## CITY OF MILWAUKEE

**GRANT F. LANGLEY**City Attorney

RUDOLPH M. KONRAD Deputy City Attorney

THOMAS E. HAYES
PATRICK B. McDONNELL
CHARLES R. THEIS
Special Deputy City Attorneys



OFFICE OF CITY ATTORNEY 200 EAST WELLS STREET, SUITE 800 MILWAUKEE, WISCONSIN 53202-3551 TELEPHONE (414) 286-2601 TDD 286-2025 FAX (414) 286-8550

March 23, 2001

Waren 25, 200

BEVERLY A. TEMPLE
THOMAS O. GARTNER
LINDA ULISS BURKE
BRUCE D. SCHRIMPF
ROXANE L. CRAWFORD
SUSAN D. BICKERT
HAZEL MOSLEY
HARRY A. STEIN
STUART S. MUKAMAL
THOMAS J. BEAMISH
MAURITA F. HOUREN
JOHN J. HEINEN
MICHAEL G. TOBIN
DAVID J. STANOSZ
SUSAN E. LAPPEN
DAVID R. HALBROOKS
JAN A. SMOKOWICZ
PATRICIA A. FRICKER
HEIDI WICK SPOERL
KURT A. BEHLING
GREGG C. HAGOPIAN
ELLEN H. TANGEN
JAY A. UNORA
DONALD L. SCHRIEFER
EDWARD M. EHRLICH
CHRISTOPHER J. CHERELLA
LEONARD A. TOKUS
MIRIAM R. HORWITZ
MARYNELL REGAN
G. O'SULLIVAN-CROWLEY

**Assistant City Attorneys** 

To the Honorable Common Council of the City of Milwaukee Room 205 - City Hall

Re:

Communication from Attorney Laurie A. Eggert, Eggert Law Office, S.C.

for legal fees for Police Officer Todd Bohlen

C.I. File No. 99-L-76

Dear Council Members:

Returned herewith is a document filed by Attorney Laurie Eggert for attorney's fees for representing Police Officer Todd Bohlen. The claim is in the amount of \$5,503.86 including \$201.86 in disbursements for 48.20 hours of service billed at the rate of \$110.00 per hour. We have advised claimant's counsel, however, that a claimed mileage disbursement is not reimbursable, and so the claim has been reduced to \$5,502.45. We ask that this matter be introduced and referred to the Committee on Judiciary & Legislation.

We have reviewed this claim and advise that in our opinion, the time spent was reasonable. Legal representation was occasioned by the filing of a citizen's complaint against the officer with the Fire and Police Commission. The complaint was dismissed by the Commission.

As we have advised you under similar circumstances in the past, the Common Council has discretion to reject this claim or to pay it in whole or in part. Sec. 895.35, Stats., <u>Bablitch</u> and <u>Bablitch</u> v. Lincoln County, 82 Wis. 2d 574 (1978).

Very truly yours,

SRANT/F.//ANGLEY

City Attorney

JAN A. SMOKOWICZ Assistant City Attorney

JAS:enm Enc.

BEVERLY A. TEMPLE THOMAS O. GARTNER LINDA ULISS BURKE BRUCE D. SCHRIMPF ROXANE L. CRAWFORD SUSAN D. BICKERT HAZEL MOSLEY

HAZEL MOSLEY
HARRY A. STEIN
STUART S. MUKAMAL
THOMAS J. BEAMISH
MAURITA F. HOUREN

JOHN J. HEINEN

JOHN J. HEINEN
MICHAEL G. TOBIN
DAVID J. STANOSZ
SUSAN E. LAPPEN
DAVID R. HALBROOKS
JAN A. SMOKOWICZ
PATRICIA A. FRICKER
HEIDI WICK SPOERL
VINCENT J. BOBOT
KURT A. BEHLING
GREGG C. HAGOPIAN
ELLEN H. TANGEN
MELANIE R. SWANK

# CITY OF MILWAUKEE

**GRANT F. LANGLEY** City Attorney

**RUDOLPH M. KONRAD Deputy City Attorney** 

THOMAS E. HAYES PATRICK B. McDONNELL **CHARLES R. THEIS** Special Deputy City Attorneys



OFFICE OF CITY ATTORNEY 800 CITY HALL 200 EAST WELLS STREET MILWAUKEE, WISCONSIN 53202-3551 TELEPHONE (414) 286-2601 TDD 286-2025 FAX (414) 286-8550 June 3, 1999

LEONARD A. TOKUS **Assistant City Attorneys** 

MELANIE R. SWANK

MELANIE R. SVANN JAY A. UNORA DONALD L. SCHRIEFER EDWARD M. EHRLICH CHRISTOPHER J. CHERELLA

Deputy Inspector Dale Schunk Milwaukee Police Department 749 West State Street, Room 714 Milwaukee, WI 53233

> C.I. File No. 99-L-76 Re:

Communication from EGGERT LAW OFFICE

Dear Deputy Inspector Schunk:

Enclosed please find a claim filed by attorney Laurie A. Eggert for Attorney's fees incurred during her representation of Officer Todd Bohlen.

