1	CITY OF MILWAUKEE
2	LICENSES COMMITTEE
3	
4	In the Matter of:
5	The Class "B" Tavern and Tavern Amusement
6	renewal applications with change of entertainment, Buckhead Saloon, 1044 North Old World 3rd Street.
7	1044 North Old World 3rd Street.
8	
9	
10	
11	Excerpt from the Meeting of the Licenses Committee
12	Wednesday, September 9, 2009
13	4:33 p.m.
14	at
15	MILWAUKEE CITY HALL 200 East Wells Street, Room 301-B
16	Milwaukee, Wisconsin
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	Reported by Lindsay DeWaide, RPR
22	
23	
24	
25	



```
APPEARANCES:
1
2
    COMMITTEE MEMBERS:
      Ald. James A. Bohl, Jr., Chair
3
      Ald. Milele A. Coggs, Vice Chair
      Ald. Ashanti Hamilton
4
      Ald. T. Anthony Zielinski
      Ald. Nik Kovac
5
    Ms. Tobie Black, Staff Assistant
    Ms. Rebecca Grill, Licenses Division
6
    Mr. Bruce Schrimpf, Assistant City Attorney
    Sgt. Paul MacGillis, Milwaukee Police Department
7
    Mr. Andrew Arena appeared as agent for Old World, LLC.
8
9
    KOHLER & HART, LLP, by
      Mr. Michael Hart
      First National Bank Building
10
      735 North Water Street, Suite 1212
11
      Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202
      appeared on behalf of Old World, LLC
12
      and Mr. Andrew Arena.
13
    ALSO PRESENT: Ald. Robert J. Bauman
                   Mr. Bob Durkin
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```



Г

1	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
2	CHAIRMAN BOHL: The last item is for
3	Andy Arena, agent for Old World, LLC, Class "B"
4	Tavern and Tavern Amusement renewal applications
5	with change of entertainment for Buckhead Saloon
6	at 1044 North Old World 3rd Street.
7	Good afternoon, gentlemen. Mr. Arena,
8	can I ask you, do you have counsel representing
9	you here?
10	MR. ARENA: Yes, I do.
11	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Counsel, if you could
12	state your appearance then, please.
13	MR. HART: Mr. Chairman, Michael Hart
14	appears on behalf of Old World, LLC, and Andrew
15	Arena, agent for Buckhead Saloon. Good afternoon.
16	CHAIRMAN BOHL: And that is H-A-R-T?
17	MR. HART: Yes.
18	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Thank you. And
19	otherwise, would the other individuals present who
20	intend to provide testimony raise their right hand
21	and we'll swear you in.
22	(Witnesses Andrew Arena and Bob Durkin are sworn.)
23	CHAIRMAN BOHL: And for Mr. Arena, as
24	the agent, do you acknowledge receiving notice of
25	today's meeting with the possibility your



application could be denied? 1 2 There was an attached police report as 3 well as a complaint dated August 11, 2008, that should have been part of your notice, as well as 4 an assortment of neighborhood objections. 5 Yes, I do. 6 MR. ARENA: CHAIRMAN BOHL: This is a renewal. 7 8 Mr. Schrimpf, do you just want to -- I know that it was part of the notice, Judge Lamelas' 9 decision. If you just want to take 60 seconds 10 11 here and provide a summary. 12 MR. SCHRIMPF: Basically, the committee earlier approved this license with the issuance of 13 a ten-day suspension. 14 15 Mr. Arena, by Mr. Hart, appealed that matter to the Circuit Court of Milwaukee County. 16 17 Judge Lamelas heard the case and after hearing the case determined that based on the police report, 18 as it existed at that time, at the time the 19 committee heard the case, there really was 20 insufficient facts to warrant a ten-day 21 22 suspension. Part of the consideration of that 23 24 involved the review of documents that Mr. Arena supplied to the common council when it took this 25



1	matter up, which was basically I think she was,
2	more than anything, impressed by a letter from the
3	operators of the Edelweiss Cruise Line that upon
4	further investigation, they were not able to
5	determine that the beer that Mr. Lipscomb
6	complained about, which was spilled upon one of
7	his relatives, necessarily came from the Buckhead
8	Saloon.
9	And I think Mr. Hart would agree with me
10	that Judge Lamelas took some cognizance of that
11	particular letter. And basically, it was decided
12	that it should be remanded for a new hearing.
13	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Thank you. There were a
14	number of items in the police report, but Judge
15	Lamelas determined that in, I guess, the bulk of
16	the circumstances that were indicated here, that
17	the establishment acted properly and that they
18	were not necessarily items she felt the committee
19	nor the council should adversely take action on
20	against the establishment.
21	MR. SCHRIMPF: I think that's a fair
22	summary.
23	CHAIRMAN BOHL: And what I'll just say
24	is, is we have this remanded back. I'm going to
25	guess that the judge, in doing so and it just



Γ

1	would be an educated guess on my part did not
2	want to take action to legislatively approve this
3	matter.
4	At the same point, it's always, I think,
5	sort of with a nod of a direction when you're
6	handing it back that there's a ten-day suspension
7	of saying, it doesn't meet muster for that.
8	There's really two other options, and
9	that is to renew and/or renew with the issuance of
10	a warning letter unless there is new additional
11	testimony.
12	MR. SCHRIMPF: Right. I think the judge
13	was clear about the fact that in remand, the
14	committee and the council can take up further
15	information so long, of course, as it's properly
16	noticed and those things.
17	CHAIRMAN BOHL: And I just want to ask
18	this here. We have no additional items on the
19	police report; is that correct?
20	SERGEANT MACGILLIS: That is correct.
21	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Rather than read the
22	police report here at this time, I will just ask
23	if it would be acceptable to have Alderman Kovac
24	move to make the entire police report part of our
25	official record in this proceeding. Are there any



objections to doing that? 1 2 That way, it becomes fair game if there are individual questions but we have nothing new, 3 and reading it again would be redundant. 4 Alderman Zielinski. 5 ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI: Mr. Chairman, I 6 7 have a question for the city attorney with respect 8 to the ruling handed down by Judge Lamelas. 9 Am I to understand that if a particular establishment has to call the police, for example, 10 11 say 50 times in one year, okay, because there's a 12 patron that's disorderly and they need help removing the patron and so it appears on the 13 surface that the business, the establishment is 14 15 doing everything within their power to remedy the situation, that circumstances such as possibly 16 17 creating an environment that creates that type of behavior cannot be taken into consideration? 18 Because we have many, many, many, many 19 bars that don't have any incidents whatsoever, and 20 the concern I have is if there's so many police 21 22 calls to a particular establishment, what's going 23 on in that particular environment that that's creating that type of situation? 24 MR. SCHRIMPF: I think the record that 25



was before Judge Lamelas tended to demonstrate 1 2 that the police were in the area already. 3 An episode occurred, the personnel, particularly security personnel at the tavern, 4 handled it apparently appropriately, and then 5 waved the police officers over to say, hey, we've 6 got somebody here. 7 8 She was very impressed with the fact, 9 for example, that when they discovered fake IDs, you know, not only did they stop the person from 10 11 coming in, but then they confiscated the ID and 12 contacted the police and turned the matter over to the police, which she thought was an appropriate 13 action. 14 15 ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI: Right. But what I'm saying is -- and I understand that. What I'm 16 17 saying is, you know, a hypothetical, you take that argument to the next logical extension and just 18 say, hey, you know, for some reason -- you know, 19 let's say there's 20 phone calls within one year 20 21 to the police. 22 If she feels that that's warranted based 23 on the circumstances, we're not to consider the fact that, hey, what's going on here when there's 24 this many police calls? 25



8

#### **Transcript of Proceedings - September 9, 2009**

1

2

3

4

5

6

MR. SCHRIMPF: Judge Lamelas was very careful to confine her ruling to the facts that were before her, and she was not engaging in any hypothetical which is, for example, where your question would go. She was looking at the case as it came before her.

7 CHAIRMAN BOHL: The specific incidents, 8 Alderman. And I think that when you look at specific incidents -- now, it's one thing to say 9 that some people are fighting in a bar and they 10 11 call police because a couple people got busted 12 chins and blood in the place versus somebody who tries to get into a place, you deny them and say, 13 no, we're not letting you in, we think you're 14 15 drunk already, and the guy creates a scene outside. 16

Are you to ignore that scene, have them create a greater ruckus, or is it appropriate to say, look, I'm not even trying to let them come in and they're still creating a ruckus, I'm calling the police?

One thing is more on you than another in terms of when appropriate contact is made of the police department versus those actions that are more adverse.



9

MR. HART: Mr. Chairman, can I be heard
just briefly?
CHAIRMAN BOHL: No. I'll get to you in
a moment.
ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI: So for further
clarification, are we to consider all the items on
the police report since the last hearing?
CHAIRMAN BOHL: Each individual
alderperson on the committee can weigh that
appropriately to their own determination.
ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI: And this is my last
question here because there's, you know, incidents
where disputes about coat checking issues where
the individual is in the bar, and again, that
results in police calls.
So I'm not to infer from her ruling that
that cannot or the totality of those incidents
can't be considered?
MR. SCHRIMPF: Well, you can consider
those incidents, but you have to consider them
fully for what they were.
One of the things that Judge Lamelas
pointed out is that she is used more to hearing
cases where there was some act of violence that
took place.



www.GramannReporting.com \* 414.272.7878 Innovation · Expertise · Integrity

## Transcript of Proceedings - September 9, 2009

In this particular case, I think there 1 2 were two acts that would rise to that. One was 3 the broken door which was later found out to be really a patron, and he agreed to pay for it, and 4 that sort of took care of that. And the other one 5 was -- I think there was some individual who was 6 7 accosting females on the dance floor, and the 8 person who owned the bar stopped the individual from doing that, put him out of the bar, the 9 police happened to be in the area, and they made a 10 11 report to the police department, which she 12 thought, again, was very appropriate under the circumstances. 13 14 ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI: Thank you. 15 CHAIRMAN BOHL: Mr. Hart. MR. HART: 16 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 17 I just want to respond briefly to Alderman Zielinski's inquiries. And so that it's 18 clear, the police department stations a certain 19 number of man and woman hours on Old World 3rd 20 21 Street on a regular patrol. 22 They have been in regular contact with 23 our upper management, and the discussion is, 24 listen, if there's even a hint of an incident, rather than handling it yourself, just flag us 25



1	down. We're already in the area. We're the
2	persons who are more well equipped to deal with
3	these issues than you. And rather than become
4	confrontational with your patrons, just flag us
5	down and we'll get involved.
6	In more than half of these incidents,
7	that's precisely what happened. With respect to a
8	number of the 25, 26, 27
9	ALDERMAN BAUMAN: Mr. Chair, we're
10	getting into the merits. I have some procedural
11	questions I would like to have answered first,
12	please.
13	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Please proceed. Just go
14	ahead.
15	MR. HART: Thank you. These were not
16	instances
17	ALDERMAN BAUMAN: Mr. Chair, we're
18	getting into the merits of attorney testimony as
19	to what happened in these incidents.
20	CHAIRMAN BOHL: If it's going to come
21	up, just we'll ask you to be brief. Go ahead.
