BMD-100

P	roject/Program Title:	Neighborhood Library - N Construction		Requesting Depai	rtment: Milwa	ukee Public Library
P	repared By/Phone Ext:	Taj Schoening, ext. 3024		Department Head	Signature:	aula Beiles
A	ccount No:	LB145120100				
A)	Department Priority	1 of 7 Usefu	I Life Varies	Years Level of I	Need 🗸 Essential	☐ Important ☐ Desired
	<u></u>	New Replacement On-Going Program] Repair I	Project/Program So	cope Fully Defined	d Partially Defined
B)	Infrastructure Street Related Sidewalks Building Roof Window ADA Office R Miscellaneous Develo	Alleys Brown HVAC Electrons Remodeling New	/ater ridge rical Restr Building Eleva	 -,	Communications Port Exterior Mechanical	☐ Recreation ☑ Parking ☑ Entire Facility
C)	Project/Program Duran One Year On-Going Program Multi-Year	Yes No	ımber of Years	7		
D)	Total Positions Position Title	Total FTEs	No. of Position	ns FTE 	s Salarie:	s \$ \$ \$
E) [In Six Year Capital Imp Yes 2010-2015	rovement Plan 2011-2016	✓ Yes, Modifie	ed New Rec	quest	
F)	Project/Program Justifi Eight of the twelve branch lib mid-1990's and three have n renovations. As part of the L Library models. These new b technology. The mixed-use of business development. Thes support the learn and earn st collection-related services. As	praries were built between 196 ever been upgraded. In some buildings will be much more en model will serve as an anchor se "Learning Campus" models rategy. Area libraries will be	e cases, the building the sto the future of the store the future of the store the store the sto	ngs do not justify the in the system, MPL will I flexible, able to be ad support early literacy, of with specialized colle I d to provide a higher I	nvestment needed for he replace some buildings dapted to meet changing educational attainment, ctions and individual arevel of demand for refe	IVAC replacements and using mixed-use or Area g needs of citizens and workforce and small and group study spaces to rence training and
	Additional Comments Funding in 2011 and 2012 will funding for construction of one funding for the construction of mixed use library building is b	e area library for the northwes f a second area library on the	st side of the City.	and one express cent	er. In 2014 and 2015 to	he hudget request includes

Requesting Department:	Milwaukee Public Library	Library						
Project/Program Title:	Neighborhood Library	ary - New Construction	tion		Account No:	o: LB145120100	00	
Year Remaining Ralance for 2011	Ta	Tax Levy/Borrowing	Grant & Aid	k Aid	Revenue	Special Assessment	Enterprise	Total Cost
								0\$
ZUIZ Buaget Request	_1	\$4,000,000						\$4,000,000
2013 Projection		\$10,368,000						\$10,368,000
2014 Projection		\$3,000,000						\$3,000,000
2015 Projection		\$11,430,000						\$11,430,000
2016 Projection		\$500,000						\$500,000
2017 Projection		\$2,900,000						\$2,900,000
Total Six Year Cost		\$32,198,000		\$0	0\$	0\$	0\$	\$32,198,000
Total Project Cost		\$32,198,000		0\$	80	0\$	0\$	\$32,198,000
Life to Date Expenditures (Project Only)	ct Only)	\$0		\$0	0\$	0\$	0\$	0\$
Available Cost Estimate: Thorough Cost Estimate Limited Information Based on Cost of Similar Projects Unsupported	2012 2012 C C C C	2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	26 20 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	5 0 0 0 0	504 D D D D	2017		
Were cost estimates confirmed by another source? Are cost estimates based on industry standards? Will city employees be performing any portion of the work? Did you perform a cost/benefit analysis?	y another source? ustry standards? g any portion of the w nalysis?	ork?	2	2 2 2 2	Uncertain Uncertain Uncertain Uncertain			
How will this project impact city operating expenditures?	perating expenditure	\$?	☐ Increase	e 🗸 Decrease	rease None			
Estimated Start Date: Estimated Completion Date:	2011							
			Department Head Signature Prepared By/Phone Ext	Head Signa //Phone Ext	ture	Taj Schoening x3024	The state of the s	

Department:	Milwaukee Public Library	Date Submitted:	3/28/2011
Project/Program:	Neighborhood Library - New Construction		
Prepared By:	Taj Schoening	Current Request:	\$4,000,000
Dept Head:	Paula A. Kiely	6 Yr Total:	\$32,198,000

General Project/Program Description:

The MPL Facilities Plan looks to the future of the system, replacing some buildings with mixed-use or Area Library models. The plan includes construction of three mixed-use, two area and two express centers. We are currently completing the first mixed-use library at the Villard Square project. The second mixed-use library will replace East Library. An RFP will solicit development projects.

Whenever possible, please quantify the impact of the project in either the amount column or the comment section of each area. Supporting documentation does not need to be submitted with the request but should be available upon request. Please see Capital Guidelines for detailed descriptions of each area of emphasis and additional considerations.

ΙY	es	No	N/A	Amount	Health & Safety
<u> </u>		X			Does the project directly reduce risks to people or property?
<u> </u>		X	<u>.</u>		Does the project directly promote improved health or safety?
		Х			Does the project mitigate an immediate risk?
			~	•	

Comments / Other Considerations:

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Regulatory Compliance
********	X			Does the project address a legislative, regulatory or court-ordered mandate?
	х		***************************************	Does the project promote long-term regulatory compliance?
	Х			Will there be serious negative impact on the City if compliance is not achieved?
	х			Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory concern?
Comm	ante /	Othor	Considerations	

Comments / Other Considerations:

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Impact on Operational / Capital Budget
		ļ.	See note	What return on investment will this project generate?
			# 00000 0000 0000000000000000000000000	What is the expected payback period for this project?
	•••••	ļ	***************************************	Does the project minimize life-cycle costs?
	×		***************************************	Will the facility require additional personnel to operate?
х			***************************************	Will the project lead to a reduction in operating costs?
x	to compression of the con-			Will the project lead to increased productivity or service improvements?
	X			Will the facility require significant annual maintenance?
	×			Will the new facility require additional equipment or the construction of additional infrastructure not included in the project budget?
	Х			Is there a revenue generating opportunity? (e.g. user fees)
X			Increased efficiency	Will the project result in a reduction or increase in energy use?
x				Does the project involve specific energy reduction strategies or features?
	Х			Will this project cause disruptions to regular city operations?
į	х			Are there other potential costs associated with this project that are not addressed above?

Comments / Other Considerations:

The Budget Office prepared a cost/benefit analysis of the MPL facility plan that showed the benefit to the City of this approach (10.4% savings in present value terms). While there is an initial cost the resulting buildings will offer reduced operating costs. If this approach is not taken MPL will have to invest in existing buildings that have reached the end of life for mechanical systems and interiors without achieving operating savings.

Project/Program: Neighborhood Library - New Construction

Whenever possible, please quantify / describe the impact of the project in either the amount column or the comment section of each area. Supporting documentation does not need to be submitted with the request but should be available upon request. Please see Capital Guidelines for detailed descriptions of each area of emphasis and additional considerations.

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Compliance with Area Plans - The Common Council has adopted Comprehensive Area Plans. CIC Guidelines document a link to those plans on the DCD website.
x				is the project in conformance with and supportive of the goals, objectives and strategies of any applica Comprehensive Plan, special study, survey, committee or board?
x				Does the project increase or enhance educational opportunities for City of Milwaukee citizens?
x				Does the project increase or enhance recreational opportunities and/or green space?
x				Will the project mitigate blight?
x				Does the project target the quality of life of all citizens or does it target one demographic?
	x			Is one population affected positively and another negatively?
		х		Does the project preserve or improve the historical or natural heritage of the City?
x				Is the project consistent with established community character?
	X			Does the project expand the range of transportation, employment, and housing choices in a fiscally responsible manner?
	×			Does the project improve, mitigate or prevent degradation of environmental quality (e.g. water quality, improve or reduce pollution including noise and/or light pollution)?

Comments / Other Considerations;

The Area Plans call for institutional assets that support training, education and long term community development, such as public libraries. New construction will enhance neighborhoods and may mitigate areas of blight depending upon the specific location selected.

