221 N. LaSalle St., Suite 820 • Chicago, Illinois 60601-1302 • 312/424-4250 • Fax: 312/424-4262 • www.FriedmanCo.com

Date: January 29, 2007

Subject: North Water Street Economic Feasibility Study

From: S. B. Friedman & Company

To: James Scherer, City of Milwaukee Department of City Development

Allison Rozek, City of Milwaukee Department of City Development

S. B. Friedman & Company ("SBFCo") has been engaged by the City of Milwaukee Department of City Development ("DCD") to evaluate the necessity and feasibility of providing tax increment financing ("TIF") assistance to Compass Properties ("the Developer") in conjunction with the redevelopment of 731 & 735 North Water Street. This memorandum outlines SBFCo's methodology and findings related to the likely levels of incremental property taxes to be generated, as well as the potential amortization of debt associated with City-funded improvements. A separate memo addresses the need for TIF assistance.

Project Overview

Compass Properties has proposed the redevelopment of 731 North Water Street and 735 North Water Street. Currently, the property consists of a 15-story historic office building, containing approximately 300,000 square feet of commercial space, at 735 North Water Street, and a six-story commercial building at 731 North Water Street. Approximately 40 percent of 735 North Water Street is currently unoccupied, while 731 North Water Street is entirely vacant.

The proposed improvements to 735 North Water Street include installation of fire suppression systems, a connection to the Milwaukee Skywalk system, façade renovations, and the creation of a new restaurant space. The redevelopment program for 731 North Water Street includes asbestos removal and abatement, conversion of the building to an elevator-driven parking garage, construction of seven luxury condominiums above the existing building, and the creation of four boat slips along the Milwaukee River. The total cost of these improvements is approximately \$18.4 million.

In addition, Compass Properties has proposed to repair the cornice at 735 North Water Street. This cornice is a significant architectural feature of the building, which was formerly the headquarters of the First National Bank of Wisconsin, but has become unstable and poses a safety hazard. The Developer has also proposed to perform repairs and add ADA accessibility to the riverwalk that is adjacent to the two properties. Based on a review of construction costs provided by the Concord Group (included as an attachment to this memo), a subcontractor to *SBFCo*, the total cost of these improvements is about \$3.8 million before contingencies. The City is contemplating providing TIF assistance to the project equivalent to 100% of the projected riverwalk cost plus the incremental cost of repairing the cornice versus removing it. The total proposed City funding amount is summarized in Table 1 on the following page.

| Total | \$ 3,257,097 |
|---|----------------|
| Allowance for City administrative costs [2] | \$ 125,000 |
| Allowance for City inspections [2] | \$ 61,414 |
| Contingency (15%) [2] | \$ 400,524 |
| Estimated riverwalk cost [1] | \$ 1,351,297 |
| Net cornice-related assistance to be provided | \$ 1,318,861 |
| Less estimated cornice removal cost [1] | (\$ 1,095,213) |
| Estimated cornice repair cost [1] | \$ 2,414,075 |

Note: numbers may not add due to rounding

[1] Source: Concord Group [2] Source: City of Milwaukee

In return for City assistance, the Developer intends to grant a façade easement to the City and will provide a public access easement along the currently private Riverwalk.

Projected Incremental Property Taxes

In order to assess the feasibility of financing the proposed improvements through tax increment district (TID) revenues, *SBFCo* has prepared projections of the incremental property tax revenues generated by the redevelopment project. *SBFCo* has assumed that a mixed-use TID will be created in 2007 encompassing the two properties. Other key assumptions are outlined below.

- **Inflation Rate:** Assessed values for both the residential and commercial portions of the project are assumed to increase at an annual rate of 2.5 percent. This is consistent with a survey of the change in assessed values between 2005 and 2006 for comparable office properties in Milwaukee.
- **TID Base Value:** Based on Milwaukee City Assessor's Office data, the 2006 assessed values of 731 & 735 North Water Street are \$1 million and \$11 million, respectively. Therefore, it is assumed the total assessed value of the two buildings in 2007 will be \$12.3 million due to inflation. Because the enclosed projections of TID amortization potential rely solely on incremental real property value, personal property is also excluded from this base value calculation.
- Phasing of Improvements: It is assumed that construction will take place entirely within 2007. However, the additional value generated by the commercial portion of the project is phased over four years, to allow for lease-up of vacant office space at a rate of 30,000 square feet of office space per year. This is less aggressive than the lease-up assumptions made by the Developer in its application for TIF assistance, which calls for lease-up of all vacant space within two years. In addition, it is assumed that five of the seven residential condominiums in the project will be sold in 2008, and that the remaining two will be sold in 2009. This is consistent with assumptions made by Compass Properties in its application for TIF assistance.