22	Go ahead.
23	MR. HART: And I'm happy to move on.
24	I'm trying to respond to the question.
25	These are instances where the



establishment followed the requested protocol of 1 2 the police department, so to the extent that there 3 is some suggestion that this is a drain on police resources, to the contrary, this is responsible, 4 proactive use of the police resources there for 5 6 that purpose. With respect to the ruling of Judge 7 8 Lamelas, what she said, aside from the incident involving Commissioner Lipscomb, was, let me put 9 aside the incident involving the county 10 11 supervisor. With respect to all of the other 12 incidents, I note that only one involved physical injury, and that physical injury appears to have 13 been sufficiently slight that the two victims 14 declined medical attention. The establishment 15 generally appears to be reacting in a responsible 16 17 manner to each of these incidents, and at least with respect to the fake IDs, I think little more 18 could be asked of the establishment than to take 19 the action with respect to those IDs. And so it 20 does appear as if some of the comments that were 21 22 made regarding the police calls that were made 23 with respect to this establishment appeared to be 24 unfounded.

She then, in talking about the



Γ

1	incidents, indicated, it does not appear to me
2	this is Judge Lamelas talking that the
3	incidents that I have described are consistent
4	with the notion of a persistent consumption of
5	police resources, and I don't think that it's fair
6	to assign responsibility for the persistent
7	consumption of police resources to this particular
8	establishment based upon those incidents that are
9	described and set forth in Exhibit A.
10	ALDERWOMAN COGGS: Mr. Chair?
11	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Alderwoman Coggs.
12	ALDERWOMAN COGGS: A question for the
13	city attorney.
14	There is not at this time a
15	requirement when we decide what level and
16	degree of action we're going to take, ten days,
17	three days, and all that, there's not a
18	requirement of physical harm in a police report,
19	right?
20	MR. SCHRIMPF: No.
21	ALDERWOMAN COGGS: This is more a
22	statement than a question.
23	This situation, to me, bears some
24	difficulty, and the reason is and to be fair to
25	the licensee, it's not even so much your fault.



## Transcript of Proceedings - September 9, 2009

We see licenses every day that, when we 1 2 include the total police record, don't have 23 3 different items, but this location does. It's not as if, oh, we forget what Supervisor Lipscomb 4 says, everything looks great. It doesn't. 5 6 And if we say, well, the consumption of 7 police services is just fine, our problem with the 8 fact that, well, police just happen to be on 3rd Street -- well, I wish they would just happen to 9 be on 27th Street, I wish they would happen to be 10 11 on Kinnickinnic, and all that. 12 I personally don't care if you waved them down or how they got there. I care more 13 about the situation as to why they're there 14 15 because it's actually a privilege that they happen to be in the area. 16 17 So to not still look at the situation itself would be unfair to all those other areas 18 throughout the city that don't have this 19 20 privilege. 21 So as I, as a decision maker, weigh it, 22 I'm not looking at it like it's the greatest thing 23 because you waved them down. It's not fair to everybody else who can't just walk outside their 24 establishment and wave down the police, who have 25



1	to make the call, who have to request the
2	services, and all of that kind of thing. So
3	that's number one, as we talk about fairness.
4	The other is this. We've chosen the
5	ten-day suspension, and each of us may have chosen
6	it for different reasons, but still, it's 23 total
7	incidents. That is a lot.
8	And I know it wasn't all in one year,
9	but if we look at totality, it is a lot. And it
10	does make you question the management style of an
11	institution that so many interventions on the part
12	of the police would happen to be there.
13	And there's this. In all fairness, I
14	believe in the year and a half that I have been on
15	this committee that we have given ten-day
16	suspensions to places that have had less.
17	So again, I'm waiting until we're done
18	with this whole thing to figure out where I'm
19	going to land on this. This is not on any
20	preconceived anything.
21	This could be a whole different club or
22	tavern or something. It's not anything against
23	this establishment itself. I'm just saying the
24	position that even this being pushed back to us by
25	the judge puts us in if you look at all fairness



1 and the types of issues that we have to deal with 2 on a regular basis through this committee. Thank 3 you. CHAIRMAN BOHL: If I may, I want to just 4 try to impart a couple thoughts, and I appreciate 5 the comments made, and I don't disagree with some 6 of the concerns that have been raised. 7 8 As a very young alderman at one point 9 when I was on this committee during my first term, I remember sitting here at the committee when we 10 had a few items on the police report from another 11 establishment, very large establishment, The Rave. 12 And I remember saying, oh, my goodness. 13 There were four items or something like that, four 14 15 items in a given year, and that's a whole lot. And then actually, it was shared with me, 16 17 perspective. The Rave in a given year has 300,000 people that will come through there. 18 Four incidents at The Rave versus we had 19 one particular -- the Wardski's place, had a 20 21 capacity of 24. On a given night, they may have 12, 13 customers. In a given year, they may have 22 23 a few hundred. The perspective, if you had four items 24 25 at Wardski's with a capacity of 24 is very



WWW.GRAMANNREPORTING.COM \* 414.272.7878

Innovation - Expertise - Integrity

different than necessarily talking about a place 1 2 like The Rave which is bringing in thousands on a 3 given night. And that's one thing that, frankly, committee members I think should factor in. 4 The other thing -- and it is a 5 frustration of mine, too, and I will share it. 6 The fact is downtown Water Street is a destination 7 8 location for the police department. That's a call of the police department, and maybe, collectively, 9 aldermen should share their thoughts if they 10 believe that otherwise that's not a good use of 11 12 resources. But that is the police department's call 13 based through the chief and through the individual 14 district commander as to where they're sending 15 16 resources. The chief of police, if he chose --17 statutorily, the chief of police has the right to 18 determine where the police resources qo. It's not 19 the local alderperson. We can offer suggestions 20 21 to the captains, suggestions to the chief, but the 22 police department is making that call. 23 I, frankly, support Alderman Wade's suggestion earlier that some of these locations, 24 frankly, ought to pony up some money for the 25



1	benefit of having those resources sitting there.
2	We've taken that and I suggest we pass
3	it on as a resolution and send it to the chief to
4	make our wishes known because it is you know
5	what? If they want the additional resource, they
6	have to pay for it like the Brewers have to on a
7	basis or the Milwaukee Bucks at the Bradley
8	Center pay for it on a basis of overtime to have
9	the benefit of having that there, and then maybe
10	that will free up officers.
11	But the fact is the determination is
12	being made by the district and by the chief, not
13	necessarily by this particular establishment or
14	any other place that's on Water Street. I share
15	that frustration.
16	But you know, the last thing that I will
17	just say is, if we wanted to be fair on the basis
18	of even at times where I think that it's
19	beneficial to make those calls if you're an
20	establishment like this, the last thing that I
21	will say is we somehow get very selective police
22	reports, in that we get them from places like
23	this. And I'll say that I can appreciate the
24	cause for concern because, frankly, when you
25	involve alcohol, people make dumb choices, period,



Innovation - Expertise - Integrity

#### Transcript of Proceedings - September 9, 2009

and you get people that act stupid. 1 2 And I always shy on the concern of 3 sometimes you get places that don't call the police and things escalate. So really, we're 4 caught between a rock and a hard place. 5 I've often heard individuals say, we'd 6 7 rather have you call the police and have a police 8 report done -- the police department is supposed to file a report when they have to show up, when 9 there is an incident, no matter minor or not. 10 11 With citation or not, it's an incident report --12 but we'd rather have you shy on the side of caution. 13 I don't want to get to the point where 14 15 it looks like we're acting punitively for encouraging bars to do what they're doing and then 16 17 turn around -- because the retort is, from a lot 18 of places, they don't want to call police. They avoid calling police. The guy who's a little 19 irate that they're holding and want to hold for 20 police now becomes more violent, and then we have 21 22 more statistics on the street. So there's a rock 23 and a hard place on that. 24 But the last thing that I will say is if we actually are talking about incidents -- and, 25



## Transcript of Proceedings - September 9, 2009

you know, you're correct, over the course of 1 2 years. But I think that this place, among others, 3 has called proactively more often than others. You're correct in terms of all the 4 numbers, but if we were to talk about numbers, 5 then frankly, we would be denying Summerfest, we 6 7 would be denying Miller Park, we would be denying 8 a liquor license to the Bradley Center. Because I can assure you that there's a bunch of morons that 9 get arrested every day that the Brewers are 10 11 playing at Miller Park, people who are drunk, 12 people who are given citations, people who are in fights. This is happening over and over again. 13 There's people who get disorderly conducts. 14 15 Frankly, as a licensed location, the Milwaukee Brewers ought to be called here, and we 16 17 ought to be able to have this list of a thousand pages because I'm certain that the police 18 department was actually filing P-33s because it is 19 a licensed location. 20 You'd sit there and say, look at all 21 22 these police resources. There's a thousand people that have been arrested for drunk driving over the 23 last year here. Miller Park ought to sell no 24 25 beer.



WWW.GRAMANNREPORTING.COM \* 414.272.7878

Innovation - Expertise - Integrity

1 ALDERWOMAN COGGS: They pay for those 2 resources. 3 ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI: Exactly. CHAIRMAN BOHL: That may be that they 4 pay for the police resources, but if you're going 5 6 to actually point the finger to the number of 7 incidents, police incidents, you'd say, this is a 8 travesty. 9 So as I said, I mean, if you're going to draw consistency across the board, there's a lot 10 11 that we actually could do. But I concur with your position and 12 concern about the amount of police resources that 13 we see here versus what we see in the district. 14 15 Frankly, I wish this chief would make that change. ALDERMAN BAUMAN: Mr. Chair? 16 17 CHAIRMAN BOHL: Alderman Bauman. 18 ALDERMAN BAUMAN: I have some questions for the city attorney. 19 Is it your understanding that the 20 court's decision held that based on the facts of 21 22 record, any suspension is improper as a matter of 23 law? 24 MR. SCHRIMPF: No. The court was dealing with the specific issue of a ten-day 25



09

22

suspension that was issued based upon the police 1 2 record that was before it. 3 Ten days is the ALDERMAN BAUMAN: minimum we can grant, so there's no lesser 4 punishment to be meted out by this committee, 5 6 correct? 7 MR. HART: That's not correct. 8 MR. SCHRIMPF: She ruled that what was 9 contained in the police report and what the committee had before it the last time around did 10 11 not support a ten-day suspension. That was the 12 extent of her ruling. ALDERMAN BAUMAN: Well, we have nothing 13 else before us, so by definition, that means this 14 body is barred from rendering any suspension. 15 16 MR. SCHRIMPF: At the point that she was 17 making that ruling, she didn't know what else was 18 going to come up. ALDERMAN BAUMAN: 19 That's my question. 20 Nothing new has come up, so based on your understanding of the decision, this committee is 21 22 basically barred from taking any disciplinary 23 action other than a warning letter? 24 MR. SCHRIMPF: I'm not going to go that 25 far.



