414LIFE Program Evaluation Plan

For 2019-2021 with Draft Plan for 2022-2025

Constance Kostelac, PhD, MS Assistant Professor Director, Division of Data Surveillance and Informatics Comprehensive Injury Center Medical College of Wisconsin

Carissa Tomas, PhD Assistant Professor Data Scientist Comprehensive Injury Center Medical College of Wisconsin





OFFICE OF VIOLENCE PREVENTION

COMPREHENSIVE INJURY CENTER







Evaluation Overview

Purpose and types of evaluation





Adapted from: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC_, Framework for program evaluation in public health. MMWR 199 knowledge changing life

Evaluation Components

Logic Model

Program inputs, activities, outputs, outcomes

Visual to demonstrate the relationship between the various project components

Evaluation ?'s

Questions that guide the evaluation

What the evaluation is designed to address

Indicators

Measures to address evaluation questions

Indicators can be used to measure inputs, process (activities, outputs) and outcomes

Quantitative or qualitative

Targets

Specific value or level for an indicator

Preferable to have a baseline to establish a target

Targets may need to adjust after a baseline is set



knowledge changing life



PROGRAM OUTCOMES

LONG-TERM OUTCOMES/

CITY OF MILWAUKEE

OFFICE OF VIOLENCE PREVENTION

Froedtert &

/IOLENC

GLOBAL

HEALTH DEPARTMENT

MEDICAL

WISCONSIN

MEDICAL COLLEGE. OF WISCONSIN

COMPREHENSIVE

INJURY CENTER

C

ACTIVITIES

Overall 414LIFE Program Logic Model

> Adapted from: Butts, J., Roman, C., Botswick, L., and Porter, J. (2015). Cure Violence: A Public Health Model to Red Gun Violence. Annual Review of Public Health 36: 39-53. Downloaded from publication.annualreviews.org.

Plan Overview

- Annual evaluation
 - Both process and outcome measures as appropriate
 - Adjustments to targets and measures as baseline data is established and based on what is learned or modified from each evaluation period
- Separate focus for community- and hospital-based parts of the program
- Carried out by the Division of Data Surveillance and Informatics (DDSI)
 - Separate from program operations
 - Hiring evaluator and data team members to support evaluation work



Evaluation Questions and Primary Input and Output Indicators

How was the 414LIFE program implemented in Milwaukee? What was the reach of the program, including by geographic area and target population?

Community-Based		Both	Hospital-Based	
<u># and location of</u> <u>mediations</u>	# and location of hours spent canvassing	Funding and # of staff by type	<u># of referrals</u> and referral <u>source</u>	Average # hours per case
<u># of participants</u> <u>entering the</u> <u>program for case</u> <u>management</u>	# of participants with identified needs and goals by type	Staff trained and content/delivery of trainings	Location of injury for program participants	# of participants issues or needs by type
# of and location of violent incidents	# of workshops and students attending in schools	Barriers and facilitators to implementation	Level of engagement for participant and family/loved ones	Involvement in TQOL or with Community-Based team

Note: Indicators that are underlined are planned starting in Phase I. All other indicators are planned for later phases of the evaluation. List is not all inclusive.

Evaluation Questions and Primary Indicators for Community-Based Program Outcomes

Did the mediation/interruption activities demonstrate % of mediations resolved or conditionally resolved after initial successful outcomes to potentially violent or or follow-up contact retaliatory situations? Did the program reach high-risk individuals as • % of participants assessed as high-risk; Change in risk level intended and assist in addressing their goals and prior to discharge % of participant goals completed by type needs? • % of participants recorded as being victims of gun violence Did program participants avoid situations involving • % of participants arrested/charged for involvement in violence violence after program participation? • % of participants indicating they avoided situations or applied non-violent responses to conflict % of community members demonstrating a motivation to Did community members in the target areas reduce acts of violence demonstrate an understanding a commitment to • % of community members indicating they are actively involved violence prevention after program implementation? in violence prevention Did the target areas demonstrate a reduction in Target areas demonstrated a more significant reduction in homicides and nonfatal shootings after program homicides and nonfatal shootings than matched comparison implementation? areas

Note: Indicators that are <u>underlined</u> are planned starting in Phase I. All other indicators are planned for later phases of the evaluation. List is not all inclusive.

Evaluation Questions and Primary Indicators for Hospital-Based Program Outcomes

Did the program reach high-risk individuals as intended and assist in addressing their goals and needs? • # of participants meeting program eligibility criteria

• # of resources or referrals provided to participants to address identified issues or needs by type

• % of identified needs where resources or referrals were offered to participants

• % of identified needs resolved by type

•% of participants who indicated they had improvements in SDoH

• % of participants with identified needs referred to substance use or mental health resources

Did program participants demonstrate significantly lower levels of reinjury and involvement in violence after program participation?

<u>% of participants reinjured due to gun violence after program participation</u>
 <u>% of participants arrested or charged for violent offenses after start of program participation</u>

Note: Indicators that are <u>underlined</u> are planned starting in Phase I. All other indicators are planned for later phases of the evaluation. List is not all inclusive.

Evaluation Plan Phases and Timeline

Community

Hospital

Phase I	Phase II	Phase III-IV
 Initial feasibility & implementation Process evaluation Program period: Jan 2019-Jul 2021 Target date: Dec 2022 	 Process and initial outcomes Program period: Aug 2021-Jul 2022 with min 1 year follow-up Target date: Dec 2023 	 Process and outcomes Program period: Aug 2022-Jul 2023/2024 with 1–2+ year follow-up Target date: Dec 2024/Dec 2025
Phase I	Phase II	Phase III-IV

Important Considerations

- Phase I Evaluation for Community-Based Program:
 - Focus on process and program outputs for feasibility phase
 - Understand implementation, reach with target population, geographic distribution of outreach, mediations
- Phase I Evaluation for Hospital Program:
 - Focus on process, program outputs, and initial outcomes for program
- Evaluation will expand in later phases:
 - Transition to new Cure Violence database in August 2021
 - Reflect program expansion in 2022
 - Reflect program changes (e.g. focus in 2022 on Old North Milwaukee and Walker's Point)
 - Indicators and targets may be adjusted each year as the evaluation work progresses



Dissemination Plan

The following items are currently planned for dissemination of the evaluation reports:

- Written evaluation report
- Executive summary and/or infographic of key findings
- Presentation of results to key stakeholder groups
- Presentation of results to Milwaukee Common Council

Evaluation findings will be available and accessible to the community and a variety of audiences