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Infrastructure - Primarily recurring infrastructure and facilities preservation programs
				How does the request effect the pertinent replacement cycle ? Provide specifics below.
×				Has the facility being replaced exceeded its useful life?
	х			Does this project extend the useful life of an existing facility?
		X		Do maintenance costs exceed replacement costs? (See Below)
		х		Have you documented costs of unplanned or corrective maintenance related to the facility?
х				Does the project incorporate new technology that will provide enhanced service?
		x		Does the project extend service for new development or redevelopment?
	x			Will this project improve the functionality or service life of other related infrastructure?

Comments / Other Considerations:

While the capital investment required for this plan is substantial, the long term savings derived from more energy efficient building and operational changes exceeds it. This plan has the support of the Milwaukee Public Library Board of Trustees.

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Economic / Community Development
×				Does the project have the potential to promote economic/community development in areas where growth desired?
×				VVIII the project continue to promote or enhance economic/community development in an already developed area?
×				Is the net impact of the project positive?
	X		See Comment	Would an alternate location for this project provide a greater positive economic impact?
х				Will the project produce desirable jobs in the City?
x				Will the project rejuvenate an area that needs assistance?
		х		Will the project promote the equitable distribution of the costs and benefits of development?

Comments / Other Considerations:

Locations will be determined when capital funds are available for purchase.

Υ	es	No	N/A	Amount	Special Considerations	
					Is there a significant external funding source that can only be used for this project and/or which will be los not used immediately (e.g. proffers, grants through various federal or state initiatives, and private donations	
,	x	••••			Are there critical timing issues associated with this project?	
		X			Are there inter-jurisdictional considerations?	İ
]2	x				Can you quantify the impacts of a delay in this project?	

Comments / Other Considerations:

If we do not proceed with this plan or it is delayed the operational savings will be eliminated or reduced and expenditures for upgrades to the existing buildings must taken on. Federal tax credits similar to those used in the Villard Square Branch Library development may be available depending on partnerships and location.

BMD-100

F	Project/Program Title:	Central Library Improvements Fund - Mechanical Segment	Requesting Department:	Milwaukee Public Library
P	repared By/Phone Ext:	Taj Schoening, ext. 3024	Department Head Signature	Paula Kieles
A	ccount No:	LB141120100		<u> </u>
A	Department Priority	2 of 7 Useful Life Varies	Years Level of Need Essentia	al Important Desired
	1	New 🗹 Replacement 🗹 Repair On-Going Program	Project/Program Scope Fully De	efined
В	Infrastructure Street Related Sidewalks Building Roof Windo	Remodeling New Building Eleva	☐ Street Lighting ☐ Communication☐ Environmental ☐ Port Troom ☑ Security ☐ Exterior ators ☐ Garage ☑ Mechanic	Parking Entire Facility
			Other	
C)	One Year On-Going Program Multi-Year	Ation Yes ✓ No Yes No No Number of Years D.2 Total FTEs 0.2		
		Operations Manager No. of Position	ns <u>0.2</u> FTEs <u>0.2</u> Sal	ss
E)	In Six Year Capital Imp Yes 2010-2015		ed	
F)	from 35 to 50 years, building energy use and repair costs. the cooling tower in 1970. T equipment using variable air not ADA compliant and parts	fication ilding's mechanical equipment and systems resp fire safety, security and elevators. The useful life g management controls and security systems ab a. The Central Library uses 7 chillers and 1 coolir The HVAC system uses 24 air handling units; se r volume and new controls will improve energy e s are increasingly difficult to obtain. The fire alan and strobes to meet ADA codes and are not lini	e of major HVAC equipment, electrical tra- cout 15 years. Updating aged equipment ing tower to cool the building. The oldest even of them installed in 1955. Replacement ifficiency. There are four elevators originates in the public areas were upgraded during.	with new technology lowers chiller was installed in 1985 and ent of these units with new al to the 1953 annex. They are
	and 2014, replacement of the	ed funding for replacement of air handling units is cooling tower in 2013; replacement of a 350 to ree air handling units because they are located v	n chiller in 2016, and upgrading an elevat	to the fire alarm system in 2013 tor in 2014. In 2017 we have

Requesting Department:	Milwaukee Public Library	lic Library						
Project/Program Title:	Central Library Improvements Fund - Mechanical Segment	mprovements	und - Mechan	ical Segment	Account No:	o: LB141120100	0100	
Year		Tax Levy/Borrowing		Grant & Aid	Revenue	Special Assessment	Enterprise	Total Cost
Remaining Balance for 2011							H	80
2012 Budget Request		\$237,000	000					\$237,000
2013 Projection	S. 000-00	\$1,028,000	000,					\$1,028,000
2014 Projection		\$1,028,000	000					\$1,028,000
2015 Projection		\$1,050,000	000'					\$1,050,000
2016 Projection	 1.0	\$650,000	000					\$650,000
2017 Projection		\$2,530,000	000					\$2,530,000
Total Six Year Cost		\$6,523,000	000'	0\$	0\$		20 80	\$6,523,000
Total Project Cost		\$6,523,000	000	\$0	80		0\$ 0\$	\$6,523,000
Life to Date Expenditures (Project Only)	ect Only)		0\$	\$0	0\$		0\$ 0\$	0\$ (
Available Cost Estimate: Thorough Cost Estimate Limited Information Based on Cost of Similar Projects Unsupported	2012	2	5 🗆 🗆 🖸	2018	201 6	2017		
Were cost estimates confirmed by another source? Are cost estimates based on industry standards? Will city employees be performing any portion of the work? Did you perform a cost/benefit analysis?	by another source dustry standards? ing any portion of tl analysis?	? ne work?	2 2 C C S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	8 8 8 8	Uncertain Uncertain Uncertain Uncertain			
How will this project impact city operating expenditures?	operating expendi	tures?		☐ Increase ☑ De	∠ Decrease None			
Estimated Start Date: Estimated Completion Date:	Ongoing	oing oing	Departi Prepar	Department Head Signature	ture	Taj Schoening x3024		Jr.

Department:	Milwaukee Public Library	Date Submitted:	3/28/2011
Project/Program:	Central Library Improvements Fund - Mechanical Segment		
Prepared By:	Taj Schoening	Current Request:	\$237,000
Dept Head:	Paula A. Kiely	6 Yr Total:	\$6,523,000

General Project/Program Description:

Replacement and upgrading mechanical equipment and systems that are responsible for the safety and comfort of building occupants: HVAC, electrical, building controls, fire safety, security and elevators.

Whenever possible, please quantify the impact of the project in either the amount column or the comment section of each area. Supporting documentation does not need to be submitted with the request but should be available upon request. Please see Capital Guidelines for detailed descriptions of each area of emphasis and additional considerations.

ı	Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Health & Safety
	X				Does the project directly reduce risks to people or property?
l		х			Does the project directly promote improved health or safety?
l	**********	X			Does the project mitigate an immediate risk?
1	^		~		

Comments / Other Considerations:

Fire and security systems are critical to the safety of people using the building.

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Regulatory Compliance
	X			Does the project address a legislative, regulatory or court-ordered mandate?
	Х			Does the project promote long-term regulatory compliance?
	Х			Will there be serious negative impact on the City if compliance is not achieved?
	Х			Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory concern?
C = ====		OH.	O ! 1 ! !	

Comments / Other Considerations:

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Impact on Operational / Capital Budget
		ļ <u>.</u>	•••••	What return on investment will this project generate?
ter anatolice at atacher	hilananane		t til fill det til til det til store store store store store store store til store til store til store til sto	What is the expected payback period for this project?
			***************************************	Does the project minimize life-cycle costs?
	x		***************************************	Will the facility require additional personnel to operate?
X		<u> </u>	•••••	Will the project lead to a reduction in operating costs?
X	*******		and the second s	Will the project lead to increased productivity or service improvements?
	X	ļ	***************************************	Will the facility require significant annual maintenance?
	X			Will the new facility require additional equipment or the construction of additional infrastructure not included in the project budget?
	X			Is there a revenue generating opportunity? (e.g. user fees)
X	Mariana ang ayan		Reduction	Will the project result in a reduction or increase in energy use?
x				Does the project involve specific energy reduction strategies or features?
	X		••••	Will this project cause disruptions to regular city operations?
	x			Are there other potential costs associated with this project that are not addressed above?

Comments / Other Considerations:

This program involves the regular replacement of aging equipment with new technology which lowers energy use and ongoing repair costs.

Project/Program: Central Library Improvements Fund - Mechanical Segment

Whenever possible, please quantify / describe the impact of the project in either the amount column or the comment section of each area. Supporting documentation does not need to be submitted with the request but should be available upon request. Please see Capital Guidelines for detailed descriptions of each area of emphasis and additional considerations.