23

ALDERMAN BAUMAN: Well, then the 1 2 committee is permitted to reinstitute the ten-day 3 suspension, correct? MR. SCHRIMPF: Well, based on the same 4 evidence, that would result in a reversal and 5 probably the court would then order --6 ALDERMAN BAUMAN: Then we're done here. 7 8 What's the point of wasting any more time of the 9 public and of this committee? This is a complete waste of time. 10 11 And Alderman Bohl, with all due respect, 12 you might as well come over here and sit on the 13 side of the applicant. That speech was biased. That speech was not fair. That speech was not 14 15 appropriate. You might as well be in their employ. And I don't quite understand it. You've 16 17 been very fair and honest and decent in how you handle these matters, but those comments were 18 frankly out of line. 19 20 Alderwoman Coggs was right on the money 21 with her comments. It is a problem in this 22 particular situation. 23 I read the judge's opinion. For example, there's no evidence in this case that 24 25 there is a police protocol. That's all hearsay.



24

1 He says so, Attorney Hart. Where's the police to 2 say that? That's basic rules of evidence which 3 this committee is always throwing out when poor 4 little citizens come in here and want to testify 5 6 about what they saw. Hearsay. Or send them a 7 letter. Hearsay. 8 Mr. Schrimpf said the court relied on a 9 letter from the Edelweiss owners. A letter? We throw those out at this committee. 10 That's 11 hearsay. What he's saying is that the court 12 relied on hearsay in reversing a decision of this 13 body. Let's look at the facts before we side 14 15 up with the applicants in these cases, because 16 they're a big bar, and find out what is the police 17 protocol. Where's the captain for the 1st 18 District? I don't see him here to testify that 19 yes, indeed, this establishment was following 20 21 police protocol when they made all these 23 calls. 22 There's no testimony to that effect in the record. 23 It's Attorney Hart saying so, and he has 24 no personal knowledge to that effect. He's an 25 advocate. He's making an argument, as I make



arguments. I don't have personal knowledge of 1 2 that either. 3 Get the 1st District captain here -adjourn this proceeding, and let's get the captain 4 in here, and let's hash out what the protocol is 5 or is not and why are there 10 to 15 squads on 3rd 6 7 Street and not on Teutonia and 27th Street and 8 Keefe Avenue. 9 Those are very good questions. I'd like to know some of those protocols myself. I don't 10 11 know what they are. 12 So yes, indeed, let's adjourn this matter, and if the new facts is responding to the 13 protocol of the police, then we'll put those 14 protocols at issue, and we'll have a trial --15 evidentiary hearing right here on what those 16 17 protocols are and whether they complied with them 18 or not. And based on the results of that 19 hearing, we can again consider any suspension or 20 21 disciplinary actions, and the court can review 22 them accordingly. 23 But right now is a waste of time. There 24 are no new facts. What's the point of going on? 25 MR. SCHRIMPF: Mr. Chairman, if I may



26

Transcript of Proceedings - September 9, 2009
---

Γ

1	say, number one, the court remanded it to this
2	body precisely to have that other hearing and
3	precisely to see if there are other facts.
4	Number two, the issue regarding the
5	statement of the owners of the Edelweiss came in
6	because what happened was that in the objections
7	that were filed to the recommendation of the
8	committee report, the statement of the Edelweiss
9	owners was included by the licensee.
10	At the time that the lawsuit gets filed,
11	I'm under an obligation to file with the court the
12	complete record. The complete record includes the
13	objections that were filed to the committee report
14	which included the letter from the owners of the
15	Edelweiss, and that's how the court got that
16	letter.
17	ALDERMAN BAUMAN: And you agree,
18	Mr. Schrimpf, that that letter came in not under
19	oath and without the benefit of cross-examination.
20	MR. SCHRIMPF: All that is true, but it
21	came in.
22	ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI: Mr. Chairman?
23	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Alderman Zielinski.
24	ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI: I just want to get
25	one final piece of clarification from our city



www.GramannReporting.com \* 414.272.7878

 $Innovation \cdot Expertise \cdot Integrity$ 

attorney, but I just have to make one quick 1 2 comment before I do that. 3 And I know it has no direct specific bearing on this case, but I can't tell you how 4 many times my constituents have called for police 5 services and said we don't have any police 6 7 services available because they're hanging out 8 right over there. And the question arises, why 9 are they hanging out over there? Because there's continual problems. 10 11 MR. HART: That's not fair. 12 MR. SCHRIMPF: Well, the allocation of the city resources, although the police chief 13 dictates -- I don't want to use that word, 14 necessarily -- but the chief of police determines 15 under 62.50 the allocation of the police 16 17 resources. It is the common council, ultimately, 18 that retains control over city property and the 19 city personnel in all cases. You do that through 20 21 your budget power. And if you wish reallocations, the common council can do it. 22 23 ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI: We can add money or take money for police officers, but if the police 24 chief still wants to put them in front of this 25



# Transcript of Proceedings - September 9, 2009

Г

1	bar, he can still do so, and there's nothing we
2	can do to stop him. And he's not putting them in
3	front of this location over here because he wants
4	them to listen to music. There's issues going on.
5	MR. SCHRIMPF: That's a matter between
6	the common council and the chief of police.
7	ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI: Going back to my
8	clarification
9	CHAIRMAN BOHL: That was my point.
10	ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI: Going back to my
11	clarification, Attorney Schrimpf.
12	So if I understand you correctly and
13	we're perfectly clear on this, if we were to make
14	a motion and approve a motion for a ten-day
15	suspension, what is the most likely occurrence?
16	MR. SCHRIMPF: It would be appealed. It
17	would probably be reversed.
18	ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI: And if it's
19	reversed, then what happens?
20	MR. SCHRIMPF: Well, I wouldn't want to
21	try the patience of the court.
22	There could come a point in time when,
23	if a body is sufficiently recalcitrant, that the
24	court could simply order something. I've never
25	seen it happen in the case of a common council.



29

1 There is a famous Green Bay case where 2 it happened in the case of a firing of a police 3 commissioner. ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI: I mean, I could 4 understand if it was the third or fourth time 5 where it rises to that level, but would the second 6 time rise to that level? 7 8 MR. SCHRIMPF: Well, the question is, if you already have a judicial determination that the 9 record, as it stands, is insufficient for a 10 ten-day suspension, then where are you going to 11 12 go? ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI: Well, thank you for 13 answering my question. 14 15 ALDERWOMAN COGGS: Mr. Chair? 16 CHAIRMAN BOHL: Alderwoman Coggs. 17 ALDERWOMAN COGGS: This is for the city 18 attorney. I'm taking into account all the comments 19 that have been made, and I think Alderman Bauman 20 21 kind of said it. But pretty much, a warning 22 letter and the next step being ten days, there's 23 nothing in between that, right? 24 MR. SCHRIMPF: No. So by the judge 25 ALDERWOMAN COGGS:

1	sending this back saying that the record was
2	insufficient and there not being any additional
3	record made between when this was first decided
4	and a ten-day was chosen versus now, essentially,
5	she is saying we have no option other than a
6	warning letter since ten days is the lowest
7	MR. SCHRIMPF: That would be your view
8	of it. I think the court's view of it might be
9	you don't have enough for a ten-day suspension.
10	If you have some level of punishment between the
11	ten-day suspension and a renewal, I'll consider
12	that.
13	ALDERWOMAN COGGS: Which is only a
14	warning letter, right?
15	MR. SCHRIMPF: That would be only a
16	warning letter, right.
17	ALDERWOMAN COGGS: So it's basically
18	telling us all we can give is a warning letter.
19	I'm just really interested in knowing, why are we
20	even hearing this?
21	ALDERMAN BAUMAN: That's right.
22	ALDERWOMAN COGGS: I could see if we did
23	90 days or 60 days or 30 days because you have
24	some other options lower than, but what we're left
25	with is two options. Do what we did in the first



1 place, which we know is going to be shot back, or 2 a warning letter. 3 So when you take that away, all we're left with is the one option. And if that's the 4 case, it could have saved us a lot of time and I'm 5 sure them a lot of money for the judge just to 6 have made that decision. 7 8 Was that argument made in court? 9 First of all, a judge is MR. SCHRIMPF: going to be very careful because of the separation 10 11 of powers from intruding into what is essentially 12 a legislative decision, and the renewal of an alcohol beverage license is legislative in nature. 13 ALDERWOMAN COGGS: But we don't really 14 have a decision. 15 MR. SCHRIMPF: Well, the judge remanded 16 17 it because the judge obviously knew there were various interests at play in this thing, and that 18 if it came to a new hearing before the committee, 19 the local alderman or the local citizenry or the 20 21 local licensee might have more evidence that they 22 wanted to present to the committee for the 23 committee's consideration, and that's why it's back here. 24 In other words, you have 25 CHAIRMAN BOHL:

1	neighbors who didn't show the last time and
2	decided that they had objections. You have the
3	ability of new neighbors to show up and provide
4	new testimony that wasn't there before. You have
5	a duration of time between that and that time, and
б	when it comes back again, there may be the
7	addition of additional police items that show up
8	which could be considered.
9	ALDERWOMAN COGGS: But you also have a
10	judge's ruling that pretty much says absent
11	violence or harm brought to customers, it would be
12	difficult for her to see why it has risen to the
13	level of ten days.
14	MR. SCHRIMPF: She was, again, careful
15	to point out and she was using that not as a
16	ruling as such but as an illustrative example of
17	what she normally sees in one of these cases,
18	given the fact that the record itself was
19	relatively light and there had been no serious
20	injuries. So she was giving that as an
21	illustrative answer.
22	ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI: Just one quick
23	question, the last question I have.
24	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Last question.
25	ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI: Is there an option



Γ

1	for the committee that we can approve the renewal
2	of this license without taking into consideration
3	all the previous incidents that have occurred?
4	MR. SCHRIMPF: You always have that
5	option.
б	CHAIRMAN BOHL: I think you have that
7	option if there is an adjudication that is not
8	complete.
9	I think he's talking about at times
10	where somebody either there's a fight that
11	occurred and there was some violence and there's
12	an outstanding investigation still occurring, or
13	they're fighting an incident where they are
14	contesting somebody was underage and it's still
15	pending, we have the ability to include it or to
16	not.
17	I don't know that an incident report on
18	Item No. 11 or 12 or whatever else, that you hold
19	that open.
20	MR. SCHRIMPF: Normally, it is because
21	there's something that's pending. That is true.
22	On the other hand, I suppose it's
23	possible for the committee to say, all right,
24	we're not going to include Item No. so and so and
25	so and so for various reasons. It might be



34

because we want to see if you can clean up your 1 act in the next license year. 2 3 ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI: Can I finish my line of questioning? 4 MR. HART: I'm going to just object to 5 This is outside the notice provision. this. 6 7 We're here to decide the renewal issue, and I'm 8 doing my best to be deferential. And I apologize, 9 but I've got --CHAIRMAN BOHL: How do you think I feel? 10 I'm getting insulted by my own colleagues here, 11 12 all right? I'm trying to be impartial myself, so I'm worse than you. And then I get you objecting 13 to me too. He's objecting to me, you're objecting 14 15 to me. ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI: I'm not objecting 16 17 to you, Mr. Chair. I appreciate you recognizing me again. I appreciate your difficult situation. 18 CHAIRMAN BOHL: 19 Sure. 20 ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI: I just want to get clarification because, you know, it's my intention 21 22 to make a motion to approve this license without 23 taking into account all the previous incidents. And I just want to, before I make that 24 25 motion, make sure that from the city attorney's



perspective, there's no problem with that motion. 1 MR. SCHRIMPF: 2 I think you could do 3 that, but I would urge that you kind of then identify in this police report which items you're 4 not including with some precision because 5 otherwise it's going to become a very murky record 6 7 later on. 8 ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI: Well, I guess my 9 concern is if you aggregate, you know, these incidents and if it isn't straightened out, that 10 11 would be establishing a better record to proceed 12 in the future. Is there a problem with that? I mean, if they clean up their act, I'm 13 a happy guy. If we don't have to keep sending 14 15 police over there, maybe the chief won't allocate officers over there, and some of us can get more 16 17 of a police presence in our district, and 18 everybody is happy. They're happy, I'm happy, my constituents are happy. 19 20 MR. SCHRIMPF: Here's the problem that I 21 think you're dealing with. You have, at this 22 juncture, a tavern that attracts a crowd that 23 occasionally engages in this activity. Given the fact that the record is 24 25 there's 160,000 patrons a year that go through the



www.GramannReporting.com \* 414.272.7878

Innovation - Expertise - Integrity

Γ

1	place, you have in the last license year, I don't
2	know, seven or eight items, and you want to look
3	at that. You know, how bad really is that? I
4	don't know. That's a decision you folks have to
5	make.
