Pr	oject/Program Title:	Pier, Berth and Channel Improvements Requesting Department: Port of Milwaukee
Pr	epared By/Phone Ext:	Hattle Billingsley/ x-3649 Department Head Signature: Circuit
Ac	count No:	PT180000200
A)	Type of Project	
B)	Description Infrastructure Street Related Sidewalks Building Roof Windows ADA Office Re	
		nation Systems
C)	Project/Program Durat One Year On-Going Program Multi-Year	on □ Yes □ No □ Yes □ No □ Yes □ No □ Yes □ No Number of Years
D)	Total Positions 0 Position Title	
E)	In Six Year Capital Impi Yes ☑ 2010-2015	ovement Plan ☑ 2011-2016 ☐ Yes, Modified ☐ New Request
F)	Project/Program Justific	ation
	The mission of the Port of I It is vital to this mission tha improvements to the moori the Department of Transpo	ilwaukee is to enhance the overall economic vitality of our region by stimulating trade, business and employment, the Port's Infrastructure is maintained in excellent condition. Funding is needed on an on-going basis for good basin, the City's heavy lift dock and the outer harbor. This program is funded in part by a grant program through atlon's Bureau of Railroads and Harbors. Approximately 80 % of the cost of these improvements will be paid by ing 20% of the total funding. This account is used for the City's 20% matching funds.
G) /	Additional Comments	
<u> </u>		

Requesting Department:	Port of Milwaukee	е						
Project/Program Title:	Pier. Berth and C	Pier. Berth and Channel Improvements	S		Account No:	o : PT180000200	Q	
						Special		· · ·
Year	i i	ах Levy/Bотоwing	Grant & Aid	۸id	Revenue	Assessment	Enterprise	Total Cost
Remaining Balance for 2011	L	\$313,764						\$313,764
2012 Budget Request	J	\$200,000	88	\$800,000				\$1,000,000
2013 Projection		\$200,000	\$8	\$800,000				\$1,000,000
2014 Projection	<u> </u>	\$200,000	\$8	\$800,000				\$1,000,000
2015 Projection	.1	\$200,000	8\$	\$800,000				\$1,000,000
2016 Projection	1	\$200,000	8\$	\$800,000				\$1,000,000
2017 Projection		\$200,000	88	\$800,000				\$1,000,000
Total Six Year Cost	<u></u>	\$1,200,000	8,4%	\$4,800,000	0\$	O\$	0\$	\$6,000,000
Total Project Cost		\$1,513,764	8,4%	\$4,800,000	S	0\$	0\$	\$6,313,764
	-d							ı
Life to Date Expenditures (Project Only)	ed Only)	0\$		O\$	\$0	0\$	\$	\$0
	1 (7700	7,000	2000	2047		
Available Cost Estimate:	2012	•	4102	20107		[
Thorough Cost Estimate								
Limited Information								
Based on Cost of Similar Projects	jects 🖸	5	(S)		D	5		
Unsupported								
Were cost estimates confirmed by another source? Are cost estimates based on industry standards?	i by another source idustry standards?	Ç:	☐ Yes Yes	% ⊡ ⊡	☐ Uncertain			
Will city employees be performing any portion of	ing any portion of t	the work?	□Yes	N I	Uncertain			
Did you perform a cost/benefit analysis?	analysis?		□Yes	8 ⊡	Uncertain			
How will this project impact city operating expenditures?	y operating expend	ifures?	□Increase		☐ Decrease ☑ None			
Estimated Start Date:	TBD	Q				•		
Estimated Completion Date:	TBD	Q	Department Head Signature	ıt Head Siç	quature	C. Lewel	\mathcal{H}	
			Tomound By/Ohone Evt	. Janough	l	Hattie Billingslev/x-3649		
			Lichard	y/r mone	Į			

Department: Port of Milwaukee	Date Submitted:	3/28/2011	
Project/Program:	Pier, Berth and Channel Improvements	Jake Eddiffated.	3/20/2011
Prepared By:	Hattie Billingsley	Current Request:	£4.000.000
Dept Head:	Eric Reinelt		\$1,000,000
	LIO NOMER	6 Yr Total:	\$6,000,000

General Project/Program Description:

This program funds the City match funds for grants obtained through the state department of DOT, Harbor Assistance program. Funding of 80% of the total would be provided by grants from the state or a projected \$4,800,000 with the remaining being provided through the City's debt service fund.

Whenever possible, please quantify the impact of the project in either the amount column or the comment section of each area. Supporting documentation does not need to be submitted with the request but should be available upon request. Please see Capital Guidelines for detailed descriptions of each area of emphasis and additional considerations.

<u>Yes</u>	No	N/A	Amount	Health & Safety	
х				Does the project directly reduce risks to people or property?	······································
X	•			Does the project directly promote improved health or safety?	***************************************
		х		Does the project mitigate an immediate risk?	
		L		10003 the project littingle an illimediate liek.	
nm	ents /	Other Co	nsiderations:		······
omm	ents /	Other Co	onsiderations:		***************************************
mm	ents /	Other Co	onsiderations:		**************************************
mm	ents /	Other Co	onsiderations:		

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Regulatory Compliance
			7 11100110	regulatory compliance
		×		Does the project address a legislative, regulatory or court-ordered mandate?
		Х		Does the project promote long-term regulatory compliance?
		х		Will there be serious negative impact on the City if compliance is not achieved?
		х		Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory concern?
l Comm	ents /	Other	Considerations:	

Comments / Other Considerations:

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Impact on Operational / Capital Budget
		х		What return on investment will this project generate?
		х		What is the expected payback period for this project?
		X		Does the project minimize life-cycle costs?
		×		Will the facility require additional personnel to operate?
		×		Will the project lead to a reduction in operating costs?
		X	***************************************	Will the project lead to increased productivity or service improvements?
		_ X		Will the facility require significant annual maintenance?
		x		Will the new facility require additional equipment or the construction of additional infrastructure not included in the project budget?
		X		Is there a revenue generating opportunity? (e.g. user fees)
		X	-	Will the project result in a reduction or increase in energy use?
		х		Does the project involve specific energy reduction strategies or features?
		x		Will this project cause disruptions to regular city operations?
		x		Are there other potential costs associated with this project that are not addressed above?

Comments / Other Considerations:

At this time the exact project(s) have not been determined.

Project/Program: Pier, Berth and Channel Improvements

Whenever possible. please quantify / describe the impact of the project in either the amount column or the comment section of each area. Supporting documentation does not need to be submitted with the request but should be available upon request. Please see Capital Guidelines for detailed descriptions of each area of emphasis and additional considerations.

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Compliance with Area Plans - The Common Council has adopted Comprehensive Area Plans. CIC Guidelines document a link to those plans on the DCD website.
				Is the project in conformance with and supportive of the goals, objectives and strategies of any applicable Comprehensive Plan, special study, survey, committee or board?
				Does the project increase or enhance educational opportunities for City of Milwaukee citizens?
				Does the project increase or enhance recreational opportunities and/or green space?
				Will the project mitigate blight?
	<u> </u>			Does the project target the quality of life of all citizens or does it target one demographic?
				Is one population affected positively and another negatively?
				Does the project preserve or improve the historical or natural heritage of the City?
				Is the project consistent with established community character?
				Does the project expand the range of transportation, employment, and housing choices in a fiscally responsible manner?
***************************************				Does the project improve, mitigate or prevent degradation of environmental quality (e.g. water quality, improve or reduce pollution including noise and/or light pollution)?

Comments / Other Considerations:

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Infrastructure - Primarily recurring infrastructure and facilities preservation programs
		х		How does the request effect the pertinent replacement cycle ? Provide specifics below.
		x		Has the facility being replaced exceeded its useful life?
		x		Does this project extend the useful life of an existing facility?
		х		Do maintenance costs exceed replacement costs? (See Below)
		х		Have you documented costs of unplanned or corrective maintenance related to the facility?
		×		Does the project incorporate new technology that will provide enhanced service?
		×		Does the project extend service for new development or redevelopment?
		x		Will this project improve the functionality or service life of other related infrastructure?

Comments / Other Considerations:

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Economic / Community Development
				Does the project have the potential to promote economic/community development in areas where growth is desired?
				Will the project continue to promote or enhance economic/community development in an already developed area?
				Is the net impact of the project positive?
				Would an alternate location for this project provide a greater positive economic impact?
				Will the project produce desirable jobs in the City?
				Will the project rejuvenate an area that needs assistance?
				Will the project promote the equitable distribution of the costs and benefits of development?

Comments / Other Considerations:

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Special Considerations
×				is there a significant external funding source that can only be used for this project and/or which will be lost if not used immediately (e.g. proffers, grants through various federal or state initiatives, and private donations)?
	×			Are there critical timing Issues associated with this project?
	x			Are there inter-jurisdictional considerations?
	х			Can you quantify the impacts of a delay in this project?

Comments / Other Considerations:

This account has historically been used as the conduit for grant request through the Wisconsin Dept. of DOT. Funding would be the 20% expected share of match funds for projects funded through the state's Harbor Assistance program. Expected share of City's cost for the projects if awarded would be \$200,000 for the current year and \$1.2 million for the 6 year plan.

F	Project/Program Title:	Terminal Resurfacing Reques	ting Department: Port of Milwaukee
F	Prepared By/Phone Ext:	Hattie Billingsley/x-3649 Departm	nent Head Signature: Luc Meinelt
Δ	secount No:	PT180080300	4,000
A	Type of Project	_	Level of Need ☑ Essential ☐ Important ☐ Desired
8		☐ Sewer ☐ Water ☐ Street Ligh ☐ Alleys ☐ Bridge ☐ Environme	
	☐ ADA ☐ Office R		☐ Garage ☑ Mechanical
	Miscellaneous Devel		_ Garage
C)	Project/Program		
0,	Project/Program Dura One Year On-Going Program Multi-Year	ation ☐ Yes ☐ No ☑ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ No Number of Years	
ا .۔			
D)	Total Positions 0	No. of Positions	FTEs Salaries \$ \$ \$
E)	in Six Year Capital Imp Yes ☑ 2010-2015] New Request
F) [Project/Program Justif	Ication	
	It is vital to maintain Port p several of the Port's termin	property in order to enhance and preserve its economic vita nals have settled due to the Harbor's subsurface conditions condition where most needed as funding permits.	ality to the region. Over time various paved surfaces at s. This on-going maintenance program restores thes
GI	Additional Comments		
	ZMUGUIRI CUMMENS		

reduceding peparament.	FULL OF IMMAUNES								
Project/Program Title:	Terminal Resurfa	facing			Account No:		PT180080300	0	
						ďS	Special		
Year	E.	fax Levy/Borrowing	Grant & Aid	, Aid	Revenue	Asse	Assessment	Enterprise	Total Cost
Remaining Balance for 2011		\$327,378							\$327,378
2012 Budget Request	<u> </u>								0\$
2013 Projection		\$250,000							\$250,000
2014 Projection	<u> </u>								\$0
2015 Projection	İ	\$250,000							\$250,000
2016 Projection	<u> </u>								\$
2017 Projection	<u> </u>					i			0\$
Total Six Year Cost		\$500,000		S _s	OS .	0	S	0\$	\$500,000
Total Project Cost	<u> </u>	\$827,378		9	\$	6	O\$	S\$	\$827,378
Life to Date Expenditures (Project Only)	\ \	C\$		Ş		G	G	U\$	- 5
and a parameter and a superior)	2		A	ð		O.	O c	8
Available Cost Estimate: Thorough Cost Estimate Limited Information Based on Cost of Similar Projects Unsupported	2012 	2013	20000 7	5016	204 6	2047			
Were cost estimates confirmed by another source? Are cost estimates based on industry standards? Will city employees be performing any portion of the work? Did you perform a cost/benefit analysis?	y another source? ustry standards? ig any portion of the nalysis?	s work?	□ □ □ □	S S S S C C C C C	Uncertain Uncertain Uncertain				
How will this project impact city operating expenditures?	operating expenditu	ires?	□Increase		☐ Decrease ☑ None				
Estimated Start Date: Estimated Completion Date:	TBD TBD		Departmen	Department Head Signature	nature	Sur 1	Lowe L	7)	
			Prenamed Rv/Phone Ext	3v/Phone F		Hattie Rillingslev/x-3649	4-3649		

