From: Sandra McSweeney [mailto:samcsweeney30@yahoo.com]

Sent: Tuesday, June 19, 2007 4:59 PM

To: Koster, Vanessa; Greenstreet, Bob; Patin, Greg; Scott Kindness; PatBalon@aol.com;

mjarosz@uwm.edu **Cc:** Jakubovich, Paul

Subject: Re: Downer Ave 6/4/07 HPC sub-committee meeting

Minutes:

May 17th. Page 1

Additions to the minutes:

- 7) grills were discussed at great length. Solid wall or opaque wall versus grills were the issue. The Architect argued it had to be mesh screen for ventilation. A ventilation system was suggested to accommodate the opaque wall, architect was unwilling to discuss the possibility
- 8) lighting the interior and comparison to other garages was discussed. The Architect assured everyone that the lights would be mounted to the interior walls and would not be seen from the street or neighbors. He also pointed out that surface mounted attractive fixtures would be in the stairwells, not fluorescent lamps.

1st page - last paragraph insert date: <u>June 14th, 9:30 a.m. at architect's office</u>

Page 1: "after extensive discussion and review of sample materials, the sub-committee <u>agreed</u> at the <u>final</u> <u>sub-committee</u> meeting to the following items:

*to my knowledge there was no indication this was the "final" meeting nor that we reached any "agreement". The question was not even asked if there was an agreement, or if there was, I did not agree to anything.

Very important Addition to the minutes:

There is no mention of the first 45 minutes of discussion with Joel Lee's presentation of an alternate plan to the garage.

As per Joel Lee, the Mayor requested a re-visit of the garage and the design with respect to the tree, the green space and neighbor to the east. The suggestion was to reconfigure the east wall, push the ramp entrance back from the street, and maintain the tree and green space around the tree. At the end of this very long discussion I asked the question: Does the architect find this a viable option....Scott answered yes. I asked him also, does this assist you in constructing the ramp and the turn around to the garage.....Scott answered yes. I then asked if eliminating the lower level or basement was beneficial to them and avoided the possibility of a law suit from the neighbor to the east because of the close proximity to his house. Scott again answered yes. We spoke of the loss of parking spaces......the Developer said they could pick up a few in the upstairs because of the ramp and they would find space elsewhere. Joel Lee did not disagree. The discussion turned to loss of time and new permits etc. The only two concerned was in my notes, Bob Greenstreet and Vanessa. I stated that this sounded like a win - win for all. I also stated that I wanted this to be on record.

Scott presented a sketch of the proposed revision, it was angular. My comment was that this angular wall be radius to follow the curve of the ramp, Scott said a possibility, depending upon the materials. We left the discussion with everyone in favor, Scott was going to redraw and present to us.

Page 2, #3

A longer plate will be added to the backside of the two rooftop light poles. My notes say that the longer plate was "suggested" as an addition to the pole lights - I did not know of any decision that was made.

Page 2, #6

I do not know of an agreement to the color of the awnings. I did inform Scott that vinyl was not acceptable.....he was holding up other options. There was no decision made - he was going to look at other colors and submit to committee.

Other discussion items:

- #2. A metal halide lamp was presented. It is important to note that Scott compared the 100 watt metal halide lamp to neighboring bedroom ceiling fixtures with 100 watt lamps in them. Paul Jakubovich did dispute this comparison. Scott did not agree with him and was agitated to say the least.
- #3. I don't understand the statement that "previously approved mesh will be used". Do you mean the mesh approved by CPC? It was not approved by HPC. We continue to dispute the statement that "opaque walls would not be allowed per building code due to ventilation requirements". The building code was allowing parking in the lower level or the basement which had 4 solid walls, not even the 1/2 solid walls that have been requested. Proper ventilation will allow any material to be used. The ventilation is the issue, not the code.

Thank you. Sandra Mc Sweeney, HPC