| 00001 | | | | | |
|-------|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | CITY OF MILWAUKEE | | | | |
| 2 | COMMON COUNCIL | | | | |
| 3 | | | | | |
| 4 | In the Matter of: | | | | |
| 5 | MECHELLE'S CLUB | | | | |
| | 3001 East Richards Street | | | | |
| 6 | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 7 | | | | | |
| 8 | Proceedings held in the above-entitled | | | | |
| 9 | matter, September 24th, 2002, in the Common Council | | | | |
| 10 | Chambers, 200 East Wells Street, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, | | | | |
| 11 | before the Common Council, reported by Terese M. | | | | |
| 12 | Schiebenes of Milwaukee Reporters Associated, Inc. | | | | |
| 13 | A-P-P-E-A-R-A-N-C-E-S | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 14 | MICHAEL WHITCOMB - ATTORNEY AT LAW, 633 West | | | | |
| | Wisconsin Avenue, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, 53203 appeared | | | | |
| 15 | on behalf of the Applicant. | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 16 | OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY, by BRUCE | | | | |
| | SCHRIMPF, 200 East Wells Street Milwaukee, | | | | |
| 17 | Wisconsin, 53202, appeared on behalf of the Common | | | | |
| | Council. | | | | |
| 18 | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

PROCEEDINGS

PRESIDENT PRATT: Ms. Townsend is here. Is there a motion to go into committee as a whole to hear oral arguments on behalf of the licensee in opposition to the report and recommendations and a statement presented by the city attorney? Alderman Thomas Nardelli makes that motion. Are there any objections to it? Hearing and seeing none, so ordered.

Each side shall be limited to five minutes, and their arguments shall be limited to the subject matter of the report and recommendations and written exceptions, if filed. Will the attorney for the licensee, Attorney Mike Whitcomb, will you come forward, please.

MR. WHITCOMB: Thank you, Mr. President, members of the Common Council. My name is Michael Whitcomb, I'm an attorney representing Michelle Townsend, who is the licensee for my Mechelle's Club Riviera.

First and foremost item for consideration of this honorable body is the location of this establishment. It's at the corner of Burleigh and Richards, which I think we'd all acknowledge is in a transitional neighborhood, somewhat troubled by crime,

2.4

violence, unemployed youth, which I think is the primary and perhaps the sole objection to the renewal of this license.

This licensed establishment has been in existence since 1998. It's basically a corner neighborhood tavern and has a minimal capacity of 80 people. It's only open five days a week, it opens at 8:00 in the evening, and it closes anywhere from a half hour to 45 minutes before bar time. The patrons of the tavern are, for the most part, mature adults, although there are some individuals that attend who are in their 20s, mostly on weekends, but the individuals who are patrons of the tavern I submit are not the neighborhood miscreants which have caused a great deal of fear of the neighbors about which was testified at the hearing.

I believe Ms. Townsend has done extremely well with her business in four years at that location. She has not received any citations. The only concern expressed in the police department report was in the license year 2000, there was a fight in the bar, in the license year 2001, there was a fight in the bar, one incident per year, and the last license year, your report indicated that there was a shooting, and by the description of the police report, a shot was

2.4

identified coming from patrons who had exited the bar. There wasn't any activity in the bar during the last year which gave any police objections.

It is submitted that really the question before this honorable body is one more of policy than licensing, and the policy question, I think, is addressed -- has been addressed numerous times for businesses development, hours of operation of businesses in transitional or troubled neighborhoods. A policy question, I believe, is do we support businesses in these troubled areas to help turn them around, or do we await the troubled areas to go through their transition period be rid of drug houses, miscreants, dilapidated buildings, before this honorable body of the City of Milwaukee supports local businesses in the area?

Ms. Townsend is a responsible business owner. As testified by her at the committee, the first she was advised by her neighbors as to their concerns regarding the operation of the tavern was at the committee. She is more than willing to work with the neighbors. She is not open on Monday or Tuesday, and should her license be renewed, she would have monthly neighborhood meetings on Mondays at her establishment commencing at 7:30 p.m. where the

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

neighbors can come and gather and discuss concerns about the neighborhood, interact with the local alderman if there's issues that she has concerns about in the neighborhood, as well as express to her concerns they have regarding the operation of the tavern. I think that will go a long way to have Ms. Townsend continue to be a benefit, I believe, as a business owner in the neighborhood.

