

U.S. SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION DIVISION OF ENFORCEMENT

MUNICIPALITIES CONTINUING DISCLOSURE COOPERATION INITIATIVE QUESTIONNAIRE FOR SELF-REPORTING ENTITIES

NOTE: The information being requested in this Questionnaire is subject to the Commission's routine uses. A list of those uses is contained in <u>SEC Form 1662</u>, which also contains other important information.

1. Please provide the official name of the entity that is self-reporting ("Self-Reporting Entity") pursuant to the MCDC Initiative along with contact information for the Self-Reporting Entity:

Individual Contact Name:

Individual Contact Title:

Individual Contact telephone:

Individual Contact Fax number:

Individual Contact email address:

Full Legal Name of Self-Reporting Entity:

Mailing Address (number and street):

Mailing Address (city):

Mailing Address (state):

Mailing Address (zip):

2. Please identify the municipal bond offering(s) (including name of Issuer and/or Obligor, date of offering and CUSIP number) with Official Statements that may contain a materially inaccurate certification on compliance regarding prior continuing disclosure obligations (for each additional offering, attach an additional sheet or separate schedule):

State:

Full Name of Issuing Entity:

Full Legal Name of Obligor (if any):

Full Name of Security Issue:

Initial Principal Amount of Bond Issuance:

Date of Offering:

Date of final Official Statement (format MMDDYYYY):

Nine Character CUSIP number of last maturity:

3.	Please describe the role of the Self-Reporting Entity in connection with the municipal bond offerings identified in Item 2 above (select Issuer, Obligor or Underwriter):						
	☐ Issuer☐ Obligor☐ Underwriter						
4.	Please identify the lead underwriter, municipal advisor, bond counsel, underwriter's counsel and disclosure counsel, if any, and the primary contact person at each entity, for each offering identified in Item 2 above (attach additional sheets if necessary):						
	Senior Managing Underwriting Firm: Primary Individual Contact at Underwriter:						
	Financial Advisor: Primary Individual Contact at Financial Advisor:						
	Bond Counsel Firm: Primary Individual Contact at Bond Counsel:						
	Law Firm Serving as Underwriter's Counsel: Primary Individual Contact at Underwriter's Counsel:						
	Law Firm Serving as Disclosure Counsel: Primary Individual Contact at Disclosure Counsel:						
5.	Please include any facts that the Self-Reporting Entity would like to provide to assist the staff of the Division of Enforcement in understanding the circumstances that may have led to the potentially inaccurate statements (attach additional sheets if necessary):						

On be	enair or
	by certify that the Self-Reporting Entity intends to consent to the applicable ment terms under the MCDC Initiative.
By:	
	Name of Duly Authorized Signer:
	Title:

City of Milwaukee, Wisconsin MCDC Self-Report Schedule of Municipal Bond Offerings

