1	CITY OF MILWAUKEE - LICENSES COMMITTEE
2	
3	In the Matter of: RANDALL, Brian C., Agent for
4	"BOOTLEGGERS OF MILWAUKEE, LLC", Class "B" Tavern and
5	Tavern Dance Renewal Applications with Change of Floor
6	Plan for "BOOTLEGGERS OF MILWAUKEE" at 1023-27 N. Old
7	World Third Street.
8	
9	COMMITTEE MEMBERS
10	ALD. JAMES BOHL - Chair
11	ALD. MILELE COGGS - Vice Chair
12	ALD. ASHANTI HAMILTON
13	ALD. ANTHONY ZIELINSKI
14	ALD. NIK KOVAC
15	
16	MS. REBECCA GRILL - Licensing Division
17	SGT. PAUL MAC GILLIS - Milwaukee Police Department
18	MR. THOMAS MUELLER - Office of the City Attorney
19	
20	
21	Proceedings had and testimony given
22	in the above-entitled matter, before the Licenses
23	Committee of the City of Milwaukee, on Tuesday, the
24	8th day of December, 2009.
25	

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	
3	(Whereupon the following proceedings
4	commenced at approximately 8:40 a.m.)
5	
6	THE CHAIRMAN: The first item on our
7	legislative agenda is for Brian Randall, agent for
8	Bootleggers of Milwaukee, LLC, Class "B" Tavern and
9	Tavern Dance renewal applications with change of
10	floor plan, as agent for Bootleggers of Milwaukee,
11	LLC at 1023-27 North Old World Third Street.
12	Good morning to you.
13	MR. RANDALL: Good morning,
14	Mr. Chairman.
15	THE CHAIRMAN: And the two of the
16	gentlemen that are here with you, and Alderman
17	Bauman, if you could all raise your right hands,
18	we'll swear you in, please.
19	
20	(Whereupon Staff Assistant Toby
21	Black swore in ATTORNEY BRIAN C. RANDALL,
22	MR. MICHAEL HOFFENBURG, MR. JOSH HURLEY and
23	ALDERMAN ROBERT BAUMAN to tell the truth, the whole
24	truth and nothing but the truth.)
25	

1 THE CHAIRMAN: And for our agent, we'll need a name and mailing address, please, for 2 3 you. MR. RANDALL: Thank you, 5 Mr. Chairman, members of the Committee. Brian 6 Randall, agent on behalf of Bootleggers, 2602 North 88th Street, Wauwatosa, WI 53226. I do apologize for being a little 8 9 hoarse this morning, I was trying to do my part at 10 Lambeau last night to help my team win. THE CHAIRMAN: And you were here on 11 time this morning here, too; you must have come 12 right from Lambeau then. 13 MR. RANDALL: Well, my client asked 14 me if I did, but I didn't. 15 16 THE CHAIRMAN: Do you acknowledge receiving notice of today's meeting with the 17 possibility your application could be denied? 18 There was an attached police report that should 19 have been part of your notice, as well as an 20 21 outline of potential neighborhood objections. 22 MR. RANDALL: We do so acknowledge. 23 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. And let 24 the record reflect that Alderman Mr. Zielinski joins the committee. Sergeant, we'll wait for you

25

- 1 to read the police report.
- 2 SGT. MAC GILLIS: I will start with
- 3 Item 2: On 11/30/2008 at 12:30 a.m., Milwaukee
- 4 police were dispatched to a fight at 1023 North Old
- 5 World Third Street (Bootleggers). The complainant
- 6 states he was involved in a fight on the dance
- 7 floor inside the bar. Security removed all parties
- 8 involved in the fight, which continued in the alley
- 9 on the north side of the building. The caller was
- 10 the only involved party to remain on the scene; he
- 11 did not require or request medical attention.
- 12 Item 3: On 3/5/2009, a Milwaukee
- 13 police officer visited taverns on North Old World
- 14 Third Street in order to explain complaints the
- department had received regarding over service of
- 16 alcohol to intoxicated patrons. On 3/7/2009, the
- same officer observed several patrons leave
- Bootleggers (1023 North Old World Third Street).
- 19 These patrons were intoxicated to the point that
- 20 they had trouble walking and vomited shortly after
- 21 leaving the bar.
- 22 Item 4: On 3/14/2009, at 1:10 a.m.,
- 23 Milwaukee police responded to an open line 911 call
- at 1023 North Old World Third Street (Bootleggers).
- 25 Investigation revealed someone had used one of the

- 1 phones at the bar to dial 911, but no caller could
- 2 be located.
- 3 Item 5: On 6/12/2009, at 11:25
- 4 p.m., Milwaukee police observed a subject being
- 5 escorted from 1025 North Old World Third Street
- 6 (Bootleggers) by security. The subject crossed the
- 7 street and then returned and attempted to re-enter
- 8 the bar. When the officer and bar security
- 9 prevented the subject from going back into the bar,
- 10 he became angry, attempted to strike one of the
- 11 staff from the bar and resisted attempts by the
- officer to place him under arrest. A Police report
- was filed.
- 14 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, SGT. MAC
- 15 GILLIS. Are there any questions, comments you have
- relating to items on the police report?
- 17 MR. RANDALL: Just one, Mr. Chair,
- 18 and that's comparing Nos. 3 and Nos. 5. No. 5, the
- 19 last statement, we just heard that a police report
- 20 and number was given -- was filed for that
- 21 incident. Was there any follow-up action taken on
- No. 3 with respect to the patrons who apparently
- left the bar or with the bar itself, was there any
- 24 indication of that?
- 25 SGT. MAC GILLIS: That I don't know,

1 sir. 2 MR. RANDALL: Thank you. 3 THE CHAIRMAN: Questions by 4 Committee? Is there any opening statement you wish 5 to make at this time? 6 MR. RANDALL: Yes, Mr. Chair, I'd appreciate the opportunity to do that. First off, I want to introduce who's 8 9 with me here today. Michael Hoffenburg is to my 10 immediate left, he is our Class B designated manager, because as the designated licensed agent, 11 I do not run the day-to-day operations of this 12 13 premises, so we, by city ordinance, have a Class B 14 licensed manager -- that's Michael Hoffenburg. And to his left I have Josh Hurley, 15 16 who's here today; he has established residency 17 since moving down to the area and we anticipate transitioning the agent duties, because as you well 18 know, this is not my daily job. But with this 19 entity that opened, this bar and restaurant in 20 21 2008, they were from out of state and they needed 22 someone who could fulfill the agent duties -- that 23 initially was me; but Josh Hurley is the incoming

24

25

general manager and will be the agent in short

order when we transition.

So at various times I may defer to

Michael or Josh with specific operational

questions, but I just wanted to introduce to you

their roles and certainly to Alderman Bauman I

believe that they are familiar faces; and to some

of the others who may be here, these are the people

that operate Bootleggers on a daily basis, whereas

I represent them.

One other part of my intro comments, too, and for those of you who may not be familiar with this particular building, it's on Old World Third Street and it was dark for about five or six years, perhaps even more, until 2007 when the Bootleggers ownership purchased it; it was the old Mader's Stein Shop, I do believe, and the building is historically designated.

At one time, interestingly, it was a four-story building. I believe in the 1950s there was a fire at the upper levels and they actually tore down those top two floors. It remains a two-story building, so floors three and four were removed. We still have a historic designation with that and so the Historic Preservation Commission does govern many of our exterior changes initially and anything that we want to do from here on out.

As you might imagine, being a former Stein shop, and simply a different use than a restaurant and bar, Bootleggers had to invest substantial money into the premises -- over one and-a-half Million Dollars in 2007 for it's opening in 2008. It currently has a 1.2 Million Dollar assessment for the city and probably in the mail as we speak is going to be a tax bill for roughly \$30,000.00 payable to the City.

So it is certainly an improvement to that street, what had been a vacant store front, and has been a contributing member to that area.

Bootleggers ownership, incidentally, some of you may remember, who've been on the Committee all throughout this year, is also owned by the ownership -- parts of the ownership who runs Molly Cools Seafood Tavern, which took over the former Kincaid's Fish Shop and Steak House -- it's kind of kitty-corner across the street on the river side.

I'd like to address a couple of things following that introduction; and some of this is from discussions with Alderman Bauman, and we do appreciate his being very up front with us and his usual attentiveness to the district in various areas of his district and also to some of

- the issues that we anticipate people in the

 audience may speak to; and then we'd like, of

 course, the opportunity to respond if they speak to

 it slightly differently than we do proactively.
- The first would be the police report, and I'd like to go through a couple of things with Michael's assistance because I know the Committee has really scrutinized the police reports as of recent and I don't want to take anything for granted. A number of these things might be considered relatively minor; however, the Bootleggers' approach and response to them is not in a minor way, and so I'd like to go through those.

- on the police report and that appears to be a skirmish inside of the bar where security did its job, they separated the parties involved; they, in fact, then asked them to leave. There was a call made, appears by one of the parties in that fight, to the police department. No further action was taken. As I indicated, the skirmish was taken care of at that time -- security at Bootleggers is very proactive.
- 25 Q Michael, can you explain a little bit how you staff

- 1 the security on a week night and weekend basis,
- 2 please?
- 3 A Yes. We have between 10 to 12 security members
- 4 that will be going through our entire
- 5 establishment. We have two people at the front of
- 6 the establishment that will be kind of taking care
- 7 of the front sidewalk as well as the entrance and
- 8 exit of the building. We also do have a rear exit
- 9 that we have somebody stationed at as well.
- 10 When it comes to operational
- 11 procedures with altercations inside of the
- 12 establishment, the two parties are asked to leave
- out separate exits and they -- once they are
- 14 escorted outside, they then are either asked to
- 15 leave the premises or the police are called to
- 16 assist in our removal.
- 17 Q Michael, in this particular incident, this is going
- back over a year, November of 2008, do you recall
- 19 whether the security had follow-up reports for you,
- 20 to management? Were they -- did they stay with the
- 21 caller when the police arrived? Can you give any
- 22 other details as far as what this fight may have
- 23 been about or just --
- 24 A I do not have any other details as to this
- 25 incident. I do know that after we asked them to

ways and we, after that, did not follow them and 2 3 try and attempt to detain them. So if they went to call the police, that was after we escorted them 5 and removed them from the premises. 6 Can you also explain what you as management and 7 what you instruct your staff to do when police come through either on a typical bar check or in an 8 9 incident like this when they've been called? 10 Yes. We speak with officers, we ask them if there's any way possible we can assist them --11 asking them questions about what their inspection 12 13 is for, what they're coming to the bar or the store 14 is for and then we will walk them through, to the best of our knowledge, what occurred in the 15 incident that they were called about or show them 16 17 any paperwork on anything that we might need to 18 provide for them. We also do write up incident 19 20 reports, if there's an issue that we have a police 21 meeting for or as long as any incident that 22 occurred inside the bar where we feel that we need

leave the establishment they did go on their own

1

23

24

25

to write it down, as well as have paperwork for it.

I do not have an incident report for this specific

incident, but we do have numerous ones that involve

- 1 other things that are in this record.
- 2 Q And that one, as we mentioned, was November of
- 3 2008, so it may have been before the incident
- 4 reporting?
- 5 A Correct.
- 6 Q Okay. With respect to No. 3, that one, as the SGT.
- 7 MAC GILLIS explained, on a day, March 5th, a police
- 8 officer visited the tavern -- apparently all the
- 9 taverns or many of them on Old World Third Street.
- 10 Do you have any records or do you know that the
- officers stopped in to talk about complaints on
- 12 intoxicated people?
- 13 A I do. I was present for that visit and I do
- 14 remember -- recall the officer stating to us that
- 15 they were called down for routine inspections of
- 16 the entire street. They were called down for
- 17 numerous calls about many different establishments
- 18 on the street, as well as people discussing with
- them, making sure the presence is more known.
- 20 $\,$ Q $\,$ And one of the things the officer emphasized was
- 21 the intoxicated patrons in that recent concern?
- 22 A Correct. He did speak of intoxicated patrons as
- 23 well as -- he spoke of licensing, where our
- licenses were, were they visible, capacity
- 25 regulations. They did a walk through to see if all

- our licenses were up-to-date and we were upholding
- 2 that end of the law.
- 3 Q And then two days later, according to the report,
- 4 the officer -- it does not appear he or she was
- 5 inside the premises, but they observed patrons
- 6 leaving Bootleggers and that -- his report
- 7 indicated intoxicated to the point that they had
- 8 trouble walking and vomited shortly after leaving
- 9 the bar. Were you aware of that report prior to
- 10 the police report today?
- not aware of that prior to this moment. We do have
- 13 exceptional operational procedures, though, that
- 14 are in place for certain issues like that. We take
- a very proactive approach in our responsible
- serving as well as our operational standards of our
- 17 security.
- 18 First of all, stopping people --
- 19 patrons at the door, if they have been over
- inebriated or inebriated, to come into our premise.
- 21 We don't like that, prior to entering. We also
- have an extensively trained staff and we work
- 23 together as a team, from our bartenders to our
- 24 service staff to our security staff, to make sure
- that people inside the establishment are at a safe,

1 fun level of intoxication, or lack thereof, for that matter. And for that we do do -- everybody on 2 3 our staff --THE CHAIRMAN: Freudian slip there, 5 right? 6 THE WITNESS: Exactly. (Whereupon there was some brief laughter at this point.) 8 9 THE WITNESS: Everybody on our staff 10 does have a learn-to-serve or responsible-serving certificate, including our security staff, and I do 11 have some of that provided. We have a member of a 12 13 responsible serving force, loss control prevention

service up in Minnesota, who does have licensing to

- teach in Wisconsin, who does our responsible
 serving courses and he comes down; we have
- 17 pamphlets on that, too.

