00001 1	
2	CITY OF MILWAUKEE
3	UTILITIES & LICENSES COMMITTEE
4	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
5	In the Matter of:
6	RALPH E. NEELY, Class B Tavern and Tavern Dance Renewal Application for RALPH'S LOUNGE
7	at 4538 W. Lisbon Avenue. * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
8	COMMITTEE MEMBERS
9	ALD. JEFFREY PAWLINSKI - Chiarman ALD. TERRANCE HERRON - Vice-Chairman
9	ALD. ROSA CAMERON-ROLLINS
10	ALD. ANGEL SANCHEZ
11	LICENSING DIVISION by LEO WAGNER
	NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES by PANDORA T. BENDER
12	POLICE DEPARTMENT by SEARGENT JOHN HOGAN
	OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY by ATTORNEY BRUCE SCHRIMPF
13 14	
14 15	Proceedings had and testimony given in
16	the above-entitled matter, before the UTILITIES &
17	LICENSES COMMITTEE OF THE CITY OF MILWAUKEE, on
18	the 21st day of June, 2001.

PROCEEDINGS

CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: The matter that we're here to address today is the issue of Ralph E. Neely in the 17th Aldermanic District. This is a Class "B" Tavern and Tavern Dance renewal application for "Ralph's Lounge" located at 4538 West Lisbon Avenue.

Now, a couple ground rules before we start. First of all, we're not being televised today, because there is a Steering Rules committee that begins at two p.m. and a Common Council meeting that begins at three p.m. to address the Summerfest issues, so we are not on television. So for whatever that's worth - -

 $\label{eq:ALDERMAN HERRON: We'll be out of here much quicker.} \\$

CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Could be.

Exactly. The other thing, though, is that I am also - - I am a member of the Steering Rules committee and all of us here obviously are members of the Common Council. We're going to do our best to move forward with this public hearing as quickly and as expediently as possible. But at two o'clock, if we're not finished, we'll have to recess it for a portion of this day and come

2.4

back and finish it up.

ALDERWOMAN CAMERON: Why? You just run on and go to Steering Rules committee. You have your Vice here. He's very capable of doing it. CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Because I would

prefer not to have the committee deal with this issue with only three people here. So - - And all of us have to convene in the Common Council chambers at three o'clock, anyway, so we'll see how we do.

Having said that, I will try to give a lot of consideration to those neighbors that are here, because I know it is cited for neighbors. There is a neighborhood objection. I don't know if anybody's here to speak. But if you are, I will do my best to get you as quickly as possible so that you do not have to wait around until a later portion of today's hearing. So having said all of that, I just want to make sure that you know that our Assistant City Attorney Bruce Schrimpf is here, as well as Sergeant John Hogan of the Milwaukee Police Department, Pandora Bender with the Department of Neighborhood Services. Alderman Willie Hines is here. He represents the 17th District where Ralph's Lounge

00004 1 is located. Leo Wagner is on the far right over there. He is our Licensing Manager. Mary Landowski is our Staff Assistant. And we're also 4 joined by Jean as our Court Reporter today. 5 I need to swear in our staff. You can 6 all raise your right hands. Do you solemnly 7 swear to tell the truth, the whole truth, and 8 nothing but the truth, so help you God? 9 THOSE PRESENT: I do. 10 CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: And, Jean, could 11 you raise your hand, please? Do you solemnly 12 swear to execute the duties of your office 13 faithfully and impartially to the best of your 14 ability, so help you God? 15 COURT REPORTER: I will. 16 CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: With that then I 17 will - - Mr. Neely is here with his attorney. 18 Mr. Neely, I will ask you if you could raise your 19 right hand, as well. Alderman. Thank you. Do 20 you solemnly swear to tell the truth, the whole 21 truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God? 22 THE APPLICANT: I do. 23 CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: And, Mr. Neely, 2.4 you acknowledge receiving notice of today's 25 meeting with a possibility that your renewal

00005 1 application for Ralph's Lounge could be denied. 2 There is an objection within the police report, as well as a neighborhood objection to noisy 4 patrons, fights, shootings, damage to private 5 property, parking problems, and illegal drug activity. You did get that notice? 6 7 THE APPLICANT: I got that, yes. 8 CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Ms. Rodgers, 9 you're representing Mr. Neely? 10 MS. RODGERS: Yes. Karna Rodgers on 11 behalf of Mr. Neely, from Butler, Rodgers and 12 Johnson. 13 CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Let me just, 14 before we move into the police report, get a 15 handle on anyone here from the neighborhood. Is 16 anyone here from the neighborhood who wishes to 17 testify in this matter? Can I just get a show of 18 hands? Two people. Are you here to testify in 19 favor or in opposition to Mr. Neely's? 20 THE WITNESS: In opposition. 21 CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: In opposition to? In opposition, as well? Okay. We're going to go through the police report. Ms. Rodgers will have 22 23 2.4 an opportunity to kind of go through that police 25 report, as well, and then I'm going to try and

00006 1 get to you as quickly as possible. Okay. So at this point I'm going to move on to Sergeant Hogan of the Milwaukee Police Department. He'll enter 4 into the record, the police report. 5 MS. RODGERS: I'm sorry. Before we 6 begin, it's my assumption that we're going 7 through the police report from June of 2000. Is 8 that correct? 9 CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Yes. We have 18 10 items in the police report, and I will ask the 11 Sergeant to read items number ten to 18. All 12 committee members have read the entire report, 13 but we will not - - we will not have to reread 14 those items from the previous license years. 15 MS. RODGERS: Okay. 16 CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: So I will ask 17 Sergeant Hogan to just go from items ten to 18. 18 SERGEANT HOGAN: Just for your 19 information, Mr. Chairman, items ten and 11 were 20 part of the last report to this committee. Items 21 ten and 11 were presented to this committee at 22 the last hearing. 23 ALDERWOMAN CAMERON: Item 12. 2.4 CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Before I have 25 Sergeant Hogan read the report, I just want to

00007	
1	ask if Pandora's got any objections from the
2	Department of Neighborhood Services?
3	MS. BENDER: Building inspection has no
4	problems.
5	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: And you know
6	Is there any health objection, at all, do you
7	know?
8	MS. BENDER: As far as I know, there
9	are no health objections.
10	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Okay. Perfect.
11	Then I know you can move on with the rest of your
12	day. Thank you. All right. So, Sergeant, why
13	don't you just read items 12 to 18 then?
14	MS. RODGERS: I'm sorry. Is it
15	Item 12 is dated May of 2000.
16	ALDERWOMAN CAMERON: Item 13.
17	MS. RODGERS: Item 12 is dated
18	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: It is It is
19	dated May of 2000. However, it's my
20	understanding that that item was not taken up by
21	this committee last year. So we hadn't heard it.
22	MR. SCHRIMPF: Mr. Chairman, I would
23	note that the the conviction occurred on July
24	19th.
25	MS. RODGERS: That is correct.

00008 1 MR. SCHRIMPF: And that could not have 2 been heard by the committee then. MS. RODGERS: That is correct. 4 CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: So we'll start 5 with item 12 and move on through the rest of the 6 report. So, Sergeant, go ahead. 7 SERGEANT HOGAN: On May the 7th, 2000 8 at about one a.m., officers conducted a tavern 9 check at Ralph's Lounge, 4538 West Lisbon Avenue. 10 Upon entering the tavern officers found three 11 underage persons inside the tavern. The licensee 12 was on the scene. Citations were issued for 13 underage persons. There was a conviction. 14 On Wednesday, February the 21st, 2000 15 at about 1:35 a.m. officers investigated a 16 battery complaint. The victim stated that he was 17 punched by unknown persons outside Ralph's 18 Lounge, 4538 West Lisbon Avenue. I will note for 19 the committee that there is no supplemental 20 report from the officers, so there's no 21 information further on this matter as to whether 22 the incident precipitated inside the premises or 23 not. 2.4 On Friday, April the 6th of 2001 at 25 about 12:45 a.m. officers investigated a report

1 of a wanted subject. A caller stated that her ex-boyfriend had battered her a month ago and charges were issued and that he has warrants. 4 She indicated that he was inside of Ralph's 5 Lounge and gave officers a description of him. 6 After confirming that there were warrants on file 7 and calling for an additional squad, patrons 8 began to exit the premises and the subject was 9 observed leaving the premises. The caller 10 identified this subject, and when approached by 11 officers and informed him that he was under 12 arrest, he became combative and attempted to strike officers. He was eventually taken into 13 14 custody and a custodial search of him revealed 15 suspected marijuana in a clear plastic baggy and 16 suspected crack cocaine individually wrapped in 16 17 corner cut baggies. These narcotics were found 18 in the subject's front pants pocket and were 19 later tested positive as THC and cocaine. I will 20 note to the committee that the criminal 21 investigation indicates that this subject told 22 the vice-control division officer that he bought 23 the marijuana inside the - - inside of Ralph's Lounge. He would not comment as to where the 2.4 25 cocaine came from.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

On Friday, April 13th, 2001 at about 9:52 p.m. officers were investigating a shooting just east of the premises at 4428 West Lisbon. Officer was instructed to go to Ralph's Lounge to investigate endangering safety by use of dangerous weapon, possibly related to the shooting at 4428 West Lisbon Avenue. The officer spoke to Mr. Neely who stated that his brother closed the bar at about 12:30 a.m. on that date. Both the applicant and his brother came back to the bar at about 8:30 p.m. that date, and the applicant noted some debris on the floor in front of the tavern door and saw what appeared to be a bullet hole in the upper left corner of the door. A mark was found on a decorative pillar about 20 feet inside the tavern, and a misshapened round was found by Mr. Willie Neely on the sink behind the serving bar. Investigation indicates that this incident did not appear to be related to the shooting at 4428 West Lisbon Avenue.

On May the 12th, 2001 at about 2:22 a.m. officers were dispatched to 4538 West Lisbon Avenue to a report of a subject down. They observed a male, about 45 years old, lying in the parking lot of Ralph's Lounge. The victim was

1 unconscious and bleeding from his head and was conveyed to Froedtert Hospital. A hospital security person, per their policy, searched this individual and discovered a clear plastic baggy 5 containing 20 corner-cut bags containing 6 suspected crack cocaine. This was given to the 7 - - an officer who conveyed it to the vice-8 control division where it was tested positive as 9 being cocaine. Department records indicate that 10 an anonymous female called in, that a black male 11 was stomped to death and was bleeding. At the 12 scene officers observed a blood trail leading 13 from the victim to the tavern. Officers 14 interviewed the licensee, who the officer 15 describes as being uncooperative. The licensee 16 related that the victim had been given a 17 counterfeit 100 dollar bill and pulled a gun, 18 which was taken away from the victim and used to 19 beat the victim. One of the investigating officers followed the blood trail into the tavern 20 21 around the bar and into the archway to the back 22 room of the tavern. There was what appeared to 23 be a large area of blood spots in this archway. 24 The area was damp and appeared to have been 25 cleaned up. Directly in front of these spots was

a portable wet carpet cleaner which was plugged in. Mr. Neely denied that there was an attempt to clean up blood and yelled, "You must be fuckin' crazy telling me this is a crime scene." Or words to that effect. He denied that any incident occurred inside the tavern. On the east entrance where the blood trail entered the tavern was a wooden porch with brick stairs. The stairway was wet, consistent with being washed down with water. Mr. Neely denied that there was any attempt to clean up any blood inside or outside the tavern. Mr. Neely was extremely uncooperative with the police, refusing to answer questions, and attempting to order the police to leave his tavern.

On May the 30th, 2001 at about 12:59 a.m. officers responded to Ralph's Lounge regarding a shooting. Investigation revealed that several patrons had been shot during a gunfight in the tavern. Four patrons received various gunshot wounds, however, none were life threatening. Mr. Neely, who was on the scene at the time, was described by investigating officers as uncooperative. Mr. Neely was observed sweeping up shell casings and bullets. Mr. Neely

was also overheard saying to patrons, "Why are you giving the police all that information? They don't need to know all that." Or words to that substantial effect. Officers also discovered one 20 year old patron who had been sold by - - alcohol by Mr. Neely and a citation was issued for a sale to underage. That is pending in municipal court.

On June the 8th, 2001 at about 12:30 a.m. officers made a lawful inspection of the premises at Ralph's Lounge, observed a man who was identified as a security guard. This guard was armed with a nine millimeter semi-automatic handgun in a holster in plain view. However, he was not in any type of uniform. This incident was reported to the State agency that regulates security guards.

And I will note to the committee that this past Monday, June the 19th at about 1:20 a.m. there was a shooting in the parking lot. The brief information I have is that the victim stated that he got into an argument over a girl on the premises. He went outside where he was struck by gunfire from an unknown person. He was conveyed by another citizen to the hospital and

00014	
00014	is described by the efficiency as being
1	is described by the officers as being
2	uncooperative. There is no information that Mr.
3	Neely was even knowledgeable of this incident.
4	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Alderwoman
5	SERGEANT HOGAN: Pending investigation,
6	I have no further information on that.
7	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Alderwoman Rosa
8	Cameron has a question. Go ahead.
9	ALDERWOMAN CAMERON: Yes, I have some
10	several questions. I would like to put into
11	our police record to be entered into the record.
12	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Okay. Alderwoman
13	Cameron moves the entire police report be made
14	part of our record. Is there any objection to
15	that?
16	MS. RODGERS: I object.
17	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: I'm speaking to
18	committee members.
19	MS. RODGERS: I know. I understand.
20	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Any objections?
21	Hearing none, so ordered. Your objection is
22	noted, Ms. Rodgers.
23	ALDERWOMAN CAMERON: The second one is
24	that the the one that happened Monday.
25	Second time, I think, seeing you, I have no

00015 reflection - - I don't know the applicant. I 1 don't think that we should use that, even though it's knowledgeable, because it wasn't in the 4 package. It's not in his package. It's not in 5 my package. So I would just say that's 6 knowledgeable information for us, but I don't 7 think it can do us any good, because it, you 8 know, due process. 9 SERGEANT HOGAN: You are speaking to 10 the Chairman, of course. 11 ALDERWOMAN CAMERON: I'm speaking to 12 all of us committee members, that it wouldn't be 13 - - Well, you want me to speak directly to you? 14 CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Well, I - - You 15 know, I - -16 ALDERWOMAN CAMERON: No, I didn't know 17 which way he wanted me to do it. I was just 18 saying that - - question this. 19 CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Well, I'm going to 20 - - I'm going to ask for - - I'm going to ask for an advisory opinion from Mr. Schrimpf, since he is 21 22 our attorney. He is representing us as a committee. Mr. Schrimpf. 23 2.4 MR. SCHRIMPF: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 25 The notice clearly says that there is a

00016 1 neighborhood objection to noisy patrons, fights and shootings. So, in addition to whatever was in the police report, the licensee is also 4 notified that there is information regarding 5 shootings. So my recommendation would be that 6 this is properly before the committee. 7 ALDERWOMAN CAMERON: Okay. That's all 8 I wanted to know. 9 CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Good enough for 10 me. 11 MS. RODGERS: I do have a comment on 12 that. Obviously I disagree - -13 CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: I'm going to - -14 I'm going to turn it over to you right now, 15 anyway, so if you want to go ahead and make your 16 statement and go ahead. 17 MS. RODGERS: Okay. All right. Thank 18 you. I guess I'll start with the - - the last 19 incident first and then go back to the very first 20 one. Obviously, we object to any information 21 that has not - - Mr. Neely has not been given 22 notice of. The police officer himself indicated 23 that no one has ever contacted Mr. Neely to 24 indicate that that June 11th incident ever took

25

place.

00017	
1	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: June 19th.
2	MS. RODGERS: June 19th. I'm sorry.
3	June 19th incident ever took place. If he's
4	unaware of it, obviously he cannot defend
5	anything that he's completely unaware of. And I
6	believe the officer also indicated that Mr. Neely
7	not only had no knowledge, because they did not
8	inform him, and Mr. Neely will testify himself,
9	you know, given an opportunity to do that, that
10	he's completely unaware of any incident taking
11	place in his parking lot on that on the
12	evening of June 19th.
13	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: I'll ask Mr.
14	Neely. Mr. Neely, you own you own the
15	establishment.
16	THE APPLICANT: Yes, I do.
17	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: You own the
18	establishment.
19	THE APPLICANT: Yes.
20	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: The incident
21	The incident
22	MS. RODGERS: June 19th?
23	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: One One
24	second. I'm asking a question, so why don't we
25	go why don't we do this in a very civil way.