6	ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI: I think, you know,
7	based on the testimony and hearing a lot of cases
8	here, I still think that that's a very high
9	number.
10	There's plenty of places that serve a
11	lot of people. We never have them come before the
12	committee. We never have any issues. The cops
13	never go over there.
14	So I think there's other things that can
15	be done proactively to help prevent the
16	incidents the number of incidents from
17	occurring. And I just want to make sure that I
18	make that message very loud and clear so that
19	everybody is happy.
20	They can straighten out their act, they
21	take steps to preclude this type of activity from
22	taking place on a regular basis over here, we get
23	more police patrols, everybody is happy.
24	But I want to hold their feet to the
25	fire and be able to use, you know, the police



WWW.GRAMANNREPORTING.COM \* 414.272.7878 Innovation · Expertise · Integrity

1	record. If they straighten out their act, we
2	don't have any problems. Then we're all happy.
3	That's all. Thank you.
4	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Mr. Hart?
5	MR. HART: I'd like to set the motion,
6	but before doing that, I just want to say it would
7	be very easy for me to respond in kind to Alderman
8	Bauman's remarks to the chairman, which I think
9	are wholly inappropriate, so I'm going to hold my
10	tongue on that.
11	I want this committee to understand who
12	the licensee is. Bob Durkin is the president and
13	licensee. He traveled here from Charlotte, North
14	Carolina, where he resides to be present and to be
15	heard. These are responsible, reputable people
16	that support the neighborhood.
17	The number of police contacts that are
18	referenced in this notice and have been referred
19	to in this record occurred over a period of seven
20	years, from the year 2002 to the year 2009.
21	If we accept that number at 23 or 24,
22	that's over a seven-year period, fewer than four
23	instances a year. Mr. Schrimpf indicated there
24	are 160,000 people a year that come through the
25	doors of our establishment.



www.GRAMANNREPORTING.COM \* 414.272.7878 Innovation • Expertise • Integrity 38

1	With respect to police presence on Old
2	World 3rd Street, there are 30 businesses in that
3	area. There's the Bradley Center. The allocation
4	of police resources that has been mentioned on
5	this record is not something that is subject to
б	any control this particular establishment has.
7	We're operating within the confines of
8	the protocol. We're doing things responsibly as
9	the police have asked.
10	I appreciate Alderwoman Cogg's concerns
11	about the police allocation and her constituency.
12	I nor the licensee can do anything about that.
13	We have moved this body for a resolution
14	permitting us to hire off-duty police officers as
15	security, and I would encourage this body to
16	revisit this issue again.
17	I will also say that on any given night
18	where there are numbers of people in our business,
19	we have 12 security people. We have installed
20	cameras on the back patio to ensure, to the extent
21	that the situation complained of by
22	Commissioner Lipscomb, to the extent that we were
23	responsible for it, that we've taken steps to
24	ensure that it never occurs again.
25	Frankly, I have photographs, and I am



39

www.GRAMANNREPORTING.COM \* 414.272.7878 Innovation • Expertise • Integrity

very dubious about whether that beer came from our 1 2 patio. 3 That aside, Judge Lamelas ordered this case remanded de novo for this committee to decide 4 what the appropriate licensing renewal decision 5 should be in light of the fact that based on her 6 7 judicial review of the record, there was 8 insufficient evidence to support a ten-day 9 suspension. While Alderman Zielinski's creative 10 11 attempt to call the question is interesting, I 12 would urge you to vote on the record as it is. There are no neighborhood complainants here for 13 this de novo review, and I'm asking this body to 14 call the question of the renewal of the license of 15 Old World, LLC, and Andrew Arena, agent for 16 17 Buckhead Saloon, now. I think, absent any other information, 18 there is ample reason to support the renewal of 19 this responsible, proactive business who employs 20 21 50 people in this city, contributes approximately 22 \$200,000 in taxes to this city, contributes 23 members of their organization to serve on the Old World 3rd Street Association, and to focus not 24 just on a few incidents per year over an extended 25



40

WWW.GRAMANNREPORTING.COM \* 414.272.7878 Innovation - Expertise - Integrity

stay on Old World 3rd Street, but look at all the 1 2 good that they do. 3 And if you do that on this record, in spite of Alderman Bauman's protestations to the 4 contrary and suggestions of biasedness, which I 5 find a little bit more than ironic, I'm asking 6 that you renew the license of this establishment 7 8 without any further restriction. Thank you. 9 CHAIRMAN BOHL: What I'm going to do is, because it's part of the protocol here, I will 10 11 just ask if any individuals who are present are 12 here to testify in opposition to the license. Let the record reflect that no one does 13 respond to my question here on that. 14 15 Are there questions by committee members first? No questions by committee members. 16 17 Alderman Bauman, do you wish to respond? 18 ALDERMAN BAUMAN: Nothing further. CHAIRMAN BOHL: If there are no other 19 20 questions by committee members, we'll take this into committee. 21 22 ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI: I would move 23 approval with the exceptions of items 22 -- taking into account the police report with the exceptions 24 of Items 22, 23, 24, 25, and 26. 25



41

Г

1	MR. HART: I would
2	CHAIRMAN BOHL: We're in committee at
3	this point now. Let me just repeat the motion.
4	The motion by Alderman Zielinski is to
5	recommend approval of the renewal of the license
6	but to exclude from the decision Item Nos. 22, 23,
7	24, 25, and 26 within the police report.
8	ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI: That's correct,
9	Mr. Chairman.
10	CHAIRMAN BOHL: On the motion,
11	Alderwoman Coggs?
12	ALDERWOMAN COGGS: I'm going to support
13	the motion. I just wanted to put this on the
14	record because I don't know where this situation
15	might end up.
16	The other thing that we often consider
17	in these decisions that we make, not only in this
18	case but in all of them, and we've said it several
19	times but I just to make sure it's on the record
20	here, and that's this. It's progressive
21	discipline.
22	And since there is nothing between a
23	warning letter and ten days, when you have
24	establishments that have received several or more
25	than one warning letter previously, if we truly



www.GRAMANNREPORTING.COM \* 414.272.7878 Innovation • Expertise • Integrity

are to abide by progressive discipline, the next 1 2 thing we have at our hands, according to 3 ordinances, is the ten-day suspension. So sometimes it may not solely be based 4 on one incident or a violent act or anything like 5 6 that but progressive discipline. So I'm going to 7 support the motion. 8 CHAIRMAN BOHL: Thank you. Any other 9 discussion on the motion? No additional discussion. 10 11 Again, the motion is to recommend 12 approval of the renewal of the license but to 13 exclude Item Nos. 22 through 26 on the police report and hold those open for the possibility of 14 future consideration. 15 16 If we could get a roll call vote on 17 this, please. MS. GRILL: Alderman Hamilton. 18 ALDERMAN HAMILTON: 19 Aye. 20 MS. GRILL: Alderman Kovac. 21 ALDERMAN KOVAC: Ave. 22 MS. GRILL: Alderwoman Coggs. 23 ALDERWOMAN COGGS: Ave. MS. GRILL: Alderman Zielinski. 24 25 ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI: Aye.



Г

1	MS. GRILL: Mr. Chair.
2	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Aye. Motion will carry
3	on a 5 to 0 vote.
4	(Hearing concluded at 5:19 p.m.)
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	



44

www.GRAMANNREPORTING.COM \* 414.272.7878 Innovation • Expertise • Integrity

1	STATE OF WISCONSIN )
2	) SS: COUNTY OF MILWAUKEE )
3	I, Lindsay DeWaide, a Registered Professional
4	Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of
5	Wisconsin, do hereby certify that the preceding hearing
6	was reported by me and reduced to writing under my
7	personal direction.
8	I further certify that said hearing was taken
9	at MILWAUKEE CITY HALL, 200 East Wells Street, Room
10	301-B, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, on the 9th day of
11	September, 2009, commencing at 4:33 p.m.
12	I further certify that I am not a relative or
13	employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties,
14	or a relative or employee of such attorney or counsel,
15	or financially interested directly or indirectly in
16	this action.
17	In witness whereof, I have hereunto set my hand
18	and affixed my seal of office at Milwaukee, Wisconsin,
19	this 21st day of September, 2009.