14

- 18 MR. RANDALL:
- 19 Q Michael, if I can just interrupt, because the
 20 Committee is familiar with bartending applications
 21 and agent duties that -- the responsible beverage
 22 server course is a routine matter of what a license
 23 holder needs; but what you just talked about was an
 24 additional step that management takes to bring in a
 25 licensing specialist on some of these issues,

- 1 beyond intoxication, but otherwise, correct? And
- 2 how often is that?
- 3 A Twice a year.
- 4 Q Anything else operationally on how you try to
- 5 police within Bootleggers on over intoxication?
- 6 A Yes. If we have a patron that is over intoxicated,
- 7 we cut them off, as they say, and we ask them to
- 8 leave. That can be anything from -- any range of
- 9 issues why we do ask them to leave, but over
- 10 inebriation is our biggest concern. We then escort
- them safely off the premises. If they are overly
- inebriated, we make sure we find their friends or
- family members that they did come with to make sure
- 14 that we can hand the responsibility over to them so
- they can get home safely.
- We also, whenever we have a
- 17 situation arise with that, we have two members of
- 18 our security staff or any one of our staff members
- 19 go up to this patron and escort them out safely for
- 20 numerous reasons that are pretty understood.
- 21 Q Michael, in regards to the police report, on March
- 5th they did a routine check; on March 7th they
- observed several patrons leaving your bar,
- 24 stumbling and vomiting immediately after leaving
- 25 your bar. Is that something that sounds plausible?

- 1 Did that happen that night?
- 2 A We do everything we can in our operational
- 3 procedures to prevent that from happening,
- 4 starting, like I said, with our responsible
- 5 serving, what we do, as well as our making sure
- 6 that all of our patrons are having a safe time.
- 7 Q So you're not disputing that that police officer's
- 8 observations were correct that night?
- 9 A On any given night anything can happen, so, you
- 10 know, we're not really --
- 11 MR. RANDALL: Correct, we're not
- disputing that's what the report says. We were not
- aware of it on March 7th, we were unaware of it
- 14 until now; but, of course, we run a bar where that
- 15 certainly can happen. One of the things I was
- going to ask Michael about, in my view, one of the
- most important things, though, had we been aware of
- 18 that or when we are aware of people, and whether
- 19 they're from our premises or not, who may get sick
- or who may make a mess, we deal with it, we clean
- 21 it up and that's one of the routine things we do.
- 22 So we're disappointed to see that this may have
- 23 happened on that night and we probably did not
- 24 clean it up wherever they were because we weren't
- aware of it; but to the extent we are, and as

- 1 Michael explained, we do have exterior security
- 2 guards, people outside.
- 3 ALDERMAN KOVAC: When you say clean
- 4 up, you mean literally clean up the vomit?
- 5 MR. RANDALL: Correct.
- 6 ALDERMAN KOVAC: I'll be honest,
- 7 that -- I mean that's, I guess, a public health
- 8 issue, but that's the least of my concern, the
- 9 actual vomit; my concern is people are that drunk
- 10 and they're on public streets and what's going to
- 11 happen next?
- MR. RANDALL: And that's why the
- operational controls are what are most important
- 14 and why I wanted Michael to explain those.
- 15 Q And Michael, if you can explain, too, there are
- instances where people may be coming out of
- 17 Bootleggers but they did not become intoxicated
- there, correct?
- 19 A Correct. We -- you know, once people get inside,
- 20 if they do have a falsehood of kind of they're
- 21 walking through and get past our front doorman, we
- do have many, numerous security members, as well as
- 23 serving staff, that are trained to spot them in
- 24 many ways and we will let them -- tell them that
- 25 they have to leave the premises because they're too

inebriated before they have actually ordered a
drink from the bar.

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In that instance and stuff like that, what separates us from a lot of the establishments is the fact that from top to bottom, we do not allow any drinking of any of our staff members at the establish during business hours at all. Anyone from management down to security members are not allowed to drink at all, as well as certain steps we take to follow the process of preventing over inebriation, that we only allow people to have one and-a-half drinks in front of them. If they have a half a drink, we train our staff to say that they can have one at this time, once they're halfway done with their drink, so they can only have one and-a-half drinks at the maximum. And as far your experience in the Milwaukee area running other establishments, is that unique? It's very unique. Just being a part of going to other establishments, I've know numerous times going into other establishments, where I'm being a patron, where I can sit down and play some bar dice and have a couple of cocktails with the actual bartenders and servers; and, you know, after awhile, you know, those shots start adding up,

- drinks start adding up.
- 2 Q And you've been served more than one drink at other
- 3 establishments in Milwaukee at one time?
- 4 A Absolutely.
- 5 Q So your policy, though, is not to serve more than
- one drink at a given time?
- 7 A Correct. I've been served pitchers, which is
- 8 approximately four drinks for myself as well, too,
- 9 so --
- 10 Q And the -- did you go through all the operational
- 11 safeguards that you have as far as policing and to
- make sure there's no over intoxication? The other
- 13 question I have, though, is that there have been
- 14 instances where patrons from other establishments
- from Old World Third Street, and let's be honest,
- it is an entertainment district, so it does attract
- 17 numerous people, but you have dealt with people
- from other establishments, correct?
- 19 A Correct, we've dealt with them numerous times. We
- 20 are located centrally in that entertainment
- 21 district right on Third Street, in between Juneau
- 22 and State Street, so there's a lot of traffic that
- passes by and attempts to come into our bar from
- other establishments. It's sort of a bar hopping
- 25 street, as it's now become, and we either deny them

- 1 at the door sometimes as well as, you know, deny
- 2 them before they actually can get their first
- 3 beverage.
- 4 Q And did you have anything else to add as far as
- 5 when there are problems outside if patrons make a
- 6 mess or what your standard policies are with
- 7 outside cleaning of the --
- 8 THE CHAIRMAN: I don't know that
- 9 we're going to suspend your license because of
- 10 outside cleaning here.
- 11 MR. RANDALL:
- 12 Q Then anything further, Michael? If we can go to
- 13 Item No. 4 or maybe Josh, I know you were very
- 14 familiar with the open line 911, if you could just
- 15 explain that, I don't think --
- 16 THE CHAIRMAN: Is anyone on this
- side of the Committee going to use Item No. 4? No?
- MR. RANDALL: We've since removed
- 19 the phone, just for the record.
- THE CHAIRMAN: That's fine.
- MR. RANDALL: It may have been a
- 22 public-use phone.
- 23 THE CHAIRMAN: If we saw that in
- repeated use, that may be a problem; I don't think
- 25 that's going to be utilized here, so --

1 MR. RANDALL: All phones are in secured locations now, that one has been removed. 2 3 We simply want to make sure the record is complete and you know that we take these things seriously. 5 Item No. 5, Michael, is that it appears that a 6 patron was escorted from the premises and the police officer in his or her report notes that they observed the patron cross the street and then try 8 9 to return and attempt to reenter the bar and that 10 both the officer and bar security were active in trying to keep that person out and, in fact, he was 11 angry and a police report was filed, they placed 12 13 him under arrest. Do you remember that incident? 14 Can you explain -- give any background behind that? I believe Josh has more recollection of that but I 15 16 can speak onto what I do know about that incident. If Josh would be quicker with it, that's fine. 17 18 MR. HURLEY: In regard to that incident --19 20 MR. RANDALL: If you could provide 21 your last name again. 22 MR. HURLEY: Oh, Hurley. What 23 happened with that incident is we asked this 24 gentleman to leave, we had felt that we had served

25

him safely and comfortably enough. He was a bigger

- 1 gentleman in a limo with people from Chicago --
- went across the street, got a little angry because
- 3 his friends weren't coming out yet and he wanted to
- 4 get back in and get them. He came back, started
- 5 yelling at my safety person at the exit door, took
- a swing at him, and there were a couple officers
- 7 that were there and they took him down.
- 8 MR. RANDALL:
- 9 Q And he was resisting, he was big?
- 10 A He was resisting -- it took myself, three of my
- safety people and about four police officers to
- 12 actually hold him down -- he was a big gentleman.
- 13 Q And Josh, this particular instance is different
- 14 than an over intoxicated patron --
- 15 A Correct.
- 16 Q You explained that your recollection is the
- 17 evaluation was made this person was just unruly and
- behaving improperly, but that would explain why
- 19 your staff didn't necessarily find that person's
- 20 friends or tell them that he was was going to be
- 21 leaving?
- 22 A Correct
- 23 Q It would be in that instance the friends'
- 24 responsibility to follow --
- 25 A He did have one of his friends with him; they were

- looking for the rest of their group. Like I said,
- 2 there was a limo across the street that they came
- down from Chicago. They went back over to the
- 4 limo. The limo driver was the one that was trying
- 5 to get all the friends and he decided to take it
- 6 upon himself to come back to the exit door.
- 7 Q And from your perspective then, the safeguards you
- 8 have in place, the operational controls and number
- 9 of security staff from your perspective addressed
- 10 that situation as well as you could?
- 11 A Absolutely, with the help of, obviously, the police
- 12 department.
- 13 Q And do you remember cooperating with the police in
- 14 that instance?
- 15 A Yup.
- MR. RANDALL: We don't have anything
- 17 further to add as far as the police report; we
- 18 simply, again, appreciate the opportunity to
- 19 explain exactly from our perspective what happened
- in each instance. Thank you.
- 21 THE CHAIRMAN: Questions by
- 22 Committee? Alderman Hamilton?
- 23 ALDERMAN HAMILTON: Thank you,
- Mr. Chair. And this is a question for Mark or -- I
- 25 mean for Michael or for Josh: Do you -- you said

with the police department? 2 3 MR. HOFFENBURG: Absolutely. ALDERMAN HAMILTON: 5 And how often do you have contact with the police 6 department maybe in a month? 7 I speak with Officer Bulliard -- he's kind of our liaison -- every weekend, he comes by. I kind of 8 9 speak with him, we kind of chat for a little bit, 10 so -- this Summer it was very nice, you know, there was a lot of street presence from the police 11 department, which we definitely do appreciate, just 12 13 walking up and down the street. It definitely 14 makes a difference for the safety of people and how the people that actually come to Third Street, 15 16 which is what our complete goal is, for them to 17 feel safe; so this Summer a lot, and then once a 18 weekend now. 19 ALDERMAN HAMILTON: All right, 20 thanks. 21 THE CHAIRMAN: Other questions by 22 Committee? Alderman Bauman. 23 ALDERMAN BAUMAN: Thank you, 24 Mr. Chair. The police record speaks for itself, I

that you have a good rapport, good relationship

1

25

really won't comment on that -- everybody can see

The main concern for me is basically 2 3 the plan of operation. When this establishment was 4 initially proposed for operation back in December 5 of 2007 -- I believe they opened in January of 6 2008, if I'm not mistaken. MR. RANDALL: May of 2008. MR. BAUMAN: May of 2008. 8 9 MR. RANDALL: But it was proposed in 10 December. MR. BAUMAN: This was very big --11 it's a very large venue, 350 capacity, I believe; 12 13 and the original proposal was as basically a 14 nightclub-only operation, which means the activities begin in the evening and don't really 15 begin in earnest until 10:00, 11:00 at night. 16 17 The concern I had about that, and I might add the concern the neighborhood merchants 18 and retailers had about that was a very large venue 19 basically dark all day long until 7:00 or 8:00 20 21 o'clock at night when things could kind of come 22 alive and people would start coming and going from

1

23

24

25

the premises.

it and read it.

And so the licensee did agree to provide lunch service, which would enable the

facility to be basically animated during the day. People would come and go -- even if the lunch crowd was fairly light, it would be open for business; and frankly, we have set that as a de facto, I wouldn't say requirement, but certainly our strong preference that all new liquor establishments on Old World Third Street also provide lunch service and meal service, for that matter, but in particular lunch so that you don't have a dead street during the day and then everything comes alive at night, which I don't think has beneficial for the other businesses on the street or for the city in general.

They, of course, agreed to do that, as indicated from the minutes of the January, 2008 license Committee meeting. In fact, there was a specific discussion about menus -- menus were entered in the record. I don't know if they're part of our file, I believe that Aaron dug those out of historic archives.

There was a discussion about hours of operation and they very specifically indicated in their plan of operation that they would commence operation at 11:00 a.m. every day, and that is what's reflected on their application, which is

also, I believe, part of the record. And then -
however, it's now come to my attention that -- and

there were also issues with the roof, because they

wanted an open roof bar service area, which of

course, given music, given the way music travels

from high places, I just very much suspected it

would be a problem.