00018	
1	So, Mr. Neely, you're the owner of the
2	establishment.
3	THE APPLICANT: Yes, I am.
4	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: And the incident
5	that was read into the record by the officer said
6	it took place Monday night, which is nearly three
7	72 hours ago, three full nights ago. And
8	now, are you going to tell the committee today
9	that no employee of yours or no other person
10	associated with you in any way has told you that
11	a shooting took place in the parking lot of your
12	establishment?
13	THE APPLICANT: We wasn't even open on
14	that day.
15	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Okay.
16	THE APPLICANT: Was that on a Monday?
17	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: This is this
18	Monday, the 19th of June.
19	THE APPLICANT: Wasn't even open. We
20	didn't open up on Monday.
21	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Okay.
22	MS. RODGERS: So in answer to the
23	question, are you aware or have you ever heard
24	anything about anything related to June 19th?
25	THE APPLICANT: Nobody Nobody

00019

1 contacted me. I haven't heard anything. This is
2 the first I ever heard of it.
3 CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Go ahead, Ms.
4 Rodgers.
5 MS. RODGERS: Starting first of all
6 with the incident of, well, item number 13, I - 7 we just really - - really want to address item
8 number 12 except to say that Mr. Neely plead no

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

with the incident of, well, item number 13, I - number 12 except to say that Mr. Neely plead no contest - - pleaded no contest to that charge, and he was obviously found guilty because that is the - - the process in which the court system follows in that they find you not guilty - - find you guilty, rather, if you plead no contest. And - - And so that item is properly on the - - on his record, and he was, in fact, fined and he's been - - the fine is his punishment for that item. And now we can move on to item number 13, as you will note on item number 13 the incident occurred outside in the parking lot. And it is - - it is important to know that there are unknown persons in a parking lot outside of the - - the lounge.

Item number 14, of course, deals with an incident where an ex-girlfriend called because the ex-girlfriend knew that the ex-boyfriend was

in the lounge. And so, the ex-girlfriend reported where she thought he was, and he, meaning the ex-boyfriend, and the ex-boyfriend was, in fact, in Ralph's Lounge. But if you notice, nothing else related to Ralph's Lounge is involved except the fact that a patron was in the bar, that the police arrested. It's much the same as if there's someone sitting in this - - in this room today. If someone were to call and say the Chairman of the committee is there and he has a warrant out for his arrest, would the rest of the committee be at fault because the police depar - - a policeman came in and arrest the Chairman of this committee. I don't think so.

Going on to item number 14. I'm sorry, also in item number 14 you also notice that there is no mention, whatsoever, of Mr. Neely. In item number 15 there was, in fact, a shooting, but the shooting was one block away at 4428 West - - West Lisbon. It is one block from Ralph's Lounge. It is not in Ralph's Lounge or on the - - in the parking lot or - - or anyplace near, except that it's one block away. There are many, many crimes that happen one block or half a block away. I think - - don't think that you - - you can

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

criticize someone or blame, or somehow punish someone for something that happens a block away.

In item number 16, of course, that happened in the parking lot, and there are complaints that - - by the police officer that the victim was bleeding. There was a blood trail, it says from the victim to the - - to the bar. If it's from the victim to the bar, that implies that the incident happened outside of the bar in the parking lot, and - - and the victim went up to the bar as opposed to happening the way the - - the report is written to imply that it happened inside the bar. I mean, the victim, in fact, that it was the other way around. I know there is also some other information in the police report or the report that we have in front of us, that implies that certain other things happened. But if you look at this incident in total, it appears as if something happened in the parking lot. And the police department went into the bar after the incident occurred, and it happened in the parking lot.

Going on to item number 17, there's a shooting of the four people. Of course, that is something that is devastating to any community,

1 and - - and unfortunately those kinds of things are happening in Milwaukee all over the city, not just in that area. That area is one of the 4 highest crime areas there is in the city. 5 Obviously, Mr. Neely has nothing to do with how high the crime rate is in that particular area or 6 7 any other area in the city. Mr. Neely has come 8 before this committee on several occasions and 9 has made - - has received a suspension for some 10 things, and has made changes to try to make his 11 bar one that is more conducive to an environment 12 where professional people will - - will come and 13 - - and be involved with many different kinds of 14 activities that are all legal and all respectable 15 activities. We, of course, are very saddened by, 16 and Mr. Neely is saddened by the shooting and the 17 injuries to the individuals, but one thing I 18 think you can say in Mr. Neely's favor related to 19 that is that he had security cameras, and 20 voluntarily and willingly volunteered those security cameras, the - - the results of those 21 22 security cameras to the police department. As a result of those security cameras, the police 23 2.4 department was able to apprehend one in - - and 25 know of, at least have some idea who the second

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

suspect is in that shooting.

In terms of item number 18, which is the security officer in the - - in the bar with a gun, the gun was properly holstered. The police department did their routine inspection and found someone there. The guy did not have on a uniform, but he was, in fact, a security officer, and this happened after the May 30th incident where someone had been shot. And just to go back to that incident of May 30th - - 30th for just a moment, it was a situation where there were two people in a bar talking to each other, and one - - one of the victims apparently saw some people walk in that were - - were people that he had a feud with, and those - - the - - the - - one of the suspects went outside, got the gun, came back inside shooting. Did not - - There were security people in place, but the security people, like anyone else, was in fear for their lives. When the shooting started, the guy walked through the door shooting, and when it happened, everyone hit the floor or got down on the floor. And - - And when that happened and - - It's really something that's devastating again to our community, devastating to Mr. Neely, and

```
00024
1
          devastating to the entire City of Milwaukee. But
          fortunately no one was - - no one died as a
         result of the incident, and - - and we - - we are
4
         thankful for that. But I just want to say that
5
         Mr. Neely has, and I'll give him an opportunity
 6
         to say briefly how - - how he feels about the
 7
         incident and, also, briefly state what happened.
                    CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Go ahead, Mr.
8
9
         Neely. Why don't you do that? And then after
10
         you're done I'll have a couple questions, and
11
          then Alderman Hines - - I'm going to let Alderman
12
         Hines - -
13
                   MS. RODGERS: Just the incident on the
14
          30th. Just the incident on the 30th.
15
                    THE APPLICANT: Okay. Like Ms. Rodgers
16
          said, upset about that, you know. It is
17
         devastating. My wife is still shaken up because
18
         of that. I've been having nightmares. You know,
19
          I wake up with a cold sweat and stuff. Because
20
         any time anybody does themself like that it is - -
21
         You can't believe it. Then, like I said, we got
22
          security guards. We got cameras. I gave the - -
23
          the police the - - the tape, so they got what
24
         they - - they got one - - they got one person,
```

and they know who the second shooter is, so it's

25

00025	
1	just a matter of time before they take care of this.
2	MS. RODGERS: And, Mr. Neely, you were,
3	in fact, cooperative with the police department.
4	Is that right?
5	THE APPLICANT: I was cooperative,
6	yeah, real cooperative.
7	MR. SCHRIMPF: Mr. Chairman.
8	THE APPLICANT: Went right in there. I
9	was the only one that told them what happened.
10	Everybody else had left.
11	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Mr. Neely, one
12	second. Mr. Schrimpf, go ahead.
13	MR. SCHRIMPF: Thank you. Mister
14	Mr. Neely, I just have one question. The matter
15	in which you gave the videotapes to the police,
16	that was with reference to item number 17.
17	THE APPLICANT: Thirty.
18	MR. SCHRIMPF: The incident, the May
19	30th?
20	THE APPLICANT: May 30th.
21	MR. SCHRIMPF: Okay. That's my only
22	question.
23	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Mr. Neely, do you
24	employ like a metal detector of some sort at the
25	bar?

00026	
1	THE APPLICANT: We got Yeah, we got
2	a metal detector.
3	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: And
4	THE APPLICANT: But, you know, when
5	somebody come into your bar shooting, you know,
6	first thing anybody going to do is hit the floor
7	or get out of the way.
8	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: How much time do
9	you spend at the bar?
10	THE APPLICANT: If you see, I'm there
11	all the time. Mr. Neely, Mr. Neely, Mr. Neely,
12	Mr. Neely.
13	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Okay.
14	THE APPLICANT: I'm always there.
15	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Now, you said you
16	weren't open this Monday night on the 19th.
17	THE APPLICANT: No, we weren't open.
18	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Why weren't you
19	open on Monday night?
20	THE APPLICANT: We don't open on
21	Mondays.
22	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: You're always
23	closed.
24	THE APPLICANT: We try to give the
25	neighborhood a break. We only work

00027	
1	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: You're always
2	closed on Monday.
3	THE APPLICANT: We close on Monday.
4	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: What do you mean
5	What do you mean by the you try to give the
6	neighborhood a break?
7	THE APPLICANT: I mean, like I said, we
8	try to just Sundays and and Mondays we
9	always close.
10	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Okay.
11	THE APPLICANT: To have a little family
12	time.
13	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: How long have you
14	had the metal detector in place?
15	THE APPLICANT: Ever since we had the
16	bar.
17	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Okay. All right.
18	Alderman Hines?
19	ALDERMAN HINES: Mr. Chairman, I don't
20	
21	MS. RODGERS: Just format. Since
22	we're on format, I was going to ask Mr. Neely a
23	couple of questions unless you would rather
24	ALDERMAN HINES: Go ahead.
25	MS. RODGERS: Mr. Neely, I'll just make

00028 1 a couple of statements just to make it quicker, 2 if - - if you don't mind, and - -3 CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Go ahead. 4 MS. RODGERS: - - then, Mr. Neely, I 5 would like for you to concur, if, in fact, you 6 do. Mr. Neely has indicated to me that he would 7 willingly, and has willingly in the past, done 8 everything the committee has recommended for his 9 continuation of operating as - - as a bar. He 10 has decided that his new format will include a 11 dress code in which no tennis shoes or gym shoes 12 will be allowed, and there will be absolutely no 13 hip-hop music, whatsoever, and that he will be 14 open three to four days a week, and will not 15 allow T-shirts, or he would also get some 16 additional armed security. He has security 17 cameras currently, and if this - - if it is a 18 desire of this committee, he will add possibly 19 another security camera. As you know, there's a 20 police department that will be opening up, a 21 police station that will be opening up soon, 22 about two or three blocks from Mr. Neely's 23 current location, and Mr. Neely would like to 2.4 develop a format in which it would be an 25 environment that's conducive to having police

00029 1 officers in the bar as often as - - as possible. Mr. Neely has a facility - - facility that is, in fact, a very nice facility - - facility 4 aesthetically. It is just that he's been 5 unfortunate in that there are incidents that 6 happen in the area, because it is, in fact, a 7 very high crime area. And Mr. Neely, if he had 8 the - - the wherewithal, probably would move to a 9 different location, but at this point that's just 10 not feasible. 11 Now, Mr. Neely, you've heard all of the 12 - - the changes that I've indicated that you're 13 willing to make to change your format. Is - - Is 14 not - - Is that, in fact, the truth or isn't that 15 what you've given me as a format? Okay. 16 THE APPLICANT: Yes. 17 CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Let's do this, in 18 the interest of time, Alderman. I'd like to go 19 to the neighbors at this point. 20 ALDERMAN HINES: I was going to defer 21 to the neighbors, anyhow. 22 CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: All right. 23 Because we're going to have to be back here 2.4 anyway. And - - And I just want to make another

comment about the two o'clock meeting for the

25

00030 1 record. Even though I have to sit on the Steering Rules committee, it is regarding the Summerfest 20 year lease, and I think it's pretty 4 important that all members of the committee be 5 there to ask questions, and participate in the 6 dialog about the Summerfest lease, and that 7 meeting is important that we all attend. So at 8 some point in the next 20 - 25 minutes we're 9 probably going to walk down the hall and take 10 that matter up. So why don't we do this? I'd 11 like to call the neighbors up. I had two hands 12 before. Ma'am, you came in late. Are you going 13 to testify, as well? There's three now. I think 14 we have - - Is there one chair there? I can't 15 see the .. 16 SERGEANT HOGAN: Mr. Chairman. 17 CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Yes. 18 SERGEANT HOGAN: I think it'd be best 19

20

21

22

23 2.4

25

if they sat here.

CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Let's do that. We have a microphone here. One at a time, first come, first serve. Who wants to start? Now, let - - let's do this. Because there's three people here who want to testify, why don't I swear you all in at the same time. If you could all raise

00031 1 your right hands, please. Do you solemnly swear to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the 4 truth, so help you God? 5 THE WITNESSES: Yes. 6 CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Okay. I'll need 7 you to take that microphone close to you so we 8 can hear you. Give us your name and address for 9 the record. 10 THE WITNESS: Okay. 11 CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: And then provide 12 your testimony. Now, I do want to just say this 13 is that we do have three people here. We don't 14 want to go through repetitive testimony. Ma'am, 15 sir, I don't want to get into repetitive 16 testimony. So if you agree substantially with 17 the person who previously testified, that's 18 perfectly okay for you to say that. But if you 19 have new information you want to provide, we'd 20 like to take the new information only. Okay? 21 Ma'am, I'll start with you first. Why don't you 22 tell us your position on the issue and - - and 23 we'll go from there.

2.4

25

THE WITNESS: Okay. My name is Sister Linda Mohammed.

00032 CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: You've got to pull 1 2 the microphone real close. 3 THE WITNESS: Okay. CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: It's very hard to 4 5 hear. 6 THE WITNESS: My name is Sister Linda 7 Mohammed. I live at 4523 West Garfield Avenue. 8 That's directly across the street from Mr. 9 Neely's bar. My position is that I would not 10 like to see the bar reopened. I've lived there 11 for 13 years, and I've lived there through at 12 least three owners in that bar. There have been 13 some problems with each one. And it seems to me 14 that it's escalating, just like pretty much 15 everything else in society. I've talked to Mr. 16 Neely a few times. We've talked about situations 17 that have happened. We had one incident where 18 people, it looked like the whole bar was running 19 across the street toward my house, hiding around 20 my house, behind my car. There was shooting. 21 People were shooting, and they were running. 22 have a bullet hole in my house right now that if 23 it had gone a few feet to either side, would have 24 gone through a window or door in my house where I 25 live with my family.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 Mr. Neely, I do believe has im - - has tried to implement things that would change the situation. But I don't believe at this point that that's possible. I think the people that are used to coming there are of a nature that he's not - - that the things that he's doing, though he's doing them, he's making an honest effort in my opinion, it's not going to be enough. They are rowdy. It's a huge crowd. They are extremely loud. The shooting, at one point was nightly. Every night that they were open it was shooting. I got to a point where I would fall out of my bed onto the floor and not 14 even really be completely awake. I was so used to hitting the floor from gunfire. And it's because of the clientele that has become accustomed to gathering there. And I - - I know 18 that he's - - I believe that he's trying. I've had conversations with him before, but I just don't see where these changes that he's talking about implementing will really eradicate the problem. I've seen times where it's gone down, where it hasn't been as much, but it has always started back up again. And I don't want to wait until there's more people hurt. I certainly

00034 1 don't want to wait until a bullet comes through my house and strikes one of my children or myself and somebody's injured. You - - You can only do 4 so much. I don't want to see any bar in that 5 location again. 6 CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: And - -7 THE WITNESS: That's a beautiful 8 building. It could be some other kind of 9 business. I don't want to see a tavern there. 10 Once people get used to hanging out at a certain 11 spot, even if he closed and he reopened later, 12 many of those same people, I believe, are going 13 to come back. Even if somebody else got it and 14 it was a bar. I'm concerned that some of those 15 same people are going to come back, and they're 16 not the kind of people that behave in a way that 17 in a neighborhood like that, that's - - you know, 18 that's that many people around, it's just not 19 conducive. 20 CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Ma'am, you 21

22

23 24

25

CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Ma'am, you mentioned that at some point the shootings became a nightly occurrence. When - - When was that specifically?

