#### CITY OF MILWAUKEE

GRANT F. LANGLEY City Attorney

RUDOLPH M. KONRAD Deputy City Attorney

PATRICK B. McDONNELL LINDA ULISS BURKE Special Deputy City Attorneys



#### OFFICE OF CITY ATTORNEY

800 CITY HALL 200 EAST WELLS STREET MILWAUKEE, WISCONSIN 53202-3551 TELEPHONE (414) 286-2601 TDD 286-2025 FAX (414) 286-8550

To the Honorable Common Council of the City of Milwaukee Room 205 - City Hall

Re:

Communication from Attorney Kenneth J. Murray

for legal fees for Police Officer Kurt Drezek

Dear Council Members:

March 26, 2003

Returned herewith is a document filed by Attorney Kenneth J. Murray for attorney's fees for representing Police Officer Kurt Drezek. The claim is in the amount of \$1,469.25 including \$2.25 in disbursements for 16.30 hours of service billed at the rate of \$90.00 per hour. We ask that this matter be introduced and referred to the Committee on Judiciary & Legislation.

We have reviewed this claim and advise that in our opinion, the time spent was reasonable. Legal representation was occasioned by the filing of a citizen's complaint against the officers with the Fire and Police Commission. The complaint was dismissed by the Commission.

As we have advised you under similar circumstances in the past, the Common Council has discretion to reject this claim or to pay it in whole or in part. Sec. 895.35, Stats., <u>Bablitch and Bablitch v. Lincoln County</u>, 82 Wis. 2d 574 (1978).

Very truly yours,

GRANT F. ANGLEY

City Attorney

JAN A. SMOKOWICZ Assistant City Attorney

anhow &

JAS:enm Enc.

2295

BEVERLY A. TEMPLE
THOMAS O. GARTNER
BRUCE D. SCHRIMPF
ROXANE L. CRAWFORD
SUSAN D. BICKERT
HAZEL MOSLEY
HARRY A. STEIN
STUART S. MUKAMAL
THOMAS J. BEAMISH
MAURITA F. HOUREN
JOHN J. HEINEN
MICHAEL G. TOBIN
DAVID J. STANOSZ
SUSAN E. LAPPEN
DAVID R. HALBROOKS
JAN A. SMOKOWICZ
PATRICIA A. FRICKER
HEIDI WICK SPOERL
KURT A. BEHLING
GREGG C. HAGOPIAN
ELLEN H. TANGEN
MELANIE R. SWANK
JAY A. UNORA
DONALD L. SCHRIEFER
EDWARD M. EHRLICH
LEONARD A. TOKUS
MIRIAM R. HORWITZ
MARYNELL REGAN

**Assistant City Attorneys** 

DAWN M. BOLAND

### ADELMAN, ADELMAN & MURRAY. S.C. ATTORNEYS AT LAW

LYNN ADELMAN\*
ELIZABETH ADELMAN
KENNETH J. MURRAY\*\*
LAURIE A. EGGERT
JEFFREY S. HYNES
KATHLEEN STILLING
PAMELA S. MOORSHEAD
GRACE M. MASSON\*\*\*
PAUL A. OBERER\*\*\*\*

1840 NORTH FARWELL SUITE 403 MILWAUKEE, WI 53202 (414) 225-2929

"ALSO LICENSED TO PRACTICE IN NEW YORK
"ALSO LICENSED TO PRACTICE IN ILLINOIS
"ALSO LICENSED TO PRACTICE IN MARYLAND
"ALSO LICENSED TO PRACTICE IN OHIO

June 10, 1996

Mr. Ronald D. Leonhardt Milwaukee City Clerk 205 City Hall 200 East Wells Street Milwaukee, WI 53202

RE: Citizen Complaint of Ms. Rosalind Easter

Against Police Officer Kurt Drezek

Complaint No.: 94-24

Date of Incident: May 22, 1994

Dear Mr. Leonhardt:

The above-named police officer has retained us to represent him in connection with the above-referenced matter.

Consistent with its policy, the City Attorney's Office has refused to represent him and as he was performing the duties of his office at the time of the events giving rise to the incident, the claim is hereby made on his behalf for the indicated legal fees. This incident involved an automobile theft investigation on May 22, 1994 at approximately 8:15 p.m. The Fire and Police Commission dismissed the complaint. Attached is a copy of the dismissal notice.

The following is an itemization of the time and services rendered.

