

From: Lee, Chris
Sent: Monday, June 11, 2012 11:17 AM
To: Black, Tobie
Subject: FW:

From: Bohl, James
Sent: Monday, June 11, 2012 11:16 AM
To: Lee, Chris
Cc: Murphy, Michael (Alderman); Hines Jr., Willie; Kovac, Nik; Hamilton, Ashanti; Davis Sr., Joe; Bauman, Robert; Witkowski, Terry; Zielinski, Tony; Peterson, Todd
Subject:

Please add this to the record on my fluoridation file that was heard this cycle. Thanks, jb

From: Bill Osmunson [mailto:bill@teachingsmiles.com]
Sent: Saturday, June 02, 2012 11:35 AM
To: 'Yolanda Whyte'; 'Paul Connett'; Bohl, James
Subject: RE: Fluoridationists' call to action in Wisconsin

Jim, all the aldermen should have the information below.

The graph below was not presented to the Council because I did not think I would have time. I only provided part of one slide on "EXPOSURE".

Remember the NRC 2006 said the EPA MCLG was not protective and a new Reference Dose Analysis RfD needed to be determined. When the EPA reviewed the evidence, obviously too many people were being harmed and the EPA had to make it cook the data or change the policy. How did the EPA cook the numbers?

- A. Usually scientists and the EPA require a safety factor of 10 or 100. Instead the EPA chose a safety factor of 1. In other words, no margin of safety, presumably because dental fluorosis is only cosmetic and dental/skeletal fluorosis is the only risk.
- B. Instead of following the NRC 2006 advice, the EPA proposed RAISING instead of lowering the RfD from 0.5mg/kg/day which had been raised to 0.6 now to 0.08. In other words, the NRC 2006 said fluoride is less safe than before and the EPA is now saying it is "safer" than before and people can ingest more fluoride without harm. EPA DRA is the opposite of the NRC 2006 advice.

The graph below demonstrates that even with the new higher proposed RfD, the percentage of the population above the black line will be exceeding even the new proposed increase.

- C. But that is still not enough so the EPA discounted 10% of the population drinking the most water and only included 90% of the population.
- D. And that is still not enough, so the EPA eliminated all children under six months of age. Either they don't vote, pay taxes, drink or maybe they are not considered humans.
- E. And to not make the graph look so bad, the EPA based the graph on 0.87 ppm of fluoride in water rather than the 4.0 ppm MCLG.

We still see that about a third to a quarter of children are ingesting too much fluoride up through age 7 even

though the EPA has cooked the data in several ways.

We must not ignore so many of the population and place them in harm.

The EPA scientists testified to the Court

“The process by which EPA arrived at the RMCL for fluoride is scientifically irrational and displays an unprofessional review of relevant scientific data.” Amicus to the US Court of Appeals, DC Circuit, Natural Resources Defense Council, Inc., v EPA Civ. No. 85-1839 <http://www.fluoridealert.org/health/epa/nrdc/union-brief1986.pdf>

Bill



From: Yolanda Whyte [mailto:whyte3@msn.com]

Sent: Wednesday, May 30, 2012 9:49 AM

To: Paul Connett; jbohl@milwaukee.gov

Cc: ckopf2@optonline.net; stuartcooper@gmail.com; bill@teachingsmiles.com; tpeter@milwaukee.gov

Subject: RE: Fluoridationists' call to action in Wisconsin

Don't believe i've seen this medical article in Neurologia June 2011 mentioned in previous communications, but this is the best documentation I've come across mentioning how fluoride crosses the blood brain barrier and affects the brain and nervous system.

I just found out the EPAs reference dose for fluoride was increased from 0.05mg/kg/d to 0.08mg/kg/d, and did

not see reason for justification.

