

# 2012-2017 MFD Capital Plan Requested Amendment - 2012 REQUESTED

FIRE DEPARTMENT	ADOPTED	2012 BUDGET	2043	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	
		PLAN	REGILECTED	BUDGE!	BUDGET	BUDGET	BUDGET	BUDGET	SIX VEAD CID
Cilgine nouse Construction/Renovation			20000	FLAN	PLAN	PLAN	PLAN	PLAN	TOTA!
Engine House 17 (land acquisition, design & construction)	. 6								76.0
Fire Repair Shon - Design & constant			•	•					_
Eig D. C.		ı					•	1	1
rile Repair Shop - (land acquisition, design & construction)			-		•	•		1	
			,	•		•	1		
Fire Facilities Maintenance December 1									-
- Court	100,000	600,000	781 250	104 040					,
			007,101	062,107	799,312	799,312	839,278	839.278	4 700 690
Auxiliary Power Supply (Generators)									000,667,4
	110,000	110,000	110,000	110,000	110,000	110 000	110 000		-
Major Capital Fourinment						200,01	000,001	110,000	000'099
Ambulances	450 800						-		
Aerial Ladders/Trucks	430,000	430,000	747,000	537,840	537,840	806 760	871 201	300	•
Pumpers/Engines	1,420,000	764,000	1.264.000	2 047 680	2047 000	20 10 10	100,170	871,301	4,372,042
CO I I TO CONTROL	1.004.000	1 506 000	300	2,000	2,047,080	2,047,680	2,211,494	2,211,494	11 830 028
		000,000,	803,000	1,766,600	1,766,600	883,300	980.463	980.463	1,000,020
TOTAL FIRE DEPARTMENT								201	1, 100,426
	3,064,000	3,410,000	3,685,250	5 223 370	F 264 400				
Fire Facilities Maintenance Program created by meming the 2				0,040,010	5,251,432	4,647,052	5,012,536	5,012,536	28 842 475

Fire Facilities Maintenance Program created by merging the 3 existing maintenance program capital accounts to provide greater project management and flexibility
Mechanical Systems Maintenance Program includes HVAC, boiler/heating system replacement, ventitation & electrical system upgrade projects. (This project merged in 2009)
Exterior Building Maintenance Program includes apparatus bays, roofs, tuck pointing (masonry) & window replacement projects. (This project merged in 2009)
Interior Building Maintenance Program includes interior structures, surfaces, flooring, lighting, elevator, overhead doors, environmental & safety system projects. (This project merged in 2009)

28,842,176

5,012,536

**Business Unit:** 

Report Id:

Period Ending Date:

Sorting go to cell B,D, or E14 use ascending and descending icons

values if there is a budget line or expenditures.

Please be aware that this report only retrieves Project/Grant

Project Description	Project/Grant	Project/Grant Project/Grant Status	Start Date	End Date	Project Header Amount	Funding Source Amount	Budget	Life to Date Expended	Budget Balance
Fire Dept Building Projects	FR130060000		2006-01-01	2011-12-31	4,299,813.73	4.299.813.73	,	ı	,
Interior Building Maintenance	FR130060100		2006-01-01	2010-12-31	232,000.00	•	232,000,00	179 749 66	į ,
Mechanical systems Maintenance	FR130060200		2006-01-01	2011-12-31	532,813.73	•	532,813,73	320 411 22	(37 832 49)
Extenor Building Maintenance	FR130060300		2006-01-01	2011-12-31	593,000.00	•	593,000,00	548 952 94	0.08
Major Capital Equipment-2006	FR130060400		2006-01-01	2009-12-31	2.942,000.00	•	2 942 000 00	2 942 000 00	9.0
Fire Dept Building Projects	FR130070000		2007-01-01	2014-12-31	2,998,569.44	2,998,569,44	0000	2,000,000	f I
Auxiliary Power Supply	FR130070100		2007-01-01	2014-12-31	520,000.00	•	520 000 00	242 448 OF	277 551 05
Fire Major Capital Equipment	FR130070200		2007-01-01	2010-12-31	2.478.569.44	•	2 478 569 44	2 4 7 8 5 6 0 4 4	00.100,772
Fire Dept Building Projects	FR130080000		2008-01-01	2011-12-31	2,362,000,00	2.362.000.00	th:000:01t.12	44.000,074,2	
Fire Major Capital Equipment	FR130080100		2008-01-01	2011-12-31	2.362,000.00	00:00:1	2 362 000 00	00 000 698 6	t
Fire Dept Building Projects	FR130090000		2009-01-01	2012-12-31	2,457,500.00	2.457.500.00	00:00:	4,002,000.00	ı
Fire Facilities Maintenance	FR130090100		2009-01-01	2012-12-31	712,500,00		712 500 00	40 162 2E	465 000 12
Fire Major Capital Equipment	FR130090200		2009-01-01	2012-12-31	1.745 000 00		1 745 000 00	40, 102.33 4 642 442 EE	400,909.12
Fire Bulilding Projects	FR130100000		2010-01-01	2013-12-31	3 946 000 00	3 946 000 00	00.000.01	1,042,442.33	•
Fire Facilities Maintenance	FR130100100		2010-01-01	2013-12-31	1,125,000,00	00.000,010,0	1 125 000 00	100 660 10	- 000
Fire Major Capital Equipment	FR130100200		2010-01-01	2013-12-31	2.821.000.00	•	2 821 000 00	140,334.10	233,303.00
Fire Dept Building Projects	FR130110000		2011-01-01	2014-12-31	00:0001:1011	2 954 000 00	2,021,000.00	•	525,537.45
Unallocated Fire Dpt Projects	FR1308000A		1997-01-01	2005.12.31	47 000 74	4,904,000.00			•
			10-10-7661	Z003-12-31	17,033.71		17,033.71	•	17,033.71
Fire Facilties Maintenance	FR130110100		2011-01-01	2014-12-31			100 000 00		100 000 00
Fire Major Capital Equipment	FR130110200		2011-01-01	2014-12-31			2,854,000.00		2,854,000.00