DATE	NATURE OF WORK PERFORMED	HOURS
09-15-94	Conference with client; memo to file; open file; correspondence to FPC	1.2
09-19-94 09-20-94	Telephone call to FPC Telephone call from FPC; correspondence to client	0.1

Drezek Statement June 10, 1996 Page Two

09-22-94	Telephone call from client; telephone call to	
09-27-94		0.3
11-09-94	Review of correspondence from FPC	0.1
	TECHALIUM FOR and appearance of	; 2.2
12-14-94		, 2.2
14 74	Prione Call I (Om PD(') rotta or, or c'a	0 =
12-19-94	SOLIESPONDENCE TO CLIONE	0.7
01-09-95	Review of correspondence from EDG	
01-09-95	NOVIEW FAX ITOM and telephone call for	0.1
A	oppositio counsel	0.8
01-11-95	Review of correspondence from Attu Dura	
0.0		0.2
01-12-95	Telephone call to Brown ro. madd.	
	duction 12d Library with and an incident	0.8
	Brown re: adjournment; review of file	i
01-18-95	Telephone call from client; modify witness and exhibit list: telephone	
	exhibit list; telephone call to client  Review documents 6	0.6
01-22-95	Review documents from client	
01-23-95	Review of correspondence from FPC	0.3
03-01-95	Telephone call to FPC; memo to file	0.1
03-03-95	Telephone call from EDG: memo to file	0.2
03-09-95	Telephone call from FPC; memo to file Review of correspondent	0.2
	Review of correspondence from FPC;	0.9
	correspondence to client; review of file; memo	
04-04-95	Corchione Call to opposing con-	
04-11-95	object call to blown	0.1
04-13-95	Telephone call to Brown	0.1
05-01-95	Telephone call to Brown	0.1
05-10-95	Review of correspondence from opposing counsel	0.2
05-23-95	= = = 0 011 C Cd 1 1 Cd F D(	0.1
07-13-95	Review of correspondence from opposing counsel	0.1
08-03-95		0.1
00 03-93	Review of file; telephone call from FPC;	
09-06-95		0.3
09-14-95	Telephone call to opposing council	
09-14-95	rerephone call from client, review of six	0.1
10		0.4
12-11-95	Review of file: telephone call to	
	- and the cerebiions call to client.	0.6
	to file to crient; memo	
12-19-95		
12-21-95	Telephone call to FPC; correspondence to client	0.4
	Correspondence to client; telephone call to and from FPC	0.4
01-03-96		
	Review of correspondence from FPC; review of correspondence from client	0.2
01-09-96		
	Telephone call to opposing counsel; telephone	1.3
	THE COLLEGE CENTIONS COLL TO STREET	
	file; correspondence to opposing counsel	
	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Drezek Statement June 10, 1996 Page Two

01-16-96 02-05-96	Telephone call from and to Fronk; memo to file Telephone call from and to client; telephone call to FPC; correspondence to opposing counsely	0 -
02-06-96	call to Fronk; telephone call to client; memo to file	0.7
02-07-96	Telephone call from client; telephone call to opposing counsel; telephone call to and from FPC; telephone call to client; memo to file	0.8
02-21-96	Review of correspondence from FPC; correspondence to client; close file	0.5
	TOTAL HOURS	16.3

#### DISBURSEMENTS:

11-09-94 Parking

\$ 2.25

16.3 hours at \$90.00 per hour = \$ 1,467.00 Disbursements = 2.25 TOTAL DUE = \$ 1,469.25

Sincerely,

ADELMAN, ADELMAN & MURRAY, S.C.

Kenneth J. Murray Attorney at Law

LAE/ldq DREZEK

BOARD OF	
FIRE AND POLICE COMMISSIONERS: CITY OF MIL	
In Re: THE CHARGES OF ROSALIND EASTER AGAINST POLICE OFFICER KURT DREZEK	
	ORDER OF DISMISSAL

To Officer Kurt Drezek:

It is hereby ordered that the Complaint of Rosalind Easter, Complaint No. 94-24, filed with the Board on July 26, 1994, is dismissed because the Complainant has requested that the Complaint be dismissed, by the

MILWAUKEE BOARD OF FIRE AND POLICE COMMISSIONERS

LEONARD W. ZIOLKOWSKI, CHAIRMAN

Kent Munion

BY:

KENNETH MUNSON EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

DATED AT MILWAUKEE, WISCONSIN, FEBRUARY 19, 1996.

cc: Attorney Laurie Eggert

#### ADELMAN, ADELMAN & MURRAY, S.C. ATTORNEYS AT LAW

LYNN ADELMAN. ELIZABETH ADELMAN KENNETH J. MURRAY.. LAURIE A. EGGERT JEFFREY S. HYNES KATHLEEN STILLING PAMELA S. MOORSHEAD GRACE M. MASSON · · · PAUL A. OBERER ....

