDERMAN BOHL: Sure.
23
                   CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman Bohl.
24
                   ALDERMAN BOHL: Thank you. Ma'am, is
your
25
         last name --
```

```
00018
1
                   MS. FRAUSTO: Frausto,
F-r-a-u-s-t-o.
                                    Thank you.
                   ALDERMAN BOHL:
                                                Do you
have --
 3
         Based on where your condominium is situated
here, do
         you have visibility of this particular
establishment
         here?
                   MS. FRAUSTO: I do not have direct
 7
         visibility. I'm more on the other side of the
         complex, so I talked to the neighbors who have
8
direct
 9
         visibility of that area, and obviously I see it
every
10
         day coming into the complex.
11
                   ALDERMAN BOHL: During those occasions
where
12
         you have direct visibility of the complex and
such,
13
         have there been any instances in which you see
what
         you deem as young persons entering the
14
establishment?
15
                   MS. FRAUSTO: I can say on one occasion
16
         there have been. I mean there are
teenage-looking
17
         kids who obviously -- I have seen -- I at least
can
         recall on one occasion a car full of -- I call
18
them
19
         kids. I mean I'm just visually looking at them.
They
20
         did look younger. But obviously they're going
to go
         to a place where they probably deem it as less,
21
you
22
         know, severe in checking the IDs, and I think this
23
         liquor store also presents itself as such. And
it's
24
         very close to the on and off ramps of 94, and that
25
         also is concerning.
```

```
1
                    The building itself is very
concerning.
             All
         the windows are pasted up with beer signs and sale
 3
         signs. You can't see in there so anything could
 4
         happen in there without anybody really seeing it,
and
 5
         possibly a building inspection would be a good
idea.
 6
                   ALDERMAN BOHL: I want to say, because
Ι
 7
         think you raise a couple of concerning points,
there
8
         are tons of people who watch this committee, and
they
 9
         will say, well, how come we don't just take a
license
10
         away if there's others in the area. Well, when
11
         someone has a license, they have vested property
12
         rights, and you just can't because there other
places
13
         down the street to turn around and take that
license,
         and that's not something that the City of
14
Milwaukee
15
         decides. That is what state law tells us we have
to
16
         abide by. The other thing is it is prohibited
where a
17
         gas station cannot sell liquor, and that is the
law.
18
                                  They sell beer.
                   MS. FRAUSTO:
19
                   ALDERMAN BOHL: Yeah, gas stations --
Not
20
         in the City of Milwaukee. The City of Milwaukee
21
         prohibits it. So across the border in Oak Creek,
they
         do it there.
22
                        That's Oak Creek.
                                            The City of
Milwaukee
23
         does not allow that. I just want to let you know
24
         that.
25
                   MS. FRAUSTO: Okay.
```

```
00020
 1
                   ALDERMAN PUENTE: Mr. Chair?
                   ALDERMAN WITKOWIAK: Aldermen Puente.
 3
                   ALDERMAN PUENTE: I have to agree with
         Patricia here in that word does get out when
 4
different
5
         establishments are not checking IDs, or if they
do
 6
         check the IDs, -- I saw in some of the reports
that
7
         the police aides show them the driver's
license, and
         they still were served even though they were
underage.
9
         So the mere fact that somebody shows an ID doesn't
10
         mean that they're actually looking at it because
they
11
         were still getting served, and these kids out
there
12
         underage do get the word that an establishment
is, how
13
         shall I say, not as reluctant to check the ID or
even
         ask for an ID, and they go there and buy the
14
alcohol
15
         from them, and I have a big concern also when this
         happens, obviously, because of the potential
16
dangers
17
         that they not only cause for the underage, but
also
         for the society as a whole, especially if
18
they're
19
         driving.
                   Thank you.
20
                   CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK:
                                         Thank you,
Alderman
21
         Puente.
                   ALDERMAN BOHL: Mr. Chairman?
22
                                         Alderman Bohl.
23
                   CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK:
24
                   ALDERMAN BOHL: One other thing,
25
         Miss Frausto, if there are other residents in
your
```