20	
21	
22	
23	LINDSAY DEWAIDE, RPR Notary Public, State of Wisconsin
24	My Commission Expires: January 17, 2013.
25	



45

WWW.GRAMANNREPORTING.COM \* 414.272.7878 Innovation - Expertise - Integrity

	17:17	actions (2)	alderperson (2)	approval (3)
\$	3rd (7)	9:24;26:21	10:9;18:20	41:23;42:5;43:12
Ψ	- 3:6;11:20;15:8;	activity (2)	ALDERWOMAN (20)	approve (4)
¢200 000 (1)	26:6;39:2;40:24;	36:23;37:21	14:10,11,12,21;	6:2;29:14;34:1;
<b>\$200,000</b> (1)	41:1	acts (1)	22:1;24:20;30:15,	35:22
40:22		11:2	16,17,25;31:13,17,	approved (1)
-	5	actually (6)	22;32:14;33:9;	4:13
0	5	15:15;17:16;	39:10;42:11,12;	
	<b>F</b> (1)			approximately (1)
0(1)	5 (1)	20:25;21:19;22:6,	43:22,23	40:21
44:3	44:3	11	allocate (1)	area (5)
	<b>5:19</b> (1)	add (1)	36:15	8:2;11:10;12:1;
1	44:4	28:23	allocation (4)	15:16;39:3
<b>A</b>	50 (2)	addition (1)	28:12,16;39:3,11	areas (1)
10 (1)	7:11;40:21	33:7	although (1)	15:18
10(1)		additional (6)	28:13	Arena (10)
26:6	6	6:10,18;19:5;	always (4)	3:3,7,10,15,22,23;
1044 (1)	•	31:2;33:7;43:9	6:4;20:2;25:4;	4:6,15,24;40:16
3:6	( <b>0</b> , ( <b>2</b> ))	adjourn (2)	34:4	argument (3)
11 (2)	<b>60</b> (2)			
4:3;34:18	4:10;31:23	26:4,12	among (1)	8:18;25:25;32:8
12 (3)	62.50 (1)	adjudication (1)	21:2	arguments (1)
17:22;34:18;	28:16	34:7	amount (1)	26:1
39:19		adverse (1)	22:13	arises (1)
13 (1)	9	9:25	ample (1)	28:8
		adversely (1)	40:19	around (2)
17:22	90 (1)	5:19	Amusement (1)	20:17;23:10
15 (1)		advocate (1)	3:4	arrested (2)
26:6	31:23			
160,000 (2)		25:25	and/or (1)	21:10,23
36:25;38:24	Α	afternoon (2)	6:9	aside (3)
1st (2)		3:7,15	Andrew (3)	13:8,10;40:3
25:18;26:3	abide (1)	again (12)	3:14,22;40:16	assign (1)
25.18,20.5	- 43:1	7:4;10:14;11:12;	Andy (1)	14:6
2	ability (2)	16:17;21:13;26:20;	3:3	Association (1)
2	- 33:3;34:15	33:6,14;35:18;	answered (1)	40:24
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	39:16,24;43:11	12:11	assortment (1)
20 (1)	able (3)			4:5
8:20	5:4;21:17;37:25	against (2)	apologize (1)	
2002 (1)	absent (2)	5:20;16:22	35:8	assure (1)
38:20	33:10;40:18	agent (4)	apparently (1)	21:9
2008 (1)	accept (1)	3:3,15,24;40:16	8:5	attached (1)
4:3	38:21	aggregate (1)	appealed (2)	4:2
	acceptable (1)	36:9	4:15;29:16	attempt (1)
2009 (1)	6:23	agree (2)	appear (2)	40:11
38:20		5:9;27:17	13:21:14:1	attention (1)
22 (4)	according (1)	agreed (1)	appearance (1)	13:15
41:23,25;42:6;	43:2	11:4	3:12	attorney (9)
43:13	accordingly (1)			
23 (6)	26:22	ahead (3)	appeared (1)	7:7;12:18;14:13;
15:2;16:6;25:21;	accosting (1)	12:14,21,22	13:23	22:19;25:1,23;28:1;
38:21;41:25;42:6	11:7	alcohol (2)	appears (4)	29:11;30:18
24 (5)	account (3)	19:25;32:13	3:14;7:13;13:13,	attorney's (1)
17:21,25;38:21;	30:19;35:23;	Alderman (57)	16	35:25
	41:24	6:23;7:5,6;8:15;	applicant (1)	attracts (1)
41:25;42:7	acknowledge (1)	9:8;10:5,11;11:14,	24:13	36:22
25 (3)	3:24	18;12:9,17;17:8;	applicants (1)	August (1)
12:8;41:25;42:7		18:23;22:3,16,17,	25:15	4:3
26 (4)	across(1)	18;23:3,13,19;24:1,	application (1)	available (1)
12:8;41:25;42:7;	22:10	7,11;27:17,22,23,	4:1	
43:13	act (7)		-	28:7
27 (1)	10:24;20:1;35:2;	24;28:23;29:7,10,	applications (1)	Avenue (1)
12:8	36:13;37:20;38:1;	18;30:4,13,20;	3:4	26:8
27th (2)	43:5	31:21;32:20;33:22,	appreciate (5)	avoid (1)
	acted (1)	25;35:3,16,20;36:8;	17:5;19:23;35:17,	20:19
15:10;26:7	- 5:17	37:6;38:7;40:10;	18;39:10	away (1)
3		41:4,17,18,22;42:4,	appropriate (6)	32:3
3	acting (1)	8;43:18,19,20,21,	8:13;9:18,23;	Aye (5)
	- 20:15	24,25	11:12;24:15;40:5	
30 (2)	action (6)			43:19,21,23,25;
31:23;39:2	5:19;6:2;8:14;	aldermen (1)	appropriately (2)	44:2
300,000 (1)	13:20;14:16;23:23	18:10	8:5;10:10	
	1			1

	41:5	cal
B	<b>big</b> (1) - 25:16	cal
back (10)	bit (1)	cal ,
5:24;6:6;16:24;	41:6	
29:7,10;31:1;32:1,	blood (1)	1
24;33:6;39:20	9:12 board (1)	car
<b>bad</b> (1) 37:3	22:10	
bar (6)	Bob (2)	car
9:10;10:14;11:8,	3:22;38:12	-
9;25:16;29:1	body (7)	car
barred (2)	23:15;25:13;27:2; 29:23;39:13,15;	
23:15,22 bars (2)	40:14	
7:20;20:16	<b>BOHL (36)</b>	
based (12)	3:2,11,16,18,23;	
4:18;8:22;14:8;	4:7;5:13,23;6:17, 21;9:7;10:3,8;	
18:14;22:21;23:1, 20;24:4;26:19;37:7;	11:15;12:13,20;	caj
40:6;43:4	14:11;17:4;22:4,17;	
basic (1)	24:11;27:23;29:9;	caj
25:3	30:16;32:25;33:24; 34:6;35:10,19;38:4;	
Basically (5)	41:9,19;42:2,10;	caj
4:12;5:1,11; 23:22;31:17	43:8;44:2	car
basis (5)	Bradley (3)	
17:2;19:7,8,17;	19:7;21:8;39:3	car
37:22	Brewers (3) 19:6;21:10,16	Ca
<b>BAUMAN (15)</b> 12:9,17;22:16,17,	brief (1)	Ca
18;23:3,13,19;24:1,	12:21	car
7;27:17;30:20;	briefly (2)	4
31:21;41:17,18	10:2;11:17 bringing (1)	cas
Bauman's (2) 38:8;41:4	18:2	
Bay (1)	broken (1)	
30:1	11:3	4
bearing (1)	<b>brought (1)</b> 33:11	cas
28:4 bears (1)	Buckhead (4)	
14:23	3:5,15;5:7;40:17	cau
become (2)	Bucks (1)	
12:3;36:6	19:7 <b>budget (1)</b>	cat
becomes (2)	28:21	cat
7:2;20:21 beer (3)	bulk (1)	
5:5;21:25;40:1	5:15	Ce
behalf (1)	<b>bunch (1)</b> 21:9	0.07
3:14	business (3)	cer
<b>behavior (1)</b> 7:18	7:14;39:18;40:20	Ch
beneficial (1)	businesses (1)	
19:19	39:2	
benefit (3)	<b>busted</b> (1) 9:11	CE
19:1,9;27:19 <b>best (1)</b>	,,,,,	
35:8	C	
better (1)	aall (12)	
36:11	<b>call (12)</b> 7:10;9:11;16:1;	
<b>beverage (1)</b> 32:13	18:8,13,22;20:3,7,	
biased (1)	18;40:11,15;43:16	
24:13	called (3)	
biasedness (1)	21:3,16;28:5	

	1
<b>calling (2)</b> 9:20;20:19	8 4
calls (7)	cha
7:22;8:20,25; 10:15;13:22;19:19;	3 Cha
25:21	3
<b>came (7)</b> 5:7;9:6;27:5,18,	che 1
21;32:19;40:1 cameras (1)	chie 1
39:20	1
<b>can (23)</b> 3:8;6:14;10:1,9,	23
19;18:20;19:23;	chiı
21:9;23:4;26:20,21; 28:22,23;29:1,2;	9 <b>cho</b>
31:18;34:1;35:1,3; 36:16;37:14,20;	1 cho
39:12	1
<b>capacity (2)</b> 17:21,25	cho 1
captain (3)	Cir
25:18;26:3,4 captains (1)	4 circ
18:21 care (3)	5
11:5;15:12,13	1 cita
<b>careful (3)</b> 9:2;32:10;33:14	2 cita
Carolina (1)	2
38:14 carry (1)	citiz 3
44:2 case (13)	citiz 2
4:17,18,20;9:5;	city
11:1;24:24;28:4; 29:25;30:1,2;32:5;	72
40:4;42:18	1
<b>cases (5)</b> 10:24;25:15;	4 clar
28:20;33:17;37:7 caught (1)	1 1
20:5	Cla
<b>cause (1)</b> 19:24	3 clea
caution (1)	3
20:13 Center (3)	clea 6
19:8;21:8;39:3 certain (2)	3 clui
11:19;21:18	1
<b>Chair (7)</b> 12:9,17;14:10;	coa 1
22:16;30:15;35:17;	CO
44:1 CHAIRMAN (43)	1
3:2,11,13,16,18, 23;4:7;5:13,23;	1 2
6:17,21;7:6;9:7;	4
10:1,3,8;11:15,16; 12:13,20;14:11;	Cog 3
17:4;22:4,17;26:25;	cog
27:22,23;29:9; 30:16;32:25;33:24;	5 coll
34:6;35:10,19;38:4,	3

:41:9,19:42:2,9,10: 3:8;44:2 ange (2) 3:5:22:15 arlotte (1) 8:13 ecking (1) 0:13 ef (12) 8:14,17,18,21; 9:3,12;22:15; 28:13,15,25;29:6; 6:15 ns (1) ):12 ices (1) 9:25 se (1) 8:17 osen (3) 6:4,5;31:4 cuit (1) 1:16 cumstances (4) 5:16;7:16;8:23; 1:13 ntion (1) 20:11 tions (1) 1:12 zenry (1) 32:20 zens (1) 25:5 (12)1:7:14:13:15:19: 2:19:27:25:28:13. 9,20;30:17;35:25; 40:21,22 rification (5) 0:6:27:25:29:8, 1:35:21 ass (1) 3:3 an (2) 5:1:36:13 ar (4) 5:13:11:19:29:13; 37:18 b (1) 6:21 ıt (1) 0:13 GGS (19) 4:10,11,12,21; 2:1;24:20;30:15, 6,17,25;31:13,17, 2;32:14;33:9; 2:11,12;43:22,23 gg's (1) 9:10 gnizance (1) 5:10 leagues (1) 35:11