# 2011-2017 Milwaukee Fire Department Major Capital Equipment 2011 ADOPTED BUDGET / 2012 BUDGET REQUEST

(8% escalator)	<u>Ambulance</u>	Unit Cost	<u>#</u>	<u>Total</u>
2011		215,000	2	430,000
2012		249,000	3	747,000
2013		268,920	2	537,840
2014		268,920	2	537,840
2015		268,920	3	806,760
2016		290,434	3	871,301
2017		250,776	3	871,301
(8% escalator)	<u>Engine</u>	<b>Unit Cost</b>	<u>#</u>	<u>Total</u>
2011		502,000	2	1,004,000
2012		632,000	2	1,264,000
2013		682,560	3	2,047,680
2014		682,560	3	2,047,680
2015		682,560	3	2,047,680
2016		737,165	3	2,211,494
2017		585,533	3	2,211,494
(11% escalator)	<u>Ladder</u>	Unit Cost	<u>#</u>	<u>Total</u>
2011		710,000	2	1,420,000
2012		803,000	1	803,000
2013		883,300	2	1,766,600
2014		883,300	2	1,766,600
2015		883,300	1	883,300
2016		980,463	1	980,463
2017		866,910	1	980,463
<u>Sp</u>	<u>ecial Vehicle</u>			
2012	HAZMAT	0	0	0 Tractor Trailer

Total Major Capital Equ	ipment	***
	REQUESTED	ADOPTED
2011	2,854,000	2,854,000
2012	2,814,000	•
2013	4,352,120	
2014	4,352,120	
2015	3,737,740	
2016	4,063,258	
2017	4,063,258	

Requested for Years 2011-2017

6-Year Total 23,382,496

## BMD-100

# Capital Improvement Request Form Part I

	Project/Program Title:	Fire Major Capital Equipment	Requesting Department:	Milwaukee Fire Department
	Prepared By/Phone Ext:	BC Aaron Lipski / 8975 - Emma J Stamps	Department Head Signature:	Wall Foll
	Account No:	FR130120200	-	
	A) Department Priority	1 of 3 Useful Life 15	Years Level of Need  Esser	ntial Important Desired
		New    Replacement    Repair On-Going Program	Project/Program Scope  Fully	
1	B) Description Infrastructure Street Related Sidewalks Building Roof Window ADA Office R Miscellaneous Develo	☐ Alleys ☐ Bridge ☐  vs ☐ HVAC ☐ Electrical ☐ Rest  Remodeling ☐ New Building ☐ Eleva	Street Lighting Communica Environmental Port  room Security Exterior ators Garage Mechan	Parking  Entire Facility
	Economic Info	ormation Systems Equipment	Other	
С	One Year On-Going Program Multi-Year	tion  Yes No Yes No Yes No No Number of Years		
D)	Total Positions 0	Total FTEs 0.0		
	Position Title	No. of Position	os FTEs Sa	slaries \$
E)	in Six Year Capital Impr	ovement Plan		
	Yes 2010-2015	☑ 2011-2016 ☑ Yes, Modifie	d New Request	
F)	Project/Program Justific	ation		
	reserve). NFPA Standards increased rate of asset failu assigned to a Fire Apparatuby its very definition it can be	tly operates and maintains 49 units (36 frontline line and 7 reserve); Paramedic Ambulances - I recommend shifting from frontline to reserve size (and corresponding labor assignment to come sith the the continuation of the con	with presently operates and maintains status on Fire Apparatus at the 15 year in applete repairs), and corresponding out-connecting of this replacement program. While	17 units (12 frontline and 5 mark. Parts obsolescence, of-service time for Firefighters the reserve pool appears large.
G)	Additional Comments			
	\$45,000.00/unit (for the additi City of Milwaukee is facing ar	ttempting to reduce Oxides of Nitrogen present on diesel engines. This has caused a surge in tional exhaust-cleaning equipment alone). This had has reduced the number of Fire Engines repoulances replaced at 3 for budget-year 2012. Clined above.	Capital Improvement Request recognize	th quotes averaging of up to less the fiscal challenges the