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Compliance with Area Plans - The Common Council has adopted Comprehensive Area Plans. CIC Guidelines document a link to those plans on the DCD website.
		x		Is the project in conformance with and supportive of the goals, objectives and strategies of any applicate Comprehensive Plan, special study, survey, committee or board?
		×		Does the project increase or enhance educational opportunities for City of Milwaukee citizens?
		x		Does the project increase or enhance recreational opportunities and/or green space?
		x		Will the project mitigate blight?
į		x		Does the project target the quality of life of all citizens or does it target one demographic?
		x		is one population affected positively and another negatively?
		х		Does the project preserve or improve the historical or natural heritage of the City?
		х		is the project consistent with established community character?
		×		Does the project expand the range of transportation, employment, and housing choices in a fiscally responsible manner?
		×		Does the project improve, mitigate or prevent degradation of environmental quality (e.g. water quality, improve or reduce pollution including noise and/or light pollution)?

Comments / Other Considerations:

The Central Library is a significant factor in the vitality of the downtown area, the City and regionally. It draws over 500,000 visitors annually. It is on the Historic Register and must be maintained.

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Infrastructure - Primarily recurring infrastructure and facilities preservation programs	
				How does the request effect the pertinent replacement cycle ? Provide specifics below.	
	x			Has the facility being replaced exceeded its useful life?	
x				Does this project extend the useful life of an existing facility?	
	х			Do maintenance costs exceed replacement costs? (See Below)	
	X			Have you documented costs of unplanned or corrective maintenance related to the facility?	
	X			Does the project incorporate new technology that will provide enhanced service?	
	X			Does the project extend service for new development or redevelopment?	
	x			Will this project improve the functionality or service life of other related infrastructure?	

Comments / Other Considerations:

If replacement of aging equipment is deferred, the City risks additional expenditures for emergency repairs adding to the overall cost.

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Economic / Community Development
	×			Does the project have the potential to promote economic/community development in areas where growth desired?
x				Will the project continue to promote or enhance economic/community development in an already develope area?
х				Is the net impact of the project positive?
		×		Would an alternate location for this project provide a greater positive economic impact?
x				Will the project produce desirable jobs in the City?
	x			Will the project rejuvenate an area that needs assistance?
	х			Will the project promote the equitable distribution of the costs and benefits of development?

Comments / Other Considerations:

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Special Considerations
	x			Is there a significant external funding source that can only be used for this project and/or which will be lose of not used immediately (e.g. proffers, grants through various federal or state initiatives, and private donations)?
	x			Are there critical timing issues associated with this project?
	x			Are there inter-jurisdictional considerations?
	х			Can you quantify the impacts of a delay in this project?
Comm	ents /	Other	Considerations:	

BMD-100

Capital Improvement Request Form Part I

Pr	oject/Program Title:	Exterior Segment Requesting Department: Milwaukee Public Library
Pr	epared By/Phone Ext:	Taj Schoening, ext. 3024 Department Head Signature.
Ac	count No:	LB141120100
A)	Department Priority	3 of 7 Useful Life Varies Years Level of Need Essential Important Desired
		New Replacement Repair Project/Program Scope Fully Defined Partially Defined On-Going Program
B)	Miscellaneous Devel	Remodeling New Building Elevators Garage Mechanical
C)	Project/Program Dura One Year On-Going Program Multi-Year	tion ☐ Yes ☑ No ☑ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes ☑ No Number of Years
D)	Total Positions	Total FTEs Salaries \$ No. of Positions FTEs Salaries \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$
E) [In Six Year Capital Imp Yes 2010-2015	Provement Plan 2011-2016
	windows, lighting, and ro surfaces, eroding the joir systematically repairing s avoids increased damage	ses the facade of the Central Library (limestone block and balusters, Chicago brick, and marble block), ofs. Years of weathering has resulted in cracks, which allow moisture to seep behind stone and masonry ats and breaking off pieces of the stone detail. The Library has approached preservation of the exterior by sections of the building. Tuckpointing and replacement of stone or brick extends the life of the structure and a and possible injury from falling debris. Repairs will last for about 50 years. There are multiple roofs on the blacement and lighting that was most recently upgraded in 2003.
)	Additional Comments	

G

The interior courtyards had tuckpointing and brick repairs done previously. In 2008 the Wisconsin Avenue facade was repaired, which included replacement of entry sills and many stone balusters. In 2010 renovation work was completed on the Centennial Hall ADA entry and the drive up area. In 2010 the exterior was also repainted. In 2011 the masonry on the East Facade will be repaired by cleaning, tuckpointing, replacement of some elements and sealing. Budget requests for 2012 through 2015 reflect continuation of the masonry repairs. The funding request for 2015 through 2017 will allow roof replacement. All of this work is absolutely critical to preserve the building structure.

Requesting Department: Milwar	Milwaukee Public Library	brary								
Project/Program Title: Central	Library Impr	Central Library Improvements Fund - Exterior Segment	- Exterior Se	gment	Account No:	lo: LB141120100	20100			
Year	Tax Le	Levy/Borrowing	Grant & Aid	k Aid	Revenue	Special Assessment		Enterprise	Total Cost	1
Remaining Balance for 2011									0\$	_
2012 Budget Request		\$100,000							\$100,000	_
2013 Projection		\$130,000							\$130,000	_
2014 Projection		\$130,000							\$130,000	_
2015 Projection		\$450,000							\$450,000	_
2016 Projection		\$450,000							\$450,000	
2017 Projection		\$500,000					-		\$500,000	
Total Six Year Cost		\$1,760,000		0\$	0\$		0\$	0\$	\$1,760,000	_
Total Project Cost		\$1,760,000		\$0	80		0\$	\$0	\$1,760,000	_
	L								4	,
Life to Date Expenditures (Project Only)		\$0		\$0	\$0		\$0	0\$	Ö\$	
Available Cost Estimate: Thorough Cost Estimate Limited Information Based on Cost of Similar Projects Unsupported	50	5013	201 201 201 201 201 201 201 201 201 201	5018	2016	2017				
Were cost estimates confirmed by another source? Are cost estimates based on industry standards? Will city employees be performing any portion of the work? Did you perform a cost/benefit analysis?	er source? ndards? ortion of the wo	,		8 8 8 8 7 7 7	Uncertain Uncertain Uncertain Uncertain					
How will this project impact city operating expenditures?	g expenditures'	<i>~</i>	☐ Increase	se 🔲 Decrease	rease 🗹 None					
Estimated Start Date: Estimated Completion Date:	Ongoing		Department) Department Head Signature	ature	an further than the same of th				1
			Prepared By/Phone Ext	v/Phone Ext	اف	Tai Schoening x3024				

Department:	Milwaukee Public Library	Date Submitted:	3/28/2011
Project/Program:	Central Library Improvements Fund - Exterior Segment		
Prepared By:	Taj Schoening	Current Request:	\$100,000
Dept Head:	Paula A. Kiely	6 Yr Total:	\$1,760,000

General Project/Program Description:

The work involves restoration, repair and replacement of the exterior façade of the Central Library: limestone block and balusters; Chicago brick; marble block; windows; lighting, and roofs.

Whenever possible, please quantify the impact of the project in either the amount column or the comment section of each area. Supporting documentation does not need to be submitted with the request but should be available upon request. Please see Capital Guidelines for detailed descriptions of each area of emphasis and additional considerations.

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Health & Safety
х				Does the project directly reduce risks to people or property?
	×			Does the project directly promote improved health or safety?
	X			Does the project mitigate an immediate risk?

Comments / Other Considerations:

Years of weathering has resulted in cracks which allow moisture to seep behind stone and masonry surfaces, eroding the joints and breaking pieces of stone detail. It is very important the Library continue work to tuckpoint and replace materials as needed.

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Regulatory Compliance
*******	X	***		Does the project address a legislative, regulatory or court-ordered mandate?
	X			Does the project promote long-term regulatory compliance?
	X			Will there be serious negative impact on the City if compliance is not achieved?
	x			Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory concern?