```
00021
 1
         condominium complex, if they do not come forward
here
         and provide direct testimony of things they have
 3
         witnessed, you coming forward and saying there
are
 4
         others who have concerns is something the
committee
         cannot consider. I just want you to convey
that to
 6
         them.
 7
                   MS. FRAUSTO:
                                  I understand that.
8
                   ALDERMAN BOHL: I know, but I don't
want
 9
         residents to go back and say the Common Council
or the
10
         Utilities & Licences Committee did nothing,
because
11
         ultimately we have a set rule, we have to be
ruled by
12
         the letter of the law, and the letter of the law
13
         stipulates there are certain patterns of
behavior,
         there's certain conduct, that we have to take
14
into
15
         consideration. Direct testimony is one of
them, and
16
         if residents have concerns and they don't
provide
17
         direct testimony, we're out of luck.
18
                   MS. FRAUSTO: Well, I understand
that
19
         pulling this liquor license for Mr. Singh, --
maybe
20
         that is not exactly what I'm looking for. Maybe
we
         could, you know, do some kind of suspension
21
where we
22
         could get the building inspector there, just to
maybe
23
         get the establishment up to par with the rest
of the
24
         neighborhood. It is unsightly, and that is
direct
25
         testimony.
```

```
00022
1
                   CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK:
                                         Okay, thank
you.
      Ιs
         there anyone else in the audience here that
wants to
         testify in this matter? Raise your hand.
Okay.
         About 6329 South 20th Street, anybody else in the
 4
 5
         audience here?
 6
                   And just a comment. You know, there's
some
 7
         pretty strict regulations on signage, and I think
what
 8
         you're probably referring to is these banners and
 9
         paste-up signs, they're just -- they're all over
the
10
         place, and they kind of make the building look
like a
11
         patchwork quilt instead of something nice that
fits in
12
         the neighborhood, and I would urge -- especially
since
13
         this came up, I would urge the department to
please
14
         inspect that and take whatever necessary action
there
15
         is.
16
                   I see that all over the city, and I
know
17
         that the department is starting to get more
strict
         enforcement of that, and I'm glad to see that,
18
but I
         know the liquor companies and the soda
companies and
20
         cigarette companies will say put this banner
up, put
21
         that banner up, and before you know it, the
store
22
         looks terrible. It's visual pollution.
Alderman
23
         Witkowski?
24
                   ALDERMAN WITKOWSKI:
                                         Thank you,
25
         Mr. Chairman. For the committee's
knowledge, there's
```

```
00023
         a little spot of Milwaukee south of College
Avenue
         between 13th and 20th that's still in the
City of
         Milwaukee for four blocks, and that's where
this is.
         It's surrounded by Oak Creek. It's seven
blocks from
         Greenfield and seven blocks from Franklin, so
         testimony given about other gas stations may
apply in
         those situations.
 7
8
                   The shopping center that this is
located in
         is on the west side of the street. It is the
 9
only
10
         thing in the City of Milwaukee on the west side
of the
11
         street. If you walked out the back door, you'd
be in
12
         Oak Creek.
13
                    I have had complaints from
residents, but
14
         not residents necessarily adjacent to.
had
15
         complaints -- As Alderman Puente says, the word
gets
16
         out. I've had complaints of this place selling
to
17
         underage for as many as two miles away. And
since the
18
         day I walked into office the end of last July,
it's
19
         still not uncommon. I would say the last time
Ι
20
         picked up a comment was about three weeks ago,
and
21
         that was about a mile and a half away, telling
me that
22
         this place serves to underage.
District 2
23
         and 6 have conducted stings at different times.
24
                    I heard what the city attorney said.
We're
25
         also coming off a 45-day suspension here. That
would
```