She also will install exterior and interior video cameras. Surprise to her but maybe not to us or people familiar with the area, after the renewal hearing notice was submitted to her, there was the usual congregation on the corner of Richards and Burleigh at her establishment during the day, even when she's not open, by those who are unemployed, looking for trouble, or dealing in narcotics. She went outside with a individual camera to videotape these people that are congregating on her corner. The people scattered. That presented her with a notion that what she will do is install a video camera on the exterior of the building, of course, out of reach and have it pan the corner while the bar is in operation. I believe that will go and she believes it will go a long way in keeping individuals away from her establishment during the hours of operation, which I

2.4

believe are the true concern of the neighbors, not the concern that the patrons of her tavern were the ones that caused the disturbances about which they complained at committee.

Respectfully request that for an establishment that's been open for four years in a difficult neighborhood, which has not received any citations for any tavern-related activity, to not renew the license under these circumstances would be inequitable. She should be provided an opportunity to work with the neighbors to assist in monitoring the neighborhood, through video cameras and also through monthly contact with her neighbors, and I think a support of this license, a renewal of this license is a support of legitimate businesses in the neighborhood, and a decision not to wait until the neighborhood is through transition, cleaned up, whatever phrase you use, before this committee and this city supports legitimate businesses.

Thank you. If there's any questions, I'd be more than glad to answer them at this time.

PRESIDENT PRATT: Any questions for Attorney Whitcomb of any members of the Council? Thank you very much, Attorney Whitcomb. Now we'll hear from the Assistant City Attorney, Bruce Schrimpf.

2.4

MR. SCHRIMPF: Thank you, Mr. President, members. Although I was not personally present at the Utilities & Licenses Committee when this matter was up, I did review the videotape in detail of the meeting and listened to the testimony of the witnesses. I'd like to point out, first of all, that the alderman, the local alderman testified that this was not, in fact, a troubled area, that those individuals who testified that this was a troubled area were individuals who, in fact, were from the Great Lakes Beverage Association, and when they gave their addresses, clearly they were not residents of the area.

The residents of the area who testified all testified in opposition to renewal of this license, they testified to the episodes of guns, fights, of shootings, one testified that there is actually bullet holes on the interior of his house, I believe above a fireplace or next to a fireplace, and that at least one neighbor, possibly another one, as I recall, testified that they actually had to relocate their sleeping quarters because of the gunshots that are emanating from the crowds exiting this tavern at closing time. This tavern during the year 2001 had a record of two fights, one of them, I believe, involved

2.4

an individual who was -- two fights, one being punched in the face, another one was a victim of a battery.

This is a case, in my view, where, in fact, the report of the Milwaukee Police Department and the testimony of the neighbors are, in fact, very parallel, because the Milwaukee Police Department reports incidents where fights have occurred, they have received reports of gunfire and gunshots, and that the neighbors are, in fact, testifying in a very, very parallel fashion.

Now, the licensee has indicated that they intend to make some changes; the installation of various types of video cameras and those devices and changes in operation. That may, in fact, improve the record of this particular location. That, of course, goes to the issue of whether this license should be renewed or renewed with a suspension or not renewed at all. Those, of course, are matters repose to your sound discretion. If you are satisfied that such changes will, in fact, improve the premises and improve the health, safety, and welfare of the surrounding neighbors and the individuals who frequent this tavern, that is something that you must weigh and consider in your decision as to what to do with the tavern.

00009 1 I stand ready to answer any questions that 2 the body may have. 3 PRESIDENT PRATT: Any questions, comments by 4 member of the Council? 5 MR. SCHRIMPF: Thank you very much. 6 PRESIDENT PRATT: Alderman Herron would move 7 that the committee rises. Are there any objections to that? I see two lights on. I don't know if that was 8 9 two -- that was on the discussion? We'll have a 10 correction to the record. 11 THE CLERK: One correction to the record. 12 When we identified this item we read the wrong 13 address. This premises is knowns as Michelle's Club 14 at 3001 North Richards Street in the 6th Aldermanic 15 District. 16 PRESIDENT PRATT: The Chair recognizes 17 Alderman Jeff Pawlinski. 18 ALDERMAN PAWLINSKI: Thank you, Mr. 19 president. Just that I would ask for unanimous 20 consent in that I did read the Findings of Fact, but I 21 was out of the room when you made the roll call 22 regarding whether I had, so I would ask to be 23 acknowledged as having read the Findings of Fact so I 24 may vote on this matter.

25

PRESIDENT PRATT: That's appropriate.