Date: Wed, 30 May 2012 10:34:06 -0400
Subject: Re: Fluoridationists' call to action in Wisconsin
From: pconnett@gmail.com
To: jbohl@milwaukee.gov
CC: ckopf2@optonline.net; stuartcooper@gmail.com; bill@teachingsmiles.com; whyte3@msn.com; tpeter@milwaukee.gov

Dear Jim, Bill, Yolanda, Carol, Stu and Todd,

Please find attached - a statement I plan to make plus a back up resource package. They are both in draft stage and i would appreciate any feedback.

paul

On Tue, May 29, 2012 at 1:30 PM, Bohl, James <jbohl@milwaukee.gov> wrote:
Please see the following. Carol or Stu might you or someone from FAN have some info (perhaps with a link) that you might post here in response to this story highlighting the concerns of fluoridation? Thanks, jb

<http://www.jsonline.com/features/health/alderman-calls-for-ending-fluoridation-of-milwaukees-drinking-water-ra5jbbt-155371645.html?page=1#!page=2&pageSize=10&sort=newestfirst>

From: Carol S. Kopf [mailto:ckopf2@optonline.net]
Sent: Tuesday, May 29, 2012 9:52 AM
To: Bohl, James; Paul Connett; Stuart Cooper
Cc: Bill O; Dr. Yolanda Whyte
Subject: Re: Fluoridationists' call to action in Wisconsin

You are right, we won't win these folks. We just have to outnumber them. If I had more time, I could give a detailed rebuttal to their talking points. But if it's of any use this is what I prepared for the New York City rally against fluoridation

Fluoridationists may tell you the CDC has recognized fluoridation as one of 10 great public health achievements of the last century.

But you should know that the CDC also says that fluoride works topically meaning there's no good reason to swallow fluoride

They also say:

“It is not CDC's task to determine what levels of fluoride in water are safe”

AND they caution that routinely mixing fluoridated water into infant formula increases a child's

risk of fluoride overdose which manifests as white spotted, yellow, brown and/or pitted teeth.

The fluoridationists may tell you that our science is junk science. But that's not true. The National Research Council at the request of the EPA put together an expert panel to review current fluoride toxicology studies. The panel revealed evidence that, even in low doses, fluoride can harm the thyroid gland, kidney patients, people who drink lots of water and babies. At least three panel members recommend water fluoride levels be as close to zero as possible.

But this isn't really new

In 1990, the New York State Department of Health published a study, alerting officials that fluoride can be harmful to kidney patients, diabetics and those with fluoride hypersensitivity even at "optimal" levels. But the advice went unheeded, research left undone and today's claims of safety have no scientific support.

The fluoridationists may tell you fluoride is natural. But that's not true either

There's nothing natural about the fluoride chemicals in your water supply. They are hydrofluosilicic acid, a tainted waste product of phosphate fertilizer manufacturing collected from smokestacks and trucked into your communities as hazardous waste, then injected, unpurified, into the water. These chemicals are allowed to contain trace amounts of lead, arsenic, mercury and other toxins.

Fluoridation proponents might say this is like fortifying milk with vitamin D or bread with folic acid. But those are essential nutrients and fluoride is not. Consuming a fluoride-free diet does not cause tooth decay!

Fluoride is a drug regulated in toothpaste by the FDA and branded an unapproved drug when dispensed as supplements. The EPA regulates fluoride in water as a contaminant because overdose causes tooth and bone damage.

Fluoride like all drugs has side effects. The water supply must NOT be used as a vehicle for drugs without consideration for age, health, weight, need or adverse reactions.

They might try to convince you fluoridation is for the poor who can't afford toothpaste and dental care. But fluoride actually hurts them the most. Low income people need Dentists not fluoride.