Please determine whether this officer was in fact involved in the matter described in Ms. Eggerts' and have the officer verify Ms. Eggerts'entation. Thank you for your anticipated cooperation in this matter.

Very truly yours,

JAN A. SMOKOWICZ

Assistant City Attorney

JAS: beq Enclosure

3/23/2001 O.K. for \$5502. 45 only Exclude intege JAS Leffer # 2295

### EGGERT LAW OFFICE, S.C.

#### Attorneys at Law

Laurie A. Eggert Jonathan Cermele

1840 North Farwell Avenue Suite 303 Milwaukee Wisconsin 53202 (414) 276-8750 FAX (414) 276-8906

May 17, 1999

Mr. Ronald D. Leonhardt Milwaukee City Clerk 800 City Hall 200 East Wells Street Milwaukee, WI 53202

> RE: Citizen Complaint of Ms. Marsherri French Against Police Officer Todd Bohlen Complaint No.: 96-68 Date of Incident: August 9, 1996

Dear Mr. Leonhardt:

The above-named police officers has retained us to represent him in connection with the above-referenced matter.

Consistent with its policy, the City Attorney's Office has refused to represent him, and as he was performing the duties of his office at the time of the events giving rise to the incident, the claim is hereby made on his behalf for the indicated legal fees. This incident involved an arrest for threats. The Fire and Police Commission dismissed the complaint. Attached is a copy of the dismissal notice.

The attached is an itemization of the time and services rendered.

Sincerely,

EGGERT LAW OFFICE

Laurie A. Eggert Attorney at Law

JC/PAE/1dl

BOHLEN

#### EGGERT LAW OFFICE, S.C.

## Attorneys at Law

Laurie A. Eggert Jonathan Cermele 1840 North Farwell Avenue Suite 303 Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202 (414)276-8750 FAX(414)276-8906

May 17, 1999

Mr. Ronald D. Leonhardt Milwaukee City Clerk 800 City Hall 200 East Wells Street Milwaukee, WI 53202

RE: Citizen Complaint of Ms. Marsherri French

Against Police Officer Todd Bohlen

FPC No.: 96-68

Date of Incident: August 9, 1996

Location of Incident: 1900 Block of West Vine

#### Professional services

	en e	Hours
7/11/97	Review of file; correspondence to client.	0.60
8/26/97	Review message from client; memo to file.	0.10
8/28/97	Review of correspondence from FPC.	0.10
8/29/97	Conference with client; review of file; memo to file.	0.40
9/16/97	Review of file; telephone call to and correspondence to Probation and Parole; telephone call to client; memo to file; prepare witness and exhibit list; telephone call from and to, and correspondence to, complainant.	1.60
9/17/97	Preparation for and appearance at pre-trial; memo to file; travel.	0.90
9/18/97	Research regarding SOP; review of file.	0.60

	andre de la Maria de la Ma Maria de la Maria de la Ma	Hours
9/19/97	Telephone call from investigator; memo to file.	0.10
9/23/97	Review correspondence from Probation and Parole; review first probation and parole revocation hearing tape; memo to file.	0.80
9/25/97	Review second probation and parole revocation hearing tape; memo to file.	0.70
10/2/97	10/2/97 Review of correspondence from complainant.	
10/8/97 Telephone call from MPD Open Records.		0.10
10/9/97	Telephone call to and from MPD Open Records; memo to file.	0.40
10/10/97	Review of file.	0.10
10/17/97	10/17/97 Review of correspondence from MPD Open Records; memo to file.	
11/12/97	Review of file.	0.20
	Research re: SOPs and juvenile procedure.	1.30
12/26/97	Conference with client.	0.20
2/19/98	Telephone call from Fronk; memo to file.	0.10
5/6/98	Conference with Fronk; telephone call to and from client; review of file; memo to file.	0.40
5/19/98	Telephone call from and to Fronk; memo to file.	0.10

3

		Hours
5/28/98	Telephone call to investigator.	0.20
5/29/98	Telephone call from investigator; review of file; telephone call to client; memo to file; correspondence to MPD Personnel; conference with client.	1.30
6/1/98	Telephone call from and to client; telephone call from investigator; memo to file; review of file.	0.60
6/2/98	Telephone call from investigator.	0.10
6/3/98	Telephone call from Fronk; review of file.	0.30
6/5/98	Review of correspondence from client; correspondence to MPD.	0.30
6/6/98	Review of file; memo to file.	2.00
6/9/98	Telephone call from witness; memo to file.	0.30
6/11/98	Telephone calls from witnesses; conference with FPC.	0.80
6/12/98	Telephone call from witness; memo to file.	0.30
6/15/98	Correspondence to Department of Justice; review of file.	0.70
6/20/98	Review of correspondence from FPC; memo to file; correspondence to client.	0.30
6/22/98	Telephone call from client.	0.10
7/1/98	Review of correspondence from Department of Justice.	0.60