00010 1 there any objections to that from the members of the Council? No objections, it is so ordered. ALDERMAN PAWLINSKI: Thank you. 4 PRESIDENT PRATT: Two lights on. I will 5 hear from Alderwoman Marlene Johnson-Odom. 6 COMMISSIONER JOHNSON-ODOM: I just wanted to 7 know, first of all, whose light is on and what they 8 might say. Maybe I will be last, since it is my 9 district. 10 PRESIDENT PRATT: The Chair recognizes 11 Alderman Fred Gordon. 12 ALDERMAN GORDON: Thank you, Mr. President. 13 I'm at this time moving, as I did at the committee 14 level, for the licensee to be granted a renewal with a 15 suspension of 90 days, and the reason why I'm raising 16 that issue now is because the Utilities & Licenses 17 Committee has had a practice of what we call 18 progressive discipline, and in this instance, there 19 has been no suspension of any type on this particular 20 license since its conception. And certainly the 21 testimony of the residents was very critical, and it 22 seems to me that if there is an opportunity for this 23 licensee to sit down and work with the residents 2.4 regarding some of the issues that are prevalent within

the confines of the neighborhood, an opportunity can

25

2.4

certainly take place. And with that, at this time, I'm moving for a renewal with a 90-day suspension.

ALDERWOMAN JOHNSON-ODOM: I object to that

4 motion.

ALDERWOMAN JOHNSON-ODOM: Thank you, Mr. President, members. If anybody here who wasn't on the committee looked at that, either reviewed it in the evening or watched it while the hearing was being scheduled, would not want this kind of establishment in a neighborhood of theirs. The reason why there wasn't any progression here is because the neighbors were afraid to come last year, but they did call me. They said enough is enough, and they came to the committee this year.

The one lady who lives right across the street has bullets in her home, I think she said her piano. She had to move to the rear or somewhere out of sight of bullets flying around. The people who come there are the ones with the guns. There was a gun found in the establishment, and nobody knows how it got there. They don't have metal detectors. This started going down three years ago. They started out

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

-- no pun intented -- with a bang. They had a party over there for the neighbors, but that was it, it died down.

Things like this always happen when somebody wants to retain their license, they always tell us what they are going to do, but they never do it. neighborhood is troubled? I don't think it's that troubled. You can go door to door, and you will find that there aren't that many drug houses in that area. The trouble came when they stopped doing what they were supposed to do at that tavern. And I mean, you know, clearly I went through Attorney Whitcomb's letter, and he wasn't there, he wasn't representing her. She went and got him because she thinks he's somebody who can get everybody through. But this letter is the worst one I've seen from Attorney Whitcomb in years, and it relates to what the real situation is, and it's his client, and he has to do this and all that, but I'm the alderperson, and I have a constituency, and they came and they spoke with passion. And the people who spoke for Michelle, West Dakota, West Dean Road, you name it, they are not around when all these people influx into my community on Richards and Chambers from other areas. They come in just like they do, the people who cause the

2.4

trouble.

The fight started, two people died there, one woman and one man and in two different incidents. It wasn't inside, but the fight and the aggravation and antagonistic things that went on in the bar blew -- you know, went outside. And so when people say they can't do anything about what's going on on the outside, if it starts in their business, hey. I would seriously urge all members to vote with the committee that recommended nonrenewal.

PRESIDENT PRATT: Thank you, Alderwoman. The Chair recognizes Alderwoman Rosa Cameron.

ALDREMAN CAMERON: I would like to support the motion, and the reason why I would like to support the motion is because of the fact there has been no discipline. This applicant has never been brought up before the committee, has never been warned that there was a problem, the neighbors didn't talk to them, neither did the alderperson of the district talk to them.

ALDERWOMAN JOHNSON-ODOM: I did.

ALDREMAN CAMERON: I'm just saying what she said. Prior she said she had -- Now, this is where we at the committee takes a stand that the council or the committee shouldn't do neighborhood work at this

2.4

level, shouldn't do committee, and at the committee level, we should not do community work. Now, somewhere I feel like communication has broken down, and it's a good example of communication has broken down.

Last year it was on the grant list. This year it's been recommended for nonrenewal. Those conditions just didn't occur in one year. Even though the residents was not able or afraid to come -- and I know in certain areas, they are afraid to go in front of committee for retaliation -- but it is also that we can come in front of the committee as a representative and make recommendations in favor of an item or against an item without any -- just to put it on record that there is some problems occurring, and they want to be put on notice, so that we will begin to have a paper trail and some kind of concern area noted that it is a problem area. But in this case, there wasn't any.

