July 2, 2025 Analysis of Brownfield Cleanup Alternatives for Compass Lofts

Introduction and Background

The Redevelopment Authority of the City of Milwaukee (RACM) was selected to administer a United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Brownfields Cleanup Revolving Loan Fund (BCRLF). The first Cooperative Agreement was received in 2002, which provided \$1,000,000 in federal assistance over a five-year period. Additional Cooperative Agreements were received in 2003, 2004 (amended the 2003 agreement), 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008 (amended the 2007 agreement), 2009, 2011 (amended the 2009 agreement), 2012 (amended the 2009 agreement), 2013 (amended the 2009 agreement), 2014, 2023, and 2024 (amended the 2023 agreement) respectively for a total of \$16,700,000.

On July 17, 2025, a resolution will be introduced that will allow for RACM to provide up to a \$500,000 loan to Compass Lofts MLKEDC, LLC for a mixed-use development with affordable housing units and commercial space from the RACM's EPA BCRLF Program to support environmental remediation at the property located at 3116 North Martin Luther King Junior Drive in Milwaukee, Wisconsin.

The property is currently owned by the City of Milwaukee. Compass Lofts MLKEDC, LLC intends to purchase the property in late July or August 2025, remediate the property, and redevelop the site into an mixed use affordable housing development with 67 units.

The Compass Lofts site was historically contained residential dwellings, a railroad car barn, commercial businesses, and filling stations. The existing building within the northern/central portion of the site was previously used for multiple purposes, including an undertaker with two apartments, a food management service/catering company, and a childcare center with one apartment. The southern portion of the site was previously used as a filling station, which is known to have had multiple underground storage tanks (USTs).

The subject sites likely became contaminated as a result of their past uses and historical fill material. A site investigation of the historic UST system and southern portion of the current site was completed, and the case was closed in 2005. Based on available information from the WDNR's online BRRTS on the Web (BOTW) activity tracking system, only the tank(s) and filling station on the southern portion of the site were investigated. The site was closed by the WDNR with residual petroleum impacted soil and groundwater. Additional Phase II environmental site investigation activities are being initiated in July 2025.

An AAI Phase I Environmental Site Assessment is planned to be completed prior to the developer's purchase of the property to ensure the future owner is considered a bona fide prospective purchaser.

Applicable Regulations and Cleanup Standards

Notification of a release and assignment of BRRTS numbers by the Southeast Region of the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources (WDNR) will be completed upon receiving results from the upcomgin site investigation work, and therefore the site will be subject to the requirements of Section 292.11 (3) Wisconsin Statutes (hazardous substances spill law) and Wisconsin Administrative Code chapters NR 700 through NR 749 (which establish requirements for emergency and interim actions, public information, site investigations, design and operation of remedial action systems, and case closure). The borrowers, in coordination with qualified consultants, will completed a Site Investigation and Remedial Action Plan for the site in accordance with all applicable state statutes and WAC chapters. These documents have been submitted to WDNR for comment and approval prior to cleanup and will form the basis for the cleanup activities.

Cleanup at the site will continue to be monitored by staff at the WDNR. Cleanup will be targeted to meet relevant industrial standards set forth in Wisconsin Administrative Code (WAC) chapter NR 720 (Soil Cleanup Standards) and WAC chapter NR 746 (Risk screening and closure criteria for petroleum product contaminated sites, and agency roles and responsibilities).

Evaluation of Cleanup Alternatives

This section identifies various remediation alternatives that could be used to address the environmental contamination issues at the Compass Lofts site. The "No Action Alternative" is used as the baseline against which the other alternatives are analyzed.

The following broad categories of evaluation criteria were considered in assembling remediation alternatives at the site: effectiveness, implementability, cost, and impacts from potential extreme weather events.

Alternative One – No Action / Monitored Natural Attenuation

The no-action response involves no remediation of residual impacted soil at the site. This response typically serves as a baseline against which the other remedial options and technologies can be compared. The no-action response may be used as the sole remedial action only in the event the prevailing site conditions lead to the determination that the site poses no significant risk to human health or the environment with no controls in place. In that event, implementation of other types of action becomes unnecessary.