```
normally be enough to teach anybody that they
can't be
         selling to underage, and in my wildest dreams
-- I'd
         recommend that the license be revoked because
3
of the
         continuing pattern, but I also understand that
 4
you
5
         don't have the legal proof at this point to do
that.
 6
         So I would ask that whatever tools are at the
 7
         committee's disposal with their record that
they use
 8
         them.
9
                   CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK:
                                         There was, in
fact, a
10
         45-day suspension last year on this license?
11
                   MR. BANGERT: A year ago, that's
correct.
12
                   CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Mr. Banger, do
you have
13
         any closing arguments or statements?
14
                   MR. BANGERT: Well, I would just like
-- I
15
         provided Alderman Witkowski with what Mr. Shah
has, a
16
         petition that's been signed by -- I don't know
if it's
17
         40 to 50 people. Apparently that's just been
         circulated in the store within the last --
18
well, since
19
         he got the notice on Friday, so apparently over
the
         weekend. He has a couple of extra copies, so
20
21
                   CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK:
                                         That's nice,
but that's
22
         not actually relevant unless these people are
here to
23
         testify.
24
                   MR. BANGERT:
                                  They're not. To my
knowledge
25
         there's no one here.
```

00025 1 made 2 3 is 4 of 5 I think 6 with 7 I feel 8	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: I appreciate he			
	that effort. MR. BANGERT: The only other comment			
	I mean I think the comments and the questions			
	the committee members are all good, and			
	Mr. Shah From my experience in dealing			
	Mr. Shah through these past couple of years,			
	that Mr. Shah takes those comments and does			
try to 9	improve and is making legitimate efforts. I			
mean 10 improve 11 12	there's no question that there's plenty to			
	upon, but an example is the comments regarding improving possibly the visible making the			
store 13	look more attractive in the neighborhood. I'm			
sure 14	that in discussing this with Mr. Shah, that he			
needs 15	that kind of constructive criticism as well as			
advice 16	regarding taking some positive steps, and I'm			
sure 17 18 is 19 and 20 21 This 22 23 24 25 like	he'll act on it. You know, all I can tell the committee			
	that I know that Mr. Shah is trying to improve			
	will continue to try to improve the situation. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Okay. Thank you.			
	matter's in committee. ALDERMAN DUDZIK: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman Dudzik. ALDERMAN DUDZIK: First of all, I'd			

```
00026
 1
         to say that I find your comments insulting. His
         record is actually spiraling downward.
Unfortunately
 3
         it's not at his hand directly. I will be on this
         committee, on the Council, for the next four
years,
 5
         and I believe the rest of us will, too, and if
there's
         any way that we can at some point in the future
hold
 7
         your client culpable, I'm going to work to do that
 8
         because I think that this record, this record is
 9
         deplorable. Thank you.
10
                   CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: This matter's in
11
         committee.
12
                   ALDERMAN DUDZIK: Having been given
sound
13
         legal advice from our ever present and able
bodied
         city attorney, assistant city attorney, I'm
14
15
         unfortunately in a position of having to approve
this
16
         license at this point in time.
17
                   ALDERMAN WADE: Mr. Chair?
18
                   CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman Wade.
19
                   ALDERMAN WADE: Is it possible for the
20
         attorney to just expound on why we're in this
position
21
         just so people understand it, please?
22
                   MR. SCHRIMPF: Okay. Because, Mr.
Chairman,
2.3
         the state law a number of years ago was amended
24
         regarding licensees, and specifically underage
sales,
25
         and the state law was changed. There was a time
when
```

```
00027
1
         any underage sale, even one in a licensing year,
even
         if it involved a bartender, could be made the
subject
         of a nonrenewal or a suspension of a license.
Various
         individuals approached the state legislature and
 4
got
         the law changed, and here's how the law was
changed.
 6
                   First of all, the portion of the
statutes
7
         that gives to governing bodies of
municipalities the
         power to nonrenew, suspend or refuse to issue
а
 9
         license, was amended, and it was amended so
that
         before underage sales could be used as a
10
reason for
         a nonrenewal, suspension or denial, or
11
revocation,
         there had to be two underage sales, they had
12
to be
13
         convictions, they had to be the licensee, and
the two
14
         had to be within a year of the date of the
violation
15
         of the first irrespective of when the conviction
16
         occurred. So it became very complicated to
figure
17
         out. You had eight variables that you had to
keep in
18
         your head in order to figure it out.
19
                   This has been used in, so many words,
by
20
         the defense bar when these cases come up, to
insulate
21
         the licensee and to make sure that it is -- if
the
         licensee did not actually dispense the alcohol,
22
to
23
         make sure that the bartender who was involved in
the
24
         transaction, or the manager who may have been
involved
```