Dep	artme	nt:	Port of Milwau	kee	Data Submitted	0/00/00
Proj	ject/Program: Terminal Resurfa pared By: Hattie Billingsley				Date Submitted:	3/28/2011
					C1 D	
	Dept Head: Eric Reinelt			9	Current Request:	\$(
			Litorica		6 Yr Total:	\$500,000
			gram Description			
Whe Supp	never	possible, documei	please quantify	oors of the various Port terminals have shifted over time used to resurface Port terminals floors on an as need to the terminals floors on an as need to the terminals floors on an as need to the submitted with the request but should be at a coordinate of coordinate of the project in either the amount column floors of coordinate of the request but should be at the coordinate of coordinate of the project in either the amount column floors of coordinate of the project in either the amount column floors of coordinate of the project in either the amount column floors of coordinate of the project in either the amount column floors of the project in either the amount column floors of the project in either the amount column floors of the project in either the amount column floors of the project in either the amount column floors of the project in either the amount column floors of the project in either the amount column floors of the project in either the amount column floors of the project in either the amount column floors of the project in either the amount column floors of the project in either the amount column floors of the project in either the amount column floors of the project in either the amount column floors of the project in either the either the project in either the eit	n or the comment section	e serviceable.
Yes		- dotain	ou descriptions (i each area of emphasis and additional considerations	5.	
165		N/A	Amount	Health & Safety		
	X	++		Does the project directly reduce risks to people or prop		
	X	-		Does the project directly promote improved health or	safety?	
~~~	X	/ 045 0	onsiderations:	Does the project mitigate an immediate risk?		
	×		AMOUNT	Does the project address a legislative, regulatory or cour	t-ordered mandate?	
Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Regulatory Compliance		
	X			Does the project address a legislative, regulatory or cour	t-ordered mandate?	
······································	X			Does the project promote long-term regulatory complia	nce?	
	X			Will there be serious negative impact on the City if com		
	х		onsiderations:	Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory concern	>	
′es	No	N/A	Amount			
-3	NO		Amount	Impact on Operational / Capital Budget		
		<del>_</del>		What return on investment will this project generate?		
		X		What is the expected payback period for this project?		
		×		Does the project minimize life-cycle costs?		
$\dashv$	X			Will the facility require additional personnel to operate?		
	×			Will the project lead to a reduction in operating costs?		
-	X			Will the project lead to increased productivity or service	improvements?	
	X			Will the facility require significant annual maintenance?		
	x			Will the new facility require additional equipment or the continuity included in the project budget?	onstruction of additional in	frastructure not
	X			Is there a revenue generating opportunity? (e.g. user fe	es)	
_	×			Will the project result in a reduction or increase in energy u	se?	
$\perp$	X			Does the project involve specific energy reduction strate		
_	X			Will this project cause disruptions to regular city operation	ons?	
	X					
nme		ther Con	siderations:	Are there other potential costs associated with this project	that are not addressed abo	ove?

Project/Program: Terminal Resurfacing

Whenever possible, please quantify / describe the impact of the project in either the amount column or the comment section of each area. Supporting documentation does not need to be submitted with the request but should be available upon request. Please see Capital Guidelines for detailed descriptions of each area of emphasis and additional considerations.

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Compliance with Area Plans - The Common Council has adopted Comprehensive Area Plans. CIC Guidelines document a link to those plans on the DCD website.
x				is the project in conformance with and supportive of the goals, objectives and strategies of any applicable Comprehensive Plan, special study, survey, committee or board?
		х		Does the project increase or enhance educational opportunities for City of Milwaukee citizens?
		x		Does the project increase or enhance recreational opportunities and/or green space?
x				Will the project mitigate blight?
		х		Does the project target the quality of life of all citizens or does it target one demographic?
		х		Is one population affected positively and another negatively?
		х		Does the project preserve or improve the historical or natural heritage of the City?
x				Is the project consistent with established community character?
x				Does the project expand the range of transportation, employment, and housing choices in a fiscally responsible manner?
x				Does the project improve, mitigate or prevent degradation of environmental quality (e.g. water quality, improve or reduce pollution including noise and/or light pollution)?

Comments / Other Considerations:

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Infrastructure - Primarily recurring infrastructure and facilities preservation programs
		x		How does the request effect the pertinent replacement cycle ? Provide specifics below.
		х		Has the facility being replaced exceeded its useful life?
		х		Does this project extend the useful life of an existing facility?
		х		Do maintenance costs exceed replacement costs? (See Below)
		x		Have you documented costs of unplanned or corrective maintenance related to the facility?
		х		Does the project incorporate new technology that will provide enhanced service?
		x		Does the project extend service for new development or redevelopment?
		x		Will this project improve the functionality or service life of other related infrastructure?

Comments / Other Considerations:

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Economic / Community Development
	x			Does the project have the potential to promote economic/community development in areas where growth is desired?
	х			Will the project continue to promote or enhance economic/community development in an already developed area?
x				Is the net impact of the project positive?
	×			Would an alternate location for this project provide a greater positive economic impact?
	х			Will the project produce desirable jobs in the City?
	х			Will the project rejuvenate an area that needs assistance?
	X			Will the project promote the equitable distribution of the costs and benefits of development?

Comments / Other Considerations:

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Special Considerations
	x			is there a significant external funding source that can only be used for this project and/or which will be lost if not used immediately (e.g. proffers, grants through various federal or state initiatives, and private donations)?
	х			Are there critical timing issues associated with this project?
	x			Are there Inter-jurisdictional considerations?
	Х			Can you quantify the impacts of a delay in this project?

Comments / Other Considerations:

Pi	roject/Program Title:	Dockwall & Breakwater Rehabilitation			Requesting Department: Port of Milwaukee			
Pr	repared By/Phone Ext:	Hattie Billingsle	y/x-3649	Dep	_ Department Head Signature: Lie Ve			F
A	ccount No:	PT18080110					o vame	
A)	Type of Project  \B\n!	3 of 18 ew □ Replacer n-Golng Program	Useful Life nent □ Repair			Need ☑ Essential	☐ Important	☐ Desired
В)	Description Infrastructure Street Related Sidewalks Building Roof Windows ADA Office Re	emodeling	□ Water □ Bridge □ Electrical □ New Building	☐ Enviro	: Lighting onmental Security  Garage	☐ Communications ☑ Port ☐ Exterior ☐ Mechanical	☐ Recreation☐ Parking☐ Entire Facility	
l	☐ Economic ☐ Infor	mation Systems	☐ Equipment	t Other				
c)	Project/Program Durat One Year On-Going Program Multi-Year	lion □Yes □No ☑Yes □No □Yes □No	0	of Years				
D)	Total Positions 0	Total F		of Positions	FTEs	Calada		
E)	In Six Year Capital Impr						\$ \$	
F) [	2010 2013	2011-2016	Ľ Ye	s, Modified	☐ New Requ	est		
	Project/Program Justific The mission of the Port of N It is vital to this mission that mile) dockwall system to se repairs and to maintain the o maintained for lease and ca to meet the objectives of the revenue to the City may resi	Milwaukee is to en t the Port's infrastr rve the Port area. dockwall in appro- rgo operations. We mission stateme	An on-going plan priate condition fo Vell maintained do nt. Lease docum	nned at excellent conned dockwall relation operation ockwalls are essents often regular	ondition. The P nabilitation prog s. This program ntial to the Port	ort of Milwaukee mai fram is necessary to n allows the Port's do	ntains an extensive avert costly emerg ckwalls to be well	e (ten ency
G)	Additional Comments							

Requesting Department:	Port of Milwaukee								
Project/Program Title:	Dockwall & Breakwater Rehabilitation	ater Rehabilitation			Account No:	lo: PT18080110	80110		
,	ř	0,000	714 6 77 00	r: v		Special	1	1	Total Cast
Remaining Balance for 2011	¥	\$9,513	S III	2	Panel	Assessinent		acine bisa	\$9,513
2012 Budget Request		\$150,000							\$150,000
2013 Projection		\$150,000							\$150,000
2014 Projection		\$150,000							\$150,000
2015 Projection		\$150,000							\$150,000
2016 Projection	<u> </u>	\$150,000					-	,	\$150,000
2017 Projection	<u> </u>	\$150,000							\$150,000
Total Six Year Cost		\$900,000		O\$	0\$		B	S	\$900,000
Total Project Cost		\$909,513		\$0	O\$		S _F	SF.	\$909,513
Life to Date Expenditures (Project Only)	Only)	0\$		\$0	0\$		<b>S</b>	0\$	- 0\$
Available Cost Estimate: Thorough Cost Estimate	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017			
Limited Information  Based on Cost of Similar Projects	G	<b>-</b> 6							
Unsupported		3 🗆	9 <b></b>		<b>3</b> 🗆				
Were cost estimates confirmed by another source? Are cost estimates based on industry standards? Will city employees be performing any portion of the work? Did you perform a cost/benefit analysis?	/ another source? stry standards? j any portion of the v alysis?	work?	788 50 Yes 788 788	2 2 2 2 C C C	Uncertain Uncertain Uncertain				
How will this project impact city operating expenditures?	perating expenditur	ક્કડ	☐ Increase		☐ Decrease ☐ None				
Estimated Start Date: Estimated Completion Date:	TBD TBD			<b>:</b>		C.	Laure J.	# F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F	
			Department Head Signature Prepared By/Phone Ext	t Head Sigi ly/Phone E	l i	Hattie Billingsley/x-3649	9 64		

Department:	Port of Milwaukee	Date Submitted:	2/20/2014
Project/Program:	Dockwall & Breakwater Rehabilitation	Date Submitted.	3/28/2011
Prepared By:	Hattie Billingsley	Current Requests	0.450
Dept Head:	Eric Reinelt	Current Request:	\$150,000
	Life (Veirleit	6 Yr Total:	\$900,000

### General Project/Program Description:

This is an on-going planned rehabilitation program to provide funding for the maintenance of the 10 mile dockwall system which the Port of Milwaukee is responsible for. The purpose of on-going rehabilitation is to avert costly emergency repairs and to keep the dockwall system in appropriate condition to support cargo operations at the Port.

Whenever possible, please quantify the impact of the project in either the amount column or the comment section of each area. Supporting documentation does not need to be submitted with the request but should be available upon request. Please see Capital Guidelines for detailed descriptions of each area of emphasis and additional considerations.

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Health & Safety
X	<u> </u>			Does the project directly reduce risks to people or property?
	X			Does the project directly promote improved health or safety?
******	X			Does the project mitigate an immediate risk?
<u>omn</u>	nents .	Other Co	onsiderations:	
es	No	N/A	Amount	Regulatory Compliance
	х			Does the project address a legislative, regulatory or court-ordered mandate?
	X			Does the project promote long-term regulatory compliance?
	х			Will there be serious negative impact on the City if compliance is not achieved?
	x			Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory concern?
mm es	ents /	Other Co	nsiderations:	
<u>mm</u>	ents /	Other Co	nsiderations:	
s			nsiderations: Amount	Impact on Operational / Capital Budget
s				Impact on Operational / Capital Budget What return on Investment will this project generate?
s				Impact on Operational / Capital Budget  What return on Investment will this project generate?  What is the expected payback period for this project?
s	No			Impact on Operational / Capital Budget  What return on Investment will this project generate?  What is the expected payback period for this project?  Does the project minimize life-cycle costs?
s	No x			Impact on Operational / Capital Budget  What return on investment will this project generate?  What is the expected payback period for this project?  Does the project minimize life-cycle costs?  Will the facility require additional personnel to operate?
s	No			Impact on Operational / Capital Budget  What return on investment will this project generate?  What is the expected payback period for this project?  Does the project minimize life-cycle costs?  Will the facility require additional personnel to operate?  Will the project lead to a reduction in operating costs?
es	No x x			Impact on Operational / Capital Budget  What return on Investment will this project generate?  What is the expected payback period for this project?  Does the project minimize life-cycle costs?  Will the facility require additional personnel to operate?  Will the project lead to a reduction in operating costs?  Will the project lead to increased productivity or service improvements?
s	No x			Impact on Operational / Capital Budget  What return on Investment will this project generate?  What is the expected payback period for this project?  Does the project minimize life-cycle costs?  Will the facility require additional personnel to operate?  Will the project lead to a reduction in operating costs?  Will the project lead to increased productivity or service improvements?  Will the facility require significant annual maintenance?
s	No x x			Impact on Operational / Capital Budget  What return on Investment will this project generate?  What is the expected payback period for this project?  Does the project minimize life-cycle costs?  Will the facility require additional personnel to operate?  Will the project lead to a reduction in operating costs?  Will the project lead to increased productivity or service improvements?
s	No x x x x x			Impact on Operational / Capital Budget  What return on Investment will this project generate?  What is the expected payback period for this project?  Does the project minimize life-cycle costs?  Will the facility require additional personnel to operate?  Will the project lead to a reduction in operating costs?  Will the project lead to increased productivity or service improvements?  Will the facility require significant annual maintenance?  Will the new facility require additional equipment or the construction of additional infrastructure no included in the project budget?  Is there a revenue generating opportunity? (e.g. user fees)
s	No x x x x x x x			Impact on Operational / Capital Budget  What return on investment will this project generate?  What is the expected payback period for this project?  Does the project minimize life-cycle costs?  Will the facility require additional personnel to operate?  Will the project lead to a reduction in operating costs?  Will the project lead to increased productivity or service improvements?  Will the facility require significant annual maintenance?  Will the new facility require additional equipment or the construction of additional infrastructure no included in the project budget?  Is there a revenue generating opportunity? (e.g. user fees)  Will the project result in a reduction or increase in energy use?
s	No x x x x x x x x x x			Impact on Operational / Capital Budget  What return on investment will this project generate?  What is the expected payback period for this project?  Does the project minimize life-cycle costs?  Will the facility require additional personnel to operate?  Will the project lead to a reduction in operating costs?  Will the project lead to increased productivity or service improvements?  Will the facility require significant annual maintenance?  Will the new facility require additional equipment or the construction of additional infrastructure no included in the project budget?  Is there a revenue generating opportunity? (e.g. user fees)  Will the project result in a reduction or increase in energy use?
	No x x x x x x x			Impact on Operational / Capital Budget  What return on Investment will this project generate?  What is the expected payback period for this project?  Does the project minimize life-cycle costs?  Will the facility require additional personnel to operate?  Will the project lead to a reduction in operating costs?  Will the project lead to increased productivity or service improvements?  Will the facility require significant annual maintenance?  Will the new facility require additional equipment or the construction of additional infrastructure no included in the project budget?  Is there a revenue generating opportunity? (e.g. user fees)

Project/Program: Dockwall & Breakwater Rehabilitation

Whenever possible, please quantify / describe the impact of the project in either the amount column or the comment section of each area. Supporting documentation does not need to be submitted with the request but should be available upon request. Please see Capital Guidelines for detailed descriptions of each area of emphasis and additional considerations.

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Compliance with Area Plans - The Common Council has adopted Comprehensive Area Plans. CIC Guidelines document a link to those plans or the DCD website.
x				is the project in conformance with and supportive of the goals, objectives and strategies of any applicable Comprehensive Plan, special study, survey, committee or board?
		x		Does the project increase or enhance educational opportunities for City of Milwaukee citizens?
		x		Does the project increase or enhance recreational opportunities and/or green space?
х				Will the project mitigate blight?
		x		Does the project target the quality of life of all citizens or does it target one demographic?
		x		Is one population affected positively and another negatively?
		х		Does the project preserve or improve the historical or natural heritage of the City?
×				Is the project consistent with established community character?
x				Does the project expand the range of transportation, employment, and housing choices in a fiscally responsible manner?
×				Does the project improve, mitigate or prevent degradation of environmental quality (e.g. water quality, improve or reduce pollution including noise and/or light pollution)?

Comments / Other Considerations:

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Infrastructure - Primarily recurring infrastructure and facilities preservation programs
x				How does the request effect the pertinent replacement cycle ? Provide specifics below.
	х			Has the facility being replaced exceeded its useful life?
	х			Does this project extend the useful life of an existing facility?
		x		Do maintenance costs exceed replacement costs? (See Below)
	х			Have you documented costs of unplanned or corrective maintenance related to the facility?
		×		Does the project incorporate new technology that will provide enhanced service?
		x		Does the project extend service for new development or redevelopment?
		×		Will this project improve the functionality or service life of other related infrastructure?

Comments / Other Considerations:

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Economic / Community Development
x				Does the project have the potential to promote economic/community development in areas where growth is desired?
x				Will the project continue to promote or enhance economic/community development in an already developed area?
х				Is the net impact of the project positive?
	х			Would an alternate location for this project provide a greater positive economic impact?
х				Will the project produce desirable jobs in the City?
	х			Will the project rejuvenate an area that needs assistance?
х				Will the project promote the equitable distribution of the costs and benefits of development?

Comments / Other Considerations:

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Special Considerations
	×			is there a significant external funding source that can only be used for this project and/or which will be lost if not used immediately (e.g. proffers, grants through various federal or state initiatives, and private donations)?
	х			Are there critical timing issues associated with this project?
	x			Are there inter-jurisdictional considerations?
X				Can you quantify the impacts of a delay in this project?

Comments / Other Considerations:

	oject/Program Title:	New Crane/Heavy Lift Equipment Requesting Department:	Port of Milwaukee
Pre	pared By/Phone Ext:	Hattie Billingsley/x-3649 Department Head Signature:	Luc Remett
Ace	count No:		
A)	Department Priority  Type of Project	4 of 18 Useful Life 30 Years Level of Need ☐ Essented Fully to the Dn-Golng Program Scope ☐ Fully to the Dn-Golng Program	
B)	Description Infrastructure  Street Related Sidewalks Building Roof Window	Exterior	☐ Parking ☐ Entire Facility
	Miscellaneous Develo		Cal
L		rmation Systems 🗹 Equipment 🔲 Other	
C)	Project/Program Dura One Year On-Going Program Multi-Year	tion  ☐ Yes ☐ No  ☐ Yes ☐ No  ☑ Yes ☐ No Number of Years	
D)	Total Positions 0	No. of Death	alaries \$ \$ \$
≣)	In Six Year Capital Imp Yes ☑ 2010-2015	rovement Plan ☑ 2011-2016 ☐ Yes, Modified ☐ New Request	
F)	The Port's marketing staff changes in the Wisconsin	Milwaukee is to enhance the overall ecnomic vitality of our region by stimulating traderve its customers, it is imperative that the Port purchase and maintain equipment to actively continues to pursue the wind energy business to transport through Milwauke DoT regulations will increase the probability of transporting wind generation equipment the Port would need to have reliable heavy duty cranes to meet the product of the state.	meet the customer's needs.  e. They anticipate recent
	The state of the s	restigate the possibility of subsidzing funding through grants from the Wisconsin Depa to fund a major portion of the cost of the new crane. The grants typically provide 80 ugh City 's Debt Service fund.	artment of Transportation % of the funds with the

Requesting Department: Port of Milwaukee

roject/Program Title: New Crane/	New Crane/Heavy Lift Equipment			Account No:	nt No:				
Vear	Tax   ew/Borrowing	ding Grant & Ald	8. A I.d	Povenile		Special	Fotomose	Total Cost	
Remaining Balance for 2011				2012420	<u> </u>	300000000000000000000000000000000000000		O\$	
2012 Budget Request								\$0	
2013 Projection	\$4,000,000	8						\$4,000,000	
2014 Projection								0\$	
2015 Projection								0\$	
2016 Projection								<b>Q</b> \$	
2017 Projection								0\$	
Total Six Year Cost	\$4,000,000	8	<b>S</b>		S _s	0\$	\$0	\$4,000,000	,
Total Project Cost	\$4,000,000	8	O\$		<b>₽</b>	\$	\$0	\$4,000,000	
								•	,
ife to Date Expenditures (Project Only)		0\$	0\$		S\$	O\$	0\$	O\$	<b></b>
	2012 2013	2014	2015	2016		2017			
Thorough Cost Estimate									
	0								
st of Similar Projects									
Unsupported									
Nere cost estimates confirmed by another source? Are cost estimates based on industry standards?	urce? 1s?	SS SS XS X	% % □ ⊡	☐ Uncertain					
Mill city employees be performing any portion of the work? Did you perform a cost/benefit analysis?	of the work?		8 £	Uncertain					
		<u>g</u>	3						
How will this project impact city operating expenditures?	enditures?	□ Increase		☐ Decrease	ñ				
Estimated Start Date:	TBD								
Estimated Completion Date:	TBD	Coop	Department Head Signature	anten Surta	W	in Veriett	t		
									ſ
		Prepared	Prepared By/Phone Ext		iffie Billir	Hattie Billingsley/x-3649			ŀ

Dep	artmer	nt:	Port of Milwauk	ee	Data Submitted.	0.100.10=
Pro	ect/Pro	ogram:	New Crane/Hea	avy Lift Equipment	Date Submitted:	3/28/2011
Pre	pared E	 Зу:	Hattie Billingsle		- Current D	
Dep	t Head	:	Eric Reinelt		Current Request:	\$
					6 Yr Total:	\$4,000,00
			ogram Description:			
Whe Supp	never j	possible, docume	please quantify	the impact of the project in either the amount colur	wallabla was as as a second	n of each area. ease see Capital
Yes		N/A	Amount	each area of emphasis and additional consideration	<b>98</b> .	
	X	+ " +	Amount	Health & Safety		
	^	<del>                                     </del>		Does the project directly reduce risks to people or pro		
	X	<del> </del>		Does the project directly promote improved health or	r safety?	
Comi		Other	onsiderations:	Does the project mitigate an immediate risk?		
Yes	No x	N/A	Amount	Regulatory Compliance  Does the project address a legislative, regulatory or cou	ut-ordered mandato?	
	х					
	х			Does the project promote long-term regulatory compli	energy mandate?	
	х			Will there be serious negative impact on the City if con		
	х			Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory concern	ipliance is not achieved?	
/ I	- N	I				
'es	No	N/A	Amount	Impact on Operational / Capital Budget		
X			Undetermined	What return on investment will this project generate?		
X			X	What is the expected payback period for this project?		
<u>×</u>				Does the project minimize life-cycle costs?		
-+	<u> </u>			Will the facility require additional personnel to operate?		
X				Will the project lead to a reduction in operating costs?		
<u>*</u>				Will the project lead to increased productivity or service	improvements?	
$\dashv$	×			Will the facility require significant annual maintenance?		
	x			Will the new facility require additional equipment or the cincluded in the project budget?	construction of additional int	rastructure not
<u> </u>				Is there a revenue generating opportunity? (e.g. user for	ees)	
	X			Will the project result in a reduction or increase in energy		
_	X			Does the project involve specific energy reduction strate	gies or features?	
	X			Will this project cause disruptions to regular city operati		
	X			Are there other potential costs associated with this project		ve?
<u>mme</u>	nts / O	ther Con	siderations:			

Project/Program: New Crane/Heavy Lift Equipment

Whenever possible, please quantify / describe the impact of the project in either the amount column or the comment section of each area. Supporting documentation does not need to be submitted with the request but should be available upon request. Please see Capital Guidelines for detailed descriptions of each area of emphasis and additional considerations.

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Compliance with Area Plans - The Common Council has adopted Comprehensive Area Plans. CIC Guidelines document a link to those plans or the DCD website.
х				is the project in conformance with and supportive of the goals, objectives and strategies of any applicable Comprehensive Plan, special study, survey, committee or board?
		x		Does the project increase or enhance educational opportunities for City of Milwaukee citizens?
		×		Does the project increase or enhance recreational opportunities and/or green space?
x				Will the project mitigate blight?
		х		Does the project target the quality of life of all citizens or does it target one demographic?
		х		Is one population affected positively and another negatively?
		х		Does the project preserve or improve the historical or natural heritage of the City?
×				Is the project consistent with established community character?
x				Does the project expand the range of transportation, employment, and housing choices in a fiscally responsible manner?
x				Does the project improve, mitigate or prevent degradation of environmental quality (e.g. water quality, improve or reduce pollution including noise and/or light pollution)?

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Infrastructure - Primarily recurring infrastructure and facilities preservation programs
		х		How does the request effect the pertinent replacement cycle? Provide specifics below.
		х		Has the facility being replaced exceeded its useful life?
		х		Does this project extend the useful life of an existing facility?
		х		Do maintenance costs exceed replacement costs? (See Below)
		x		Have you documented costs of unplanned or corrective maintenance related to the facility?
		х		Does the project incorporate new technology that will provide enhanced service?
		х		Does the project extend service for new development or redevelopment?
		x		Will this project improve the functionality or service life of other related infrastructure?

Comments / Other Considerations:

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Economic / Community Development
x				Does the project have the potential to promote economic/community development in areas where growth is desired?
x				Will the project continue to promote or enhance economic/community development in an already developed area?
х				Is the net impact of the project positive?
	x			Would an alternate location for this project provide a greater positive economic impact?
x				Will the project produce desirable jobs in the City?
	x			Will the project rejuvenate an area that needs assistance?
	х			Will the project promote the equitable distribution of the costs and benefits of development?

Comments / Other Considerations:

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Special Considerations
	x			Is there a significant external funding source that can only be used for this project and/or which will be lost if not used immediately (e.g. proffers, grants through various federal or state initiatives, and private donations)?
	X			Are there critical timing issues associated with this project?
	x			Are there inter-jurisdictional considerations?
	х			Can you quantify the impacts of a delay in this project?