- 1. <u>Effectiveness</u> The no-action alternative would do little to address the petroleum impacted soil and groundwater. This alternative would not take action to protect public health, safety, and welfare and the environment.
- 2. <u>Implementability</u> This alternative is implementable.
- 3. <u>Cost</u> This alternative was considered the lowest in terms of present worth cost and disruption to the site. It has no associated capital costs or operation and maintenance costs, although indirect costs of the no action alternative will include a continued blighting influence on surrounding properties which would be manifested in lower property values and a decreased tax base.
- 4. <u>Impact of Potential Extreme Weather Events</u> The United States National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) finds that the Midwest region will likely see future changes to climate that include an overall increase in winter and summer temperatures,

increasing numbers of hot days, and an increasing numbers of wet days. Related impacts to the No Action Alternative are expected to be minimal as the site is not near a coastline or in a floodplain.

<u>Alternative Two – Excavation and Off-Site Landfill Disposal</u>

Additional excavation and off-site disposal of soil in the areas with residual impacts was evaluated as a possible remedial alternative. Under this alternative, all impacted soils would be excavated and disposed of at an area licensed landfill, followed by backfilling of the excavation to the planned grade with unimpacted soil or subbase aggregate. Under this alternative, neither capping nor registration on the WDNR's GIS database would be required.

- <u>Effectiveness</u> This alternative would be effective. However, the site contaminants would be simply moved to an off-site landfill, and the excavation and transportation of the impacted soil may present health and risks that may be greater than the risks posed by leaving the soil in place. In the short term, excavation and off-site transport of impacted soil would temporarily increase hazards to site workers and the public due to the necessary handling and transportation of these soils. In the long term, excavation and off-site disposal may somewhat reduce the magnitude of existing risk at the site by contaminant mass removal compared to no action.
- 2. <u>Implementability</u> The implementability of this remedial alternative is low given the cost it would take to excavate, and then backfill, all impacted soils. The site also would experience extreme disruption.
- 3. <u>Cost</u> The estimated capital costs are anticipated to be very high.
- 4. <u>Impact of Potential Extreme Weather Events</u> The United States National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) finds that the Midwest region will likely see future changes to climate that include an overall increase in winter and summer temperatures, increasing numbers of hot days, and an increasing numbers of wet days. Related impacts to the Excavation and Off-Site Landfill Disposal Alternative are expected to be minimal as the site is not near a coastline or in a floodplain.

<u>Alternative Three – Limited Soil Excavation and Off-Site Disposal with On-Site Soil Management,</u> <u>Engineering and Institutional Controls, and Vapor Mitigation</u>

This alternative is likely to best fit the planned redevelopment of the site, which will require a combination of soil excavation, grading, and soil management, and also includes site infrastructure (building, pavement, landscaping/hardscaping) that would be used as an environmental cap/barrier. Additionally, a passive vapor mitigation system may be installed beneath the building with infrastructure to convert the system to active if necessary. Natural attenuation would be used to address residually affected groundwater. The site would be listed on the WDNR database to notify the public of residual soil and groundwater impacts.

- 1. <u>Effectiveness</u> This alternative would be effective at reducing the magnitude of the existing risk, while maintaining protection from direct contact exposures to site workers and the public.
- 2. <u>Implementability</u> The implementability of this alternative is high. The use of soil disposal, engineered barriers, vapor mitigation systems, and institutional controls in conjunction with the

WDNR database for soil contamination is an existing proven mechanism, with no fewer disruptions to the Site and less unnecessary soil handling.

- 3. <u>Cost</u> Compared to the complete excavation and offsite landfill disposal of impacted soil remediation alternative, the associated capital costs for this option are much lower than Alternative 2.
- 4. <u>Impact of Potential Extreme Weather Events</u> The United States National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) finds that the Midwest region will likely see future changes to climate that include an overall increase in winter and summer temperatures, increasing numbers of hot days, and an increasing numbers of wet days. Related impacts to the Limited Soil Excavation and Off-Site Disposal with On-Site Soil Management, Engineering and Institutional Controls, and Vapor Mitigation Alternative are expected to be minimal as the site is not near a coastline or in a floodplain.

Recommendation

The Remedial Alternatives were evaluated based on their effectiveness, their feasibility of implementation, the costs of each alternative, and the impact of potential extreme weather events. Based on the above evaluation, the selected final remedy is Alternative Three which uses limited soil excavation and off-site disposal with on-site soil management, engineering and institutional controls, and vapor mitigation to address petroleum contamination in soil and groundwater. As a whole, this alternative provides both the most efficient cleanup strategy and the best protection for human health and the environment.