collectively (1) 18:9 coming (1) 8:11 commander (1) 18:15 comment (1) 28:2 comments (5) 13:21;17:6;24:18, 21;30:19 **Commissioner** (3) 13:9;30:3;39:22 committee (31) 4:12,20;5:18; 6:14;10:9;16:15; 17:2,9,10;18:4;23:5, 10,21;24:2,9;25:4, 10;27:8,13;32:19, 22;34:1,23;37:12; 38:11;40:4;41:15, 16,20,21;42:2 committee's (1) 32:23 common (5) 4:25;28:18,22; 29:6,25 complainants (1) 40:13 complained (2) 5:6;39:21 complaint (1) 4:3complete (4) 24:9:27:12,12; 34:8 complied (1) 26:17 concern (5) 7:21;19:24;20:2; 22:13;36:9 concerns (2) 17:7:39:10 concluded (1) 44:4 concur (1) 22:12 conducts (1) 21:14 confine (1) 9:2 confines (1) 39:7 confiscated (1) 8:11 confrontational (1) 12:4consider (7) 8:23;10:6,19,20; 26:20;31:11;42:16 consideration (5) 4:23;7:18;32:23; 34:2;43:15 considered (2) 10:18;33:8

consistency (1)		- 28:15		9:19;11:24;14:25;
22:10	D	dictates (1)	Ε	16:24;19:18;31:20
consistent (1)	D	- 28:14		everybody (4)
14:3	dance (1)	different (4)	earlier (2)	15:24;36:18;
constituency (1)	11:7	15:3;16:6,21;18:1	4:13;18:24	37:19,23
39:11	dated (1)	difficult (2)	easy (1)	evidence (5)
constituents (2)	4:3	33:12;35:18	38:7	24:5,24;25:3;
28:5;36:19	day (2)	difficulty (1)	Edelweiss (5)	32:21;40:8
consumption (3)	15:1;21:10	14:24	5:3;25:9;27:5,8,	evidentiary (1)
14:4,7;15:6	days (10)	direct (1)	15	26:16
contact (2)	14:16,17;23:3;	28:3	educated (1)	Exactly (1)
9:23;11:22	30:22;31:6,23,23,	direction (1)	6:1	22:3
contacted (1)	23;33:13;42:23	6:5	effect (2)	example (5)
8:12	de (2)	disagree (1)	25:22,24	7:10;8:9;9:4;
contacts (1)	40:4,14	17:6	eight (1)	24:24;33:16
38:17	deal (2)	disciplinary (2)	37:2	exceptions (2)
contained (1)	12:2;17:1	23:22;26:21	either (2)	41:23,24
23:9	dealing (2)	discipline (3)	26:2;34:10	exclude (2)
contesting (1)	22:25;36:21	42:21;43:1,6	else (4)	42:6;43:13
34:14	decent (1)	discovered (1)	15:24;23:14,17;	Exhibit (1)
continual (1)	24:17	8:9	34:18	14:9
28:10	decide (3)	discussion (3)	employ (1)	existed (1)
contrary (2)	14:15;35:7;40:4	11:23;43:9,10	24:16	4:19
13:4;41:5	decided (3)	disorderly (2)	employs (1)	extended (1)
contributes (2)	5:11;31:3;33:2	7:12;21:14	40:20	40:25
40:21,22	decision (11)	disputes (1)	encourage (1)	extension (1)
control (2)	4:10;15:21;22:21;	10:13	39:15	8:18
28:19;39:6	23:21;25:12;32:7,	district (6)	encouraging (1)	extent (4)
<b>cops (1)</b> 37:12	12,15;37:4;40:5;	18:15;19:12;	20:16	13:2;23:12;39:20, 22
	42:6	22:14;25:19;26:3; 36:17	end (1)	22
correctly (1) 29:12	decisions (1)	documents (1)	42:15	F
council (7)	42:17	4:24	engages (1)	F
4:25;5:19;6:14;	declined (1)	4.24 done (4)	36:23	fact (9)
28:18,22;29:6,25	13:15	16:17;20:8;24:7;	engaging (1)	6:13;8:8,24;15:8;
counsel (2)	deferential (1)	37:15	9:3	18:7;19:11;33:18;
3:8,11	35:8	door (1)	enough (1)	36:24;40:6
County (2)	<b>definition (1)</b> 23:14	11:3	31:9 ensure (2)	factor (1)
4:16;13:10	<b>degree (1)</b>	doors (1)	39:20,24	18:4
couple (2)	14:16	38:25	entertainment (1)	facts (7)
9:11;17:5	demonstrate (1)	down (6)	3:5	4:21;9:2;22:21;
course (2)	8:1	7:8;12:1,5;15:13,	entire (1)	25:14;26:13,24;
6:15;21:1	denied (1)	23,25	6:24	27:3
Court (12)	4:1	downtown (1)	environment (2)	fair (9)
4:16;22:24;24:6;	deny (1)	18:7	7:17,23	5:21;7:2;14:5,24;
25:8,11;26:21;27:1,	9:13	drain (1)	episode (1)	15:23;19:17;24:14,
11,15;29:21,24;32:8	denying (3)	13:3	8:3	17;28:11
court's (2)	21:6,7,7	draw (1)	equipped (1)	fairness (3)
22:21;31:8	department (9)	22:10	12:2	16:3,13,25
create (1)	9:24;11:11,19;	driving (1)	escalate (1)	fake (2)
9:18	13:2;18:8,9,22;	21:23	20:4	8:9;13:18
creates (2)	20:8;21:19	drunk (3)	essentially (2)	famous (1)
7:17;9:15	department's (1)	9:15;21:11,23	31:4;32:11	30:1
creating (3)	18:13	dubious (1)	establishing (1)	far (1)
7:17,24;9:20	described (2)	40:1	36:11	23:25
creative (1)	14:3,9	due (1)	establishment (20)	fault (1)
40:10	destination (1)	24:11	5:17,20;7:10,14,	14:25
cross-examination (1)	18:7	dumb (1)	22;13:1,15,19,23;	feel (1)
27:19 growd (1)	determination (3)	19:25 duration (1)	14:8;15:25;16:23;	35:10 feels (1)
<b>crowd (1)</b> 36:22	10:10;19:11;30:9	<b>duration</b> (1) 33:5	17:12,12;19:13,20;	feels (1)
50:22 Cruise (1)	determine (2)	33:5 during (1)	25:20;38:25;39:6;	8:22 feet (1)
5:3	5:5;18:19	17:9	41:7	37:24
customers (2)	determined (2)	<b>Durkin (2)</b>	establishments (1)	<b>felt (1)</b>
17:22;33:11	4:18;5:15	3:22;38:12	42:24	5:18
17.22,33.11	determines (1)	5.22,50.12	even (6)	5.10

	- F	1		September 9, 2009
formalian (1)	10.10	24.19	halding (1)	6.15.40.19
females (1)	19:10	24:18	holding (1)	6:15;40:18
11:7	front (2)	handled (1)	20:20	injuries (1)
few (3)	28:25;29:3	8:5	honest (1)	33:20
17:11,23;40:25	frustration (2)	handling (1)	24:17	injury (2)
fewer (1)	18:6;19:15	11:25	hours (1)	13:13,13
38:22	fully (1)	hands (1)	11:20	inquiries (1)
fight (1)	10:21	43:2	hundred (1)	11:18
34:10	further (5)	hanging (2)	17:23	installed (1)
fighting (2)	5:4;6:14;10:5;	28:7,9	hypothetical (2)	39:19
9:10;34:13	41:8,18	happen (6)	8:17;9:4	instances (3)
fights (1)	future (2)	15:8,9,10,15;	,	12:16,25;38:23
21:13	36:12;43:15	16:12;29:25	Ι	institution (1)
	50.12,45.15		1	
figure (1)	G	happened (5)		16:11
16:18	G	11:10;12:7,19;	<b>ID</b> (1)	insufficient (4)
file (2)		27:6;30:2	8:11	4:21;30:10;31:2;
20:9;27:11	game (1)	happening (1)	identify (1)	40:8
filed (3)	7:2	21:13	36:4	insulted (1)
27:7,10,13		happens (1)	IDs (3)	35:11
	generally (1)			
filing (1)	13:16	29:19	8:9;13:18,20	intend (1)
21:19	gentlemen (1)	happy (9)	ignore (1)	3:20
final (1)	3:7	12:23;36:14,18,	9:17	intention (1)
27:25	gets (1)	18,18,19;37:19,23;	illustrative (2)	35:21
find (2)	27:10	38:2	33:16,21	interested (1)
25:16;41:6		hard (2)	impart (1)	31:19
	given (10)			
fine (1)	16:15;17:15,17,	20:5,23	17:5	interesting (1)
15:7	21,22;18:3;21:12;	harm (2)	impartial (1)	40:11
finger (1)	33:18;36:24;39:17	14:18;33:11	35:12	interests (1)
22:6	giving (1)	Hart (18)	impressed (2)	32:18
finish (1)	33:20	3:13,13,17;4:15;	5:2;8:8	interventions (1)
35:3	Good (5)	5:9;10:1;11:15,16;	improper (1)	16:11
fire (1)	3:7,15;18:11;	12:15,23;23:7;25:1,	22:22	into (10)
37:25	26:9;41:2	23;28:11;35:5;38:4,	inappropriate (1)	7:18;9:13;12:10,
firing (1)	goodness (1)	5;42:1	38:9	18;30:19;32:11;
30:2	17:13	H-A-R-T (1)	incident (8)	34:2;35:23;41:21,
first (6)	grant (1)	3:16	11:24;13:8,10;	24
12:11;17:9;31:3,	23:4	hash (1)	20:10,11;34:13,17;	intruding (1)
		26:5		32:11
25;32:9;41:16	great (1)		43:5	
flag (2)	15:5	heard (5)	incidents (24)	investigation (2)
11:25;12:4	greater (1)	4:17,20;10:1;	7:20;9:7,9;10:12,	5:4;34:12
floor (1)	9:18	20:6;38:15	17,20;12:6,19;	involve (1)
11:7	greatest (1)	hearing (11)	13:12,17;14:1,3,8;	19:25
focus (1)	15:22	4:17;5:12;10:7,	16:7;17:19;20:25;	involved (3)
40:24	Green (1)	23;26:16,20;27:2;	22:7,7;34:3;35:23;	4:24;12:5;13:12
folks (1)	30:1	31:20;32:19;37:7;	36:10;37:16,16;	involving (2)
37:4	GRILL (5)	44:4	40:25	13:9,10
followed (1)	43:18,20,22,24;	hearsay (5)	include (3)	irate (1)
13:1	44:1	24:25;25:6,7,11,	15:2;34:15,24	20:20
following (1)	guess (4)	12	included (2)	ironic (1)
25:20	5:15,25;6:1;36:8	held (1)	27:9,14	41:6
			,	
forget (1)	guy (3)	22:21	includes (1)	issuance (2)
15:4	9:15;20:19;36:14	help (2)	27:12	4:13;6:9
forth (1)		7:12;37:15	including (1)	issue (5)
14:9	H	Here's (1)	36:5	22:25;26:15;27:4;
found (1)		36:20	indeed (2)	35:7;39:16
11:3	half (2)	hey (3)	25:20;26:12	issued (1)
four (5)	12:6;16:14	8:6,19,24	indicated (3)	23:1
17:14,14,19,24;	Hamilton (2)	high (1)	5:16;14:1;38:23	issues (5)
38:22	43:18,19	37:8	individual (6)	10:13;12:3;17:1;
fourth (1)	hand (2)	hint (1)	7:3;10:8,14;11:6,	29:4;37:12
30:5	3:20;34:22	11:24	8;18:14	item (5)
frankly (9)	handed (1)	hire (1)	individuals (3)	3:2;34:18,24;
