BOZA

CITY OF MILWAUKEE BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS

In the Matter of:

7903 West Capitol Drive Evaughn High, Lessee

Case No. 23020

BOARD MEMBERS

CRAIG H. ZETLEY - Chairman
HENRY P. SZYMANSKI
CATHERINE M. DOYLE
ROY B. NABORS
SCOTT R. WINKLER
GEORGIA M. CAMERON - ALTERNATE
DONALD JACKSON - ALTERNATE
DAN O'CALLAGHAN, Secretary

BUILDING INSPECTION by STEVEN FRITSCHE PLAN ADMINISTRATION by EDWARD RICHARDSON DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS by JEFFREY MANTES

Proceedings had and testimony given in the above-entitled matter before the BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS OF THE CITY OF MILWAUKEE on the 6th day of July, 2000, before Sandra D. Blum of Milwaukee Reporters Associated, Inc.

ORIGINAL

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	CHAIRMAN ZETLEY: Next item.
3	SECRETARY O'CALLAGHAN: Item No. 56, Case
4	No. 23020. Premises known as 7903 West Capitol Drive
5	This is a request to occupy the premises as a day care
6	center and school for 99 children, infant to 12 years
7	of age, 6 a.m. to 6 p.m.
8	There are several comments and concerns on
9	the summary sheet dated July 5th, 2000.
10	CHAIRMAN ZETLEY: Is the applicant here?
11	MS. HIGH: Yes.
12	CHAIRMAN ZETLEY: Could you come forward,
13	please. Would you state your names and mailing
14	addresses for the record.
15	MS. HIGH: Hi. My name is Evaughn High, and
16	I'm at 1065 West Fairy Chasm Road, River Hills,
17	Wisconsin, 53217.
18	MR. HIGH: I'm John High. I'm at the same
19	address, and I'm on the board of directors at the day
20	care.
21	CHAIRMAN ZETLEY: Would you swear both
22	witnesses in, please.
23	(Whereupon Mr. and Mrs. High were sworn
24	under oath.)
25	CHAIRMAN ZETLEY: Thank you. DCD, any

1	comments?
2	MR. RICHARDSON: No additional comments?
3	CHAIRMAN ZETLEY: Traffic?
4	MR. MANTES: Yes. We would just like to
5	note that the site has adequate capacity to operate
6	trafficwise with all loading and unloading and drop
7	off of children to occur on site.
8	And if the board so grants this special use,
9	we would ask that it include a statement that the
10	applicant inform the parents, when they sign up at
11	this day care center, that excuse me, all drop
12	offs be done on site, not on Capitol Drive.
13	CHAIRMAN ZETLEY: I assume that's acceptable
14	to you?
15	MS. HIGH: That's acceptable.
16	CHAIRMAN ZETLEY: Thank you.
17	ALDERMAN BOHL: I'm sorry. What was the
18	CHAIRMAN ZETLEY: That all drop offs be at
19	the appropriate place, not on Capitol.
20	ALDERMAN BOHL: Okay.
21	CHAIRMAN ZETLEY: Okay.
22	SECRETARY O'CALLAGHAN: And, Mr. Chair, for
23	the record, Ms. High this afternoon submitted a fax of
24	it appears to be 40 or so signatures of support
25	from neighboring residents. I'll pass that around.

1		С	HAIR	MAN	ZET	LEY:	Oka	ау.	Mark	tha	t a	S	
2	Exhibit	1.	Is	ther	e a	nybod	y he	ere t	o spe	eak a	aga	inst	
3	this?	Let	the	reco	ord	refle	ct t	that	this	is.	in	Alderma	ın
4	Bohl's	dist	rict	. P	Alde	rman,	do	you	have	any	cc	mments?	?

1.0

1.5

. 19

ALDERMAN BOHL: Yes, I do. I have -- In the course of having Ms. High meet me, and on a number of occasions, I did hold a neighborhood meeting. I sent out postcards and heard back from the wishes of several individuals. There was a couple of people who have contacted me today who were going to speak out in opposition to this, and they were not able to come. Initially, I received correspondence from 17 individuals in opposition to this with none in favor from local area residents.

I additionally sent out a letter that mentioned two particular sites, both Ms. High's proposal and another proposal for an alternative school. And she raised some objections, over the course that I tied the two issues together. I then agreed to hold a neighborhood meeting, sent out notification for that. That was not attended and had a subsequent mailing that basically is what I called a clear-the-air and level-the-playing-field notification.