Comments / Other Considerations:

DAME 1

P	roject/Program Title:	Rall Track & Service Upgrades Requesting Department: Port of Milwaukee	
P	repared By/Phone Ext:	Hattle Billingsley/x-3649 Department Head Signature: Euc Vernett	
A	ccount No:	PT180070100	
A	Department Priority  Type of Project	5 of 18 Useful Life 35 Years Level of Need ☑ Essential ☐ Important ☐ Desired	
	1	lew ☑ Replacement ☐ Repair Project/Program Scope ☐ Fully Defined ☑ Partially Defined in-Going Program	
B)	Infrastructure ☐ Street Related ☐ Sidewalks	☐ Sewer ☐ Water ☐ Street Lighting ☐ Communications ☐ Recreation ☐ Alleys ☐ Bridge ☐ Environmental ☑ Port ☐ Parking	
	Building  □ Roof □ Window	s 🗆 HVAC 🗆 Electrical 🗀 Restroom 🗀 Security 🗀 Exterior 🗀 Entire Facility	
	□ ADA □ Office R	The second in th	
	Miscellaneous Develo		
	i	rmation Systems	
C)	Project/Program Dura	tion	
	One Year	☐ Yes ☐ No	
	On-Going Program	☑ Yes □ No	
	Multi-Year	☐ Yes ☐ No Number of Years	
D)	Total Positions	Tatal FTF	_
Σ,	<del></del>		
	Position Title	No. of Positions FTEs Salaries \$	I
E) [	in Siv Veer Conite Live		ᆜ
-/	in Six Year Capital Imp Yes ☑ 2010-2015	Tovement Plan  ☑ 2011-2016 ☑ Yes, Modified ☐ New Request	
F)	Project/Program Justif	cation	<u>ا</u>
	The mission of the Port of employment. The Port procustomers. The Port seel	Milwaukee is to enhance the overall economic vitality of our region by stimulating trade, businesses and ovides quality transportation and distribution services designed to anticipate and satisfy the needs and desires of our is to upgrade its 17 mile rall track system on an on-going basis to meet modern day safety and service load rail track from 90 lbs to 110/115 lbs.	
G)	Additional Comments		]

Requesting Department:	Port of Milwaukee	8			A contraction of the contraction			
Project/Program Title:	Rail Track & Se	Rail Track & Service Upgrades			Account No:	lo: PT180070100	100	
,	•	6	(	,		Special		
Remaining Balance for 2011		IAX LEVY/BUITOWING	Grant & Au	- Ag	Revenue	Assessment	Enterprise	lotal Cost
2012 Budget Request								95
2013 Projection	<b></b>	\$100,000						\$100,000
2014 Projection	<b></b>							9
2015 Projection	· •	\$100.000						\$100.000
2016 Projection								0\$
2017 Projection		\$100,000						\$100,000
Total Six Year Cost		\$300,000		8	0\$	\$	0\$	\$300,000
Total Project Cost		\$300,000		<b>8</b>	0\$	0\$	0\$	\$300,000
	, ,							ì
Life to Date Expenditures (Project Only)	d Only)	0\$		0\$	0\$	0\$	0\$	\$0
Available Cost Estimate:	2012		2014	2015	2016	2017		
I mited Information								
Based on Cost of Similar Projects	]    }	<b>]</b> [	<b>_</b> _ [	<u> </u>				
Unsupported		3 C	<b>]</b> [	3 C	J C	<u> </u>		
	]	נ	]		]	]		
Were cost estimates confirmed by another source? Are cost estimates based on industry standards? Will city employees be performing any portion of the work? Did you perform a cost/benefit analysis?	y another source ustry standards? g any portion of t nalysis?	s? he work?	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	8 8 8 8 10 10 10	☐ Uncertain ☐ Uncertain ☐ Uncertain			
How will this project impact city operating expend	operating expend	itures?	□ Increase	e 🗆 Decrease	rease S None			
Estimated Start Date:	TBD	q						
Estimated Completion Date:	TBD	Q	Department Head Signature	t Head Sigr	ature	Ene Rouse	$\mathcal{H}$	
			Prepared By/Phone Ext	y/Phone Ex		Hattie Billingsley/x-3649		

	artmer	nt:	Port of Milwaul	kee	Date Submitted:	3/28/2011
Proje	ect/Pro	ogram:	Rail Track & So	ervice Upgrades	Date Capinites.	3/26/2011
Prep	ared E	Зу:	Hattie Billingsle		Current Request:	
Dept	Head	:	Eric Reinelt		6 Yr Total:	\$300,0
					o ir iotal.	<u> </u>
			gram Description			
load	require	ig would ement by	be to upgrade the	e Port's 17 miles of rail track system on an on-going rack from 90 lbs to 110/115 lbs.	basis to meet modern day	y safety and servi
cupp	orariy	aucumen	nauon uoes noi r	y the impact of the project in either the amount coluned to be submitted with the request but should be of each area of emphasis and additional consideration	available upon mauget F	on of each area. Please see Capita
Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Health & Safety		
X			······································	Does the project directly reduce risks to people or p		
Х				Does the project directly promote improved health		
***************************************	x			Does the project directly promote improved health  Does the project mitigate an immediate risk?	or safety?	
omn	nents /	/ Other C	onsiderations:	poes the project mitigate an immediate risk?		
· 1	NI.	T NIZA T				
es	No	N/A	Amount	Regulatory Compliance		
es	х	N/A	Amount	Does the project address a legislative, regulatory or co		
es	x x	N/A	Amount	Does the project address a legislative, regulatory or concept the project promote long-term regulatory comp	liance?	
es	x x x	N/A	Amount	Does the project address a legislative, regulatory or composes the project promote long-term regulatory compositive will there be serious negative impact on the City if compositive impact on the City if compositive impact or the compositive impact or t	ollance?  compliance is not achieved?	
es omm	X X X		Amount  Onsiderations:	Does the project address a legislative, regulatory or concept the project promote long-term regulatory comp	ollance?  compliance is not achieved?	
omm	x x x x ents/	Other Co	onsiderations:	Does the project address a legislative, regulatory or concess the project promote long-term regulatory comp.  Will there be serious negative impact on the City if concess the concess the project promote long-term regulatory concess the project promote long-term regulatory concess the project promote long-term regulatory concess the project project project promote long-term regulatory concess the project project promote long-term regulatory concess the project project promote long-term regulatory concess the project pro	ollance?  compliance is not achieved?	
mm	X X X			Does the project address a legislative, regulatory or composes the project promote long-term regulatory compositive will there be serious negative impact on the City if compositive impact on the City if compositive impact or the compositive impact or t	ollance?  compliance is not achieved?	
mm	x x x x ents/	Other Co	onsiderations:	Does the project address a legislative, regulatory or concess the project promote long-term regulatory comp.  Will there be serious negative impact on the City if concess the concess the project promote long-term regulatory concess the project promote long-term regulatory concess the project promote long-term regulatory concess the project project project promote long-term regulatory concess the project project promote long-term regulatory concess the project project promote long-term regulatory concess the project pro	ollance?  compliance is not achieved?	
mm	x x x x ents/	Other Co	onsiderations:	Does the project address a legislative, regulatory or concess the project promote long-term regulatory compositive will there be serious negative impact on the City if concess the concess that the concess that the regulatory concess the concess that the concess that the concess that the regulatory concess the concess that the c	ollance?  compliance is not achieved?	
mm	x x x x ents /	Other Co	onsiderations:	Does the project address a legislative, regulatory or concess the project promote long-term regulatory compositive there be serious negative impact on the City if concess there other ways to mitigate the regulatory concess there other ways to mitigate the regulatory concess there is a serious negative impact on Concess there is a serious negative impact on the City if concess there is a serious negative impact on the City if concess there is a serious negative impact on the City if concess the concess the project of the City if concess the	ollance?  compliance is not achieved?	
mm	x x x x ents/	Other Co	onsiderations:	Does the project address a legislative, regulatory or composes the project promote long-term regulatory compositive impact on the City if confidence of the	oliance? ompliance is not achieved? om?	
mm	x x x x ents /	Other Co	onsiderations:	Does the project address a legislative, regulatory or composes the project promote long-term regulatory compositive impact on the City if confidence in the city if confidence	ormpliance is not achieved? orm?	
mm	x x x x ents /	Other Co	onsiderations:	Does the project address a legislative, regulatory or concess the project promote long-term regulatory composition. Will there be serious negative impact on the City if concess there other ways to mitigate the regulatory concess. Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory concess.  Impact on Operational / Capital Budget. What return on investment will this project generate? What is the expected payback period for this project? Does the project minimize life-cycle costs?  Will the facility require additional personnel to operate	ormpliance is not achieved? orm?  ? ?	
es	x x x x ents /	Other Co	onsiderations:	Does the project address a legislative, regulatory or composes the project promote long-term regulatory composes. Will there be serious negative impact on the City if confidence and the confidence are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory concerns.  Impact on Operational / Capital Budget  What return on investment will this project generate?  What is the expected payback period for this project?  Does the project minimize life-cycle costs?  Will the facility require additional personnel to operate will the project lead to a reduction in operating costs.	ompliance? ompliance is not achieved? om? ? ? ce improvements?	
mm	x x x x ents /	Other Co	onsiderations:	Does the project address a legislative, regulatory or composes the project promote long-term regulatory composes. Will there be serious negative impact on the City if confidence in the confidence impact on the City if confidence in the confidence	ormpliance is not achieved? orn?  orn?  ? ce improvements?	nfrastructure not
mm	x x x x ents /	Other Co	onsiderations:	Does the project address a legislative, regulatory or composes the project promote long-term regulatory composes. Will there be serious negative impact on the City if confidence and the confidence and th	ompliance? ompliance is not achieved? om? om? ? ? ce improvements? ? ce construction of additional in	nfrastructure not
mm	x x x x ents /	Other Co	onsiderations:	Does the project address a legislative, regulatory or composes the project promote long-term regulatory composes. Will there be serious negative impact on the City if confidence and the confidence and th	ompliance? ompliance is not achieved? om?  ? ? ce improvements? ? ce construction of additional in	nfrastructure not
mm	x x x x ents /	Other Co	onsiderations:	Does the project address a legislative, regulatory or composes the project promote long-term regulatory composes. Will there be serious negative impact on the City if confidence and the confidence are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory concess.  Impact on Operational / Capital Budget  What return on investment will this project generate?  What is the expected payback period for this project?  Does the project minimize life-cycle costs?  Will the facility require additional personnel to operate will the project lead to a reduction in operating costs.  Will the project lead to increased productivity or service will the new facility require additional equipment or the included in the project budget?  Is there a revenue generating opportunity? (e.g. use will the project result in a reduction or increase in energy	ompliance? compliance is not achieved? compliance? compliance? compliance? compliance? compliance? compliance?	nfrastructure not
es	x x x x ents /	Other Co	onsiderations:	Does the project address a legislative, regulatory or composes the project promote long-term regulatory composes. Will there be serious negative impact on the City if confidence and the confidence and th	ompliance? ompliance is not achieved? om?  ? ? ce improvements? ? ce construction of additional in r fees) y use? stegies or features?	nfrastructure not
	X X X ents /	Other Co	onsiderations:	Does the project address a legislative, regulatory or composes the project promote long-term regulatory composes. Will there be serious negative impact on the City if confidence and the confidence are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory concess.  Impact on Operational / Capital Budget  What return on investment will this project generate?  What is the expected payback period for this project?  Does the project minimize life-cycle costs?  Will the facility require additional personnel to operate will the project lead to a reduction in operating costs.  Will the project lead to increased productivity or service will the new facility require additional equipment or the included in the project budget?  Is there a revenue generating opportunity? (e.g. use will the project result in a reduction or increase in energy	ompliance? ompliance is not achieved? om?  ? ? ce improvements? ? ce construction of additional in r fees) y use? ategies or features? ations?	

Project/Program: Rail Track & Service Upgrade

Whenever possible, please quantify / describe the impact of the project in either the amount column or the comment section of each area. Supporting documentation does not need to be submitted with the request but should be available upon request. Please see Capital Guidelines for detailed descriptions of each area of emphasis and additional considerations.

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Compliance with Area Plans - The Common Council has adopted Comprehensive Area Plans. CIC Guidelines document a link to those plans on the DCD website.
x				Is the project in conformance with and supportive of the goals, objectives and strategies of any applicable Comprehensive Plan, special study, survey, committee or board?
		x		Does the project increase or enhance educational opportunities for City of Milwaukee citizens?
		x		Does the project increase or enhance recreational opportunities and/or green space?
х				Will the project mitigate blight?
		х		Does the project target the quality of life of all citizens or does it target one demographic?
		х		Is one population affected positively and another negatively?
		x		Does the project preserve or improve the historical or natural heritage of the City?
x				Is the project consistent with established community character?
x				Does the project expand the range of transportation, employment, and housing choices in a fiscally responsible manner?
x				Does the project improve, mitigate or prevent degradation of environmental quality (e.g. water quality, improve or reduce pollution including noise and/or light pollution)?

Comments / Other Considerations:

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Infrastructure - Primarily recurring infrastructure and facilities preservation programs
		х		How does the request effect the pertinent replacement cycle ? Provide specifics below.
x				Has the facility being replaced exceeded its useful life?
x				Does this project extend the useful life of an existing facility?
	х			Do maintenance costs exceed replacement costs? (See Below)
	x			Have you documented costs of unplanned or corrective maintenance related to the facility?
x				Does the project incorporate new technology that will provide enhanced service?
	х			Does the project extend service for new development or redevelopment?
	х			Will this project improve the functionality or service life of other related infrastructure?

Comments / Other Considerations:

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Economic / Community Development
x				Does the project have the potential to promote economic/community development in areas where growth is desired?
x				Will the project continue to promote or enhance economic/community development in an already developed area?
х				Is the net impact of the project positive?
	×			Would an alternate location for this project provide a greater positive economic impact?
х				Will the project produce desirable jobs in the City?
	х			Will the project rejuvenate an area that needs assistance?
	Х			Will the project promote the equitable distribution of the costs and benefits of development?

Comments / Other Considerations:

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Special Considerations
	x			is there a significant external funding source that can only be used for this project and/or which will be lost if not used immediately (e.g. proffers, grants through various federal or state initiatives, and private donations)?
	×			Are there critical timing issues associated with this project?
	x			Are there inter-jurisdictional considerations?
	X			Can you quantify the impacts of a delay in this project?

Comments / Other Considerations:

μ	roject/Program Title:	Confined Disposal Facility Expansion	Requesting Department: Port	of Milwaukee
P	repared By/Phone Ext:	Hattle Billingsley/x-3649	Department Head Signature:	is Remett
A	ccount No:	PT180050400		is pourte
A	Type of Project	New Replacement Repair P	Years Level of Need ☑ Essential Project/Program Scope ☑ Fully Define	☐ Important ☐ Desired
	☑(	On-Golng Program		a artially befined
B)	Description Infrastructure  Street Related	□ Sewer □ Water □	Street Lighting	
	☐ Sidewalks		Street Lighting ☐ Communications  Environmental ☐ Port	☐ Recreation ☐ Parking
	Building			∟ı Parking
	☐ Roof ☐ Window	s 🗆 HVAC 🗆 Electrical 🗀 Restro	om Security Exterior [	☐ Entire Facility
	☐ ADA ☐ Office R	temodeling		- Julie Fadiley
	Miscellaneous Develo	ppment		
	☐ Economic ☐ Info	ormation Systems	Other	
C)	Project/Program Dura	ition		
	One Year	□Yes □No		
	On-Going Program	☑Yes □No		
	Multi-Year			
Į	Wulti- 1 Ear	☐ Yes ☐ No Number of Years		
D)	Total Positions0	Total FTEs 0.0		
- 1	Position Title	No. of Positions	o FTF. O	
		No. or rosinoris	s FTEs Salarie	s \$
	***************************************			\$
_, [				
E)	In Six Year Capital Imp Yes ☑ 2010-2015	rovement Plan  ☑ 2011-2016 ☑ Yes, Modified	☐ New Request	
F)	Project/Program Justif	lcation		
	The Port has a major role Island is at a premium and land fill has provided econ-	in the local economy providing land for business I the area which has such waterfront and dock s omic development on the south Harbor tract. The laterfront development. Specifically this funding	big funding would contain and the	overy of land by way of
G)	Additional Comments			

requesting Department:	POIL OF MINWAUK	99									
Project/Program Title:	Confined Disposal Facility Expansion	sal Facility	Expansion			Acc	Account No:	PT180050400	100		
								Special			
Year	•	Tax Levy/Borrowing	orrowing	Grant & Aid	Aid	Revenue		Assessment	Enterprise	•	Total Cost
Remaining Balance for 2011			·								<b>\$</b>
2012 Budget Request			\$75,000								\$75,000
2013 Projection											S ₄
2014 Projection											8
2015 Projection					•						S _s
2016 Projection											0\$
2017 Projection											0\$
Total Six Year Cost			\$75,000		O\$		O\$	0\$		gş	\$75,000
Total Project Cost			\$75,000		<b>&amp;</b>		O <del>S</del>	<b>&amp;</b>		g g	\$75,000
	•										1
Life to Date Expenditures (Project Only)	Only)		0\$		S ₄		0\$	0\$		o <b>ş</b>	\$0
Available Cost Estimate:	2012		2013	2014	2015	2018	9	2017			
Thorough Cost Estimate											
Limited Information											
Based on Cost of Similar Projects	<b>S</b>						_				
Unsupported							_				
Were cost estimates confirmed by another source? Are cost estimates based on industry standards? Will city employees be performing any portion of the	another source stry standards? any portion of	e? the work?			8 8 <u>8</u>	Uncertain Uncertain					
Did you perform a cost/benefit analysis?	alysis?				2 2 1	Uncertain					
. How will this project impact city operating expenditures?	perating expent	ditures?		□ Increase		☐ Decrease	None .				
Estimated Start Date:	3/1/2012	012	1								
Estimated Completion Date:	12/31	12/31/2012		Department Head Signature	t Head Slg	nature	V	Tie Ples	m/X		
				Denoted By/Ohone Evt	n dander	1	Hoffie Billin	Hottie Billingslev/k-3640			
				Liebai ed	yr iiche F	! ≼	riging Dam	igoley/A-044			

Department:	Port of Milwaukee	Date Submitted:	3/28/2011
Project/Program:	Confined Disposal Facility Expansion	Date Capinition.	3/20/2011
Prepared By:	Hattie Billingsley	- Current Request:	¢75 000
Dept Head:	Eric Reinelt	6 Yr Total:	\$75,000
		_ O II IOIAI.	\$75,000

### General Project/Program Description:

This funding will sustain continued restoration of confined disposal facilities into marketable waterfront development and possibly be used for miscellaneous extras associated with the construction of the new Dredged Material Disposal Facility at the Port of Milwaukee.

Whenever possible, please quantify the impact of the project in either the amount column or the comment section of each area. Supporting documentation does not need to be submitted with the request but should be available upon request. Please see Capital Guidelines for detailed descriptions of each area of emphasis and additional considerations.

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Health & Safety
		x		Does the project directly reduce risks to people or property?
		X		Does the project directly promote improved health or safety?
		х	•	Does the project mitigate an immediate risk?
<b>IComm</b>	ients /	Other	Considerations:	

### nerits / Other Considerations:

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Regulatory Compliance
		х		Does the project address a legislative, regulatory or court-ordered mandate?
		х		Does the project promote long-term regulatory compliance?
		X		Will there be serious negative impact on the City if compliance is not achieved?
Co		x		Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory concern?

### Comments / Other Considerations:

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Impact on Operational / Capital Budget
×				What return on investment will this project generate?
X				What is the expected payback period for this project?
	х		·	Does the project minimize life-cycle costs?
	X			Will the facility require additional personnel to operate?
	×			Will the project lead to a reduction in operating costs?
X				Will the project lead to increased productivity or service improvements?
X				Will the facility require significant annual maintenance?
	x			Will the new facility require additional equipment or the construction of additional infrastructure not included in the project budget?
×				Is there a revenue generating opportunity? (e.g. user fees)
	X			Will the project result in a reduction or increase in energy use?
	×		-	Does the project involve specific energy reduction strategies or features?
	X		***************************************	Will this project cause disruptions to regular city operations?
	X			Are there other potential costs associated with this project that are not addressed above?

### Comments / Other Considerations:

Once the facility has been completely filled and inspected the property will be turned over to the Harbor Commission and should be available for development by the City. ROI and the payback period can be determined once the property is developed for whatever use the Harbor Commission and City determines.

Project/Program: Confined Disposal Facility Expansion

Whenever possible, please quantify / describe the impact of the project in either the amount column or the comment section of each area. Supporting documentation does not need to be submitted with the request but should be available upon request. Please see Capital Guidelines for detailed descriptions of each area of emphasis and additional considerations.

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Compliance with Area Plans - The Common Council has adopted Comprehensive Area Plans. CIC Guidelines document a link to those plans on the DCD website.
x				is the project in conformance with and supportive of the goals, objectives and strategies of any applicable Comprehensive Plan, special study, survey, committee or board?
		x		Does the project increase or enhance educational opportunities for City of Milwaukee citizens?
		х		Does the project increase or enhance recreational opportunities and/or green space?
х				Will the project mitigate blight?
		х		Does the project target the quality of life of all citizens or does it target one demographic?
		х		Is one population affected positively and another negatively?
		X		Does the project preserve or improve the historical or natural heritage of the City?
х				Is the project consistent with established community character?
x				Does the project expand the range of transportation, employment, and housing choices in a fiscally responsible manner?
x				Does the project improve, mitigate or prevent degradation of environmental quality (e.g. water quality, improve or reduce pollution including noise and/or light pollution)?

Comments / Other Considerations:

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Infrastructure - Primarily recurring infrastructure and facilities preservation programs
		x		How does the request effect the pertinent replacement cycle ? Provide specifics below.
		x		Has the facility being replaced exceeded its useful life?
		x		Does this project extend the useful life of an existing facility?
		x		Do maintenance costs exceed replacement costs? (See Below)
		x		Have you documented costs of unplanned or corrective maintenance related to the facility?
		x		Does the project incorporate new technology that will provide enhanced service?
		x		Does the project extend service for new development or redevelopment?
		×		Will this project improve the functionality or service life of other related infrastructure?

Comments / Other Considerations:

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Economic / Community Development
x				Does the project have the potential to promote economic/community development in areas where growth is desired?
х				Will the project continue to promote or enhance economic/community development in an already developed area?
х				Is the net impact of the project positive?
	X			Would an alternate location for this project provide a greater positive economic impact?
х				Will the project produce desirable jobs in the City?
	x			Will the project rejuvenate an area that needs assistance?
X				Will the project promote the equitable distribution of the costs and benefits of development?

Comments / Other Considerations:

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Special Considerations
x			\$2,093,000	Is there a significant external funding source that can only be used for this project and/or which will be lost if not used immediately (e.g. proffers, grants through various federal or state initiatives, and private donations)?
x				Are there critical timing issues associated with this project?
х				Are there inter-jurisdictional considerations?
				Can you quantify the impacts of a delay in this project?

Comments / Other Considerations:

Funding would possibly supplement \$3.95 million dollars for the Dredged Material Disposal Facility.

r	roject/Program litie:	Port Facility Syst	ems	Requesting De	partment:	Port of Milwaukee	
P	repared By/Phone Ext:	Hattle Billingsley	/x-3649	Department He	ad Signature:	Eric Veri	it
A	ccount No:					- CHE YELDAN	
A	Type of Project	7 of 18  New □ Replacem On-Going Program	-	Years Level o	of Need  Essenti	,	☐ Desired
B	Infrastructure  Street Related Sidewalks Building Roof Window ADA Office Ro	emodeling ppment	☐ New Building ☐		•	☐ Parking ☑ Entire Facility	
	☐ Economic ☐ Info	rmation Systems	☐ Equipment	Other			
C)	Project/Program Dura One Year On-Going Program Multi-Year	tion □Yes □No ☑Yes □No □Yes □No	,	ears			
D)	Total Positions 0	Total FT	<b>Es</b> 0.0				
	Position Title		No. of Po	ositions F7	TEs Sa	laries \$ \$ \$	
E)	In Six Year Capital Imp Yes ☐ 2010-2015	2011-2016	☑ Yes, M	odified New R	equest		
F)	Project/Program Justifi	ication					
	The mission of the Port of it is vital to this mission the budget included about \$75 for scheduled major repair special funds set aside for maintained in a safe and u	Milwaukee is to ent at the Port maintain 5,000 annually as a s/maintenance to P Major Maintenance	s its operating facilitie special fund to do ma ort facilities and to do	es in excellent condition. Bjor maintenance repairs to Demography repair work:	For the past severa to terminals and pier	I years the Port's oper 's. This funding would ots/domages which or	ating be used
G)	Additional Comments				•		

Requesting Department: Port of A	Port of Milwaukee				[				
Project/Program Title: Port Fac	Port Facility Systems	Ş			Account No:	t No:			
Yeav		Tax I ew/Romowing	Grant & Aid	Aïd	Roverine	S	Special	Enterprise	Total Cost
Remaining Balance for 2011		Simolionida				_			0\$
2012 Budget Request							-		0\$
2013 Projection		\$100,000							\$100,000
2014 Projection		\$100,000							\$100,000
2015 Projection									O\$
2016 Projection	<u></u>	\$100,000							\$100,000
2017 Projection									0\$
Total Slx Year Cost		\$300,000		S S		<b>₽</b>	0\$	0\$	\$300,000
Total Project Cost	<u></u>	\$300,000		Se .		\$	O\$	O\$	\$300,000
	J		-						
Life to Date Expenditures (Project Only)		O\$		<b>⊗</b>		OSA	S	0\$	O\$
Available Cost Estimate:	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	7		
Thorough Cost Estimate						L			
Limited Information		D	5		•	<u></u>	_		
Based on Cost of Similar Projects									
Unsupported									
Were cost estimates confirmed by another source? Are cost estimates based on industry standards? Will city employees be performing any portion of the work? Did you perform a cost/benefit analysis?	r source? ndards? rtion of the w	ork?	□ □ □ □ × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × ×	N S S S D	Uncertain Uncertain Uncertain Uncertain				
How will this project impact city operating expenditures?	ı expenditure	s	☐ Increase		☐ Decrease ☑ None				
Estimated Start Date: Estimated Completion Date:	TBD TBD		Departmen	Department Head Signature	nature	E;	Eir Perie	z	
			Prepared By/Phone Ext	3y/Phone E	<b> </b>	Hattie Billingsley/x-3649	ey/x-3649		

Depa	artmer	nt:	Port of Milwauk	«ee	Date Submitted:	3/28/2011
Proje	ect/Pro	ogram:	Port Facilities S	Systems		0/20/2011
Prepa	ared E	3у:	Hattie Billingsle	ey .	Current Request:	\$0
Dept	Head	:	Eric Reinelt		6 Yr Total:	\$300,000