		Hours
7/2/98	Telephone call from investigator.	0.10
7/10/98	Telephone call from Fronk.	0.10
7/16/98	Conference with investigator.	0.40
7/23/98	Conference with investigator; review material from investigator; amend witness and exhibit list; correspondence to Department of Justice.	1.80
7/24/98	Telephone call to investigator; review of file.	0.20
7/29/98	Telephone call from investigator.	0.10
7/31/98	Telephone call from investigator; telephone call from client.	0.30
8/3/98	Telephone call to investigator; memo to file.	0.20
8/4/98	Telephone call to FPC.	0.10
8/5/98	Telephone call to FPC; review of file.	0.30
8/6/98	Review of file; telephone call to and from Sgt. Camacho; telephone call to MPD Personnel; telephone call to investigator; intra-office conference; telephone call to client.	2.90
8/13/98	Telephone call from investigator; draft subpoenas; telephone call to Fronk; review file; conference with investigator; review tape recordings of parole revocation hearing.	4.20
8/19/98	Telephone call from Fronk.	0.70

5

			Hours
	8/20/98	Telephone call to Fronk.	0.40
	8/21/98	Prepare exhibits; several telephone calls to Fronk; conference with client.	2.60
	8/24/98	Telephone call from and meeting with client; meeting with Doyle; preparation for hearing.	5.20
	8/25/98	Review file; conference with Doyle; conference with client; preparation for and attendance at hearing; travel.	8.50
	9/9/98	Review of correspondence from FPC; correspondence to client.	0.20
	9/10/98	Meeting with client; correspondence to complainant.	0.50
	9/11/98	Telephone call from FPC; review FAX.	0.20
	9/18/98	Review of correspondence from FPC; correspondence to client.	0.50
	9/22/98	Telephone call from complainant; memo to file.	0.20
	9/23/98	Review message from complainant; telephone call to client.	0.10
	9/30/98	Telephone call from client.	0.20
	12/1/98	Telephone call from complainant; correspondence to client and complainant.	0.40
l		Telephone call from client; correspondence to complainant re: MPD approval.	0.30

		Hours	
2/18/99	Telephone call from complainant.	0.10	
3/25/99	Telephone call from complainant.	0.10	
4/17/99	Telephone call to client; close file.	0.30	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Amount
	For professional services rendered	48.20	\$5,302.00
·	Additional charges:		
9/17/97	Parking		1.00
10/17/97	Open Records request		2.55
6/18/98	Department of Justice request		13.00
8/25/98	Mileage		0.93
9/2/98	Investigator - serve subpoenas		40.00
10/13/98	<pre>Investigator - obtain circuit court recor appearance at 8/25/98 hearing</pre>	d;	143.90
4/17/99	Mileage		0.48
	Total costs		\$201.86
	Total amount of this bill		\$5,503.86
÷			
	Balance due		\$5,503.86

(Rate: \$110.00 per hour)

# **RECEIVED**

SEP 8 1998

# EGGERT LAW OFFICE, S.C.

BOARD OF FIRE AND POLICE COMMISSIONERS: CITY (	OF MILWAUKEE : COUNTY OF MILWAUKEE
In Re: THE CHARGES OF MARSHERRI FRENCH AGAINST POLICE OFFICER TODD BOHLEN	
	ORDER OF DISMISSAL
To Officer Todd Bohlen:	
It is hereby ordered that the Complaint of Ma	arsherri French, Complaint No. 96-68, filed with the
Board on October 10, 1996, is dismissed because the	Complainant has requested that the Complaint be
dismissed, by the	
	MILWAUKEE BOARD OF FIRE AND POLICE COMMISSIONERS
	AUGUST G. ERDMANN, CHAIRMAN
	BY:
	Joseph J. Senfi
	JOSEPH J. CZARNEZKI EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

DATED AT MILWAUKEE, WISCONSIN, SEPTEMBER 4, 1998.

: Attorney Laurie Eggert /

#### MILWAUKEE POLICE DEPARTMENT

**MEMORANDUM** 

jULY 22, 1999

TO: PO TODD BOHLEN

DISTRICT TWO

RE: Receipt of Legal Services from Law Firm of

Attorney

Attorney LAURIE EGGERT has made a claim with the City, indicating the attached was provided with legal services arising out of one of the following situations:

1) An incident occurring on AUGUST 9, 1996

2) A citizen's complaint made by

3) A police shooting incident occurring on

Is this information correct?

YES\_\_\_\_\_NO\_\_\_\_

Did you receive legal representation in this matter?

YES NO

Your signature:

TODD BOHCEN

Print your name:\_

Upon completion, please return this memorandum to the Internal Affairs Division at the Police Academy (Room 325) as soon as possible.

DALE T. SCHUNK 44

Deputy Inspector of Police Internal Affairs Division

DTS:dnp