18:3,23,25;19:24;	7:8	39:14	3:19;20:6;41:11	42:6;43:13
21:6,15;22:15;	handing (1)	hold (5)	infer (1)	items (14)
24:19;39:25	6:6	20:20;34:18;	10:16	5:14,18;6:18;
free (1)	handle (1)	37:24;38:9;43:14	information (2)	10:6;15:3;17:11,14,
(-)				,,,

				September 9, 2009
15,24;33:7;36:4;	left (2)	long (1)	39:4	19:13;28:15
37:2;41:23,25	31:24;32:4	6:15	merits (2)	need (1)
37.2,41.23,23	legislative (2)	look (9)	12:10,18	7:12
J	32:12,13	9:8,19;15:17;	message (1)	neighborhood (3)
J	legislatively (1)	16:9,25;21:21;	37:18	4:5;38:16;40:13
Judge (19)	6:2	25:14;37:2;41:1	meted (1)	neighbors (2)
4:9,17;5:10,14,	less (1)	looking (2)	23:5	33:1,3
25;6:12;7:8;8:1;9:1;	16:16	9:5;15:22	Michael (1)	new (9)
10:22;13:7;14:2;	lesser (1)	looks (2)	3:13	5:12;6:10;7:3;
16:25;30:25;32:6,9,	23:4	15:5;20:15	might (6)	23:20;26:13,24;
16,17;40:3	letter (18)	lot (9)	24:12,15;31:8;	32:19;33:3,4
judge's (2)	5:2,11;6:10;	16:7,9;17:15;	32:21;34:25;42:15	next (4)
24:23;33:10	23:23;25:7,9,9;	20:17;22:10;32:5,6;	Miller (3)	8:18;30:22;35:2;
judicial (2)	27:14,16,18;30:22;	37:7,11	21:7,11,24	43:1
30:9;40:7	31:6,14,16,18;32:2;	loud (1)	Milwaukee (3)	night (3)
juncture (1)	42:23,25	37:18	4:16;19:7;21:16	17:21;18:3;39:17
36:22	letting (1)	lower (1)	mine (1)	nod (1)
	9:14	31:24	18:6	6:5
Κ	level (5)	lowest (1)	minimum (1)	nor (2)
	14:15;30:6,7;	31:6	23:4	5:19;39:12
Keefe (1)	31:10;33:13	3.4	minor (1)	normally (2)
26:8	license (12)	Μ	20:10	33:17;34:20
keep (1)	4:13;21:8;32:13;		moment (1)	North (2)
36:14	34:2;35:2,22;37:1;	MACGILLIS (1)	10:4	3:6;38:13
kind (4)	40:15;41:7,12;42:5; 43:12	6:20	<b>money (5)</b> 18:25;24:20;	Nos (2) 42:6;43:13
16:2;30:21;36:3;	licensed (2)	<b>maker (1)</b> 15:21	28:23,24:32:6	42:0;45:15 note (1)
38:7 Kinnielrinnie (1)	21:15,20	making (3)	28.25,24,52.0 more (18)	13:12
<b>Kinnickinnic (1)</b> 15:11	licensee (6)	18:22;23:17;	5:2;9:22,25;	notice (5)
knew (1)	14:25;27:9;32:21;	25:25	10:23;12:2,6;13:18;	3:24;4:4,9;35:6;
32:17	38:12,13;39:12	man (1)	14:21;15:13;20:21,	38:18
knowing (1)	licenses (1)	11:20	22;21:3;24:8;32:21;	noticed (1)
31:19	15:1	management (2)	36:16;37:23;41:6;	6:16
knowledge (2)	licensing (1)	11:23;16:10	42:24	notion (1)
25:24;26:1	40:5	manner (1)	morons (1)	14:4
known (1)	light (2)	13:17	21:9	novo (2)
19:4	33:19;40:6	many (8)	most (1)	40:4,14
Kovac (3)	likely (1)	7:19,19,19,19,21;	29:15	number (11)
6:23;43:20,21	29:15	8:25;16:11;28:5	motion (14)	5:14;11:20;12:8;
	Line (3)	matter (8)	29:14,14;35:22,	16:3;22:6;27:1,4;
$\mathbf{L}$	5:3;24:19;35:4	4:16;5:1;6:3;	25;36:1;38:5;42:3,	37:9,16;38:17,21
	Lipscomb (4)	8:12;20:10;22:22;	4,10,13;43:7,9,11; 44:2	numbers (3)
Lamelas (10)	5:5;13:9;15:4; 39:22	26:13;29:5	44:2 move (3)	21:5,5;39:18
4:17;5:10,15;7:8;	19.22 liquor (1)	<b>matters (1)</b> 24:18	6:24;12:23;41:22	0
8:1;9:1;10:22;13:8;	21:8	may (8)	moved (1)	0
14:2;40:3 Lamelas' (1)	list (1)	16:5;17:4,21,22;	39:13	oath (1)
4:9	21:17	22:4;26:25;33:6;	much (3)	27:19
land (1)	listen (2)	43:4	14:25;30:21;	object (1)
16:19	11:24;29:4	maybe (3)	33:10	35:5
large (1)	little (4)	18:9;19:9;36:15	murky (1)	objecting (4)
17:12	13:18;20:19;25:5;	mean (3)	36:6	35:13,14,14,16
last (12)	41:6	22:9;30:4;36:13	music (1)	objections (5)
3:2;10:7,11;	LLC (3)	means (1)	29:4	4:5;7:1;27:6,13;
19:16,20;20:24;	3:3,14;40:16	23:14	muster (1)	33:2
21:24;23:10;33:1,	local (4)	medical (1)	6:7	obligation (1)
23,24;37:1	18:20;32:20,20,	13:15	myself (2)	27:11
later (2)	21	meet (1)	26:10;35:12	obviously (1)
11:3;36:7	location (5)	6:7	<b>™</b> T	32:17
law (1)	15:3;18:8;21:15,	meeting (1)	N	occasionally (1)
22:23	20;29:3	3:25	natura (1)	36:23
lawsuit $(1)$	<b>locations (1)</b> 18:24	<b>members (5)</b> 18:4;40:23;41:15,	<b>nature (1)</b> 32:13	occurred (4) 8:3;34:3,11;38:19
27:10	logical (1)	18:4;40:23;41:15, 16,20	necessarily (5)	occurrence (1)
least (1) 13:17	8:18	mentioned (1)	5:7,18;18:1;	29:15
13.17	0.10		5.7,10,10.1,	27.15

Gramann Reporting, Ltd.

occurring (2)	9:16;15:
34:12;37:17 occurs (1)	outstandir 34:12
39:24	over (18)
off-duty (1)	8:6,12;2
39:14 offer (1)	23;24:12 29:3;36:
18:20	37:13,22
officers (5)	40:25
8:6;19:10;28:24;	overtime (
36:16;39:14 official (1)	19:8 own (2)
6:25	10:10;35
often (3)	owned (1)
20:6;21:3;42:16 Old (8)	11:8 owners (4)
3:3,6,14;11:20;	25:9;27:
39:1;40:16,23;41:1	
one (23)	
5:6;7:11;8:20;9:9, 22;10:22;11:2,5;	P-33s (1)
13:12;16:3,8;17:8,	21:19
20;18:3;27:1,25;	pages (1)
28:1;32:4;33:17,22; 41:13;42:25;43:5	21:18 <b>Park (3)</b>
only (5)	21:7,11,
8:10;13:12;31:13,	part (7)
15;42:17 open (2)	4:4,9,23
34:19;43:14	particular
operating (1)	5:11;7:9
39:7	11:1;14:
operators (1) 5:3	19:13;24 particular
opinion (1)	8:4
24:23 opposition (1)	pass (1) 19:2
41:12	patience (1
option (5)	29:21
31:5;32:4;33:25; 34:5,7	<b>patio (2)</b> 39:20;40
options (3)	patrol (1)
6:8;31:24,25	11:21
<b>order (2)</b> 24:6;29:24	<b>patrols (1)</b> 37:23
ordered (1)	patron (3)
40:3	7:12,13;
ordinances (1) 43:3	patrons (2 12:4;36:
organization (1)	pay (5)
40:23	11:4;19:
others (2)	5 nonding (2
21:2,3 otherwise (3)	pending (2 34:15,21
3:19;18:11;36:6	people (16
ought (4)	9:10,11;
18:25;21:16,17, 24	19:25;20 12,12,14
out (16)	38:15,24
10:23;11:3,9;	40:21
16:18;23:5;24:19; 25:4,10,16;26:5;	<b>per (1)</b> 40:25
28:7,9;33:15;36:10;	perfectly (
37:20;38:1	29:13
outside (3)	period (3)

;15:24;35:6	19:25;38:19,22
nding (1)	permitted (1)
2	24:2
<b>18</b> )	permitting (1)
12;21:1,13,13, 4:12;28:8,9,19;	39:14 persistent (2)
;36:15,16;	14:4,6
3,22;38:19,22;	person (2)
5	8:10;11:8
ne (1)	<b>personal (2)</b> 25:24;26:1
2)	personally (1)
0;35:11	15:12
(1)	personnel (3)
s (4)	8:3,4;28:20 persons (1)
;27:5,9,14	12:2
	perspective (3)
Р	17:17,24;36:1
(1)	<b>phone (1)</b> 8:20
9	photographs (1)
(1)	39:25
8	physical (3)
<b>3</b> ) ,11,24	13:12,13;14:18 <b>piece (1)</b>
/) /)	27:25
9,23;6:1,24;	place (12)
1;41:10	9:12,13;10:25;
ular (10) ;7:9,22,23;	17:20;18:1;19:14; 20:5,23;21:2;32:1;
;14:7;17:20;	37:1,22
3;24:22;39:6	places (5)
ularly (1)	16:16;19:22;20:3,
)	18;37:10 play (1)
	32:18
ce (1)	playing (1)
1	21:11 plaga (4)
<b>2</b> ) 0;40:2	<b>please (4)</b> 3:12;12:12,13;
(1)	43:17
1	plenty (1)
$s_{2}(1)$	37:10 pm (1)
3 1 ( <b>3</b> )	44:4
,13;11:4	point (11)
s(2)	6:4;17:8;20:14;
;36:25	22:6;23:16;24:8; 26:24;29:9,22;
;19:6,8;22:1,	33:15;42:3
	pointed (1)
ng (2)	10:23
5,21 ( <b>16</b> )	<b>police (86)</b> 4:2,18;5:14;6:19,
,11;17:18;	22,24;7:10,21;8:2,6,
5;20:1;21:11,	12,13,21,25;9:11,
2,14,22;37:11; 5,24;39:18,19;	21,24;10:7,15; 11:10,11,19;13:2,3,
1	5,22;14:5,7,18;15:2,
1	7,8,25;16:12;17:11;
5	18:8,9,13,17,18,19,
<b>tly (1)</b> 3	22;19:21;20:4,7,7,8, 18,19,21;21:18,22;
(3)	22:5,7,13;23:1,9;

04.05.05.1.16.01
24:25;25:1,16,21; 26:14;28:5,6,13,15,
16,24,24;29:6;30:2;
33:7;36:4,15,17;
37:23,25;38:17;
39:1,4,9,11,14;
41:24;42:7;43:13
pony (1)
18:25
poor (1)
25:4
position (2)
16:24;22:12
possibility (2)
3:25;43:14
possible (1)
34:23
possibly (1)
7:16
power (2)
7:15;28:21
powers (1)
32:11
precisely (3)
12:7;27:2,3 precision (1)
36:5
preclude (1)
37:21
preconceived (1)
16:20
presence (2)
36:17;39:1
present (4)
3:19;32:22;38:14;
41:11
president (1)
38:12
pretty (2)
30:21;33:10
prevent (1)
37:15
previous (2)
34:3;35:23
previously (1)
42:25
privilege (2)
15:15,20
proactive (2)
13:5;40:20 proactively (2)
21:3;37:15
probably (2)
24:6;29:17
problem (5)
15:7;24:21;36:1,
12,20
problems (2)
28:10;38:2
procedural (1)
12:10
proceed (2)