Comments / Other Considerations:

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Impact on Operational / Capital Budget
				What return on investment will this project generate?
	***********	***********		What is the expected payback period for this project?
	Х			Does the project minimize life-cycle costs?
	X			Will the facility require additional personnel to operate?
	X			Will the project lead to a reduction in operating costs?
	Х	***********	***************************************	Will the project lead to increased productivity or service improvements?
	Х			Will the facility require significant annual maintenance?
	x			Will the new facility require additional equipment or the construction of additional infrastructure not included in the project budget?
	Х			Is there a revenue generating opportunity? (e.g. user fees)
	X			Will the project result in a reduction or increase in energy use?
	X			Does the project involve specific energy reduction strategies or features?
<u></u>	х			Will this project cause disruptions to regular city operations?
	×			Are there other potential costs associated with this project that are not addressed above?

Comments / Other Considerations:

Replacement of roofs will allow increased insulation to be added thereby reducing energy consumption. This has not been quantified. Moisture in the interior of walls or ceilings creates potential destruction of structural components. Leaks into the building can damage materials and furniture which will have to be repaired and/or replaced.

Project/Program: Central Library Improvements Fund - Exterior Segment

Whenever possible, please quantify / describe the impact of the project in either the amount column or the comment section of each area. Supporting documentation does not need to be submitted with the request but should be available upon request. Please see Capital Guidelines for detailed descriptions of each area of emphasis and additional considerations.

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Compliance with Area Plans - The Common Council has adopted Comprehensive Area Plans. CIC Guidelines document a link to those plans on the DCD website.
x	***********			Is the project in conformance with and supportive of the goals, objectives and strategies of any applicat Comprehensive Plan, special study, survey, committee or board?
х		ļ	******************************	Does the project increase or enhance educational opportunities for City of Milwaukee citizens?
x	er or many a suppose			Does the project increase or enhance recreational opportunities and/or green space?
	X			Will the project mitigate blight?
			All	Does the project target the quality of life of all citizens or does it target one demographic?
	x			Is one population affected positively and another negatively?
x		economica de la comoción de la comoc	Historic	Does the project preserve or improve the historical or natural heritage of the City?
х			*************	is the project consistent with established community character?
	x			Does the project expand the range of transportation, employment, and housing choices in a fiscally responsible manner?
	×			Does the project improve, mitigate or prevent degradation of environmental quality (e.g. water quality, improve or reduce pollution including noise and/or light pollution)?

Comments / Other Considerations:

The Central Library is a significant factor in the vitality of the downtown area, the City and regionally. It draws over 500,000 visitors annually. It is on the Historic Register and must be maintained.

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Infrastructure - Primarily recurring infrastructure and facilities preservation programs
				How does the request effect the pertinent replacement cycle ? Provide specifics below.
	Х		******************************	Has the facility being replaced exceeded its useful life?
x				Does this project extend the useful life of an existing facility?
	x			Do maintenance costs exceed replacement costs? (See Below)
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	X			Have you documented costs of unplanned or corrective maintenance related to the facility?
	x			Does the project incorporate new technology that will provide enhanced service?
	x			Does the project extend service for new development or redevelopment?
	x			Will this project improve the functionality or service life of other related infrastructure?

Comments / Other Considerations:

110	N/A	Amount	Economic / Community Development
			Does the project have the potential to promote economic/community development in areas where growth desired?
			Will the project continue to promote or enhance economic/community development in an already developed area?
			is the net impact of the project positive?
	X		Would an alternate location for this project provide a greater positive economic impact?
			Will the project produce desirable jobs in the City?
x			Will the project rejuvenate an area that needs assistance?
	x		Will the project promote the equitable distribution of the costs and benefits of development?
	x	x x	X X X

Comments / Other Considerations:

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Special Considerations
	x			Is there a significant external funding source that can only be used for this project and/or which will be lost not used immediately (e.g. proffers, grants through various federal or state initiatives, and private donations)
x				Are there critical timing issues associated with this project?
	X			Are there inter-jurisdictional considerations?
	х			Can you quantify the impacts of a delay in this project?

Comments / Other Considerations:

The Library has approached the preservation of this building by systematically repairing/replacing sections rather than trying to do the entire building at once. Given this approach it is very important that progress continue.

BMD-100

Capital Improvement Request Form Part I

P	roject/Program Title:	Central Library Improvements Fund - Interior Segment	Requesting Department: Milwaukee Public Library	
Р	repared By/Phone Ext:	Taj Schoening, ext. 3024	Department Head Signature	<u> </u>
A	ccount No:	LB141120100	Tank 1/ Yill	ð
A	Department Priority	4 of 7 Useful Life Varies	Years Level of Need Essential Important Desired	_
		New 🗹 Replacement 🔽 Repair On-Going Program	Project/Program Scope Fully Defined Partially Defined	
B)	Infrastructure Street Related Sidewalks Building Roof Window ADA Office R Miscellaneous Develop	Remodeling New Building Eleva pment prmation Systems Equipment		-
	On-Going Program Multi-Year	✓ Yes No Number of Years		···.
D)	Position Title	Total FTEs No. of Position	ns FTEs Salaries \$ \$ \$	***************************************
E)	In Six Year Capital Impr Yes ☑ 2010-2015	rovement Plan ☑ 2011-2016 ☑ Yes, Modifie	ed	
F)	imperative that the City maint Milwaukee as well as the regischeduled repair and replacer traffic wears areas out that cathe scagliola columns have cr systematically repair sections	storation, preservation, and renovation of the in- organic and modernization; lighting upgrades; tain the interior spaces as well as restore historion receiving over 500,000 visitors each year. ment. While the life of carpet, hard surface float create tripping hazards. In the main rotundar acked or pulled away from the substrate. The of these areas every year. The Central Librar	nterior of the Central Library including: repairs to mosaic tile and scagliola and key card access. As a significant public and historic building it is ric materials. The Central Library is a significant factor in the vitality of The impact from such a high level of use by the public necessitates poring and paint are extended by repairing damaged areas the constant a portions of Library the mosaic tiles have popped out and many areas of Library's approach to restoration of the scagliola and mosaic tile is to be y uses a manual key system for all interior and exterior door locks.	

G) Additional Comments

In 2012 we have requested funding that will allow us to update flooring, ceiling, lighting and walls in a staff area on the third floor that has not been updated since the 1960's. Aside from adding computers the area that our professional librarians work has not been updated or renovated in 50 years. In 2013 and 2014 we will replace carpeting on the first floor that was installed in 1996 and 1998. In 2015 the Business, Science and Technology area which was renovated in 2000 is scheduled for replacement of carpet and painting. In 2016 we will have the dome in the main rotunda repaired and repainted. To improve security and eliminate the need to make new keys and rekey door locks we are proposing installation of a card access system. This type of system allows the Library to issue access cards to library staff that can be programmed to limit access and easily make changes, rather than issuing multiple keys. This project has been postponed till 2013 due to funding constraints.

Requesting Department:	Milwaukee Public Library	ic Library						
Project/Program Title:	Central Library	Central Library Improvements Fund - Interior Segment	nd - Interior Se	egment	Account No:	o: LB141120100		
Year	٠ ١	Tax Levy/Borrowing	J Grant & Aid	. Aid	Revenue	Special Assessment	Enterprise	Total Cost
Remaining Balance for 2011								0\$
2012 Budget Request		\$800,000						\$800,000
2013 Projection		\$825,000						\$825,000
2014 Projection		\$400,000						\$400,000
2015 Projection		\$525,000						\$525,000
2016 Projection		\$500,000						\$500,000
2017 Projection		\$200,000						\$200,000
Total Six Year Cost		\$3,250,000		\$	80	0\$	8	\$3,250,000
Total Project Cost		\$3,250,000		\$0	80	80	0\$	\$3,250,000
Life to Date Expenditures (Project Only)	ct Only)	0\$		\$0	0\$	0\$	0\$	0\$
Available Cost Estimate: Thorough Cost Estimate Limited Information Based on Cost of Similar Projects Unsupported	2012 	5 0 0 0 0	<u>\$</u> 0	2018	504	2017 		
Were cost estimates confirmed by another source? Are cost estimates based on industry standards? Will city employees be performing any portion of the work? Did you perform a cost/benefit analysis?	oy another source? ustry standards? ig any portion of th nalysis?	e work?	√ es	2 2 2 2 	Uncertain Uncertain Uncertain Uncertain			
How will this project impact city operating expenditures?	operating expendit	ures?	Increase	e 🔲 Decrease	rease J None			
Estimated Start Date: Estimated Completion Date:	Ongoing Ongoing	Bu Bu						
			Department Head Signature	Head Signs	ature	aula	Kini	
			Prepared By/Phone Ext	//Phone Ext	J	Taj Schoening x3024	9	

Department:	Milwaukee Public Library	Date Submitted:	3/28/2011
Project/Program:	Central Library Improvements Fund - Interior Segment		
Prepared By:	Taj Schoening	Current Request:	\$800,000
Dept Head:	Paula A. Kiely	6 Yr Total:	\$3,250,000

General Project/Program Description:

Restoration, preservation and renovation of the interior of the Central Library including: repairs to mosaic tile floors and scagliola in the rotunda; renovation, modernization and upgrades of interior finishes; lighting and key access. The Central Library is on the Historic Register and as such is a significant public and historic building that serves the city and the region with over 500,000 visitors annually. In addition it serves as the workplace for approximately 238 library employees.