```
1
         Thereby the licensee does not get the conviction,
         because it has to be a conviction of the licensee,
not.
 3
         a conviction of the bartender.
                   Prior to the change in the statute,
there
 5
         was -- there is, and still exists, a Wisconsin
Supreme
 6
         Court case that says the licensee is responsible
 7
         vicariously for any violations committed by the
8
         bartender, but by the legislature changing the
statute
 9
         as they did when they did, -- I think about four
or
10
         five years ago, -- they effectively
legislatively
         reversed that portion of the Wisconsin Supreme
11
Court
12
         case, and it was done, I might add, it was done
at a
13
         time that the legislature also increased the
amount of
14
         punishment that was available if there's a state
law
15
         conviction, both as to the licensee and as to the
         person who obtained the alcohol who was under
16
age, so
17
         from a pross point of view, the liquor industry
could
18
         go back to the public and say we have increased
the
19
         penalties for underage sales.
                                         They did.
20
                   They also made it very difficult,
21
         increasingly difficult, to deal with the
operators of
         places that do it. So what I'm saying is that
22
when
23
         the bartender who was involved in this
transaction at
         this location comes up, you can use the two,
if there
25
         were two, as to that one bartender in dealing
with
```

```
00029
        that bartender's Class "B" license, but you
cannot now
        use it -- unless there's two within a year and
it
 3
         involves the licensee personally, you can't
use it as
        to the licensee.
                   ALDERMAN WADE: Can I just comment
on that,
6
        please?
7
                   CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman
Wade, go ahead.
                   ALDERMAN WADE: Thank you. I just
wanted to
        make sure that that was explained because I
know my
10
        constituents are looking in and saying that
we're not
        doing anything, but we're really not in a
11
legal
12
         position to do any more than what we're doing
right
13
        now because we only have the one in a license
year, so
        I just wanted to make sure -- I know that I
14
was kind
15
        of worried, and I just wanted to make sure that
they
        understood that. Thank you.
16
17
                   ALDERMAN PUENTE: Mr. Chair.
18
                   CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman
Puente.
19
                   ALDERMAN PUENTE: This question
would be for
20
        Mr. Schrimpf.
21
                   MR. SCHRIMPF: Pardon?
22
                   ALDERMAN PUENTE: I have a question
for
23
         you.
```

MR. SCHRIMPF: Sure.

ALDERMAN PUENTE: For the TV

24

25

audience and

- 1 maybe some of the people in here, and especially me,
- 2 you keep referring to bartenders, and so does that
- 3 pertain to the situation we have here where there is
- 4 no bartender? I know it's a licensee at a convenience
- 5 store dispensing alcohol. So again for clarification,
- 6 does that fall under the same umbrella or definition
- 7 as you keep referring to the statement of bartender?
- 8 MR. SCHRIMPF: Yes, it would, because the
- 9 sellers of the alcohol, -- When the licensee is not on
- 10 premise, even in a liquor store, the person behind the
- 11 counter has to have a Class "D" operator's license or
- 12 bartender's license in order to dispense the alcohol,
- so if that person has two within a year of the date of
- 14 the violation of the first, you can suspend, revoke or
- nonrenew that bartender's Class "B" but not the
- licensee's.
- 17 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Thank you.

And before

- 18 we continue, however, let me remind the committee that
- 19 this was also noticed for neighborhood objections, and
- 20 we do have a neighbor here objecting with testimony.
- 21 So as I recall, this matter was in
- 22 committee, and Alderman Dudzik moved to recommend
- 23 approval of this license despite matters contained on
- 24 the police report; is that correct? On the motion?

```
00031
1
                   ALDERMAN PUENTE: I'll object.
 2
                   CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: And I will
object,
         also. So the motion fails on a vote of three
 3
to one.
                   ALDERMAN BOHL: Is the floor open,
 5
        Mr. Chairman?
6
                   CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Yes, it is.
Let me
7
         just brief Alderman Bohl here. There was a
motion --
        because of this, they don't have two in a year
law, we
        have a problem using the police report, but
I also
10
         reminded the committee that this is noted for
11
        neighborhood objections, and we do have a
neighbor
12
        here testifying, so the first motion was to
approve,
13
       and it failed.
14
                   ALDERMAN BOHL: Mr. Chairman, has
the entire
        police report been made a part of our record?
        Otherwise I would so move at this point.
16
17
                   CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: It has been
earlier in
18
        this hearing.
19
                   ALDERMAN BOHL: Mr. Chairman, at
this point
20
         I would move for renewal with a 10-day
suspension.
        Unfortunately I don't think there's a whole
21
lot more
22
        to go on, and I would cite neighborhood
testimony for
23
        that matter.
24
                   I just -- I think that some message
        be sent to Mr. Shah. Frankly I wish there was
more to
```

```
00032
         go on, because I believe there's more to it
than we
        see before us, and I think that we would be
in hot
         water much further than that, but I think a
continued
        message needs to be sent to Mr. Shah that the
type of
        behavior and operation that he's running is not
         sufficient, and that he either needs to clean
up his
7
         operation immediately, or -- And as Alderman
Dudzik
         indicated, I intend to be around on this
committee
         for awhile, too, and I've got a long memory.
10
                   CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Motion by
Alderman Bohl
11
         is to recommend renewal of this license with
a 10-day
12
         suspension. On the motion? Are there any
objections
13
        to that motion?
14
                   ALDERMAN PUENTE: I'll object.
```

- 15 ALDERMAN WADE: I'll object.
- 16 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: I'll object,

also. So

- 17 the motion fails on a vote of three to two. Alderman
- 18 Puente?
- 19 ALDERMAN PUENTE: Mr. Chair, I

would

- 20 recommend a 90-day suspension based on the police
- 21 report and on neighborhood objection.
- 22 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Motion by

Alderman

- 23 Puente is to recommend a renewal of this license with
- 24 a 90-day suspension based on neighborhood objections.
- 25 On the motion?

```
00033
 1
                   ALDERMAN BOHL: Mr. Chairman?
                   CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK:
                                         Alderman
Bohl.
 3
                   ALDERMAN BOHL: I don't want to be
         Mr. Schrimpf's mouthpiece, but there is no
 4
way in
         God's green earth that this one sticks
period.
         just doesn't, given the testimony here. We
6
have
7
         testimony that says that a woman, who's a
neighbor,
8
         has seen a couple individuals at one point who
9
         appeared young to her, the property's condition
is
10
         no good, and that there's a number of other
11
         establishments, gas stations, down the line in
another
12
         community that has sales, and she doesn't believe
that
13
         it's necessary. That's not sufficient for 90
days.
14
         It just isn't.
15
                   As much as at times there's
frustration on
16
         this committee when you know that there's greater
17
         problems than what meets the eye, we've got to
go with
18
         what meets the eye, and ultimately this committee
has
19
         to stand by the letter of the law and has to
maintain
         an issue of consistency, and that has not been
20
met
         here. It's a frustration of mine. There are
21
times
22
         where I've walked away frustrated, believing
that more
23
         needs to be done, but we are also bound by the
letter
24
         of the law, and unfortunately this doesn't meet
the
25
         criteria for 90 days with what we have before us.
```

```
00034
 1
                   ALDERMAN WADE: Mr. Chair?
                   CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK:
                                         Thank you,
Alderman
 3
         Bohl. Alderman Wade.
 4
                   ALDERMAN WADE: No disrespect to my
 5
         colleague from the 5th, but I would like to hear
 6
         from Mr. Schrimpf on his opinion on legally --
You
         know, we were at 90, we're at 10. Some people
on this
 8
         committee feel, you know, revocation is in order,
but
9
         legally we do have -- like my colleague said, we
do
10
         have legal bounds, and legally, could you
comment on
11
         this, please?
12
                   MR. SCHRIMPF: Well, from a legal
point of
13
         view and without going into a whole lot of
detail,
14
         Alderman Bohl said nothing that I would disagree
with.
15
                   CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Can you not take
into
16
         consideration the totality of the police
report? Why
17
         did we move to make this part of the record in
this
18
         hearing if we in fact cannot consider it at the
19
         time of decision making? That's my
question.
20
                   MR. SCHRIMPF: Well, you
certainly can
21
         consider the entire record of the licensee,
bearing
22
         in mind that last year the committee gave a
45-day
23
         suspension, but --
24
                   CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: But then does
that say
25
         that -- does that say you're punished for
everything
```