# Capital Improvement Request Part II

Requesting Department:	Milwaukee Fire Department	artment							
Project/Program Title:	Fire Major Capital Equip	quipment			Account No:	t No:	FR130120200	00	
, rea/		animorand June 1 ve T	A A VICTOR	71	Q	" <del>{</del>	Special	2	Total Coot
Remaining Balance for 2011		\$3,177,567			Vevenue		Vascasiii cii	E III	\$3,177,567
2012 Budget Request		\$2,814,000				_			\$2,814,000
2013 Projection		\$4,352,120							\$4,352,120
2014 Projection		\$4,352,120							\$4,352,120
2015 Projection		\$3,737,740							\$3,737,740
2016 Projection		\$4,063,258							\$4,063,258
2017 Projection		\$4,063,258	100						\$4,063,258
Total Six Year Cost	100	\$23,382,496		0\$		20	0\$	0\$	\$23,382,496
Total Project Cost		\$26,560,063		0\$		0\$	0\$	0\$	\$26,560,063
Life to Date Expenditures (Project Only)	t Only)	\$9,425,012		0\$		0\$	0\$	0\$	\$9,425,012
Available Cost Estimate: Thorough Cost Estimate Limited Information Based on Cost of Similar Projects Unsupported	2012 C C C	<b>5013</b>	207	2018 	2016	<b>26</b>	<u> </u>		
Were cost estimates confirmed by another source? Are cost estimates based on industry standards? Will city employees be performing any portion of the work? Did you perform a cost/benefit analysis?	y another source? ustry standards? ig any portion of the w nalysis?	ork?	∑	2 2 2 2 	Uncertain Uncertain Uncertain Uncertain				
How will this project impact city operating expenditures?	operating expenditures	¢.	Increase		✓ Decrease None	<b>v</b>			
Estimated Start Date: Estimated Completion Date:	Existing Ongoing		Department Head Signature	Head Sigr //Phone E>	iture	Aaron Lips	ki / ext 8975	BC Aaron Lipski / ext 8975 - Emma J Stamps Jext. 5281	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,