		Initial		Date of	Date of Final	
Number City Role	Full Name of Security Issue	<u>Principal</u>	Firm's Share	<u>Offering</u>	Official Statement CUSIP	Senior Managing Underwriting Firm
1 Issuer	GO Series 2014 N2 & B3	105,145,000	105,145,000	5/1/2014	5/1/2014 602366 SU1	JP Morgan Securites LLC
2 Issuer	GO Series 2014 R1	110,000,000	110,000,000	5/1/2014	5/1/2014 602366 SD9	Bank of America Merrill Lynch
3 Issuer	School RANs Series 2013 M7	130,000,000	130,000,000	10/16/2013	10/16/2013 602424 AU7	Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC
4 Issuer	GO Series 2013 T6	61,900,000	61,900,000	7/9/2013	7/9/2013 602366 SC1	Bank of America Merrill Lynch
5 Issuer	Sewer 2013 S5	37,000,000	37,000,000	6/11/2013	6/11/2013 60242M DK6	William Blair & Company, LLC
6 Issuer	GO Series 2013 N2 & B3	91,605,000	91,605,000	4/23/2013	4/23/2013 602366 SB3	Wells Fargo Bank, NA
7 Issuer	GO Series 2013 R1	100,000,000	100,000,000	4/23/2013	4/23/2013 602366 RJ7	Bank of America Merrill Lynch
8 Issuer	School RANs Series 2012 M11	120,000,000	120,000,000	10/9/2012	10/9/2012 602424 AT0	Bank of America Merrill Lynch
9 Issuer	GO Series 2012 V10	15,000,000	15,000,000	8/28/2012	8/21/2012 602366 RG3	Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC
10 Issuer	GO Series 2012 F9	15,000,000	15,000,000	8/23/2012	8/23/2012 602366 RH1	Bank of America Merrill Lynch
11 Issuer	EMCP 2012 Program Series C6, R7 & T8	200,000,000	200,000,000	5/22/2012	5/9/2012 60240S BM3	Bank of America Merrill Lynch
12 Issuer	EMCP 2012 Program Series C6, R7 & T8	200,000,000	200,000,000	5/22/2012	5/9/2012 60240S T51	Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC
13 Issuer	EMCP 2012 Program Series C6, R7 & T8	200,000,000	200,000,000	5/22/2012	5/9/2012 60240U XD4	Loop Capital Markets
14 Issuer	GO Series 2012 T4 & T5	9,625,000	9,625,000	4/18/2012	4/18/2012 602366 PS9	BMO Capital Markets
15 Issuer	GO Series 2012 N2 & B3	121,885,000	121,885,000	4/18/2012	4/18/2012 602366QT6	JP Morgan Securites LLC
16 Issuer	GO Series 2012 R1	100,000,000	60,000,000	4/18/2012	4/18/2012 602366 RE8	Bank of America Merrill Lynch
17 Issuer	GO Series 2012 R1	100,000,000	40,000,000	4/18/2012	4/18/2012 602366 RF5	JP Morgan Securites LLC
18 Issuer	School RANs Series 2011 M6	145,000,000	145,000,000	10/19/2011	10/19/2011 602424 AS2	Wells Fargo Bank, NA
19 Issuer	GO Series 2011 T5	33,930,000	33,930,000	5/25/2011	5/25/2011 602366 NZ5	Robert W. Baird & Co.
20 Issuer	GO Series 2011 N3 & B4	122,515,000	122,515,000	5/25/2011	5/25/2011 602366 PG5	Wells Fargo Bank, NA
21 Issuer	GO Series 2011 R2	100,000,000	100,000,000	5/25/2011	5/25/2011 602366 NG7	Merrill Lynch Pierce, Fenner & Smith, Inc
22 Issuer	Sewer 2011 S1	52,565,000	52,565,000	5/25/2011	5/25/2011 60242M CP6	Cabrera Capital Markets, LLC
23 Obligor	RACM Summerfest, Series 2010	25,000,000	25,000,000	12/16/2010	12/16/2010 60242E KC4	Robert W. Baird & Co.
24 Issuer	School RANs Series 2010 M8	225,000,000	10,000,000	1/0/1900	10/5/2010 602424 AN3	PNC Capital Markets
25 Issuer	School RANs Series 2010 M8	225,000,000	20,000,000	10/5/2010	10/5/2010 602424 AM5	Barclays Capital Inc
26 Issuer	School RANs Series 2010 M8	225,000,000	85,000,000	10/5/2010	10/5/2010 602424 AQ6	Piper Jaffray & Company
27 Issuer	School RANs Series 2010 M8	225,000,000	65,000,000	10/5/2010	10/5/2010 602424 AR4	Wells Fargo Bank, NA
28 Issuer	School RANs Series 2010 M8	225,000,000	45,000,000	10/5/2010	10/5/2010 602424 AR4	Citigroup Global Markets
29 Issuer	GO Series 2010 M6 QSCB	37,300,000	37,300,000	5/26/2010	5/26/2010 602366 MV5	Samuel A. Ramirez & Co.
30 Issuer	GO Series 2010 B5	49,420,000	49,420,000	3/25/2010	3/25/2010 602366 MU7	PNC Capital Markets
31 Issuer	GO Series 2010 R4	147,000,000	147,000,000	3/25/2010	3/25/2010 602366 MH6	JP Morgan Securites LLC
32 Issuer	GO Series 2010 T2 & T3	16,240,000	16,240,000	2/2/2010	2/2/2010 602366 LW4	Robert W. Baird & Co.
33 Issuer	GO Series 2010 N1	129,295,000	129,295,000	2/2/2010	2/2/2010 602366 MG8	Barclays Capital Inc
34 Issuer	GO Series 2009 M6 QSCB	12,000,000	12,000,000	12/15/2009	12/15/2009 602366 HL3	George K. Baum & Company