• Assessed Values: In order to estimate the assessed value of 735 North Water Street after redevelopment, *SBFCo* conducted research into the assessed value of comparable Class B office buildings in Downtown Milwaukee. On average, buildings surveyed were assessed at a value of \$70 per square foot. Based on this research, the assessed value of 735 North Water Street is estimated to be \$20.7 million after improvements and lease-up. No personal property valuation assumptions were included in this calculation.

Because the parking garage contemplated in the redevelopment proposal for 731 North Water Street will be expensive to operate and is projected to generate little net operating income, the assessed value of the existing building is estimated to remain unchanged after redevelopment. The residential condominiums to be constructed above the existing building at 731 North Water Street are assumed to be assessed at full market value. The Developer estimates that the average sale price of these units will be \$425 per square foot. However, in order to develop a conservative estimate of the potential valuation of these units, *SBFCo* reviewed data provided by the Milwaukee Assessor's Office regarding the sale prices of new condominiums in Milwaukee's Fourth Aldermanic District. The average sale price per square foot for such units was approximately \$325 in 2006. This figure has been used to estimate the assessed value of new residential units in the redevelopment project.

• Tax Rate: Based on historic data, the property tax rate for the City of Milwaukee is assumed to continue declining from the 2005 assessment year rate of 2.45 percent. It is assumed to stabilize at 2.00 percent in 2013.

Based on these assumptions, the total undiscounted proceeds of the TID are estimated to be \$15.2 million over 27-year maximum statutory life of a rehabilitation TID. The net present value of this revenue, discounted at five percent, is approximately \$6.7 million. This projection of TID revenues is presented in Table 2 at the end of this memo.

Debt Amortization

SBFCo evaluated the ability of a TID established at 731 & 735 North Water Street to amortize debt associated with the proposed improvements. At the request of the Department of City Development, *SBFCo* created scenarios for two potential financing structures:

- A developer-financed TID; and
- A developer-financed TID where the City's TID-backed financial obligation to the developer is "taken out" after 5 years through the use of City general obligation bonding.

These scenarios reflected the following key assumptions:

- Up-front monetization of about \$3.1 million to include all items in Table 1 except the \$125,000 allowance for City administrative costs;
- Up to 10% of incremental property taxes reserved each year by the City to cover administrative costs, up to a total of \$125,000;
- 6.5 percent interest rate on Developer-financed costs;

- 4.5 percent interest rate on municipal bonds issued to "take out" Developer financing;
- Negative amortization on outstanding repayment obligations if the annual increment is less than interest owed in a given year; and
- Prepayment of as much outstanding principal as possible in each year.

Based on these assumptions, *SBFCo* has projected that the proposed TID at North Water Street would fully amortize the Developer funding obligation (as well as provide \$125,000 for City administrative costs) by 2022, or TID Year 16. If the City opts to refund the Developer financing using general obligation-backed bonds at the end of the 5th year, the TID is projected to close out one year ahead of the full Developer financing scenario (2021, or Year 15). Table 3 at the end of this memo shows these two amortization scenarios.

As shown in Table 3, the total projected interest cost to the City is about \$2.7 million under the full Developer financing scenario, and \$2.1 million under the option using Developer financing with a City takeout. The takeout scenario thus allows the City a substantial savings on interest costs relative to full Developer financing while still retaining the main advantage of Developer financing over full City general obligation bonding—avoidance of risk in the construction and early operating years of the project. These risks are derived from the potential that:

- The Developer will be unable to complete the proposed project due to cost overruns or other construction-related issues;
- The project will not be as successful as anticipated in attracting additional office and retail tenants or residential buyers; and/or
- The initial assessed valuation of the properties will lag behind anticipated levels after project completion.