THE WITNESS: When - - Your police report would probably do a better job than I

00035 would, because I don't have dates. I apologize. 1 I did not record dates. CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Was that 2001 or 4 2000? What year? 5 THE WITNESS: I know that the incident 6 that I told you where I got the bullet hole in my 7 house, that would have been early 2000. CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: So are you saying 8 9 this is more of a summertime occurrence or a year 10 round occurrence? I mean, are there bad times in a 11 year and good times, or is it always the same way 12 that you've described? 13 THE WITNESS: Well, to me it's just - -14 It's pretty bad. I can't really go back and say 15 in the wintertime it's different. It probably is 16 worse in the summertime, because pretty much 17 activity heightens in the summer and kind of 18 mellows out as the weather cools off, but - -19 CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: You also described 20 for us a situation where it's very loud. 21 Specifically, what do you mean by that? 22 THE WITNESS: I mean that when the 23 tavern closes and everybody's coming out, there's 24 a lot of loud talking, just a lot of loud 25 shouting, talking. The music comes on, cars are

00036 1 peeling out. There was a point at which there was somebody that used to go over there where he used to just do donuts. I mean, he would just go 4 up and down the street, peeling out, back and 5 forth and around and around. 6 CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Is this a 7 situation in which you've been awoken up during 8 sleep or that you - -9 THE WITNESS: Oh, yeah. 10 CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: - - you were 11 already up at the time? 12 THE WITNESS: You - - You don't sleep 13 when the tavern closes. You don't sleep. If 14 you're already asleep, you're not sleeping 15 anymore. Because I live right across the street. 16 There's nothing to block the sound. So I get all 17 of it, and I - - and - - and you hear all the 18 voices, and I'm - - You know, you got several 19 people. He - - He has a big crowd that comes 20 there, and they come regularly. The streets are 21 lined with cars. There's a little gas station on 22 the front. They fill that up. They park in 23 front of my house. And all, you know, all down

the block. So he has a great, you know, a good

size crowd of people that come - - come on a

24

25

00037 1 regular basis. CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: And then - - And then you mentioned you have children? 4 THE WITNESS: I have six children. 5 CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Six children live 6 at that house with you? 7 THE WITNESS: Well, five live in the house with me. Well, no, actually, all six are 8 9 there. 10 CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: All six. 11 THE WITNESS: But the three - - The 12 older three are grown, so it's almost like 13 they're not there, but they're there. 14 CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: At any point during the past several years, as you've described 15 16 your time there, have you had a situation where 17 your children had been woken up by - - by this 18 disturbance that you described? 19 THE WITNESS: My daughter, my youngest 20 child, is ten. And all the time. Whenever 21 there's a shooting, you know, she's affected by 22 it, and, you know, you - - You don't like to see 23 your children feeling like that, where, you know, 24 as soon as they hear gunfire, that's - - that's their instant reaction. Hit the floor. Hit the 25

00038	
1	floor. Hit the floor. That's not healthy for
2	the mind of children. We have an area, like they
3	said, that's high crime. So you hear shooting
4	from time to time, but whenever now because of
5	the shooting that used to happen over there on a
6	j - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -
7	regular base regular basis, as soon as you
8	hear the voices, as soon as you know the tavern
	is closing, this is how, you know, you're feeling
9	that this is going to happen. And, yes, she's
10	been woke awakened out of her sleep many times.
11	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Let me see if
12	there's other questions of committee members of
13	you. Anybody on the committee have a question
14	for Sister Mohammed? No.
15	MR. SCHRIMPF: Mr. Chairman?
16	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Yes, Mr. Schrimpf.
17	MR. SCHRIMPF: Thank you. You
18	indicated that there was a period of time when
19	the shootings were nearly nightly?
20	THE WITNESS: Whenever the tavern was
21	open, I should say.
22	MR. SCHRIMPF: Whenever the tavern was
23	open.
24	THE WITNESS: Was open.
25	MR. SCHRIMPF: But not when the tavern

00039 1 was not open. THE WITNESS: No. I don't - - I don't recall hearing things going on when the tavern was not open. But it seems like at first the 5 tavern was only open weekends, and then it got to 6 the point where then tavern night, we call it 7 tavern night, became Thursday, too. Now it seems 8 like Tuesday or one other day during the week, 9 too, there's also - - It - - It seems like it's 10 open more now than it used to be. It used to 11 seem like only weekends. 12 MR. SCHRIMPF: That's all I have. 13 Thank you. 14 CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Ms. Rodgers. 15 MS. RODGERS: I do have one, maybe two 16 or three questions. 17 CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Go ahead. 18 MS. RODGERS: Sister Mohammed, you've 19 indicated that the shootings are almost a nightly 20 event. Are you saying that all of those 21 shootings come from the Lounge? 22 THE WITNESS: No. When I say 23 "shootings," I'm talking about people that come 24 out and just fire their guns. 25 MS. RODGERS: Out in the neighborhood.

00040 1 THE WITNESS: They - - They come out of the tavern and they just - - I don't know if they're shooting in the air or what they're 4 doing, but they've got guns and they're just 5 shooting them. 6 MS. RODGERS: So your testimony is that 7 all of the shootings in the area come from 8 Ralph's Lounge? 9 THE WITNESS: No, ma'am. 10 MS. RODGERS: Okay. I just want to 11 understand. 12 THE WITNESS: We live in a high crime 13 area. 14 MS. RODGERS: Um-hnh. THE WITNESS: And - - And I thought I 15 16 said that we hear shooting from time to time, but 17 tavern night used to be almost a guarantee that 18 you were going to hear shooting at that time of 19 night. When the people came out of the tav - -20 When the tavern closed, everybody's coming out, 21 hearing the loud talking and the music coming and 22 the cars starting up and all of that, the peeling 23 out of the tires, usually along with that was 24 also shooting. So often. And - - And listen, I 25 didn't write down dates. I didn't say - -

00041 1 MS. RODGERS: I understand that. THE WITNESS: - - this date, this time. It happened this time, this time, this time it 4 didn't. I didn't do that. But I live across the 5 street, and I experienced it on a regular basis. 6 MS. RODGERS: I understand. And - -7 And you have indicated that it's tavern night. 8 Can you tell me what tavern night is? Is that 9 one night or? 10 THE WITNESS: Well, that's what I was 11 just saying. It used to be just the weekend it 12 seemed like. But then it got to the point where 13 it was expanded now, too, and I don't know 14 exactly what dates he's open, because I don't - -15 I don't make note of it. I didn't document it. 16 I just know that it's more than just Saturday and 17 Sun - - or Friday and Saturday now. It used to 18 seem like it was just Saturday and Sunday. Then 19 it got to the point where it was more nights than 20 that. How many more, I did not write down. 21 MS. RODGERS: Okay. What time do you 22 - - you think the tavern closed, or what time in 23 your memory does this occur on tavern night or 24 tavern night - - tavern nights? 25 THE WITNESS: Well, I - - The usual

00042	
1	nights, I mean, time that taverns close, one
2	o'clock, 1:30, two o'clock, something I don't
3	I don't really know what time tavern closing
4	is, because I don't go look at the clock when I
5	hear this going on. Usually I look out the
6	window. I try to see if, you know, if I hear
7	voices that sound like fighting is going to
8	happen or whatnot, I look out. Other than that,
9	I try to stay away from the windows and that kind
10	of thing.
11	MS. RODGERS: Are there other taverns
12	in the area?
13	THE WITNESS: There is one other bar
14	that I know of that's open.
15	MS. RODGERS: Thank you. I have no
16	further questions.
17	ALDERMAN HERRON: I have a question.
18	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Yes, Alderman
19	Herron has a question.
20	ALDERMAN HERRON: Sister Mohammed, have
21	you ever called the police on any of these
22	incidents?
23	THE WITNESS: I have called. Usually
24	I'll call my neighbor if we hear shooting that's
25	really close, and sometimes they've indicated

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

that they've already called or something. There have been times when I've called. There was - -Usually I don't end up calling, though. There was one point at which where the - - the police used to sit out there. They would just come and sit, just before the tavern closed. So we didn't feel that we needed to call for that reason, but in the beginning I think we used to call more often than toward the end. It just didn't seem like it was having an effect. And then, too, people were aware of it. And like I said, I talked to Mr. Neely before, and I know that he was concerned about what was going on, and was trying to take steps and whatnot. It's just that I don't believe that those kind of steps are going to alleviate the problem. And I think that it's time to do something before more problems happen.

ALDERMAN HERRON: When did you find the bullet hole in your house?

THE WITNESS: That had to be early 2000, because I have work that started on my house in the summer of 2000, and I know the bullet hole was already there then. I showed it to the inspectors. I think that's one of the

00044	
1	things that they needed to to do on the
2	outside of my house, but the exact date I don't
3	know.
4	ALDERMAN HERRON: And when were the
5	last three times you heard gunfire?
6	THE WITNESS: That I really probably
7	could not tell you.
8	ALDERMAN HERRON: Would you say it was
9	in the last three months?
10	THE WITNESS: I I would say, yeah,
11	within the last three months.
12	ALDERMAN HERRON: Thank you.
13	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Thank you very
14	much.
15	ALDERWOMAN CAMERON: I didn't hear what
16	the question was. What did What the question
17	was?
18	ALDERMAN HERRON: My question was when
19	was the last time she heard gunfire, was it in
20	the last three months, and her answer was she'd
21	say it would be in the last three months.
22	ALDERWOMAN CAMERON: In the last three
23	months she did hear gunfire.
24	ALDERMAN HERRON: Yes.
25	ALDERWOMAN CAMERON: Mr. Chairman. I'm

00045	
1	sorry.
2	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Alderman Sanchez
3	had a question, but if Do you have a
4	clarification on that?
5	ALDERWOMAN CAMERON: I just wanted to
6	follow up on that, what she said, because I'm
7	concerned that she stated she live right
8	across from the place, so I'm very I'm very,
9	very deeply concerned about what she's saying and
10	what we have.
11	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Okay. Alderman
12	Sanchez, go ahead.
13	ALDERMAN SANCHEZ: Mrs. Mohammed, good
14	afternoon.
15	THE WITNESS: Good afternoon.
16	ALDERMAN SANCHEZ: You said that during
17	times when you've heard gunshots that you've
18	called your neighbor.
19	THE WITNESS: Yes.
20	ALDERMAN SANCHEZ: Which neighbor would
21	that be?
22	THE WITNESS: He lives right to the
23	west of me.
24	ALDERMAN SANCHEZ: So how many houses
25	away from you would that be?

00046	
1	THE WITNESS: His house is right next
2	door to mine, and he he and I have a policy
3	where whenever we hear gunshot, their family and
4	my family, whenever we hear anything going on, we
5	always check with one another, do you see
6	anything, did you hear that, and so on and so
7	forth. So whenever there's gunfire, we do that.
8	If I don't call them first or somebody in my
9	family calls them, they'll call us.
10	ALDERMAN SANCHEZ: And how far away do
11	you live from this tavern? Would you say 100
12	feet, 200 feet? Across the street?
13	THE WITNESS: I'm across the street,
14	but I'm on an angle, and there's You have to
15	cross two streets. He's on Lisbon, and then I'm
16	on Garfield, and there's a Let's see. How
17	would I estimate it? Do you know what the width
18	of a city street is?
19	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: It's about 30
20	feet.
21	THE WITNESS: It's about what?
22	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: 30 feet.
23	THE WITNESS: So then it would be about
24	60 feet 60 - 70 feet.
25	ALDERMAN SANCHEZ: From your home can
	-

00047	
1	you personally see the the establishment?
2	THE WITNESS: Yes. There's nothing
3	that blocks my windows from that establishment.
4	ALDERMAN SANCHEZ: So from your the
5	front of your home, from the front porch you can
6	observe the location?
7	THE WITNESS: Right.
8	ALDERMAN SANCHEZ: At closing time on
9	any given time, what have you personally observed?
10	Have you observed people leaving the
11	establishment?
12	THE WITNESS: I've seen Yeah, I've
13	seen people leaving out. I've seen different
14	confrontations taking place, arguments, that kind
15	of thing. It's mostly rowdy kinds of behavior.
16	ALDERMAN SANCHEZ: So is it loud noise
17	then?
18	THE WITNESS: A lot of loud noise, a
19	lot of
20	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: You testified
21	already to the fact that you heard loud music,
22	and unruly patrons.
23	THE WITNESS: Yes, I have.
24	ALDERMAN SANCHEZ: Let me ask you this.
25	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Is there anything

00048	
1	additional to that?
2	ALDERMAN SANCHEZ: Miss Mrs.
3	Mohammed, how did you find out about today's
4	meeting?
5	THE WITNESS: I found out through
6	Yolanda. She was She told me that there was
7	going to be a hearing for his license. I called
8	my Alderman's office, and I asked them were the
9	neighbors going to be given a a postcard or
10	something to come down if we had something to
11	say.
12	ALDERMAN SANCHEZ: Did you ever receive
13	anything in the mail to be notified?
14	THE WITNESS: I did get something. It
15	wasn't from the Alderman's office, though.
16	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: License division.
17	THE WITNESS: From the license
18	division.
19	ALDERMAN SANCHEZ: This neighbor of
20	yours, is he or she aware of a meeting taking
21	place today?
22	THE WITNESS: Yes.
23	ALDERMAN SANCHEZ: And do you know if
24	any reason at all why that person decided not to
25	show up?

00049 1 THE WITNESS: Basically, he said he had 2 already talked to Mr. Neely, himself, and that he was satisfied that what needs to be done was 4 going to be done. But he didn't really say one 5 - - one way or another. 6 ALDERMAN SANCHEZ: So it was kind of 7 vague. 8 CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Well, I'm - - At 9 this point - -10 THE WITNESS: I know he knows there's a 11 meeting here today. 12 CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: We're going to get 13 into hearsay right now, and I don't want to get 14 into that area. 15 ALDERMAN SANCHEZ: That's fine. 16 Finally, Mrs. Mohammed, if you will, please, 17 personally, for the last ten minutes just tell me 18 what you feel should be done? 19 THE WITNESS: I feel that it should not 20 be reopened as a tavern. I would not like to see it 21 at any point in the future, reopened as a 22 tavern. It's a beautiful building. It could be 23 some other kind of business, a restaurant, a 24 coffee shop, something that would not attract the

kind of clientele that is coming there presently,

25

00050	
1	but not a tavern.
2	ALDERMAN SANCHEZ: And you do feel that
3	it threatens the safety of your family and your
4	neighbors?
5	THE WITNESS: It definitely threatens
6	the safety. If you came and saw the bullet hole
7	if it was six inches to the right, it would
8	have gone through my door. It It went
9	through the column on my porch, which probably
10	has stone in the center. But if it had gone a
11	few feet to the left, it would have gone through
12	my windows.
13	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Okay. Thank you.
14	Sir, we're going to move onto you, if you Do
15	you have a question?
16	ALDERWOMAN CAMERON: Yes, I did.
17	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: I can't hear you
18	unless you ask for recognition.
19	ALDERWOMAN CAMERON: I had said it
20	before Sanchez said it. What did you say your
21	name was?
22	THE WITNESS: Sister Linda Mohammed.
23	ALDERWOMAN CAMERON: Sister Mohammed,
24	you stated earlier that you usually hear the guns
25	fired, and you stated that the last I'm sorry

00051	
1	the last three months It ain't on, so
2	The last three months that you said is the last
3	time hearing some gunfire?
4	THE WITNESS: He asked me when was the
5	last time the last three times I heard
6	gunfire.
7	ALDERWOMAN CAMERON: And you said
8	within the last three months.
9	THE WITNESS: And I I said
10	Because I can't give you dates, he asked me would
11	you say it was in the last three months, and I
12	would say estimating, yes, within the last three
13	months.
14	ALDERWOMAN CAMERON: So if he hadn't a
15	said that, could you give us approximate what
16	THE WITNESS: Not really, because I
17	don't
18	ALDERWOMAN CAMERON: No, because
19	you
20	THE WITNESS: I haven't documented
21	anything. I don't have that kind of information,
22	so that's why.
23	ALDERWOMAN CAMERON: So it could have
24	been in March.
25	THE WITNESS: You know

00052	
1	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Let me say this.
2	I want to be very cautious that we don't lead
3	witnesses on to answer questions.
4	ALDERWOMAN CAMERON: That's what
5	I'm
6	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: She cannot
7	recollect, and the question was can you say it's
8	in the last three months. She says yes, it's in
9	the last three months.
10	ALDERWOMAN CAMERON: But I'm saying
11	since March.
12	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Well, she can't be
13	more specific than that.
14	ALDERWOMAN CAMERON: That's more than
15	three months.
16	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: She can't be more
17	specific than that.
18	THE WITNESS: I really can't, and I
19	don't want to give information that's not
20	factual, and I don't have
21	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Okay. And I think
22	that's I think that's very fair to Mr. Neely.
23	THE WITNESS: a real recollection,
24	so I just can't answer the question.
25	ALDERWOMAN CAMERON: The reason I'm

00053	
1	asking you this is because I'm looking at I
2	was going off of the report, and you're saying
3	you haven't heard nothing in the last three
4	months.
5	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: No, no. She said
6	she did.
7	ALDERWOMAN CAMERON: But that's what I
8	asked. Did she or didn't she.
9	THE WITNESS: But I'm not specific
10	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: But she can't
11	remember specific dates.
12	ALDERWOMAN CAMERON: No, I don't want
13	dates. I just asked within the when
14	since March. Okay. When Could you tell me
15	exactly, have you heard some gunshot within May,
16	June? Have you heard anything over there within
17	those two months? April?
18	THE WITNESS: I believe that I have. I
19	don't think it's been that long since the last
20	time I heard anything.
21	ALDERWOMAN CAMERON: The rea The
22	reason why I'm asking you that is the policeman
23	just told us something happened this week.
24	THE WITNESS: Right.
25	ALDERWOMAN CAMERON: It was a shooting.

