## CITY OF MILWAUKEE

GRANT F. LANGLEY
City Attorney

RUDOLPH M. KONRAD
Deputy City Attorney

THOMAS E. HAYES
PATRICK B. McDONNELL
CHARLES R. THEIS
Special Deputy City Attorneys



OFFICE OF CITY ATTORNEY 200 EAST WELLS STREET, SUITE 800 MILWAUKEE, WISCONSIN 53202-3551 TELEPHONE (414) 286-2601 TDD 286-2025 FAX (414) 286-8550

March 22, 2001

To the Honorable Common Council of the City of Milwaukee Room 205 - City Hall

Communication from Attorney Laurie A. Eggert, Eggert Law Office, S.C.

for legal fees for Police Officer Steven Wesolowski

C.I. File No. 99-L-91

Dear Council Members:

Re:

Returned herewith is a document filed by Attorney Laurie Eggert for attorney's fees for representing Police Officer Steven Wesolowski. The claim is in the amount of \$9,741.11 including \$1,271.11 in disbursements for 77.00 hours of service billed at the rate of \$110.00 per hour. We have advised claimant's counsel, however, that a claimed mileage disbursement is not reimbursable, and so the claim has been reduced to \$9,738.25. We ask that this matter be introduced and referred to the Committee on Judiciary & Legislation.

We have reviewed this claim and advise that in our opinion, the time spent was reasonable. Legal representation was occasioned by the filing of a citizen's complaint against the officer with the Fire and Police Commission and by a criminal investigation. The complaint was dismissed by the Commission and the officer was not charged criminally.

As we have advised you under similar circumstances in the past, the Common Council has discretion to reject this claim or to pay it in whole or in part. Sec. 895.35, Stats., <u>Bablitch and Bablitch v. Lincoln County</u>, 82 Wis. 2d 574 (1978).

Very truly yours,

City Attorney

JAN A. SMOKOWICZ Assistant City Attorney

NGLEY

JAS:enm Enc.

2295

BEVERLY A. TEMPLE
THOMAS O. GARTNER
LINDA ULISS BURKE
BRUCE D. SCHRIMPF
ROXANE L. CRAWFORD
SUSAN D. BICKERT
HAZEL MOSLEY
HARRY A. STEIN
STUART S. MUKAMAL
THOMAS J. BEAMISH
MAURITA F. HOUREN
JOHN J. HEINEN
MICHAEL G. TOBIN
DAVID J. STANOSZ
SUSAN E. LAPPEN
DAVID R. HALBROOKS
JAN A. SMOKOWICZ
PATRICIA A. FRICKER
HEIDI WICK SPOERL
KURT A. BEHLING
GREGG C. MERCHER
EDWARD M. EHRLICH
CHRISTOPHER J. CHERELLA
LEONARD A. TOKUS
MIRIAM R. HORWITZ

**Assistant City Attorneys** 

MARYNELL REGAN G. O'SULLIVAN-CROWLEY

BEVERLY A. TEMPLE THOMAS O. GARTNER LINDA ULISS BURKE BRUCE D. SCHRIMPF ROXANE L. CRAWFORD SUSAN D. BICKERT

SUSAN D. BICKERT
HAZEL MOSLEY
HARRY A. STEIN
STUART S. MUKAMAL
THOMAS J. BEAMISH
MAURITA F. HOUREN

MAURITA F. HOUREN
JOHN J. HEINEN
MICHAEL G. TOBIN
DAVID J. STANOSZ
SUSAN E. LAPPEN
DAVID R. HALBROOKS
JAN A. SMOKOWICZ
PATRICIA A. FRICKER
HEIDI WICK SPOERL
VINCENT J. BOBOT
KURT A. BEHLING
GREGG C. HAGOPIAN
ELLEN H. TANGEN
MELANIE R. SWANK
JAY A. UNORA
DONALD L. SCHRIEFER
EDWARD M. EHRLICH
CHRISTOPHER J. CHERELLA
LEONARD A. TOKUS

# CITY OF MILWAUKEE

GRANT F. LANGLEY
City Attorney

RUDOLPH M. KONRAD Deputy City Attorney

THOMAS E. HAYES
PATRICK B. McDONNELL
CHARLES R. THEIS
Special Deputy City Attorneys



OFFICE OF CITY ATTORNEY
800 CITY HALL
200 EAST WELLS STREET
MILWAUKEE, WISCONSIN 53202-3551
TELEPHONE (414) 286-2601
TDD 286-2025
FAX (414) 286-8550
June 24, 1999

LEONARD A. TOKUS

Assistant City Attorneys

Deputy Inspector Dale Schunk Milwaukee Police Department 749 West State Street, Room 714 Milwaukee, WI 53233

Re: C.I. File No. 99-L-91

Communication from EGGERT LAW OFFICE, S.C.