Fluoridationists might list the alphabet soup of organizations that endorse fluoridation. We say if they all jumped off the Brooklyn Bridge would you go too. Make them show you the science that proves consuming fluoride in your water is safe. Endorsements does not science make

From: [Bohl, James](#)
Sent: Tuesday, May 29, 2012 10:26 AM
To: [Carol S. Kopf](#) ; [Paul Connett](#) ; [Stuart Cooper](#)
Cc: [Bill O](#) ; [Dr. Yolanda Whyte](#)
Subject: RE: Fluoridationists' call to action in Wisconsin

We aren't going to win those folks anyways. If you are able to piece something together, great. I'm having a press conference at 11 a.m. today. We still are scheduled for another on Thurs. morning with the entire group. jb

From: Carol S. Kopf [mailto:ckopf2@optonline.net]
Sent: Tuesday, May 29, 2012 9:18 AM
To: Bohl, James; Paul Connett; Stuart Cooper
Cc: Bill O; Dr. Yolanda Whyte
Subject: Re: Fluoridationists' call to action in Wisconsin

It's also on its Facebook page
<https://www.facebook.com/chawisconsin>

I would assume the Milwaukee and/or Wisconsin Dental Association has sent this to their members. Organized dentistry often has action alerts for its members to spring into action when any legislation pops up that would either benefit or hurt dentists.

ck

From: [Carol S. Kopf](#)
Sent: Tuesday, May 29, 2012 10:00 AM
To: [Bohl, James](#) ; [Paul Connett](#) ; [Stuart Cooper](#)
Cc: [Bill O](#) ; [Dr. Yolanda Whyte](#)
Subject: Re: Fluoridationists' call to action in Wisconsin

I saw it on Twitter by [Children's Health Alliance of Wisconsin](#) Now I see it's also on its homepage and

maybe they sent it via a newsletter to members

The following is the tweet from twitter from Children's health alliance of Wisconsin

[CHAW p@chawisconsin](#)

Be sure to let your alderman know you support community water **fluoridation!** <http://ow.ly/bcCRt>

--
ck

From: [Bohl, James](#)

Sent: Tuesday, May 29, 2012 9:45 AM

To: [Carol S. Kopf](#) ; [Paul Connett](#) ; [Stuart Cooper](#)

Cc: [Bill O](#) ; [Dr. Yolanda Whyte](#)

Subject: RE: Fluoridationists' call to action in Wisconsin

I was aware this existed. I have no idea where this is being disseminated, however. jb

From: Carol S. Kopf [mailto:ckopf2@optonline.net]

Sent: Tuesday, May 29, 2012 8:15 AM

To: Paul Connett; Stuart Cooper

Cc: Bill O; Dr. Yolanda Whyte; Bohl, James

Subject: Fluoridationists' call to action in Wisconsin

I think we need to counter this

URGENT CALL TO ACTION:

ANTI-FLUORIDE LEGISLATION THREATENS ORAL HEALTH IN METRO MILWAUKEE

<http://chawisconsin.org/documents/FluorideCALLTOACTION5.12.pdf>

Carol

The City of Milwaukee is subject to Wisconsin Statutes related to public records. Unless otherwise exempted from the public records law, senders and receivers of City of Milwaukee e-mail should presume that e-mail is subject to release upon request, and is subject to state records retention requirements. See City of Milwaukee full e-mail disclaimer at www.milwaukee.gov/email_disclaimer

The City of Milwaukee is subject to Wisconsin Statutes related to public records. Unless otherwise exempted from the public records law, senders and receivers of City of Milwaukee e-mail should presume that e-mail is subject to release upon request, and is subject to state records retention requirements. See City of Milwaukee full e-mail disclaimer at www.milwaukee.gov/email_disclaimer

The City of Milwaukee is subject to Wisconsin Statutes related to public records. Unless otherwise exempted from the public records law, senders and receivers of City of Milwaukee e-mail should presume that e-mail is subject to release upon request, and is subject to state records retention requirements. See City of Milwaukee full e-mail disclaimer at www.milwaukee.gov/email_disclaimer

No virus found in this message.

Checked by AVG - www.avg.com

Version: 10.0.1415 / Virus Database: 2425/5038 - Release Date: 06/01/12