And it's not fair for us to hop up and say, well -- and I know in my case, I do it, too -- say, well, this is my district, and I should know what's best. But we also have a process that we all try and procedures that we always follow, and in certain cases, we don't do it and certain cases we do. But I

2.4

would like to go on record and look at this council to be able to accept the procedures that we normally do; and that is, put some kind of discipline before we go to drastic measures, and in this case, we did not do it.

PRESIDENT PRATT: Thank you, Alderwoman. Chair recognizes Alderman Mike D'Amato.

ALDERMAN D'AMATO: Thank you, Mr. President. I stand in support of the committee recommendation joining Alderwoman Johnson-Odom objecting to this. A sure fire argument for me indicating that a tavern and a licensee doesn't have an argument is to blame the activities that are happening on the neighborhood around it. It seems to me that sometimes this council lowers its standards for the neighborhoods that need us most. There are people here who may live in a troubled neighborhood, and that neighborhood is further troubled by the activity of this tavern.

It's not a bad neighborhood. Certainly if you watched the tape of the proceedings, it has neighbors who care about it, neighbors who are concerned about what happens there, and we should not tolerate on that corner in that district any less than we would tolerate in our own districts, and I certainly know that if there were a condition in any

00016 1 other district in the city that that individual alderman would ask that this place be closed, as well. It's a chicken and egg to figure out whether 4 the neighborhood is bad or the bar is bad. Certainly 5 in this case I think the record speaks for itself that 6 the bar is contributing to the problems of the 7 neighborhood, not having problems because of the 8 neighborhood around it. So I hope that others would 9 support the committee recommendation. 10 PRESIDENT PRATT: Thank you, Alderman. The 11 Chair recognizes Alderwoman Marlene Johnson-Odom 12 again. 13 ALDERWOMAN JOHNSON-ODOM: The motion is to 14 approve nonrenewal? 15 PRESIDENT PRATT: The motion is renewal with 16 a 90-day suspension. 17 ALDERWOMAN JOHNSON-ODOM: Well, make that 18 clear because the 90-day suspension is not what this 19 committee recommended. They recommended nonrenewal. 20 PRESIDENT PRATT: That's right. The 21 committee's recommendation was nonrenewal. The motion 22 made by Alderman Gordon is a renewal with a 90-day 23 suspension, which is the maximum suspension that you

can have under the ordinance, under Chapter 90. So

that is the motion, that's what we're going to vote

2.4

25

2.4

on. Alderman Herron.

ALDERMAN HERRON: Thank you, Mr. President. I just want to speak to the idea and concept of progress discipline. I think progressive discipline is fine in situations where they have loud noise or a fight or underage drinkers in the bar, but I think progressive discipline where it does not work is when you have these kinds of very serious incidents. In our school system, they use progressive discipline, but if you cut someone or stab someone or hit a teacher or shoot somebody, then that concept is done, and you're kicked out of school.

I think in this instance, they went over the line in terms of what we could do with discipline and have gone too far, and the only choice we have for the neighbors who came in and spoke so passionately about their neighborhood is to leave this or to close this establishment and allow them to begin the rebuilding of that area. So I would support the committee's recommendation of nonrenewal.

PRESIDENT PRATT: Thank you, Alderman. I think there's no unwillingness or I don't believe a misunderstanding of the motion. The motion made by Alderman Gordon that's before us is a renewal with a 90-day suspension. A vote of aye would be a vote in

| 00018 | |
|-------|---|
| 1 | support of Alderman Gordon's motion, a vote of no |
| 2 | |
| 3 | would be in opposition to it. So I think we just hold |
| | it there. Will the City Clerk please call the roll. |
| 4 | THE CLERK: Alderman Herron. |
| 5 | ALDERMAN HERRON: No. |
| 6 | THE CLERK: Alderman D'Amato. |
| 7 | ALDERMAN D'AMATO: No. |
| 8 | THE CLERK: Alderman Henningsen. |
| 9 | ALDERMAN HENNINGSEN: No. |
| 10 | THE CLERK: Alderman Bohl. |
| 11 | ALDERMAN BOHL: No. |
| 12 | THE CLERK: Alderman Johnson-Odom. |
| 13 | ALDERMAN JOHNSON-ODOM: No. |
| 14 | THE CLERK: Alderman Gordon. |
| 15 | ALDERMAN GORDON: Aye. |
| 16 | THE CLERK: Alderman Donovan. |
| 17 | ALDERMAN DONOVAN: No. |
| 18 | THE CLERK: Alderman Richards. |
| 19 | ALDERMAN RICHARDS: No. |
| 20 | THE CLERK: Alderman Cameron. |
| 21 | ALDERMAN CAMERON: Aye. |
| 22 | THE CLERK: Alderman Dudzik. |
| 23 | |
| - | ALDERMAN DUDZIK: No. |
| 24 | THE CLERK: Alderman Sanchez. |
| 25 | ALDERMAN SANCHEZ: Aye. |