If the City secures its pledge of TIF funds to the Developer solely with incremental property taxes during the early years of the project, these risks are transferred to the Developer. Because a Developer-financed TID does not carry the full faith and credit backing of the City of Milwaukee, it is higher-risk than standard City bonds and therefore would likely carry a higher interest rate. Based on input from the City of Milwaukee and a review of the interest rates associated with funding obligations backed solely by TIF in Chicago, *SBFCo* assumed that this interest rate would be about 6.5%. After the highest-risk portions of the project are complete (construction and initial lease-up and sellout), it may be in the City's best interests to refinance the developer repayment obligation with general obligation bonds in order to reduce its interest costs.

City of Milwaukee: North Water Street Table 2: North Water Street TID Projections

DRAFT 1-29-07

| | | | | | | | | | x Revenues |
|---------|-------------------|---------------|-----|------------|----|---------------|--------------|----|------------|
| TID | Assessment | Base AV [1] | | Current AV | I | ncremental AV | Tax Rate | | Collected |
| Year | Year | 200011 ([1] | | 0 | | Above Base | 10.11 11.000 | | (Jan. 31) |
| | | A 15 500 000 | | | | | | | |
| 1 | 2007 | \$ 12,300,000 | \$ | 12,300,000 | \$ | - | 2.32% | \$ | - |
| 2 | 2008 | \$ 12,300,000 | \$ | 15,023,856 | \$ | 2,723,856 | 2.26% | \$ | |
| 3 | 2009 | \$ 12,300,000 | \$ | 23,941,700 | \$ | 11,641,700 | 2.20% | \$ | 61,583 |
| 4 | 2010 | \$ 12,300,000 | \$ | 29,565,775 | \$ | 17,265,775 | 2.14% | \$ | 256,251 |
| 5 | 2011 | \$ 12,300,000 | \$ | 32,907,070 | \$ | 20,607,070 | 2.09% | \$ | 370,003 |
| 6 | 2012 | \$ 12,300,000 | \$ | 33,729,747 | \$ | 21,429,747 | 2.03% | \$ | 429,938 |
| 7 | 2013 | \$ 12,300,000 | \$ | 34,572,991 | \$ | 22,272,991 | 2.00% | \$ | 435,289 |
| 8 | 2014 | \$ 12,300,000 | \$ | 35,437,315 | \$ | 23,137,315 | 2.00% | \$ | 445,460 |
| 9 | 2015 | \$ 12,300,000 | \$ | 36,323,248 | \$ | 24,023,248 | 2.00% | \$ | 462,746 |
| 10 | 2016 | \$ 12,300,000 | \$ | 37,231,329 | \$ | 24,931,329 | 2.00% | \$ | 480,465 |
| 11 | 2017 | \$ 12,300,000 | \$ | 38,162,113 | \$ | 25,862,113 | 2.00% | \$ | 498,627 |
| 12 | 2018 | \$ 12,300,000 | \$ | 39,116,166 | \$ | 26,816,166 | 2.00% | \$ | 517,242 |
| 13 | 2019 | \$ 12,300,000 | \$ | 40,094,070 | \$ | 27,794,070 | 2.00% | \$ | 536,323 |
| 14 | 2020 | \$ 12,300,000 | \$ | 41,096,421 | \$ | 28,796,421 | 2.00% | \$ | 555,881 |
| 15 | 2021 | \$ 12,300,000 | \$ | 42,123,832 | \$ | 29,823,832 | 2.00% | \$ | 575,928 |
| 16 | 2022 | \$ 12,300,000 | \$ | 43,176,928 | \$ | 30,876,928 | 2.00% | \$ | 596,477 |
| 17 | 2023 | \$ 12,300,000 | \$ | 44,256,351 | \$ | 31,956,351 | 2.00% | \$ | 617,539 |
| 18 | 2024 | \$ 12,300,000 | \$ | 45,362,760 | \$ | 33,062,760 | 2.00% | \$ | 639,127 |
| 19 | 2025 | \$ 12,300,000 | \$ | 46,496,829 | \$ | 34,196,829 | 2.00% | \$ | 661,255 |
| 20 | 2026 | \$ 12,300,000 | \$ | 47,659,249 | \$ | 35,359,249 | 2.00% | \$ | 683,937 |
| 21 | 2027 | \$ 12,300,000 | \$ | 48,850,731 | \$ | 36,550,731 | 2.00% | \$ | 707,185 |
| 22 | 2028 | \$ 12,300,000 | \$ | 50,071,999 | \$ | 37,771,999 | 2.00% | \$ | 731,015 |
| 23 | 2029 | \$ 12,300,000 | \$ | 51,323,799 | \$ | 39,023,799 | 2.00% | \$ | 755,440 |
| 24 | 2030 | \$ 12,300,000 | \$ | 52,606,894 | \$ | 40,306,894 | 2.00% | \$ | 780,476 |
| 25 | 2031 | \$ 12,300,000 | \$ | 53,922,066 | \$ | 41,622,066 | 2.00% | \$ | 806,138 |
| 26 | 2032 | \$ 12,300,000 | \$ | 55,270,118 | \$ | 42,970,118 | 2.00% | \$ | 832,441 |
| 27 | 2033 | \$ 12,300,000 | \$ | 56,651,871 | \$ | 44,351,871 | 2.00% | \$ | 859,402 |
| | 2034 | ,,, | 7 | ,, -, - | _ | ,,0,1 | | \$ | 887,037 |
| Total I | Proceeds (2007- | 2028) | | | | | | \$ | 15,183,205 |
| | esent Value (20 | | 5 0 | 0% | | | | \$ | 6,748,656 |
| | is stad 2007 walv | , | 2.0 | U / U | | | | Ψ | 0,170,000 |