00054 1 So that's the reason why I, you know, respect your opinion and recol - - recollections of what's going on, because you live across the 4 street. 5 THE WITNESS: That's right. 6 ALDERWOMAN CAMERON: And if you haven't 7 heard nothing in this month, then I'm kind of wondering - - Well, you want to finish up, Jeff, or let me, please? 8 9 10 CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Well, 11 Alderwoman - -12 ALDERWOMAN CAMERON: I would like to be 13 able to say something - -14 CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: I would simply say 15 that you should not lead a witness on. She 16 cannot recollect. I don't know how much more 17 clear she can be, and - - and let - - Just 18 because the incident report is in the file 19 doesn't mean it necessarily coincides with her 20 own experience. She might have heard a shooting 21 in which the police weren't called. She might 22 have heard a shooting in effect that the police were called. 23 2.4 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 25 CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Her testimony is

00055 1 very clear. ALDERWOMAN CAMERON: I appreciate that. I appreciate that. 4 CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: She cannot 5 remember the specific days. 6 ALDERWOMAN CAMERON: I appreciate that. 7 I didn't ask - -8 CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: It's not fair to 9 Mr. Neely if we try and lead her on to give her 10 specific days. 11 ALDERWOMAN CAMERON: I appreciate that, 12 Mr. Chairman. My question is did you hear 13 anything in the month of June or the month of 14 May? I don't want to lead you on, because I'm 15 not giving you specifically. I gave you within 16 the last three months, May - - April, May, June. 17 She - - You can't remember that one. 18 The other one that I wanted - - you 19 stated - - that you said did - - in that 20 neighborhood is a bad neighborhood and a high 21 crime area. And you said that gunfire happens 22 occasionally. Do it happen sometimes when Mr. 23 Neely is not open or just all the time, because 24 you said, and I'm only going by what your and his 25 attorney said that his attorney said that it's

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

not open on Sundays. You said that you heard gunfire on Sat - - on Fridays and Sundays - - No, she said Friday and Saturday, because I definitely was listening. She said sometime it used to be Fridays and Saturday, but now it's Fridays and Sundays. So is you still - - Are you still hearing gunfire on the times that he's not open?

THE WITNESS: Let me - - Let me correct something, because I - - What I said was that the tavern used to seem like it was only open on the weekends. Now, then it got to the point where it was other days besides just Fridays and Saturdays that it was open. So if I said Sunday, I'm not trying to - - On a weekend, you know, and I won't say it was Sunday or whatever day. I'm just saying the weekends. Because I didn't write it down. If I had documented this stuff, then I could come to you and tell you well, this is this and this is that. I have heard gunfire in the last - - I've heard gunfire in June in our neighborhood. And I've heard gun - - But see what I'm telling you is I can't say that it happened over there. The only time I know it's coming from over there is when it's tavern night,

and you hear all these people coming out. The tavern closes. You heard all the people coming out. You hear the cars. You hear the music. You - - You hear the gunfire. That all goes together. You know it's all coming out of that activity of the tavern closing, but lately there hasn't been.

 $\label{eq:alderwoman} \mbox{ ALDERWOMAN CAMERON: } \mbox{ When is tavern night held?}$

THE WITNESS: That's what I'm trying to get you all to understand. I don't know exactly what days it is. I just know - - I don't pay attention to that until I hear the people coming out. When I hear the people coming out, I don't try to say oh, well today is this day, so this is, you know. I know that it's more days than it used to be. That much I can tell you for sure.

ALDERWOMAN CAMERON: Okay. Thank you. CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: It is now exactly two o'clock, and I got to be honest with you, sir, we're not going to be able to start your testimony and then finish it before we have to go to the other meeting. So I apologize to you. But we need to go and convene with the Steering Rules committee.

00058	
1	ALDERMAN HERRON: I mean, hey, start.
2	Maybe he can give his testimony briefly or you
3	want us to
4	THE WITNESS: Real Real short. I'm
5	right next to him. I'm across the street from
6	her.
7	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Well, sir, what is
8	your name and address, for the record?
9	THE WITNESS: My name is Howard Tice.
10	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: For the record
11	Sister Mohammed was here for 20 minutes. If
12	we're going to start this gentleman, we're going
13	to finish him, okay. And we do have We have
14	a 20 year lease of Summerfest to address today,
15	and if you don't want to go to that meeting.
16	ALDERMAN HERRON: Three o'clock Common
17	Council.
18	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Three o'clock,
19	Common Council meeting.
20	ALDERWOMAN CAMERON: And it's not fair
21	if we
22	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: And we got got
23	to give Ms. Rodgers an opportunity to cross-
24	examine him and then we've got to ask questions
25	of him. I just don't think that's fair.

00059 1 ALDERMAN SANCHEZ: I object. I think 2 that we should make a motion to adjourn. 3 CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: You got to speak 4 up. 5 ALDERMAN SANCHEZ: Mr. Chair. 6 CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Yes. 7 ALDERMAN SANCHEZ: I think that the 8 appropriate thing to do at this time would be to, 9 you know, stop this meeting and move over to the 10 following meeting that we have committed to, and 11 perhaps, if - - if you think it would be best to 12 resume this later today or a different day, I'd 13 be fine with that. 14 CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Well, we have to 15 resume today. My commitment would be to resume 16 at right around 3:15. Steering Rules committee 17 will take about an hour. I don't believe the 18 Common Council meeting will take more than 15 19 minutes. So we're talking about an hour and 15 20 minutes from right now. 21 MS. RODGERS: Mr. Chairman, what if it 22 does? What do you have us do? Sit and wait for that entire time? 23 2.4 CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Yes. That's 25

exactly the case, as we'll have to be here - - If

00060 we have to be here until nine o'clock tonight, 1 we'll be here until nine o'clock tonight. I mean, I - - I don't want to be. 4 ALDERMAN HERRON: I have a question, 5 Mr. Chairman. 6 CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Alderman Herron. 7 ALDERMAN HERRON: Sir, and all the 8 people who are here to testify, would it - - and 9 even for the - - the alderman, is it better for 10 you to readjourn this or just - - I mean, better 11 for you to wait until later on today or would you 12 like us to come back another day and do this? 13 THE WITNESS: Well, everything she says 14 is right. She says I've got three holes in my 15 building - -16 ALDERMAN HERRON: You don't have to 17 give your testimony. 18 CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Let me clear 19 something up. It's my understanding from the 20 licensing division that we have to do this today. 21 We don't have an option to do it tomorrow. Mr. 22 Schrimpf, am I correct on that? The reason we're 23 having a meeting today is because I was told that 2.4 today was the only day we could do it. 25 MR. SCHRIMPF: That's right.

00061 1 CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: We can't do it 2 tomorrow. ALDERMAN HINES: Mr. Chairman, if I 4 may. I think it was also predicated that because 5 we are meeting for the Common Council at three 6 o'clock that this needed to be wrapped up, so 7 adequate action could be taken at the Council, 8 because the license come to expire. 9 ALDERWOMAN CAMERON: Well, maybe it's 10 Wednesday, because it's a Thursday. 11 ALDERMAN HINES: And I was under that 12 assumption. 13 CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: What's the 14 expiration date on this matter? 15 MR. SCHRIMPF: June 30th. 16 ALDERMAN SANCHEZ: Mr. Chair, we have a 17 Common Council meeting on the 29th of June. 18 CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: This is not to go 19 to today's Common Council meeting. It's supposed 20 to go to the 29th, but because of the findings of 21 fact and the other issues that go into these 22 kinds of things, today is the day we have to 23 legally hear it, and we have to finish it today. 24 So, that's why we're doing it today. I mean, 25 this is not a - - This is not a regularly

00062 scheduled day of the committee 1 MS. RODGERS: You have to finish it before the - - before your next meeting, before 4 the 30th, otherwise, we'll have a special 5 meeting. 6 CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Mr. Schrimpf. 7 MR. SCHRIMPF: No, we have to finish it 8 today. If the committee ultimately decides to 9 recommend renewal of the license, it becomes a 10 nonissue. If, however, the committee decides to 11 impose a suspension or a nonrenewal, then I need 12 the time, Ms. Rodgers needs the time, in order to 13 get the findings of fact, process the appeals, 14 get it set before the Common Council which is set 15 for the 29th. That's why we need the time. 16 MS. RODGERS: Because we need the time. 17 We have to file our objections, yes. 18 CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: The motion on the 19 table is to adjourn until at least 3:15, and is 20 there - -21 MR. SCHRIMPF: Recess. 22 CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Recess. I'm 23 sorry. Recess until 3:15. Is there any 24 objection to that motion? 25 ALDERMAN HINES: I object. I know I'm

00063	
1	not a part of the body, but I object.
2	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Well, I'm talking
3	to committee members.
4	ALDERMAN HERRON: I object.
5	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Are you objecting
6	to that motion?
7	ALDERMAN HERRON: Yeah. I actually
8	think we should hear this now, but, you know, I
9	understand what you're saying.
10	MS. RODGERS: Is it possible just to
11	ask a few questions of the witness?
12	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Ms. Rodgers, you
13	know how that works. Because you're going to
14	want to cross-examine them, and we're going to
15	have questions
16	MS. RODGERS: Maybe not.
17	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: that come up
18	based on your cross-examination.
19	ALDERMAN SANCHEZ: Motion carries, Mr.
20	Chairman.
21	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: The motion carries
22	on a three to one vote. We're going to readjourn
23	reconvene at 3:15.
24	(Off the record.)
25	(Back on the record.)

00064 CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Good afternoon. 1 We are back in session as a reconvening committee of the Utilities & Licenses Committee for the afternoon of Thursday, the 21st of June. 4 5 Everyone has been sworn, although Timothy 6 McMurtry, representative of Alderman Hines' 7 office, I assume you'll be representing the 8 Alderman for the rest of the afternoon. 9 MR. MCMURTRY: Correct, Mr. Chairman. 10 CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Mr. McMurtry has 11 not been sworn, so we'll swear you in. Do you 12 solemnly swear to tell the truth, the whole 13 truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you 14 God? 15 MR. MCMURTRY: Yes, I do. 16 CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Now, when we broke 17 at two o'clock, and again, I apologize for that 18 inconvenience, but obviously we had other city 19 business to attend to, and I really appreciate 20 the patience of everybody here, sincerely. We 21 are about to take the testimony of this gentleman 22 here, and after him, we are going to then move on

to the other woman that was in the room at the

time. Now, I - - To be honest with you I was

approached by this woman in the back, Yolanda, I

23

24

25

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

believe your name is, and you said that you had two other individuals here who wanted to testify

3 -

THE WITNESS: They live - -CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Right. In opposition to this license. Now, I contacted the City Attorney on that question, because I was concerned about that, and he has said it is my decision, and I am deciding that I will not hear from those two individuals, because when I made a call for any individuals here to testify in favor or in opposition to at 1:30 there were three people in the room. I think it would be unfair to Mr. Neely and unfair to the attorney, having not been able to prepare, and I think in that two hour interim period, I don't think we should rally neighbors to come down and - - I'm not saying you did that, but in fairness to Mr. Neely, I think we should take the people that were here at the time at 1:30. So we will finish with the gentleman here in the Wisconsin Badger shirt, and then move on to you, ma'am, and we'll allow for cross-examination. At that time we will then hear from Mr. McMurtry, and then have Ms. Rodgers make any final comments before we go

00066	
1	into deliberation.
2	MR. MCMURTRY: May I also add, Mr.
3	Chairman, that Alderman Hines is planning on
4	trying to come back. So I'm just filling in
5	until he gets here. If he gets here before his
6	testimony comes up
7	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: That's fine. Just
8	based on where we are right now I would expect
9	that about 4:30 or so we probably will take this
10	matter into committee. I'm going to guess we
11	probably need about another half an hour - 40
12	minutes. If he's not here at the time, we'll
13	just simply take it into committee and make a
14	decision at that point. So, sir, you were about
15	to testify. You were sworn in before. Can you
16	give us your name and address again?
17	THE WITNESS: My name is Howard Tice.
18	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Address?
19	THE WITNESS: 4520 West Lisbon Avenue.
20	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Mr. Tice, how do
21	you spell your last name?
22	THE WITNESS: T-I-C-E.
23	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: 45
24	THE WITNESS: 20 West Lisbon
25	Avenue.

CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Okay. Now, you were here for the testimony of Sister Mohammed. You heard her testimony when you were here in the room, and it was extensive and expansive and - - and so on and so forth. I would ask you to give us your testimony, but not be repetitive as to the things she discussed. If you agree substantially with what she said, you can say that. If you have new information to provide, we'd like to hear it. So why don't you go ahead, Mr. Tice.

THE WITNESS: She is perfectly right. I've been in the neighborhood for 40 years. 40 years, my family's been in the business there. We lived upstairs, and I still live upstairs. I have four windows facing Ralph's Lounge. I haven't - We haven't slept in nights for a long time. In the summertime, yes, it is twice as - worse. Wintertime, it's worse, too. Same thing that this lady said before that lives across the street from me. After the tavern's closed it's just - it's just chaos. And shootings, and whatever goes on, there is dozen - dozens and dozens, and then probably there's time where it's not even reported, because it got to a point

00068 where it got out of hand and everybody thought 1 they were going to call theirselves, and it's just got out of hand, and it - - I have a couple 4 of - - three bullet holes in my place right now 5 you can see. And they park in my lot. I 6 confront them at two in the morning, and they 7 tell me more or less I got the problem. 8 Something's wrong with this picture. And then 9 they urinate on me. 10 CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Okay, Mr. Tice. 11 THE WITNESS: On my property. 12 CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Let me ask a 13 couple questions, because I don't want to get 14 ahead of ourselves here. You own a business and 15 they parking in your business lot? 16 THE WITNESS: Yes. 17 CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: What's the name of 18 the business? 19 THE WITNESS: Schiffman Moving & 20 Storage. 21 CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: That's the first 22 question I had. Second question is you made a 23 comment that you believe things happen more often 24 than are in the police report. Do you call the 25 police department when you hear gunshots?