Gene	eral Dr	oioot/Dr	ogram Description			
This f	fundin	g would	be used for sched	L duled major repairs/maintenance to Port facilites and special funds set aside for Major Maintenance Term	d to do emergency repair v iinals and Piers.	vork as needed, fo
Guide	elines	for detail	ntation does not n led descriptions of	r <b>the impact</b> of the project in either the amount colu need to be submitted with the request but should be f each area of emphasis and additional consideratio	available upon request. Di	n of each area. lease see Capital
Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Health & Safety		
X	<del> </del>	++		Does the project directly reduce risks to people or pr		
X	ļ			Does the project directly promote improved health	or safety?	
X	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	Considerations:	Does the project mitigate an immediate risk?		
Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Regulatory Compliance		
	Х	<u> </u>		Does the project address a legislative, regulatory or co		
	X	<del>  -</del>		Does the project promote long-term regulatory comp		
	X	<del>  -</del>		Will there be serious negative impact on the City if co		
L	Х			Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory conce		
			onsiderations:			
Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Impact on Operational / Capital Budget		
X				What return on investment will this project generate?		
X				What is the expected payback period for this project?		-
				Does the project minimize life-cycle costs?		
	X			Will the facility require additional personnel to operate	?	
	х			Will the project lead to a reduction in operating costs		
		х		Will the project lead to increased productivity or servi		
		x		Will the facility require significant annual maintenance?		
				Will the new facility require additional equipment or the		frastructure not

Comments / Other Considerations:

х

X

X

X

X

Is there a revenue generating opportunity? (e.g. user fees)

Will the project result in a reduction or increase in energy use?

Will this project cause disruptions to regular city operations?

Does the project involve specific energy reduction strategies or features?

Are there other potential costs associated with this project that are not addressed above?

included in the project budget?

Project/Program: Port Facility Systems

Whenever possible, please quantify / describe the impact of the project in either the amount column or the comment section of each area. Supporting documentation does not need to be submitted with the request but should be available upon request. Please see Capital Guidelines for detailed descriptions of each area of emphasis and additional considerations.

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Compliance with Area Plans - The Common Council has adopted Comprehensive Area Plans. CIC Guidelines document a link to those plans on the DCD website.
x				is the project in conformance with and supportive of the goals, objectives and strategies of any applicable Comprehensive Plan, special study, survey, committee or board?
		x		Does the project increase or enhance educational opportunities for City of Milwaukee citizens?
		х		Does the project increase or enhance recreational opportunities and/or green space?
x				Will the project mitigate blight?
		x		Does the project target the quality of life of all citizens or does it target one demographic?
		х		Is one population affected positively and another negatively?
		х		Does the project preserve or improve the historical or natural heritage of the City?
x				Is the project consistent with established community character?
×				Does the project expand the range of transportation, employment, and housing choices in a fiscally responsible manner?
x				Does the project improve, mitigate or prevent degradation of environmental quality (e.g. water quality, improve or reduce pollution including noise and/or light pollution)?

Comments / Other Considerations:

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Infrastructure - Primarily recurring infrastructure and facilities preservation programs
		х		How does the request effect the pertinent replacement cycle ? Provide specifics below.
х				Has the facility being replaced exceeded its useful life?
х				Does this project extend the useful life of an existing facility?
X			•	Do maintenance costs exceed replacement costs? (See Below)
	×			Have you documented costs of unplanned or corrective maintenance related to the facility?
x				Does the project incorporate new technology that will provide enhanced service?
X				Does the project extend service for new development or redevelopment?
	х			Will this project improve the functionality or service life of other related infrastructure?

Comments / Other Considerations:

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Economic / Community Development
				Does the project have the potential to promote economic/community development in areas where growth is desired?
x				Will the project continue to promote or enhance economic/community development in an already developed area?
х				Is the net Impact of the project positive?
				Would an alternate location for this project provide a greater positive economic impact?
х				Will the project produce desirable jobs in the City?
	x			Will the project rejuvenate an area that needs assistance?
				Will the project promote the equitable distribution of the costs and benefits of development?

Comments / Other Considerations:

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Special Considerations
	x			Is there a significant external funding source that can only be used for this project and/or which will be lost if not used immediately (e.g. proffers, grants through various federal or state initiatives, and private donations)?
х				Are there critical timing issues associated with this project?
	x			Are there inter-jurisdictional considerations?
	X			Can you quantify the impacts of a delay in this project?

Comments / Other Considerations:

Pre	oject/Program Title:	Harbor Maintenance Dredging	Requesting Department: Port of Milwaukee
Pre	pared By/Phone Ext:	Hattle Billingsley/x-3649	Department Head Signature: Siic   Point
Ac	count No:	PT18080200	
A)	Department Priority _ Type of Project □ N ☑ 0	8 of <u>18</u> <b>Useful Life</b> w □ Replacement ☑ Repal -Golng Program	
B)	Description Infrastructure  Street Related Sidewalks Building Roof Window ADA Office Ro Miscellaneous Develo	•	☐ Street Lighting ☐ Communications ☐ Recreation ☐ Environmental ☑ Port ☐ Parking ☐ Restroom ☐ Security ☐ Exterior ☐ Entire Facility ☐ Elevators ☐ Garage ☐ Mechanical
C)	Project/Program Dura One Year On-Going Program Multi-Year	□Yes □No ☑Yes □No	r of Years
D)	Total Positions 0	Total FTEs 0.0	of Positions FTEs Salaries \$ \$ \$
E)	In Six Year Capital Imp Yes ☑ 2010-2015		Yes, Modified   New Request
F)	the required depth. This p channels are essential to t document often require the	qurled to maintain the navigation ogram allows the Port's navigatio e Port's continued successful op	channels in certain areas of the harbor. Periodic dredging is required to maintain on channel to be well maintained for lease and cargo operations. Well maintained eration and its ability to meet the objectives of the mission statement. Lease nels for the tenants. Loss of revenue to the City will result if the navigation.
G)	Additional Comments		

requesting peparulient.	FOIL OF MINWAUKE	KEE	į						
Project/Program Title:	arbor Mainte	Harbor Maintenance Dredging			Account No:	o: PT18080200			
						Special			
Year		Tax Levy/Borrowing	Grant & Aid	ġ.	Revenue	Assessment	Enterprise	Total Cost	
Remaining Balance for 2011								0\$	
2012 Budget Request								0\$	
2013 Projection		\$200,000	\$800	\$800,000				\$1,000,000	
2014 Projection								0\$	
2015 Projection								9\$	
2016 Projection			•					0\$	
2017 Projection		\$150,000						\$150,000	
Total Six Year Cost		\$350,000	08\$	\$800,000	0\$	<b>9</b>	<b>9</b>	\$1,150,000	
Total Project Cost		\$350,000	\$80	\$800,000	0\$	0\$	0\$	\$1,150,000	
								ţ	
Life to Date Expenditures (Project Only)	July)	0\$		0\$	0\$	O\$	O\$	0\$	
Available Cost Estimate:	2012	2 2013	2014	2015	2016	2017			
Thorough Cost Estimate Limited Information									
Based on Cost of Similar Projects		<u> </u>			] [	] [			
Unsupported									
Were cost estimates confirmed by another source? Are cost estimates based on industry standards? Will city employees be performing any portion of the work? Did you perform a cost/benefit analysis?	another sourciny standards' any portion of ysis?	e? ۲ 'the work?		2 2 2 2 C C C	☐ Uncertain ☐ Uncertain ☐ Uncertain ☐ Uncertain				
How will this project impact city operating expenditures?	erating expen	ditures?	□Increase	□ Decrease	rease S None				
Estimated Start Date: Estimated Completion Date:	阻阻	TBD			v	(	47		
			Department Head Signature	Head Sign	nature	lue Neme	eA		1
			Prepared By/Phone Ext	Phone Ex	i	Hattie Billingsley/x-3649			

Depa	artmer	nt:	Port of Milwaul	ree	Date Submitted:	3/28/2011
Proje	ect/Pro	ogram:	Harbor Mainter	nance Dredging		
Prep	ared E	Ву:	Hattie Billingsle	ey C	Current Request:	\$(
Dept	Head		Eric Reinelt		Yr Total:	\$1,150,000
0						
			ogram Description			
Fund	ling to	r periodi	c dredging of the l	Port's navigation channels in certain areas of the harbor.		
		******				
M/hai	navar	naaaihla	nlagas aventifi	All a formand of the second of		
Sunn	ortina	docume	entation does not r	r the impact of the project in either the amount column or	r the comment section	n of each area.
Guide	elines	for deta	iled descriptions o	need to be submitted with the request but should be availa feach area of emphasis and additional considerations.	able upon request. Pl	ease see Capital
			•	and additional considerations.		
Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Health & Safety		
×				Does the project directly reduce risks to people or property	?	
	X			Does the project directly promote improved health or safe		
····	×			Does the project mitigate an immediate risk?		
Comn	nents	Other (	Considerations:			***************************************
Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Regulatory Compliance		
	х			Does the project address a legislative, regulatory or court-ord	dered mandate?	
	х			Does the project promote long-term regulatory compliance		
	х		-	Will there be serious negative impact on the City if complian		
	х	<u> </u>		Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory concern?		
omm	ents /	Other C	Considerations:			
/es	No	N/A	Amount	Impact on Operational / Capital Budget		
		х	741104111			
		x		What is the expected replace will this project generate?		
		x		What is the expected payback period for this project?		
+	x	-^+		Does the project minimize life-cycle costs?		
	x			Will the facility require additional personnel to operate?		
				Will the project lead to a reduction in operating costs?	4 <del></del>	
	X			Will the project lead to increased productivity or service imp	provements?	
	X			Will the facility require significant annual maintenance?		
	x			Will the new facility require additional equipment or the const included in the project budget?	truction of <b>additional i</b> n	frastructure not
x					***************************************	
-+		x		Is there a revenue generating opportunity? (e.g. user fees)		
-+		×		Will the project result in a reduction or increase in energy use?		**************************************
	<del>-  </del>	<del>-</del>		Does the project involve specific energy reduction strategies		
L	X			Will this project cause disruptions to regular city operations	?	

Comments / Other Considerations:

Are there other potential costs associated with this project that are not addressed above?

Project/Program: Harbor Maintenance Dredging

Whenever possible, please quantify / describe the impact of the project in either the amount column or the comment section of each area. Supporting documentation does not need to be submitted with the request but should be available upon request. Please see Capital Guidelines for detailed descriptions of each area of emphasis and additional considerations.

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Compliance with Area Plans - The Common Council has adopted Comprehensive Area Plans. CIC Guidelines document a link to those plans or the DCD website.
x				is the project in conformance with and supportive of the goals, objectives and strategies of any applicable Comprehensive Plan, special study, survey, committee or board?
		x		Does the project increase or enhance educational opportunities for City of Milwaukee citizens?
		x		Does the project increase or enhance recreational opportunities and/or green space?
x				Will the project mitigate blight?
		х		Does the project target the quality of life of all citizens or does it target one demographic?
		x		Is one population affected positively and another negatively?
		x		Does the project preserve or improve the historical or natural heritage of the City?
x				Is the project consistent with established community character?
x				Does the project expand the range of transportation, employment, and housing choices in a fiscally responsible manner?
x				Does the project improve, mitigate or prevent degradation of environmental quality (e.g. water quality, improve or reduce pollution including noise and/or light pollution)?

Comments / Other Considerations:

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Infrastructure - Primarily recurring infrastructure and facilities preservation programs
				How does the request effect the pertinent replacement cycle? Provide specifics below.
		x		Has the facility being replaced exceeded its useful life?
		x		Does this project extend the useful life of an existing facility?
		x		Do maintenance costs exceed replacement costs? (See Below)
		x		Have you documented costs of unplanned or corrective maintenance related to the facility?
		x		Does the project incorporate new technology that will provide enhanced service?
		х		Does the project extend service for new development or redevelopment?
		x		Will this project improve the functionality or service life of other related infrastructure?

Comments / Other Considerations:

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Economic / Community Development
x				Does the project have the potential to promote economic/community development in areas where growth is desired?
x				Will the project continue to promote or enhance economic/community development in an already developed area?
x				Is the net Impact of the project positive?
		х		Would an alternate location for this project provide a greater positive economic impact?
х				Will the project produce desirable jobs in the City?
	x			Will the project rejuvenate an area that needs assistance?
		x		Will the project promote the equitable distribution of the costs and benefits of development?

Comments / Other Considerations:

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Special Considerations
x			\$800,000	Is there a significant external funding source that can only be used for this project and/or which will be lost if not used immediately (e.g. proffers, grants through various federal or state initiatives, and private donations)?
				Are there critical timing issues associated with this project?
	x			Are there inter-jurisdictional considerations?
	x			Can you quantify the impacts of a delay in this project?

Comments / Other Considerations:

If the Port obtains approval to apply for and receive a Harbor Assistance grant from the Wisconsin DOT the potential funding would be \$800,000 or 80% of the total cost with matching funds provided by the City of 20% or \$200,000.

9049 Cantest Immunication Caucians

Pro	oject/Program Title:	Roadway/Service	ce Lane Paving	Requ	uesting Depa	artment: Por	t of Milwaukee	
Pre	epared By/Phone Ext:	Hattie Billingsle	y/x-3649	Depa	artment Head	d Signature:	ie Rimelt	<b>L-</b>
Ac	count No:							
A)	Department Priority  Type of Project □	9of18_ New □ Replacer On-Going Program		20 Years		Need ☑ Essential		Desired
B)	Description Infrastructure  Street Related Sidewalks Building	☐ Sewer ☐ Alleys ws ☐ HVAC	□ Water □ Bridge	☐ Street	onmental	☐ Communications ☑ Port	☐ Recreation ☐ Parking	
		Remodeling	☐ Electrical ☐ New Building	☐ Restroom ☐ Elevators	☐ Security ☐ Garage	☐ Exterior ☐ Mechanical	☐ Entire Facility	
		ormation Systems	☐ Equipment	☐ Other				
c)	Project/Program Dura	ation □Yes □N	lo					
	On-Going Program	☐Yes ☐ N						
L	Multi-Year	☑Yes □ N	o Number o	of Years	4			
D)	Total Positions	0 Total F	TEs 0.0					
	Position Title		No. of	f Positions	FTE	Salari	es \$ \$	
E)	In Six Year Capital Im Yes ☑ 2010-2015	provement Plan ☑ 2011-2016		s, Modified	□ New Rec	juest		
F) [	Project/Program Justi	fication						
	A number of roadways or with the tenants of the Po Island public roads. This with funds from the whee	ort. Funding for put funding is to comp	olic roads is provide	ed by the wheel	tax. DPW has	scheduled work to sta	art in 2011 on the lor	
3)	Additional Comments							
	A COMMENTS							

Requesting Department:	Port of Milwaukee	8						
Project/Program Title:	Roadway/Service Lane Paving	ce Lane Paving			Account No:	<b>t</b> o:		
,		£		3	ć	Special	, i	Total
Teal	- L	lax Levy/Borrowing	Gram & Alu	Ald	кеуелие	Assessment	Eliterprise	10tal COSt
Nellialling Dalance for 2011								3
2012 Budget Request								O\$
2013 Projection								0\$
2014 Projection								\$0
2015 Projection	<u> </u>	\$200,000						\$200,000
2016 Projection	- Lands							0\$
2017 Projection	.t							0\$
Total Six Year Cost		\$200,000		\$0	0\$	0\$	O\$	\$200,000
Total Project Cost		\$200,000		0\$	0\$	0\$	\$	\$200,000
	J							1
Life to Date Expenditures (Project Only)	ect Only)	<b>S</b>		0\$	0\$	0\$	0\$	0\$
Available Cost Estimate:	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017		
Thorough Cost Estimate								
Limited Information				回				
Based on Cost of Similar Projects	ects							
Unsupported								
Were cost estimates confirmed by another source?	by another source	ć	□ Yes	No.	☐ Uncertain			
Are cost estimates based on industry standards?	dustry standards?		Z Yes	2   []	Uncertain			
Will city employees be performing any portion of the work?	ing any portion of t	the work?	□Yes	<b>8</b>	Uncertain			
Did you perform a cost/benefit analysis?	analysis?		□Yes	N D	Uncertain			
How will this project impact city operating expenditures?	operating expend	litures?	□Increase		☐ Decrease			
Estimated Start Date:	TBD	0						
Estimated Completion Date:	TBO	Q	Department Head Signature	t Head Sig	nature	rie Paire	E E	
			Prenamed Bv/Phone Ext	Sv/Phone E	•	Haffie Billingslev/x-3649		

Project Prepar Dept H	t/Pro		Port of Milwau	kee	Date Submitted:	3/28/2011
Dept H		gram:	Roadway/Serv	ice Lanes Paving	- sto odonimod.	3/20/2011
	red B	By:	Hattie Billingsl		Current Request:	¢.
Gener	lead:		Eric Reinelt	,	6 Yr Total:	\$200.000
Genera					<u> </u>	\$200,000
			gram Description ce drives on Jon	<u>n:</u> es Island which are not public roads and theref	ore not eligible to be paved usin	g wheel tax funds
Suppoi	rung	uocumer	nauon uoes not i	y the impact of the project in either the amoun	ld he available upon request. Di	n of each area.
		or detail	ea descriptions c	n each area of emphasis and additional conside	erations.	ease see Capital
Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Health & Safety		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	X		***************************************	Does the project directly reduce risks to people		
	X	<del>  -</del>		Does the project directly promote improved he	ealth or safety?	
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	onsiderations:	Does the project mitigate an immediate risk?		
Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Regulatory Compliance		
	x	IV/A	Amount	Regulatory Compliance		
	^ x	-		Does the project address a legislative, regulatory		
		<del></del>		Does the project promote long-term regulatory		
	X			Will there be serious negative impact on the Cit		
<u> </u>		04-0		Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory	concern?	
,omme	1115 /	Other Co	onsiderations:			
, T.		···				
res 1	No	N/A	Amount	Impact on Operational / Capital Budge	et	V-1-materials and a second
es 1	No	N/A x	Amount	Impact on Operational / Capital Budge What return on investment will this project gener		
res 1	No		Amount		rate?	
res 1	No	х	Amount	What return on investment will this project gener	rate?	
	No	X X	Amount	What return on investment will this project general What is the expected payback period for this project.	rate? ject?	
		X X	Amount	What return on investment will this project general What is the expected payback period for this project minimize life-cycle costs?	rate? ject? perate?	
x		X X	Amount	What return on investment will this project general What is the expected payback period for this project the project minimize life-cycle costs?  Will the facility require additional personnel to op Will the project lead to a reduction in operating of	rate? ject? perate? costs?	
X	x	X X	Amount	What return on investment will this project general What is the expected payback period for this project minimize life-cycle costs?  Will the facility require additional personnel to open	rate? ject? perate? costs? service improvements?	
x	x	X X	Amount	What return on investment will this project general What is the expected payback period for this project this project the project minimize life-cycle costs?  Will the facility require additional personnel to open will the project lead to a reduction in operating of the will the project lead to increased productivity or	rate? ject? perate? costs? service improvements? ance?	frastructure not
x :	x x x	X X	Amount	What return on investment will this project general What is the expected payback period for this project beautiful to project the project minimize life-cycle costs?  Will the facility require additional personnel to open will the project lead to a reduction in operating of will the project lead to increased productivity or will the facility require significant annual maintenation. Will the new facility require additional equipment included in the project budget?	rate? ject? perate? costs? service improvements? ance? or the construction of additional interpretations.	frastructure not
x :	x x x	X X	Amount	What return on investment will this project general What is the expected payback period for this project because the project minimize life-cycle costs?  Will the facility require additional personnel to ope will the project lead to a reduction in operating of will the project lead to increased productivity or will the facility require significant annual maintenation. Will the new facility require additional equipment included in the project budget?  Is there a revenue generating opportunity? (e.g.	rate? ject?  perate?  costs?  service improvements?  ance?  or the construction of additional info	frastructure not
x :	x x x x	X X	Amount	What return on investment will this project general What is the expected payback period for this project state project minimize life-cycle costs?  Will the facility require additional personnel to op will the project lead to a reduction in operating of will the project lead to increased productivity or will the facility require significant annual maintenated will the new facility require additional equipment included in the project budget?  Is there a revenue generating opportunity? (e.g. will the project result in a reduction or increase in each of the project result in a reduction or increase in the second state of the project result in a reduction or increase in the second state of the project result in a reduction or increase in the second state of the	rate? ject? perate? costs? service improvements? ance? or the construction of additional infiguration and the construction	frastructure not
x :	x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x	X X	Amount	What return on investment will this project general What is the expected payback period for this project the project minimize life-cycle costs?  Will the facility require additional personnel to ope will the project lead to a reduction in operating of will the project lead to increased productivity or will the facility require significant annual maintenance. Will the new facility require additional equipment included in the project budget?  Is there a revenue generating opportunity? (e.g. Will the project result in a reduction or increase in the project the project involve specific energy reduction.)	rate? ject? perate? costs? service improvements? ance? or the construction of additional info. user fees) energy use? n strategies or features?	frastructure not
X	x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x	X X	Amount	What return on investment will this project general What is the expected payback period for this project state project minimize life-cycle costs?  Will the facility require additional personnel to op will the project lead to a reduction in operating of will the project lead to increased productivity or will the facility require significant annual maintenated will the new facility require additional equipment included in the project budget?  Is there a revenue generating opportunity? (e.g. will the project result in a reduction or increase in each of the project result in a reduction or increase in the second state of the project result in a reduction or increase in the second state of the project result in a reduction or increase in the second state of the	rate? ject?  perate?  perate?  perate?  service improvements?  ance?  or the construction of additional info  juser fees)  penergy use?  n strategies or features?  operations?	

Project/Program: Roadway/Service Lane Paving

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Compliance with Area Plans - The Common Council has adopted Comprehensive Area Plans. CIC Guidelines document a link to those plans of the DCD website.
x				Is the project in conformance with and supportive of the goals, objectives and strategies of any applicable Comprehensive Plan, special study, survey, committee or board?
		×		Does the project increase or enhance educational opportunities for City of Milwaukee citizens?
		×		Does the project increase or enhance recreational opportunities and/or green space?
X				Will the project mitigate blight?
		x		Does the project target the quality of life of all citizens or does it target one demographic?
		х		Is one population affected positively and another negatively?
		×		Does the project preserve or improve the historical or natural heritage of the City?
х				Is the project consistent with established community character?
				Does the project expand the range of transportation, employment, and housing choices in a fiscal responsible manner?
X				
x	ents /	Other C	onsiderations:	Does the project improve, mitigate or prevent degradation of environmental quality (e.g. water quality improve or reduce pollution including noise and/or light pollution)?
x				Infrastructure - Primarily recurring infrastructure and facilities preservation
x omm	nents /	N/A	Considerations:  Amount	improve or reduce pollution including noise and/or light pollution)?  Infrastructure - Primarily recurring infrastructure and facilities preservation programs
x omn				improve or reduce pollution including noise and/or light pollution)?  Infrastructure - Primarily recurring infrastructure and facilities preservation programs  How does the request effect the pertinent replacement cycle? Provide specifics below.
x omn		N/A		Infrastructure - Primarily recurring infrastructure and facilities preservation programs  How does the request effect the pertinent replacement cycle? Provide specifics below.  Has the facility being replaced exceeded its useful life?
x 'es x		N/A		Infrastructure - Primarily recurring infrastructure and facilities preservation programs  How does the request effect the pertinent replacement cycle? Provide specifics below.  Has the facility being replaced exceeded its useful life?  Does this project extend the useful life of an existing facility?
x 'es x	No	N/A		Infrastructure - Primarily recurring infrastructure and facilities preservation programs  How does the request effect the pertinent replacement cycle? Provide specifics below.  Has the facility being replaced exceeded its useful life?  Does this project extend the useful life of an existing facility?  Do maintenance costs exceed replacement costs? (See Below)
x		N/A		Infrastructure - Primarily recurring infrastructure and facilities preservation programs  How does the request effect the pertinent replacement cycle? Provide specifics below.  Has the facility being replaced exceeded its useful life?  Does this project extend the useful life of an existing facility?

Comments / Other Considerations:

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Economic / Community Development
	×			Does the project have the potential to promote economic/community development in areas where growth is desired?
	х			Will the project continue to promote or enhance economic/community development in an already developed area?
х				Is the net impact of the project positive?
	х			Would an alternate location for this project provide a greater positive economic impact?
	х			Will the project produce desirable jobs in the City?
	x			Will the project rejuvenate an area that needs assistance?
	x			Will the project promote the equitable distribution of the costs and benefits of development?

Will this project improve the functionality or service life of other related infrastructure?

Comments / Other Considerations:

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Special Considerations
	×			Is there a significant external funding source that can only be used for this project and/or which will be lost if not used immediately (e.g. proffers, grants through various federal or state initiatives, and private donations)?
	х			Are there critical timing issues associated with this project?
	x			Are there inter-jurisdictional considerations?
	x			Can you quantify the impacts of a delay in this project?

Comments / Other Considerations:

### **BMD-100** Capital Improvement Request Form Part I Rehab Electrical Services So. Harbor Project/Program Title: Tract Requesting Department: Port of Milwaukee Prepared By/Phone Ext: Hattie Billingsley Department Head Signature: Account No: PT180080100 Department Priority 10 of 18 Useful Life 30 Years Level of Need Essential ☑ Important ☐ Desired Type of Project ☐ New ☑ Replacement ☐ Repair Project/Program Scope Fully Defined Partially Defined ☐ On-Going Program Description Infrastructure ☐ Street Related ☐ Sewer ☐ Water ☐ Communications ☐ Street Lighting Recreation ☐ Sidewalks ☐ Alleys ☐ Bridge ☐ Environmental ☑ Port ☐ Parking Bullding Roof ☐Windows ☐ HVAC ☐ Electrical Restroom ☐ Security ☐ Exterior ☐ Entire Facility ☐ ADA ☐ Office Remodeling ☐ New Building ☐ Elevators ☐ Garage ☐ Mechanical Miscellaneous Development ☐ Economic ☐ Information Systems ☐ Equipment Other C) Project/Program Duration One Year ☐ Yes □No On-Going Program ☐Yes □ No Multi-Year ☑ Yes □ No Number of Years D) **Total Positions Total FTEs** 0.0 Position Title No. of Positions **FTEs** Salaries \$ E) In Six Year Capital Improvement Plan Yes 2010-2015 **2011-2016** ☐ Yes, Modified ☐ New Request F) Project/Program Justification The mission of the Port of Milwaukee is to enhance the overall economic vitality of our region by stimulating trade, business and employment. The Port provides electrical service to ships docked at the Port for winter mooring and is responsible for at least some of the electrical generators on Jones Island. As part of the Port's on-going major upgrade/rehabilitation capital maintenance program we have re-evaluated the South Harbor's overall electrical needs and determined necessary upgrades to ensure the electrical services under the Port's authority on Jones Island are operating within code and the electrical services made available to customers is adequate and conforms to our lease agreements and tariff.

### G) Additional Comments

The first phase of the electrical upgrade was completed in 2010. This funding is for work on the second phase of the upgrade on the east mooring basin.

Requesting Department:	Port of Milwaukee	a.							
Project/Program Title:	Rehab Electrical	Rehab Electrical Services So. Harbor Tract	Tract		Account No:	ł	PT180080100		
A	•	Ĺ		1		Special	ial		Total Cost
1991	<u>-</u>	lax Levy/Dorrowing	Grant & Ald	- Aid	Vevenue	Assessinent			£70 605
I Canaming Dalance for 2011		080'67¢							000,010
2012 Budget Request					:				<b>\$</b> 0
2013 Projection		\$100,000							\$100,000
2014 Projection									0\$
2015 Projection	1								0\$
2016 Projection									0\$
2017 Projection	<u>.</u>								\$0
Total Six Year Cost	<u> </u>	\$100,000		<b>8</b>	0\$		S S	\$0	\$100,000
Total Project Cost		\$179,695		\$0	0\$		8	\$0	\$179,695
	. L						3		- 100
Life to Date Expenditures (Project Only)	ect Only)	\$20,305		0\$	0\$		<b>B</b>	\$0	\$20,305
Available Cost Estimate: Thorough Cost Estimate	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017			
Limited Information	J C	ם כ	<b>]</b> [	ם כ	] [				
Based on Cost of Similar Projects		1 5	ı 🗆	) <b>–</b>					
Unsupported									
Were cost estimates confirmed by another source? Are cost estimates based on industry standards? Will city employees be performing any portion of the Did you perform a cost/benefit analysis?	by another source? dustry standards? ing any portion of th analysis?	e work?		2 2 2 2 5 0 5 5	Uncertain Uncertain Uncertain Uncertain				
How will this project impact city operating expenditu	operating expendit	ures?	□Increase		☐ Decrease ☑ None				
Estimated Start Date:	TBD								
Estimated Completion Date:	180		Departmen	Department Head Signature	nature (	in on	Ceine	K	
			Prepared E	Prepared By/Phone Ext	l	Hattie Billingsley/x-3649	-3649		

Dep	artmer	nt:	Port of Milwau	kee	Date Submitted:	3/28/2011
Proj	ect/Pro	gram:	Rehab Electric	cal Service So. Harbor Tract	Date Capitalica.	3/20/2011
Prep	ared E	By:	Hattie Billingsl	ey	Current Request:	0.0
Dept	l Head		Eric Reinelt		6 Yr Total:	\$0 \$100,000
<u> </u>					<u> </u>	\$100,000
			ogram Descriptio			
				services provided to ships docked for winter mo		
Cupp	orang	accume	manon does not	y the impact of the project in either the amount need to be submitted with the request but should of each area of emphasis and additional conside	d he available upon roquast. Di	n of each area. lease see Capital
Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Health & Safety		
	х			Does the project directly reduce risks to people	or property?	**************************************
·····	X			Does the project directly promote improved her		
	x			Does the project mitigate an immediate risk?		
Comr	nents /	Other C	Considerations:			
Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Regulatory Compliance		
	X			Does the project address a legislative, regulatory	or court-ordered mandate?	
	X			Does the project promote long-term regulatory c		
	X			Will there be serious negative impact on the City	if compliance is not achieved?	
	Х	L		Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory of		
<u>zomm</u>	ients /	Other C	onsiderations:			
⁄es	No	N/A	Amount	Impact on Operational / Conital Date		
x			Aniodit	Impact on Operational / Capital Budge		
x				What return on investment will this project general		
	×			What is the expected payback period for this proje	ect?	
	x			Does the project minimize life-cycle costs?		
	x			Will the project lead to a reductive by		
×				Will the project lead to a reduction in operating co		
	x			Will the facility require placetic act and activity or s		
				Will the facility require significant annual maintena		
	x			Will the new facility require additional equipment of included in the project budget?		frastructure not
×				Is there a revenue generating opportunity? (e.g.		
		X		Will the project result in a reduction or increase in ea		
	X			Does the project involve specific energy reduction		
	X			Will this project cause disruptions to regular city of		
	X L			Are there other potential costs associated with this	project that are not addressed ab	ove?
mme	nts / O	tner Cor	nsiderations:			

Project/Program: Rehab Electrical Services So. Harbor Tract

Whenever possible, please quantify / describe the impact of the project in either the amount column or the comment section of each area. Supporting documentation does not need to be submitted with the request but should be available upon request. Please see Capital Guidelines for detailed descriptions of each area of emphasis and additional considerations.

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Compliance with Area Plans - The Common Council has adopted Comprehensive Area Plans. CIC Guidelines document a link to those plans or the DCD website.
x				is the project in conformance with and supportive of the goals, objectives and strategies of any applicable Comprehensive Plan, special study, survey, committee or board?
		x		Does the project increase or enhance educational opportunities for City of Milwaukee citizens?
		х		Does the project increase or enhance recreational opportunities and/or green space?
x				Will the project mitigate blight?
		x		Does the project target the quality of life of all citizens or does it target one demographic?
		x		Is one population affected positively and another negatively?
		x		Does the project preserve or improve the historical or natural heritage of the City?
x				Is the project consistent with established community character?
x				Does the project expand the range of transportation, employment, and housing choices in a fiscally responsible manner?
x				Does the project improve, mitigate or prevent degradation of environmental quality (e.g. water quality, improve or reduce pollution including noise and/or light pollution)?
omm	ents /	Other Co	onsiderations:	
Т		Г		Infrastructure - Primarily recurring infrastructure and facilities preservation

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Infrastructure - Primarily recurring infrastructure and facilities preservation programs
		x		How does the request effect the pertinent replacement cycle? Provide specifics below.
		х		Has the facility being replaced exceeded its useful life?
x				Does this project extend the useful life of an existing facility?
x				Do maintenance costs exceed replacement costs? (See Below)
		x		Have you documented costs of unplanned or corrective maintenance related to the facility?
x				Does the project incorporate new technology that will provide enhanced service?
x				Does the project extend service for new development or redevelopment?
x				Will this project improve the functionality or service life of other related infrastructure?

Comments / Other Considerations:

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Economic / Community Development
x				Does the project have the potential to promote economic/community development in areas where growth is desired?
x	<u> </u>			Will the project continue to promote or enhance economic/community development in an already developed area?
x				Is the net Impact of the project positive?
	х			Would an alternate location for this project provide a greater positive economic impact?
x				Will the project produce desirable jobs in the City?
	x			Will the project rejuvenate an area that needs assistance?
[	x			Will the project promote the equitable distribution of the costs and benefits of development?

Comments / Other Considerations:

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Special Considerations
	x			Is there a significant external funding source that can only be used for this project and/or which will be lost if not used immediately (e.g. proffers, grants through various federal or state initiatives, and private donations)?
	x			Are there critical timing issues associated with this project?
	х			Are there inter-jurisdictional considerations?
	x			Can you quantify the impacts of a delay in this project?

Comments / Other Considerations;

F	Project/Program Title: Analyze and Upgrade Sewer System	Requesting Department: Port of Milwaukee
F	Prepared By/Phone Ext: Hattie Billingsley/x-3649	Department Head Signature: Eine Reinett
A	Account No:	_ are promette
Δ		Years Level of Need ☑ Essential ☐ Important ☐ Desired  Project/Program Scope ☐ Fully Defined ☑ Partially Defined
В	Infrastructure  ☐ Street Related ☐ Sewer ☐ Water ☐ ☐ Sidewalks ☐ Alleys ☐ Bridge ☐ ☐ Building ☐ Roof ☐ Windows ☐ HVAC ☐ Electrical ☐ Restrict ☐ ADA ☐ Office Remodeling ☐ New Building ☐ Elevair Miscellaneous Development	Entire radiity
	☐ Economic ☐ Information Systems ☐ Equipment ☐	Other
C)	Project/Program Duration  One Year □ Yes □ No  On-Going Program □ Yes □ No  Multi-Year □ Yes □ No Number of Years	
D)	Total Positions 0 Total FTEs 0.0	
E) [	Position Title No. of Position	ns FTEs Salaries \$ \$ \$
	Yes ☑ 2010-2015 ☑ 2011-2016 ☑ Yes, Modified	1 □ New Request
F)	Project/Program Justification  The mission of the Port of Milwaukee is to enhance the overall economic it is vital to this mission that the Port's Infrastructure is maintained in excernaintains an extensive (10 miles) sewer system to serve the Port area. If and corrosive cargo loadings have reduced the capacity of the system. It is state and federal regulations. If this program is not funded or is funded at prolonged and the Port may be in violation of state and/or federal regulations.	vitality of our region by stimulating trade, business and employment. ellent condition. This is an on-going program. The Port of Milwaukee Regular inspections of the system are needed to determine if heavy Rehabilitation of the system may be needed for safety, and to meet
G)	Additional Comments	

Requesting Department:	Port of Milwaukee								
Project/Program Title:	Analyze and Upgrade Sewer System	ide Sewer System			Account No:	No:			
>	3			7		S	Special	7	Total Cost
Tear Domining Dolong for 2014	×e-	lax Levy/Borrowing	Gram & Ald	Aid	Kevenue	Asse —	Assessment	Cilierprise	10tal cost
remaining balance of 2011									3
2012 Budget Request	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								<b>%</b>
2013 Projection		\$100,000							\$100,000
2014 Projection						:			0\$
2015 Projection									0\$
2016 Projection	<u></u>								G¥
2017 Projection	<u></u>								0\$
Total Six Year Cost		\$100,000		S S	6	S _s	8	0\$	\$100,000
Total Project Cost		\$100,000		O\$	<b>₩</b>	0\$	O\$	0\$	\$100,000
ife to Date Eveneditions (Designe Only)	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	S		Ę	<b></b>		Ş	Ş	' S
Lile to Date Expenditures (Proje	od Only)	25		3		2	<u></u>	O#	3
Available Cost Estimate:	2012	2013	2014	2016	2016	2017	_		
I norough Cost Estimate Limited Information			<u> </u>						
Based on Cost of Similar Projects	ects	<b>.</b> 6	ם כ	] [	ם כ				
Unsupported									
Were cost estimates confirmed by another source? Are cost estimates based on industry standards? Will city employees be performing any portion of the work? Did you perform a cost/benefit analysis?	by another source? dustry standards? ng any portion of the analysis?	work?	□ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □	8 8 8 8 5 0 5	Uncertain Uncertain Uncertain				
		•	<u>g</u>	<u></u>	3				
How will this project impact city operating expenditures?	operating expenditur	es./	☐ Increase		☐ Decrease ☐ None				
Estimated Start Date:	TBD								
Estimated Completion Date:	TBD		Denortment Head Signature	t Head Sig	an item	\\ \frac{\lambda}{3}		+	
					i	5	W 7		
			Prepared E	Prepared By/Phone Ext	ı	Hattie Billingsley/x-3649	/X-3649		

_	artmer	nt:	Port of Milwau	ikee	Data Culturation t
Proj	ect/Pro	ogram:		Upgrade Sewer System	Date Submitted: 3/28/2011
Prep	pared E	Зу:	Hattie Billingsl		Comment D
Dep	t Head	:	Eric Reinelt		Current Request:
					6 Yr Total: \$100,00
			gram Description		
Whe. Supp	never porting	possible, documer	please quantify	y the impact of the project in either the amount on need to be submitted with the request but should be submitted.	olumn or the comment section of each area.
Yes		N/A	Amount	or each area or emphasis and additional considera	ntions.
x		<del>  ```</del>	7 WHOOM	Health & Safety	
X	<del> </del>	<del>                                     </del>	·	Does the project directly reduce risks to people or	
	x	+-+		Does the project directly promote improved healt	th or safety?
Comr		Other C	onsiderations:	Does the project mitigate an immediate risk?	
	No	N/A	Amount	Regulatory Compliance	
х	No	N/A	Amount	Does the project address a legislative, regulatory or	court-ordered mandate?
	No		Amount	Does the project address a legislative, regulatory or Does the project promote long-term regulatory con	npliance?
х	No	x	Amount	Does the project address a legislative, regulatory or  Does the project promote long-term regulatory con  Will there be serious negative impact on the City if	npliance? compliance is not achieved?
x		x x	Amount	Does the project address a legislative, regulatory or	npliance? compliance is not achieved?
x x omm	ents /	x x Other Co	ensiderations:	Does the project address a legislative, regulatory or Does the project promote long-term regulatory con Will there be serious negative impact on the City if Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory con	npliance? compliance is not achieved?
x x		x x Other Co		Does the project address a legislative, regulatory or Does the project promote long-term regulatory con Will there be serious negative impact on the City if Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory con Impact on Operational / Capital Budget	npliance? icompliance is not achieved? cern?
x x omm	ents /	x x Other Co	ensiderations:	Does the project address a legislative, regulatory or Does the project promote long-term regulatory con Will there be serious negative impact on the City if Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory con Impact on Operational / Capital Budget What return on investment will this project generate	npliance? compliance is not achieved? cern?
x x omm	ents /	x x Other Co	ensiderations:	Does the project address a legislative, regulatory or Does the project promote long-term regulatory con Will there be serious negative impact on the City if Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory con Impact on Operational / Capital Budget What return on investment will this project generate What is the expected payback period for this project	npliance? compliance is not achieved? cern?
x x comm	ents /	x x Other Co	ensiderations:	Does the project address a legislative, regulatory or Does the project promote long-term regulatory con Will there be serious negative impact on the City if Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory con Impact on Operational / Capital Budget What return on investment will this project generate What is the expected payback period for this project Does the project minimize life-cycle costs?	npliance? i compliance is not achieved? cern?
x x omm	ents /	x x Other Co	ensiderations:	Does the project address a legislative, regulatory or Does the project promote long-term regulatory con Will there be serious negative impact on the City if Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory con Impact on Operational / Capital Budget What return on investment will this project generate What is the expected payback period for this project Does the project minimize life-cycle costs?  Will the facility require additional personnel to opera	npliance? compliance is not achieved? cern?  ?? ?
x x omm	ents /	x x Other Co	ensiderations:	Does the project address a legislative, regulatory or Does the project promote long-term regulatory con Will there be serious negative impact on the City if Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory con What return on investment will this project generate What is the expected payback period for this project Does the project minimize life-cycle costs?  Will the facility require additional personnel to operate Will the project lead to a reduction in operating cost	npliance? icompliance is not achieved? cern?  ?? ? ste?
x x comm	ents /	x x Other Co	ensiderations:	Does the project address a legislative, regulatory or Does the project promote long-term regulatory con Will there be serious negative impact on the City if Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory con What return on investment will this project generate What is the expected payback period for this project Does the project minimize life-cycle costs?  Will the facility require additional personnel to opera Will the project lead to a reduction in operating cost Will the project lead to increased productivity or ser	npliance? icompliance is not achieved? cern?  ? ?  tte? tts? vice improvements?
x x comm	ents /	x x Other Co	ensiderations:	Does the project address a legislative, regulatory or Does the project promote long-term regulatory con Will there be serious negative impact on the City if Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory con What return on investment will this project generate What is the expected payback period for this project Does the project minimize life-cycle costs?  Will the facility require additional personnel to opera Will the project lead to a reduction in operating cost Will the project lead to increased productivity or ser Will the facility require significant annual maintenance	npliance?  compliance is not achieved?  cern?  ? ?  te? ts? vice improvements? e?
x x x omm	ents /	x x Other Co	ensiderations:	Does the project address a legislative, regulatory or Does the project promote long-term regulatory con Will there be serious negative impact on the City if Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory con What return on investment will this project generate What is the expected payback period for this project Does the project minimize life-cycle costs?  Will the facility require additional personnel to opera Will the project lead to a reduction in operating cost Will the facility require significant annual maintenanc Will the new facility require additional equipment or tincluded in the project budget?	icompliance? icompliance is not achieved? cern?  ?? ?  ite? ts? vice improvements? e? the construction of additional infrastructure not
x x comm	ents /	x x Other Co	ensiderations:	Does the project address a legislative, regulatory or Does the project promote long-term regulatory con Will there be serious negative impact on the City if Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory con What return on investment will this project generate What is the expected payback period for this project Does the project minimize life-cycle costs?  Will the facility require additional personnel to opera Will the project lead to a reduction in operating cost Will the project lead to increased productivity or ser Will the facility require significant annual maintenanc Will the new facility require additional equipment or tincluded in the project budget?  Is there a revenue generating opportunity? (e.g. us	icompliance? icompliance is not achieved? cern?  ? ? ? tte? ts? vice improvements? e? the construction of additional infrastructure not ser fees)
x x x omm	ents /  No  x x x x x	x x Other Co	ensiderations:	Does the project address a legislative, regulatory or Does the project promote long-term regulatory con Will there be serious negative impact on the City if Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory con What return on investment will this project generate What is the expected payback period for this project Does the project minimize life-cycle costs?  Will the facility require additional personnel to opera Will the project lead to a reduction in operating cost Will the project lead to increased productivity or ser Will the facility require significant annual maintenanc Will the new facility require additional equipment or the included in the project budget?  Is there a revenue generating opportunity? (e.g. us Will the project result in a reduction or increase in energy will the project result in a reduction or increase in energy will the project result in a reduction or increase in energy will the project result in a reduction or increase in energy will the project result in a reduction or increase in energy will be project provided in the project result in a reduction or increase in energy will be project provided in the project prov	repliance?  compliance is not achieved?  cern?  cern?  ??  ?  te?  ts?  vice improvements?  e?  the construction of additional infrastructure not  ser fees)  rgy use?
x x x // ces // x x // x // x // x // x // x // x	ents /  No  x x x x x x x	x x Other Co	ensiderations:	Does the project address a legislative, regulatory or Does the project promote long-term regulatory con Will there be serious negative impact on the City if Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory con What return on investment will this project generate What is the expected payback period for this project Does the project minimize life-cycle costs?  Will the facility require additional personnel to opera Will the project lead to a reduction in operating cost Will the facility require significant annual maintenanc Will the new facility require additional equipment or tincluded in the project budget?  Is there a revenue generating opportunity? (e.g. us Will the project involve specific energy reduction st	icompliance? icompliance is not achieved? cern?  cern?  ? ?  te? ts? vice improvements? e? the construction of additional infrastructure not ser fees) rgy use? crategies or features?
x x x // ces // x x // x // x // x // x // x // x	ents /  No  x x x x x	x x Other Co	ensiderations:	Does the project address a legislative, regulatory or Does the project promote long-term regulatory con Will there be serious negative impact on the City if Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory con What return on investment will this project generate What is the expected payback period for this project Does the project minimize life-cycle costs?  Will the facility require additional personnel to opera Will the project lead to a reduction in operating cost Will the project lead to increased productivity or ser Will the facility require significant annual maintenanc Will the new facility require additional equipment or the included in the project budget?  Is there a revenue generating opportunity? (e.g. us Will the project result in a reduction or increase in energy will the project result in a reduction or increase in energy will the project result in a reduction or increase in energy will the project result in a reduction or increase in energy will the project result in a reduction or increase in energy will be project provided in the project result in a reduction or increase in energy will be project provided in the project prov	icompliance? icompliance is not achieved? cern?  ?? ?  tte? ts? vice improvements? e? the construction of additional infrastructure not ser fees) rgy use? crategies or features? erations?

Project/Program: Analyze and Upgrade Sewer System

Whenever possible, please quantify / describe the impact of the project in either the amount column or the comment section of each area. Supporting documentation does not need to be submitted with the request but should be available upon request. Please see Capital Guidelines for detailed descriptions of each area of emphasis and additional considerations.

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Compliance with Area Plans - The Common Council has adopted Comprehensive Area Plans. CIC Guidelines document a link to those plans the DCD website.
x				is the project in conformance with and supportive of the goals, objectives and strategies of any applicable Comprehensive Plan, special study, survey, committee or board?
	1	x		Does the project increase or enhance educational opportunities for City of Milwaukee citizens?
	i	х		Does the project increase or enhance recreational opportunities and/or green space?
x				Will the project mittgate blight?
		x		Does the project target the quality of life of all citizens or does it target one demographic?
		x		Is one population affected positively and another negatively?
		х		Does the project preserve or improve the historical or natural heritage of the City?
x				Is the project consistent with established community character?
x				Does the project expand the range of transportation, employment, and housing choices in a fiscal responsible manner?
x				Does the project improve, mitigate or prevent degradation of environmental quality (e.g. water quality improve or reduce pollution including noise and/or light pollution)?
x X Comm	ients /	Other Co	onsiderations:	Does the project improve, mitigate or prevent degradation of environmental quality (e.g.
	Information Deliverable	Infrastructure Drimarily recurring	Infractruatura Primarily recurring	infrastructure and facilities preservation

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Infrastructure - Primarily recurring infrastructure and facilities preservation programs
		х		How does the request effect the pertinent replacement cycle ? Provide specifics below.
	x			Has the facility being replaced exceeded its useful life?
X				Does this project extend the useful life of an existing facility?
	х			Do maintenance costs exceed replacement costs? (See Below)
x				Have you documented costs of unplanned or corrective maintenance related to the facility?
		х		Does the project incorporate new technology that will provide enhanced service?
	х			Does the project extend service for new development or redevelopment?
х				Will this project improve the functionality or service life of other related infrastructure?

Comments / Other Considerations:

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Economic / Community Development
	x			Does the project have the potential to promote economic/community development in areas where growth is desired?
	x			Will the project continue to promote or enhance economic/community development in an already developed area?
x				Is the net impact of the project positive?
		x		Would an alternate location for this project provide a greater positive economic impact?
	х			Will the project produce desirable jobs in the City?
	x			Will the project rejuvenate an area that needs assistance?
	×			Will the project promote the equitable distribution of the costs and benefits of development?

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Special Considerations
	x			Is there a significant external funding source that can only be used for this project and/or which will be lost if not used immediately (e.g. proffers, grants through various federal or state initiatives, and private donations)?
	х			Are there critical timing issues associated with this project?
	X			Are there inter-jurisdictional considerations?
	x			Can you quantify the impacts of a delay in this project?

Comments / Other Considerations:

Project/Program Title:	Demolish?Rehab Exired Leasehold Facilities	Requesting Department: P	ort of Milwaukes
Prepared By/Phone Ext:	Hattle Billingsley/x-3649	Department Head Signature:	Sie Romett
Account No:		_	
Department Priority	12 of 18 Useful Life 50	Years Level of Need ☐ Essential	☑ Important ☐ Desired
1	- topan	Project/Program Scope	
Description Infrastructure Street Related Sidewalks Building			s □ Recreation □ Parking
□ ADA □ Office R Miscellaneous Develo	emodeling		☑ Entire Facility
☐ Economic ☐ Info	ormation Systems 🔲 Equipment	] Other	
Project/Program Dura One Year On-Going Program Multi-Year	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ No	2	
Total Positions 0 Position Title		ons FTEs Salar	ries \$ \$ \$
In Six Year Capital Imp Yes ☑ 2010-2015		ed ☐ New Request	
The mission of the Port of As part of fulfiling this miss which are currently vacant be suitable for future lease	Milwaukee is to enhance the overall economic sion the Port leases out parcels of City proper or for which the leases' will expire over the numbers. The City Attorney's opinion is the Ports of the City Attorney's opinion in the City Attorney's opinion is the Ports of the City Attorney's opinion in the City Attorney's opinion is the Ports of the City Attorney's opinion in the City Attorney's opinion is the Ports of the City Attorney's opinion in the City Attorney's opinion is the Ports of the City Attorney's opinion in the City Attorney's opinion is the Ports of the City Attorney's opinion in the City Attorney's opinion is the Ports of the City Attorney's opinion in the City Attorney's opinion is the Ports of the City Attorney's opinion in the City Attorney's opinion is the Ports of the City Attorney's opinion in the City Attorney's opinion is the Ports opinion in the City Attorney's opinion is the Ports opinion in the City Attorney's opinion in	ext several years on the South Harbor tract. The	ere are several buildings . These buildings may not
