GRANT F. LANGLEY
City Attorney

RUDOLPH M. KONRAD Deputy City Attorney

THOMAS E. HAYES
PATRICK B. McDONNELL
LINDA ULISS BURKE
Special Deputy City Attorneys



OFFICE OF CITY ATTORNEY

800 CITY HALL 200 EAST WELLS STREET MILWAUKEE, WISCONSIN 53202-3551 TELEPHONE (414) 286-2601 TDD 286-2025 FAX (414) 286-8550

April 4, 2002

BEVERLY A. TEMPLE
THOMAS O. GARTINER
BRUCE D. SCHRIMPF
ROXANE L. CRAWFORD
SUSAN D. BICKERT
HAZEL MOSLEY
HARRY A. STEIN
STUART S. MUKAMAL
THOMAS J. BEAMISH
MAURITA F. HOUREN
JOHN J. HEINEN
MICHAEL G. TOBIN
DAVID J. STANOSZ
SUSAN E. LAPPEN
DAVID J. STANOSZ
SUSAN E. LAPPEN
DAVID J. STANOSZ
SUSAN E. LAPPEN
DAVID R. HALBROOKS
JAN A. SMOKOWICZ
PATRICIA A. FRICKER
HEIDI WICK SPOERL
KURT A. BEHLING
GREGG C. HAGOPIAN
ELLEN H. TANGEN
MELANIE R. SWANK
JAY A. UNORA
DONALD L. SCHRIEFER
EDWARD M. EHRLICH
LEONARD M. EHRLICH
LEONARD A. TOKUS
MIRIAM R. HORWITZ
MARYNIELL REGAN
G. O'SULLIVAN-CROWLEY

Assistant City Attorneys

Alderman Donald Richards City Hall, Room 205

Re: Opinion regarding a proposal to disqualify and applicant for a new public dance hall license if the application is denied for a reason relating to fitness of the location for the premises to be licensed for a period of three years.

Dear Alderman Richards:

The above-referenced proposal would amend the current Chapter 108-3 of the Milwaukee Code of Ordinances to prohibit an applicant for a new public dance hall license who has had his or her application denied for a reason relating to fitness of location to have a new application for such a public dance hall license at the same location considered for a period of three years from the date of the original denial.

We observe that a parallel provision exists in Chapter 90 of the Milwaukee Code of Ordinances regarding Class "B" taverns.

We have two concerns with the proposal as drafted.

The first of those is that nothing in the current Chapter 90 or Chapter 108 provides notice to the building owner that the Milwaukee Common Council is contemplating such action. We believe that the building owner is entitled to notice of such action and to participate and give evidence in a proceeding where such a result may occur.

The second issue is that there are no standards set forth in either Chapter 90 or Chapter 108 regarding the factors that the Milwaukee Common Council will use in considering such an action.

We believe that in both cases notice to the building owner is required that such an action is being contemplated and an opportunity for the building owner to participate in the

hearing. Further we believe that in the exercise of its discretion regarding such matters there must be standards to guide the council's action.

Sincerely,

GKANV F VANGLEY
City Attorney

BRUCE D. SCHRIMPF

Assistant City Attorney

BDS:wt:52101

Alderman Jeffrey Pawlinski

Barry Zalben, Legislative Reference Bureau

Linda Elmer, Clerk to Utilities and Licenses Committee

1078-2002-503