# CIC - Capital Improvement Request Part III

	tmer		Milwaukee Fire	Date	Submitted:	3/28/2011
Projec				pital Equipment		-
Prepai				ki / 8975 - Emma J Stamps / 5281 Curre	ent Request:	\$2,814,00
Dept H	lead	:	Mule	ONLY 6Yr	Total:	\$23,382,49
Commi	-1.0	-115	ogram Description			, , , , ,
NFPA increas Firefigl	Stan sed r hters	dards re ate of as assigne	ecommend shifting sset failure (and c ed to a Fire Appar	g from frontline to reserve status on Fire Apparatus at the 15 y corresponding labor assignment to complete repairs), and corresponding to the project in either the amount column or the	esponding out-o placement progr	f-service time for am.
Cuppoi	my	docume	ritation does not i	need to be submitted with the request but should be available of each area of emphasis and additional considerations.	upon request. P	n of each area. lease see Capital
Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Health & Safety		
X				Does the project directly reduce risks to people or property?		
Х				Does the project directly promote improved health or safety?		
X				Does the project mitigate an immediate risk?		
Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Regulatory Compliance		
	X		,Ourt	Does the project address a legislative, regulatory or court-ordered	-	
		<del></del>		boos the project address a legislative, regulatory or court-ordered		
	Х			Does the project promote town to the state of the state o	mandate?	
_	X			Does the project promote long-term regulatory compliance?		
ommei	X	X Other Co	onsiderations:	Does the project promote long-term regulatory compliance? Will there be serious negative impact on the City if compliance is Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory concern?		
odated	X nts /	Other Co	onsiderations: ions are addresse	Does the project promote long-term regulatory compliance?  Will there be serious negative impact on the City if compliance is	s not achieved?	ks, and
odated nbular	X nts /	Other Co		Does the project promote long-term regulatory compliance?  Will there be serious negative impact on the City if compliance is  Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory concern?  ed and represented as increases in costs of all new Fire Engin	s not achieved?	ks, and
odated nbular	nts /	Other Co	ions are addresse	Does the project promote long-term regulatory compliance?  Will there be serious negative impact on the City if compliance is  Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory concern?  ed and represented as increases in costs of all new Fire Engin  Impact on Operational / Capital Budget	s not achieved?	ks, and
odated nbular	nts /	Other Co A regulat	ions are addresse	Does the project promote long-term regulatory compliance?  Will there be serious negative impact on the City if compliance is  Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory concern?  ed and represented as increases in costs of all new Fire Engin  Impact on Operational / Capital Budget  What return on investment will this project generate?	s not achieved?	ks, and
es N	nts /	Other Co A regulat	ions are addresse	Does the project promote long-term regulatory compliance?  Will there be serious negative impact on the City if compliance is  Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory concern?  ed and represented as increases in costs of all new Fire Engin  Impact on Operational / Capital Budget	s not achieved?	ks, and
odated nbular es N	nts / I EPA nces.	Other Co A regulat	ions are addresse	Does the project promote long-term regulatory compliance?  Will there be serious negative impact on the City if compliance is  Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory concern?  ed and represented as increases in costs of all new Fire Engin  Impact on Operational / Capital Budget  What return on investment will this project generate?  What is the expected payback period for this project?  Does the project minimize life-cycle costs?	s not achieved?	ks, and
es N	nts / I EPA nces.	Other Co A regulat	ions are addresse	Does the project promote long-term regulatory compliance?  Will there be serious negative impact on the City if compliance is  Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory concern?  ed and represented as increases in costs of all new Fire Engin  Impact on Operational / Capital Budget  What return on investment will this project generate?  What is the expected payback period for this project?	s not achieved?	ks, and
es N	nts / I EPA nces.	Other Co A regulat	ions are addresse	Does the project promote long-term regulatory compliance?  Will there be serious negative impact on the City if compliance is  Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory concern?  ed and represented as increases in costs of all new Fire Engin  Impact on Operational / Capital Budget  What return on investment will this project generate?  What is the expected payback period for this project?  Does the project minimize life-cycle costs?  Will the facility require additional personnel to operate?  Will the project lead to a reduction in operating costs?	s not achieved?	ks, and
es N	nts / I EPA nces.	Other Co A regulat	ions are addresse	Does the project promote long-term regulatory compliance?  Will there be serious negative impact on the City if compliance is  Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory concern?  ed and represented as increases in costs of all new Fire Engin  Impact on Operational / Capital Budget  What return on investment will this project generate?  What is the expected payback period for this project?  Does the project minimize life-cycle costs?  Will the facility require additional personnel to operate?  Will the project lead to a reduction in operating costs?  Will the project lead to increased productivity or service improve	s not achieved?	ks, and
es N	nts / I EPA nces.	N/A X X X X	ions are addresse	Does the project promote long-term regulatory compliance?  Will there be serious negative impact on the City if compliance is  Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory concern?  ed and represented as increases in costs of all new Fire Engin  Impact on Operational / Capital Budget  What return on investment will this project generate?  What is the expected payback period for this project?  Does the project minimize life-cycle costs?  Will the facility require additional personnel to operate?  Will the project lead to a reduction in operating costs?	not achieved?  es, Ladder Truc  ments?	
es N	nts / I EPA nces.	N/A X X X X	ions are addresse	Does the project promote long-term regulatory compliance?  Will there be serious negative impact on the City if compliance is Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory concern?  ed and represented as increases in costs of all new Fire Engine Impact on Operational / Capital Budget  What return on investment will this project generate?  What is the expected payback period for this project?  Does the project minimize life-cycle costs?  Will the facility require additional personnel to operate?  Will the project lead to a reduction in operating costs?  Will the project lead to increased productivity or service improve Will the facility require significant annual maintenance?  Will the new facility require additional equipment or the construction included in the project budget?	not achieved?  es, Ladder Truc  ments?	
es N	nts / I EPA nces.	N/A X X X X	ions are addresse	Does the project promote long-term regulatory compliance?  Will there be serious negative impact on the City if compliance is Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory concern?  ed and represented as increases in costs of all new Fire Engine Impact on Operational / Capital Budget  What return on investment will this project generate?  What is the expected payback period for this project?  Does the project minimize life-cycle costs?  Will the facility require additional personnel to operate?  Will the project lead to a reduction in operating costs?  Will the project lead to increased productivity or service improve Will the facility require significant annual maintenance?  Will the new facility require additional equipment or the construction included in the project budget?  Is there a revenue generating opportunity? (e.g. user fees)	not achieved?  es, Ladder Truc  ments?	
es N	X I EPA	N/A X X X X X	ions are addresse	Does the project promote long-term regulatory compliance?  Will there be serious negative impact on the City if compliance is Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory concern?  ed and represented as increases in costs of all new Fire Engin Impact on Operational / Capital Budget  What return on investment will this project generate?  What is the expected payback period for this project?  Does the project minimize life-cycle costs?  Will the facility require additional personnel to operate?  Will the project lead to a reduction in operating costs?  Will the project lead to increased productivity or service improve Will the facility require significant annual maintenance?  Will the new facility require additional equipment or the construction included in the project budget?  Is there a revenue generating opportunity? (e.g. user fees)  Will the project result in a reduction or increase in energy use?	ments?	
es N	X I EPA	N/A X X X X X	ions are addresse	Does the project promote long-term regulatory compliance?  Will there be serious negative impact on the City if compliance is Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory concern?  ed and represented as increases in costs of all new Fire Engine Impact on Operational / Capital Budget  What return on investment will this project generate?  What is the expected payback period for this project?  Does the project minimize life-cycle costs?  Will the facility require additional personnel to operate?  Will the project lead to a reduction in operating costs?  Will the project lead to increased productivity or service improve Will the facility require significant annual maintenance?  Will the new facility require additional equipment or the construction included in the project budget?  Is there a revenue generating opportunity? (e.g. user fees)  Will the project result in a reduction or increase in energy use?  Does the project involve specific energy reduction strategies or fees	ments?	
res N	X I EPA	N/A X X X X X	ions are addresse	Does the project promote long-term regulatory compliance?  Will there be serious negative impact on the City if compliance is Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory concern?  ed and represented as increases in costs of all new Fire Engin Impact on Operational / Capital Budget  What return on investment will this project generate?  What is the expected payback period for this project?  Does the project minimize life-cycle costs?  Will the facility require additional personnel to operate?  Will the project lead to a reduction in operating costs?  Will the project lead to increased productivity or service improve Will the facility require significant annual maintenance?  Will the new facility require additional equipment or the construction included in the project budget?  Is there a revenue generating opportunity? (e.g. user fees)  Will the project result in a reduction or increase in energy use?	ments?	frastructure not

## CIC - Capital Improvement Request Part III (cont'd)

Project/Program: Fire Major Capital Equipment

Whenever possible, please quantify / describe the impact of the project in either the amount column or the comment section of each area. Supporting documentation does not need to be submitted with the request but should be available upon request. Please see Capital Guidelines for detailed descriptions of each area of emphasis and additional considerations.

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Compliance with Area Plans - The Common Council has adopted Comprehensive Area Plans. CIC Guidelines document a link to those plans on the DCD website.
		×		is the project in conformance with and supportive of the goals, objectives and strategies of any applicable Comprehensive Plan, special study, survey, committee or board?
		X		Does the project increase or enhance educational opportunities for City of Milwaukee citizens?
		X		Does the project increase or enhance recreational opportunities and/or green space?
		Х		Will the project mitigate blight?
WHEN 7. 45 YOU		Х		Does the project target the quality of life of all citizens or does it target one demographic?
		Х		Is one population affected positively and another negatively?
		х		Does the project preserve or improve the historical or natural heritage of the City?
		Х		Is the project consistent with established community character?
		x		Does the project expand the range of transportation, employment, and housing choices in a fiscally responsible manner?
		х		Does the project improve, mitigate or prevent degradation of environmental quality (e.g. water quality, improve or reduce pollution including noise and/or light pollution)?