Whenever possible, please quantify the impact of the project in either the amount column or the comment section of each area. Supporting documentation does not need to be submitted with the request but should be available upon request. Please see Capital Guidelines for detailed descriptions of each area of emphasis and additional considerations.

1	Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Health & Safety
1	Х		<u>.</u>		Does the project directly reduce risks to people or property?
	х				Does the project directly promote improved health or safety?
1		X			Does the project mitigate an immediate risk?
I.	~		~!!	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	

Comments / Other Considerations:

Constant traffic creates wear and tear on all interior surfaces, especially flooring which can create tripping hazards if not properly maintained and/or replaced. The key card system is needed to ensure the safety of staff and the security of the building and the property within.

	Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Regulatory Compliance
		X		***************************************	Does the project address a legislative, regulatory or court-ordered mandate?
ı		X			Does the project promote long-term regulatory compliance?
١		X			Will there be serious negative impact on the City if compliance is not achieved?
l		x			Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory concern?
ı	<u> </u>		~ 11		

Comments / Other Considerations:

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Impact on Operational / Capital Budget
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	x	***************************************	What return on investment will this project generate?
		X	ta di tanàna di Bandada di Santa di Sa	What is the expected payback period for this project?
	X	ļ	*****************************	Does the project minimize life-cycle costs?
	x	ļ		Will the facility require additional personnel to operate?
	X	ļ		Will the project lead to a reduction in operating costs?
x	e de la companie de		ting the state of	Will the project lead to increased productivity or service improvements?
	X			Will the facility require significant annual maintenance?
	X			Will the new facility require additional equipment or the construction of additional infrastructure not included in the project budget?
	Х	·	***************************************	Is there a revenue generating opportunity? (e.g. user fees)
*****	X		t til til til til til til til til til ti	Will the project result in a reduction or increase in energy use?
	х		***************************************	Does the project involve specific energy reduction strategies or features?
	х			Will this project cause disruptions to regular city operations?
	х			Are there other potential costs associated with this project that are not addressed above?

Comments / Other Considerations:

Non-public staff work areas have not been renovated or updated since the late 1960's or early 1970's. Improvements in the physical work environment are expected to lead to general productivity improvements.

Project/Program: Central Library Improvements Fund - Interior Segment

Whenever possible, please quantify / describe the impact of the project in either the amount column or the comment section of each area. Supporting documentation does not need to be submitted with the request but should be available upon request. Please see Capital Guidelines for detailed descriptions of each area of emphasis and additional considerations.

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Compliance with Area Plans - The Common Council has adopted Comprehensive Area Plans. CIC Guidelines document a link to those plans on the DCD website.
x	*********			is the project in conformance with and supportive of the goals, objectives and strategies of any applicat Comprehensive Plan, special study, survey, committee or board?
x				Does the project increase or enhance educational opportunities for City of Milwaukee citizens?
x				Does the project increase or enhance recreational opportunities and/or green space?
	x		***************************************	Will the project mitigate blight?
			All	Does the project target the quality of life of all citizens or does it target one demographic?
	×			Is one population affected positively and another negatively?
x			Historic	Does the project preserve or improve the historical or natural heritage of the City?
x				is the project consistent with established community character?
	×			Does the project expand the range of transportation, employment, and housing choices in a fiscally responsible manner?
	×			Does the project improve, mitigate or prevent degradation of environmental quality (e.g. water quality, improve or reduce pollution including noise and/or light pollution)?

Comments / Other Considerations:

The Central Library is a significant factor in the vitality of the downtown area, the City and regionally. It draws over 500,000 visitors annually. It is on the Historic Register and must be maintained.

Yes	No	N/A	•	Infrastructure - Primarily recurring infrastructure and facilities preservation organs
1			ļ	How does the request effect the pertinent replacement cycle ? Provide specifics below.
	x	*	!	Has the facility being replaced exceeded its useful life?
×				Does this project extend the useful life of an existing facility?
	х		See note below.	Do maintenance costs exceed replacement costs? (See Below)
	x			Have you documented costs of unplanned or corrective maintenance related to the fac⊪tty?
×				Does the project incorporate new technology that will provide enhanced service?
L	x			Does the project extend service for new development or redevelopment?
	x			Will this project improve the functionality or service life of other related infrastructure?

Comments / Other Considerations:

Installation of a new key access system will address the problem of rekeying various doors in the building. Access to individual rooms in the 457,000 square foot building is controled with "change" keys but we are at the limit of what variations can be made in the key system. This reduces the level of security for staff and items within the building.

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Economic / Community Development
		×		Does the project have the potential to promote economic/community development in areas where growth desired?
х				Will the project continue to promote or enhance economic/community development in an already developed area?
х				Is the net impact of the project positive?
		x		Would an alternate location for this project provide a greater positive economic impact?
x				Will the project produce desirable jobs in the City?
		х		Will the project rejuvenate an area that needs assistance?
		x		Will the project promote the equitable distribution of the costs and benefits of development?

Comments / Other Considerations:

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Special Considerations	
				is there a significant external funding source that can only be used for this project and/or which will be los	if
	×		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	not used immediately (e.g. proffers, grants through various federal or state initiatives, and private donations)7
x				Are there critical timing issues associated with this project?	
	X			Are there inter-jurisdictional considerations?	
	x			Can you quantify the impacts of a delay in this project?	
0		O41 -	C		

Comments / Other Considerations:

As previously stated, the current key system has reached its limit for creating variations ("change" keys) that control access to areas within the Central Library.

	3MD-100 Project/Program Title:	Capital Improvement Neighborhood Library Improvements Fund Mechanicals Segment	Requesting Department: Milwaykee Public Library			
F	Prepared By/Phone Ext:	Taj Schoening, ext. 3024	Department Head Signature: au la			
A	account No:	LB145120100				
Δ	Department Priority	5 of 7 Useful Life Varies	Years Level of Need 🗸 Essential 🗌 Important 🔲 Desired			
	Type of Project	New 🗹 Replacement 🔲 Repair I	Project/Program Scope Fully Defined Partially Defined			
В	Description Infrastructure Street Related Sidewalks Building Roof Windo	Alleys Bridge	☐ Street Lighting ☐ Communications ☐ Recreation ☐ Environmental ☐ Port ☐ Parking troom ☑ Security ☐ Exterior ☐ Entire Facility			
	Miscellaneous Devel	·	ators Garage Mechanical Other			
C)	Project/Program Dura One Year On-Going Program Multi-Year	ation ☐ Yes ☑ No ☑ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes ☑ No Number of Years				
D)	Total Positions Position Title	Total FTEs No. of Position	ns FTEs Salaries \$ \$ \$			
E)	in Six Year Capital Imp Yes ☑ 2010-2015		ed New Request			
F)	Project/Program Justii	fication		Ī		
	about 15 years. The Library outlasted their service life. t	curity. The useful life of major HVAC equipment i has eight branches that were built between 196° Unless the facilities plan is adopted this equipmer e emergency replacement. New equipment is mu	the safety and comfort of building occupants; HVAC, electrical, building is about 35 years, building management controls and security systems 61 and 1971. Of these six have the original HVAC systems that have ent must be addressed within the next three to five years to avoid such more energy efficient, helping the Library meet the Mayor's mandate			
G)	Additional Comments Replacement of the HVAC sy	ystem at the Tippecanoe Library is budgeted in 2	2013 due to its age and the ceiling location for much of the equipment.			