00069	
1	THE WITNESS: Sometimes. Sometimes I
2	don't. Like I said
3	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Tell us why you
4	do sometimes, and you don't sometimes?
5	THE WITNESS: Well there are so many of
6	them. In 90 percent of the time Like I said,
7	my windows face Ralph's Lounge. The incident
8	you people, there are so many of them. You
9	mentioned about the blood, about the trail, well,
10	when that night happened, that's particular
11	particular night my wife and my son looked out
12	the window and seen that somebody carried
13	somebody out of the tavern and laid them by the
14	tree.
15	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: You saw that
16	personally with your own eyes?
17	THE WITNESS: Well, it's dark.
18	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: No, no, no. It's
19	a very specific
20	THE WITNESS: I seen somebody carry
21	somebody
22	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: It's a very
23	specific question, and it's very important that
24	you answer the question correctly. Did you
25	specifically see that?

00070	
1	THE WITNESS: Yes, myself. I was I
2	don't sleep at night. I'm up every night. I
3	don't sleep at night no more. Very seldom.
4	Lucky I own my own business, and I can take a
5	couple catnaps during the afternoon. Yes.
6	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: And you live
7	upstairs from your business?
8	THE WITNESS: Yes, I do, for 40 years
9	my family's been in that neighborhood.
10	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Okay.
11	THE WITNESS: And my mother's been
12	doing this, and she's dead now, but she goes back
13	way all the times I I go We go back
14	when the first people had that tavern, and since
15	Ralph owned it, it escalated and it's the worst I
16	ever seen it before in my life.
17	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Okay. Is there
18	anything else that you want any new
19	information you want to provide us at this point
20	besides what you've already told us?
21	THE WITNESS: No, that but 90
22	percent of the time when I look out the window
23	the shooter comes out of the tavern or is by on
24	the on the premises.
25	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Okay. Let me see

00071 1 if there's questions of committee members of you specifically. 3 ALDERWOMAN CAMERON: Mr. Chairman. 4 ALDERMAN HERRON: Mr. Chairman. 5 CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Alderman Herron, 6 first, and then Alderwoman Rosa Cameron. 7 ALDERWOMAN CAMERON: Thank you. 8 ALDERMAN HERRON: Mr. Tice, and again 9 we appreciate you coming down and appreciate your 10 testimony. It is difficult sometimes to do this, 11 so I want you to know we appreciate it. Can you, 12 to the best of your recollection, give us the - -13 be a little more specific around the incident. 14 We have a little bit from the police report, but 15 if - - In our police report it doesn't speak 16 specifically to some of the things you may have 17 saw. Can you speak specifically to what you saw 18 on the evening when we have in our report, I 19 quess these two times correspond, our report 20 talks about a patron in the parking lot who was 21 severely beaten on 5/12/2001. Can you give us 22 additional insight? Our report says that - -23 that they believe that the patron was inside, and 24 then brought outside. But maybe you can provide 25 further proof that that, indeed, occurred.

00072 THE WITNESS: Well, just like - - My 1 window is right there, and that's his door, and it was dark, two o'clock, 1:30 in the morning 4 whatever, and people screaming, and once people 5 were screaming and women were screaming, I 6 definitely automatically look out the window. 7 And then my wife, my son, and - - and I said to 8 my wife, I says it looks like somebody's being 9 carried out and laid - - being laid by the tree. 10 And that's when the police came and that's where 11 they found him. Now that's what I seen. 12 ALDERMAN HERRON: Thank you. That's 13 all I have. 14 CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Okay. Alderwoman, 15 go ahead. 16 ALDERWOMAN CAMERON: Good afternoon. I 17 wanted to ask you how many times in the run of 18 the last six months have you called the police? 19 THE WITNESS: Have I called them? 20 ALDERWOMAN CAMERON: Um-hnh. 21 THE WITNESS: Maybe - - Maybe twice. I 22 gave up on calling them. I let the rest of the 23 neighborhood take care of it. Like I said, I've 24 been there 40 years. My mother - - My mother and 25

father's been doing it years, so, you know, and

00073 I'm still there, and I believe in the 1 neighborhood. I have nothing against Ralph. I don't even know the man. 4 ALDERWOMAN CAMERON: You been living 5 there 40 years and out of the last six months you 6 seen the neighborhood shift from semi-bad to 7 semi-worse. Well, you got to say something. THE WITNESS: I know there's been 8 9 shootings. Every time he's been open, there's 10 been shootings. I been looking out the window. 11 I mean, I could have brought my wife and son 12 down, too. 13 ALDERWOMAN CAMERON: Shootings only 14 occurs when he open. 15 THE WITNESS: Yes. Well, 90 percent of the time. Ten percent, sure. There's - - My 16 17 other window faces another tavern. No problem. 18 No problem. Like I said, I'm up all night. I 19 don't sleep no more. I've never been sleeping, 20 because I've been in - - all this happened for 21 years. 22 ALDERWOMAN CAMERON: Well, I do want to 23 first say I admire you for living there for 40 24 years.

25

THE WITNESS: Well, my family owned

00074	
1	that property for 40 years, I guess, and I
2	believe in the neighborhood. And I own the
3	property, you know. I'm still there.
4	ALDERWOMAN CAMERON: Have you Have
5	the neighborhood changed within the 40 years?
6	THE WITNESS: Yeah
7	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Within the last 40
8	years.
9	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I guess it did
10	change in the last 40 years, yes. Yes, I was
11	I graduated from Steuben, Washington High School.
12	I'm not the new kid on the block.
13	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Okay.
14	ALDERWOMAN CAMERON: And one more
15	question I want to ask. Type of peoples that
16	visit his bar, could you describe them, since you
17	be up?
18	THE WITNESS: Very loud, very loud.
19	When they get in the car, they turn that boom box
20	on. You can hear it for three blocks, three
21	blocks. No exaggeration.
22	ALDERWOMAN CAMERON: All you know they
23	just loud, but you don't know what type
24	THE WITNESS: Loud, shootings, and when
25	I look out the window, I see the shooter run.

00075	
1	And that's many times. And then they shot. And
2	they had a crossfire, and that's when I got a
3	couple shots in my building. They had a
4	crossfire across the street in the station
5	because they park over there. So the bullet
6	holes are still there because I never I
7	reported them, but
8	ALDERWOMAN CAMERON: In that parking
9	lot, how many lights is in that parking lot?
10	THE WITNESS: Which parking lot, ma'am?
11	ALDERWOMAN CAMERON: The parking lot
12	that you seen them, you said was very dark in
13	there, and you seen them taking somebody out in
14	the dark, throw them under the tree.
15	THE WITNESS: Ralph's parking lot,
16	directly I'm directly across.
17	ALDERWOMAN CAMERON: How many How
18	many lights out there?
19	THE WITNESS: It's pretty It's
20	pretty well lit up because of Lisbon Avenue and
21	he's got a couple lights out there, and I
22	You know, I I know they weren't taking
23	nothing out to the garbage.
24	ALDERWOMAN CAMERON: But you you
25	was assuming it was a person they throwed under

1 2	the tree. THE WITNESS: Well, my wife looked at
3	it, too. After I told my wife. She got up
4	and
5	ALDERWOMAN CAMERON: Can you You
6	would say that it was a body a person or some
7	garbage?
8	THE WITNESS: Yes, a body.
9	ALDERWOMAN CAMERON: Oh, okay.
0	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Alderman Sanchez,
1	and then I'm going to turn it over to the
2	attorney, Ms. Rodgers.
3	ALDERMAN SANCHEZ: Thank you, Mr.
4	Chair. Just a few questions for you, Mr. Tice.
5	You said after the bar closed you said you
6	experienced chaos. How would you define chaos?
7	What does that mean?
8	THE WITNESS: Ah, loud music, loud
9	talk, swearing, arguing, always arguing at the
0	top of their lungs. Sometimes I call the police.
1	They don't They have other things, too, and
2	by the time they get there it's it's over.
3	ALDERMAN SANCHEZ: You said that
4	there's three bullet holes in your property.
5	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

00077	
1	ALDERMAN SANCHEZ: Do you know who
2	fired off that gun?
3	THE WITNESS: No, sir.
4	ALDERMAN SANCHEZ: Do you know if it
5	was anyone who was at Mr. Neely's place?
6	THE WITNESS: Yes.
7	ALDERMAN SANCHEZ: How do you know
8	that?
9	THE WITNESS: Because it was a
10	crossfire, because they were in the station by
11	Dave Dave's gas, and they were parked in my
12	lot, and they started shooting each other back
13	and forth. And they're there right now.
14	ALDERMAN SANCHEZ: So are you saying
15	that the person who discharged this gun came out
16	of
17	THE WITNESS: Yes.
18	ALDERMAN SANCHEZ: personally, and
19	and it is it your testimony that you
20	personally saw this person exit Mr. Neely's bar?
21	THE WITNESS: I didn't see him exit,
22	but I can I know they all ran out, because we
23	were watching them through the window.
24	ALDERMAN SANCHEZ: But if you were
25	watching them, sir, did you see them exit the

00078	
1	place or not? Yes or no, sir.
2	THE WITNESS: Yes.
3	ALDERMAN SANCHEZ: You did see them.
4	THE WITNESS: They all ran out, yeah.
5	They all ran out. Yes, I did. All of them.
6	ALDERMAN SANCHEZ: Mr. Tice, your
7	testimony is that you say they park on your lot.
8	How do you know whose cars they are?
9	THE WITNESS: I don't know whose cars
10	they are. I just call I just ask them to
11	When they do come out, I ask them not to, and
12	they more or less are so inebriated, I'm in the
13	wrong.
14	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Well, I want to
15	make sure you understand the question that he
16	asked you. He asked you how do you know whose
17	cars they are? I don't think he meant
18	THE WITNESS: I don't
19	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Wait. I don't
20	think He did not mean which specific person,
21	like John Smith.
22	THE WITNESS: Right.
23	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: But do you know
24	that they're patrons of Ralph's Lounge?
25	ALDERWOMAN CAMERON: Customers.

00079	
1	THE WITNESS: Yes, yes. Yes, I know
2	that for a fact.
3	ALDERMAN SANCHEZ: Mr. Tice, how is it
4	that you know that they're patrons.
5	THE WITNESS: Because I watch them at
6	night. I'm up every night. If I'm not, my wife
7	is. If not mine, it's my son's.
8	ALDERMAN SANCHEZ: Do you see them
9	either exit their vehicle
10	THE WITNESS: Yes.
11	ALDERMAN SANCHEZ: walk into Mr.
12	Neely's establishment. You can see that?
13	THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes, I do.
14	ALDERMAN SANCHEZ: And you see them
15	exit the establishment and go back to their
16	vehicle.
17	THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.
18	ALDERMAN SANCHEZ: The people who are
19	urinating on your property, who are they?
20	THE WITNESS: Same people.
21	ALDERMAN SANCHEZ: Same people. Are
22	they patrons of Mr. Neely?
23	THE WITNESS: Yes.
24	ALDERMAN SANCHEZ: And how do you know
25	this?

08000	
1	THE WITNESS: I watch them drop
2	bottles, and then and throw them Because
3	I I'm afraid to say something, because I
4	ain't going down there at two o'clock in the
5	morning.
6	ALDERMAN SANCHEZ: One of the
7	statements that you made is you say you said
8	that shooters come out of the tavern. Have you
9	personally seen people exit the tavern
10	THE WITNESS: Yes.
11	ALDERMAN SANCHEZ: that are
12	shooters. Have you seen them discharge guns?
13	THE WITNESS: Yes.
14	ALDERMAN SANCHEZ: And you said since
15	Ralph owned this establishment it's been the
16	worst.
17	THE WITNESS: Yes.
18	ALDERMAN SANCHEZ: Okay. Thank you.
19	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Ms. Rodgers.
20	MS. RODGERS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
21	And thank you, again, Mr. Tice, for Thank
22	you, Mr. Chairman, and thank you, Mr. Tice, for
23	being here, but I do have a few questions for
24	you.
25	You've indicated on several occasions

00081	
1	that you your family has been in the
2	neighborhood for 40 years. How long have you
3	lived there, personally?
4	THE WITNESS: 40 years. My family's
5	business is there. I'm there every day.
6	MS. RODGERS: You've never lived
7	anyplace else.
8	THE WITNESS: Every day. Oh, I lived
9	somewhere else, but my mother lived there, my
10	dad.
11	MS. RODGERS: No, no. I'm asking you
12	how long have you lived there, personally?
13	THE WITNESS: I grew up there. I told
14	you, I graduated from Steuben, Washington High
15	School.
16	MS. RODGERS: Let me ask the question
17	differently.
18	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Mr. Tice, it's a
19	very simple question.
20	MS. RODGERS: Let Let me ask it
21	differently then. I'll rephrase. Have you lived
22	anyplace other than where you're living now?
23	THE WITNESS: Yes.
24	MS. RODGERS: Where else have you
25	lived?

00000	
00082	
1	THE WITNESS: In Milwaukee.
2	MS. RODGERS: Where in Milwaukee?
3	THE WITNESS: 2778 North Carlton.
4	MS. RODGERS: That's a different
5	neighborhood altogether?
6	THE WITNESS: A little bit, up to
7	Lisbon Avenue. It's not far.
8	MS. RODGERS: Is it in the same
9	aldermanic district?
10	THE WITNESS: I'm not sure. But, no, I
11	don't think so.
12	MS. RODGERS: And how long did you live
13	at that address?
14	THE WITNESS: About 12 years.
15	MS. RODGERS: Okay. So you moved away
16	from the time you were a child, you moved to this
17	new address. Is that correct?
18	THE WITNESS: Yes.
19	MS. RODGERS: And did you move anyplace
20	else after you left
21	THE WITNESS: When I was a child, you
22	said?
23	MS. RODGERS: Well, I assume that when
24	you were a child you lived there with your
25	parents. Is that correct?
25	parenes. is that correct:

```
00083
1
                     THE WITNESS: That's right.
                     MS. RODGERS: Okay. After you were an
          adult you moved out.
                     THE WITNESS: No, I got divorced first.
 5
          I lived there again.
 6
                     MS. RODGERS: No, just - - After you
 7
          were an adult did you move out?
 8
                     THE WITNESS: Yes.
                     MS. RODGERS: Did you move out right
9
10
          away when you were an adult?
11
                     THE WITNESS: No.
12
                     MS. RODGERS: When did you move out?
13
                     THE WITNESS: Well, when I went to
14
          Vietnam, that would be 1960 - - '69.
                    MS. RODGERS: How old were you?
THE WITNESS: Twenty - - Nineteen.
MS. RODGERS: And so you moved out when
15
16
17
18
          you were 19. How long were you in Vietnam?
19
                     THE WITNESS: Two years.
20
                     MS. RODGERS: And when you moved back
21
          - - When you came back from Vietnam, where did
22
          you live?
23
                     THE WITNESS: Home.
2.4
                     MS. RODGERS: Home with your parents.
25
                     THE WITNESS: 4520 West Lisbon, yes.
```

1	MS. RODGERS: And then how long were
3	you there that time?
	THE WITNESS: A couple years, then I
4	got married.
5	MS. RODGERS: Okay. So you were there
6	for two years. Then you got married. Did you
7	move out when you got married?
8	THE WITNESS: Right.
9	MS. RODGERS: When you moved out, where
L 0	did you move to?
L1	THE WITNESS: Right across the street
L2	where this lady was from, I owned a house.
L3	MS. RODGERS: You owned a house. So it
L 4	was in the same neighborhood, but across the
L5	street from where you're
L6	THE WITNESS: Same neighborhood.
L7	MS. RODGERS: And that was
L8	approximately what year?
L 9	THE WITNESS: I don't know. That's
20	'76, '78 - nine.
21	MS. RODGERS: So, so far in your
22	testimony you you've only been away from that
23	location for two years, and that was the two
24	years you were in Vietnam.
25	THE WITNESS: I've been there every day

```
00085
          - - every day since about all my life, because
1
2
3
                   MS. RODGERS: Except for the time you
4
         were in Vietnam.
5
                   THE WITNESS: - - because I - - No.
6
         Yeah.
7
                   MS. RODGERS: Except for the 12 years
8
         you lived - -
9
                   THE WITNESS: 12 years up the street,
10
         right. But I'm there every day, because I work
11
         there.
12
                   MS. RODGERS: When did you move back to
13
          4520 West Lisbon?
14
                   THE WITNESS: Oh, about three - four -
15
         - three years ago.
16
                   MS. RODGERS: Three years ago. Okay.
17
         Now - - So now it's clear to me you - - you've
18
         lived there for the last three years.
19
                   THE WITNESS: Um-hnh.
20
                   MS. RODGERS: But prior to that for 12
21
         years you did not live at 4520 West Lisbon. Is
22
         that correct?
23
                   THE WITNESS: No, but I slept there
24
         occasionally with my mother because she was
25
         scared.
```

00086	
1	MS. RODGERS: Mr. Tice, is it correct
2	to say that you did not live there from 45
3	4520 West Lisbon for 12 years prior to your
4	moving back three years ago?
5	THE WITNESS: No
6	MS. RODGERS: Yes or no would be fine.
7	THE WITNESS: No, I guess, no.
8	MS. RODGERS: All right. You testified
9	that there were three bullet holes in your
10	building.
11	THE WITNESS: Yes.
12	MS. RODGERS: Do you know how those
13	bullet holes got in the building?
14	THE WITNESS: Yeah, crossfire, I guess.
15	It was across the street and the other guys were
16	in my lot parked on Lisbon Avenue.
17	MS. RODGERS: Okay. Do you know how
18	those bullet holes got in your building?
19	THE WITNESS: Do I know how they got in
20	there?
21	MS. RODGERS: Yeah.
22	THE WITNESS: They pulled the trigger,
23	I guess.
24	MS. RODGERS: Did you see it happen?
25	THE WITNESS: Yes.