Additional Comments			
	Prepared By/Phone Ext: Account No: Department Priority Type of Project  Street Project  Street Related Sidewalks Building ADA Office Related Sidewalks Building Froject/Program Duration One Year On-Going Program Multi-Year  Total Positions Oposition Title  In Six Year Capital Imp Yes 2010-2015  Project/Program Justiff The mission of the Port of As part of fulfilling this miss which are currently vacant be suitable for future lease property by tenants. These again.	Project/Program Title: Facilities Prepared By/Phone Ext: Hattle Billingsley/x-3649  Account No:    Department Priority	Prepared By/Phone Ext: Hattle Billingsleyx-3949 Department Head Signature:    Department Head Signature:   Department Head Signature:   Department Head Signature:   Department Head Signature:   Department Priority   12 of   18   Useful Life   50   Years   Level of Need   Essential   Type of Project   New   Replacement   Repair   Project/Program Scope   Fully Define   Project/Program Scope   Mechanical Miscellaneous Development   Other

Requesting Department:	Port of Milwaukee				 			
Project/Program Title:	Demolish/Rehab I	Expired Leasehold Facilities	Facilities		Account No:	No:		
				,	ı '	Special		
Year	<u>T</u> a	Tax Levy/Borrowing	Grant & Aid	Aid	Revenue	Assessment	Enterprise	lotal Cost
Remaining Balance for 2011					:			O\$
2012 Budget Request								0\$
2013 Projection	<u> </u>	\$100,000						\$100,000
2014 Projection								0\$
2015 Projection		\$100,000						\$100,000
2016 Projection	1							<b>3</b>
2017 Projection	<u> </u>							O\$
Total Six Year Cost		\$200,000		8	0\$		0\$	\$200,000
Total Project Cost		\$200,000		<b>₽</b>	S		0\$ 0\$	\$200,000
Life to Date Expenditures (Project Only)	ct Only)	0\$		S ₄	0\$		0\$ 0\$	- 0\$
Available Cost Estimate:	) ] ]	2013	2014	2015	2016	2047		
Thornton Cost Estimate		? [	<u>:</u>		<u></u>	<b>.</b>		
Limited Information	J [	<b>]</b> [	_ C	<u> </u>	ם ב	<u> </u>		
Based on Cost of Similar Projects		] [		] [	ם כ			
Unsupported	) [	ם נ	1 🗆		] [	) []		
Were cost estimates confirmed by another source?	by another source?		; ;	<u> </u>	istonal I			
Are cost estimates based on industry standards?	lustry standards?		] S S S	2 £				
Will city employees be performing any portion of the work?	ng any portion of the	work?	□ Yes	<u>8</u> ⊡	Uncertain			
Did you perform a cost/benefit analysis?	ınalysis?		□Yes	N N	Uncertain			
How will this project impact city operating expenditures?	operating expenditu	ires?	□Increase		□ Decrease ☑ None			
Estimated Start Date:	TBD							
Estimated Completion Date:	TBD		Department Head Signature	nt Head Sig	nature	3	gue 12	
			to a design of process of			of State of		
			repared :	oy/ruone n	l	DIIIIIgaeyx		

Proj	artmer	11.	Port of Milwaul	kee	Date Submitted:	3/28/2011
	ect/Pro	ogram:	Demolish/Reha	ab Expired Leasehold Facilities	Jake Cabilitaea.	3/20/2011
Prep	ared E	Зу:	Hattie Billingsle		Current Request:	<b>*</b> 0
Dep	Head	:	Eric Reinelt		6 Yr Total:	\$0 \$200,000
					5 71 7 Oct.	\$200,000
			gram Description			
prop	ls will : erties :	be used i can be le	to demolish buildi ased out to new t	ings built by tenants who are no longer leasing tenants.	the facilities and to rehab expire	d leaseholds so the
υωρρ	orang	uocumei	ritation does not r	y the impact of the project in either the amoun need to be submitted with the request but shou f each area of emphasis and additional conside	ld he available upon request. Die	n of each area. ease see Capital
Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Health & Safety		
***************************************	×			Does the project directly reduce risks to people	n or property?	
	×			Does the project directly promote improved he		
	×			Does the project directly promote improved he project mitigate an immediate risk?	ealth or safety?	
Comr	nents i	/ Other C	onsiderations:	poos the project mitigate all immediate risk?		
Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Regulatory Compliance		
	X	<del>  ``  </del>	7 WHOUNG			
				Does the project address a legislative, regulatory	V Of COURT-Ordered mandate2	
	¥	1 1				
	X			Does the project promote long-term regulatory	compliance?	
	х			Does the project promote long-term regulatory Will there be serious negative impact on the Cit	compliance? ty if compliance is not achieved?	
Comm	x x	Other Co	onsiderations:	Does the project promote long-term regulatory	compliance? ty if compliance is not achieved?	
Comm	x x	Other Co	onsiderations:	Does the project promote long-term regulatory Will there be serious negative impact on the Cit	compliance? ty if compliance is not achieved?	
Comm	x x	Other Co	onsiderations: Amount	Does the project promote long-term regulatory Will there be serious negative impact on the Cit Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory	compliance? ty if compliance is not achieved? concern?	
	x x ents /			Does the project promote long-term regulatory Will there be serious negative impact on the Cit Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory  Impact on Operational / Capital Budge	compliance? ty if compliance is not achieved? concern?	
Yes	x x ents /			Does the project promote long-term regulatory Will there be serious negative impact on the Cit Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory  Impact on Operational / Capital Budge What return on investment will this project general	compliance? ty if compliance is not achieved? concern?  et	
Yes x	x x ents /			Does the project promote long-term regulatory Will there be serious negative impact on the Cit Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory  Impact on Operational / Capital Budge	compliance? ty if compliance is not achieved? concern?  et	
Yes x	x x ents /	N/A		Does the project promote long-term regulatory Will there be serious negative impact on the Cit Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory of  Impact on Operational / Capital Budge What return on investment will this project gener What is the expected payback period for this proj Does the project minimize life-cycle costs?	compliance? ty if compliance is not achieved? concern?  et rate? ject?	
Yes x	x x ents /	N/A		Does the project promote long-term regulatory Will there be serious negative impact on the Cit Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory of  Impact on Operational / Capital Budg What return on investment will this project gener What is the expected payback period for this proj Does the project minimize life-cycle costs? Will the facility require additional personnel to ope	compliance? ty if compliance is not achieved? concern?  et rate? ject?	
Yes x	x x ents /	N/A		Does the project promote long-term regulatory Will there be serious negative impact on the Cit Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory  Impact on Operational / Capital Budge What return on investment will this project gener What is the expected payback period for this proj Does the project minimize life-cycle costs? Will the facility require additional personnel to op Will the project lead to a reduction in operating of	compliance? ty if compliance is not achieved? concern?  et rate? ject? costs?	
Yes x x	x x ents /	N/A		Does the project promote long-term regulatory Will there be serious negative impact on the Cit Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory of  Impact on Operational / Capital Budge What return on investment will this project gene What is the expected payback period for this proj Does the project minimize life-cycle costs? Will the facility require additional personnel to op Will the project lead to a reduction in operating of Will the project lead to increased productivity or	compliance?  ty if compliance is not achieved?  concern?  et  rate?  ject?  costs?  service improvements?	
Yes x x	x x ents /	N/A		Does the project promote long-term regulatory Will there be serious negative impact on the Cit Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory  Impact on Operational / Capital Budge What return on investment will this project gener What is the expected payback period for this proj Does the project minimize life-cycle costs? Will the facility require additional personnel to op Will the project lead to a reduction in operating of	compliance?  ty if compliance is not achieved? concern?  et  rate? perate? costs? service improvements? ance?	rastructure not
Yes x x	x x ents /	N/A		Does the project promote long-term regulatory Will there be serious negative impact on the Cit Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory of  Impact on Operational / Capital Budge What return on investment will this project genet What is the expected payback period for this proj Does the project minimize life-cycle costs? Will the facility require additional personnel to op Will the project lead to a reduction in operating of Will the project lead to increased productivity or Will the facility require significant annual maintent Will the new facility require additional equipment included in the project budget?	compliance?  ty if compliance is not achieved?  concern?  et  rate?  ject?  costs?  service improvements?  ance?  or the construction of additional inf	rastructure not
Yes x x x	x x ents /	N/A		Does the project promote long-term regulatory Will there be serious negative impact on the Cit Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory of  Impact on Operational / Capital Budge What return on investment will this project genet What is the expected payback period for this proj Does the project minimize life-cycle costs? Will the facility require additional personnel to op Will the project lead to a reduction in operating of Will the project lead to increased productivity or Will the facility require significant annual maintent Will the new facility require additional equipment included in the project budget? Is there a revenue generating opportunity? (e.g.	compliance?  ty if compliance is not achieved?  concern?  et  rate?  ject?  costs?  service improvements?  ance?  or the construction of additional inf	rastructure not
Yes x x x	x x ents /	N/A		Does the project promote long-term regulatory Will there be serious negative impact on the Cit Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory of  Impact on Operational / Capital Budge What return on investment will this project gener What is the expected payback period for this proj Does the project minimize life-cycle costs? Will the facility require additional personnel to op Will the project lead to a reduction in operating of Will the project lead to increased productivity or Will the facility require significant annual maintent Will the new facility require additional equipment included in the project budget? Is there a revenue generating opportunity? (e.g.	ty if compliance is not achieved? concern?  et  rate? ject?  costs? service improvements? ance? or the construction of additional inf	rastructure not
Yes x x x	X x ents /	N/A		Does the project promote long-term regulatory Will there be serious negative impact on the Cit Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory of  Impact on Operational / Capital Budge What return on investment will this project gener What is the expected payback period for this project the project minimize life-cycle costs? Will the facility require additional personnel to ope Will the project lead to a reduction in operating of Will the project lead to increased productivity or Will the facility require significant annual maintent Will the new facility require additional equipment included in the project budget? Is there a revenue generating opportunity? (e.g. Will the project result in a reduction or increase in each of the project involve specific energy reduction	compliance?  ty if compliance is not achieved?  concern?  et  rate?  ject?  costs?  service improvements?  ance?  or the construction of additional inf  g. user fees)  energy use?  n strategies or features?	rastructure not
Yes x x x	X x ents /	N/A		Does the project promote long-term regulatory Will there be serious negative impact on the Cit Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory of  Impact on Operational / Capital Budge What return on investment will this project gener What is the expected payback period for this proj Does the project minimize life-cycle costs? Will the facility require additional personnel to op Will the project lead to a reduction in operating of Will the project lead to increased productivity or Will the facility require significant annual maintent Will the new facility require additional equipment included in the project budget? Is there a revenue generating opportunity? (e.g.	compliance? ty if compliance is not achieved? concern?  et rate? ject? costs? service improvements? ance? or the construction of additional inf g. user fees) energy use? n strategies or features? operations?	

Project/Program: Demolish/Rehab Expired Leasehold Facilities

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Compliance with Area Plans - The Common Council has adopted Comprehensive Area Plans. CIC Guidelines document a link to those plans o the DCD website.
×				Is the project In conformance with and supportive of the goals, objectives and strategies of any applicable Comprehensive Plan, special study, survey, committee or board?
		x		Does the project increase or enhance educational opportunities for City of Milwaukee citizens?
		x		Does the project increase or enhance recreational opportunities and/or green space?
x				Will the project mitigate blight?
		x		Does the project target the quality of life of all citizens or does it target one demographic?
		x	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	Is one population affected positively and another negatively?
		×		Does the project preserve or improve the historical or natural heritage of the City?
×				Is the project consistent with established community character?
x				Does the project expand the range of transportation, employment, and housing choices in a fiscally responsible manner?
x				Does the project improve, mitigate or prevent degradation of environmental quality (e.g. water quality, improve or reduce pollution including noise and/or light pollution)?
lomm	ients /	Other Co	onsiderations:	
Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Infrastructure - Primarily recurring infrastructure and facilities preservation programs
		x		How does the request effect the pertinent replacement cycle? Provide specifics below.
x				Has the facility being replaced exceeded its useful life?
- 1		x		Does this project extend the useful life of an existing facility?

	x	Does this project extend the useful life of an existing facility?
	X	Do maintenance costs exceed replacement costs? (See Below)
	x	Have you documented costs of unplanned or corrective maintenance related to the facility?
	х	Does the project incorporate new technology that will provide enhanced service?
x		Does the project extend service for new development or redevelopment?
x		Will this project improve the functionality or service life of other related infrastructure?

Comments / Other Considerations:

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Economic / Community Development	
x				Does the project have the potential to promote economic/community development in areas where gis desired?	rowth
x				Will the project continue to promote or enhance economic/community development in an already developed area?	******
х				Is the net impact of the project positive?	
	x			Would an alternate location for this project provide a greater positive economic impact?	
х				Will the project produce desirable jobs in the City?	
	x			Will the project rejuvenate an area that needs assistance?	
	х			Will the project promote the equitable distribution of the costs and benefits of development?	

Comments / Other Considerations:

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Special Considerations
	x			Is there a significant external funding source that can only be used for this project and/or which will be lost if not used immediately (e.g. proffers, grants through various federal or state initiatives, and private donations)?
	x			Are there critical timing issues associated with this project?
	X			Are there inter-jurisdictional considerations?
	х			Can you quantify the impacts of a delay in this project?
Camer	onto /	Othor	Considerations	

Comments / Other Considerations:

	roject/Program Title:	City Heavy Lift Dock Impro	vements Requ	esting Department:	Port of Milwaukee	
Р	repared By/Phone Ext:	Hattie Billingsley/x-3649	Depa	rtment Head Signature:	Eine Reme	It
A	ccount No:			•	- John Jerry	
A		13 of 18 Usefu	***************************************	Level of Need ☐ Esse		☐ Desired
		On-Going Program		то при	Defined  Partially Def	ined
B)	Description Infrastructure ☐ Street Related ☐ Sidewalks Building	□ Sewer □ Wa	=======================================		ations	
	☐ Roof ☐ Window	s HVAC Electric	al 🗆 Restroom	☐ Security ☐ Exterio	r Dentina Facilità	
	□ ADA □ Office R	emodeling		☐ Garage ☐ Mechar	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
	Miscellaneous Develo	pment		□ Galage □ Mechar	ilcai	
ĺ	☐ Economic ☐ Info	rmation Systems 🗆 Equ	Ipment Other			
C)	Project/Program Dura	tion				
	One Year	□Yes □No				
- 1	On-Going Program	☐ Yes ☐ No				
	Multi-Year		nber of Years	2		
F						
D)	Total Positions0	Total FTEs	0.0			
	Position Title		No. of Positions	FTEs S	Salaries \$	
- 1			<u> </u>		\$	
L					<u> </u>	
E)	In Six Year Capitai Imp Yes ☐ 2010-2015	rovement Plan ☐ 2011-2016	☐ Yes, Modified	☑ New Request		
F)	Project/Program Justifi	cation				
	The mission of the port of it is vital to this mission that Port to the shipping commeto the City Heavy Lift Dock increase the weight bearing dredging would be done re-	Milwaukee is to enhance the dat the Port's infrastructure is merce is dependent upon mainta with funding from the state's lig load of cranes used at the dosulting in increased size or load would the City providing mater	alling and improving the Harbor Assistance progra ock and to lengthen the d	Port's docks. The Port desirem. The improvements would	nter mooring provided by ti es to make major improver i strengthen the dock to	nents
G) .	Additional Comments					

Requesting Department:	Port of Milwaukee				1			
Project/Program Title:	City Heavy Lift Dock Improvements	ck Improvements			Account No:	40:		
•						Special		
Year	<b>ĕ</b> [	Tax Levy/Borrowing	Grant & Aid	Aid	Revenue	Assessment	Enterprise	Total Cost
Remaining Balance for 2011								0\$
2012 Budget Request								\$0
2013 Projection								0\$
2014 Projection								0\$
2015 Projection		\$520,000	\$2,0	\$2,080,000				\$2,600,000
2016 Projection					•			0\$
2017 Projection								0\$
Total Six Year Cost	<u> </u>	\$520,000	\$2,	\$2,080,000	9	SF.	0\$	\$2,600,000
Total Project Cost		\$520,000	\$2,0	\$2,080,000	0\$	0\$	0\$	\$2,600,000
!	] L							٠ ۔
Life to Date Expenditures (Project Only)	ect Only)	<b>9</b>		8	9	0\$	24	P#
Available Cost Estimate: Thorough Cost Estimate Limited Information Based on Cost of Similar Projects		200	<b>26.14</b>	2018	20 0 0 0	2007		
Unsupported								
Were cost estimates confirmed by another source? Are cost estimates based on industry standards? Will city employees be performing any portion of the work? Did you perform a cost/benefit analysis?	I by another source? dustry standards? ing any portion of the analysis?	: work?	7 7 8 7 8 8 7 8 8	% % % © C □ ⊡	Uncertain Uncertain Uncertain			
How will this project impact city operating expenditu	y operating expenditu	ires?	□Increase		☐ Decrease ☑ None			
Estimated Start Date: Estimated Completion Date:	Q8T CBT		Departmen	Department Head Signature	nature	Sie B	Periett	
			Prepared E	Prepared By/Phone Ext		Hattie Billingsley/x-3649		

Department:	Port of Milwaukee	Date Submitted:	3/28/2011
Project/Program:	City Heavy Lift Dock Improvements		3/20/2011
Prepared By:	Hattie Billingsley	Current Request:	**
Dept Head:	Eric Reinelt	6 Yr Total:	\$0
		o 11 Total,	\$2,600,000

## General Project/Program Description:

Major overhaul of the City's Heavy Lift Dock located on Jones Island. The project would entail strengthening the dock to increase load capacity and lengthening the dock for increased berthing capacity. The project would also include dreding which would allow for larger ships to dock at the CHLD.

Whenever possible, please quantify the impact of the project in either the amount column or the comment section of each area. Supporting documentation does not need to be submitted with the request but should be available upon request. Please see Capital Guidelines for detailed descriptions of each area of emphasis and additional considerations.

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Health & Safety	······································
		X		Does the project directly reduce risks to people or property?	
		X		Does the project directly promote improved health or safety?	
		X		Does the project mitigate an immediate risk?	~
		-			
⁄es	No	N/A	Amount	Regulatory Compliance	
'es	No	N/A x	Amount	Regulatory Compliance  Does the project address a legislative, regulatory or court-ordered mandate?	

		 X	Does the project	address a legislative, regulatory or court-ordered mandate?
		х		promote long-term regulatory compliance?
i		х	1	ous negative impact on the City if compliance is not achieved?
	<u> </u>	х		rays to mitigate the regulatory concern?

Comments / Other Considerations:

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Impact on Operational / Capital Budget
х				What return on investment will this project generate?
×				What is the expected payback period for this project?
×			·	Does the project minimize life-cycle costs?
	×		***************************************	Will the facility require additional personnel to operate?
	×			Will the project lead to a reduction in operating costs?
X				Will the project lead to increased productivity or service improvements?
	X			Will the facility require significant annual maintenance?
	x			Will the new facility require additional equipment or the construction of additional infrastructure no included in the project budget?
X				Is there a revenue generating opportunity? (e.g. user fees)
	X			Will the project result in a reduction or increase in energy use?
	X			Does the project involve specific energy reduction strategies or features?
	X			Will this project cause disruptions to regular city operations?
	Х			Are there other potential costs associated with this project that are not addressed above?

Comments / Other Considerations:

Project/Program: City Heavy Lift Dock Improvement/Upgrade

Whenever possible, please quantify / describe the impact of the project in either the amount column or the comment section of each area. Supporting documentation does not need to be submitted with the request but should be available upon request. Please see Capital Guidelines for detailed descriptions of each area of emphasis and additional considerations.

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Compliance with Area Plans - The Common Council has adopted Comprehensive Area Plans. CIC Guidelines document a link to those plans or the DCD website.
x				Is the project in conformance with and supportive of the goals, objectives and strategies of any applicable Comprehensive Plan, special study, survey, committee or board?
		x		Does the project increase or enhance educational opportunities for City of Milwaukee citizens?
		х		Does the project increase or enhance recreational opportunities and/or green space?
х				Will the project mitigate blight?
		х		Does the project target the quality of life of all citizens or does it target one demographic?
		x		Is one population affected positively and another negatively?
		x		Does the project preserve or improve the historical or natural heritage of the City?
x				Is the project consistent with established community character?
x				Does the project expand the range of transportation, employment, and housing choices in a fiscally responsible manner?
x				Does the project improve, mitigate or prevent degradation of environmental quality (e.g. water quality, improve or reduce pollution including noise and/or light pollution)?

Comments / Other Considerations:

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Infrastructure - Primarily recurring infrastructure and facilities preservation programs
		x		How does the request effect the pertinent replacement cycle ? Provide specifics below.
		x		Has the facility being replaced exceeded its useful life?
х				Does this project extend the useful life of an existing facility?
	х			Do maintenance costs exceed replacement costs? (See Below)
	х			Have you documented costs of unplanned or corrective maintenance related to the facility?
x				Does the project incorporate new technology that will provide enhanced service?
X				Does the project extend service for new development or redevelopment?
	X			Will this project improve the functionality or service life of other related infrastructure?

Comments / Other Considerations:

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Economic / Community Development
x				Does the project have the potential to promote economic/community development in areas where growth is desired?
x				Will the project continue to promote or enhance economic/community development in an already developed area?
х				Is the net impact of the project positive?
	x			Would an alternate location for this project provide a greater positive economic impact?
х			-	Will the project produce desirable jobs in the City?
	X			Will the project rejuvenate an area that needs assistance?
	×			Will the project promote the equitable distribution of the costs and benefits of development?

Comments / Other Considerations:

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Special Considerations
x			\$2,080,000	Is there a significant external funding source that can only be used for this project and/or which will be lost if not used immediately (e.g. proffers, grants through various federal or state initiatives, and private donations)?
	х			Are there critical timing issues associated with this project?
	x			Are there inter-jurisdictional considerations?
	x			Can you quantify the impacts of a delay in this project?

Comments / Other Considerations:

Onnited Incommunit Comment

P	Project/Program Title: Energy Efficient Initiatives/Upgrade	Requesting Department: Port of Milwaukee
Р	Proposed Dulbless E.	Department Head Olympia
A	Account No: PT180080400	Department nead Signature: /Cure!
A)	Turn of D. L. d. Sign of D. Oseidi Life 30 Y	ears Level of Need ☐ Essential ☐ Important ☐ Desired
B)	Description Infrastructure  Street Related Sewer Water Sidewalks Alleys Bridge Building  Roof Windows HVAC Electrical Restroe	Street Lighting
	☐ ADA ☐ Office Remodeling ☐ New Building ☐ Elevator  Miscellaneous Development ☐ Economic ☐ Information Systems ☐ Equipment ☐ O	rs 🗌 Garage 🔲 Mechanical
C)	Project/Program Duration  One Year □ Yes □ No  On-Going Program □ Yes □ No  Multi-Year □ Yes □ No Number of Years	
D)	Total Positions 0 Total FTEs 0.0  Position Title No. of Positions	FTEs Salaries \$ \$ \$ \$
E)	In Six Year Capital Improvement Plan Yes ☑ 2010-2015 ☑ 2011-2016 ☑ Yes, Modified	☐ New Request
F)	Project/Program Justification  This on-going program is based on the Mayor's initiative to reduce energy of believes installing aluminum coated roofs on its terminals would result in much building with an energy efficient aluminum coated roof as replacements are replaced with an aluminum coated roof.	cost with efficiency initiatives/upgrades to City facilities. The Port ore energy efficient facilities. Staff anticipates replacing every Port deemed necessary/needed. To date one terminal has had its roof
G)	Additional Comments	

Department:		Port of Milwau	Port of Milwaukee		3/28/2011	
Proje	ect/Pro	ogram:	Energy Efficie	nt Initiatives/Upgrades	Date Submitted:	0/20/2011
	ared E	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Hattie Billingsl	еу	Current Request:	st: \$(
Dept	Head	:	Eric Reinelt		6 Yr Total:	\$200,00
Gane	aral Dr	ninet/Dre	ogram Description			4200,00
This	fundin	g is used	d to replace roofs	of Port facilities as necessary with energy efficie		
Guide	elines	for detail	manon udes not	need to be submitted with the request but should of each area of emphasis and additional considera	he available upon request DI	n or eacn area. ease see Capital
Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Health & Safety		
	X			Does the project directly reduce risks to people of	or property?	
<del></del>	X	<del>                                     </del>		Does the project directly promote improved heal		
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	onsiderations:	Does the project mitigate an immediate risk?		
res	No	N/A	Amount	Regulatory Compliance		
	х			Does the project address a legislative, regulatory o	COURT Ordered mandate 2	
	х			Does the project promote long-term regulatory co	mnliance?	
	х			Will there be serious negative impact on the City i		
	х			Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory con	ocern?	
es	No [	N/A	Amount	Impact on Operational / Control D. J.		
		x	7 thouse	Impact on Operational / Capital Budget What return on investment will this project generate		
$\top$		x		What is the expected payback period for this project		
		х		Does the project minimize life-cycle costs?	( f	
	х			Will the facility require additional personnel to oper	ate?	
	х			Will the project lead to a reduction in operating cos		
	x			Will the project lead to increased productivity or se		
	X			Will the facility require significant annual maintenance		
	x			Will the new facility require additional equipment or included in the project budget?		frastructure not
+	X			Is there a revenue generating opportunity? (e.g. u		
				Will the project result in a reduction or increase in end		
_				Does the project involve specific energy reduction s		
	X			Will this project cause disruptions to regular city op		
	X	4		Are there other potential costs associated with this p	project that are not addressed abo	ve?