Requesting Department: Project/Program Title: Year	Milwaukee Public Library Neighborhood Library Im	,,, _, _,,	Improvements Fund - Mechanicals Segment. evy/Borrowing Grant & Aid R	nd - Mechanica Grant & Aid	nicals Segn	nent. Account No:	88	LB145120100 ipecial			otal Cost
Remaining Balance for 2011		lav Levy/IDI		Grant	Aid	Kevenue	Assessment	hent	Enterprise		Total Cost
2012 Budget Request			\$0					\dagger			8
2013 Projection		₩	\$875,000								\$875,000
2014 Projection			0\$								98
2015 Projection			\$0								8
2016 Projection			\$0								\$0
2017 Projection											0\$
Total Six Year Cost		8	\$875,000		80	0\$		0\$	80	-	\$875,000
Total Project Cost		Ø	\$875,000		\$0	\$0		0\$	0\$	6	\$875,000
Life to Date Expenditures (Project Only)	et Only)		0\$		\$0	0\$		0\$	0\$		' 8
Available Cost Estimate: Thorough Cost Estimate	2012		2013	2014	2015	2016	2017				
Limited Information Based on Cost of Similar Projects	egs	3 🗆 🗆	_								
Unsupported											
Were cost estimates confirmed by another source? Are cost estimates based on industry standards? Will city employees be performing any portion of the work? Did you perform a cost/benefit analysis?	by another source? Justry standards? ng any portion of th inalysis?	e work?			2 2 2 2 	Uncertain Uncertain Uncertain Uncertain					
How will this project impact city operating expenditures?	operating expendit	ures?		☐ Increase	e 🗸 Decrease	crease None					
Estimated Start Date: Estimated Completion Date:	Ongoing	ing ing				_					
			ăā	epartment epared By	Department Head Signature Prepared By/Phone Ext	ltura /	Taj Schoening x3024		A The second sec	di.	

Department:	Milwaukee Public Library	Date Submitted:	3/28/2011
Project/Program:	Neighborhood Library Improvements Fund - Mechanical Segment		
Prepared By:	Taj Schoening	- Current Request:	\$0
Dept Head:	Paula A. Kiely	6 Yr Total:	\$875,000

General Project/Program Description:

Replacement and upgrading mechanical equipment and systems that are responsible for the safety and comfort of building occupants: HVAC, electrical, building controls, fire safety, security and elevators.

Whenever possible, please quantify the impact of the project in either the amount column or the comment section of each area. Supporting documentation does not need to be submitted with the request but should be available upon request. Please see Capital Guidelines for detailed descriptions of each area of emphasis and additional considerations.

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Health & Safety
Х				Does the project directly reduce risks to people or property?
	X	ļ		Does the project directly promote improved health or safety?
	X			Does the project mitigate an immediate risk?
1 ~		~	<u> </u>	

Comments / Other Considerations:

Fire and security systems are critical to the safety of people using the building.

	/*************		***********	*******************************	
ı	Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Regulatory Compliance
	parameter	X	, management .	***************************************	Does the project address a legislative, regulatory or court-ordered mandate?
		x		:	Does the project promote long-term regulatory compliance?
1		X		;	Will there be serious negative impact on the City if compliance is not achieved?
		Х		•	Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory concern?
1	_				***************************************

Comments / Other Considerations:

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Impact on Operational / Capital Budget
•••••		ļ	***************************************	What return on investment will this project generate?
~~~	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		e de la companya della companya	What is the expected payback period for this project?
		ļ		Does the project minimize life-cycle costs?
	X			Will the facility require additional personnel to operate?
x			***************************************	Will the project lead to a reduction in operating costs?
X	والإرافة والمعارض المالية والمعارض المالية والمالية والمالية والمالية والمالية والمالية والمالية والمالية والم		t til til til til til til til til til ti	Will the project lead to increased productivity or service improvements?
	Х		***************************************	Will the facility require significant annual maintenance?
	x			Will the new facility require additional equipment or the construction of additional infrastructure not included in the project budget?
	X		***************************************	Is there a revenue generating opportunity? (e.g. user fees)
X		or o		Will the project result in a reduction or increase in energy use?
x				Does the project involve specific energy reduction strategies or features?
	Х		,	Will this project cause disruptions to regular city operations?
	x			Are there other potential costs associated with this project that are not addressed above?

# Comments / Other Considerations:

This program involves the regular replacement of aging equipment with new technology which lowers energy use and ongoing repair costs.

Project/Program: Neighborhood Library Improvements Fund - Mechanical Segment

Whenever possible, please quantify / describe the impact of the project in either the amount column or the comment section of each area. Supporting documentation does not need to be submitted with the request but should be available upon request. Please see Capital Guidelines for detailed descriptions of each area of emphasis and additional considerations.

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Compliance with Area Plans - The Common Council has adopted Comprehensive Area Plans. CIC Guidelines document a link to those plans on the DCD website.
		x		is the project in conformance with and supportive of the goals, objectives and strategies of any applicat Comprehensive Plan, special study, survey, committee or board?
x		į		Does the project increase or enhance educational opportunities for City of Milwaukee citizens?
х	water op a constant			Does the project increase or enhance recreational opportunities and/or green space?
	X			Will the project mitigate blight?
х			All	Does the project target the quality of life of all citizens or does it target one demographic?
	x			Is one population affected positively and another negatively?
	X			Does the project preserve or improve the historical or natural heritage of the City?
		x		Is the project consistent with established community character?
	х			Does the project expand the range of transportation, employment, and housing choices in a fiscally responsible manner?
	x		;	Does the project improve, mitigate or prevent degradation of environmental quality (e.g. water quality, improve or reduce poliution including noise and/or light pollution)?

### Comments / Other Considerations:

The Area Plans call for institutional assets that support training, education and long term community development, such as public libraries.

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Infrastructure - Primarily recurring infrastructure and facilities preservation programs
				How does the request effect the pertinent replacement cycle ? Provide specifics below.
	х			Has the facility being replaced exceeded its useful life?
x				Does this project extend the useful life of an existing facility?
	×			Do maintenance costs exceed replacement costs? (See Below)
	X			Have you documented costs of unplanned or corrective maintenance related to the facility?
x				Does the project incorporate new technology that will provide enhanced service?
,,,,,	×			Does the project extend service for new development or redevelopment?
	X			Will this project improve the functionality or service life of other related infrastructure?

# Comments / Other Considerations:

If replacement of aging equipment is deferred, the City risks additional emergency repair costs adding to the overall cost.

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Economic / Community Development
	×			Does the project have the potential to promote economic/community development in areas where growth desired?
x				Will the project continue to promote or enhance economic/community development in an already developed area?
x				Is the net impact of the project positive?
		x		Would an alternate location for this project provide a greater positive economic impact?
X				Will the project produce desirable jobs in the City?
	x			Will the project rejuvenate an area that needs assistance?
	Х			Will the project promote the equitable distribution of the costs and benefits of development?

# Comments / Other Considerations:

Ye	s No	N/A	Amount	Special Considerations	
					İ
	-			is there a significant external funding source that can only be used for this project and/or which will be los	ıf
L	X			not used immediately (e.g. proffers, grants through various federal or state initiatives, and private donations	)?
×				Are there critical timing issues associated with this project?	
	×			Are there inter-jurisdictional considerations?	į I
	x			Can you quantify the impacts of a delay in this project?	ĺ
_					

### Comments / Other Considerations:

If the equipment is not replaced it will break down and there will be no heating or cooling the building which will necessitate closing to the public for an undetermined amount of time.

# BMD-100

P	roject/Program Title:	Neighborhood Library Improvements Fund - Exterior Segment Requesting Department: Milwankee Public Library
Ρ	repared By/Phone Ext:	Taj Schoening, ext. 3024  Department Head Signature: aula of Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan Susan
Α	ccount No:	LB145120100
A	_	6 of 7 Useful Life Varies Years Level of Need Essential Important Desired
	Type of Flogott	J New ☐ Replacement ☑ Repair Project/Program Scope ☐ Fully Defined ☑ Partially Defined ☐ On-Going Program
В	Description Infrastructure Street Related Sidewalks	Sewer Street Lighting Communications Recreation
	Building	☐ Alleys ☐ Bridge ☐ Environmental ☐ Port ☐ Parking
	✓ Roof ✓ Windo	ows HVAC Electrical Restroom Security Exterior Entire Facility
	<b>!</b>	Remodeling New Building Elevators Garage Mechanical
	Miscellaneous Devel	
	l	nformation Systems
C)	Project/Program Dura	ation
ĺ	One Year	☐ Yes ☑ No
	On-Going Program	✓ Yes □ No
	Multi-Year	☐ Yes ☑ No Number of Years
	Walls / Cal	
D)	Total Positions	Total FTEs
	Position Title	No. of Positions FTEs Salaries \$
		\$
<b>=</b> \	In Six Vees Conited In	The same of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagrams of Diagra
E)	In Six Year Capital Im Yes ☑ 2010-2015	
F)	Project/Program Justi	ification
	windows, lighting, roofs, sig exterior paint lasts about 8	naintenance of the exterior facades of the branch libraries. The work includes repair and/or replacement of masonry, wood, gnage, and parking lots. Exterior elements have varied life spans. Windows and flat roofs can last 20 to 25 years while years. Parking lot surfaces, signage and lighting can be repaired but must be replaced after about 25 years. The useful te varies depending on location and weather. Many of the parking lots have been resurfaced several times and are at the
ا (د	Additional Comments	
		In 2014 to replace the roof of the Center Street Library which will be 25 years old.

Requesting Department: Project/Program Title:	Milwaukee Public Library	lic Library	10 mm				LB145120100		
	nooillogiilia	reignoon Library Improvements Fund - Exterior Segment	s rund - Exte	rior segmen	Account No:	0.	3		
Year	_	Tax Levy/Borrowing	Grant & Aid	k Aid	Revenue	Assessment	ent	Enterprise	Total Cost
Remaining Balance for 2011									O\$
2012 Budget Request		0\$							0\$
2013 Projection		0\$							<b>S</b>
2014 Projection		\$175,000							\$175,000
2015 Projection		0\$					H		0\$
2016 Projection		0\$					$\vdash$		0\$
2017 Projection							$\vdash$		0\$
Total Six Year Cost		\$175,000		0\$	0\$		0\$	0\$	\$175,000
Total Project Cost		\$175,000		\$0	\$0		0\$	0\$	\$175,000
Life to Date Expenditures (Project Only)		6		3			-		
Life to Date Experimentes (Flojet		0\$		0\$	\$		S S	\$0	0\$
Available Cost Estimate: Thorough Cost Estimate Limited Information Based on Cost of Similar Projects Unsupported	2012 2013 ects	2013	<b>20</b>	<b>507</b>	2016	2012			
Were cost estimates confirmed by another source? Are cost estimates based on industry standards? Will city employees be performing any portion of the work? Did you perform a cost/benefit analysis?	by another source' lustry standards? ng any portion of tt inalysis?	? ie work?		2 2 2 2	Uncertain Uncertain Uncertain Uncertain				
How will this project impact city operating expenditures?	operating expendi	tures?	Increase		☐ Decrease				
Estimated Start Date: Estimated Completion Date:	Ongoing	ing			/				
			Department Head Signa Prepared By/Phone Ext	Department Head Signature Prepared By/Phone Ext	ıture	Taj Schoening x3024		Jui.	1

Department:	Milwaukee Public Library	Date Submitted:	3/28/2011
Project/Program:	Neighborhood Library Improvements Fund - Exterior Segment		
Prepared By:	Taj Schoening	Current Request:	\$0
Dept Head:	Paula A. Kiely	6 Yr Total:	\$175,000

# General Project/Program Description:

Restoration, repair and replacement of the exterior façade of the branch libraries includes the masonry, painted surfaces, lighting, parking lots, and roofs. Leaks into the building can damage materials and furniture which will have to be repaired and/or replaced.

Whenever possible, please quantify the impact of the project in either the amount column or the comment section of each area. Supporting documentation does not need to be submitted with the request but should be available upon request. Please see Capital Guidelines for detailed descriptions of each area of emphasis and additional considerations.

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Health & Safety
X				Does the project directly reduce risks to people or property?
		х		Does the project directly promote improved health or safety?
	***********	X		Does the project mitigate an immediate risk?

# Comments / Other Considerations:

The Center Street Library roof will be 25 years old in 2014 and needs to be replaced. Leaks into the building can damage materials and furniture which will have to be repaired and/or replaced.

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Regulatory Compliance
***********	X		******************************	Does the project address a legislative, regulatory or court-ordered mandate?
	х			Does the project promote long-term regulatory compliance?
	X		***************************************	Will there be serious negative impact on the City if compliance is not achieved?
	х			Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory concern?
I Cama	aanta /	Other	Camaidanatiana	

### Comments / Other Considerations:

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Impact on Operational / Capital Budget
				What return on investment will this project generate?
*****	nanhtanzana			What is the expected payback period for this project?
	********			Does the project minimize life-cycle costs?
	X			Will the facility require additional personnel to operate?
	X			Will the project lead to a reduction in operating costs?
	X			Will the project lead to increased productivity or service improvements?
	×			Will the facility require significant annual maintenance?
	×			Will the new facility require additional equipment or the construction of additional infrastructure not included in the project budget?
	×			Is there a revenue generating opportunity? (e.g. user fees)
x	whether to another any		Not significant.	Will the project result in a reduction or increase in energy use?
	×			Does the project involve specific energy reduction strategies or features?
	X			Will this project cause disruptions to regular city operations?
	x			Are there other potential costs associated with this project that are not addressed above?
		Oth 1	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	, and project that are not addressed above?

### Comments / Other Considerations:

Replacement of the roof will allow increased insulation to be added thereby reducing energy consumption. This has not been quantified.

Project/Program: Neighborhood Library Improvements Fund - Exterior Segment

Whenever possible, please quantify / describe the impact of the project in either the amount column or the comment section of each area. Supporting documentation does not need to be submitted with the request but should be available upon request. Please see Capital Guidelines for detailed descriptions of each area of emphasis and additional considerations.

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Compliance with Area Plans - The Common Council has adopted Comprehensive Area Plans. CIC Guidelines document a link to those plans on the DCD website.
x				is the project in conformance with and supportive of the goals, objectives and strategies of any applical Comprehensive Plan, special study, survey, committee or board?
x				Does the project increase or enhance educational opportunities for City of Milwaukee citizens?
X	~~~			Does the project increase or enhance recreational opportunities and/or green space?