Dear Deputy Inspector Schunk:

Enclosed please find a claim filed by attorney Laurie Eggert for Attorney's fees incurred during her representation of officer Steven Wesolowski.

Please determine whether this officer was in fact involved in the matter described in Ms. Eggerts' claim, and have the officer verify Ms. Eggerts' representation. Thank you for your anticipated cooperation in this matter.

Very truly yours,

JAN A. SMOKOWICZ

Assistant City Attorney

JAS:beg Enclosure 3/22/2001

0. K. for
\$ 9738.25 only

Exclude mileage

Let THS

Letter # 2295

### EGGERT LAW OFFICE, S.C.

Attorneys at Law

Laurie A. Eggert Jonathan Cermele 99 JUN 17 PH 3: 48

CITY CLERK

1840 North Farwell Avenue Suite 303

Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202

FAX (414) 276-8906

June 15, 1999

Mr. Ronald D. Leonhardt Milwaukee City Clerk 800 City Hall 200 East Wells Street Milwaukee, WI 53202

> Citizen Complaint of Ms. Shawanda Johnson Against PO Steven Wesolowski Complaint No.: 97-11

Date of Incident: November 6, 1996

Dear Mr. Leonhardt:

The above-named police officer has retained us to represent him in connection with the above-referenced matter.

Consistent with its policy, the City Attorney's Office has refused to represent him, and as he was performing the duties of his office at the time of the events giving rise to the incident, the claim is hereby made on his behalf for the indicated legal fees. This incident arose from an off-duty incident during which Officer Wesolowski identified himself as a police officer, intervened to break up a disturbance between two individuals, and issued a disorderly conduct citation. The complainant also made a criminal allegation against Officer Wesolowski. The DA ruled it baseless. Attached are copies of both the FPC decision and the DA's letter.

The attached is an itemization of the time and services rendered.

Sincerely,

EGGERT LAW OFFICE, S.C.

Laurie A. Egger

Attorney at Law

LAE/ldl WESOLOWSKI.S

#### EGGERT LAW OFFICE, S.C.

## Attorneys at Law

Laurie A. Eggert Jonathan Cermele 1840 North Farwell Avenue Suite 303 Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202 (414)276-8750 FAX(414)276-8906

June 15, 1999

Mr. Ronald D. Leonhardt Milwaukee City Clerk 800 City Hall 200 East Wells Street Milwaukee, WI 53202

RE: Citizen Complaint of Ms. Shawanda Johnson

Against PO Steven Wesolowski

FPC No.: 97-11

Date of Incident: November 6, 1996

#### Professional services

			Hours
7/14/97	Review of file; telepclient; telephone ca. Court; review document Affairs.	ll to Municipal	0.80
7/16/97	Review of corresponde	ence from client.	0.10
7/18/97	Review of file; telep City Attorney; corres Attorney; memo to fil	spondence to City	1.50
11/13/97	Memo to file.		0.10
12/18/97	Telephone call from I correspondence to cli		0.30
12/19/97	Telephone call from H	PPC; memo to file.	0.30
12/26/97	Telephone call from a memo to file.	and to client;	0.40
1/2/98	Review of corresponde	ence from FPC.	0.10

		Hours
1/7/98	Review of file.	0.30
1/12/98	Review of file in preparation for conciliation.	0.60
1/13/98	Preparation for and appearance at conciliation; conference with client; travel; memo to file.	2.20
1/14/98	Telephone call from client; memo to file.	0.60
1/15/98	Telephone call from client; memo to file; telephone call to investigator.	0.50
2/2/98	Review of correspondence from FPC.	0.10
2/3/98	Conference with investigator; review of file; memo to file.	0.40
2/6/98	Conference with investigator.	0.10
2/13/98	Telephone call from investigator; memo to file.	0.20
2/16/98	Telephone call from Investigator, memo to file.	0.20
2/18/98	Telephone call to investigator; memo to file.	0.10
2/20/98	Telephone call from investigator; memo to file.	0.20
3/4/98	Telephone call from FPC; memo to file.	0.20
3/6/98	Review witness statements and investigator's report; telephone call to investigator.	0.80