```
00035
         that's happened up until this date, and you go
forward
         from there, or can you consider the totality?
                   MR. SCHRIMPF: No. The argument,
 3
4
         Mr. Chairman, would be more in the nature of the
fact
 5
         that the licensee has not corrected or
sufficiently
         corrected the behavior from the previous years,
but
         here's the Catch-22. The behavior from the
previous
         years is heavily salted with underage
violations, and
         I tend to agree with what Alderman Bohl said,
that
10
         right now you have to look at what you have this
year.
11
         Absent underage violations, you have to look at
what
12
        the objecting neighbor said, and the committee
has to
         evaluate as to whether or not that equals a
13
90-day
14
         suspension. So I think Alderman Bohl's
observations
15
         were, quite frankly, accurate.
16
                   ALDERMAN PUENTE: Mr. Chairman.
17
                   CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman Puente.
                   ALDERMAN PUENTE: I know that this
18
committee
19
        has in the past looked at past suspensions, so
are you
20
         telling me that we cannot do that then?
21
                   MR. SCHRIMPF: Well, you can look at
the
22
         fact that the license was suspended last year,
and
23
         that last year there was a 45-day suspension,
and you
24
         can look at the testimony of the objecting
neighbor
25
         from this year to examine whether or not there
has
```

```
00036
1
        been any kind of real improvement, and you've
got to
        weigh that all in and come up with something
that's
         appropriate in terms of action, if that's the
way the
         committee wants to go.
 5
                   ALDERMAN PUENTE: Mr. Chairman.
6
                   CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman
Puente.
7
                   ALDERMAN PUENTE: Okay. Then I need
to add
8
         the 45-day suspension that the agent received
last
9
         time, and that's why -- another reason why I
recommend
10
        the 90-day suspension. Is that okay?
11
                   MR. SCHRIMPF: Well, --
12
                   ALDERMAN PUENTE: Yes or no?
13
                   MR. SCHRIMPF: Putting me in a yes
or no, I
        kind of come down no. Here's the point. There
really
15
         is nothing inconsistent in giving a 45-day
suspension
         last year, and for events that occurred this
year, a
17
         10-day suspension. There's nothing
inconsistent about
18
         it.
19
                   ALDERMAN PUENTE: Or the other way,
going
20
         from 45 to 90 or whatever?
21
                   MR. SCHRIMPF: Well, you have to --
22
                   ALDERMAN PUENTE: Is there anything
wrong
23
         with that?
24
                   MR. SCHRIMPF: This is the
committee's
25
         judgment, this isn't mine. But you have to look
at
```

```
00037
         whether or not the objecting testimony this
year is
         equal to a 45-day suspension, if you want to
look at
 3
         it that way, added on to the suspension last
year.
 4
                   ALDERMAN PUENTE: Mr. Chair?
 5
                   CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman
Puente.
                   ALDERMAN PUENTE: Can I take -- or ask
 6
what
7
         the 45-day suspension was last year? Can I get
that?
                   MR. SCHRIMPF: Mr. Chairman, I do have
-- I
         obtained a copy, and I think Mr. Copeland also
has
10
         a copy, of the Findings of Fact from last year.
I'd
11
         be happy to submit them to the committee. I
think
12
         counsel probably has a copy of that from last
year.
13
                   ALDERMAN PUENTE: I would like to be
14
         enlightened on what the reason was for the
45-day
15
         suspension, neighborhood objection or --
                   CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman
16
Puente moves
         to make the Finding of Fact for the previous
17
18
         suspension as part of the record in this
hearing, and
19
         hearing no objection, so ordered.
20
                   MR. SCHRIMPF: Last year, it was
based on
21
         the police report last year.
22
                   ALDERMAN PUENTE: So at that time the
agent
23
         was charged with two underage drinking?
24
                   MR. SCHRIMPF: I think -- Let me look
at
25
         that for a second.
```

