

..Number
251799
..Version
PROPOSED SUBSTITUTE A
..Reference

..Sponsor
ALD. BROWER, DIMITRIJEVIC, ZAMARRIPA, PEREZ, MOORE AND BAUMAN

..Title
A substitute motion modifying Milwaukee Police Department Standard Operating Procedures regarding the duty to intervene, investigate, and report unreasonable uses of force.

..Analysis
This motion modifies Milwaukee Police Department Standard Operation Procedure (SOP) 460 – Use of Force. The existing SOP 460 assigns a duty to intervene in situations where a department member observes another department member using force which the observing officer, based on their training, believes or knows to be beyond that which is objectively reasonable under the circumstances. This motion modifies SOP 460 to explicitly include intervention regardless of the agency that employs the officer that is using unreasonable force. The motion also changes the SOP to require that members attempt to investigate to determine if a violation of the law has occurred in these situations.

..Body
Whereas, There are credible reports that federal law enforcement members are physically assaulting members of the public while they are exercising their constitutionally protected rights to speak and assemble, without probable cause that the victims were engaged in any illegal activity; and

Whereas, Members of law enforcement agencies are not allowed to break the law or violate the constitution simply because they are employed as law enforcement agents; and

Whereas, Milwaukee Police Department Standard Operating Procedure 460 – Use of Force currently requires that department members reasonably attempt to intervene if they personally observes another officer using force that is beyond that which is objectively reasonable under the circumstances; and

Whereas, It is not clear if the duty to intervene, as it is currently written in policy, applies to situations where unreasonable force is observed being used by law enforcement officers not employed by the Milwaukee Police Department; and

Whereas, It is not clear if department members are required to investigate unreasonable uses of force witnessed by department members if the force is used by law enforcement officers not employed by the Milwaukee Police Department; and

Whereas, S. 62.50(3)(am), Wis. Stats., allows the Common Council to suspend or modify any policy established by the Chief of Police upon a two-thirds vote of all of the members of the Common Council; now, therefore

It is moved, By the Common Council of the City of Milwaukee, that Section 460.30(A) of Milwaukee Police Department Standard Operating Procedure 460 – Use of Force shall be modified as follows:

Any officer who personally observes another officer using force (regardless of their rank >>or the agency that employs the officer<<), which the observing officer, based on their training, believes or knows to be beyond that which is objectively reasonable under the circumstances and/or does not conform with the standards under s. 175.44(2)(b) or (c) Wis. Stats., shall reasonably attempt to intervene to prevent the use of such excessive force, if the observing officer is in a position to do so, ideally before the situation escalates, and if any such intervention does not jeopardize safety. Any such intervening officer shall promptly report their observations, along with his/her own intervening actions to a supervisor. A failure to intervene in any unreasonable use of force, when there is an opportunity to do so, demonstrates a lack of courage, and a violation of the Code of Conduct and of this SOP. Any supervisor to whom such intervention or failure to intervene is reported must comply with the reporting and investigation requirements of SOP 450.15.

; and

It is further moved, That Section 460.30(B) of Milwaukee Police Department Standard Operating Procedure 460 – Use of Force shall be modified as follows:

DUTY TO REPORT NONCOMPLIANT USE OF FORCE (s. 175.44(3), Wis. Stats.).

Members shall, while in the course of his or her law enforcement duties, report noncompliant uses of force as soon as is practicable after the occurrence of the use of such force to a supervisor if they witness another law enforcement officer use force that does not comply with the standards of s. 175.44(2)(b) or (c) Wis. Stats. >>If members personally observe another officer using force (regardless of their rank or the agency that employs the officer), which the observing officer, based on their training, believes or knows to be beyond that which is objectively reasonable under the circumstances and/or does not conform with the standards under s. 175.44(2)(b) or (c) Wis. Stats., the member shall attempt to conduct an investigation to determine if a violation of the law occurred.<<

..Requestor

..Drafter
LRB181397-2

Dave Gelting
February 27, 2026