00087	
1	MS. RODGERS: Okay. And what did you
2	do when that happened?
3	THE WITNESS: Hit the deck.
4	MS. RODGERS: Okay. And when was that?
5	THE WITNESS: How many times is there a
6	shooting? I don't know. Dozens, and dozens, and
7	dozens.
8	MS. RODGERS: But only How many
9	times
10	THE WITNESS: I don't know. I You
11	can't pin me down, because there's too many of
12	them.
13	MS. RODGERS: Okay. How many times
14	have bullet holes come into your into your
15	building?
16	THE WITNESS: Three.
17	MS. RODGERS: Three times.
18	THE WITNESS: They're still there. You
19	can see them.
20	MS. RODGERS: Okay. Three On three
21	separate occasions bullet holes came
22	THE WITNESS: No. I don't know. Maybe
23	it's all at once, because there was pretty many
24	shots going back and forth. So it could have
25	been all at once.

```
00088
                   MS. RODGERS: So that was one occasion
1
2
         then.
3
                   THE WITNESS: That's the only one,
4
         yeah.
5
                   MS. RODGERS: Okay. And on that one
6
         occasion three bullet holes went into your
7
         building. Is that a yes?
                   THE WITNESS: Yes.
8
                   MS. RODGERS: And on that occasion you
9
10
         - - you were there.
11
                   THE WITNESS: Yes.
12
                   MS. RODGERS: And you saw the shooter.
13
                   THE WITNESS: Yes.
                   MS. RODGERS: And approximately when
14
15
         was that?
16
                   THE WITNESS: What time?
17
                   MS. RODGERS: How many years ago?
18
                   THE WITNESS: Oh.
19
                   MS. RODGERS: How many months ago?
20
                   THE WITNESS: Hnh, hnh.
21
                   MS. RODGERS: Was it a year ago?
22
                   THE WITNESS: No.
23
                   MS. RODGERS: Two years ago?
24
                   THE WITNESS: No. It was in the last
25
         year.
```

1	MS. RODGERS: Okay. So within the last
2	year.
3	THE WITNESS: In the last year, right.
4	MS. RODGERS: Okay. And did you report
5	that to the police?
6	THE WITNESS: Yes.
7	MS. RODGERS: What did the Did the
8	police come out to investigate?
9	THE WITNESS: Yeah. Sure, he was right
10	across the street. Right.
11	MS. RODGERS: They did come out to
12	investigate?
13	THE WITNESS: Well, if you want to call
14	it an investigation. He asked, you know, I said,
15	well, you're a little late. They're gone.
16	MS. RODGERS: That's what you said to
17	him. Okay.
18	THE WITNESS: You're a little late.
19	MS. RODGERS: And did you Did they
20	apprehend anyone? Did they catch anyone?
21	THE WITNESS: No. No.
22	MS. RODGERS: You've indicated that
23	you've called the police department when you
24	thought that there were bullet when bullet
25	holes well, when gunfire took place. Is that

1	correct?
2	THE WITNESS: Yes.
3	MS. RODGERS: How many times did you
4	call the police, approximately?
5	THE WITNESS: I don't know. I don't
6	Like I said there's so many of them that I
7	It got to a point where I didn't call them no
8	more.
9	MS. RODGERS: Okay. So the police
10	department would have so many times on the record
11	that you couldn't call them anymore. Is that
12	correct?
13	THE WITNESS: Right. I mean, they
14	MS. RODGERS: Then would the reports
15	THE WITNESS: They got a sheet
16	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: One at a time.
17	THE WITNESS: They got a They got a
18	track record you wouldn't believe, so why why
19	would any more testimony from any why, I mean,
20	you should have it right there.
21	MS. RODGERS: There is There is a
22	report You believe that there would be a
23	report for each and every time you called the
24	police department.
25	THE WITNESS: Check around, I guess.

```
00091
1
          You have to check - - My mother called them, too,
          I quess.
 3
                    MS. RODGERS: What - - What do you - -
 4
          What - - What do you think, is my question? Do
 5
          you believe that the police department has a
 6
          record of each and every time you called?
 7
                    THE WITNESS: I don't know.
                    MS. RODGERS: What do you think? THE WITNESS: I don't think.
 8
 9
10
                    MS. RODGERS: How many times
11
          approximately did you call? Ten? 20?
12
                    THE WITNESS: Could be. My wife
13
          called. My son called. I called. I don't know.
14
                    MS. RODGERS: Okay. I understand that.
15
          But how many times did you call?
16
                    THE WITNESS: Haven't - - Haven't
17
          counted them. Haven't kept track, there's too
18
          many of them probably. I don't know.
19
                    MS. RODGERS: Well, is it closer to 50
20
          or closer to 500?
21
                    THE WITNESS: Probably 50.
22
                    MS. RODGERS: And it's over what period
23
          of time?
24
                    THE WITNESS: In the last few - - three
25
          years probably.
```

00092	
1	MS. RODGERS: Okay. How did you hear
2	about this hearing today?
3	THE WITNESS: Well, I was interested in
4	it.
5	MS. RODGERS: No, how did you hear
6	about it?
7	THE WITNESS: Well, the license
8	committee said that he's coming up for license.
9	MS. RODGERS: That's the only only
10	time you've heard about it?
11	THE WITNESS: Yeah.
12	MS. RODGERS: No one else talked to you
13	about coming to the hearing?
14	THE WITNESS: No.
15	MS. RODGERS: You're under oath. No
16	one talked to you about coming to the hearing?
17	THE WITNESS: No. I was going to come
18	anyways, because I knew his license was up. I
19	talked to Oscar. We're talking The whole
20	neighborhood's talking about it. It ain't
21	nothing new.
22	MS. RODGERS: Okay. I know that, you
23	know, you were going to come anyway, but did
24	anybody else talk to you about it, is my
25	question.

00093	
1	THE WITNESS: We all talked about it,
2	yeah.
3	MS. RODGERS: But did anyone else talk
4	to you and ask you to come to this hearing to
5	testify?
6	THE WITNESS: No. I came on my own
7	free will.
8	MS. RODGERS: I understand that, Mr.
9	Tice. And But no one else ever talked to you
10	about coming here today.
11	THE WITNESS: No, he did not threaten
12	me to come here or nothing, no.
13	MS. RODGERS: I didn't ask
14	THE WITNESS: I don't need to be
15	No.
16	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Mr. Tice
17	MS. RODGERS: I didn't ask if someone
18	threatened you.
19	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: One second, Ms.
20	Rodgers. Mr. Tice, I know Ms. Rodgers is
21	wrapping up her questions to you, but I'm just
22	going to ask you very kindly, answer the question
23	specifically and concisely. Because it's very
24	difficult for us to figure out unless you answer
25	the question specifically and concisely. So do

00094			
1	your best	to do that, pl	Lease.
2	_	THE WITNESS:	
3			INSKI: Thank you. Ms.
4	Rodgers.		-
5		MS. RODGERS:	Did anyone else Did
6	you get an	y I'll rep	phrase that. Did anyone
7	ask you sp	ecifically to	come to this hearing?
8		THE WITNESS:	Specifically? What do
9	you mean?	I don't under	rstand what you
10		MS. RODGERS:	Spec Specifically.
11		THE WITNESS:	I wanted to come to the
12	meeting.		
13		MS. RODGERS:	Mr. Tice, I know you
14	wanted to	come. I want	to know if anybody asked
15	you to com	е.	
16		THE WITNESS:	Yeah, my wife.
17		MS. RODGERS:	Anyone other than your
18	wife.		
19		THE WITNESS:	My son.
20		MS. RODGERS:	Anyone Any neighbor
21	or anyone	in the communi	Lty.
22			Oscar. Oscar. There's a
23	gas statio	n guy. I don'	t know. I mean, what's
24	the big de		
25		MS. RODGERS:	All right. All right,

00095	
1	Mr. Tice. I'm done. Thank you.
2	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Thank you, Mr.
3	Tice. Ma'am, you're next.
4	MS. RODGERS: I'm sorry. Just one
5	quick question. I promise.
6	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: All right.
7	MS. RODGERS: Mister Mr. Tice, I'm
8	sorry, just a minute. You said that you own a
9	business and that people park in your parking
10	lot. Is that correct?
11	THE WITNESS: Um-hnh.
12	MS. RODGERS: What are the hours of
13	your business?
14	THE WITNESS: Seven in the morning to
15	five.
16	MS. RODGERS: Seven to five. So people
17	are parking in your parking lot at night.
18	THE WITNESS: Um-hnh.
19	MS. RODGERS: Okay. Thank you.
20	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: One second, Mr.
21	Tice.
22	THE APPLICANT: This parking lot, your
23	parking lot is locked.
24	THE WITNESS: No, it's not locked.
25	THE APPLICANT: You got a gate You

00096	
1	got a gate on your parking lot.
2	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: We're not going to
3	argue about it. We're not going to argue about a
4	gate.
5	THE WITNESS: I got a gate, but it
6	ain't locked.
7	MS. RODGERS: Is there a gate, Mr.
8	Tice?
9	THE WITNESS: It hasn't been locked for
10	two years.
11	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Please, Mr. Tice,
12	Ms. Rodgers, Mr. Neely.
13	MR. SCHRIMPF: Mr. Chairman.
14	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: We're not going to
15	argue about that. Okay.
16	MS. RODGERS: Okay.
17	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: All right. I'll
18	defer to Mr. Schrimpf. I know Alderwoman Rosa
19	Cameron has a question. Mr. Tice, why don't you
20	just stay right there for a second.
21	MR. SCHRIMPF: Have you ever given
22	permission for the patrons of Mr. Neely's lounge
23	to park in your parking lot?
24	THE WITNESS: No.
25	MR. SCHRIMPF: Okay. That's my only

00097	
1	question.
2	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Very good. Thank
3	you for answering that question right away.
4	Alderwoman?
5	ALDERWOMAN CAMERON: I have a question
6	±
7	to ask you. Mr. Tice, would you say the location
8	that this tavern is in is a good location? THE WITNESS: No.
9	
10	ALDERWOMAN CAMERON: Would you say the
11	location your business is in, is it in a good location?
12	THE WITNESS: Been there for over 40
13	
	years.
14	ALDERWOMAN CAMERON: Is it a good
15	location?
16	THE WITNESS: For my business,
17	trucking, yes.
18	ALDERWOMAN CAMERON: I ask you minutes
19	ago about how many times in the last 40 years
20	that you called the police, you told me two.
21	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: No.
22	ALDERWOMAN CAMERON: Yes, he did.
23	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: I remember I
24	remember the question being in the last three
25	years.

00098	
1	ALDERWOMAN CAMERON: Well
2	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Not 40.
3	ALDERWOMAN CAMERON: I said 40 years,
4	how many time you call the police. He didn't
5	know. I asked him how many time in the last
6	three years - two years, he said two. The
7	attorney asked him, he said, oh, about 50 or ten
8	or something. I can understand that the place
9	well, I'll just hold that, but I don't
10	So which one is it?
11	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Why don't you just
12	rephrase the question, because I'm not sure he
13	understands, Alderwoman.
14	ALDERWOMAN CAMERON: How many times in
15	the last two years have you called the police?
16	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Specifically
17	regarding Ralph's Lounge.
18	ALDERWOMAN CAMERON: The shooting.
19	THE WITNESS: Maybe half I don't
20	know. Half a dozen times, I don't know.
21	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Six times.
22	THE WITNESS: Six times.
23	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Thank you. Thank
24	you very much. All right. We're going to move
25	on to the next witness. Thank you.

00099	
1	Ma'am, I'm going to ask you to give us
2	your name for the record and your address, and
3	I'm going to ask ask
4	THE WITNESS: Yolanda
5	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Yolanda what?
6	THE WITNESS: Yolanda Staples Lassiter,
7	2118 North 36th Street, Milwaukee.
8	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: 2118 North?
9	THE WITNESS: 36th Street, Milwaukee.
10	L-A-S-S-I-T-E-R.
11	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Ms. Staples
12	Lassiter.
13	THE WITNESS: Yes.
14	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: I'm going to just
15	ask you, if you can, to give us testimony that is
16	new information, not repetitive of what we've
17	heard before. We would appreciate if you agree
18	substantially with the previous two individuals,
19	that you say that.
20	THE WITNESS: Okay.
21	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Okay. It It's
22	a question of
23	THE WITNESS: I understand.
24	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: important to
25	us that we have new information, not repetitive.

00100 1 THE WITNESS: I understand. The reason why I'm here today basically is because when I was running for County Supervisor, Fifth 4 District, in April, it was called to my attention 5 about the safety and well-being, the violence and 6 crime coming from Ralph's bar, Ralph's Lounge. 7 At that time I told the constituents in that area 8 that that was not a County Supervisor's position 9 to take on that, but it was up to the alderperson in 10 that district, and I referred them to give Willie 11 Hines a call at that time. The problems that 12 were brought to my attention was gunshot holes in 13 people homes, the home next door to the lounge 14 and the crime and violence, screaming in the 15 streets, the cars, the parking lot, structure 16 across the street, the gas - - Dave's gas 17 station, I patronize that, business - -18 CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Ma'am, are you 19 referring - -20 THE WITNESS: Yes. 21 CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Because right now 22 you're moving into some hearsay information about 23 what you heard when you're knocking on doors - -2.4 THE WITNESS: Exactly. That's what I 25 have - -

00101 1 CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Wait. Let me finish the question. What I'm trying to get at is when you're referring to all these other 4 issues - -5 THE WITNESS: Yes. 6 CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: - - and then you 7 mentioned Dave's - - Dave's gas station across 8 the street - -9 THE WITNESS: Yes. 10 CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: I mean, what's 11 germane for us is what is specifically going on 12 with Ralph's Lounge, not - -13 THE WITNESS: Yes. 14 CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: - - what may be 15 going on in the other - -THE WITNESS: Yes. No, Dave's gas 16 17 station across the street, sir, also has the same 18 problems from people parking in his lot, also. 19 Okay. That's what I'm referring to, okay. You 20 know, you people want these people to come down 21 and speak about the places, the businesses, the 22 bars, the liquor stores, in their district. 23 These people are coming down to speak to you 24 today, and I mean, they don't need to be 25 ridiculed by anybody. They're speaking the

00102	
1	truth.
2	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: And no one is
3	trying to do that, ma'am.
4	THE WITNESS: They're speaking the
5	truth.
6	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: It's important
7	that people understand this is a quasi-judicial
8	body
9	THE WITNESS: Exactly.
10	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Whatever action we
11	take, either adversely in Mr. Neely's case or
12	not
13	THE WITNESS: Right.
14	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: It's potentially
15	reviewable by Circuit Court.
16	THE WITNESS: Exactly.
17	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: And when we ask
18	you questions, we're not trying to badger you,
19	but we're trying to get specific information so
20	we can make a valuable judgment, a judgment that
21	we can then justify in Circuit court.
22	THE WITNESS: I understand.
23	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Okay. So it's not
24	helpful when people then move into different
25	areas that are not germane to the topic at hand,