		Hours
3/9/98	Review D.C. decision re: complainant.	0.10
3/10/98	Review of correspondence from court reporter; review transcript; telephone call to investigator; memo to file; telephone call to client.	2.80
3/11/98	Telephone call from investigator (2); memo to file; telephone call from client.	0.60
3/13/98	Telephone call from investigator.	0.10
3/16/98	Telephone call from and FAX from investigator; memo to file.	0.60
3/27/98	Review documents from investigator.	0.20
3/30/98	Review documents from investigator; review of file.	0.60
4/1/98	Review document from investigator.	0.30
5/4/98	Review of correspondence from MPD; memo to file.	0.20
6/3/98	Review of correspondence from Fronk; memo to file.	0.20
6/6/98	Review of file; memo to investigator; correspondence to Department of Justice; witness and exhibit list.	0.90
6/8/98	Telephone call to and from McAdams and Steffens; memo to file.	0.70
6/9/98	Telephone call from city attorney; memo to file.	0.20
6/12/98	Telephone call from investigator; memo to file; telephone call to Dugan.	0.70

·		Hours
6/15/98	Telephone call from Dugan; review of file; memo to file.	0.30
6/16/98	Telephone call to Fronk; review of correspondence from investigator; memo to file.	0.60
6/17/98	Telephone call from investigator.	0.10
6/18/98	Telephone call from and to Dugan; telephone call to and from investigator; memo to file.	0.40
6/20/98	Review of correspondence from investigator; correspondence to client.	0.30
6/24/98	Telephone call from FPC.	0.10
6/25/98	Telephone call from Fronk re: dates; memo to file.	0.20
6/26/98	Telephone call to Dugan; review of file.	0.30
6/30/98	Telephone call from Dugan, review of correspondence from Department of Transportation.	0.20
7/2/98	Telephone call from and to client; review of file; telephone call from investigator.	0.80
7/6/98	Telephone call from investigator; review memo re: Dugan.	0.20
7/9/98	Telephone call from investigator; telephone call to Dugan.	0.20
7/10/98	Telephone call from Dugan; telephone call to Fronk re: scheduling; memo to file.	0.40

		Hours
7/13/98	Telephone call from investigator; memo to file; telephone call to Fronk re: scheduling; telephone call to Dugan.	0.90
7/14/98	Review of file.	0.30
7/17/98	Telephone call to Fronk; review of correspondence from Dugan.	0.20
7/21/98	Review of correspondence from Department of Justice; telephone call from and to FPC; telephone call to interpreter; telephone call to and from investigator.	0.60
7/23/98	Conference with and telephone call to investigator; conference with witness; telephone call from and to Dugan; memo to file.	1.90
7/24/98	Telephone call from investigator; telephone call to Dugan (2), Connie Ward; telephone call to FPC; telephone call from court reporter.	1.00
7/27/98	Telephone call from and to interpreter; memo to file.	0.20
7/28/98	Telephone call from and to Shimeta; telephone call to and from, and FAX to and from, Dugan; revise witness and exhibit list.	1.70
7/29/98	Telephone call to and from FPC; telephone call to client; review of file in preparation for hearing; review FAX from Dugan.	1.30
7/30/98	Preparation for and appearance at hearing re: Hernandez testimony; travel.	2.10

		Hours
8/4/98	Review of correspondence from investigator.	0.10
8/26/98	Telephone call from Fronk; review of file.	0.10
8/28/98	Telephone call to FPC; review of file.	0.20
8/31/98	Telephone call from Fronk re: dates; memo to file.	0.10
9/9/98	Telephone call from and to client.	0.20
9/29/98	Telephone call from Fronk; review of file.	0.10
10/7/98	Telephone call from and to FPC; memo to file.	0.30
10/9/98	Telephone call from FPC.	0.10
10/12/98	Review of correspondence from FPC; review of correspondence from MPD.	0.20
11/12/98	Telephone call from and to Dugan; memo to file; telephone call to client; review of file; telephone call from Steffes.	2.30
11/13/98	Review documents from opposing counsel; conference with client; memo to file.	2.90
11/16/98	Telephone call from Gastrow; memo to file.	0.30
11/17/98	Review criminal file; telephone call from FPC; telephone call to witness; telephone call to Dugan; review of correspondence from FPC; telephone call from client; FAX to witness.	2.70