8 Well, of course it was a problem.

There were some neighbors, residents who did complain. They were complaining more because they moved because of this problem. So they haven't complained since because they're gone. I don't know if any other people are here that raised the noise issue, but we'll see what the testimony brings along those lines.

So there was some agreements made, basically becoming part of the plan of operation.

One was that music would shut down at 11:00 p.m. I have no personal knowledge that that's not being done -- we'll see what the testimony provides.

There was also an agreement that the hours of operation would be commencing at 11:00 a.m. Well, you wouldn't open at 11:00, just to be open, you'd open to serve something, presumably lunch and, of course, alcohol, which is fine -- it's their right.

And then the menus, which presumably
were a big part of the record, would be the items
that they would provide for lunch. Well, it's come
to my attention that sometime around March of last
year lunch stopped and they have not been open for
lunch ever since. Again, the testimony from the
neighborhood can corroborate that.

And Mr. Randall, in our conversation yesterday, said he didn't know they weren't open for lunch. Well, that's the problem when you have lawyers as agents who aren't there day-to-day because -- and that's no reflection on him. I mean, back in the day when I was practicing, people asked me to be a licensee. I read the ordinance and I said, ho, ho, ho, no way am I going to be the licensee, I don't want that liability and responsibility, but that's fine.

So the main issue for me is they basically violated the plan of operation and they violated a provision of that plan which was the specific basis upon which I supported the license, otherwise I was opposed to the license, pure and simple. So we had another bait and switch situation, like we had recently with the Star Bar; they had committed and promised deserts and, of

1 course, never a desert was found in the place ever 2 since.

And that came to us and I think the Committee took a very tough stand on that, and I think appropriately. Because credibility of licensees and credibility of these applications is critical. If we can't believe what we read, and if we can't believe what we're told plan as the plan of operation, then this process really falls apart and breaks down.

they're here today, oh, yah, yah, we'll start up lunch again or we didn't do lunch because it wasn't economic. Okay, fine, but that was what you promised to do as part of receiving the privilege of serving alcohol; and I don't want to really hear excuses about it, other than coming in and dealing with it up front and saying, now, we don't have a plan of operation that has lunch -- what does the neighborhood think about it?

And -- but the problem is, they're vested, they have property rights now and we'd have a hard time applying the same standard of scrutiny once they're licensed to change a plan of operation than at the beginning. So I think it was a little

1	bit of bait and switch, and that's not how we
2	conduct business here and that's my main reason for
3	having concerns about this situation and I think
4	some appropriate suspension is in order.
5	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you thank
6	you, Alderman. Now, I just will say, there's been
7	three phones that have gone off. There's a sign
8	that tells people to please turn off your cell
9	phones or pagers. I would ask for compliance with
10	those, please. Questions by Committee of Alderman
11	Bauman?
12	ALDERMAN COGGS: Mr. Chair?
13	THE CHAIRMAN: Alderman Coggs.
14	ALDERMAN COGGS: It's not a lot I
15	have a question of the licensee. So you don't
16	serve food?
17	MR. RANDALL: That's incorrect, and
18	frankly I apologize, I was going to speak to the
19	food issue after the police report, but Alderman
20	Bauman took the floor, and that's fine, and I'm
21	happy to respond to that specific question.
22	We still serve food in the evening
23	and on the weekends; that's during lunch and
24	dinner.
25	ALDERMAN COGGS: What percentage of

- 1 your sales are food?
- 2 MR. RANDALL: Josh?
- 3 MR. HURLEY: Twenty percent.
- 4 ALDERMAN COGGS:
- 5 Q Is the menu that you submitted at the time of
- 6 application a menu that you currently utilize?
- 7 A Ninety-five percent.
- 8 Q Do you serve lunch?
- 9 A We open at 3:00; we serve food at 3:00 p.m. Monday
- 10 through Friday -- 3:00 p.m. to 11:00; Saturday and
- 11 Sunday from 11:00 a.m. to 11:00 p.m.
- 12 ALDERMAN COGGS: Thank you.
- MR. RANDALL: I do have a more
- 14 complete response to that, okay, but we can address
- 15 questions first, if you care.
- 16 THE CHAIRMAN: Are there other
- 17 questions by the Committee?
- 18 ALDERMAN KOVAC: So Monday through
- 19 Friday you're not serving lunch?
- MR. HURLEY: Correct.
- 21 ALDERMAN KOVAC:
- 22 Q And why is that?
- 23 A What we saw from January -- January, February, up
- 24 until June, five people a day, maybe -- maybe --
- 25 250 bucks a week; it costs me more than that to

turn my kitchen on

THE CHAIRMAN: I think that this is sort of a predicament that we have downtown; and I think it's positive that the city -- and it's not just even city leaders here that desire to have that, we have other business entities on Old World Third Street, downtown District 22, others that want to see places open not just as a watering hole, they want to see viable daytime operation of businesses that give residents, that give workers downtown the opportunity to have a number of establishments to go to.

The difficulty like with the -- what we see at the Grand Avenue Mall is, you can't artificially create a market sometimes. As much as we want Grand Avenue booming, just saying to people, please come down, we've got places to go, doesn't necessarily mean people are going to come to this establishment. So, I mean, we're sort of in the quagmire I think, at times, of trying to get something that we desire and what the marketplace necessarily dictates.

I don't -- I don't know where the right sweet spot is but ultimately you want to have some viable daytime presence as a restaurant, but

1 you can't create a market and necessarily hit the right spot. I don't know, I don't know what the 2 3 answer is, but --ALDERMAN COGGS: Mr. Chair? 5 THE CHAIRMAN: Alderman Coggs. 6 ALDERMAN COGGS: I would just ask, though: I recognize that the business -- that the market changes, business changes and all of that, 8 9 but I also recognize that Aldermen put a lot of 10 faith in licensees in what they promise they will do; and I think it's imperative, not only for this 11 licensee but all licensees that once the 12 13 recognition of a necessary change comes about, that 14 that be communicated to the local representative; because to hear it from neighbors or to hear it 15 16 from customers is really a negative. 17 So we can talk about the business 18 change and all of that, which reasonable minds can 19 probably agree on that; but it's the having been 20 promised one thing and then hearing through the 21 grapevine that has changed, that is disheartening. 22 THE CHAIRMAN: I'll concur with you. 23 I think that that communication -- and frankly, 24 because it was more than just Alderman Bauman, 25 we're talking about there is a business district

there that, you know, and I'm assuming they meet
regularly, that you would be participating in that
and saying, look, this isn't working for us. Maybe
you meet with the Alderman and say, we're going to
change or submit a different plan of operation
here, we want to work with you, discuss our viable
options. I concur with you.

Alderman Zielinski, what I want to say is that we are running very far behind and this is taking much longer so let's, if we could, try to keep the comments on a short --

ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI: Just to briefly dovetail on some of the comments made by Alderperson's Coggs and Bohl. You know, the concern is: You come in, you make a plan of operation that is agreeable to the neighborhood and the Alderperson and then, when you come back later and you say, hey, this didn't work out, that gives the impression -- I'm not saying this is necessarily the intent, but the impression or the perception is that this may have been directed to undermine the actual goals of that particular area.

Because had you come forward with the original plan of operation that you have in place now, you might not have gotten the approval

and the support to get in there in the first place;

and so that's -- you know, that very disconcerting.

And for anybody that's listening, if you have -
you know, if you conceive of any possible change,

you've got to be up front with everybody in the

MR. RANDALL: Mr. Chair?

beginning. Thank you.

8 THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Randall.

MR. RANDALL: If I may, first off, we do recognize that the communication on our end was lacking and we should have changed that; and I do want to say that Alderman Bauman is correct, he was very -- and as I said in my intro, very up front and has been very clear with us at all points throughout the process. When we first proposed the location, it did not include a kitchen, it did not include food and he explained to us that he would probably be supportive if it did include food and the kitchen service; so that is -- we cannot and we will not dispute that.

What I think is somewhat unfair and unreasonable to us, though, is any connotation that there's a bait and a switch. If there is, then it's a \$250,000.00 bait and switch, because the kitchen is a very expensive addition to the

- 1 property. It is still there and it is still used.
- 2 And both Josh and Michael will tell
- 3 you that they will open for lunch when they, who
- 4 both are there or one or the other on a daily basis
- 5 starting at 9:00 o'clock and start to see the foot
- 6 traffic, and start to see that there are people
- 7 there and people are knocking on the door. They do
- 8 have people, because their door is open for
- 9 delivery, and they say, we're not open today, but
- 10 Buck Bradley's is open or the other establishments
- 11 are open. They do send people away; and believe
- me, when a dozen people start doing that on a daily
- 13 basis, they're going to open.
- So, from our perspective, the
- 15 kitchen is still there, the city has what it
- 16 wanted, or the area -- the business group has what
- it wanted, the facility can be used; but for pure
- 18 economic reasons, as you've heard in the record
- 19 this morning, it does not make sense to open. And
- 20 we do not have our hand out, we're not looking for
- 21 city subsidies to keep our kitchen open during
- lunch. So that's the pure and simple fact of it.
- 23 We do recognize we should have advised Alderman
- 24 Bauman.
- 25 As far as the plan of operation, I

- did speak with License Division and asked that
 question and was advised that if we had the

 potential or the future desire, perhaps, to be open
 as early as 11:00 -- and again, on weekends we
 are -- I know it's a detailed problem on the list
 where we put 11:00 a.m. for the weekdays; but
 knowing that we may want to reopen the kitchen, if
 we're able to, I was advised to keep the hours as
- And you heard about the menu -- that
 hasn't changed. So the plan of operation, from my
 perspective, remains accurate, but certainly let
 the record reflect that as of -- and I believe from
 our perspective it should be July have 2009 -- you
 closed for lunch, not March?

the most expansive that we have.

MR. HURLEY: June.

9

- MR. RANDALL: June of 2009, so -
 cuz I know, I was there for the NCAA tournament

 time and frankly, the crowd was a little light for

 taking a Thursday afternoon off, watching some

 games on their big screen TV. And so not that I

 could see it coming, but the crowd was a little

 light, so it was June of 2009.
- I do want to just simply respond one
 final thing: I did talk to Alderman Bauman

yesterday. I didn't -- it wasn't that I didn't
know they were no longer serving lunch up until the
other day, but it was that I did not know when they
decided to stop serving lunch; and frankly, that's
one of the reasons why we recognize it's important
to transition the agent duties to Joshua who is
there on a day-to-day basis and then can
immediately recognize that.

This is an operational change.

Perhaps when I see the Alderman next, or I should place a quick phone call or send him an E-mail to let him know this is what's going on. So again, that -- and Alderman Bauman spoke to that, that that's one of the perils of being an agent not responsible for the day-to-day responsibilities.

But we recognize that, we're going to change that, but we do believe we're in compliance with our plan of operation and we will serve lunch when we have people to buy it from us. And hopefully a lot is going on down there. Aloft Hotel is about to open, Maduren is going to go up. If something would be built in the Park East, that would be great, it would create a nucleus of people in daytime traffic; but the Journal Sentinel keeps cutting staff. There just isn't the typical

- Cathedral Square foot traffic or Milwaukee Street
 foot traffic that has office buildings feeding
 that.
- And just for the record, we do

 recognize the German Beer Hall and Brat House are
- 6 open for lunches. Our menu that's in the record
- 7 shows \$7.00, \$8.00, \$9.00 type of sandwiches,
- 8 entrees; they have a \$5.00 link, side and beverage
- 9 special that we frankly can't compete with; and I
- 10 guess that works for them but we're more than a
- 11 sausage that we'll give someone for lunch.
- 12 Buck Bradley's is open for lunch,
- 13 Mader's -- both wonderful restaurants for certain
- 14 type of food connoisseur and I believe Brick Three
- is open for lunch that has a service bar license
- only. But as far as we understand, Sweet, Buckhead
- 17 Saloon, Lucille's, Tutto and Notae are not open for
- lunch, as I believe Tutto at one time was but,
- 19 similar to us, we believe they pulled their lunch
- 20 hour back and aren't doing it. And also,
- importantly, to show that it is a tough economy out
- there, we've had Capone's on that street recently
- 23 closed. I went there for lunch -- it was good
- 24 pizza. African Hut closed --
- 25 THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Randall, I think

- 1 we get the picture here. I understand, I understand, and the economy doesn't necessarily 2 3 help, because I think that many people are reducing 4 or withholding their number of occasions where 5 they're going out to lunches. 6 There were neighbors that were here to testify -- can we see a show of hands? Okay, three, four, five. I'm going to ask you to raise 8 9 your right hands, please, right now, we'll swear
- (Whereupon Staff Assistant Toby

 Black, swore in MR. DAN PAGET, MR. ANDREW WAGNER,

 MR. WALTER PAGET, MS. ERIN MAC DONALD and MR. TOM

 ERD to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing

 but the truth.)

10

you in.