City of Milwaukee, Wisconsin MCDC Self-Report Schedule of Municipal Bond Offerings

	Financial					
Number Senior Manager Contact		FA Contact	Lead Bond Counsel	BC Contact	<u>Underwriter's Counsel</u>	UC Contact
1 Jim Kelly	PFM		Katten Muchin Rosenman	Lewis Greenbaum		
2 Phillip Rooney	PFM		Katten Muchin Rosenman	Lewis Greenbaum		
3 Bill Mack	PFM		Katten Muchin Rosenman	Lewis Greenbaum		
4 Phillip Rooney	PFM		Katten Muchin Rosenman	Lewis Greenbaum		
5 Lauren Mayor	PFM		Katten Muchin Rosenman	Lewis Greenbaum		
6 Paul Rebholz	PFM		Katten Muchin Rosenman	Lewis Greenbaum		
7 Phillip Rooney	PFM	David Anderson	Katten Muchin Rosenman	Lewis Greenbaum		
8 Phillip Rooney	RW Baird	Brian Viegut	Katten Muchin Rosenman	Lewis Greenbaum		
9 Bill Mack	RW Baird	Brian Viegut	Katten Muchin Rosenman		Gonzalez Saggio & Harlan LLP	Jennifer Pflug-Murphy
10 Phillip Rooney	RW Baird	Brian Viegut	Katten Muchin Rosenman		55	Jennifer Pflug-Murphy
11 Phillip Rooney	RW Baird	Brian Viegut	Katten Muchin Rosenman		33	Jennifer Pflug-Murphy
12 Bill Mack	RW Baird	Brian Viegut	Katten Muchin Rosenman	Lewis Greenbaum	Gonzalez Saggio & Harlan LLP	Jennifer Pflug-Murphy
13 Clarence Bourne	RW Baird	Brian Viegut	Katten Muchin Rosenman	Lewis Greenbaum	Gonzalez Saggio & Harlan LLP	Jennifer Pflug-Murphy
14 Neil Pritz	RW Baird	Brian Viegut	Katten Muchin Rosenman	Lewis Greenbaum		
15 Jim Kelly	RW Baird	Brian Viegut	Katten Muchin Rosenman	Lewis Greenbaum		
16 Phillip Rooney	RW Baird	Brian Viegut	Katten Muchin Rosenman	Lewis Greenbaum		
17 Jim Kelly	RW Baird	Brian Viegut	Katten Muchin Rosenman	Lewis Greenbaum		
18 Paul Rebholz	RW Baird	Brian Viegut	Katten Muchin Rosenman	Lewis Greenbaum		
19 Brian Viegut	RW Baird	Brian Viegut	Katten Muchin Rosenman	Lewis Greenbaum		
20 Paul Rebholz	RW Baird	Brian Viegut	Katten Muchin Rosenman	Lewis Greenbaum		
21 Phillip Rooney	RW Baird	Brian Viegut	Katten Muchin Rosenman	Lewis Greenbaum		
22 Edward Kurth	RW Baird	Brian Viegut	Katten Muchin Rosenman	Lewis Greenbaum	Charity & Associates, P.C.	Alan Bell
23 Brian Viegut			Reinhart, Boerner, Van Deuren, S.C	Bill Flynn	Davis & Kuelthau, S.C.	Bradley Page
24 Andrew Tino	RW Baird	Brian Viegut	Katten Muchin Rosenman	Lewis Greenbaum		
25 Carole Brown	RW Baird	Brian Viegut	Katten Muchin Rosenman	Lewis Greenbaum		
26 Joanna Brody	RW Baird	Brian Viegut	Katten Muchin Rosenman	Lewis Greenbaum		
27 Paul Rebholz	RW Baird	Brian Viegut	Katten Muchin Rosenman	Lewis Greenbaum		
28 Avanti Paranjpye	RW Baird	Brian Viegut	Katten Muchin Rosenman	Lewis Greenbaum		
29 mary meyers	RW Baird	Brian Viegut	Katten Muchin Rosenman	Lewis Greenbaum		
30 Andrew Tino	RW Baird	Brian Viegut	Katten Muchin Rosenman	Lewis Greenbaum		
31 Jim Kelly	RW Baird	Brian Viegut	Katten Muchin Rosenman	Lewis Greenbaum		
32 Brian Viegut	RW Baird	Brian Viegut	Katten Muchin Rosenman	Lewis Greenbaum		
33 Carole Brown	RW Baird	Brian Viegut	Katten Muchin Rosenman	Lewis Greenbaum		
34 Joseph Crowe	RW Baird	Brian Viegut	Katten Muchin Rosenman	Lewis Greenbaum		