```
00038
1
                   ALDERMAN PUENTE: Because it doesn't
say
 2
         that.
                   MR. SCHRIMPF: Mr. Copeland, this
 3
isn't a
         complete set of findings.
 4
 5
                   CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Is it the wrong
one?
                   MR. SCHRIMPF: No, it's the right
 6
one, but
         it -- This is more like it. Let the record
7
reflect
8
         that the committee is being shown -- Apparently
what
9
         was printed was not right.
10
                   ALDERMAN BOHL: Mr. Chairman, just
а
11
         question for Mr. Schrimpf.
                   CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman Bohl.
12
13
                   ALDERMAN BOHL: Mr. Schrimpf,
certainly
14
         you have indicated here that we can, as part of
the
         overall record, consider new items that occur
15
within
16
         the last licensing year as part of the totality
of the
17
         record, and it may be a consistent pattern, but
I'm
18
         going to guess, and maybe you can clarify, at no
point
19
         do I believe that we can, in essence, undertake
what
20
         would be termed as double jeopardy; in essence,
if
         there were a past sentence, and there was a
21
suspension
22
         based on past sentence, we can't turn around and
whack
23
         a person, -- and pardon my blunt expression, --
but we
24
         can't whack a person again this year because you
were
25
         upset about what happened two or three years ago.
```

```
00039
1
                   MR. SCHRIMPF: That is absolutely
correct,
        Mr. Chairman.
 3
                   CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Then why do you
 4
         consider the totality of the police record?
 5
                   MR. SCHRIMPF: Because you examine the
         police report, Mr. Chairman, to see if conduct in
 6
the
        past continues in the future.
8
                   CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Then in fact you
can
9
        consider those items.
10
                   MR. SCHRIMPF: To that extent you are
11
        considering it.
12
                   CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Okay. There's a
lot of
13
        people at this table practicing law without a
license.
14
                   MR. SCHRIMPF: Not everybody. I hope
I'm
15
       not included in that, Mr. Chair.
16
                   ALDERMAN PUENTE: Okay. I'm not going
to go
17
        through and waste the committee's time with all
these
18
        people here, so I can see where he was cited
several
        times in that period
that I see, and that was my
        question. Would anybody
else like to read this?
                        It's
21
        a short novel.
22
                   CHAIRMAN
WITKOWIAK: The motion on the
        table by Alderman Puente
is to recommend renewal with
24
         a 90-day suspension.
25
                  ALDERMAN
WADE: Mr. Chair.
```

CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman Wade. ALDERMAN WADE: I'd like to offer a friendly amendment if possible. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Put it on the table and we'll discuss it. 6 ALDERMAN WADE: I'd like to recommend a 15day suspension based on a neighborhood complaint and the totality of the police record. 9 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman Puente? ALDERMAN PUENTE: Yes, based on the information that I just read and the totality of the 12 circumstances involving this case, I would be in 13 approval of the 15-day suspension. 14 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Okay. Then the amended motion by Alderman Puente, amended by Alderman Wade, is the renewal of this 16 license with a 15-day 17 suspension, and I'll have the clerk please call the 18 roll. 19 MS. ELMER: Alderman Bohl? 20 ALDERMAN BOHL: Aye. 21 MS. ELMER: Alderman Puente? 22 ALDERMAN PUENTE: Aye. 23 MS. ELMER: Alderman Wade? 24 ALDERMAN WADE: Aye.

MS. ELMER:

Alderman Dudzik?

```
00041
1
                   ALDERMAN
DUDZIK: Aye.
                   MS. ELMER:
Mr. Chair?
                    CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Aye. Motion
carries
 4
         five to zero.
                    MR. SCHRIMPF: Mr. Bangert, the
committee is
         going to be doing Findings of Facts and
 6
Conclusions of
         Law recommending the renewal of this license with
а
 8
         15-day suspension. You'll have an opportunity
to
 9
         receive a copy of that recommendation as well
as
10
         submit written objections and appear personally
when
         the Common Council meets on this matter at 9 a.m.
11
on
12
         the 27th, July 27th, 2004, in the Common Council
13
         Chambers of this building, same floor, opposite
end.
14
                    MR. BANGER: Thank you.
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
00042
 1
   STATE OF WISCONSIN)
                          ss:
 3
   MILWAUKEE COUNTY )
 4
 5
 6
                   I, DONNA GULCZYNSKI, of Milwaukee
Reporters
         Associated, Inc., 5120 West Bluemound Road,
Milwaukee,
         Wisconsin, certify that the foregoing
transcript,
         consisting of pages 2 through 41, inclusive, is
а
         full and complete transcript of the proceedings
10
taken
11
        in this cause.
12
13
14
15
16
17
                           Donna Gulczynski - Court
Reporter
18
19
20
                                                , 2004
21
         Dated this
                          day of
22
         Milwaukee, Wisconsin
```