16 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Here's my rules: Unless you are not physically able, I'm 17 going to ask you to take a seat in the front row. 18 All the individuals who intend to testify, take a 19 seat in the front row. We're going to utilize the 20 21 standing microphone. If you are unable to utilize 22 the standing microphone and do need to take a seat, 23 we'll ask you to take a seat here at the front table; otherwise we'll need your name, the spelling 24 25 of your name if it is a difficult pronunciation,

- difficult spelling, your address and then your
- 2 reason for testimony.
- 3 We'll ask that you limit your
- 4 testimony to no more than two minutes. If it
- 5 starts to get to the point where your testimony is
- 6 redundant, if you're No. 3 or 4 in line and the two
- 7 or three people said everything you wanted to say,
- 8 your name and address and just a simple statement
- 9 of, I concur with the testimony that's already been
- 10 stated.
- 11 Having us hear loud music five
- 12 times, I hear loud music every night, it wakes me
- every night -- by the time we get to the fifth
- 14 person we understand and you can just say, I
- 15 substantially agree with the testimony that has
- 16 been already stated. Sir, we'll take you first --
- 17 you're first in order. Name and address?
- MR. DAN PAGET: Dan Paget, 1019
- 19 North Old World Third Street.
- THE CHAIRMAN: And the spelling of
- 21 Paget, please?
- MR. PAGET: P-A-G-E-T.
- THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Randall?
- MR. RANDALL: Just for the record,
- 25 Alderman Bauman did identify some roof top deck

- issues. I was going to respond to them, but I
 suspect that's part of what Mr. Paget's going to
 talk about; but so the Committee knows, I was
 prepared to address that, but I'll allow the
 questions at this point.

 THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Paget, please.
- 7 MR. PAGET: I'm also owner of Buck 8 Bradley's and I live on the third floor of my 9 building and I have issue with their patrons coming 10 across the roof onto my property; vandalism,

damage, and that's where I'd like to start.

- I talked to Alderman Bauman, called 12 13 the police, I've talked to the managers two, three, 14 four, five times. Also -- but anyways, the noise and -- but the real reason that I'm here is that 15 16 someone could get killed on this roof. I'm 17 wrestling with kids at night time, drunk and stupid, and it's out of control. The parapet walls 18 are about up to your knee, you could tumble off the 19 roof and instead of calling the police, you could 20 21 call an ambulance, so that's my main issue and
- THE CHAIRMAN:

that's it.

11

22

Q Which building do you reside in in relation to this location?

- 1 A It's two buildings over; the Athletic Club is in
- 2 the middle of Bootleggers and Buck Bradley's. I've
- 3 got a personal deck that I utilize on top of the
- 4 building, but I haven't used it in over a year.
- 5 Q Is there anyone that's climbing from one building
- 6 to another?
- 7 A Yah, they climb from the rooftop bar onto the other
- 8 building and then get onto my roof.
- 9 Q Okay. And you have brought this to the attention
- 10 of --
- 11 A Many times, many times.
- 12 Q And is it your contention that not enough has been
- 13 done?
- 14 A Actually, nothing's been done.
- 15 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. All right.
- 16 Thank you. Questions by the Committee?
- 17 ALDERMAN KOVAC: Mr. Chairman?
- 18 THE CHAIRMAN: Alderman Kovac.
- 19 ALDERMAN KOVAC:
- 20 Q How often do you see people on your roof, or
- 21 evidence that people were on your roof?
- 22 A Like I said, I live on the third floor; I hear
- 23 noise, I run up to the roof, argue, wrestle, they
- 24 run back to Bootleggers; and if you call the
- police, it just takes to long, I don't want to

- 1 bother the police.
- One time they stole my flag. Can
- 3 you put the picture back up?
- 4 Q Just give us your testimony here.
- 5 A I have a 10-foot flag that's on my roof and I found
- 6 a kid climbing the pole and stole my flag. I
- 7 caught him, came down to Bootleggers. I mean, it's
- 8 dangerous up there -- it's pitch black; and the
- 9 main thing that could happen, someone could die up
- 10 there, fall off that roof and then it's too late.
- 11 Q And so it's pretty regular then?
- 12 A Regular.
- 13 Q And how often -- we have one item in the police
- 14 report where a police officer observed people being
- 15 blatantly over served. You mentioned that you've
- seen people beyond the point --
- 17 A Well, the flag stealing issue I did call the
- 18 police. I think they issued a trespassing
- 19 ticket -- I don't know for sure -- but it just
- 20 takes too long. Once you get up there, you've got
- 21 to run downstairs --
- 22 Q I mean specifically for our record, I want to know
- 23 how often, roughly speaking, or how frequently you
- observed people who have clearly been over served
- 25 at this bar?

- 1 A Downstairs -- I mean, I'm not -- in my business I'm
- 2 not saying that my business is perfect, but this
- 3 rooftop bar is an issue, it's very serious up there
- and, you know, nothing happens.
- 5 ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI: Mr. Chairman?
- 6 THE CHAIRMAN: Alderman Zielinski.
- 7 ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI:
- 8 Q When you say nothing happens, who did you
- 9 specifically speak to about the problem?
- 10 A I talked to yourself (Addressing Mr. Hurley), I
- 11 think there's two other managers -- don't know the
- names.
- 13 Q Okay, I get the idea. So, sir, why wasn't anything
- ever done? (Addressing Mr. Hurley)
- 15 THE CHAIRMAN: We'll have Alderman
- 16 Hamilton move to make a submission of photos
- 17 provided by Attorney -- agent Randall as part of
- our record in this proceeding. Hearing no
- 19 objections, so ordered. Go ahead.
- MR. HURLEY: After the first
- 21 conversation with Dan, you can see where on the
- 22 bottom left that there -- that's what they were
- jumping on. You could just walk right up there and
- just kind of climb right up and go over. And then
- you can see the other three pictures what we built.

- 1 If you stand up to this, the only
- 2 way you can get on is you actually hop onto a chair
- 3 or table to jump over that to go, which we -- after
- 4 we did build it, we did have that that one time.
- 5 At that point we pulled every chair away from that
- 6 area, every table away from that area and there's a
- 7 security officer and we have not had a problem
- 8 since then.
- 9 MR. PAGET: That's wrong. Even when
- 10 the bar was closed on the roof I've had people
- 11 over -- just this last Marquette game. I mean,
- it's dangerous up there, it's an easy fix. I don't
- 13 know what the problem is. If you came to me with
- 14 the problem, I mean, I'd take care of it. It's
- 15 been almost two years.
- MR. ZIELINSKI: Okay, thank you very
- much.
- 18 THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions by the
- 19 Committee?
- MR. RANDALL: Mr. Chairman?
- 21 THE CHAIRMAN: If you want to hold
- on, please, there may be additional -- questions
- only, please.
- MR. RANDALL: No problem.
- 25 Q Mr. Paget, I just want to -- Alderman Kovac was

- 1 asking you, and I'm not sure I heard a clear answer
- 2 to the question, but how often -- how many times --
- 3 not lots of times, but can you give us a number of
- 4 about how many times --
- 5 A In the past two years, I don't know exactly but
- 6 many.
- 7 Q Would it be fair to say that you may have talked to
- 8 the Bootleggers' management twice about it; would
- 9 that be an accurate number of times that --
- 10 A No, I'd say -- I'd say a lot more. I don't have
- 11 exact numbers; but what I am saying is that roof
- 12 top bar is dangerous and it's pitch black up there,
- 13 I'm wrestling with these kids and someone's going
- 14 to get seriously injured and nothing's been done.
- 15 Q Just to understand, though --
- 16 A Ask your client here; I mean, we've talked many
- 17 times. The pitch black area --
- 18 Q Sir --
- 19 THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Paget.
- MR. RANDALL:
- 21 Q The pitch black area, the area you view to be
- 22 dangerous, is that the Bootleggers' roof top
- 23 operation or is it two stories away -- two doors
- 24 away?
- 25 A That's when they cross over from the Athletic, when

- they climb up that -- what you can show in your
- picture, and then they go onto my roof. I've got
- 3 my air conditioners up there, I've got a private
- 4 deck -- it's just dark. And the walls are only up
- 5 to your waist, at the most; and you can tumble off
- 6 there and you're not coming back when you fall.
- 7 Q So your concern is the private property that you
- 8 own and what could happen when someone's on your
- 9 property?
- 10 A Exactly -- it's dangerous.
- 11 Q Thank you.
- 12 A And I don't understand why it's not taken care of.
- 13 Q I understand -- we'll respond.
- 14 A I've talked to them two years.
- 15 THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Paget, thank you.
- 16 MR. RANDALL:
- 17 Q Mr. Paget, you talked about vandalism damage. Have
- 18 you explained that to Bootleggers and, if so, have
- 19 they offered to pay or replace or repair anything
- of yours?
- 21 A I've tried to talk but I don't get any response.
- I've got a rubber roof up there, it's for rain, not
- for walking. I've got a private deck. I found
- three kids in my hot tub up there, stole my flag.
- 25 I mean, we're in war time and these kids are

- 1 stealing my flag. I mean, you tell me.
- 2 Q And there's a rubber roof, but -- explain what's on
- 3 your roof; there's a hot tub, flag, what other
- 4 equipment?
- 5 A I've got lawn chairs -- it's just a place to get
- 6 some peace and quite.
- 7 Q You also testified a little bit about noise, and
- 8 can you explain if you have a concern about noise
- 9 coming from the Bootleggers roof top or is it noise
- 10 occurring on your private property if someone
- 11 trespasses and comes over there?
- 12 A It's just people running on my roof creates a
- 13 problem.
- MR. RANDALL: I don't have any other
- 15 questions. Thank you.
- 16 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Next
- 17 witness, please.
- MR. WAGNER: My name is Andrew
- Wagner, W-A-G-N-E-R; address 1029-C as in cat,
- 20 North Old World Third Street; and I should have let
- 21 Mr. Bauman know, I have returned. My roommate and
- I formerly lived at this exact residence beginning
- in April of 2006. We moved out last Summer, due to
- some work relocations, and returned this Summer. I
- 25 rent from Tom Erd.

When Bootleggers came into the
neighborhood -- and I apologize, I'm going to be
blunt -- they were a problem to start with; and we
expressed our concerns to Mr. Randall and him and I
have a greatly different opinion of the word
"improvement" to the street. I also spoke with
Alderman Bauman.

I was informed at the time that the rooftop patio would have a privacy screen erected around it. Well, I can tell you first hand there is no such privacy screen because I sit on my couch and I have people staring into my window. I have people screaming into my window; and despite your claims of adequate security, I have people throwing things at my window on a regular basis when that patio is open.

Further, there are no shades or draperies on any of their windows facing that north alley, and all provide ample viewing into my home, onto my patio. We've heard about the police report with one intoxicated person that was spotted by an officer in March -- well, I invite that officer to come spend a weekend at my apartment -- any weekend -- and I guarantee you can see that at least five times a night.

I understand that you guys do a great job of getting people off your premises --that's fine. Once these people get out into the alley, they trash our cars, they trash our neighborhood; they throw up on our front step, they throw up on our doors; they ring our doorbells, they break our windows. I'm sorry, I've never had a problem with other bar patrons on the street until Bootleggers came in.

The alley between our apartments, if you want to put the picture back up, I used to park my car in that alley -- had a little issue with the city with parking tickets. When I moved back, I'd constantly get harassed by Bootleggers' staff for saying, you can't park there, this is private property. No, it's city property. They park our dude in, there's no place for us to get into our home and well, their only response often times is vulgarity.

So I'm sorry, but Bootleggers is a nuisance on the street. Every other bar finds a way to operate. They have a business model that works. You say your lunch business doesn't work -- well, every one else seems to find a way to do it. Bootleggers does not cater to your average downtown

1 event-going patron; they cater to your college kids, they cater to underagers, they cater to 2 3 people who are just looking to get intoxicated and get intoxicated quick and that is a blemish in our 5 neighborhood. 6 THE CHAIRMAN: Questions by the Committee? MR. ZIELINSKI: Mr. Chairman? 8 9 THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Zielinski. 10 MR. ZIELINSKI: Just to follow-up on a point that this gentleman raised about the 11 type of clientele that this group works with. What 12 13 have you done to market your afternoon lunches? MR. HURLEY: We've been everywhere 14 from flyering to businesses, business packets to 15 16 businesses to using the Shepherd's, using the Onion 17 to using the radio. MR. ZIELINSKI: You advertised on 18 the radio and the Shepherd and all these other 19 papers that you mentioned in terms of the lunch 20 21 menu that you have available? 22 MR. HURLEY: Correct. 23 MR. ZIELINSKI: Thank you. 24 THE CHAIRMAN: Other questions by Committee? Mr. Randall, any questions?

25

- 1 MR. RANDALL: Yes.
- 2 Q Mr. Wagner, you indicated -- did I hear you
- 3 correctly that you don't have blinds or shades or
- drapes on your windows or Bootleggers doesn't?
- 5 A Bootleggers doesn't; we have blinds and shades.
- 6 Q Okay. And you object to looking into Bootleggers
- 7 and what you see?
- 8 A I object to having to hear their music coming in,
- 9 having to look out my window and see what's going
- 10 on down there. In fact --
- 11 Q Are we talking the second floor Bootleggers
- 12 windows?
- 13 A Second floor, yup, and their patio looks directly
- 14 into my living room and directly onto my patio.
- 15 Q So do you have a second and third floor unit?
- 16 A I have a third floor.
- 17 Q And so your objection is looking into the
- 18 unscreened windows of Bootleggers on the second
- 19 floor and what you may see in there?
- 20 A That's part of it, yes.
- 21 Q Have you raised that with Bootleggers or asked
- 22 them --
- 23 A We have in the past.
- 24 Q -- to put up blinds?
- 25 Q Let me state the question first, please. Have you

- 1 raised that with Bootleggers or asked them to put
- 2 up shades, blinds or drapes?
- 3 A We have done that in the past.
- 4 Q And what kind of response did you have?
- 5 A None.
- 6 Q And when was that?
- 7 A This would have been before you built the first
- 8 time, which would have been last Summer.
- 9 Q And do you remember with whom you spoke at
- 10 Bootleggers?
- 11 A I've contacted you several times because we were
- 12 told that you were the contact for it.
- 13 Q That's correct. Do you have any recollection of
- 14 raising the blinds, shades or drapes with me or was
- 15 it --
- 16 A Yes, I do; and I do not have the E-mail with me but
- I can provide that for the full Committee, just as
- we have this copy of the E-mail or letter from you
- 19 after our first complaint which says, and I quote:
- 20 "You registered a concern about the rooftop deck
- 21 and whether any screening will be erected for
- 22 privacy. We have already communicated this concern
- of yours to both our clients and the project
- 24 architect and have been asked to inform you that
- 25 Bootleggers rooftop deck, quote, will include

- 1 screening elements." I'd like to see those
- 2 screens.
- 3 Q That -- just to clarify, I thought we were talking
- 4 about windows on the second floor.
- 5 A We've talked about those. I understand where
- 6 you're trying to go with this, but I talked about
- 7 both. I talked -- I opened my statement by saying
- 8 we were told there would be rooftop screens -- your
- 9 words, Mr. Randall, your exact words. This is the
- 10 last we ever heard of you on the issue. There are
- 11 no rooftop screens.
- 12 This is -- I understand -- this is
- 13 the response I get from Bootleggers the past:
- 14 Well, you live in a bar neighborhood, you live
- downtown, what do you expect? Well, the residences
- were there before the bar and I guarantee if a
- 17 licensee came before the Council in a residential
- 18 neighborhood and said, we'd like to open a bar with
- 19 a full rooftop deck less than a 100 feet from a
- 20 house or an apartment, the Committee would shoot
- 21 that down in a second.
- I invite any of you to come over
- 23 this weekend and sit on my patio. I called the
- 24 police earlier this Summer because at 4:30 in the
- afternoon they're testing their new rooftop

- 1 speakers. I mean, not even minding the fact that
- 2 it was the Fray of all bands, but still, why should
- 3 I have to hear that in the middle of the afternoon?
- 4 And now I look on your front window today and tell
- 5 me what your big advertising is for New Years --
- 6 oh, yah, a live DJ on the rooftop deck -- that's
- 7 going to be great.
- 8 Q Mr. Wagner -- and I'll get to the rooftop deck in a
- 9 minute -- my questions were about screening of the
- 10 windows, and I did hear you talk about the rooftop
- screening, and I believe you accurately read my
- 12 letter and that pertained to rooftop screening, did
- 13 it not?
- 14 A Yes. Would you like an answer on the second --
- 15 Q Sure.
- 16 THE CHAIRMAN: And I just want to
- interject, because we're falling further and
- 18 further behind. I appreciate -- I will provide two
- 19 minutes for testimony. If there's a question
- 20 asked, I would ask that individuals answer the
- 21 question and try to limit it to answering the
- 22 question.
- 23 I understand that -- because what
- 24 we're getting is, I get my two minutes and then I
- answer the question and then I go on a tangent

- 1 about some other area. Please understand that we
- 2 have a lot of other -- we do want to hear all the
- 3 testimony as we can to make a decision, but we do
- 4 have limitations and we are falling further behind,
- 5 so that's why I ask that -- please.
- 6 MR. RANDALL:
- 7 Q And I'll try to be brief, but I asked a quick
- 8 question and we had a long answer there.
- 9 Mr. Wagner you talked about -- let's move to sound
- 10 and noise. Is it your testimony that I had -- and
- 11 actually I wanted to correct something Alderman
- 12 Bauman said on what the record shows for our
- 13 rooftop music after 11:00 p.m.; in fact it's 10:00
- p.m., that's what our license states and that's
- what we operationally do, so let the record
- certainly reflect it's 10:00 p.m., we do not play
- till 11:00 p.m.; although I do want to ask
- 18 Mr. Wagner, do you wish to testify that the rooftop
- has excessive noise after 10:00 p.m.?
- 20 A I do and I'd be happy to provide video evidence of
- 21 that.
- 22 Q Do you have it with you today?
- 23 A Today? No. I, like you, returned from Lambeau, so
- 24 --
- 25 Q Do you have any dates of when those instances

- 1 occurred?
- 2 A I have dates, I have photographs, I have videos,
- 3 all dated.
- 4 Q But not with you?
- 5 A Not with me.
- 6 Q Okay, thank you. You talked about vandalism and
- 7 breaking of windows. Have you -- have you seen
- 8 people leave Bootleggers and break windows or
- 9 perform vandalism on property you own?
- 10 A Yes, I've seen -- on my -- that I own? Yes, I've
- 11 seen that, I've also seen it on property other
- 12 people own. I've seen people --
- 13 Q Can you give us some details, please?
- 14 A I've seen people walk by and key-up cars; I've seen
- people walk by and smash bottles that -- I don't
- 16 know where they're coming from -- I see inside the
- bar, smash bottles on the floor. I've seen people
- throw things at our apartment building; I've seen
- 19 people throw up on the fence windows on the lower
- 20 level.
- 21 Q So one thing you said, you don't know where people
- 22 are coming from when they're on the ground, but --
- and I want to get to the rooftop and throwing
- things at your apartment. But have you seen people
- 25 leave Bootleggers and come and do any of those

- 1 actions or do you just assume that they're coming
- 2 --
- 3 A Yes, I have seen people leave Bootleggers and
- 4 commit those actions.
- 5 Q And can you explain your apartment layout and how
- 6 that's possible? Are you walking from room to room
- 7 to follow this action?
- 8 A I do. I go -- at closing time, if I'm home, I go
- 9 downstairs and I watch what goes on on the street
- 10 and if I see something goofy going on I go back
- 11 upstairs and I do follow or I lean off my back
- 12 patio and I watch, I take pictures and I document
- 13 it.
- 14 Q And do you have any of that with you today?
- 15 A I do not have it with me today.
- 16 Q As far as the roof top items, things that may be
- 17 thrown at your property, have you reported that to
- 18 Bootleggers, and if so --
- 19 A Yes, Bootleggers -- Josh is -- my downstairs
- 20 neighbor has reported it to Bootleggers and the
- 21 police.
- 22 Q And what action, if any, have you seen Bootleggers
- 23 take?
- 24 A Very little.
- 25 Q Have you seen any of their security or bartenders

- on the rooftop eject people or remove them from --
- 2 A I rarely see security personnel on the rooftop
- 3 deck; they're standing over by the door and that's
- 4 about it.
- 5 Q And that's because you do watch out your windows a
- 6 fair amount?
- 7 A Yes, we do.
- 8 Q And you've lived there -- can you explain the time
- 9 that you lived next door?
- 10 A I moved in in April of 2006; I vacated the premises
- 11 last year mid August, I believe it was, and then we
- 12 returned this year in July.
- 13 Q July, so since July you've resided there?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q You talked about the alley and your use of that.
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q And do you have a permit to park there or what's
- 19 the -- what is your understanding of rights to use
- 20 the alley?
- 21 A Well, the tickets -- I no longer drive and I'm fine
- 22 with that. The tickets I've received in the past
- 23 were no parking in a central business district
- 24 alley, no parking in --
- 25 THE CHAIRMAN: I don't -- I don't --

- 1 I'm going to rule that question out of order here
- 2 so we'll ask you to move on.
- 3 MR. RANDALL: Then I have no other
- 4 questions.
- 5 THE CHAIRMAN: All right, thank you.
- 6 ALDERMAN KOVAC: Very briefly.
- 7 Q You've already said this, I just to make sure we
- 8 have it on the record: You said we could come to
- 9 your patio --
- 10 A Any time.
- 11 Q -- any time -- not necessarily taking you up on
- that, but if we did, because of what you've seen,
- five times a night, what do you see? Do you see
- 14 signs of obvious drunkenness?
- 15 A Obvious drunkenness, potential for fights to break
- out at any time; people screaming, yelling as they
- walk out, people screaming and yelling on the deck.
- 18 You'll see vomit on any given weekend -- not
- 19 pleasant.
- 20 Q Are you seeing this on the deck, in the bar,
- 21 outside of the bar?
- 22 A I see it outside, I hear it on the deck. You can
- look through the window and you can see clear as
- 24 day what's going on down there.
- MR. KOVAC: Okay.

- 1 MR. RANDALL: Mr. Chair, I have
- 2 follow-up questions to that.
- 3 Q Mr. Wagner, can you qualify what obvious
- 4 drunkenness is as far as --
- 5 A Stumbling, inability to stand, urinating in public.
- 6 Q And you see that five times a night when you look
- 7 out your window?
- A You can see that frequently during the night.
- 9 Q And do you -- it sounds like you're pretty
- 10 observant. With this being an entertainment
- 11 district, a lot of other establishments, do you see
- 12 that at any other locations on Old World --
- 13 A I don't, and I go to a lot of the establishments on
- 14 Third Street.
- 15 MR. RANDALL: Thank you, no other
- 16 questions.
- 17 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Next
- 18 witness, please.
- MR. WALTER PAGET: Good morning,
- 20 Committee.
- 21 THE CHAIRMAN: Almost afternoon
- here.
- 23 (Whereupon there was some brief
- 24 laughter at this point.)
- THE CHAIRMAN: Go ahead, name and

- 1 address, please.
- MR. PAGET: No problem, Alderman.
- 3 My name is Walter Paget, P-A-G-E-T; 545 Highview
- 4 Court, Elm Grove, Wisconsin. I'm the registered
- 5 agent of the LLC that owns the building known as
- 6 Buck Bradley's. My brother Dan is the resident on
- 7 the third floor.
- 8 Again, to speed this up and not be
- 9 redundant, I agree with all of his complaints about
- 10 what's going on on the third floor. The one facet
- 11 that he did not mention about his hot tub incident,
- 12 with three guys in it, they were all disrobed, that
- 13 ended up coming on -- over from the roof, which was
- 14 a wonderful thing to find on the Fourth of July.
- The facets are this: I end up
- hearing about the security from the testimony. How
- in the world are they getting onto our roof with
- 18 all this wonderful security?
- 19 Facet No. 2: I hear about the one
- and-a-half beverage policy in front of a patron,
- 21 yet they're running an all-you-can-drink special on
- New Year's Eve for a cover charge -- not to mention
- 23 the fact that the line ends up going so far that I
- 24 end up having my patrons, which end up being of a
- 25 different demographic, that need to end up going

- 1 through this line that is stretching from them.
- 2 Let's just say their demographic ends up being a
- 3 little bit louder, a tad bit more coarse as my
- 4 demographic ends up trying to go through them --
- 5 and they're not all that pleasant to my patrons.
- Again, I know the Committee's time
- 7 is short, I thank you for your time. And that's
- 8 about -- after what my brother's already stated and
- 9 for the other individuals that are going to
- 10 testify -- Andrew especially -- I'm finished up.
- 11 Thank you.
- 12 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you for your
- brevity. Questions by the Committee? Mr. Randall.
- 14 MR. RANDALL:
- 15 Q Mr. Paget, I asked your brother this question --
- 16 I'm trying to understand the number of times for
- 17 the rooftop incidents. Do you have a number in
- 18 mind -- is this just many?
- 19 A Definite numbers that I've been involved with, six,
- 20 with physical contact. That does not even include
- 21 the amount of times that my brother ends up hearing
- 22 people on his roof, like Santa's little reindeer,
- and he has to run on up there.
- 24 Q And I believe that -- I am aware of, through your
- 25 calls to John Finerty, one of my partners, of two

- 1 times where you initiated this contact to let us
- 2 know of that. Do you know of any other times where
- 3 you or your brother contacted Bootleggers?
- 4 A Yes, direct one time with again, as my brother
- 5 mentioned, with flag in hand.
- 6 THE CHAIRMAN: Well, I want to -- I
- 7 want to get to only those which you contacted here,
- because you know as well, I don't want to get into
- 9 hearsay of him saying he heard from his brother.
- MR. RANDALL: Fair enough.
- 11 THE CHAIRMAN: Good.
- 12 THE WITNESS: My direct contact,
- 13 three phone calls directly with your office; with
- 14 Bootleggers staff, two direct contacts -- the
- second one being so dissatisfactory that that's why
- I got involved with Mr. Finerty.
- 17 MR. RANDALL:
- 18 Q And is it your testimony that not enough has been
- done from your perspective following those
- 20 contacts?
- 21 A That is the understatement of this morning.
- THE CHAIRMAN: I take that as a yes.
- THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 24 MR. RANDALL:
- 25 Q Mr. Paget, are you aware of what the other drink

- 1 specials on Old World Third Street are for New
- 2 Year's Eve? You testified you were aware of what
- 3 Bootleggers was.
- 4 A I'll tell you about the past New Year's Eve.
- 5 Q So can you tell us what last New Year's Eve drink
- 6 specials were all up and down Old World Third
- 7 Street? Well, let's start for your premises, what
- 8 was yours, if any?
- 9 A Party favors, a free Champagne toast -- that's
- 10 it -- no cover charge.
- 11 Q Are you aware of any other establishments and what
- 12 they're --
- 13 A I believe that the German Beer Hall, which also is
- our tenant, ended up just having the exact same
- thing. Again, they were the only place on the
- street that I knew of that was going \$40.00, all
- 17 you can drink until you close.
- 18 Q That's very specific. Do you know of any other
- 19 establishments last New Year's Eve that had
- 20 all-you-can drink specials?
- 21 A No, I don't.
- 22 Q Thank you. Finally, you talked about your
- 23 demographic and the line that may stretch in front
- of Buck Bradley's. When does this typically occur,
- 25 is it 10:00 p.m., 11:00 p.m.?

- 1 A No, your drink specials start -- like for your
- 2 Halloween party, everyone wants to get in there
- 3 because you're running them maybe from 8:00 to
- 4 10:00 -- that's not an all-you-can-drink night,
- 5 night like New Year's Eve; but hey, my problems end
- 6 up running from 8:00 to about 11:00 o'clock because
- 7 that's when you guys line up.
- 8 Q And your demographics at that time are different
- 9 than what you're observing Bootleggers are?
- 10 A Most certainly. If I end up having a rehearsal
- dinner or a business party, or let's say a
- 12 retirement dinner, those aren't people that should
- end up getting harassed by -- I'm not going to say
- 14 minors, but let's say someone real close to that,
- going into an establishment as they're trying to
- 16 exit. While my clients are trying to end up
- 17 leaving, to get a cab -- I'm not going to end up
- 18 using the language, but it's just ridiculous that
- 19 security wouldn't -- you end up going over and
- 20 asking individuals, hey, your line and your
- 21 customers are being a little bit rude. This
- 22 elderly lady, who's just trying to get out of my
- 23 place, and security goes, where's the line supposed
- 24 to go?
- 25 Q So, your testimony is that you've --

- 1 A This is a personal one, yes.
- 2 Q You have talked to --
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q -- at more than once or just once?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q And are you aware of any instances where the line
- 7 may go the other way away from your property or --
- 8 A It started -- when they first opened up, that's
- 9 what they told me they were going to do, but as
- 10 soon as -- I told Geovani and the complainant about
- 11 that, they ended up directing it over in this
- 12 direction.
- 13 Q And from your view point you'd prefer them not to
- 14 do that?
- 15 A Oh, I definitely would prefer that.
- 16 Q Do you ever have a line outside of Buck Bradley's,
- and if so, which way does it go?
- 18 A The only time that I've ever had a line out of Buck
- 19 Bradley's is when a Bradley Center event ends up
- 20 exiting and that ends up going into a Journal
- 21 parking lot across an alley from my front door.
- 22 Again, my clientele ends up not storming the
- 23 building.
- 24 MR. RANDALL: Mr. Chair, I have to
- 25 keep asking questions, because of the testimony.

- 1 Q What is storming the building?
- 2 A When you're opening your doors at 8:00 o'clock and
- 3 you end up saying you're going to end up running
- 4 One Dollar beers for one hour, that's storming the
- 5 building.
- 6 Q Opening the doors --
- 7 A We're open for the entire day and people come in at
- 8 a civilized pace.
- 9 Q Simply opening the door storms the building or the
- 10 people storm the building and --
- 11 A Because they're lined up because the drink specials
- 12 start at a certain time and only runs for a certain
- amount of hours.
- 14 $\,$ Q $\,$ And how many people are storming the building, in
- 15 your typical --
- 16 A Well, they end up going through this -- it's
- 17 probably about 150 feet in front of my place, so
- 18 you figure three feet per person -- I'm trying to
- 19 be brief --
- 20 THE CHAIRMAN: Just say Wal-Mart on
- 21 black Friday here.
- 22 THE WITNESS: Wal-Mart on black
- 23 Friday, thank you.
- 24 (Whereupon there was some brief
- 25 laughter at this point.)

- 1 MR. RANDALL: Thank you, Mr. Chair,
- 2 I don't have any other questions.
- 3 THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Thank
- 4 you.
- 5 CITY ATTORNEY TOM MUELLER:
- 6 Mr. Chair, can I just ask --
- 7 THE CHAIRMAN: No.
- 8 MR. MUELLER: -- a real brief
- 9 question?
- 10 THE CHAIRMAN: Well, I just -- I
- 11 think the dots have been connected very thoroughly,
- 12 Mr. Mueller, here.
- MR. MUELLER: I'm trying to create a
- 14 record.
- 15 THE CHAIRMAN: One question and then
- you're going to elicit three others and then I'm
- going to ask the Committee to stop, okay, and you
- included. Go ahead.
- 19 MR. MUELLER:
- 20 Q You testified that you have observed people on the
- 21 roof six times. I just need you to connect the
- dots here. Why do you say that they're coming from
- the licensee's rooftop bar?
- 24 A That would be the only place they could come from.
- There's not rooftop access from the direct

- 1 neighbor. There is not rooftop access to the
- buildings directly to the south, two over. I
- 3 believe where Cousins is, the old Donges building,
- 4 I think they might end up having some rooftop
- 5 access but that ends up being a property owner --
- 6 that ends up being somebody that I believe is
- 7 renting a \$700,000.00 condo -- or lives -- is
- buying a \$700,000.00 condo. I don't think that
- 9 they end up having three youths in their late 20s
- skinny dipping in my brother's hot top.
- MR. MUELLER: Thanks.
- MR. RANDALL: Mr. Chair, one follow
- 13 up.
- 14 Q The six instances that Attorney Mueller asked you
- about, is that -- are those times that you've seen
- people or you've seen evidence of people?
- 17 A I believe my testimony ended up being I had six
- 18 direct contacts of -- of direct contacts with
- 19 Bootleggers patrons on our property. My own
- 20 personal contact was two.
- MR. RANDALL: Thank you.
- THE CHAIRMAN: All right, thank you.
- Next witness, and as she's coming up, I'm going to
- 24 state the obvious to the Committee: I see that we
- all see that this room is filling up considerably

- and we're falling further and further behind. If

 you have questions from this side of the aisle,

 make certain that it has to do -- that it will make

 a difference, otherwise I think it's a pretty good

 record.
- 6 Ma'am, name and address for the 7 record, please.
- MS. MC DONALD: Erin MacDonald, 1029

 North Old World Third Street, Apartment B, which is

 immediately north across the alley from

 Bootleggers.
- They're kind of going into detail

 about what Mr. Wagner has stated; I agree with many

 of the things he did state -- he is actually my

 upstairs neighbor. But just a little bit more

 detail: I started living at that apartment as of

 May of 2008; that was the first Summer Bootleggers

 was open and we had many issues.

19

20

21

22

23

24

- We have a very large patio that you can see from their rooftop deck. We have many issues coming home, having glass bottles and broken pint glasses shattered on our deck; and to my knowledge, any rooftop bar in the city has to pour their drinks in plastic.
- 25 Towards the middle of that Summer my

roommate and I ran into -- I believe they were the developers of Bootleggers from Minnesota, they were coming into town just to check out the space, I believe, and we did have a conversation with them in the alley regarding putting the glass -- putting drinks in plastic cups as patrons were coming up to the rooftop deck. That started but it was was never consistent -- we still had issues with that through that Fall.

Also, having beer bottles and pint glasses thrown across the building -- across the alley, onto the building, shattering against the building, falling into the alley, falling on pedestrians and, you know, possible patrons for anyone on the block. And this past Summer, I believe, they started pouring everything into plastic but I guess they found a new thing to toss across the roof, which was their patio furniture; and we actually -- we haven't returned it, but we have two of your patio chairs on our deck that were tossed over.

And yah, and just -- the last thing,
I'll make it quick, the noise again, like Andrew
said, 4:30 in the afternoon testing out their
speakers on their top patio, which I presume, and

- 1 they weren't even supposed to have.
- 2 My roommate and I have contacted the
- 3 police department a few times regarding the noise
- 4 and they have went to Bootleggers every time, have
- 5 contacted us again asking if we would like to cite
- 6 them. No, we don't want to cite them for the
- 7 noise, we just want to make them aware but we think
- 8 after three times of having the police come into
- 9 the building that you would kind of be courteous
- 10 and -- as we are with you in not citing you, cuz
- 11 I'm sure they would have if we would have said yes.
- 12 And that's kind of it.
- 13 THE CHAIRMAN: If there are urgent
- 14 questions by the Committee -- okay. Questions,
- 15 Mr. Randall?
- MR. RANDALL: Just a few.
- 17 Q Ms. MacDonald, you indicated that you found
- 18 materials, glass and things on your patio; but have
- 19 you actually seen Bootleggers' patrons or anyone on
- 20 the Bootleggers' rooftop throw the things to your
- 21 patio?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q And can you tell us when -- what the contact was,
- how many times?
- 25 A One time we actually had some friends over and we

- 1 were on our patio and we did have two beer bottles
- 2 thrown over while we were standing and having
- drinks on our own patio -- it was the Summer of
- 4 2008.
- 5 Q And were those glass beer bottles?
- 6 A They were glass beer bottles, yes.
- 7 Q And you saw someone throw it from Bootleggers?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q The other times, though, that you find glass, could
- it be from the alley to the rear of your patio?
- 11 A Well, I mean, it was pint glasses and beer bottles,
- so I don't know if you're allowing people to take
- pint glasses out of Bootleggers or some other bar,
- but it's a pretty high-up patio, we're on the
- second story, for someone to launch a pint glass
- from the alleyway up onto our deck.
- 17 Q But it is possible that that could happen?
- 18 A Possibly.
- 19 Q And the alley is pretty-well traveled, people
- 20 frequently traffic through that alley, right?
- 21 A Oh, yah, definitely.
- 22 Q Did you -- have you advised -- strike that. The --
- 23 the noise issue that you indicated you testified
- about, the sound test of the speakers, can you put
- 25 that in relation to the buses that go by Old World

- 1 Third Street or the trucks that go up and down that
- 2 alley? How does that music noise compare for that
- 3 speaker test at 4:30 in the afternoon?
- 4 A Well, my roommate and I actually took a walk down
- 5 to the end of the block towards State Street; we
- 6 could still hear the music and we -- I remember we
- 7 stopped by Buck Bradley's as well, as we were
- 8 walking towards State Street, just to see how far
- 9 we could hear the music and we could definitely
- 10 hear it on State Street.
- 11 Q Thank you. My question, though: Is that area
- noisy in general; are there buses that you hear
- from your patio, are there trucks in the alley?
- 14 A Yah --
- 15 Q What other --
- 16 A -- of course, we live downtown, there's a lot of
- 17 traffic.
- 18 MR. RANDALL: I don't have any other
- 19 questions.
- 20 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Next
- 21 witness, please. Is this the last of the -- okay,
- thank you. Name and address, please, sir.
- MR. ERDMAN: My name is Tom Erd,
- 24 E-R-D; I own the building at 1031 and 1029 North
- 25 Old World Third Street. I also own a first-floor

1 business there, the Spice House. I'm a part-time

2 resident upstairs at 1029 Old World Third Street.

3 Our building is separated by the 28-foot alley,

4 which was mentioned before.

On June 12th, 12:30 a.m. we called police for extreme noise outside in front of Bootleggers. And regularly, on Thursday, Friday and Saturday nights the noise outside on the public right of way is a dine of hooting and hollering.

On June 20th at 1:30 -- and some of these the SGT. MAC GILLIS has already mentioned -- June 10th, 1:30 a.m. our plate glass window was broken by a Bootleggers' patron. August 14th, that same window was broken by a Bootleggers' patron who threw a garbage can through the window. These are also on the police record. I also have videotapes that will document the broken windows and the video tapes document the decibel levels outside of the bar at 2:00 a.m. on August -- Sunday morning.

September 12th my staff cleaned up two smashed beer bottles in that alley. October 24th at 8:45 I swept up a used condom in front of our store, near the street-side garbage can.

November 14th we cleaned up vomit under our staircase in the alley. November 28th we cleaned

- 1 $\,$ up vomit near the 1029 door where the alley meets
- 2 Old World Third Street.
- 3 Bootleggers passes out handbills,
- 4 nice four-color handbills to the patrons as they
- 5 exit -- these are advertising their specials and we
- 6 know where these hand bills end up.
- 7 THE CHAIRMAN: Why don't you tell
- 8 us.
- 9 THE WITNESS: On the sidewalk and in
- 10 the alley. I take issue with Mr. Randall's
- 11 assertion that we're -- some kind of so-called
- 12 entertainment district exists here; but the entire
- 13 block is zoned C-9-G, which is mixed use, which
- includes residential.
- THE CHAIRMAN: I'm going to ask you
- to, with your two minutes, wind it down now at this
- 17 point.
- THE WITNESS: Bootleggers has shown
- 19 some willingness to discuss these issues with me.
- 20 We have had some discussions with them and there
- 21 have been incremental improvements in the
- 22 situation. I am, however, respectfully asking the
- 23 Committee to note the conditions that Bootleggers
- is subjecting the neighborhood to and that's all I
- have.

- 1 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
- 2 Mr. Randall, if you want to hold on to maybe
- 3 questions here. Questions by Committee first? In
- 4 the incidents that you cite, and read through a
- 5 journal of incidents --
- 6 THE WITNESS: We logged incidents.
- 7 THE CHAIRMAN:
- 8 Q Is it video camera footage, is it personal vision;
- 9 how is it that you indicate that when you have like
- 10 broken windows or other things that you know that
- 11 it is specific to the patrons of this establishment
- 12 versus other individuals that go to other
- 13 establishments?
- 14 A That's a tough one. You know, you've got a crowd
- outside the door of Bootleggers, you've got people
- in the alley. We do know that the windows were
- 17 broke by Bootleggers' patrons because of police
- 18 reports and because of the testimony of the vandals
- 19 themselves; however, on the vomit issues and on the
- 20 broken beer bottles, I cannot say that they didn't
- 21 come from somewhere else.
- THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
- 23 Mr. Randall?
- MR. RANDALL: Thank you, Mr. Chair,
- 25 a couple of questions.

- 1 Q June 12, 1009, was that year -- was that the day
- 2 that you testified you contacted the police for
- 3 extreme noise?
- 4 A Yes, at 12:30 a.m.
- 5 Q And that's a 2009 complaint?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q And what did the police do, if anything?
- 8 A They didn't respond.
- 9 Q And what was the extreme noise; what was going on?
- 10 A Bootleggers has outdoor speakers and they were
- 11 really loud on that particular night. I'm not
- 12 saying they're always that load, but that
- 13 particular night they were; and the crowd outside
- 14 was especially loud that night -- you could hear
- this thing all the way to Juneau that night.
- 16 Q And did you contact Bootleggers' staff in any
- 17 fashion before or after calling the police?
- 18 A There was some brief contact with a person -- a
- 19 security person outside saying, hey, you guys, this
- 20 is out of line.
- 21 Q And did Bootleggers respond in any way, that you
- 22 could tell?
- 23 A You know, I ended up putting ear plugs in and going
- 24 upstairs and going to bed.
- 25 Q So you -- you own the business on the first

- 1 floor --
- 2 A Correct.
- 3 Q -- but you also reside on which --
- 4 A I reside part-time upstairs.
- 5 Q Which floor, we've had Ms. MacDonald --
- 6 A I have a second and third floor duplex unit.
- 7 Q And how many total units are in that building for
- 8 residential --
- 9 A There's three residential units.
- 10 Q So we have Ms. MacDonald, Mr. Wagner and then your
- 11 unit that --
- 12 A Correct.
- 13 Q -- spans two floors?
- 14 A Correct.
- 15 Q Thank you. As far as your testimony about
- documented decibel levels and noise generally, do
- 17 you have any of that decibel level information for
- 18 us?
- 19 A Yes, I do, it's on the video tape here and the
- 20 decibel readings are at 85 and they're -- it's on
- 21 video tape.
- 22 Q And as far as the broken window incidents, you --
- 23 can you explain how you know that Bootleggers'
- 24 staff or patrons broke those windows, beginning
- with the June incident?

- 1 A I'm unclear on that.
- 2 Q Did you see someone break the windows?
- 3 A No, we didn't, this happened at 12:30 or so -- or
- 4 no, later than that; however, Bootleggers did offer
- 5 to pay for the window and there were people at
- 6 Bootleggers that did see that incident.
- 7 Q And did they explain why they offered to pay for
- 8 the window?
- 9 A Because it was their people, their patrons that
- 10 broke it.
- 11 Q And that's your recollection of the conversation?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q And you had that conversation with whom?
- 14 A With Mr. Hurley.
- 15 Q And when was that conversation?
- 16 A That was after our meeting with Alderman Bauman --
- and I got to say that was --
- 18 Q In October?
- 19 A Yah, and he offered to pay it for it.
- 20 Q But you didn't see anyone from Bootleggers break
- 21 the window in June?
- 22 A No, I'm sorry, we weren't out at 2:00 in the
- 23 morning.
- 24 Q And the August 14th incident, did you see anyone
- 25 from Bootleggers break the window?

- 1 A No, that -- I mean, we fixed the window the next
- 2 day and I'm relying on a police statement for that.
- 3 MR. RANDALL: And Mr. Chair, I
- 4 would -- I do only have one copy of that police
- 5 statement; I do believe it is summarized in what I
- 6 would like the Committee to review and then put in
- 7 the record, but I do have -- and I can show to Sgt.
- 8 MacGillis first, we do have the police record for
- 9 that.
- 10 THE CHAIRMAN: You can just provide
- 11 a summary of it.
- 12 MR. RANDALL: Actually I'd like
- 13 Michael or Josh to provide the summary of it
- 14 because they are aware of the details, and just be
- 15 brief.
- MR. HOFFENBURG: One of our
- 17 bartenders was leaving the establishment and going
- 18 to the rear where their car was parked. Noticed
- 19 that there was a --
- MR. RANDALL:
- 21 Q What time was this?
- 22 A This was about 3:00 a.m. and they saw a cinder
- 23 block going through their car -- a drunk gentleman
- 24 was walking around doing that. They ran back
- inside, grabbed some of our staff, security, who

- 1 ran after him, detained him, someone called the
- 2 police. They called and they were looking down the
- 3 street and noticed that -- in following actually
- 4 the perpetrator and noticed they were kicking down
- 5 garbage cans and also throwing bricks and stuff and
- 6 garbage cans through windows and stuff.
- 7 The patron was not to be sighted in
- 8 our building at any time, and it was also noticed
- 9 that -- he also -- in the statement that was in
- 10 that meeting with Mr. Erd, also noticed that the
- police officer that he spoke with said that the
- 12 gentleman was on something or deranged. That's
- exactly what the police officer said to Mr. Erd.
- 14 It is in the statement that we have here, which is
- also verified by Mr. Erd.
- 16 Q Michael, you may have miss spoke; you indicated
- 17 "patron" is not to come into your premises. Was
- 18 this person a patron of yours that night or at any
- other time, to your knowledge?
- 20 A They were not.
- 21 Q And did you mean to say this person is -- did not
- not come to your premises?
- 23 A This person did not come to our premises.
- 24 Q And that you contacted -- or your staff contacted
- 25 the police, initiated the contact?

- 1 A Correct, we had three different phone calls.
- 2 Q And they found other locations where this person
- 3 had been walking down Old World Third Street at
- 4 3:00 a.m.?
- 5 A Correct.
- 6 Q And you were not open at 3:00 a.m., your staff was
- 7 simply cleaning up and finishing their work for the
- 8 night?
- 9 A Correct.
- 10 Q And can you explain, or maybe Josh can jump in,
- 11 with either or both of those incidents, the purpose
- of your offer to pay for the window and the
- 13 background behind that, briefly?
- 14 THE CHAIRMAN: I don't know that you
- need to read anything, just tell us briefly --
- 16 briefly.
- 17 MR. HURLEY: The first time the
- 18 window was broken, it was broken not from a fight
- but from two brothers arguing. One of the
- 20 gentleman rammed with his elbow. We were standing
- 21 there, I was the first one and my security manager
- 22 was the second one that saw it. We detained the
- 23 gentleman until the police officers got there. At
- that point we did offer to pay for the window with
- 25 Kate, which is his manager. She quote, unquote,

- said, "Don't worry about it, it's not a big deal,
- but thank you for the offer." That information was
- 3 never relayed to them until October.
- 4 MR. RANDALL:
- 5 Q Josh, you just jumped in. Were these people coming
- 6 into Bootleggers or leaving Bootleggers or was it
- 7 just people on the street that you happened to
- 8 respond to?
- 9 A People on the street.
- 10 Q So they were not your patrons but yet you took
- 11 responsibility for it?
- 12 A Correct.
- 13 Q And the August incident when the window was
- 14 apparently broken the second time --
- 15 A Our staff put themselves out there to make sure
- 16 that this gentleman was caught, they chased him
- down the street.
- 18 Q And you simply just offered the Spice House to pay
- 19 for their window anyway?
- 20 A The second -- the first time, yes.
- 21 Q Oh, you didn't in August?
- 22 A The second time, no.
- 23 Q But you would still pay?
- 24 A Absolutely, absolutely, and we -- and I actually
- 25 spoke with Tom and I told him that offer is still

- 1 on the table.
- MR. RANDALL: And Mr. Chairman, I
- 3 did pass out, and we ask that it be made a part of
- 4 the record, a statement from Bootleggers
- 5 memorializing that conversation that their staff
- 6 did have and we would just like to read into the
- 7 record the number of the police report -- police
- 8 call No. 0922602891 -- that was for the August
- 9 incident and it does not indicate whatsoever that
- 10 the patron at 3:00 a.m. came from Bootleggers.
- 11 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. We have a
- 12 copy of a recap from 10/14/09 that Alderman
- 13 Hamilton would move to make part of our official
- 14 record in the proceeding. Hearing no objection, so
- ordered. There was also a letter dated January
- 16 14th, 2008, to Mr. Andrew Wagner from Brian C.
- 17 Randall and Alderman Kovac would move to make this
- 18 a part of our official record in this proceeding.
- 19 Hearing no objections, so ordered.
- MR. RANDALL: No other questions.
- 21 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, thank you.
- 22 What I'm going to do at this point here, because I
- 23 believe that both sides have been provided thorough
- 24 opportunity, I'm going to ask -- and I'm going to
- 25 pull my watch out -- two minutes for a closing here

- 1 at this point. And if you want to take it to court
 2 on that matter, so be it.
- I've had -- I've had conversations

 with Mr. Schrimpf, who's indicated that I, as the

 Chair, have the ability to provide a time limit

 conversation. I think that we are now -- for

 any -- any Judge that will review the record, we're

 at about the two-hour mark on this matter. So I'll

 give you a slight amount of leeway but I think that

 we've had this issue thoroughly vented on both
- 11 sides. Mr. Randall?
- MR. RANDALL: Mr. Chair, thank you.
- And I do appreciate the anticipated leeway because
- I do have five witnesses to cover. What I will not
- do is talk about the police report and the food
- service issue because I believe you've given me the
- opportunity to summarize our statements; but if the
- 18 Committee does have further questions or wishes a
- 19 further statement, I'd be happy to do it; but we
- 20 believe we are in compliance with our plan of
- 21 operation and the police reports, we believe we
- 22 have operational safeguards to address those
- issues.
- 24 As far as the rooftop deck issues,
- 25 we have, and as that letter that was just made a

part of the record indicates, participated with the
Old World Third Street Association, we've asked to
be a part of those meetings to discuss this issue,
both noise on the street issues at Old World Third
Street and our rooftop deck, so that everyone is
aware of what's going on.

We tried to work with the neighbors.

He have worked with Mr. Erd, we believe he being the right person, certainly, as the owner of that building, and we acknowledge that we've worked with both of the Pagets who are, of course, responsible at Buck Bradley's. And we've done what we can, although there is a bit more that we believe we can do and we are willing to do, and I'll get to that in a minute.

As far as the noise issue, I would suggest to the Committee that there simply isn't evidence in the record of what the noise may be.

There is no testimony that is it is after 10:00 p.m., when our license requires the rooftop deck to be shut off. It is common, I would expect, to test speakers, to have things going on in the daytime.

The testimony was not that it was a night when someone may be trying to sleep.

There are heavy traffic issues.

When I was at the street yesterday, Usingers had about three semi-trailers stacked up on Old World Third; the Bradley Center is nearby, Turner Hall has a lot of deliveries, the alley is active there. There is significant noise in the area and certainly an addition of music on a rooftop that may on a quiet, none-windy night, spread is not justification to make that noise but we do not believe the record reflects there is an unreasonable amount of noise.

As far as the rooftop, Mr. Chair, I don't recall if the pictures were made a part of the record. Ms. Black instructed me that I should make sure I asked first before I passed them out.

Just to recap, because of the Pagets' testimony implied that nothing was done; and what those pictures -- as Josh explained, when the rooftop was originally built, this rooftop area was not there when we bought the building. That is the stairway coming up -- we have to have two access points for that third floor area, so this is the roof for the stairway; and frankly, you can see what the original problem was, people could just walk right up there. So the small wall extension was created, was put into place; and unfortunately,

what we've come to learn, and from our standpoint,

there really have only been two instances since

that wall was put in that there has been -- there

have been people over the roof top.

That isn't justification for how many there should be. What we would like to do is extend that wall a little higher. We do have to, however, work with the Historic Preservation

Commission staff because my review of the situation, one of the standards is what can be visible on a historically designated building from across the street.

And I believe that an extension of that wall, which Dan Paget specifically has asked for, and we've tried to look seriously at, would solve the problem, because people will not climb over a high wall for a big drop and then go over the roof; but we do need to go through with staff of the Historic Preservation Commission and it may result in a certificate of appropriateness hearing with the HPC -- and, of course, Alderman Bauman would be aware of all of those things going on. So we did do something, we planned to do more, but we need a little bit of time to do so.