	X			Will the project mitigate blight?
x			All	Does the project target the quality of life of all citizens or does it target one demographic?
	×			Is one population affected positively and another negatively?
	X			Does the project preserve or improve the historical or natural heritage of the City?
X			***************************************	is the project consistent with established community character?
	×			Does the project expand the range of transportation, employment, and housing choices in a fiscally responsible manner?
-	×			Does the project improve, mitigate or prevent degradation of environmental quality (e.g. water quality, improve or reduce poliution including noise and/or light poliution)?

The Area Plans call for institutional assets that support training, education and long term community development, such as public libraries.

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Infrastructure - Primarily recurring infrastructure and facilities preservation programs
		ļ		How does the request effect the pertinent replacement cycle ? Provide specifics below.
		x		Has the facility being replaced exceeded its useful life?
X				Does this project extend the useful life of an existing facility?
	x			Do maintenance costs exceed replacement costs? (See Below)
**********	x			Have you documented costs of unplanned or corrective maintenance related to the facility?
	x		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Does the project incorporate new technology that will provide enhanced service?
	X			Does the project extend service for new development or redevelopment?
	X			Will this project improve the functionality or service life of other related infrastructure?
_				

Comments / Other Considerations:

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Economic / Community Development
				Does the project have the potential to promote economic/community development in areas where growth desired?
x				Will the project continue to promote or enhance economic/community development in an already develops area?
х				Is the net impact of the project positive?
		х		Would an alternate location for this project provide a greater positive economic impact?
x				Will the project produce desirable jobs in the City?
	X			Will the project rejuvenate an area that needs assistance?
	x			Will the project promote the equitable distribution of the costs and benefits of development?

Comments / Other Considerations:

No	N/A	Amount	Special Considerations
x			Is there a significant external funding source that can only be used for this project and/or which will be lost if not used immediately (e.g. proffers, grants through various federal or state initiatives, and private donations)?
x			Are there critical timing issues associated with this project?
x			Are there inter-jurisdictional considerations?
x			Can you quantify the impacts of a delay in this project?
	X X X	X X X X X X	No N/A Amount  x x x x x

	Project/Program Title:	Capital Improvement Request Form Part I  Neighborhood Library Improvements Fund - Interior Segment Requesting Department: Milwaukee Pub	olic Library
Р	repared By/Phone Ext:	Taj Schoening, ext. 3024 Department Head Signature	- Sui
A	ccount No:	LB145120100	
A	Type of Project	7 of 7 Useful Life Varies Years Level of Need Essential Important Important Project/Program Scope Fully Defined Part On-Going Program	ortant Desired
В	Description Infrastructure Street Related Sidewalks Building Roof Windo	Sewer Water Street Lighting Communications Recall Alleys Bridge Environmental Port Partows HVAC Electrical Restroom Security Exterior Entire Recall Remodeling New Building Elevators Garage Mechanical	creation rking Facility
C)	Project/Program Dura One Year On-Going Program Multi-Year  Total Positions	Tation  ☐ Yes ☑ No ☑ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes ☑ No Number of Years ☐ Total FTEs	
	Position Title	No. of Positions       FTEs       Salaries       \$         \$       \$         \$       \$	
E)	In Six Year Capital Im Yes ☑ 2010-2015		
F)	maintained and modernized average of about 150,000 p	reservation and upgrade of the interiors of the branch libraries; interior renovations, lighting, re-carpeting prificant factor in the vitality of its neighborhood. As public buildings it is imperative that the buildings cod. Painting and re-carpeting are scheduled on a 15 to 18 year basis due to the number of people using people use a branch library every year. Three of our current branch libraries built in the late 1960's and eithree others were renovated between 1993 and 1994.	entinue to be
G)	Additional Comments In 2016 we have requested to	funding to renovate the interior of one of our branch libraries.	

Project/Program Title: Neighborhood Library Im	Library Im	Improvements Fund - Interior Segment	-und - Interi	or Segment	Account No:	nt No:	LB145	LB145120100			
Year	Tax Levy/	evy/Borrowing	Grant & Aid	Aid	Revenue		Special Assessment	ent	Enterprise		Total Cost
Remaining Balance for 2011					ų,						\$
2012 Budget Request		\$0									\$0
2013 Projection		\$0									\$0
2014 Projection		O\$									0\$
2015 Projection		\$0									\$0
2016 Projection		\$800,000								, 'e , d	\$800,000
2017 Projection		0\$						T			0\$
Total Six Year Cost		\$800,000		0\$	7	0\$		0\$	0\$		\$800,000
Total Project Cost		\$800,000		\$0		\$0		0\$	\$0		\$800,000
Life to Date Expenditures (Project Only)		9		0\$		0\$		0\$	0\$		- 0\$
Available Cost Estimate:  Thorough Cost Estimate  Limited Information  Based on Cost of Similar Projects  Unsupported	2	26.	20.	<b>5</b> 0000	<b>26</b> □ □ □ □		<b>7</b> 0 0 0 0 0				
Were cost estimates confirmed by another source? Are cost estimates based on industry standards? Will city employees be performing any portion of the work? Did you perform a cost/benefit analysis?	e? ? the work?			8 8 8 8 C C C	Uncertain Uncertain Uncertain Uncertain						
How will this project impact city operating expenditures?	ditures?		☐ Increase		☐ Decrease ☑ None	<u>ə</u>					
Estimated Start Date:  Estimated Completion Date:  Ong	Ongoing				7	1				•	
		_	Department Head Signature	Head Sign	ature /	7	mla		4/1	V	
·	•	-	Prepared By/Phone Ext	//Phone Ex	1	Schoeni	Taj Schoening x3024			0	

Department:	Milwaukee Public Library	Date Submitted:	3/28/2011
Project/Program:	Neighborhood Library Improvements Fund - Interior Segment		
Prepared By:	Taj Schoening	Current Request:	\$0
Dept Head:	Paula A. Kiely	6 Yr Total:	\$800,000

## General Project/Program Description:

This work encompasses preservation and upgrade of the interiors of the branch libraries; renovations, lighting, carpeting, and painting. An average of about 150,000 people use a branch library every year. Three of our current branch libraries built in the late 1960's and early 1970's have never been renovated and three others were done between 1993 and 1994.

Whenever possible, **please quantify the impact** of the project in either the amount column or the comment section of each area. Supporting documentation does not need to be submitted with the request but should be available upon request. Please see Capital Guidelines for detailed descriptions of each area of emphasis and additional considerations.

Yes	No	N/A	Health & Safety
	Х		Does the project directly reduce risks to people or property?
	X		Does the project directly promote improved health or safety?
	X		Does the project mitigate an immediate risk?
		~	

# Comments / Other Considerations:

Constant traffic creates wear and tear on all interior surfaces, especially flooring which can create tripping hazards if not properly maintained and/or replaced.

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Regulatory Compliance
*****	X			Does the project address a legislative, regulatory or court-ordered mandate?
	X		********************	Does the project promote long-term regulatory compliance?
	Х			Will there be serious negative impact on the City if compliance is not achieved?
	X			Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory concern?
C		O41	O ! !	

# Comments / Other Considerations:

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Impact on Operational / Capital Budget
		ļ	***************************************	What return on investment will this project generate?
	tarefor-turerupururururur			What is the expected payback period for this project?
			************************************	Does the project minimize life-cycle costs?
	х		•••••	Will the facility require additional personnel to operate?
	X		••••••	Will the project lead to a reduction in operating costs?
	Х		and the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the	Will the project lead to increased productivity or service improvements?
	х		***************************************	Will the facility require significant annual maintenance?
	x			Will the new facility require additional equipment or the construction of additional infrastructure not included in the project budget?
	x			Is there a revenue generating opportunity? (e.g. user fees)
	X	and the second second		Will the project result in a reduction or increase in energy use?
	X		***************************************	Does the project involve specific energy reduction strategies or features?
	x		***************************************	Will this project cause disruptions to regular city operations?
	х			Are there other potential costs associated with this project that are not addressed above?

Project/Program: Neighborhood Library Improvements Fund - Interior Segment

Whenever possible, please quantify / describe the impact of the project in either the amount column or the comment section of each area. Supporting documentation does not need to be submitted with the request but should be available upon request. Please see Capital Guidelines for detailed descriptions of each area of emphasis and additional considerations.

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Compliance with Area Plans - The Common Council has adopted Comprehensive Area Plans. CIC Guidelines document a link to those plans on the DCD website.
x				is the project in conformance with and supportive of the goals, objectives and strategies of any applicat Comprehensive Plan, special study, survey, committee or board?
х			******************************	Does the project increase or enhance educational opportunities for City of Milwaukee citizens?
x	and the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of th			Does the project increase or enhance recreational opportunities and/or green space?
	X			Will the project mitigate blight?
x			All	Does the project target the quality of life of all citizens or does it target one demographic?
	x			Is one population affected positively and another negatively?
various to one	X			Does the project preserve or improve the historical or natural heritage of the City?
x				is the project consistent with established community character?
	x			Does the project expand the range of transportation, employment, and housing choices in a fiscally responsible manner?
	×			Does the project improve, mitigate or prevent degradation of environmental quality (e.g. water quality, improve or reduce pollution including noise and/or light pollution)?

### Comments / Other Considerations:

The Area Plans call for institutional assets that support training, education and long term community development, such as public libraries.

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Infrastructure - Primarily recurring infrastructure and facilities preservation programs
				How does the request effect the pertinent replacement cycle ? Provide specifics below.
		x		Has the facility being replaced exceeded its useful life?
x			***************************************	Does this project extend the useful life of an existing facility?
	x			Do maintenance costs exceed replacement costs? (See Below)
	X	and the second second		Have you documented costs of unplanned or corrective maintenance related to the facility?
	X			Does the project incorporate new technology that will provide enhanced service?
	х		***************************************	Does the project extend service for new development or redevelopment?
	х			Will this project improve the functionality or service life of other related infrastructure?

# Comments / Other Considerations:

Yes	No	N/A	Amount	Economic / Community Development
				Does the project have the potential to promote economic/community development in areas where growth desired?
x				Will the project continue to promote or enhance economic/community development in an already developed area?
x				Is the net impact of the project positive?
		х		Would an alternate location for this project provide a greater positive economic impact?
x				Will the project produce desirable jobs in the City?
		×		Will the project rejuvenate an area that needs assistance?
		x		Will the project promote the equitable distribution of the costs and benefits of development?

# Comments / Other Considerations:

Each branch library is a significant factor in the vitality of its neighborhood. As a public institution it is imperative that the buildings continue to be maintained and modernized.

Ye	s No	N/A	Amount	Special Considerations
	×			is there a significant external funding source that can only be used for this project and/or which will be lost in not used immediately (e.g. proffers, grants through various federal or state initiatives, and private donations)?
	х	<u>.</u>		Are there critical timing issues associated with this project?
	x			Are there inter-jurisdictional considerations?
	X			Can you quantify the impacts of a delay in this project?
Com	ments	Other	Considerations:	

### comments / Other Considerations: