

benefit to any property owner. He does not see it as a complicated building for adaptive reuse. Mr. Jarosz noted that the proposed buyer has worked with the Commission before, is aware of the benefits and has completed another building renovation with great success while working with historic preservation.

Ms. Balon noted that any legal issues brought up during and before the public hearing do not pertain to the decision of the Commission. She feels that it is an important building and the timing of this designation is important.

Ms. Balon started to make a motion. Mr. Pionke asked Ms. Balon to excuse herself, since there was a relationship to the building owners through extended family Ms. Balon immediately agreed.

Mr. Jarosz moved and Ms. Arena seconded a motion to approve the historic designation of the Pritzlaff Hardware complex at 333 N. Plankinton Avenue based on the following criterion:

- e-1. Its exemplification of the development of the cultural, economic, social or historic heritage of the City of Milwaukee, State of Wisconsin or of the United States.
- e-5. Its embodiment of the distinguishing characteristics of an architectural type or specimen.
- e-6. Its identification as the work of an artist, architect, interior designer, craftsman or master builder whose individual works have influenced the development of the City of Milwaukee, State of Wisconsin or of the United States.
- e-9. Its unique location as a singular physical characteristic which represent an established and familiar visual feature of a neighborhood, community or the City of Milwaukee.

Motion carried with Ms. Balon abstaining.

Mr. Finerty asked that it be noted that he did not ask Ms. Balon to abstain from the vote. Ms. Balon stated she had no problem with abstaining. She also explained the extended family relationship, which is that her son-in-law's mother's family was the Pritzlaff family.

 Public hearing of a Certificate of Appropriateness for roofing replacement at 2831-33 N. Sherman Boulevard (Sherman Boulevard Historic District)

Mr. Pionke gave a slide presentation and report.

Ms. Balon moved and Ms. Arena seconded a motion to open the public hearing. Motion carried unanimously.

The Commission recognized Logan Tina Thomas. Ms. Thomas noted that the cost of like-with-like roof replacement is too high. Several other material options were discussed. The stone finish panel material recommended by staff would be comparable in cost to the original roof bid. If the current contractor did not finish the work, a portion of the cost should be returned to the owner. This contractor should be contacted to see if he could install the appropriate material.

Mr. Jarosz moved and Ms. Arena seconded a motion to close the public hearing.

Alderman D'Amato moved and Mr. Jarosz seconded a motion to deny a Certificate of Appropriateness for roofing replacement at 2831-33 North Sherman Boulevard Motion carried unanimously.

- E. Public hearing of a Certificate of Appropriateness to deny a rear and side-yard side chain-link fence installation at 4300 W. Burleigh Street (Sherman Boulevard Historic District)