Comments / Other Considerations:

Yes	No	N/A		Infrastructure - Primarily recurring Infrastructure and facilities preservation programs
Х				How does the request effect the pertinent replacement cycle ? Provide specifics below.
		Х		Has the facility being replaced exceeded its useful life?
		Х		Does this project extend the useful life of an existing facility?
	Х		SEE BELOW	Do maintenance costs exceed replacement costs? (See Below)
		Х		Have you documented costs of unplanned or corrective maintenance related to the facility?
Х				Does the project incorporate new technology that will provide enhanced service?
		x		Does the project extend service for new development or redevelopment?
Х	<b></b>			Will this project improve the functionality or service life of other related infrastructure?

Comments / Other Considerations:

At present, the Milwaukee Fire Department Construction & Maintenance Division is implementing an automated data collection computer program in order to effectively address the 'maintenance vs. replacement' question. A statistically significant data set has not yet been compiled.

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Economic / Community Development
	Х			Does the project have the potential to promote economic/community development in areas where growth is desired?
	Х			Will the project continue to promote or enhance economic/community development in an already developed area?
	X			Is the net impact of the project positive?
	Х			Would an alternate location for this project provide a greater positive economic impact?
	Х			Will the project produce desirable jobs in the City?
	Х			Will the project rejuvenate an area that needs assistance?
	X			Will the project promote the equitable distribution of the costs and benefits of development?

Comments / Other Considerations:

The Milwaukee Fire Department uses locally available vendors (Wisconsin based) for the purchase of its Fire Engines, Ladder Trucks, and Ambulances.

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Special Considerations
	Х			Is there a significant external funding source that can only be used for this project and/or which will be lost if not used immediately (e.g. proffers, grants through various federal or state initiatives, and private donations)?
	Х			Are there critical timing issues associated with this project?
	Х			Are there inter-jurisdictional considerations?
	Х			Can you quantify the impacts of a delay in this project?

Comments / Other Considerations:

# BMD-100

# Capital Improvement Request Form Part I

į	Project/Program Title:	Fire Facilities Maintenance	Requesting Department:	Milwaukee Fire Department
ł	Prepared By/Phone Ext:	BC Aaron Lipski / 8975 - Emma Stamps	Department Head Signature:	Muk Loll.
,	Account No:	FR130120100		
,	A) Department Priority	2 of 3 <b>Useful Life</b> 10	Years Level of Need 🗸 Essent	ial Important Desired
	''	New  Replacement  Repair On-Going Program	Project/Program Scope  Fully D	
E	Miscellaneous Develo	Remodeling New Building  Ele		Parking  Entire Facility
0				
C)	One Year On-Going Program Multi-Year	ation  Yes No Yes No No Yes No Number of Years		
D)	Total Positions (	0 Total FTEs 0.0		
	Position Title	No. of Position	ons FTEs Sal	s
E)	In Six Year Capital Imp Yes ☑ 2010-2015	provement Plan  ☑ 2011-2016 ☑ Yes, Modif	ied New Request	
F)	replacements, masonry, w and fixtures, such as floori (annually);\$25,000 for dam fire house apparatus bays/s system maintenance, insta	Milwaukee Fire Department's ongoing facility mespan and safe operating conditions of the Fire windows, roofs, ventilation and HVAC. The \$76-ring & lighting, and funds environmental issues maged apparatus overhead doors are replaced approaches; \$100,000 for window replacement allation & replacement projects; and \$150,000 for window replacement	Stations. Internally, this program account: 1,300 requested in 2012 provides \$86,300 such as asbestos removal and fuel contant (even years); \$50,000 for concrete/mason its: \$250,000 for roof renairs and real-seconds.	s for interiors, overhead door for repairs to internal surfaces nination are addressed
G)	Additional Comments The 2012-2017 six-year plant adequately reflect project contact the second secon	in includes an annual inflationary factor of 5% i ost increases and to maintain a consistent leve	mposed every 2 years. This adjustment is	made in an effort to more plant.

# Capital Improvement Request Part II

Requesting Department: Milwaukee Fire Department	Department							
Project/Program Title: Fire Facilities Maintenance	Maintenance			Account No:	į	FR130120100		
Year	Tax Levy/Borrowing	Grant & Aid	Aid	Revenue	Special	<u> </u>	Fotororice	Total Cost
Remaining Balance for 2011	\$821,666					_		\$821,666
2012 Budget Request	\$761,250							\$761,250
2013 Projection	\$761,250							\$761,250
2014 Projection	\$799,312							\$799,312
2015 Projection	\$799,312							\$799,312
2016 Projection	\$839,278							\$839,278
2017 Projection	\$839,278							\$839,278
Total Six Year Cost	\$4,799,680	* .	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>		8	<b>3</b>	\$4,799,680
Total Project Cost	\$5,621,346		93	<b>9</b>		20	8	\$5,621,346
'								
Life to Date Expenditures (Project Only)	\$168,714		0\$	\$		\$0	0\$	\$168,714
Available Cost Estimate:  Thorough Cost Estimate  Limited Information  Based on Cost of Similar Projects  Unsupported	2 2013	<b>202</b>	2018	<b>8</b> 🗆 🗆 🖸	<b>2017</b>			
Were cost estimates confirmed by another source? Are cost estimates based on industry standards? Will city employees be performing any portion of the work? Did you perform a cost/benefit analysis?	; he work?	Yes   Yes	2 2 2 2 	<ul><li>Uncertain</li><li>Uncertain</li><li>Uncertain</li><li>Uncertain</li></ul>				O
How will this project impact city operating expenditures?	itures?	☐ Increase		✓ Decrease None				
Estimated Start Date: 01/01/12 Estimated Completion Date: VARIED	1/12 IED					1		
		Department Head Signature	Head Sign	ature		X	J.	2
		Prepared By/Phone Ext	/Phone Ex	1	ron Lipski / ext 8	975 - Em	BC Aaron Lipski / ext 8975 - Emma J Stamps / ext. 5281	xt. 5281