12:13;36:11
proceeding (2)
6:25;26:4

**PROCEEDINGS (1)** 3:1 progressive (3) 42:20:43:1.6 properly (2) 5:17;6:15 property (1) 28:19 protestations (1) 41:4 protocol (8) 13:1;24:25;25:17, 21;26:5,14;39:8; 41:10 protocols (3) 26:10,15,17 provide (3) 3:20;4:11;33:3 provision (1) 35:6 public (1) 24:9 punishment (2) 23:5;31:10 punitively (1) 20:15 purpose (1) 13:6 pushed (1) 16:24 put (5) 11:9;13:9;26:14; 28:25;42:13 puts (1) 16:25 putting (1) 29:2 Q quick (2) 28:1;33:22 quite (1) 24:16 R raise (1) 3:20 raised (1) 17:7 Rather (5) 6:21;11:25;12:3; 20:7,12 Rave (4) 17:12,17,19;18:2 reacting (1) 13:16 read (2) 6:21;24:23 reading (1)

7:4

28:21

really (7)

reallocations (1)

				· /
4:20;6:8;11:4;	renewal (11)	29:17,19	security (3)	specific (4)
20:4;31:19;32:14;	3:4;4:7;31:11;	reversing (1)	8:4;39:15,19	9:7,9;22:25;28:3
37:3	32:12;34:1;35:7;	25:12	sees (1)	speech (3)
reason (3)	40:5,15,19;42:5;	review (4)	33:17	24:13,14,14
8:19;14:24;40:19	43:12	4:24;26:21;40:7,	selective (1)	spilled (1)
reasons (2)	repeat (1)	14	19:21	5:6
16:6;34:25	42:3	revisit (1)	sell (1)	spite (1)
recalcitrant (1)	report (21)	39:16	21:24	41:4
29:23	4:2,18;5:14;6:19,	right (15)	send (2)	squads (1)
received (1)	22,24;10:7;11:11;	3:20;6:12;8:15;	19:3;25:6	26:6
42:24	14:18;17:11;20:8,9,	14:19;18:18;24:20;	sending (3)	stands (1)
receiving (1)	11;23:9;27:8,13;	26:16,23;28:8;	18:15;31:1;36:14	30:10
3:24	34:17;36:4;41:24;	30:23;31:14,16,21;	separation (1)	state (1)
recognizing (1)	42:7;43:14	34:23;35:12	32:10	3:12
35:17	reports (1)	rise (2)	SERGEANT (1)	statement (3)
	19:22			
recommend (2)		11:2;30:7	6:20	14:22;27:5,8
42:5;43:11	representing (1)	risen (1)	serious (1)	stations (1)
recommendation (1)	3:8	33:12	33:19	11:19
27:7	reputable (1)	rises (1)	serve (2)	statistics (1)
record (24)	38:15	30:6	37:10;40:23	20:22
6:25;7:25;15:2;	request (1)	rock (2)	services (4)	statutorily (1)
22:22;23:2;25:22;	16:1	20:5,22	15:7;16:2;28:6,7	18:18
27:12,12;30:10;	requested (1)	roll (1)	set (2)	stay (1)
31:1,3;33:18;36:6,	13:1	43:16	14:9;38:5	41:1
11,24;38:1,19;39:5;	requirement (2)	ruckus (2)	seven (2)	step (1)
40:7,12;41:3,13;	14:15,18	9:18,20	37:2;38:19	30:22
42:14,19	resides (1)	ruled (1)	seven-year (1)	steps (2)
redundant (1)	38:14	23:8	38:22	37:21;39:23
7:4	resolution (2)	rules (1)	several (2)	still (8)
referenced (1)	19:3;39:13	25:3	42:18,24	9:20;15:17;16:6;
38:18	resource (1)	ruling (8)	share (3)	28:25;29:1;34:12,
referred (1)	19:5	7:8;9:2;10:16;	18:6,10;19:14	14;37:8
38:18	resources (15)	13:7;23:12,17;	shared (1)	stop (2)
reflect (1)	13:4,5;14:5,7;	33:10,16	17:16	8:10;29:2
41:13	18:12,16,19;19:1;	a	shot (1)	stopped (1)
regarding (2)	21:22;22:2,5,13;	S	32:1	11:8
13:22;27:4	28:13,17;39:4		show (4)	straighten (2)
regular (4)	respect (9)	Saloon (4)	20:9;33:1,3,7	37:20;38:1
11:21,22;17:2;	7:7;12:7;13:7,11,	3:5,15;5:8;40:17	shy (2)	straightened (1)
37:22	18,20,23;24:11;39:1	same (2)	20:2,12	36:10
reinstitute (1)	respond (5)	6:4;24:4	side (3)	Street (12)
24:2	11:17;12:24;38:7;	saved (1)	20:12;24:13;	3:6;11:21;15:9,
relatively (1)	41:14,17	32:5	25:14	10;18:7;19:14;
33:19	responding (1)	saw (1)	simply (1)	20:22;26:7,7;39:2;
relatives (1)	26:13	25:6	29:24	40:24;41:1
5:7	responsibility (1)	saying (9)	sit (2)	stupid (1)
relied (2)	14:6	6:7;8:16,17;	21:21;24:12	20:1
25:8,12	responsible (5)	16:23;17:13;25:11,	sitting (2)	style (1)
remand (1)	13:4,16;38:15;	23;31:1,5	17:10;19:1	16:10
6:13	39:23;40:20	scene (2)	situation (9)	subject (1)
remanded (5)	responsibly (1)	9:15,17	7:16,24;14:23;	39:5
5:12,24;27:1;	39:8	Schrimpf (34)	15:14,17;24:22;	sufficiently (2)
32:16;40:4	restriction (1)	4:8,12;5:21;6:12;	35:18;39:21;42:14	13:14;29:23
remarks (1)	41:8	7:25;9:1;10:19;	slight (1)	suggest (1)
38:8	result (1)	14:20;22:24;23:8,	13:14	19:2
remedy (1)	24:5	16,24;24:4;25:8;	solely (1)	suggestion (2)
7:15	results (2)	26:25;27:18,20;	43:4	13:3;18:24
remember (2)	10:15;26:19	28:12;29:5,11,16,	somebody (4)	suggestions (3)
17:10,13	retains (1)	20;30:8,24;31:7,15;	8:7;9:12;34:10,14	18:20,21;41:5
removing (1)	28:19	32:9,16;33:14;34:4,	somehow (1)	summary (2)
7:13	retort (1)	20;36:2,20;38:23	19:21	4:11;5:22
rendering (1)	20:17	second (1)	sometimes (2)	Summerfest (1)
23:15	reversal (1)		20:3;43:4	21:6
	24:5	30:6		
renew (3)		seconds (1)	sort (2)	supervisor (2)
6:9,9;41:7	reversed (2)	4:10	6:5;11:5	13:11;15:4

				September 9, 2009
supplied (1)	thought (2)	11:12;27:11,18;	warrant (1)	21:2;38:20
4:25	8:13:11:12	28:16	4:21	young (1)
support (7)	thoughts (2)	underage (1)	warranted (1)	17:8
18:23;23:11;	17:5;18:10	34:14	8:22	17.8
38:16;40:8,19;	thousand (2)	unfair (1)	waste (2)	Z
42:12;43:7	21:17,22	15:18	24:10;26:23	
suppose (1)	thousands (1)	unfounded (1)	wasting (1)	Zielinski (28)
34:22	18:2	13:24	24:8	7:5,6;8:15;10:5,
supposed (1)	three (1)	unless (1)	Water (2)	11;11:14;22:3;
20:8	14:17	6:10	18:7;19:14	27:22,23,24;28:23;
sure (5)	throughout (1)	up (14)	wave (1)	29:7,10,18;30:4,13;
32:6;35:19,25;	15:19	5:1;6:14;12:21;	15:25	33:22,25;35:3,16,
37:17;42:19	throw (1)	18:25;19:10;20:9;	waved (3)	20;36:8;37:6;41:22;
surface (1)	25:10	23:18,20;25:15;	8:6;15:12,23	42:4,8;43:24,25
7:14	throwing (1)	33:3,7;35:1;36:13;	way (1)	Zielinski's (2)
suspension (16)	25:4	42:15	7:2	11:18;40:10
4:14,22;6:6;16:5;	times (5)	upon (4)	weigh (2)	11.10,40.10
22:22;23:1,11,15;	7:11;19:18;28:5;	5:3,6;14:8;23:1	10:9:15:21	
24:3;26:20;29:15;	34:9;42:19	upper (1)	what's (4)	
30:11;31:9,11;40:9;	today's (1)	11:23	7:22;8:24;24:8;	
43:3	3:25	urge (2)	26:24	
suspensions (1)	tongue (1)	36:3;40:12	whatsoever (1)	
16:16	38:10	use (4)	7:20	
swear (1)	took (4)	13:5;18:11;28:14;	Where's (2)	
3:21	4:25;5:10;10:25;	37:25	25:1,18	
sworn (1)	11:5	used (1)	whole (3)	
3:22	total (2)	10:23	16:18,21;17:15	
5.22	15:2;16:6	using (1)	wholly (1)	
Т	totality (2)	33:15	38:9	
1	10:17;16:9	55.15	who's (1)	
talk (2)	TRANSCRIPT (1)	V	20:19	
16:3;21:5	3:1		wish (5)	
talking (5)	traveled (1)	various (2)	15:9,10;22:15;	
13:25;14:2;18:1;	38:13	32:18;34:25	28:21;41:17	
20:25;34:9	travesty (1)	versus (5)	wishes (1)	
Tavern (5)	22:8	9:12,24;17:19;	19:4	
3:4,4;8:4;16:22;	trial (1)	22:14;31:4	within (4)	
36:22	26:15	victims (1)	7:15;8:20;39:7;	
taxes (1)	tries (1)	13:14	42:7	
40:22	9:13	view (2)	without (4)	
telling (1)	true (2)	31:7,8	27:19;34:2;35:22;	
31:18	27:20;34:21	violence (3)	41:8	
ten (6)	truly (1)	10:24;33:11;	Witnesses (1)	
14:16;23:3;30:22;	42:25	34:11	3:22	
31:6;33:13;42:23	try (2)	violent (2)	woman (1)	
ten-day (15)	17:5;29:21	20:21;43:5	11:20	
4:14,21;6:6;16:5,	trying (3)	vote (3)	word (1)	
15;22:25;23:11;	9:19;12:24;35:12	40:12;43:16;44:3	28:14	
24:2;29:14;30:11;	turn (1)	10.12, 15.10, 11.5	words (1)	
31:4,9,11;40:8;43:3	20:17	W	32:25	
tended (1)	turned (1)	•••	World (8)	
8:1	8:12	Wade's (1)	3:3,6,14;11:20;	
term (1)	two (5)	18:23	39:2;40:16,24;41:1	
17:9	6:8;11:2;13:14;	waiting (1)	worse (1)	
terms (2)	27:4;31:25	16:17	35:13	
9:23;21:4	type (3)	walk (1)	55.15	-
testify (3)	7:17,24;37:21	15:24	Y	
25:5,19;41:12	types (1)	wants (2)	-	-
testimony (6)	17:1	28:25;29:3	year (16)	
3:20;6:11;12:18;	1/11	Wardski's (2)	7:11;8:20;16:8,	
25:22;33:4;37:7	U	17:20,25	14;17:15,17,22;	
<b>Teutonia (1)</b>		warning (10)	21:24;35:2;36:25;	
26:7	ultimately (1)	6:10;23:23;30:21;	37:1;38:20,20,23,	
third (1)	28:18	31:6,14,16,18;32:2;	24;40:25	
30:5	under (4)	42:23,25	years (2)	
20.2		72.23,23	J = = = J	