[1] Projected 2007 value

Source: S. B. Friedman & Company, Milwaukee Department of City Development

City of Milwaukee: 735 N Water Street Project

Table 3: Projected Amortization of TID under Developer Financing and Developer Financing/City Takeout Scenarios

DRAFT 1/29/07

Developer Financing Assumptions

| Cost of Improvements | | \$ 2,670,159 |
|--|-----|-----------------|
| Contingency | 15% | \$ 400,524 |
| City Inspection Fee Allowance | 2% | \$ 61,414 |
| Total Proceeds to Project | | \$ 3,132,097 |
| City Admin Cost Allowance (not monetized up-front) | | \$ 125,000 |
| Interest Rate | | 6.50% |
| City Administration Fee (as Percent of TID Revenues) | | 10.00% |

| City | Financing | Assumptions |
|------|-----------|-------------|
| | | |

| Takeout at end of Year | 5 |
|------------------------|-------|
| Interest Rate on Bonds | 4.50% |

| | | Annual Inc. | | | S | cenario 1: Developer | Financing- Full Duratio | n | 1 | Scenario 2: Deve | loper Financing w/C | ity Takeout in Year 5 | |
|----------------|------------------|---------------|---------------------------------|--|--------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------|--------------------------|------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|
| Year of TID | Calendar Year | Tax Revenues | City Carve-out for Admin Fee | Increment Available for Amortization | Principal Outstanding | Interest Due | Principal Amortization | Amortized | Principal Outstanding | Interest Due | Principal Amortization | Financing Type | Amortized |
| 1 | 2007 | \$ - | s - | s - | \$ 3,132,097 | \$ 203,586 | \$ (203,586) | NO | \$ 3,132,097 | \$ 203,586 | \$ (203,586) | Developer | NO |
| 2 | 2008 | \$ - | \$ - | S - | \$ 3,335,683 | \$ 216,819 | \$ (216,819) | NO | \$ 3,335,683 | \$ 216,819 | \$ (216,819) | Developer | NO |
| 3 | 2009 | \$ 61,583 | \$ 6,158 | \$ 55,425 | \$ 3,552,502 | \$ 230,913 | \$ (169,329) | NO | \$ 3,552,502 | \$ 230,913 | \$ (169,329) | Developer | NO |
| 4 | 2010 | \$ 256,251 | \$ 25,625 | \$ 230,626 | \$ 3,721,832 | \$ 241,919 | \$ 14,332 | NO | \$ 3,721,832 | \$ 241,919 | \$ 14,332 | Developer | NO |
| 5 | 2011 | \$ 370,003 | \$ 37,000 | \$ 333,003 | \$ 3,707,500 | \$ 240,988 | \$ 129,015 | NO | \$ 3,707,500 | \$ 240,988 | \$ 129,015 | Developer | NO |
| 6 | 2012 | \$ 429,938 | \$ 42,994 | \$ 386,944 | \$ 3,578,485 | \$ 232,602 | \$ 197,337 | NO | \$ 3,578,485 | \$ 161,032 | \$ 268,906 | City | NO |
| 7 | 2013 | \$ 435,289 | \$ 13,223 | \$ 422,066 | \$ 3,381,148 | \$ 219,775 | \$ 215,514 | NO | \$ 3,309,578 | \$ 148,931 | \$ 286,358 | City | NO |
| 8 | 2014 | \$ 445,460 | \$ - | \$ 445,460 | \$ 3,165,634 | \$ 205,766 | \$ 239,694 | NO | \$ 3,023,221 | \$ 136,045 | \$ 309,415 | City | NO |
| 9 | 2015 | \$ 462,746 | \$ - | \$ 462,746 | \$ 2,925,941 | \$ 190,186 | \$ 272,560 | NO | \$ 2,713,806 | \$ 122,121 | \$ 340,625 | City | NO |
| 10 | 2016 | \$ 480,465 | \$ - | \$ 480,465 | \$ 2,653,380 | \$ 172,470 | \$ 307,995 | NO | \$ 2,373,181 | \$ 106,793 | \$ 373,672 | City | NO |