| 00019 | |
|-------|---|
| 1 | THE CLERK: Alderman Pawlinski. |
| 2 | ALDERMAN PAWLINSKI: No. |
| 3 | THE CLERK: Alderman Breier. |
| 4 | ALDERMAN BRIER: No. |
| 5 | THE CLERK: Alderman Nardelli. |
| 6 | ALDERMAN NARDELLI: No. |
| 7 | THE CLERK: Alderman Murphy. |
| 8 | ALDERMAN MURPHY: No. |
| 9 | THE CLERK: Alderman Hines. |
| 10 | ALDERMAN HINES: No. |
| 11 | THE CLERK: Mr. President. |
| 12 | PRESIDENT PRATT: No. |
| 13 | THE CLERK: Three ayes, 14 nos. |
| 14 | PRESIDENT PRATT: Three ayes, 14 nos. What |
| 15 | we have now is the original the motion made by |
| 16 | The decision made by the committee is before us. Is |
| 17 | there any further discussion on this matter? With |
| 18 | that, I would request a vote of those council members |
| 19 | in attendance to approve the recommendations of the |
| 20 | Utilities & Licenses Committee that's contained in |
| 21 | File No. 020122. Will the City Clerk please call the |
| 22 | roll. |
| 23 | THE CLERK: Alderman Herron. |
| 24 | ALDERMAN HERRON: Aye. |
| 25 | THE CLERK: Alderman D'Amato. |
| | |

| 00020 | |
|-------|-----------------------------------|
| 1 | ALDERMAN D'AMATO: Aye. |
| 2 | THE CLERK: Alderman Henningsen. |
| 3 | ALDERMAN HENNINGSEN: Aye. |
| 4 | THE CLERK: Alderman Bohl. |
| 5 | ALDERMAN BOHL: Aye. |
| 6 | THE CLERK: Alderman Johnson-Odom. |
| 7 | ALDERMAN JOHNSON-ODOM: Aye. |
| 8 | THE CLERK: Alderman Gordon. |
| 9 | ALDERMAN GORDON: Aye. |
| 10 | THE CLERK: Alderman Donovan. |
| 11 | ALDERMAN DONOVAN: Aye. |
| 12 | THE CLERK: Alderman Richards. |
| 13 | ALDERMAN RICHARDS: Aye. |
| 14 | THE CLERK: Alderman Cameron. |
| 15 | ALDERMAN CAMERON: Aye. |
| 16 | THE CLERK: Alderman Dudzik. |
| 17 | ALDERMAN DUDZIK: Aye. |
| 18 | THE CLERK: Alderman Sanchez. |
| 19 | ALDERMAN SANCHEZ: Aye. |
| 20 | THE CLERK: Alderman Pawlinski. |
| 21 | ALDERMAN PAWLINSKI: Aye. |
| 22 | THE CLERK: Alderman Breier. |
| 23 | ALDERMAN BRIER: Aye. |
| 24 | THE CLERK: Alderman Nardelli. |
| 25 | ALDERMAN NARDELLI: Aye. |
| | |

| 00021 | |
|-------|-----------------------------|
| 1 | THE CLERK: Alderman Murphy. |
| 2 | ALDERMAN MURPHY: Aye. |
| 3 | THE CLERK: Alderman Hines. |
| 4 | ALDERMAN HINES: Aye. |
| 5 | THE CLERK: Mr. President. |
| 6 | PRESIDENT PRATT: Aye. |
| 7 | THE CLERK: 17 ayes. |
| 8 | PRESIDENT PRATT: 17 ayes. |
| 9 | * * * |

```
00022
1 STATE OF WISCONSIN )
   MILWAUKEE COUNTY )
4
5
                  I, TERESE M. SCHIEBENES, of Milwaukee
6
        Reporters Associated, Inc., 5120 West Blue Mound Road,
        Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53208, certify that the
7
        foregoing proceedings is a full and complete
8
9
        transcript of my stenographic notes taken in the
10
        foregoing proceedings.
11
12
13
14
15
16
                               TERESE M. SCHIEBENES
17
18
                               Certified Shorthand Reporter
19
20
21
   Dated this day of
                                       , 2002.
22
23
24
```