1840 NORTH FARWELL SUITE 403 MILWAUKEE, WI 53202 (414) 225-2929

- 'ALSO LICENSED TO PRACTICE IN NEW YORK
- "ALSO LICENSED TO PRACTICE IN ILLINOIS
- ... ALSO LICENSED TO PRACTICE IN MARYLAND
- ....ALSO LICENSED TO PRACTICE IN OHIO

June 10, 1996

Mr. Ronald D. Leonhardt Milwaukee City Clerk 205 City Hall 200 East Wells Street Milwaukee, WI 53202

Citizen Complaint of Ms. Rosalind Easter Against Police Officer Kurt Drezek

Complaint No.: 94-24

Date of Incident: May 22, 1994

3/25/03 0. F. JAS Letter 2295

#### Dear Mr. Leonhardt:

The above-named police officer has retained us to represent him in connection with the above-referenced matter.

Consistent with its policy, the City Attorney's Office has refused to represent him and as he was performing the duties of his office at the time of the events giving rise to the incident, the claim is hereby made on his behalf for the indicated legal fees. This incident involved an automobile theft investigation on May 22, 1994 at approximately 8:15 p.m. The Fire and Police Commission dismissed the complaint. Attached is a copy of the dismissal notice.

The following is an itemization of the time and services rendered.

DATE	NATURE OF WORK PERFORMED	HOURS
09-15-94	Conference with client; memo to file; open file; correspondence to FPC	1.2
09-19-94 09-20-94	Telephone call to FPC Telephone call from FPC; correspondence to client	0.1

Drezek Statement June 10, 1996 Page Two

09-22-94	Telephone call from all	
	Telephone call from client; telephone call to FPC; memo to file	0.3
09-27-94	/c	
11-09-94	Review of correspondence from FPC Preparation for and annual responses to the second s	0.1
	Preparation for and appearance at conciliation memo to file: travel: talank	; 2.2
12-14-94		•
	Prioric Call IIOM PP(' review of file	0.7
12-19-94	9911 CBDOMUEINED IN CIION#	
01-09-95	Review of correspondence from FPC	0.1
	Review FAX from and telephone call from opposing counsel	0.8
01-11-95	oppositio counsel	
	Review of correspondence from Atty. Brown;	0.2
01-12-95	occoming the carrier to brown	
	Telephone call to Brown re: medical	0.8
	ducifor 12dc Ion: prepare without and,	
	TIPE COLLESDONGENCE TO MDC. Tolown-	
01-18-95		
	TOTEPHONE Call from Client, modification	0.6
01-22-95		
01-23-95	Neview documents from client	0.3
03-01-95	Review of correspondence from FPC	0.1
03-03-95	Telephone call to FPC; memo to file	0.2
03-09-95	rerephone call from FPC. memo to file	0.2
	Neview of Correspondence from FDC.	0.9
	correspondence to client; review of file; memo	
04-04-95	to tite, telephone call to opposing course	
04-11-95	rerephone call to brown	0.1
04-13-95	Telephone call to Brown	0.1
05-01-95	Telephone call to Brown	0.1
05-10-95	Review of correspondence from opposing counsel	0.2
05-23-95		0.1
07-13-95	Review of correspondence from opposing counsel	0.1
08-03-95	rerebitotic carr (U th).	0.1
00 00 00	Review of file; telephone call from FPC;	0.3
09-06-95	correspondence to cijent	0.5
09-14-95	Telephone call to opposing counsel	0.1
07 14-95	rerephone call from client: review of file.	0.4
12-11-95	rerephone call to #4	0.4
12 11-95	Review of file; telephone call to opposing	0.6
	obdirect, reteblione call to client, mama	0.0
12-19-95	co ille	
	Telephone call to FPC; correspondence to client	0 4
12-21-95		0.4
01 02 06		0.4
01-03-96	Review of correspondence from FPC; review of	0.2
01 00 00	OUT COPULATION TIANT	0.2
01-09-96	Telephone call to opposing coupacity to	, <u>,</u>
	The state of the relevantation of the state	1.3
	file; correspondence to opposing counsel	
	-Freezing counser	

# The Loyalty Building 611 North Broadway, Suite 200 Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202-5004 Telephone: (414) 271-5655 Facsimile: (414) 271-6339

## Kenneth J. Murray

ATTORNEY AT LAW

March 18, 2003

Jan Smokowitz A.C.A. 800 City Hall 200 E. Wells St. Milwaukee, WI 53202

RE:

Fee Claims

Dear Jan:

In our most recent telephone conversation you indicated that did not have the last page for 2 of the claims I had re-submitted with my letter of March 3, 2003. They were:

- (A) Ctz. Comp of Kurcyyk v. P.O. Lelinski; and
- (B) Ctz. Comp of Easter v. P.O. Drezek

Enclosed are copies of the claims as originally submitted, including all pages.

I hope this meets your needs.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Kenneth J. Murray

KJM/cf Enclosures