| 11 | 2017 | \$ 498,627 | \$ - | \$ 498,627 | \$ 2,345,385 | \$ 152,450 | \$ 346,177 | NO | \$ 1,999,509 | \$ 89,978 | \$ 408,649 | City | NO |
| 12 | 2018 | \$ 517,242 | \$ - | \$ 517,242 | \$ 1,999,209 | \$ 129,949 | \$ 387,294 | NO | \$ 1,590,860 | \$ 71,589 | \$ 445,654 | City | NO |
| 13 | 2019 | \$ 536,323 | \$ - | \$ 536,323 | \$ 1,611,915 | \$ 104,774 | \$ 431,549 | NO | \$ 1,145,207 | \$ 51,534 | \$ 484,789 | City | NO |
| 14 | 2020 | \$ 555,881 | \$ - | \$ 555,881 | \$ 1,180,366 | \$ 76,724 | \$ 479,158 | NO | \$ 660,418 | \$ 29,719 | \$ 526,163 | City | NO |
| 15 | 2021 | \$ 575,928 | \$ - | \$ 575,928 | \$ 701,208 | \$ 45,579 | \$ 530,350 | NO | \$ 134,255 | \$ 6,041 | \$ 134,255 | City | YES |
| 16 | 2022 | \$ 596,477 | \$ - | \$ 596,477 | \$ 170,859 | \$ 11,106 | \$ 170,859 | YES | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | Amortized | YES |
| 17 | 2023 | \$ 617,539 | \$ - | \$ 617,539 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | YES | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | Amortized | YES |
| 18 | 2024 | \$ 639,127 | \$ - | \$ 639,127 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | YES | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | Amortized | YES |
| 19 | 2025 | \$ 661,255 | \$ - | \$ 661,255 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | YES | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | Amortized | YES |
| 20 | 2026 | \$ 683,937 | \$ - | \$ 683,937 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | YES | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | Amortized | YES |
| 21 | 2027 | \$ 707,185 | \$ - | \$ 707,185 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | YES | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | Amortized | YES |
| 22 | 2028 | \$ 731,015 | \$ - | \$ 731,015 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | YES | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | Amortized | YES |
| 23 | 2029 | \$ 755,440 | \$ - | \$ 755,440 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | YES | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | Amortized | YES |
| 24 | 2030 | \$ 780,476 | \$ - | \$ 780,476 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | YES | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | Amortized | YES |
| 25 | 2031 | \$ 806,138 | \$ - | \$ 806,138 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | YES | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | Amortized | YES |
| 26 | 2032 | \$ 832,441 | \$ - | \$ 832,441 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | YES | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | Amortized | YES |
| 27 | 2033 | \$ 859,402 | \$ - | \$ 859,402 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | YES | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | Amortized | YES |
| | 2034 | \$ 887,037 | \$ - | \$ 887,037 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | YES | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | Amortized | YES |
| TOTALS | | \$ 15,183,205 | \$ 125,000 | <u> </u> | | \$ 2,675,604 | \$ 3,132,097 | | | \$ 2,058,008 | \$ 3,132,097 | | |