City of Milwaukee, Wisconsin MCDC Self-Report

5. Please include any facts that the Self-Reporting Entity would like to provide to assist the staff of the Division of Enforcement in understanding the circumstances that may have led to the potentially inaccurate statements (attach additional sheets if necessary):

Pre-2004 Continuing Disclosure Agreements (CDA) included a 6-month Annual Financial Information (AFI) requirement. Due to the size and complexity of the City's operations combined with limited resources, the City has not been able to meet the 6-month requirement and has deemed it more confusing to the market to post unaudited financial information. Post-2003 CDAs have a revised 9-month AFI requirement. Since 2004, the latest a CAFR was filed in the last ten years was on 1/7/2009 for the year ending 12/31/2007. The City has annually filed Official Statements with some updated information and notices that the requirement date for AFI would not be met, except in 2010 when the CAFR was filed on 8/5/10. Since 2010, the City has met the 9-month requirement, and the last issue with a 6-month requirement will be fully retired on 6/15/2019.

Due to statutory budgetary restrictions, the City's actual results (CAFR) are typically not materially different from the Budget, a summary of which is published in each year's official statement of the issuer which is publicly available on EMMA. As such, and since the City files notices of failure to file, the City does not consider the late filing as a failure to comply in a material respect with the CDAs that is required to be disclosed in an Official Statement.

The City expects to comply with the Post-2003 CDA 9-month requirement, and to continue to file notices through 6/30/2018 of failure to file AFI by the 6-month requirement of Pre-2004 CDAs.

Pre-2004 CDAs for sewer debt included AFI requirements for sewer system information, the majority of which is included in the City's CAFR, which includes separate sewer system financial statements. Some of the required AFI information does not change materially from year to year, is time consuming to obtain, such as asset valuation by major component, and is not updated annually as part of the City's financial practices. Post-2003 CDA AFI requirements have been limited to information available in the City's CAFR. All sewer debt issues with a pre-2004 CDA were fully retired in 2013.

Since the omitted AFI information does not materially change from year to year and investors in more recent bonds do not require such additional information to be reported on a continuing basis, the City does not consider the omission of certain sewer system information to be a failure to comply in a material respect with the pre-2004 CDAs that is required to be disclosed in an Official Statement.

The City expects to comply with CDAs currently in effect which have an AFI requirement to file the CAFR within 9-months of the end of each fiscal year.

The City has endeavored to report rating changes due to bond insurer downgrades. However, since the Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organizations (NRSRO) and bond insurers do not notify the City of rating changes, no assurance can be provided that all rating changes were reported. The City's rating is equal to, or higher than, all the applicable bond insurers, so future rating changes of the bond insurers are not likely to result in a rating change on any City debt.

The City is not aware of any failure to report a rating change on City debt. As such, the City is not aware of any failure to comply with the Material Event – Rating Change notice requirement of its CDAs.

In order to comply in the future with the Material Event – Rating Change requirement, the City proposes that the SEC requires NRSRO's to file all rating change notices on publically rated debt with the EMMA.

The City believes that the statements in its Official Statements since September 10, 2009 of "The City has never failed to comply in all material respects with any previous undertakings under the Rule to provide annual reports or notices of material events" and "The City has complied in all material respects with all continuing disclosure undertakings entered into since August of 2003" are not inaccurate statements. Since criteria for materiality in this context is to be based on facts and circumstance and since no objective criteria have been established for this determination, although the City does not believe there have been any violations of securities law, the City has decided to inform the SEC of the above circumstances.