I sent that out with postcards subsequent to

that, and there was an attachment in -- which provided

a letter, and I used the documentation from her letter

in my letter. I will submit that here to you to be

placed in the record if I can. I have several copies

of that.

6 CHAIRMAN ZETLEY: We'll mark that as Exhibit 7 2.

ALDERMAN BOHL: Subsequent to the -- the second letter coming around, I heard from a response in which 14 individuals contacted me and said that they did not want it in the area, three that favored it. And here they are, among some of the cards that came with it. I'd be happy to pass that around.

The concerns that I have, and I have some reservations. It's a nice facility, but the concern that I've heard from residents is an issue of saturation in the area. Now, initially, some of the residents had talked about concerns about a great deal of traffic that would be generated in the area of parents dropping off. I know that Ms. High has indicated that she picks up and transports about 80 percent of -- of the children that would be at her site. The concern that I have is that there is a heavy saturation of day care facilities already in the area, and that's the concerns I've had from area

1 residents.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

If I could pass around another sheet here, I 2 will just let you know that Ms. High proposes to come 3 into the area, an address that would be 7903 West 4 Capitol Drive. That is the area that's highlighted 5 and also bolded. There is also, one block due east of 6 there on -- across the street at 7803 West Capitol Drive, an SDC Headstart, which is coming in. If you 8 will look directly across the street north of the 9 site, there is at 7903 -- I'm sorry, -- 7918, there is 10 another day care facility. There is a day care 11 facility at 8008 West Capitol Drive, at 8028 West 12 Capitol Drive, and also one at the Lutheran church 13 just north of Capitol Drive off of 81st Street. 14

BOARD MEMBER WINKLER: The saturation is not necessarily -- We saturate all kinds of businesses in all kinds of neighborhoods. I think it would be quite the business and quite --

ALDERMAN BOHL: Well, I'm glad you won't be running for alderman against me any time soon. No. I believe that Ms. High is a very reputable person. My concerns are with the saturation issue. It is a retail strip. I'd like to see it remain a viable retail strip.

The residents are concerned already, but

this is becoming a day care strip, and it has nothing to do with -- against Ms. High. And I believe that she'd be reputable, but I do have to stand up for the residents in the area here who do object to this.

1.3

And I did -- She did contact me yesterday, and I did request to see a copy of the signatures that she had initially gotten. When we showed up for the meeting, and I let her know that the residents were overwhelmingly in opposition to this, you know, -- some concerns came up.

I was told that I should be the one to go out into the area and try to change people's minds. I was also informed that I should basically write off those individuals because I could gather enough support throughout the district to basically lose voters there and go against the wishes of my constituents. And I wasn't very keen on either one of those comments.

But I suggested to her that if I leveled the playing field that it was her job to go out to the constituents in that particular area and let them know that what she wants to do is not going to be alarming. When she did contact me yesterday, I did request that that item be faxed over with the signatures. I have not seen it as of yet.

1	MS. HIGH: I'd just like Can I just make
2	a comment?
3	CHAIRMAN ZETLEY: Sure. Just hold on one
4	second. Is that all?
5	ALDERMAN BOHL: That's all.
6	CHAIRMAN ZETLEY: I just want to make a
7	comment in regard to the saturation, because I believe
8	Board Member Winkler is right. In a very unusual
9	circumstance this board will consider saturation, but
10	only if saturation will affect one of the four
11	criteria. That is the criteria that the Council and
12	the courts have set up for this board.
13	And interestingly enough, saturation used to be
14	concentration of businesses used to be one of the
15	criteria that we looked at, and it no longer is. And
16	I think there's reasons for it, and one of them is
17	it's Ms. High's or any business person's decision to
18	decide whether they want to make a go of it. And if
19	there's 23 day care centers right in a row, if she
20	wants to put her money in, and obviously Mr. High's
21	money in, also in this state, because it's marital
22	property, that's their decision.
23	So we do not consider saturation. And I'm
2 4	saying this and I know you know this, Alderman
25	Bohl I just want your constituents to hear this

because what I've read of the complaints, where that
was their complaint, was saturation. And as long as
it does not affect the four criteria, which I do not
see it affecting here, I do not think that the board
can consider it.

At this time I'd like to allow the applicant to indicate why we should grant this special use and to address the concerns that the alderman and individuals have raised.