Source: S. B. Friedman & Company, Milwaukee Department of City Development

Note: These projections are based on estimates, assumptions, and other information developed from research of the market, knowledge of the industry, and meetings during which we obtained certain information. Some assumptions inevitably will not materialize, and unanticipated events and circumstances may occur; therefore, actual results achieved during the period covered by our analysis will necessarily vary from those shown here and the variations may be



735 North Water St. Cornice Replacement

Preliminary Estimate
January 11, 2007

| CORNICE REPLACEMENT | | | | | |
|--|--------------|-----------|---------------|-------------|-------|
| Provide cantilever scaffolding at all elevations, set-up and | | | | | |
| remove | 1 | LS | 410,000 | 410,000 | |
| Scaffold rental per day | 270 | EA | 1,080 | 291,600 | |
| Crane / hoist | 1 | LS | 100,000 | 100,000 | |
| Remove and catalog existing terra cotta pieces | 2,400 | EA | 150 | 360,000 | |
| Provide new terra cotta pieces including molds, shipping | | | | | |
| and installation | 484 | EA | 600 | 290,400 | |
| Repair and reinstall existing terra cotta pieces | 1,916 | EA | 125 | 239,500 | |
| Allowance for miscellaneous repair/replacement | 1 | LS | 40,000 | 40,000 | |
| Remove and rebuild 3 wythe thick brick back-up wall | 2,530 | SF | 95 | 240,350 | |
| Repair / replace steel angles and channels | 3,220 | LF | 40 | 128,800 | |
| Cutback roof, patch and repair | 460 | LF | 75 | 34,500 | |
| Remove and reinstall lighting and conduit | 1 | LS | 15,000 | 15,000 | |
| Remove temporary netting | 1 | LS | 20,000 | 20,000 | |
| | | | | | |
| SUBTOTAL | | | | | 2,1 |
| GENERAL CONDITIONS / BOND / INSURANCE (8%) | | | | | |
| CONTRACTOR'S OVERHEAD/PROFIT (3%) | | | | | |
| Note: Contingency removed from Concord estimate by SBF0 | Co because o | f 15% con | tingency appl | ied elsewhe | re by |
| Milwaukee | | | | | |
| COTIMATED TOTAL CONCEDUCTION COST | | | | | |
| ESTIMATED TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COST | | | | | 2, |
| ESTIMATED TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COST | | | | | 2, |
| ESTIMATED TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COST | | | | | 2, |
| ESTIMATED TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COST | | | | | 2, |
| ESTIMATED TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COST | | | | | 2, |
| ESTIMATED TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COST | | | | | 2,4 |
| ESTIMATED TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COST | | | | | 2,4 |
| ESTIMATED TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COST | | | | | 2,4 |
| ESTIMATED TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COST | | | | | 2,4 |
| ESTIMATED TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COST | | | | | 2,4 |
| ESTIMATED TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COST | | | | | 2,4 |
| ESTIMATED TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COST | | | | | 2,4 |
| ESTIMATED TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COST | | | | | 2,4 |
| ESTIMATED TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COST | | | | | 2,4 |
| ESTIMATED TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COST | | | | | 2,4 |
| ESTIMATED TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COST | | | | | 2,4 |
| ESTIMATED TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COST | | | | | 2,4 |
| | | | | | 2,4 |
| | | | | | 2,4 |
| | | | | | 2,4 |
| | | | | | 2,4 |
| | | | | | 2,4 |
| | | | | | 2,4 |