mmer		ther Con	siderations:	prio there other potential costs associated with this p	project that are not addressed abo	ve?

Project/Program: Energy Efficient Initiatives/Upgrades

Whenever possible, please quantify / describe the impact of the project in either the amount column or the comment section of each area. Supporting documentation does not need to be submitted with the request but should be available upon request. Please see Capital Guidelines for detailed descriptions of each area of emphasis and additional considerations.

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Compliance with Area Plans - The Common Council has adopted Comprehensive Area Plans. CIC Guidelines document a link to those plans on the DCD website.
x				is the project in conformance with and supportive of the goals, objectives and strategies of any applicable Comprehensive Plan, special study, survey, committee or board?
		х		Does the project increase or enhance educational opportunities for City of Milwaukee citizens?
		x		Does the project increase or enhance recreational opportunities and/or green space?
×				Will the project mitigate blight?
		x		Does the project target the quality of life of all citizens or does it target one demographic?
		x		Is one population affected positively and another negatively?
		х		Does the project preserve or improve the historical or natural heritage of the City?
х				Is the project consistent with established community character?
x				Does the project expand the range of transportation, employment, and housing choices in a fiscally responsible manner?
x				Does the project improve, mitigate or prevent degradation of environmental quality (e.g. water quality, improve or reduce pollution including noise and/or light pollution)?

Comments / Other Considerations:

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Infrastructure - Primarily recurring infrastructure and facilities preservation programs
		x		How does the request effect the pertinent replacement cycle? Provide specifics below.
		x		Has the facility being replaced exceeded its useful life?
		х		Does this project extend the useful life of an existing facility?
		x		Do maintenance costs exceed replacement costs? (See Below)
		x		Have you documented costs of unplanned or corrective maintenance related to the facility?
		×		Does the project incorporate new technology that will provide enhanced service?
		х		Does the project extend service for new development or redevelopment?
		×		Will this project improve the functionality or service life of other related infrastructure?

Comments / Other Considerations;

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Economic / Community Development
	x			Does the project have the potential to promote economic/community development in areas where growth is desired?
	x			Will the project continue to promote or enhance economic/community development in an already developed area?
х				Is the net Impact of the project positive?
	х			Would an alternate location for this project provide a greater positive economic impact?
	x			Will the project produce desirable jobs in the City?
	x			Will the project rejuvenate an area that needs assistance?
	X			Will the project promote the equitable distribution of the costs and benefits of development?

Comments / Other Considerations:

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Special Considerations
	×			Is there a significant external funding source that can only be used for this project and/or which will be lost if not used immediately (e.g. proffers, grants through various federal or state initiatives, and private donations)?
	X			Are there critical timing issues associated with this project?
	x			Are there inter-jurisdictional considerations?
	x			Can you quantify the impacts of a delay in this project?

Comments / Other Considerations:

	ojecu Program (Itte:	Port Security	Requesting Department:	Port of Milwaukee
Pr	epared By/Phone Ext:	PT180040100	Department Head Signature:	Time Keinelt
Ad	count No:			
A)	Department Priority  Type of Project	lew 🗆 Replacement 🗀 Repair		
	☑ C	n-Going Program		- Tarabily Defined
B)	Description Infrastructure  ☐ Street Related ☐ Sidewalks	☐ Sewer ☐ Water ☐ Alleys ☐ Bridge	☐ Street Lighting ☐ Communicatio	ons
	Building  ☐ Roof ☐ Windows	s		
			☐ Restroom ☐ Security ☐ Exterior	☐ Entire Fadlity
	☐ ADA ☐ Office Re Miscellaneous Develo	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	☐ Elevators ☐ Garage ☐ Mechanic	ai
		mation Systems	Other	
		The solution of the solution o	□ Other	
C)	Project/Program Durat	ion		
ı	One Year	☐ Yes ☐ No		
	On-Going Program	☑Yes □No		
	Multi-Year	☐Yes ☐No Number of	Years	
_, _				
D)	Total Positions 0	Total FTEs0.0	_	
	Position Title	No. of	Positions FTEs Sal	laries \$
	****			\$
L				\$
E) [	In Six Year Capital Impr	Ovement Dian		
	Yes		, Modified	
<u>_</u>		100,	, reducest	
F)	Project/Program Justific			
	measures for US Ports. Th	is is an on-going program to enact ne	economic vitality of our region by stimulating trady or and the US Coast Guard have enacted numero seded security measures and mandates here at Guard security plans and programs for the Port of	us new evolving security
	Additional Comments			
	the Port's awards. The City provide the City's match fund	match funds for these awards has be	Allwaukee 5 grants over the past 4 years. The averance Departments and to the surrounding municen 25% of the total cost of the award. These furners. Currently of the \$400,000 available in this	cipalities in conjunction with

Requesting Department:	Port of Milwaukee	θ.			l			
Project/Program Title:	Port Security				Account No:	lo: PT180040100	0	
						Special		,
Year	<b>1</b> 1	ax Levy/Borrowing	Grant & Aid	Aid	Revenue	Assessment	Enterprise	lotal Cost
Remaining Balance for 2011		\$400,000						\$400,000
2012 Budget Request	<u> </u>	\$100,000						\$100,000
2013 Projection		\$100,000						\$100,000
2014 Projection		\$100,000						\$100,000
2015 Projection	1	\$100,000	i					\$100,000
2016 Projection	<u> </u>	\$100,000						\$100,000
2017 Projection	<u> </u>	\$100,000						\$100,000
Total Six Year Cost	<b>I</b>	\$600,000		Ş.	<b>S</b>	O\$	O\$	\$600,000
Total Project Cost	<u></u>	\$1,000,000		B	O\$	<b>8</b>	0\$	\$1,000,000
	J							1
Life to Date Expenditures (Project Only)	ect Only)	O\$		<b>Q</b> \$	0\$	0\$	80	<b>%</b>
Available Cost Estimate:	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017		
Thorough Cost Estimate								
Limited Information								
Based on Cost of Similar Projects	jects 🖸	5	5	D	5	<b></b>		
Unsupported								
Were cost estimates confirmed by another source? Are cost estimates based on industry standards? Will city employees be performing any portion of the work? Did you perform a cost/benefit analysis?	by another source'dustry standards? ing any portion of thanalysis?	? ie work?		8 8 8 8 0 0 0	Uncertain Uncertain Uncertain Uncertain			
How will this project impact city operating expenditures?	/ operating expendi	tures?	□ Increase		☐ Decrease ☑ None			
Estimated Start Date:	TBD							
Estimated Completion Date:	TBC		Department Head Signature	t Head Sig	nature	The Rome	with the	
			Prenared Ru/Phone Ext	Y Phone F		Haftie Billingslev/x-3649		

Department:	Port of Milwaukee	Date Submitted:	3/28/2011
Project/Program:	Port Security		0.20.2011
Prepared By:	Hattie Billingsley	Current Request:	\$100,000
Dept Head:	Eric Reinelt	6 Yr Total:	\$600,000
			Ψ000,000

### General Project/Program Description:

These funds will be used to purchase Port security upgrades and enhancements as mandated by the federal Department of Homeland Security. In addition they will be the City match funds for any Port security grants awarded during the requested years. Typically the federal grant is 75% of the total with the City required to provide 25% in match funds.

Whenever possible, please quantify the impact of the project in either the amount column or the comment section of each area. Supporting documentation does not need to be submitted with the request but should be available upon request. Please see Capital Guidelines for detailed descriptions of each area of emphasis and additional considerations.

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Health & Safety
X				Does the project directly reduce risks to people or property?
X				Does the project directly promote improved health or safety?
	X			Does the project mitigate an immediate risk?
I Comm	ents /	Other	Considerations:	

### omments / Other Considerations:

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Regulatory Compliance
×				Does the project address a legislative, regulatory or court-ordered mandate?
X				Does the project promote long-term regulatory compliance?
	X			Will there be serious negative impact on the City if compliance is not achieved?
	Х			Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory concern?

### Comments / Other Considerations:

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Impact on Operational / Capital Budget
		x		What return on investment will this project generate?
		х		What is the expected payback period for this project?
		X		Does the project minimize life-cycle costs?
	х			Will the facility require additional personnel to operate?
	x			Will the project lead to a reduction in operating costs?
		х		Will the project lead to increased productivity or service improvements?
	х			Will the facility require significant annual maintenance?
	x			Will the new facility require additional equipment or the construction of additional infrastructure not included in the project budget?
$\perp$	×			Is there a revenue generating opportunity? (e.g. user fees)
	х			Will the project result in a reduction or increase in energy use?
	x			Does the project involve specific energy reduction strategies or features?
$\bot$	X			Will this project cause disruptions to regular city operations?
	x			Are there other potential costs associated with this project that are not addressed above?

Comments / Other Considerations:

Project/Program: Port Security

Whenever possible, please quantify / describe the impact of the project in either the amount column or the comment section of each area. Supporting documentation does not need to be submitted with the request but should be available upon request. Please see Capital Guidelines for detailed descriptions of each area of emphasis and additional considerations.

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Compliance with Area Plans - The Common Council has adopted Comprehensive Area Plans. CIC Guidelines document a link to those plans on the DCD website.
x				Is the project in conformance with and supportive of the goals, objectives and strategies of any applicable Comprehensive Plan, special study, survey, committee or board?
		х		Does the project increase or enhance educational opportunities for City of Milwaukee citizens?
		х		Does the project increase or enhance recreational opportunities and/or green space?
х				Will the project mitigate blight?
		х		Does the project target the quality of life of all citizens or does it target one demographic?
		х		Is one population affected positively and another negatively?
		х		Does the project preserve or improve the historical or natural heritage of the City?
х				is the project consistent with established community character?
x				Does the project expand the range of transportation, employment, and housing choices in a fiscally responsible manner?
x				Does the project improve, mitigate or prevent degradation of environmental quality (e.g. water quality, improve or reduce pollution including noise and/or light pollution)?

Comments / Other Considerations:

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Infrastructure - Primarily recurring infrastructure and facilities preservation programs
		х		How does the request effect the pertinent replacement cycle? Provide specifics below.
		х		Has the facility being replaced exceeded its useful life?
		х		Does this project extend the useful life of an existing facility?
		x		Do maintenance costs exceed replacement costs? (See Below)
		x		Have you documented costs of unplanned or corrective maintenance related to the facility?
	x			Does the project incorporate new technology that will provide enhanced service?
		x		Does the project extend service for new development or redevelopment?
		х		Will this project improve the functionality or service life of other related infrastructure?

Comments / Other Considerations:

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Economic / Community Development
		x		Does the project have the potential to promote economic/community development in areas where growth is desired?
		x		Will the project continue to promote or enhance economic/community development in an already developed area?
x				Is the net Impact of the project positive?
		x		Would an alternate location for this project provide a greater positive economic impact?
		x		Will the project produce desirable jobs in the City?
		x		Will the project rejuvenate an area that needs assistance?
		x		Will the project promote the equitable distribution of the costs and benefits of development?

Comments / Other Considerations:

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Special Considerations
×				Is there a significant external funding source that can only be used for this project and/or which will be lost if not used immediately (e.g. proffers, grants through various federal or state initiatives, and private donations)?
	X			Are there critical timing issues associated with this project?
X				Are there inter-jurisdictional considerations?
	×			Can you quantify the impacts of a delay in this project?

Comments / Other Considerations:

Outstand the same of the same

Pı	roject/Program Title:	Secured Ferry Terminal Parking Facilities Requesting Department: Port of Milwaukee				
Pi	repared By/Phone Ext:	Hattie Billingsley/x-3649 Department Head Signature:			in Remelt	
Ad	count No:	PT180050100			<u></u>	70000
A)	Type of Project	New Replacement			Need ☑ Essential	☐ Important ☐ Desired
	☑	On-Going Program				
В)	Description Infrastructure ☐ Street Related ☐ Sidewalks Building			] Street Lighting ] Environmental	☐ Communications ☑ Port	☐ Recreation ☐ Parking
	☐ Roof ☐ Window	rs □HVAC □Ele	ectrical 🗆 Restr	oom 🗆 Security	☐ Exterior ☐	☐ Entire Facility
	□ADA □ Office R		w Building 🗆 Eleva	tors 🗆 Garage	☐ Mechanical	,
	Miscellaneous Develo					
[		ormation Systems	Equipment	Other		
C)	Project/Program Dura	tion				
	One Year	☐ Yes ☐ No				
	On-Going Program	☑ Yes ☐ No				
	Multi-Year	☐ Yes ☐ No	Number of Years			
D) (	Total Positions	Total FTEs	0.0			
	Position Title					
			No. of Position	s FTE	s Salaries	
			-	<del></del>		\$ \$
E) [	In Six Year Capital Imp	rovement Dis-				
-/	Yes 2010-2015	□ 2011-2016	☑ Yes, Modifie	d □ New Requ		
_				i Livew Requ	Jest	
F)	Project/Program Justifi					
	The mission of the Port of The federal Department of provide additional improve as well as mandatory, to co	ments of the long term par	rking facilities in curr	nu regulations regard	by stimulating trade, bi ing security at US Ports operations. Providing	usiness and employment.  3. This project would secure facilities is vital,
G)	Additional Comments					
	лачиона сонтепт					
L						

Requesting Department:	Port of Milwaukee	<b>L</b>							
	Secured Ferry Terminal Parking Facilities	nal Parking Facil	ities		Account No:	ţo:			١
i						Special	•		
Year	Tax	Tax Levy/Borrowing	Grant & Ald	Ald	Revenue	Assessment	Enterprise	Total Cost	Γ
Remaining Balance for 2011								9\$	٦
2012 Budget Request								₩.	<b>0</b> \$
2013 Projection		\$100,000						\$100,000	0
2014 Projection								₩	g,
2015 Projection								Ψ	<b>8</b>
2016 Projection								₩.	S ₃
2017 Projection								\$	g,
Total Six Year Cost		\$100,000		8	\$0		0\$	\$100,000	ဥ
Total Project Cost		\$100,000		S#	0\$		0\$	\$100,000	8
									۱ ا
Life to Date Expenditures (Project Only)	t Only)	\$0		\$0	O\$		0\$ 0\$		g _{\$}
Available Cost Estimate:	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017			
Thorough Cost Estimate									
Limited Information									
Based on Cost of Similar Projects	ds	v							
Unsupported									
Were cost estimates confirmed by another source? Are cost estimates based on industry standards? Will city employees be performing any portion of the work? Did you perform a cost/benefit analysis?	y another source? Listry standards? g any portion of the wialysis?	ork?	□ □ □ □ × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × ×	8 8 8 8 © © 🗆 ©	☐ Uncertain ☐ Uncertain ☐ Uncertain				
How will this project impact city operating expenditures?	perating expenditure	s?	□Increase		☐ Decrease   ≦ None				
Estimated Start Date: Estimated Completion Date:	TBD TBD					(			
,			Department Head Signature	ıt Head Sig	nature	Sie V	emelt		
			Prepared By/Phone Ext	3y/Phone E	į	Hattie Billingsley		•	

Proie					Date Submitted:	3/28/2011
- 10,0	ect/Pro	ogram:	Secured Ferry	[,] Terminal Parking		······································
Prep	ared E	Зу:	Hatttie Billings	ley	Current Request:	\$
Dept	Head	:	Eric Reinelt		6 Yr Total:	\$100,00
Gene	aral Dr	oiact/Pro	gram Description			Ψ100,00
OCITE	<u>siai i i</u>	OJECVETO	gram Description	<u>n:</u>		
Wher	never	possible,	please quantify	y the impact of the project in either the amount colu	ımn or the comment sectio	n of each area
	~	accurrio,	nanon aces not i	need to be submitted with the request but should be of each area of emphasis and additional consideration	available unan	ease see Capital
			ou doubliphong c	or each area of emphasis and additional consideration	ins.	
Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Health & Safety		
	×			Does the project directly reduce risks to people or pr	operty?	
	X			Does the project directly promote improved health of		
	×			Does the project mitigate an immediate risk?		
omn	nents /	Other C	onsiderations:			
'es l	No	N/A	Amount			
	110	11//	Amount	Regulatory Compliance		
x			<del></del>	Does the project address a legislative, regulatory or co	ourt-ordered mandate?	
x				Does the project promote long-term regulatory comp	liance?	
		X		Does the project promote long-term regulatory composition.  Will there be serious negative impact on the City if co	liance? mpliance is not achieved?	
x x	ents /	х	noidorationa	Does the project promote long-term regulatory comp	liance? mpliance is not achieved?	
X X	ents /	х	nsiderations:	Does the project promote long-term regulatory composition.  Will there be serious negative impact on the City if co	liance? mpliance is not achieved?	
x x	ents /	х	nsiderations:	Does the project promote long-term regulatory composition.  Will there be serious negative impact on the City if co	liance? mpliance is not achieved?	
x x	ents /	х	nsiderations:	Does the project promote long-term regulatory composition.  Will there be serious negative impact on the City if co	liance? mpliance is not achieved?	
x		x Other Co		Does the project promote long-term regulatory comp Will there be serious negative impact on the City if co Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory concer	liance? mpliance is not achieved?	
x x omm	ents /	х	nsiderations: Amount	Does the project promote long-term regulatory comp Will there be serious negative impact on the City if co Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory concer  Impact on Operational / Capital Budget	liance? mpliance is not achieved?	
x x omm		x Other Co		Does the project promote long-term regulatory comp Will there be serious negative impact on the City if co Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory concer  Impact on Operational / Capital Budget What return on investment will this project generate?	liance? mpliance is not achieved?	
x x emme	No	x Other Co		Does the project promote long-term regulatory comp Will there be serious negative impact on the City if co Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory concer  Impact on Operational / Capital Budget What return on investment will this project generate? What is the expected payback period for this project?	liance? mpliance is not achieved?	
x x omm	No x	x Other Co		Does the project promote long-term regulatory comp Will there be serious negative impact on the City if co Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory concer  Impact on Operational / Capital Budget What return on investment will this project generate? What is the expected payback period for this project? Does the project minimize life-cycle costs?	llance? impliance is not achieved? in?	
x x emme	No x x	x Other Co		Does the project promote long-term regulatory comp Will there be serious negative impact on the City if co Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory concer  Impact on Operational / Capital Budget What return on investment will this project generate? What is the expected payback period for this project? Does the project minimize life-cycle costs? Will the facility require additional personnel to operate?	llance? impliance is not achieved? in?	
x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x	No x	x Other Co		Does the project promote long-term regulatory comp Will there be serious negative impact on the City if co Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory concer  Impact on Operational / Capital Budget What return on investment will this project generate? What is the expected payback period for this project? Does the project minimize life-cycle costs?	llance? impliance is not achieved? in?	
x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x	No x x	x Other Co		Does the project promote long-term regulatory comp Will there be serious negative impact on the City if co Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory concer  Impact on Operational / Capital Budget What return on investment will this project generate? What is the expected payback period for this project? Does the project minimize life-cycle costs? Will the facility require additional personnel to operate? Will the project lead to a reduction in operating costs?	llance? impliance is not achieved? in?	
x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x	No x x	x Other Co		Does the project promote long-term regulatory comp Will there be serious negative impact on the City if co Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory concer  Impact on Operational / Capital Budget What return on investment will this project generate? What is the expected payback period for this project? Does the project minimize life-cycle costs? Will the facility require additional personnel to operate?	llance? impliance is not achieved? in?	
z x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x	No x x x x	x Other Co		Does the project promote long-term regulatory comp Will there be serious negative impact on the City if co Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory concer  Impact on Operational / Capital Budget What return on investment will this project generate? What is the expected payback period for this project? Does the project minimize life-cycle costs? Will the facility require additional personnel to operate? Will the project lead to a reduction in operating costs? Will the project lead to increased productivity or service Will the facility require significant annual maintenance? Will the new facility require additional equipment or the	Ilance? Impliance is not achieved? Impliance is not achieved in achieved in achieved in achieved is not achieved in achiev	rastructure not
es x	No x x x x x x	x Other Co		Does the project promote long-term regulatory comp Will there be serious negative impact on the City if co Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory concer  Impact on Operational / Capital Budget What return on investment will this project generate? What is the expected payback period for this project? Does the project minimize life-cycle costs? Will the facility require additional personnel to operate? Will the project lead to a reduction in operating costs? Will the project lead to increased productivity or service Will the facility require significant annual maintenance? Will the new facility require additional equipment or the included in the project budget?	Ilance? Impliance is not achieved? Impliance? Impliance is not achieved? Impliance is not achieved. Impliance is not achieved	rastructure not
es x	No x x x x x x	x Other Co		Does the project promote long-term regulatory comp Will there be serious negative impact on the City if co Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory concer  Impact on Operational / Capital Budget What return on investment will this project generate? What is the expected payback period for this project? Does the project minimize life-cycle costs? Will the facility require additional personnel to operate? Will the project lead to a reduction in operating costs? Will the project lead to increased productivity or service Will the facility require significant annual maintenance? Will the new facility require additional equipment or the included in the project budget?  Is there a revenue generating opportunity? (e.g. user	Ilance? Impliance is not achieved? Impliance is not achieved in the achieved in the achieved in the achieved is not achieved in the achieved in	rastructure not
es	No x x x x x x x	x Other Co		Does the project promote long-term regulatory comp Will there be serious negative impact on the City if co Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory concer  Impact on Operational / Capital Budget What return on investment will this project generate? What is the expected payback period for this project? Does the project minimize life-cycle costs? Will the facility require additional personnel to operate? Will the project lead to a reduction in operating costs? Will the project lead to increased productivity or service Will the facility require significant annual maintenance? Will the new facility require additional equipment or the included in the project budget? Is there a revenue generating opportunity? (e.g. user	Ilance? Impliance is not achieved? Impliance? Impliance? Impliance? Impliance? Impliance? Impliance? Impliance?	rastructure not
es	No x x x x x x x x x x	x Other Co		Does the project promote long-term regulatory comp Will there be serious negative impact on the City if co Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory concer  Impact on Operational / Capital Budget What return on investment will this project generate? What is the expected payback period for this project? Does the project minimize life-cycle costs? Will the facility require additional personnel to operate? Will the project lead to a reduction in operating costs? Will the project lead to increased productivity or service Will the facility require significant annual maintenance? Will the new facility require additional equipment or the included in the project budget? Is there a revenue generating opportunity? (e.g. user Will the project result in a reduction or increase in energy Does the project involve specific energy reduction strate	Ilance? Impliance is not achieved? Impliance? Impliance is not achieved? Impliance is not achieved. Impliance	rastructure not
es x x x	No x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x	x Other Co		Does the project promote long-term regulatory comp Will there be serious negative impact on the City if co Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory concer  Impact on Operational / Capital Budget What return on investment will this project generate? What is the expected payback period for this project? Does the project minimize life-cycle costs? Will the facility require additional personnel to operate? Will the project lead to a reduction in operating costs? Will the project lead to increased productivity or service Will the facility require significant annual maintenance? Will the new facility require additional equipment or the included in the project budget? Is there a revenue generating opportunity? (e.g. user Will the project result in a reduction or increase in energy Does the project cause disruptions to regular city opera	Ilance? Impliance is not achieved? Impliance? Impliance is not achieved? Impliance is not achieved. Im	
x x x x x x x x x	No x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x	N/A		Does the project promote long-term regulatory comp Will there be serious negative impact on the City if co Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory concer  Impact on Operational / Capital Budget What return on investment will this project generate? What is the expected payback period for this project? Does the project minimize life-cycle costs? Will the facility require additional personnel to operate? Will the project lead to a reduction in operating costs? Will the project lead to increased productivity or service Will the facility require significant annual maintenance? Will the new facility require additional equipment or the included in the project budget? Is there a revenue generating opportunity? (e.g. user Will the project result in a reduction or increase in energy Does the project involve specific energy reduction strate	Ilance? Impliance is not achieved? Impliance? Impliance is not achieved? Impliance is not achieved. Im	

Project/Program: Secured Ferry Terminal Parking

Whenever possible, please quantify / describe the impact of the project in either the amount column or the comment section of each area. Supporting documentation does not need to be submitted with the request but should be available upon request. Please see Capital Guidelines for detailed descriptions of each area of emphasis and additional considerations.

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Compliance with Area Plans - The Common Council has adopted Comprehensive Area Plans. CIC Guidelines document a link to those plans of the DCD website.
x				Is the project in conformance with and supportive of the goals, objectives and strategies of any applicable Comprehensive Plan, special study, survey, committee or board?
		x		Does the project increase or enhance educational opportunities for City of Milwaukee citizens?
		х		Does the project increase or enhance recreational opportunities and/or green space?
x				Will the project mitigate blight?
		x		Does the project target the quality of life of all citizens or does it target one demographic?
		х		Is one population affected positively and another negatively?