# CIC - Capital Improvement Request Part III

	partm		Milwaukee Fi	ire Department
Pro	oject/l	<sup>o</sup> rogra		Maintenance Date Submitted: 3/28/201
Pre	ераге	d By:		ski / 8975 - Emma   Stompo / 5304
De	pt He	ad:	Mult	SALL SALL SALL SALL SALL SALL SALL SALL
			711	6 Yr Total: \$4,799
<u>Ge</u>	neral	<u>Projec</u>	t/Program Description	on:
mai inte	ntinue intain eriors,	d supp or leng overh	oort of the Milwaukee gthen the expected le ead door replacemen	e Fire Department's ongoing facility maintenance and repair program will enhance our efforts to ifespan and safe operating conditions of the Fire Stations. Internally, this program accounts fonts, masonry, windows, roofs, ventilation and HVAC.
				, contraction and myAC.
Guid	deline	s for d	etailed descriptions	<b>fy the impact</b> of the project in either the amount column or the comment section of each area. need to be submitted with the request but should be available upon request. Please see Capi of each area of emphasis and additional considerations.
Yes	s N	O N	A Amount	Health & Safety
_ <u>X</u>	-			Does the project directly reduce risks to people or property?
X				Does the project directly promote improved health or safety?
X				Does the project mitigate an immediate risk?
<u>;om</u>	ments	/ Oth	er Considerations:	
'es		N/A	Amount	Regulatory Compliance
<u> </u>	Т	1		
	X	1	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
	X			Does the project address a legislative, regulatory or court-ordered mandate?
***********		X		Does the project promote long-term regulatory compliance?
	1	X		Will there be serious negative impact on the City if compliance is not achieved?
omn	nents		Considerations:	Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory concern?
	····			
es∣	No	N/A	Amount	Impact on Operational / Capital Budget
$\dashv$		X		What return on investment will this project generate?
$\dashv$		Х		What is the expected payback period for this project?
_	Х			Does the project minimize life-cycle costs?
4	_X			Will the facility require additional personnel to operate?
_				Will the project lead to a reduction in operating costs?
		X		Will the project lead to increased productivity or service improvements?
4	X			Will the facility require significant annual maintenance?
_	х			Will the new facility require additional equipment or the construction of additional infrastructure not included in the project budget?
4	X			Is there a revenue generating opportunity? (e.g. user fees)
$\bot$			Reduction	Will the project result in a reduction or increase in energy use?
	T			Does the project involve specific energy use?
$\perp$	X			Does the project involve specific energy reduction strategies or features?  Will this project cause discussions to a second strategies or features?
T	X			Will this project cause disruptions to regular city operations?

Comments / Other Considerations:

Are there other potential costs associated with this project that are not addressed above? The Fire Department continues to work closely with the Office of Environmental Sustainability Energy Reduction Team to develop and

## CIC - Capital Improvement Request Part III (cont'd)

Project/Program: Fire Facilities Maintenance

Whenever possible, please quantify / describe the impact of the project in either the amount column or the comment section of each area. Supporting documentation does not need to be submitted with the request but should be available upon request. Please see Capital Guidelines for detailed descriptions of each area of emphasis and additional considerations.

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Compliance with Area Plans - The Common Council has adopted Comprehensive Area Plans. CIC Guidelines document a link to those plans on the DCD website.
		x		Is the project in conformance with and supportive of the goals, objectives and strategies of any applicable Comprehensive Plan, special study, survey, committee or board?
		Х		Does the project increase or enhance educational opportunities for City of Milwaukee citizens?
		Х		Does the project increase or enhance recreational opportunities and/or green space?
		X		Will the project mitigate blight?
		X		Does the project target the quality of life of all citizens or does it target one demographic?
		х		is one population affected positively and another negatively?
		х		Does the project preserve or improve the historical or natural heritage of the City?
		Х		is the project consistent with established community character?
		х		Does the project expand the range of transportation, employment, and housing choices in a fiscally responsible manner?
		х		Does the project improve, mitigate or prevent degradation of environmental quality (e.g. water quality, improve or reduce pollution including noise and/or light pollution)?

Comments / Other Considerations:

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Infrastructure - Primarily recurring infrastructure and facilities preservation programs
х	1			How does the request effect the pertinent replacement cycle? Provide specifics below.
		X		Has the facility being replaced exceeded its useful life?
Х	1			Does this project extend the useful life of an existing facility?
	Х			Do maintenance costs exceed replacement costs? (See Below)
Х				Have you documented costs of unplanned or corrective maintenance related to the facility?
1	Х			Does the project incorporate new technology that will provide enhanced service?
	·	X		Does the project extend service for new development or redevelopment?
х		1		Will this project improve the functionality or service life of other related Infrastructure?