00103	
1	and it's
2	THE WITNESS: Okay.
3	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: important for
4	us to understand specifically what's happening
5	with Ralph's Lounge, not with the neighborhood as
6	a whole and not with potentially potentially
7	other businesses.
8	THE WITNESS: Okay.
9	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: And we also, by law,
10	cannot hear of information that you specifically
11	don't have knowledge about. If you heard from
12	someone else, that's considered hearsay
13	information
14	THE WITNESS: Exactly.
15	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: and it's not
16	admissible in court.
17	THE WITNESS: But those individuals are
18	here, sir, and I understand in due respect to you
19	that you really didn't want to hear from these
20	individuals.
21	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: It's not a
22	question of not wanting to hear from them, ma'am.
23	THE WITNESS: However, these
24	individuals are here, and I would hope that you
25	would encourage these individuals, these women,

00104 1	these single mothers to stand up and to speak to
2	you about the problems and the concerns of
3	violence and crime that they seen happen from
4	Ralph's Lounge.
5	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Well, ma'am, you
6	understand the position we're in at the time.
7	THE WITNESS: I understand.
8	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: And, that's
9	THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.
10	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: That's the way
11	we're going to rule on it.
12	THE WITNESS: I understand.
13	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Okay. And I I
14	appreciate the fact that you came down.
15	THE WITNESS: I know.
16	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Okay. All right.
17	Anything else that you want to add today.
18	THE WITNESS: That's basically it,
19	because what I have to go off of is hearsay
20	knowledge and hearsay from Linda Mohammed, which
21	you heard herself
22	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Exactly.
23	THE WITNESS: speak. And I
24	encouraged him to come down and stand up and
25	speak to the Common Council members in regard to
25	speak to the Common Council members in regard to

00105	
1	the relicensing of that specific lounge. Okay.
2	That's my job.
3	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Let me see if
4	there's other If there's questions of you by
5	this committee.
6	THE WITNESS: Okay.
7	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Anyone on the
8	committee have a question.
9	ALDERWOMAN CAMERON: I have I have
10	just one thing to say. I want to thank Yolanda
11	for her effort in trying to unite the residents
12	to come down, because it's not easy to get
13	them
14	THE WITNESS: I understand, Rosa.
15	ALDERWOMAN CAMERON: And I also want to
16	thank you for getting the ones that did come down
17	to come down.
18	THE WITNESS: Um-hnh.
19	ALDERWOMAN CAMERON: I know sometimes
20	people depends on others to voice their opinions
21	because in some way somehow sometime they feel
22	intimidated by the system.
23	THE WITNESS: They do.
24	ALDERWOMAN CAMERON: In voicing their
25	opinion. Which I appreciate it, Yolanda.

00106 1 THE WITNESS: Oh, I know that, Rosa. ALDERWOMAN CAMERON: And I want to thank you and continue - -4 THE WITNESS: It's my job. 5 ALDERWOMAN CAMERON: And thank you for coming not to the point that I want you to think 7 that we're badgering them for saying that - that to come $\overline{\text{down}}$ - - I'm sorry - - to come $\overline{\text{down}}$ 8 to badgering anyone to come down. It's just that 9 10 I would like both sides to be heard. I want to 11 hear everything. I don't want to hear it just 12 from the organizers when I'm organizing hear it 13 from their point of view. I want to hear it from 14 actually what they really seen, actually what 15 they witness, not what someone convinced them to say. Members of the - -16 17 THE WITNESS: That's what they're here 18 for, Rosa. 19 ALDERWOMAN CAMERON: Thank you. And if 20 the Chairman don't mind and if - - if it's not 21 completely argument, I wouldn't mind if they 22 lived - - lived next door and they seen some of 23 this, let's hear it. 2.4 CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Well, I've already 25 made my ruling and I'm standing by my ruling.

00107 1 THE WITNESS: I have no problem with that either. CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Okay. I am. 4 Alderman Herron asked for recognition first, and 5 then Alderman Sanchez. ALDERMAN HERRON: I just wanted to 7 comment, I do think from time to time these hearings can - - can get a bit sticky and - - and 8 9 it may seem as if the committee is badgering a 10 witness, but I think oftentimes the committee is 11 trying to pull out the information necessary to 12 make a - - a good decision, and - - and we 13 certainly understand both from what we hear, as 14 well as, what we hear formally, and so don't - and it is tough, and but I want you to know that 15 16 your work is valued and - - and so are all the 17 neighbors. And we understand. 18 CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Alderman Sanchez. 19 ALDERMAN SANCHEZ: Thank you, Mr. 20 Chair. I was wondering if you'd like to have 21 Alderman Willie Hines come to the table and 22 perhaps - -23 ALDERMAN HERRON: Who is that handsome 2.4 young man with Alderman Hines today? 25 ALDERMAN HINES: This is why I

00108 1 objected, Mr. Chairman, but I understand your duties. I had to do mine, and I want to try to represent the interest of the residents, as well. 4 CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Go ahead. 5 ALDERMAN HINES: Let me first say that 6 upon hearing many of the information today from 7 Sister Mohammed, I am knowledgeable of what the 8 other gentleman referenced who is just adjacent 9 to the location. I will say that my office have 10 never been contacted regarding any incidents that 11 have occurred at this location from last events 12 of activities and even prior to that. And so, 13 I've talked to Mr. Neely, and - - and he's aware 14 of the items that he is proposing. By no means $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$ 15 and by no stretch of the imagination will I 16 minimize any of the incidents that have occurred 17 either on his facility and the one that recently

occurred within his facility. However, as I've 19 communicated to him that because I've not 20 received calls pertaining to litter, which is 21 kind of strange, litter, loud noise. I have - -22 I mean, I have with the last incident have been 23 contacted about the gunshots, which is

18

2.4 exceptionally troubling. So as indicated, I'm

25 not going to minimize that. And we are obviously

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the events and based on the testimony and - - and the gunshots, the next - - as it has built and as we read through the file, the next possible occurrence appears to be a death. I mean, if I were assessing this file as I have, and if we were to continue to allow it to operate in this capacity, it would be to the extent whereby a death would be probably inevitable.

However, I'm hopeful with the change of - - with the change of format, with the removal of hip-hop, with the dress code, with the other additions that he anticipated putting forth with the police station coming up the street, and not to believe that the police station would police his premise, but more importantly, their presence may not only curtail activities that will happen at that location but many of the incidents that are occurring at the residential area. I do believe that if any of the litter issues, any of the noises would have been brought to my attention, I would have contacted Mr. Neely with the residents. We would have put forth some proactive approaches to deal with those issues. If there were gunshot incidents that were brought to my attention prior to now, just in the - - You

1 have this special hearing because of the incidents that have occurred after the regular cycle. We were getting ready to issue a warning 4 letter, and I was under the assumption that he 5 had been doing things well. But obviously the 6 residents being in closer proximities than me, have 7 a different viewpoint. I'm on 46th and Meinecke. 8 this location is 46th and Lisbon. It's a block 9 and a half from my home of residence, and I was 10 rather troubled to find and know these incidents 11 have occurred. Prior to the police report I had 12 not received a call that I can remember from the 13 police department, from anyone. And if - - If I 14 would have been given that opportunity to work as 15 an alderman, I'm of the opinion that we probably 16 could have had the dress codes in place, that 17 this hip-hop format change, a lot of other things 18 that have currently come forth, I think we could 19 have dealt with that. But after the - - We 20 didn't. And - - And so I do appreciate and 21 recognize the difficult position that you're in. 22 I do believe that the incidents merit an element 23 of a suspension. I do. Does it merit a 2.4 nonrenewal? I don't think that that is the case. 25 However, I would like to believe that - - that we

can deal with those issues, provided the - - the format items that are put forth is changed.

Secondarily, if - - And we don't have 4 to wait until problems occur at this 5 establishment again to the extent whereby guns 6 are laying there. I mean, I will, if residents 7 contact me to identify many of the problems, in 8 terms of litter, and the noises, and the items 9 that are being put forth by the residents in 10 there - - by his patrons as they're leaving the 11 premise, I will gladly bring this incidents back 12 - - this applicant back before this body for 13 revocation. Because I don't think we need to 14 revisit and wait until it get to this point 15 before we take corrective actions. And as 16 indicated, the final - - when we've asked Mr. 17 Neely to put cameras or do other things, he 18 responded to me. And like I said, I - - I was 19 happy believing that things were fine. And I 20 feel bad not being informed. And - - And so as 21 indicated, it doesn't have to wait until a 22 qunshot if there are some litter complaints and 23 concerns, residential concerns, we will deal with 24 them. And so, that's really what I have to say. 25 And so, thank you, Mr. Chairman and members.

2.4

Thank you so much. And like I said, I do not - - 90 day possibly, but if you choose a nonrenewal, I would have to respect that, as well.

CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Ms. Rodgers, at this point what I'd like to do is turn it over to you. I don't know if you want to make the closing statement or you want to have Mr. Neely make - - Mr. Neely make a statement to us. But at this point, I mean, we're going to wrap it up as soon as you're done. So however you want to handle it. You've obviously been defending your client now for - -

MR. SCHRIMPF: Mr. Chairman, before Ms. Rodgers makes her remarks, just so that the committee is aware, I want to make sure that I point out that the incident in the police report, item number 12 and the incident with respect to the underage, which is item number 16, cannot count for purposes of your decision simply because it is not two within the same license year and you need convictions.

CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Okay. Thank you.

MR. SCHRIMPF: And the second one is
simply a pending matter. So, whatever your
deliberations are cannot include those two

00113					
1	underage matters.				
2	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Okay. Ms.				
3	Rodgers.				
4	MS. RODGERS: I would have appreciated				
5	an opportunity to cross-examine Ms. Staples				
6	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: I'm sorry.				
7	MS. RODGERS: But if we're going to				
8	move along, I suppose I can She left?				
9	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: No, she's behind				
10	you, but				
11	ALDERMAN HERRON: There was no real				
12	testimony.				
13	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Her testimony was				
14	99 percent hearsay, so.				
15	ALDERWOMAN CAMERON: Hearsay.				
16	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: I mean, to be				
17	honest with you I don't think most members are				
18	going to consider hearsay. I know No one				
19	ever does anyway, but				
20	ALDERWOMAN CAMERON: Thanks a lot.				
21	MS. RODGERS: Then I'll have some, just				
22	a very brief statement about her her				
23	testimony. I think we will just stand on the				
24	things that we've said earlier today. I think we				
25	were fairly thorough in our opening statement. I				

know that you don't often grant open - - opening statements, and sometimes I - - I take liberties unfairly, and I did take that liberty. And I think I went through each of those - - I think I went through each of - - each incident on the police report, and I'm hoping that your memories are long. If you want me to go through it again, I certainly will. CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: I got my notes.

CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: I got my notes.

MS. RODGERS: There is just one item I
would like to share with the committee. You may
have noticed that Ms. Staples, Ms. Yolanda
Staples, and I'm sorry, I don't remember the last
- I didn't take down the last part - -

CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Lassiter.

MS. RODGERS: Lassiter. Ms. Staples Lassiter has rallied the community, and I think that is admirable. However, you have to understand sometimes there are ulterior motives, and when there are ulter - - ulterior motives, we have to make those known to you. There was a request made by Ms. Staples - - Staples Lassiter that Mr. Neely denied. When she was running for County Supervisor, she requested an opportunity to use his bar for a fundraiser. Mr. Neely had

00115 1 committed to the incumbent at that time and refused to allow her to also have a fundraiser there. So, I mean, I think, you know, I 4 understand why that happens, and I - - and I know 5 that those things do happen, and - -6 CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Continue. 7 MS. RODGERS: - - all's fair, I 8 suppose, in politics and war. But that is 9 something that happened, and I think it's 10 something that you should know. Other than that, 11 I think we'll just defer to the committee. We 12 understand the - - how grave this last situation 13 is, the one that is of utmost concern to Mr. 14 Neely and of - and also to me, that is the one 15 on May 30th, 2001, where four people - - people 16 were injured as a result of a shooting. And Mr. 17 Neely is under the impression, and so am I, and I 18 believe he listens to my counsel sometimes, and 19 he believes that, also, that a suspension is in 20 order in this instance, and we're hoping that the 21 sus - - a suspension is what you decide to do. 22 We voluntarily offer ourselves to a 30 day 23 suspension. Mr. Neely has had one suspension

prior to this one, and he's been - - since he's

been in business, and that was, I believe, a 15

2.4

1	day suspension.
2	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Actually, for the
3	record, it was a 30 day suspension two years ago.
4	MS. RODGERS: 30 day. Okay.
5	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: The Common Council
6	ratified that on June 10th, 1999.
7	MS. RODGERS: Okay. Thank you.
8	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: You're welcome.
9	Okay.
. 0	ALDERMAN SANCHEZ: Mr. Chair.
.1	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Yes, Mister
.2	Alderman Sanchez.
.3	ALDERMAN SANCHEZ: Is it at this time
. 4	appropriate to make a motion?
.5	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: We are in
. 6	committee at this point, yes. We're done with
.7	the testimony and now we're in committee. So if
. 8	you want to go ahead and do that. Go ahead.
.9	ALDERMAN SANCHEZ: Thank you, Mr.
0	Chair. I've looked looked through this
1	entire file front to back, and, you know, picked
2	up, you know, through the file, where there is a
:3	suspension two years ago. But if you take close
4	look at the entire file, you'll find that there's
25	issues here where there's been a lot of

00117 1 shootings, there's been a death, there's been people who have been found with - - with drugs. You'll see that there's underage. Frequent 4 citations for that. 5 CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: I thought that Mis 6 - - And, Alderman, let's remember, the underage 7 issue is not under consideration by this 8 committee, so. 9 ALDERMAN SANCHEZ: Thank you, Mr. 10 Chair. 11 CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: And it's important 12 not even to mention it. 13 ALDERMAN SANCHEZ: Thank you, Mr. 14 Chair. And - - And what you'll see is that if 15 you look through the file, that you'll see that 16 there has been instances where Mr. Neely's asked 17 to do things, for example, like post his license, 18 and then the officers would come back later, a 19 year later, and his licenses were still not 20 posted. You also see in the report that there's 21 been times when officers have visited and his - -22 his door was locked, and he's been told that as 23 long as he's open his door must remain open. But 24 then you'll find out that later, a few months

later, when officers come back, that the door is

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

also locked again. So it seems to me that the officers have gone there on several different occasions and times and explained to Mr. Neely what the rules are, and it just seems like Mr. Neely has not complied.

This particular location seems to be a location in which it's just a matter of time before something very tragic is going to happen, like a death. It's - - Mr. Neely, I'm sure, is - - is a - - is a person who - - who means to do well, but is operating at a location that has a lot of crime, and he's - - and beyond that he's got a track record here that I think is a threat to the community. And I think there comes a point in time where the committee needed to do something about this two years ago and they did, but now it's actually two years later, you have a - - an incredible track record here of more of the same behavior is taking place. So I think it's - - it's well in order to - - to grant revocation of this license at this time, and I hope the committee members would support me. I think that the entire police record speaks for itself, and the testimony here speaks for itself. CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: The proper - -

00119						
1	MR. SCHRIMPF: Point of order, Mr.					
2	Chairman. It is not a revocation.					
3	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Right. I					
4	understand, Mr. Schrimpf.					
5	ALDERMAN SANCHEZ: I'm sorry.					
6	Suspension.					
7	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Let's be clear.					
8	Are you making a motion for nonrenewal or a					
9	motion					
10	ALDERMAN SANCHEZ: Nonrenewal would be					
11	the motion, Mr. Chair. Thank you.					
12	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: All right. Well,					
13	there's a motion on the floor, and I'm going to					
14	wait until Alderwoman Cameron comes back in the					
15	room. I don't know why she left, but I think we					
16	ought to hold this for a minute or so until she					
17	comes back. All right.					
18	Alderwoman, Alderman Sanchez has just					
19	made a motion for nonrenewal of the license,					
20	based on the police record and the testimony of					
21	of the citizens at hand. So that's the					
22	motion on the table at this point.					
23	ALDERWOMAN CAMERON: So we're					
24	discussing the motion.					
25	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Yes. Is there					

00120 1 discussion on the motion? ALDERWOMAN CAMERON: Yes, it is. 3 CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Yes, go ahead. 4 ALDERWOMAN CAMERON: I was listening to 5 all of the testimony. I was also listening to the he say, she say testimony. Also right outside 7 then listened to the testimony that wasn't given an opportunity to speak. Yes, it is - -8 9 MR. SCHRIMPF: Mr. Chairman, that would 10 be out of order. 11 ALDERWOMAN CAMERON: Well, I take it 12 back. I don't want to hear it then. Just what I 13 heard. Just as said. That his business in a bad 14 location of the City of Milwaukee, along with 15 other business, as well. I also believe that 16 some of the information were - - I believe the 17 majority of it, let's say, 80 percent of it was 18 true. I believe part of it was kind of not 19 totally true. I know that his business is in a 20 bad location, and I look for all of us, and one 21 of the things we have a bad habit of is making 22 judgment according to what's indicated, and you 23 know when you be privileged of looking at 24 reports, knowing how reports can reflect the 25 good, and how reports can reflect the bad, then

2.4

when you see there's nothing that frays you, I like listening to actual reality.