MS. HIGH: Okay. I'd just like to say that, in keeping with the mandate, you know, that has been set before us with W-2 and with the schools having a shortage of space, we just happened to get an article off of the Internet stating, you know, that just recently the superintendent of schools, you know, is asking individuals to come alongside and be -- and have collaborative efforts in making this school system where children can stay right in their neighborhood and also utilize other spaces that are available, which is the site at 7903.

It's been vacant for approximately one year, and now, we're starting to get some graffiti in there, and there are other vacant buildings in that area. But I just want to keep with what's being asked of us as a business is to come into the areas and actually

support what the school system and W-2 is trying to provide.

As far as those people who are opposing it, I understand that if they had children, you know, there would be no amount of saturation, you know, if they needed the services. If you look at the correlation between the number of children that need child care, one child care facility can only accommodate so many children. In this case, he's talked about five or six sites being there. That can only total as many as 5 to 600 children, which is nowhere near saturation, because the child care slots are many in the thousands that -- approximately 10,000 that we need in just the block -- grant block area of Milwaukee.

· So there is no amount of slots that we would take up that would actually prevent, you know, from them having oversaturation. We need the site there. Schools are asking us to be there. This article represents that, and there are many other articles out there, you know, if you guys would like to look at it. We just want to comply with what's being mandated here in the city of Wisconsin -- Milwaukee.

CHAIRMAN ZETLEY: Thanks. Mr. High?

MR. HIGH: I would like to say in addition

L	to what Alderman Bohl brought up was very general.
2	There are day care facilities on some day care
3	facilities, but some are also $K-4$, $K-5$, and they
‡	provide different services. So it would be unfair to
5	lump them all together as serving the same function.

Some are on large facilities, and then there are also some home day cares. Now, home day cares can accommodate eight children, you know. You can't really lump all of those together. If you add up all the numbers there, it's nowhere near 600, the numbers she mentioned. The facility across the street, that would be directly across the street, could accommodate approximately 99 children, which is really not a lot.

CHAIRMAN ZETLEY: Board, questions,

comments, motions?

1.3

make motion. Having found that the criteria necessary to grant the special use has been met, based on the evidence contained in the file and the testimony I received by the board today, based on the technical reviews that were conducted by the departments, I move to grant the special use for a period of 10 years with the conditions as outlined in the summary dated 7/5/2000.

CHAIRMAN ZETLEY: Is there a second for

1	discussion?
2	BOARD MEMBER JACKSON: Second.
3	BOARD MEMBER WINKLER: Yeah. I'll second
4	for discussion. I'll also say, and in respect to
5	Alderman Bohl's constituents who may be watching, or
6	for you to pass along, Alderman Bohl, we are looking
7	into a vacuum. When we see your constituents checking
8	boxes, that is something that, obviously, you have a
9	duty to bring to our attention, and you do it, and
10	that's your job, and you do it very well.
11	But it doesn't none of those of comments,
12	none of those checkmarks, have been to actually be
13	applied to our criteria. Our criteria right now are
14	met, and I would agree with the motioner that this
15	should be approved and to tell the your
16	constituents that it isn't your fault. It's ours.
17	CHAIRMAN ZETLEY: That's why they set up
18	this board. All in favor of the motion say, "Aye."
19	BOARD MEMBER JACKSON: Aye.
20	BOARD MEMBER SZYMANSKI: Aye.
21	BOARD MEMBER WINKLER: Aye.
22	BOARD MEMBER NABORS: Aye.
23	CHAIRMAN ZETLEY: The vote carries four to
24	zero with the Chair abstaining. Good luck. Keep
25	those neighbors happy, because Alderman Bohl doesn't

1	want to hear from you.
2	MR. HIGH: We'll have his kids there.
3	CHAIRMAN ZETLEY: Thank you.
4	SECRETARY O'CALLAGHAN: Mr. Chairman, for
5	the record, Alderman Bohl's map was marked as Exhibit
6	3, and the cards, Exhibit 4.
7	CHAIRMAN ZETLEY: Thank you.
8	(Proceedings ended.)
9	* * *
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	STATE OF WISCONSIN)
2)
3	MILWAUKEE COUNTY)
4	
5	I, SANDRA D. BLUM, of Milwaukee Reporters
6	Associated, Inc., 5120 West Blue Mound Road,
7	Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53208, certify that the
8	foregoing proceedings is a full and complete
9	transcript of my stenographic notes taken in the
10	foregoing proceedings.
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	SANDRA D. BLUM
17	Court Reporter
18	
19	Dated this Hay of Mach, 2001.
20	Dated this day of ///lite, 2001.
21	
22	
23	
24	