Comments / Other Considerations:

Maintaining or lengthening the lifespan of existing structures is fiscally optimal but must be closely monitored to avoid repair/maintanence costs exceeding reasonable facility replacement costs. Regarding unplanned or corrective maintenance, as examples of costly upkeep projects, we presently have several major roof repair/replacement projects as well as mold mitigation underway. These projects are in buildings which are grouped by age with numerous other MFD structures.

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Economic / Community Development
		х		Does the project have the potential to promote economic/community development in areas where growth is desired?
		х		Will the project continue to promote or enhance economic/community development in an already developed area?
х				Is the net impact of the project positive?
	*****	X		Would an alternate location for this project provide a greater positive economic impact?
		X		Will the project produce desirable jobs in the City?
		X		Will the project rejuvenate an area that needs assistance?
		X		Will the project promote the equitable distribution of the costs and benefits of development?

Comments / Other Considerations:

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Special Considerations
	x			Is there a significant external funding source that can only be used for this project and/or which will be lost if not used immediately (e.g. proffers, grants through various federal or state initiatives, and private donations)?
	Х			Are there critical timing issues associated with this project?
	Х			Are there inter-jurisdictional considerations?
-	Х			Can you quantify the impacts of a delay in this project?

Comments / Other Considerations:

## BMD-100

# Capital Improvement Request Form Part I

P	Project/Program Title:	Auxiliary Power Supply-Generators Requesting Department: Milwaukee Fire Department	<b>-</b> ]
P	Prepared By/Phone Ext:	BC Aaron Lipski / 8975 - Emma J Stamps Department Head Signature:	11.
Α	Account No:	FR130070100	<u>-</u>
A	Department Priority	3 of 3 Useful Life 10 Years Level of Need  Essential Important Desire	<u>_</u>
		New Replacement Repair Project/Program Scope Fully Defined Partially Defined	KU .
В	Infrastructure Street Related Sidewalks Building Roof Windo ADA Office Miscellaneous Develo	Remodeling New Building Elevators Garage Mechanical	
C)	Project/Program Dura	ation	
-	One Year	☐ Yes ☐ No	
	On-Going Program	✓ Yes □ No	ĺ
	Multi-Year	Yes No Number of Years	
D)	Total Positions	0 Total FTEs 0.0	닉
	Position Title	No. of Positions FTEs Salaries \$	
E) [	in Six Year Capital Imp	provement Plan	$\dashv$
L	Yes 🗹 2010-2015		
F)	Project/Program Justifi	fication	爿
	maintenance/repair). This at a rate of 1.3/year. Twen	packup electrical generators at First Responder facilities is a critical public safety need. It allows for uninterrupted and sees to emergencies regardless of the status of the electrical grid (outages due to inclement weather, terrorist activity, is request funds backup generator purchases and installations at each Fire Station and assumes installations will occur nity-three Fire Stations have already been outfitted with backup electrical generators. Including the 3 presently slated re Stations remain without backup electrical generators.	
G)	Additional Comments		] ]
	modifications and/or upgrade	If from \$25,000-\$30,000. The Bureau of Electrical Services estimates that the remaining 13 will average around increase in the cost of copper and the fact that many of the remaining installation sites will require more complex des to the existing electrical systems to accommodate the addition of the auxiliary generator. Spreading the remaining \$110,000/year will put us at 1.3/year installed, pushing the end date of this project into 2018.	

# Capital Improvement Request Part II

Requesting Department:	Milwaukee Fire Department	oartment						
Project/Program Title:	Auxiliary Power Supply-Generators	oply-Generators			Account No:	No: FR130070100	00	
Xear	Tax	Tax I evv/Rorrowing	Grant & Aid	Aid	Revenue	Special Assessment	Enterorise	Total Cost
Remaining Balance for 2011		\$277,551						\$277,551
2012 Budget Request		\$110,000						\$110,000
2013 Projection		\$110,000						\$110,000
2014 Projection		\$110,000						\$110,000
2015 Projection		\$110,000						\$110,000
2016 Projection	<u> </u>	\$110,000						\$110,000
2017 Projection		\$110,000						\$110,000
Total Six Year Cost		\$660,000		0\$	0\$	0\$	0\$	\$660,000
Total Project Cost		\$937,551		0\$	0\$	0\$	0\$	\$937,551
Life to Date Expenditures (Project Only)	ct Only)	\$242,449	:	0\$	0\$	0\$ 0	0\$	\$242,449
Available Cost Estimate: Thorough Cost Estimate Limited Information Based on Cost of Similar Projects Unsupported	2012 2012 C C	<b>50</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2</b> 🗆 🗆 🖸 🗆	<b>2</b>	<b>2017</b>		
Were cost estimates confirmed by another source? Are cost estimates based on industry standards? Will city employees be performing any portion of the work? Did you perform a cost/benefit analysis?	by another source? Iustry standards? ng any portion of the v inalysis?	vork?	<ul><li>✓ Yes</li><li>✓ Yes</li><li>✓ Yes</li><li>✓ Yes</li></ul>	2 2 2 2 	Uncertain Uncertain Uncertain Uncertain			
How will this project impact city operating expenditures?	operating expenditure	;S;	☐ Increase		✓ Decrease None			
Estimated Start Date: Estimated Completion Date:	01/01/12 VARIED		Department Head Signature Prepared By/Phone Ext	t Head Sign	ture   	BC Aaron Lipski / ext 8975 - Emma J Stamps / ext 5/81	- Emma J Stamps	lext 5281

# CIC - Capital Improvement Request Part III

Department:	Milwaukee Fire Department	Date Submitted:	3/28/2011
Project/Program:	Auxiliary Power Supply-Generators	Jako Gaprintea.	3/20/2011
Prepared By:	BC Aaron Lipski / 8975 , Emma J Stamps / 5281	Current Request:	\$110,000
Dept Head:	All Follow	6 Yr Total:	
		o ii iolal.	\$660,000

### General Project/Program Description:

Continued placement of backup electrical generators at First Responder facilities is a critical public safety need. It allows for uninterrupted and consistently rapid responses to emergencies regardless of the status of the electrical grid (outages due to inclement weather, terrorist activity, maintenance/repair).