I asked two of them that's been in that neighborhood for at least 13 years how many times they called the police. How many time they called their elected official? 50? First it's two. I didn't. All of a sudden it become two, 50, ten. So to say that yes, it is, that we have problems in that neighborhood. Should we make this man pay with his life, which is his business? I say no. I feel like that maybe what we should do is look at saying that - - that the location of his business is unfit for the time being.

 $$\operatorname{CHAIRMAN}$$ PAWLINSKI: Well, we don't have that option.

ALDERWOMAN CAMERON: I'm just stating. I ain't saying what we going to do. Is that we - At some time maybe we have to look at the location as unfit or the neighborhood unfit for a business, and give that person an opportunity to be able to relocate his business in a better neighborhood. That was one of my suggestions when I - listening to it in walking out. I also looked at this - at the really shocked me

```
00122
```

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

when the alderperson come here and said he had not one complaint, and he live a block and a half away. That's what's amazing to me. But I know some of us, if one of us come to the table and ask to give you gold and we throw you five millions of gold, oh, we didn't give you enough, so no. And it's not - - It's not fair to the clients to that we're trying to be fair about it.

I feel like that the denial of - - of

I feel like that the denial of - - of - - of re - - renewal is kind of stiff. I feel like that it should be a 90 day suspension. And, also, after doing the 90 day suspension, I feel like that Mr. Neely needs to look for a new location for his business, personally I do. also feel like that even if he don't change his practice of business in the area there, and if he can't find a location, I mean, a new location in the 90 days that he is suspended, that he would have more trouble. I know the last time he was here I personally asked you to invest in a security - - a better security system, like get a metal detector, get security. You said you would do it. And the alderman agreed to it, but seriously it is something - - and that neighborhood need to be looked at more than

00123 closing your business, telling you to by closing 1 you down is going to justify the neighbors' problem is actually walking outside with a 4 blindfold over your eyes trying to not be hit by 5 a car on a one-way street, because it's not - -6 It doesn't make a difference of your business. 7 Anybody else's business. It's the neighborhood. 8 We had a shooting in another neighborhood wasn't 9 no tavern was, five - seven peoples got shot. It 10 wasn't about a tavern. It ain't about a tavern. 11 That's what we use to - - And it's not fair. 12 What we have to look at is the neighborhood it's 13 actually in, and I think that's what we're doing, 14 one of the things this committee's doing to any 15 client is a disadvantage is not looking at the 16 neighborhood, looking at the condition of the 17 neighborhood, looking at the resources that the 18 neighborhood is being denied of, and I do - -19 would ask the committee to, even the client, 20 maybe he should think about and come back to this 21 - - to withdraw his application even for renewal 22 at this time. That way he can think about 23 relocating. 2.4 CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Alderman Herron. ALDERMAN HERRON: I certainly don't 25

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

have a problem with Mr. Neely withdrawing his application for renewal if - - if he so chooses to do that, but I would imagine that that's a matter that must be discussed between him and his attorney, who, I might add, I think argued very, very well the case for a case where there is no case. I think the case is pretty clear on - - on this incident. I remember last time when Mr. McNeely - -

THE APPLICANT: Neely. Neely. ALDERMAN HERRON: Oh, I'm sorry. Mr. Neely. I apologize, sir. When Mr. Neely was before us, and I thought we were quite clear in asking you to make some significant improvements in this next coming year. I made sure I made what I thought was a very clear statement to you and looked you in the eye, as I'm looking you in the eye right now, and said the incidents that occurred in the last license year would not be tolerated in the new license year, and if that kind of behavior continued on into this new license year, that I would personally vote to close you down. And I told you why, because I thought that there were many kids that lived in that neighborhood. I thought the opportunity or

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

for an accident to happen was high. There was

- I thought I was extremely clear on that, that
at any point a child could get hit by a bullet
that went through one of these many houses that
we heard neighbors testify today, and we'd all
have a tragedy on our hands. One, Mr. Neely
would not like as much as I would not like.

You know, I read the paper this morning. I believe in the paper I read about three shootings over the last couple days, and, you know, people have said, and I've said to myself what can I do, as an alderman, as a person in this community, and as a father, what can I do to make a difference? How can I have an impact? How can I change the situation where many of our brothers and sisters are dying in the street, and there's not a lot that I can do on a day to day basis. But one thing that I can do and one thing I will do is at least vote in a way that I believe will at least stop it from happening at 45 - - I don't want to get this wrong - - 4538 North Lisbon, and - - West Lisbon, I'm sorry. And - - And - - And as I go through this record, and - - and maybe I'm wrong here, I count three incidents where drugs were found in the

1 establishment, three incidents were not just drugs but crack cocaine. Meaning that if I'msmoking crack, I'm likely to do anything from 4 kill somebody to rob somebody, and I don't think 5 that's unique to your - - this neighborhood. I 6 think it's universal for people who deal with 7 crack cocaine. Six shootings since you've been 8 open. Six shootings. At some point somebody's 9 going to die. You've got - - Even you would have 10 to give at some point a bullet will make the 11 connection to a vital organ and someone will die. 12 I won't - - I can't live with that, knowing that 13 I did something that may contribute to one of 14 your patrons or some innocent bystander, die. 15 There have been three fights and multiple 16 incident - - incidents where guns have been 17 found. Okay. Let's put all that aside. Then we 18 have an incident where you had a fight occur 19 inside your establishment, and we can argue and 20 debate about whether it did or didn't. I 21 believe, based on what I've heard, that it did 22 occur inside. You didn't call us to tell us to 23 tell the police. You dragged the body outside 2.4 and put it underneath a tree. I'm not - - That to me - - That to me tells me that we're dealing 25

1 with something here that's beyond - - beyond what 2 - - what - - what I per - - I'd be willing to go with 90 days with Rosa, but to do something like 4 that shows in my opinion, it's just my opinion, 5 no respect for the law, no respect for that human 6 being that was dragged outside bleeding and set 7 underneath a tree, and that to me - - and that - - I have no - - Then I can't have respect for 8 9 your right to want to earn money in this city. 10 So I believe you've given up your right to want 11 to have that license by doing things that are in 12 direct contradiction to what we asked you to do 13 last year and what you've shown this year. I 14 think again you guys have argued a good case, but 15 it hurts me, because you're another person that I 16 would want to see earn a living in the city, that 17 deserves to earn a living, but you're passing up 18 that right either by abrogating your 19 responsibility to your patrons who have taken 20 over the club and taken over that particular 21 area, or for not being a responsible owner. And 22 so I'm going to support against my - - my every 23 inkling to want to see you grow and have an 2.4 opportunity to make money in this city. I'm 25 going to support the motion for nonrenewal.

00128 1 Alderman Pawlinski. 2 CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: I'm going to be very brief and simply state that I agree whole 4 heartedly with the comments of Alderman Herron 5 and Alderman Sanchez. Our obligation is to the health, welfare - - welfare and safety of the 6 7 public. Our obligation is not to guarantee 8 someone a right to earn a living. Our obligation 9 is not to defer necessarily to the local 10 alderman, although we certainly always like to 11 hear from that individual. Our obligation is to 12 the health, welfare and safety of the public. 13 When you have a situation in which you 14 have bullets flying through homes, people not 15 being able to sleep at night for fear of losing 16 their lives, people having to take their ten year 17 old children and dive onto the floor in their 18 bedrooms with them because they're afraid that 19 they're going to get shot and killed is beyond 20 any reasonable expectation in a neighborhood. I 21 count ten shooting incidents or gun related 22 incidents since October of 1997. Three and a half years ago. Ten. When I read this police 23 2.4 report, and I never come to a committee hearing

having made up my mind, I read the police report

00129 1 this af - - this morning and then later again this afternoon to refresh my memory. My initial reaction was this is one of the most horrific set of details as it relates to a bar that I think 5 I've ever read as a member of this committee, and I cannot in good conscience support anything 7 short of a nonrenewal of this license, because 8 I'm convinced that if we don't do anything, 9 someone will be dead, and I'm not going to be 10 able to sleep at night. So I'm going to support 11 the motion by Alderman Sanchez. The motion is on 12 the table. The motion is for nonrenewal. 13 And - -14 MS. RODGERS: Just a point of - - I'm 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

MS. RODGERS: Just a point of - - I'm sorry. I don't want to argue the case, but I just want to ask a question. Just a point of clarification. There is an opportunity for Mr. McNeely - -

CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Mr. Neely.

MS. RODGERS: Mr. Neely to withdraw his request for renewal, and before you take a vote I would like to ask the committee for just a moment to see if we can discuss that, just a half a moment, and I'd like to ask your indulgence for that moment before you vote.

00130 1 CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Well, as a 2 courtesy, we'll do that. MR. SCHRIMPF: Mister - - Mr. Chairman, 4 on that point, Section 90-11-8-c, and I know that 5 Ms. Rodgers has a copy of the ordinances, but for 6 the benefit of the committee. When a license is 7 surrendered in lieu of a pending nonrenewal, 8 proceeding no other alcohol beverage license 9 shall be granted to such person within 12 months 10 of the date of his surrender. So the - - the 11 legal effect in terms of his being able to get a 12 - - a license is the same as if the 13 recommendation had been for nonrenewal. 14 CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Ms. Rodgers, I 15 don't know if you want to talk privately for a 16 moment or how you want to handle this. 17 MS. RODGERS: I would like to talk 18 privately for a moment with my client 19 CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: I'll give you - -20 I'll give you one minute. Is a minute long 21 enough? 22 MS. RODGERS: Two? 23 CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Go ahead. We'll 2.4 wait. We're going to stay on the record. We're 25 just going to sit right here and wait.

00131 MS. RODGERS: Mr. Chairman, we have had 1 a talk with my client, and my client has decided that he would like to withdraw his application 4 for renewal. 5 CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Mr. Schrimpf, 6 procedurally now, what do we need to do on that? 7 MR. SCHRIMPF: Basically speaking, I 8 would ask the indulgence of Mr. Wagner, who might 9 have more operational concerns about this, but if 10 he withdraws, then it's as if there's no 11 application pending before the committee. The 12 committee does not theoretically have to come to 13 a vote on it. 14 CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: All right. Well, 15 then, Alderman Sanchez would withdraw his motion. 16 Correct? 17 ALDERMAN SANCHEZ: Mr. Chair, if I may. 18 Does that mean if he wants - - If he would like 19 to withdraw his renewal here, that essentially 20 his license would then just expire and he would, 21 therefore, be out of a license. Is that - -MR. SCHRIMPF: That is correct, but we 22 should make it clear - - Ms. Rodgers should make 23 24 it clear if this is being withdrawn in lieu of

pending nonrenewal proceedings.

00132	
1	MS. RODGERS: I'm sorry. What's
2	your
3	MR. WAGNER: Point of clarification.
4	Does the ordinance say that the committee may
5	accept the surrender or has to
6	MR. SCHRIMPF: That only The "may
7	accept surrender" language applies in the case of
8	a revocation proceeding, which this is not. It
9	comes under 8-c, which says, "When a license is
10	surrendered in lieu of pending nonrenewal
11	proceedings", which I think is where we are, "no
12	other alcohol beverage license shall be granted
13	to such person within 12 months of the date of
14	surrender." I guess the surrender date would be
15	effective June the 30th, as that's when it would
16	lapse.
17	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Okay. Then we're
18	clear on that.
19	MS. RODGERS: I agree with that.
20	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Okay. All right.
21	Then we're clear.
22	ALDERMAN SANCHEZ: Mr. Chair.
23	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Yes.
24	ALDERMAN SANCHEZ: And as a committee,
25	do do they reserve that right to withdraw and

00133	
1	we have no say at all. Is that right?
2	MR. SCHRIMPF: That is correct.
3	ALDERMAN SANCHEZ: So I'd ask this time
4	
5	MR. SCHRIMPF: If it were a revocation,
6	the committee could refuse to accept the
7	surrender.
8	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: I understand that.
9	Okay. All right. So essentially we don't even
10	need to vote on Alderman Sanchez' motion, based
11	on Ms. Rodgers' comments about what you're going
12	to be doing here, and therefore, the license will
13	lapse and expire on on midnight on June 30th.
14	MS. RODGERS: Just for the record I'd
15	like for Mr. Neely to indicate that he does, in
16	fact, agree that he will not he withdraws his
17	application for renewal.
18	THE APPLICANT: Yeah, I do.
19	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Thank you, Mr.
20	Neely.
21	MR. SCHRIMPF: Well, that it's That
22	you're withdrawing it in lieu of the pending
23	nonrenewal proceedings.
24	MR. NEELY: Yes.
25	MR. SCHRIMPF: Which have gone 99

00134	
1	percent of the way towards fruition but not all
2	the way because a vote hasn't been taken yet.
3	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Okay.
4	MR. SCHRIMPF: And that is correct?
5	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: That's correct,
6	Mr. Neely?
7	THE APPLICANT: Yeah, correct.
8	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: Okay. All right.
9	Then what I'll do is I'll Then we're done
10	with this portion of the hearing. I need to read
11	just one item into the record, and that's file 01
12	
13	ALDERMAN SANCHEZ: Mr. Chair, I'd like
14	to withdraw the motion
15	MR. SCHRIMPF: In light of the
16	statements.
17	ALDERMAN SANCHEZ: in light of the
18	statements of counsel has made on behalf of
19	Mr. Neely.
20	CHAIRMAN PAWLINSKI: You've heard the
21	motion then by Alderman Sanchez to withdraw his
22	motion for nonrenewal. Is there any objection to
23	that? Hearing none, so ordered. Thank you.
24	File 00 I'm sorry. File 010090 is
25	a motion to approve recommendations of the

00135	
1	Utilities & Licenses relative relative to
2	
3	issuance, denial, renewal, nonrenewal, suspension
-	or revocation of licenses and extension of
4	premises. Alderwoman Rosa Cameron will move to
5	lay that over to the 25th of June's meeting. Is
6	there any objection to that? Hearing none, so
7	ordered.
8	File 010091, motion to approve
9	approve recommendations of the Utilities &
10	Licenses Committee relative to issuance, denial,
11	renewal, nonrenewal, suspension or revocation of
12	licenses and extension of premises. Alderman
13	Herron is going to move to lay that over, as
14	well, to the 25th of June. Is there any
15	objection to that? Hearing none, so ordered.
16	Do we have any other business to come
17	before the committee? We stand adjourned.
18	* * * * *

```
00136
1 STATE OF WISCONSIN)
   MILWAUKEE COUNTY )
4
                  I, JEAN M. BARINA, of Milwaukee Reporters
5
       Associated, Inc., do certify that the foregoing
6
       transcript was reduced to writing under my direction
7
        and that it is a true and accurate transcription of
        the Utilities & Licenses Hearing held on June 21,
8
9
        2001.
10
11
12
                             JEAN M. BARINA - COURT REPORTER
13
    Dated this day of July, 2002
14
15
```