		Hours
11/18/98	Hearing preparation; telephone call to witnesses; memo to file; review of file; telephone call to process server.	3.80
11/19/98	Review of file; telephone call from witnesses; telephone call to and from Dugan; preparation for hearing; telephone call to client.	5.60
11/20/98	Preparation for and appearance at hearing; conference with client; memo to file; travel.	7.60
11/24/98	Telephone call from investigator.	0.10
12/9/98	Review of file.	0.10
3/3/99	Telephone call from FPC; memo to file.	0.20
3/24/99	Review of file.	0.10
4/2/99	Review proposed decision; correspondence to client.	0.40
4/16/99	Review of file and proposed decision.	2.00
4/19/99	Review transcript.	1.80
4/20/99	Review transcript and notes of hearing	1.70
4/26/99	Draft brief.	3.20
4/27/99	Telephone call to Dugan.	0.10
4/28/99	Telephone call to Fronk; memo to file; edit brief.	2.30
4/29/99	Edit brief.	2.10

	Hours	
Edit brief	1.20	
Review complainant's brief; telephone call to FPC; telephone call to and from Dugan; memo to file.	1.30	
Review revised brief of complainant; correspondence to client.	0.60	
Review decision from FPC; correspondence to client; close file.	0.60	
	Amou	nt
For professional services rendered	77.00 \$8,470.	00
Additional charges:		
Parking	1.	50
Mileage	0.	90
Investigator report	386.	00
Transcript - Ray Reporting, Inc.	207.	25
Investigator report	346.	80.
Department of Justice request	13.	00
Department of Justice request	13.	00
Mileage	0.	98
Parking	1.	50
Investigator	257.	20
Subpoenas (4)	36.	00
	from Dugan; memo to file.  Review revised brief of complainant; correspondence to client.  Review decision from FPC; correspondence to client; close file.  For professional services rendered Additional charges:  Parking  Mileage  Investigator report  Transcript - Ray Reporting, Inc.  Investigator report  Department of Justice request  Department of Justice request  Mileage	Review complainant's brief; telephone call to FPC; telephone call to and from Dugan; memo to file.  Review revised brief of complainant; 0.60 correspondence to client.  Review decision from FPC; 0.60 correspondence to client; close file.  Amount for professional services rendered 77.00 \$8,470.  Additional charges:  Parking 1.  Mileage 0.  Investigator report 386.  Transcript - Ray Reporting, Inc. 207.  Investigator report 346.  Department of Justice request 13.  Mileage 0.  Parking 1.  Investigator 257.

Page 9
Amount
0.98
6.00
\$1,271.11
\$9,741.11
\$9,741.11

(Rate: \$110.00 per hour)



# Milwaukee County

E. MICHAEL McCANN . District Attorney

December 4, 1996

LT. MICHAEL YOUNG
MILWAUKEE POLICE DEPARTMENT
749 WEST STATE STREET
MILWAUKEE, WI 53233

Re: November 6, allegation against Police Officer Steve

Wesolowski made by Ms. Shawanda Johnson

Dear Lt. Young:

You recently requested that I review a charge against City of Milwaukee Police Officer Steve Wesolowski for a November 6, 1996 incident that happened near 2341 South Chase Street wherein Ms. Shawanda Johnson claims that Officer Wesolowski pointed his gun at her face and made threatening remarks.

For the following reasons, I have decided no criminal charge is appropriate. First, there is no corroboration to Ms. Johnson's story. No other witness exist and the store clerk did not see anything. Second, Ms. Johnson inaccurately describes the holster Officer Wesolowski's gun was allegedly in. Third, you mentioned to me that Ms. Johnson has filed complaints against police officers before which were not proven. Fourth, Officer Wesolowski denied the incident took place. Fifth, Officer Wesolowski, although off-duty was breaking up a dispute in a convenience store between Ms. Shawanda and another woman in which it appears that Ms. Johnson was at least partially the aggressor.

If you have any questions or comments, feel free to contact me. Thank you for your time and attention.