735 North Water St. Cap Cornice

Preliminary Estimate January 11, 2007

| REMOVE AND CAP CORNICE | | | | | |
|---|-------------|-------------|----------------|------------------|------|
| Provide cantilever scaffolding at all elevations, set-up and | | | | | |
| remove | 1 | LS | 410,000 | 410,000 | |
| | | | 1,111 | 2,222 | |
| Scaffold rental per day | 150 | EA | 1,080 | 162,000 | |
| Crane / hoist | 1 | LS | 75,000 | 75,000 | |
| Remove and dispose existing terra cotta pieces and backup | 3,680 | SF | 15 | 55,200 | |
| Provide new facebrick with CMU backup at parapet | 2,530 | SF | 65 | 164,450 | |
| Metal coping Miscellaneous steel repair | 460 1 | LF LS | 40 30,000 | 18,400 30,000 | |
| Cutback roof, patch and repair | 460 | LF | 75 | 34,500 | |
| Remove and reinstall lighting and conduit | 1 | LS | 15,000 | 15,000 | - |
| Remove temporary netting | 1 | LS | 20,000 | 20,000 | |
| | | | | | |
| SUBTOTAL | | | | | Ç |
| | | | | | |
| GENERAL CONDITIONS / BOND / INSURANCE (8%) | | | | | |
| CONTRACTOR'S OVERHEAD/PROFIT (3%) Note: Contingency removed from Concord estimate by SBFC | | f 150/ 00m | in accept cond | ا ما ماممیاه م | - h |
| Milwaukee | o because c | JI 15% COII | lingericy appi | ied eisewriere | е бу |
| ESTIMATED TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COST | | | | | 1,0 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |



735 North Water St. Riverwalk Repair

Preliminary Estimate
January 11, 2007

| | | | | I | |
|--|----------------|-----------|---------------|--------------|---------------------------|
| RIVERWALK REPAIR | | | | | |
| Remove existing concrete topping slab | 6,836 | SF | 6 | 41,016 | |
| Replace waterproof membrane | 6,836 | SF | 5.50 | 37,598 | |
| Repair structural slab and support structure | 2,000 | SF | 25 | 50,000 | |
| New concrete topping slab, scored | 6,836 | SF | 8.50 | 58,106 | |
| Remove, refinish and reinstall steel railing | 428 | LF | 75 | 32,100 | |
| Remove and replace EIFS north elevation | 1,296 | SF | 25 | 32,400 | |
| Clean existing concrete walls to remain | 1 | LS | 5,000 | 5,000 | |
| Remove and replace stone veneer and CMU backup on | | | | | |
| west elevation | 1,900 | SF | 175 | 332,500 | |
| Remove and replace concrete sill on west elevation | 190 | LF | 450 | 85,500 | |
| Remove and replace windows on west elevation | 786 | SF | 95 | 74,670 | |
| Remove and reinstall planters | 4 | ĒΑ | 2,500 | 10,000 | |
| Elevator including limestone enclosure | 2 | EA | 225,000 | 450,000 | |
| | | | | | |
| SUBTOTAL | | | | | 1,20 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | ç |
| GENERAL CONDITIONS / BOND / INSURANCE (8%) CONTRACTOR'S OVERHEAD/PROFIT (3.5%) Note: Contingency removed from Concord estimate by SE Milwaukee | BFCo because o | f 15% con | tingency appl | ied elsewher | re by (|
| GENERAL CONDITIONS / BOND / INSURANCE (8%) CONTRACTOR'S OVERHEAD/PROFIT (3.5%) Note: Contingency removed from Concord estimate by SE | BFCo because o | f 15% con | tingency appl | ied elsewhei | 4 re by (|
| GENERAL CONDITIONS / BOND / INSURANCE (8%) CONTRACTOR'S OVERHEAD/PROFIT (3.5%) Note: Contingency removed from Concord estimate by SE Milwaukee | BFCo because o | f 15% con | tingency appl | ied elsewhei | 4 re by (|
| GENERAL CONDITIONS / BOND / INSURANCE (8%) CONTRACTOR'S OVERHEAD/PROFIT (3.5%) Note: Contingency removed from Concord estimate by SE Milwaukee | BFCo because o | f 15% con | tingency appl | ied elsewhei | 4 re by (|
| GENERAL CONDITIONS / BOND / INSURANCE (8%) CONTRACTOR'S OVERHEAD/PROFIT (3.5%) Note: Contingency removed from Concord estimate by SE Milwaukee | BFCo because o | f 15% con | tingency appl | ied elsewhei | 4 re by (|
| GENERAL CONDITIONS / BOND / INSURANCE (8%) CONTRACTOR'S OVERHEAD/PROFIT (3.5%) Note: Contingency removed from Concord estimate by SE Milwaukee | BFCo because o | f 15% con | tingency appl | ied elsewher | 4 re by 0 |
| GENERAL CONDITIONS / BOND / INSURANCE (8%) CONTRACTOR'S OVERHEAD/PROFIT (3.5%) Note: Contingency removed from Concord estimate by SE Milwaukee | BFCo because o | f 15% con | tingency appl | ied elsewhei | 4 re by C |
| GENERAL CONDITIONS / BOND / INSURANCE (8%) CONTRACTOR'S OVERHEAD/PROFIT (3.5%) Note: Contingency removed from Concord estimate by SE Milwaukee | BFCo because o | f 15% con | tingency appl | ied elsewhei | 4 re by 0 |
| GENERAL CONDITIONS / BOND / INSURANCE (8%) CONTRACTOR'S OVERHEAD/PROFIT (3.5%) Note: Contingency removed from Concord estimate by SE Milwaukee | BFCo because o | f 15% con | tingency appl | ied elsewhei | 4 re by C |
| GENERAL CONDITIONS / BOND / INSURANCE (8%) CONTRACTOR'S OVERHEAD/PROFIT (3.5%) Note: Contingency removed from Concord estimate by SE Milwaukee | BFCo because o | f 15% con | tingency appl | ied elsewhei | 4 re by C |
| GENERAL CONDITIONS / BOND / INSURANCE (8%) CONTRACTOR'S OVERHEAD/PROFIT (3.5%) Note: Contingency removed from Concord estimate by SE Milwaukee | BFCo because o | f 15% con | tingency appl | ied elsewhei | 4 re by C |
| GENERAL CONDITIONS / BOND / INSURANCE (8%) CONTRACTOR'S OVERHEAD/PROFIT (3.5%) Note: Contingency removed from Concord estimate by SE Milwaukee | BFCo because o | f 15% con | tingency appl | ied elsewhei | 4 re by C |
| GENERAL CONDITIONS / BOND / INSURANCE (8%) CONTRACTOR'S OVERHEAD/PROFIT (3.5%) Note: Contingency removed from Concord estimate by SE Milwaukee | BFCo because o | f 15% con | tingency appl | ied elsewhei | 4 re by C |
| GENERAL CONDITIONS / BOND / INSURANCE (8%) CONTRACTOR'S OVERHEAD/PROFIT (3.5%) Note: Contingency removed from Concord estimate by SE Milwaukee | BFCo because o | f 15% con | tingency appl | ied elsewhei | 4 re by 0 |
| GENERAL CONDITIONS / BOND / INSURANCE (8%) CONTRACTOR'S OVERHEAD/PROFIT (3.5%) Note: Contingency removed from Concord estimate by SE Milwaukee | BFCo because o | f 15% con | tingency appl | ied elsewhei | 4 re by 0 |
| GENERAL CONDITIONS / BOND / INSURANCE (8%) CONTRACTOR'S OVERHEAD/PROFIT (3.5%) Note: Contingency removed from Concord estimate by SE Milwaukee | BFCo because o | f 15% con | tingency appl | ied elsewhei | 4 re by 0 |
| GENERAL CONDITIONS / BOND / INSURANCE (8%) CONTRACTOR'S OVERHEAD/PROFIT (3.5%) Note: Contingency removed from Concord estimate by SE Milwaukee | BFCo because o | f 15% con | tingency appl | ied elsewhei | 9 4 re by C 1,35 |
| GENERAL CONDITIONS / BOND / INSURANCE (8%) CONTRACTOR'S OVERHEAD/PROFIT (3.5%) Note: Contingency removed from Concord estimate by SE Milwaukee | BFCo because o | f 15% con | tingency appl | ied elsewhei | 4 re by C |