Whenever possible, please quantify the impact of the project in either the amount column or the comment section of each area. Supporting documentation does not need to be submitted with the request but should be available upon request. Please see Capital Guidelines for detailed descriptions of each area of emphasis and additional considerations.

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Health & Safety
Х				Does the project directly reduce risks to people or property?
	Х			Does the project directly promote improved health or safety?
Х				Does the project mitigate an immediate risk?
Comm	ents /	Other	Considerations:	
Vos I	No.	NI/A T		
Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Regulatory Compliance
Yes	No	N/A X	Amount	
Yes	No	Х	Amount	Does the project address a legislative, regulatory or court-ordered mandate?
Yes	No		Amount	Does the project address a legislative, regulatory or court-ordered mandate?
Yes	No	Х	Amount	

Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory concern?

### Comments / Other Considerations:

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Impact on Operational / Capital Budget
	Х			What return on investment will this project generate?
		Х		What is the expected payback period for this project?
		X		Does the project minimize life-cycle costs?
		Х		Will the facility require additional personnel to operate?
	_X			Will the project lead to a reduction in operating costs?
X				Will the project lead to increased productivity or service improvements?
	X			Will the facility require significant annual maintenance?
	х			Will the new facility require additional equipment or the construction of additional infrastructure not included in the project budget?
	Х			Is there a revenue generating opportunity? (e.g. user fees)
	X			Will the project result in a reduction or increase in energy use?
	X			Does the project involve specific energy reduction strategies or features?
	X			Will this project cause disruptions to regular city operations?
	X			Are there other potential costs associated with this project that are not addressed above?

### Comments / Other Considerations:

In those events in which electrical power is lost to a fire station or stations, auxiliary power generators allow the Milwaukee Fire Department to increase what would otherwise be a large loss of productivity from those stations affected (radio battery charging, apparatus charging, overhead door operation, CAD & mobile dispatching system operations, etc.). Current electrical backup systems are inadequate to accomplish the backup capabilities required of modern Fire Service operations.

### CIC - Capital Improvement Request Part III (cont'd)

Project/Program: Auxiliary Power Supply-Generators

Whenever possible, please quantify / describe the impact of the project in either the amount column or the comment section of each area. Supporting documentation does not need to be submitted with the request but should be available upon request. Please see Capital Guidelines for detailed descriptions of each area of emphasis and additional considerations.

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Compliance with Area Plans - The Common Council has adopted Comprehensive Area Plans. CIC Guidelines document a link to those plans on the DCD website.
		х		Is the project in conformance with and supportive of the goals, objectives and strategies of any applicable Comprehensive Plan, special study, survey, committee or board?
		Х		Does the project increase or enhance educational opportunities for City of Milwaukee citizens?
·		Х		Does the project increase or enhance recreational opportunities and/or green space?
		Х		Will the project mitigate blight?
		Х	ALL citizens	Does the project target the quality of life of all citizens or does it target one demographic?
		Х		Is one population affected positively and another negatively?
		Х		Does the project preserve or improve the historical or natural heritage of the City?
		Х		is the project consistent with established community character?
		х		Does the project expand the range of transportation, employment, and housing choices in a fiscally responsible manner?
		х		Does the project improve, mitigate or prevent degradation of environmental quality (e.g. water quality, improve or reduce pollution including noise and/or light pollution)?

Comments / Other Considerations:

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Infrastructure - Primarily recurring infrastructure and facilities preservation programs
		Х		How does the request effect the pertinent replacement cycle ? Provide specifics below.
		Х		Has the facility being replaced exceeded its useful life?
,		Х	•	Does this project extend the useful life of an existing facility?
	Х			Do maintenance costs exceed replacement costs? (See Below)
	Х			Have you documented costs of unplanned or corrective maintenance related to the facility?
Х				Does the project incorporate new technology that will provide enhanced service?
	Х			Does the project extend service for new development or redevelopment?
Х				Will this project improve the functionality or service life of other related infrastructure?

Comments / Other Considerations:

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Economic / Community Development
		х		Does the project have the potential to promote economic/community development in areas where growth is desired?
		х		Will the project continue to promote or enhance economic/community development in an already developed area?
X				Is the net impact of the project positive?
		Х		Would an alternate location for this project provide a greater positive economic impact?
	Х			Will the project produce desirable jobs in the City?
	Х			Will the project rejuvenate an area that needs assistance?
		Х		Will the project promote the equitable distribution of the costs and benefits of development?

Comments / Other Considerations:

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Special Considerations
		x		Is there a significant external funding source that can only be used for this project and/or which will be lost if not used immediately (e.g. proffers, grants through various federal or state initiatives, and private donations)?
		Х		Are there critical timing issues associated with this project?
		Х		Are there inter-jurisdictional considerations?
	Х			Can you quantify the impacts of a delay in this project?

Comments / Other Considerations:

Current electrical backup systems of the remaining 13 firestations are inadequate to accomplish the backup capabilities required of modern Fire Service operations.