Sincerely,

Thomas J. McAdams

Assistant District Attorney

TJM:tea5

cc: Robert D. Donohoo, Deputy District Attorney

Robert D. Donohoo Jon N. Reddin Carol Lynn White James J. Martin

Richard P. Klinkowitz Thomas A. Schulz Alexander G. Sklenarz Fredric E. Matestic Robert E. Kraemer William J. Molitor Geraid R. Falk Patrick J. Kenney Donald S. Jackson Gale G. Shelton Terry Magowan Gaty D. Mahkoro David Robles Deborah Daley Fern S. Siegel Douglas J. Simpson Carol L. Kraft Cynthia G. Brown Norman A. Gahn George N. Prietz, III Alice E. Read Stephanie Gineris Rothstein Carol E. Stauder Steven H. Glamm Mary Anne Smith Mark S. Williams Linda Johnson John M. Stoiber Thomas L. Potter David Feiss Karen E. Christenson Rayann Chandler Szychlinski Elisa Castellon Carole Manchester Kenneth R. Berg Benbow P. Cheesman, Jr. Lovell Johnson, Jr. Warren D. Zier Timothy J. Cotte Carol Berry Crowley Steven V. Licata **Brad Vorpahl** Jane Carroll Thomas J. McAdams Paul Tiffin Susan Jean Sommer Miriam S. Falk Phyllis M. DeCarvalho Dennis P. Murphy Christopher Ford Christine M. Abbott Phillip A. Arieff Bruce J. Landgraf Mary K. McCann Denis J. Stingt Jorge A. Gomez David M. Lerman Janet C. Protasiewicz DeAnn L. Heard William E. Hanrahan Debra M. Sciano, SSND Patricia A. McGowan Irene Parthum Gall Karen A. Loebei Nancy Ettenheim Marcella DePeters Ronald S. Dague Joann M. Hornak Catherine A. Gaudreau Laura G. Arbuckie Lori S. Komblum Christopher A. Liegel Karine O'Byrne Maria Williams Marshall B. Murray James W. Frisch Kurt B. Benkley James C. Griffin William P. Pipp Audrey Skwierawski Joanne L. Hardtke Carl Jordan John T. Chisholm Megan P. Carmody Laura A. Crivello La Chelle Olive Derek C. Mosley Shawn Pompe Brian J. Resier Karen A. Lynch Javne Davis Dewire Allison M. Ritter Kevin R. Shomin

#### BOARD OF FIRE AND POLICE COMMISSIONERS OF THE CITY OF MILWAUKEE

In the matter of the complaint of

**SHAWANDA JOHNSON** 

DECISION OF THE BOARD

against

P.O. STEVEN WESOLOWSKI

Complaint No. 97-11

Commissioners August G. Erdmann, Robert J. Welch and Carla Y. Cross met on May 11, 1999, for the purpose of conducting deliberations in this matter. Each Commissioner had been provided with copies of transcripts, exhibits and other documents relative to the proceedings before the Hearing Examiner, and had reviewed those documents prior to this meeting. As a result of the deliberations, this Commission has reached the following unanimous decision:

We adopt the Recommendation and the proposed Findings of the Hearing Examiner as attached hereto and made a part hereof by reference. The testimony in this case is contradictory in essentially all respects, and neither the version of the incident as offered by the Complainant nor that of Officer Wesolowski is entirely convincing. We are faced with a situation where the truth would appear to lie somewhere in between. Given the lack of corroborating testimony from any independent witness, we are unable and unwilling to guess at which version of the "truth" is the more accurate of the two.

The Complainant has the burden of proving, by a preponderance of the evidence, that the officer violated departmental rules and/or procedures. In this case that burden has not been met. We accordingly dismiss this complaint.

Signed and dated at Milwaukee, Wisconsin this \_\_\_\_\_day of May, 1999.

Board of Fire and Police Commissioners
Of the City of Milwaukee

BY:

Robert J. Welch, Commissioner

RECEIVED

MAY 2 2 1999

EGGERT LAW OFFICE, S.C.

#### MILWAUKEE POLICE DEPARTMENT

**MEMORANDUM** JULY 22, 1999

PO STEVEN WESOLOWSKI TO:

DISTRICT SIX

Receipt of Legal Services from Law Firm of RE:

Attorney

Attorney LAURIE EGGERT has made a claim with the City, indicating the attached was provided with legal services arising out of one of the following situations:

An incident occurring on NOVEMBER 6, 1996 A citizen's complaint made by SHAWANDA TOHNSON A police shooting incident occurring on

Is this information correct?

Did you receive legal representation in this matter?

ten Westowski 50968 Your signature:

Print your name: Show WESO Lowski

Upon completion, please return this memorandum to the Internal Affairs Division at the Police Academy (Room 325) as soon as possible.

74 DALE T. SCHUNK Deputy Inspector of Police Internal Affairs Division

Dale T. Schunk

DTS:dnp