# PARTNERING UP

A Scan of Back Office Service Sharing Possibilities for the City and County of Milwaukee



## PROJECT SCOPE

- The report explores the potential for sharing or consolidating "back office" services between the city and county. These types of services were selected for study because efforts to share or consolidate them should not be noticeable to the general public and should not require deliberations with municipal leaders.
- Phase I released in November was a broad scan of back office services that are common to both governments; it assesses service sharing potential for each and cites those deemed most promising for sharing or consolidation.
- Phase II will analyze 3 to 6 of those promising opportunities, providing a blueprint for both governments to implement shared or consolidated services frameworks in each area if so desired.

### SCORING THE FUNCTIONAL AREAS

#### **Assessment of Service Sharing Potential: Facilities Management**

FACTOR	RATING
Similarity/Lack of distinct specialization	
Potential for both personnel and operational efficiencies	$\bigcirc$
Major challenges (e.g. HR, IT, capital needs) that might	$\bigcirc$
benefit from sharing	
Potential for efficiency via centralization	$\bigcirc$

## INITIAL ASSESSMENT

	Area	Similarity	Personnel / Operational Efficiencies	Major Challenges	Efficiency via Centralization	Overall	Next Steps
1	Audit		•		•		
2	Payroll			$\bigcirc$	<b>5</b>	Yes	Some level of combination – from software through processes to operations and staff
3	General Accounting				Q		
4	Fiscal & Budget	<u></u>	•		•	No	
5	Procurement		•	<u>6</u>		Yes	Joint certification, overall targeted business enterprise strategy
6	HR / ER		•			Maybe	Collaboration on a joint program such as apprenticeship
7	Benefits Administration		$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$		Yes	Wellness and wellness clinics
8	п		$\mathbf{Q}$	$\bigcirc$	•••	Maybe	Help Desk, unified call center, open data
9	Fleet Management		$\bigcirc$	C	<u>↔</u>	Yes	Space, small engines/mowing, recruitment & retention
10	Facilities Management	<u></u>			$\bigcirc$	Yes	Contracts for custodial, security services, training, recruitment of skilled trades, facilities planning

### PRIORITY AREAS FOR FURTHER ANALYSIS

FACTOR	SIMILARITY	POTENTIAL EFFICIENCIES	COMMON MAJOR CHALLENGES	CENTRALIZATION BENEFITS
Central Payroll				
Wellness		$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	
Procurement	$\bigcirc$		$\bigcirc$	
Facilities Management	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	
Fleet Operations				
Mowing		$\bigcirc$		

## WHAT'S NEXT?

- Subsequent to a meeting with the Mayor and County Executive in December and further discussions with staff, WPF has begun a deeper analysis of wellness and mowing services.
- We also plan to dig more deeply into various aspects of facilities management and fleet management and go deeper on one or two of those.
  - We hope to report out the results of these "deeper dives" by late summer or early fall, though reporting might come earlier if we submit them one at a time.