The other thing that we did do, and

you can see even by the pictures, the chairs and the tables have been moved away from the area. As Josh said, one of the original problems was that there was equipment there and people were sitting there, looking at this, and they for some reason had a desire to go over the roof.

The other steps we've taken, we've purchased some signs. We believe notice is appropriate to at least post no trespassing or private property; we intend to put those on the wall in this area, right over the rooftop, so that anyone who does consider doing that. We will also offer them to Mr. Paget and -- if he would like to post them on his property. Not that that will prevent someone in some of these -- with these ideas, but we believe every step of a deterrent might be helpful.

Finally, we do intend -- and our staff takes it seriously, we do, as you heard from the testimony, security is a presence. We do have a roving security person on the rooftop and we do have one stationed by the west stairway; and so there are people up there, but that isn't to say when someone walks away that people don't climb over, and that isn't to say that's the case; people

may throw things over the alley, to address another
issue.

We do have an immediate ejection

policy, as far as people who throw things. We have
an immediate ejection policy, and frankly calling

the police, if we catch people going over. Part of
the problem, and that's why I tried to ask the

Pagets this, is that it's more often than not,

evidence is found that someone had been there, not
necessarily the people. I know he had a couple of
instances where he found them and they scrambled

over.

What we've asked them to do, and both of these gentlemen have given their cell phone numbers and the Bootleggers' staff numbers, is to hear about it from them if they find someone up there, so that we can have security on our side ready or we can call police and we can be ready to catch someone who should -- who should not be doing that. Again, we can only ask them to call us, we've given the cell phone numbers and we've tried to do that. One thing that I think is apparent is that -- and in fact, I believe Alderman Bauman and I may have had a discussion about this -- is a security camera would be appropriate so that we can

document what is going on, how this may be
happening. Perhaps that depends if we extend the
wall and we can do so, maybe a security camera is
not important or necessary; but perhaps on the
north side by the alley that may be an appropriate
addition; and certainly if the Committee were to
condition that as part of our renewal, we would not
object.

As far as -- a couple final comments: We do have a number of private events, a substantial list to show to the committee that we are not just simply a beer bar, as some of the testimony indicated. We believe our plan of operation, our past record speaks for itself. We have had Northwestern Mutual, the Journal Sentinel, the Milwaukee Police Department ATF Bomb Squad, and for good reasons, at our property.

GE, Ernst & Young, Alan Bradley -- a whole variety -- a two-page bullet-point list of private events that book our site. We may not have the wedding receptions like Buck Bradley's has -- I was at one, very nice, a couple years ago there; but each venue has it's own nitch. And we are not simply for heavy drinking as some of the testimony might have suggested.

1 I tried to draw out specifics from the witnesses and I would hope that the Committee 2 3 will use its discretion and evaluate the witnesses and look at our record in general and what is 5 reasonable and appropriate in this situation for a 6 popular establishment that has invested a lot in the city; and we believe, by the testimony, has operational controls in place and cooperates as 8 9 well as they can with the police department. 10 So we would ask that you renew the license and -- and if you wish to condition it on 11 any other security features, security camera 12 13 installation or otherwise, we would be willing to 14 look at that and abide by -- we wouldn't be willing to look at that, we would be willing to abide by 15 those conditions. Thank you. 16 17 THE CHAIRMAN: Alderman Bauman? 18 ALDERMAN BAUMAN: Thank you, Mr. Chair, I'll be very brief. I think the most 19 poignant testimony today was from Ms. MacDonald and 20 21 the two lawn -- the two patio chairs they still 22 have in their possession. Those patio chairs came 23 from that roof. You know, when you're in this business a long time as Aldermen and Alderwomen, 24 25 you -- especially in a district that has hundreds

of liquor licenses, you have a sense of red flags;
and this establishment came in two years ago and
they wanted a roof deck. I said, oh, boy, this is
going to be trouble, the noise issues, the
projectiles and -- just trouble. Oh, no, it's
critical, it will work, it's essential to our
business plan.

You know, it boils down to money.

This was their plan, this is what they wanted.

They -- they -- you saw the letter from Mr. Randall of January 14th, assuring that -- Mr. Wagner contacted me when this bar was in the process, prior to their being granted a license. If you go back to the minutes, there was a lot of discussion, they wanted to hold the matter to have further discussions between the bar and their neighbors to deal with some of these exact issues that they brought up today again.

But oh, no, the Committee passed it any way -- no, let them proceed and Mr. Randall is a good guy, Friebert and Finerty is a good firm, they wouldn't -- you know, they're going to follow through with what they proposed. January 14th, 2008 letter, right after they got approved, three months before they opened, assurances there's going

- 1 to be screening on the roof, we're going to do
- 2 this, we're going to do that. Direct testimony
- 3 from eye witnesses says none of that has been
- done -- it's now 22 months later. That's a
- 5 problem.
- I'll cut to the chase. I think
- 7 there's clearly a suspension warranted here and I
- 8 think with respect to the roof, I've contacted --
- 9 I've talked to Ms. Baron, we have the ability --
- 10 you have the -- the Council has the ability to
- amend the plan of operation to shut the roof down
- 12 permanently -- no more more liquor sales on the
- 13 roof, period.
- 14 So my request to this Committee is
- that you shut the roof down and the license gets a
- 16 60-day suspension.
- 17 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay, All right.
- With that, we're in Committee.
- 19 ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI: Mr. Chairman?
- 20 THE CHAIRMAN: Alderman Zielinski.
- 21 ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI: Well, I did
- 22 have one question of the Applicant, very briefly,
- about the roof top before we --
- 24 THE CHAIRMAN: I'll allow the
- 25 question here.

	1	ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI: I'd like to
	2	know what percentage of your business is on the
	3	rooftop versus the interior of the building?
	4	MR. HURLEY: Year around, 10
	5	percent.
	6	ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI: So 10 percent
	7	of your sales are on the rooftop?
	8	MR. HURLEY: It's obviously all
	9	Summer.
1	LO	ALDERMAN ZIELINSKI: And 90 percent
1	11	is in the interior. Okay, that answers my
1	L2	question, Mr. Chairman
1	L3	THE CHAIRMAN: All right, we're in
1	L 4	Committee.
1	15	ALDERMAN KOVAC: Mr. Chair?
1	16	THE CHAIRMAN: Alderman Kovac.
1	L 7	ALDERMAN KOVAC: I'm looking at the
1	L8	pictures of the some of the screening that has
1	L 9	been done or the attempt to discourage people from
2	20	going over the roof, and I notice there's from
2	21	one of the angles there's quite a view of this
2	22	beautiful view of City Hall, it's a nice city
2	23	scape, so I really hate to make the motion I'm
2	24	going to make, cuz I think this is a tremendous
	>5	amenity: and if it was done right you would add to

1 your business and to the whole neighborhood
2 experience.

But I don't think there's any way to interpret the neighborhood testimony, which is not -- it's coming from multiple people and it's incredibly credible and incredibly specific. I mean, the more poignant one is the chairs; but I suppose it's conceivable that every now and then somebody goes down the alley and throws a beer bottle up onto their porch -- she conceded that was a possibility, which I think only gives her testimony even more credibility; it shows that she's -- they're not out to get you, they want to work with you, and they've been trying to work with you since you opened.

And the only -- the only way we can describe it, you've got a neighboring bar owner making criticisms -- I've never heard from a neighboring bar owner. I mean, just in general, bar owners -- you know, what goes around comes around, and so clearly something has broken down between your relations with the people that you operate with there.

 $\hbox{So I think it goes without saying, I} \\ \\ \hbox{really regret this part of the motion; but based on} \\$

1 the neighborhood testimony, I'm going to ask that 2 the business plan be amended, since they don't have 3 an extension of premise on the roof. And just 4 having read the police report, there was -- as you 5 could tell from my questions, I had a sense that 6 there might be more to it than what's in the police report. Cuz there are -- I mean, the 911 call is 8 not a big deal, and even the thing with the limo 9 guy, not a big deal; and the other fight, I mean, 10 there's no injuries, sounds like security acted appropriately. Still was bad that there were a 11 couple of violent confrontations in and near your 12 13 bar -- that doesn't look good for you but that 14 isn't, you know -- it doesn't warrant major action, if any action -- certainly warrants a warning 15 letter. 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The incident, frankly, that I was most concerned about on the police report was the fact that a police officer observed several people, you know, exiting the bar and vomiting. Now, that particular police officer is not here, although we have the hearsay exception on police reports so we can consider that; but if it had just been that, I would have suspected that that happens more often but wouldn't have had evidence that it did. And

certainly when I asked you directly you said -- or
the applicant said that that probably could
happen -- I think you said at any -- on any given
night a lot can happen.

And one thing, just to be frank, a couple of your responses, I think, made me think what's really going on here, you know, the Freudian slip of the safe, fun level of intoxication and the comment that on any given night anything can happen. Well, you know, I get the sense of where you guys are coming from from that and what kind of place you're probably running, which is on any given night anything can happen kind of place.

But that was really the suspicion until I heard the neighborhood testimony, which was pretty compelling. So I think a strong message needs to be sent, that we want -- you're operating in a historic building, doing a service to the city by providing a place for foot traffic to go.

I want to encourage you, I want the parties to take off and I want your business and other businesses to be successful; but you cannot operate the way you have been operating, it's just unacceptable; and I think it's crystal clear from the neighborhood testimony that you're not working

well with them and you say you have security on the roof but you've got people on a regular basis trespassing on other people's roofs, throwing things on people's porches, yelling obscenities and then just the general level of drunkenness.

I mean, our concern -- even if you have security, you know, sounds like you're doing -- given the level of drunkenness that clearly goes on, you're probably doing a really good job of making sure stuff doesn't happen in the four walls of your bar. Surprising, you know, that we don't have a record of that.

But when these people get drunk and leave, who knows how many other incidents are happening, whether they're even serious incidents or not but probably just sort of general mayhem and disorderliness, which does not help the downtown.

We want to have more people downtown, even at night; we want to have people until bar time enjoying downtown but not at the level of drunkenness it sounds like on a regular basis they're experiencing in your bar.

So, I went back and forth in my own head about what's warranted here but I'm going to -- based on the neighborhood testimony and the

- 1 police report, I'm going to move for a 30-day
- 2 suspension and reiterate what I previously said
- 3 about the rooftop.
- THE CHAIRMAN: Okay, thank you.
- 5 Motion by Alderman Kovac is to recommend approval
- of the renewal of the license with the issuance of
- 7 a 30-day suspension, based on neighborhood
- 8 testimony -- you want to include the police report
- 9 in there?
- 10 ALDERMAN KOVAC: Yes.
- 11 THE CHAIRMAN: The motion also
- 12 includes an amending of the plan of operation to
- 13 prohibit the sales and use of the rooftop portion
- of the premises. What I will ask Committee members
- 15 to be cognizant of, the time, the number of
- individuals present and the weather, and as much as
- possible -- I'll ask for a discussion but I'll say
- 18 please, if you can, allow your vote to speak to the
- 19 motion. Is there a discussion on the motion?
- Okay. Are there objections to the motion?
- 21 ALDERMAN COGGS: Objection.
- 22 THE CHAIRMAN: I will object as
- 23 well.
- 24 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. The motion
- 25 will carry on a three to two vote. Mr. Mueller?

1	MR. MUELLER: Attorney Randall, the
2	Committee will be issuing a report of
3	recommendations containing Findings of Fact and
4	Conclusions of Law recommending that the license be
5	renewed with a 30-day suspension and that the plan
6	of operations be amended to exclude the rooftop
7	from the licensed premises.
8	You may file written objections to
9	those Committee's report; those objections are due
10	on December 17th and the Committee (sic) will take
11	up the recommendations on December 22nd. Will you
12	except service of the report?
13	MR. RANDALL: I will.
14	MR. MUELLER: Thanks.
15	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
16	
17	(Whereupon the proceedings concluded
18	at approximately 11:10 a.m.)
19	
20	* * * * *
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

```
1 STATE OF WISCONSIN )
 2 OUTAGAMIE COUNTY ) SS.
                                         CERTIFICATE
 3
 4
                         I, ROBERT F. NELSON, of Milwaukee
 5
         Reporters Associated, hereby certify that I am a
 6
         Court Reporter and Notary Public in and for the
         State of Wisconsin; that before the parties
         testified, they were was first duly sworn by Staff
 8
 9
         Assistant Toby Black; and as Court Reporter, I made
10
         full and correct stenographic notes of the
         foregoing proceedings regarding "Bootleggers"; that
11
         the same was later reduced to written form by
12
13
         Computer Aided Transcription, and that the
14
          foregoing proceedings are a true and correct
         transcription, as reflected by my original
15
         stenographic notes.
16
17
18 DATED: December 18, 2009
19
20
                               ROBERT F. NELSON
                               Milwaukee Reporters Associated
21
                               P. O. Box 2121
22
                               Appleton, WI 54912-2121
                               Tel: 920-733-2422
23
                               Fax: 920-733-3183
  MY COMMISSION EXPIRES 11/25/2012
25
```