		х		Does the project preserve or improve the historical or natural heritage of the City?
x				Is the project consistent with established community character?
x				Does the project expand the range of transportation, employment, and housing choices in a fiscally responsible manner?
х				Does the project improve, mitigate or prevent degradation of environmental quality (e.g. water quality, improve or reduce pollution including noise and/or light pollution)?

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Infrastructure - Primarily recurring infrastructure and facilities preservation programs
		x		How does the request effect the pertinent replacement cycle ? Provide specifics below.
	х			Has the facility being replaced exceeded its useful life?
x				Does this project extend the useful life of an existing facility?
	×			Do maintenance costs exceed replacement costs? (See Below)
		х		Have you documented costs of unplanned or corrective maintenance related to the facility?
	х			Does the project incorporate new technology that will provide enhanced service?
		x		Does the project extend service for new development or redevelopment?
x		$\Gamma^{-}\Gamma^{-}$		Will this project improve the functionality or service life of other related infrastructure?

Comments / Other Considerations:

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Economic / Community Development
x				Does the project have the potential to promote economic/community development in areas where growth is desired?
x				Will the project continue to promote or enhance economic/community development in an already developed area?
х				Is the net Impact of the project positive?
	x			Would an alternate location for this project provide a greater positive economic impact?
x				Will the project produce desirable jobs in the City?
	x			Will the project rejuvenate an area that needs assistance?
	×			Will the project promote the equitable distribution of the costs and benefits of development?

Comments / Other Considerations:

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Special Considerations
	x			Is there a significant external funding source that can only be used for this project and/or which will be lost if not used immediately (e.g. proffers, grants through various federal or state initiatives, and private donations)?
	x			Are there critical timing issues associated with this project?
	х			Are there inter-jurisdictional considerations?
	X			Can you quantify the impacts of a delay in this project?

Comments / Other Considerations:

2042 Caultal Immunimmant Bancant Bancant

Pr	'oject/Program Title:	Cargo Hanfling E	Equipment	Requ	uesting Depa	ırtment:	Port of Milwa	ıukee
Pr	epared By/Phone Ext:	Hattie Billingsley	/x-3649	Depa	irtment Head	 I Signature:	Eric	
Ac	count No:	PT180050200				. Oignatare	<u> </u>	remen
<b>A)</b>	Type of Project ☑N	17 of 18 New □ Replacem On-Golng Program		30 Years		Need ☑ Essenti	•	portant Desired
B)	Description Infrastructure Street Related Sidewalks Building Roof Windows		☐ Water ☐ Bridge ☐ Electrical	☐ Street ☐ Enviro ☐ Restroom	nmental  Security	☐ Communication ☐ Port ☐ Exterior	☐ Pa	_
	Miscellaneous Develo		☐ New Building	LI Elevators	☐ Garage	☐ Mechanic	al	
		rmation Systems	☑ Equipment	☐ Other				
C)	Project/Program Durat One Year On-Going Program Multi-Year	tion  ☐ Yes ☐ No  ☑ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ No	•					
느			Mulliber o	rears				
D)	Total Positions 0	Total FT	<b>Es</b> 0.0					
	Position Title		No. of	f Positions 	FTE:	Sal	aries \$ \$ \$	
E)	in Six Year Capital Impr Yes ☑ 2010-2015	rovement Plan ☐ 2011-2016	☑ Yes	s, Modified	□ New Requ	est		
F)	Project/Program Justific	cation						
	The mission of the Port of Milwaukee mus serve Port customers. The cranes which the Port rents cranes and/or to purchase a	Milwaukee is to enh st ensure a minimur Port's cargo strear s to tenants and cus	ns are an importa	area or cargo na	inaling equipm	ent to ensure a st	able uninterru	pted ability to
, <u> </u>	AddidI O							
G) A	Additional Comments		•					

Requesting Department:	Port of Milwaukee	<b>R</b>			ļ				
Project/Program Title:	Cargo Handling	Equipment Rehab/Upgrade	ograde		Account No:	o: PT180050200	00		
;		. (			ŗ . '	Special			
Year	<b>-</b> L	Tax Levy/Borrowing	Grant & Aid	_	Revenue	Assessment	Enterprise	Total Cost	
Nerrian in Balance for 2011		4Z63,U17		1				4203,017	
2012 Budget Request								<b>%</b>	
2013 Projection						,		<b>S</b>	
2014 Projection	<u> </u>	\$500,000				The second secon		\$500,000	
2015 Projection	<u> </u>							0\$	
2016 Projection	<b>1</b>							0\$	
2017 Projection	1							0\$	
Total Six Year Cost		\$500,000		8	0\$	\$0	0\$	\$500,000	
Total Project Cost	LJ	\$783,017		<b>Q</b> \$	<b>%</b>	0\$	\$0	\$783,017	
£	<u>.</u>							•	
Life to Date Expenditures (Project Only)	ct Only)	\$0		<b>₽</b>	90\$	<b>Q</b>	\$0	0\$	
Available Cost Estimate:	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017			
Thorough Cost Estimate									
Limited Information			5						
Based on Cost of Similar Projects	ects								
Unsupported								,	
Were cost estimates confirmed by another source? Are cost estimates based on industry standards? Will city employees be performing any portion of the work? Did you perform a cost/benefit analysis?	by another source lustry standards? ng any portion of th inalysis?	? ne work?	2	S S S S S C C C C C	☐ Uncertain ☐ Uncertain ☐ Uncertain ☐ Uncertain				
How will this project impact city operating expenditures?	operating expendi	tures?	□ Increase	☐ Decrease	ease 🗹 None				
Estimated Start Date:	TBD			r					
Esumated Completion Date:	OBI .		Department Head Signature	ead Sign≀	ature	Ein Plenie	Remett		
			Prepared By/Phone Ext	hone Ext		Hattie Billingslev/x-3649			

Department:	Port of Milwaukee	Date Submitted:	3/28/2011
Project/Program:	Cargo Handling Equipment Rehab/Upgrade	Date outstitted.	3/20/2011
Prepared By:	Hattie Billingsley	Current Request:	**
Dept Head:	Eric Reinelt	6 Yr Total:	\$0
		o ir rotai:	\$500,000

### General Project/Program Description:

Funding would be used to do extensive repairs and/or major overhauls for the Port's cranes andor other cargo handling equipment. Additionally, this funding would be used for replacement of equipment which is no longer serviceable and to provide additional cargo handling equipment as needs are specifically identified.

Whenever possible, please quantify the impact of the project in either the amount column or the comment section of each area. Supporting documentation does not need to be submitted with the request but should be available upon request. Please see Capital Guidelines for detailed descriptions of each area of emphasis and additional considerations.

X Does the project directly reduce risks to people or property?	
Does the project directly promote improved health or safety?	
Does the project mitigate an immediate risk?  Omments / Other Considerations:	

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Regulatory Compliance
ļ		х		Does the project address a legislative, regulatory or court-ordered mandate?
		X		Does the project promote long-term regulatory compliance?
		X		Will there be serious negative impact on the City if compliance is not achieved?
		x		Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory concern?
Comm	onte /	Othor	Considerations	

### Comments / Other Considerations:

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Impact on Operational / Capital Budget
X				What return on investment will this project generate?
Х				What is the expected payback period for this project?
x				Does the project minimize life-cycle costs?
	х			Will the facility require additional personnel to operate?
X				Will the project lead to a reduction in operating costs?
X				Will the project lead to increased productivity or service improvements?
	X			Will the facility require significant annual maintenance?
	x			Will the new facility require additional equipment or the construction of additional infrastructure not included in the project budget?
X				Is there a revenue generating opportunity? (e.g. user fees)
		x		Will the project result in a reduction or increase in energy use?
		х		Does the project involve specific energy reduction strategies or features?
	X			Will this project cause disruptions to regular city operations?
	x			Are there other potential costs associated with this project that are not addressed above?

Comments / Other Considerations:

Project/Program: Cargo Handling Equipment Rehab/Upgrade

Whenever possible, please quantify / describe the impact of the project in either the amount column or the comment section of each area. Supporting documentation does not need to be submitted with the request but should be available upon request. Please see Capital Guidelines for detailed descriptions of each area of emphasis and additional considerations.

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Compliance with Area Plans - The Common Council has adopted Comprehensive Area Plans. CIC Guidelines document a link to those plans on the DCD website.
x				Is the project in conformance with and supportive of the goals, objectives and strategies of any applicable Comprehensive Plan, special study, survey, committee or board?
		x		Does the project increase or enhance educational opportunities for City of Milwaukee citizens?
		x		Does the project increase or enhance recreational opportunities and/or green space?
x				Will the project mitigate blight?
		×		Does the project target the quality of life of all citizens or does it target one demographic?
		×		Is one population affected positively and another negatively?
		x		Does the project preserve or improve the historical or natural heritage of the City?
х				Is the project consistent with established community character?
x				Does the project expand the range of transportation, employment, and housing choices in a fiscally responsible manner?
x				Does the project improve, mitigate or prevent degradation of environmental quality (e.g. water quality, improve or reduce pollution including noise and/or light pollution)?

Comments / Other Considerations:

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Infrastructure - Primarily recurring infrastructure and facilities preservation programs
		×		How does the request effect the pertinent replacement cycle? Provide specifics below.
		х		Has the facility being replaced exceeded its useful life?
		x		Does this project extend the useful life of an existing facility?
		х		Do maintenance costs exceed replacement costs? (See Below)
		x		Have you documented costs of unplanned or corrective maintenance related to the facility?
		х		Does the project incorporate new technology that will provide enhanced service?
		x		Does the project extend service for new development or redevelopment?
		x		Will this project improve the functionality or service life of other related infrastructure?

Comments / Other Considerations:

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Economic / Community Development
x				Does the project have the potential to promote economic/community development in areas where growth is desired?
x				Will the project continue to promote or enhance economic/community development in an already developed area?
X				Is the net impact of the project positive?
		x		Would an alternate location for this project provide a greater positive economic impact?
X				Will the project produce desirable jobs in the City?
-	x			Will the project rejuvenate an area that needs assistance?
	х			Will the project promote the equitable distribution of the costs and benefits of development?

Comments / Other Considerations:

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Special Considerations
	×			Is there a significant external funding source that can only be used for this project and/or which will be lost if not used immediately (e.g. proffers, grants through various federal or state initiatives, and private donations)?
	X			Are there critical timing issues associated with this project?
	х			Are there inter-jurisdictional considerations?
	х			Can you quantify the impacts of a delay in this project?

Comments / Other Considerations:

ANAL CARRENT IMMERICAN PROPERTY

	ojecuriogram mie:	Transload Term	inal	Requ	lesting Depar	tment: P	ort of Milwaukee	
Pr	epared By/Phone Ext:	Hattie Billingsley	y/x-3649	Depa	rtment Head	Signature:	Ein V	· . 1+
Ac	count No:						and h	merc
A)	Department Priority  Type of Project ☑ N			30 Years		leed	☑ Important	☐ Desired
		On-Going Program	·		g. a.i., 00	CPC LI Fully Defil	ned Partially D	efined
B)	Description Infrastructure  Street Related Sidewalks Bullding	□ Sewer □ Alleys	☐ Water ☐ Bridge	☐ Street ☐ Enviro		☐ Communications☐ Port	Recreation ☐ Parking	
	☐ Roof ☐ Window	s  HVAC	☐ Electrical	Restroom	П с у	<b>-</b>		
	□ ADA □ Office Ro				☐ Security	☐ Exterior	☑ Entire Facility	
1	Miscellaneous Develo		☐ New Building	☐ Elevators	☐ Garage	☐ Mechanical		
		mation Systems	☐ Equipment	☐ Other				
				- Louie				
C)	Project/Program Dura	tion						
	One Year	☐Yes ☐No	0					
	On-Going Program	☐ Yes ☐ No	0					
	Multi-Year	☑Yes ☐ No	Number o	of Years	3			
							***	
D)	Total Positions 0	Total F1	TE <b>s</b> 0.0	<del></del>				
	Position Title		No. of	f Positions	FTEs	Salar	es \$	
-	***					Ouldi	\$	
L							\$	
E) [	In Six Year Capital Impi	rovement Disc						
	Yes □ 2010-2015	2011-2016	Пус	n NamuliC - d	C7			
느	1000 0010	2011-2016		s, Modified	☑ New Reque	st		
F)	Project/Program Justific	cation						
	The mission of the Port of I It is vital to this mission tha market trends indicate that development and allow the	a transload facility	for intermandal tr	anenortation habi	cate the various	stimulating trade, i modes in today's nd water (ferry/barg	business and emplo marketplace. Grov te) will enhance the	oyment. ving Port's
$\sim$	A							
G) /	Additional Comments						1	

Requesting Department:	Port of Milwaukee	41							
Project/Program Title:	Transload Terminal	al			Account No:	No:			
, ca/	ŕ			7.7		Special			
Remaining Balance for 2011	<u> </u>	Part Lavy/Doi 1041119	Olail & Ail	- N	Vevenue	Assessment	n Enterprise	-	l otal Cost
2012 Budget Request								-	8 8
2013 Projection								-	8
2014 Projection	<u> </u>	\$350,000	\$1,	\$1,400,000				<u> </u>	\$1,750,000
2015 Projection								-	8
2016 Projection	<u> </u>							-	0\$
2017 Projection								<u> </u>	<b>\$</b>
Total Six Year Cost		\$350,000	\$1,	\$1,400,000	0\$		0\$	95	\$1,750,000
Total Project Cost	- I	\$350,000	\$1,	\$1,400,000	0\$		80	<b>₽</b>	\$1,750,000
	ļ								1
Life to Date Expenditures (Project Only)	t Only)	0\$		0\$	0\$		0\$	S\$	\$0
Available Cost Estimate: Thorough Cost Estimate Limited Information Based on Cost of Similar Projects Unsupported	2012 Cs C	2013	<b>2</b> 0 0 0 0	2015	2016	7,0000			
Were cost estimates confirmed by another source? Are cost estimates based on industry standards? Will city employees be performing any portion of the work? Did you perform a cost/benefit analysis?	y another source? Istry standards? g any portion of the Ialysis?	, work?	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	S S S S C C C C	☐ Uncertain ☐ Uncertain ☐ Uncertain				
How will this project impact city operating expenditures?	perating expenditu	res?	□ Increase		□ Decrease □ None				
Estimated Start Date: Estimated Completion Date:	TBD TBD		Donatmont Load Clansters	YOU TOOK	\$	5.	7		
			Department near Signature Prepared By/Phone Ext	it riedu olig X/Phone E	l	Hattie Billingslev/x-3649	James C		

Projec				Date Submitted:	3/28/2011
			Transload Faci	ility	
Prepar			Hattie Billingsle	ey Current Request:	\$
Dept ⊦	lead		Eric Reinelt	6 Yr Total:	\$1,750,00
Genera	al Pr	oiect/Pro	gram Description		<del>+ 1,1 00,00</del>
9011011	<u> </u>	ojectr rot	gram Description	<u>ı.</u>	
		400411,01,	tation does not i	y the impact of the project in either the amount column or the comment section need to be submitted with the request but should be available upon request. Pla of each area of emphasis and additional considerations.	n of each area. ease see Capital
Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Health & Safety	
	Х			Does the project directly reduce risks to people or property?	
	х			Does the project directly promote improved health or safety?	
	х			Does the project mitigate an immediate risk?	
omme	ents /	Other Co	onsiderations:		
es I	No	N/A	Amount	Regulatory Compliance	
			,		
	x			Does the project address a laright	
	x x			Does the project address a legislative, regulatory or court-ordered mandate?	
				Does the project promote long-term regulatory compliance?	
	×			Does the project promote long-term regulatory compliance?  Will there be serious negative impact on the City if compliance is not achieved?	
<u>i</u>	x x x	Other Cor	nsiderations:	Does the project promote long-term regulatory compliance?	
	x x x	Other Cor	nsiderations:	Does the project promote long-term regulatory compliance?  Will there be serious negative impact on the City if compliance is not achieved?	
ommer	x x x nts / 0			Does the project promote long-term regulatory compliance?  Will there be serious negative impact on the City if compliance is not achieved?  Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory concern?	
es N	x x x nts / 0	Other Cor	nsiderations: Amount	Does the project promote long-term regulatory compliance?  Will there be serious negative impact on the City if compliance is not achieved?  Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory concern?  Impact on Operational / Capital Budget	
es N	x x x nts / 0			Does the project promote long-term regulatory compliance?  Will there be serious negative impact on the City if compliance is not achieved?  Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory concern?  Impact on Operational / Capital Budget  What return on investment will this project generate?	
es N	x x x nts / c			Does the project promote long-term regulatory compliance?  Will there be serious negative impact on the City if compliance is not achieved?  Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory concern?  Impact on Operational / Capital Budget  What return on investment will this project generate?  What is the expected payback period for this project?	
es N	x x x x nts / c			Does the project promote long-term regulatory compliance?  Will there be serious negative impact on the City if compliance is not achieved?  Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory concern?  Impact on Operational / Capital Budget  What return on investment will this project generate?  What is the expected payback period for this project?  Does the project minimize life-cycle costs?	
es N	x x x x nts / 0			Does the project promote long-term regulatory compliance?  Will there be serious negative impact on the City if compliance is not achieved?  Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory concern?  Impact on Operational / Capital Budget  What return on investment will this project generate?  What is the expected payback period for this project?  Does the project minimize life-cycle costs?  Will the facility require additional personnel to operate?	
es N	x x x x nts / c			Does the project promote long-term regulatory compliance?  Will there be serious negative impact on the City if compliance is not achieved?  Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory concern?  Impact on Operational / Capital Budget  What return on investment will this project generate?  What is the expected payback period for this project?  Does the project minimize life-cycle costs?  Will the facility require additional personnel to operate?  Will the project lead to a reduction in operating costs?	
es N x x	x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x			Does the project promote long-term regulatory compliance?  Will there be serious negative impact on the City if compliance is not achieved?  Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory concern?  Impact on Operational / Capital Budget  What return on investment will this project generate?  What is the expected payback period for this project?  Does the project minimize life-cycle costs?  Will the facility require additional personnel to operate?  Will the project lead to a reduction in operating costs?  Will the project lead to increased productivity or service improvements?	
es N x x	x x x x nts / 0			Does the project promote long-term regulatory compliance?  Will there be serious negative impact on the City if compliance is not achieved?  Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory concern?  Impact on Operational / Capital Budget  What return on investment will this project generate?  What is the expected payback period for this project?  Does the project minimize life-cycle costs?  Will the facility require additional personnel to operate?  Will the project lead to a reduction in operating costs?  Will the project lead to increased productivity or service improvements?  Will the facility require significant annual maintenance?	
es N x x	x x x nts / c			Does the project promote long-term regulatory compliance?  Will there be serious negative impact on the City if compliance is not achieved?  Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory concern?  Impact on Operational / Capital Budget  What return on investment will this project generate?  What is the expected payback period for this project?  Does the project minimize life-cycle costs?  Will the facility require additional personnel to operate?  Will the project lead to a reduction in operating costs?  Will the project lead to increased productivity or service improvements?  Will the facility require significant annual maintenance?  Will the new facility require additional equipment or the construction of additional infe	rastructure not
es N x x	x x x nts / c			Does the project promote long-term regulatory compliance?  Will there be serious negative impact on the City if compliance is not achieved?  Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory concern?  Impact on Operational / Capital Budget  What return on investment will this project generate?  What is the expected payback period for this project?  Does the project minimize life-cycle costs?  Will the facility require additional personnel to operate?  Will the project lead to a reduction in operating costs?  Will the project lead to increased productivity or service improvements?  Will the facility require significant annual maintenance?  Will the new facility require additional equipment or the construction of additional infincluded in the project budget?	rastructure not
es N x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x	x x x nts / c			Does the project promote long-term regulatory compliance?  Will there be serious negative impact on the City if compliance is not achieved?  Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory concern?  Impact on Operational / Capital Budget  What return on investment will this project generate?  What is the expected payback period for this project?  Does the project minimize life-cycle costs?  Will the facility require additional personnel to operate?  Will the project lead to a reduction in operating costs?  Will the project lead to increased productivity or service improvements?  Will the facility require significant annual maintenance?  Will the new facility require additional equipment or the construction of additional infinicluded in the project budget?  Is there a revenue generating opportunity? (e.g. user fees)	rastructure not
es N x x x x x x x	x x x nts / c			Does the project promote long-term regulatory compliance?  Will there be serious negative impact on the City if compliance is not achieved?  Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory concern?  Impact on Operational / Capital Budget  What return on investment will this project generate?  What is the expected payback period for this project?  Does the project minimize life-cycle costs?  Will the facility require additional personnel to operate?  Will the project lead to a reduction in operating costs?  Will the project lead to increased productivity or service improvements?  Will the facility require significant annual maintenance?  Will the new facility require additional equipment or the construction of additional infincluded in the project budget?  Is there a revenue generating opportunity? (e.g. user fees)  Will the project result in a reduction or increase in energy use?	rastructure not
es Nxx	X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X			Does the project promote long-term regulatory compliance?  Will there be serious negative impact on the City if compliance is not achieved?  Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory concern?  Impact on Operational / Capital Budget  What return on investment will this project generate?  What is the expected payback period for this project?  Does the project minimize life-cycle costs?  Will the facility require additional personnel to operate?  Will the project lead to a reduction in operating costs?  Will the project lead to increased productivity or service improvements?  Will the facility require significant annual maintenance?  Will the new facility require additional equipment or the construction of additional infincluded in the project budget?  Is there a revenue generating opportunity? (e.g. user fees)  Will the project result in a reduction or increase in energy use?  Does the project involve specific energy reduction strategies or features?	rastructure not
es N x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x	x x x nts / c			Does the project promote long-term regulatory compliance?  Will there be serious negative impact on the City if compliance is not achieved?  Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory concern?  Impact on Operational / Capital Budget  What return on investment will this project generate?  What is the expected payback period for this project?  Does the project minimize life-cycle costs?  Will the facility require additional personnel to operate?  Will the project lead to a reduction in operating costs?  Will the project lead to increased productivity or service improvements?  Will the facility require significant annual maintenance?  Will the new facility require additional equipment or the construction of additional infincluded in the project budget?  Is there a revenue generating opportunity? (e.g. user fees)  Will the project result in a reduction or increase in energy use?	

Project/Program: Transload Facility

Whenever possible, please quantify / describe the impact of the project in either the amount column or the comment section of each area. Supporting documentation does not need to be submitted with the request but should be available upon request. Please see Capital Guidelines for detailed descriptions of each area of emphasis and additional considerations.

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Compliance with Area Plans - The Common Council has adopted Comprehensive Area Plans. CIC Guidelines document a link to those plans on the DCD website.
x				Is the project in conformance with and supportive of the goals, objectives and strategies of any applicable Comprehensive Plan, special study, survey, committee or board?
		x		Does the project increase or enhance educational opportunities for City of Milwaukee citizens?
		x		Does the project increase or enhance recreational opportunities and/or green space?
x	ĺ .			Will the project mitigate blight?
		x		Does the project target the quality of life of all citizens or does it target one demographic?
	1	x		Is one population affected positively and another negatively?
1	1	х		Does the project preserve or improve the historical or natural heritage of the City?
×				Is the project consistent with established community character?
x				Does the project expand the range of transportation, employment, and housing choices in a fiscally responsible manner?
x				Does the project improve, mitigate or prevent degradation of environmental quality (e.g. water quality, improve or reduce pollution including noise and/or light pollution)?

Comments / Other Considerations:

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Infrastructure - Primarily recurring infrastructure and facilities preservation programs
		х		How does the request effect the pertinent replacement cycle ? Provide specifics below.
		x		Has the facility being replaced exceeded its useful life?
		х		Does this project extend the useful life of an existing facility?
		x		Do maintenance costs exceed replacement costs? (See Below)
		x		Have you documented costs of unplanned or corrective maintenance related to the facility?
	x			Does the project incorporate new technology that will provide enhanced service?
		x		Does the project extend service for new development or redevelopment?
		х		Will this project improve the functionality or service life of other related infrastructure?

Comments / Other Considerations:

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Economic / Community Development
x				Does the project have the potential to promote economic/community development in areas where growth is desired?
x				Will the project continue to promote or enhance economic/community development in an already developed area?
х				Is the net impact of the project positive?
	x			Would an alternate location for this project provide a greater positive economic impact?
х				Will the project produce desirable jobs in the City?
	х			Will the project rejuvenate an area that needs assistance?
	Х			Will the project promote the equitable distribution of the costs and benefits of development?

Comments / Other Considerations:

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Special Considerations
x				is there a significant external funding source that can only be used for this project and/or which will be lost if not used immediately (e.g. proffers, grants through various federal or state initiatives, and private donations)?
	x			Are there critical timing issues associated with this project?
	X			Are there inter-jurisdictional considerations?
	X			Can you quantify the impacts of a delay in this project?

Comments / Other Considerations:

Propsed funding would be through a Wisconsin Dept. of Transportation Harbor Assistance grant which provides 80% of the total budgeted cost. The balance (20%) would be funded by the City.