BUSINESS IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT NO. 28

NORTH AVENUE GATEWAY BUSINESS IMPROVEMENTDISTRICT

2005 OPERATING PLAN

AUGUST 2004

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION

- 1. Background
- 2. Physical Setting

DISTRICT BOUNDARIES

PROPOSED OPERATING PLAN

- 1. Plan Objectives
- 2. Proposed Activities Year One
- 3. Proposed Expenditures Year One
- 4. Financing Method
- 5. Organization of BI Board
- 6. Relationship to the local business association

METHOD OF ADSSESSMENT

- 1. Assessment Rate and Method
- 2. Excluded and Exempt Property

RELATIONSHIP TO MILWAUKEE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AND ORDERLY DEVELOPMENT OF THE CITY

- 1. City Plans
- 2. City Role in District Operation

PLAN APPROVAL PROCESS

- 1. Public Review Process
- 2. Petition against Creation of the BID

FUTURE YEAR OPERATING PLANS

- 1. Phased Development
- 2. Amendment, Severability and Expansion

APPENDICES

- Statute
- Petition
- Proposed District Boundaries
- Year One Projected Assessments

INTRODUCTION

1. Background

In 1984, the Wisconsin Legislature created S. 66.608 of the Statutes (see Appendix A) enabling cities to establish Business Improvement Districts (BIDs). The purpose of the law is "to allow businesses within those districts to develop, to manage and promote the districts and to establish an assessment method to fund these activities." (1983 Wisconsin Act 184, Section 1, legislative declaration.)

Now established the BID is obligated to submit to the City of Milwaukee a proposed operating Plan for the upcoming 2004 fiscal year. The BID law requires that every district have an annual Operating Plan. This document is the proposed Operating Plan for the Gateway BID. The BID prepared this Plan with technical assistance from the City of Milwaukee Department of City Development.

2. Physical Setting

The District covers a commercial area on North Avenue from 27th St west to Sherman Blvd.

DISTRICT BOUNDARIES

Boundaries of the proposed district are shown on the map in Appendix C of this plan. A listing of the properties included in the district is provided in Appendix D.

PROPOSED OPERATING PLAN

1. Plan Objectives

- Improve the Image of the Target Area.
- Improve negative perceptions of crime/safety in the target area.
- Increase the number and variety of businesses in the target area.
- Coordinate public improvements in the Gateway District.
- Protect and preserve the historical significance and integrity of structures in the target area.

2. Proposed Activities - 2005

- Implement a Business Development Loan Fund
- Safety/Façade/sign/lighting/Cleanliness Program.
- Street Art/Mural
- Lighting Project
- North Avenue Auto Show

3. Proposed Expenditures - Year One

Items	Expenditure
Business Development Loan Fund	\$3,000.00
Safety/Façade/sign/lighting/Cleanliness Program.	10,000.00
Street Art/Mural	6,398.96
Street Lighting	\$7000.00
North Avenue Auto Show	\$1,500.00
Total	\$27,898.96

4. Financing Method

It is proposed that at least \$27,898.96 be raised through BID assessments (see Appendix D). The BID Board shall have the authority and responsibility to prioritize expenditures and to revise the budget as necessary to match the funds actually available.

5. Organization of BID Board

The Mayor will appoint members to the district board ("board"). The board's primary responsibility will be implementation of this Operating Plan. This will require the board to negotiate with providers of services and materials to carry out the Plan; to enter into various contracts; to monitor development activity; to periodically revise the Operating Plan; to ensure district compliance with the provisions of applicable statues and regulations; and to make reimbursements for any overpayments of BID assessments.

State law requires that the board be composed of at least five members and that a majority of the board members be owners or occupants of property within the district.

It is recommended that the BID board be structured and operated as follows: Board Size – Seven (7)

- a. Composition at least three members shall be owners or occupants of property within the district. Any non-owner or non-occupant appointed to the board shall be a resident of the City of Milwaukee. The Board shall elect its Chairperson from among its members.
- b. Term appoints to the board shall be for a period of three years except that initially two members hall be appointed for a period of three years, two members shall be appointed for a period of two years, and one member shall be appointed for a period of one year.
- c. Compensation None.
- d. Meetings All meetings of the board shall be governed by the Wisconsin Open Meetings Law.
- e. Record keeping Files and records of the board's affairs shall be kept pursuant to public record requirements.

- f. Staffing the board may employ staff and/or contract for staffing services pursuant to this plan and subsequent modifications thereof.
- g. Meetings the Board shall meet regularly, at least twice each year. The board shall adopt rules of order ("by laws") to govern the conduct of its meetings.

6. Relationship to the local business association

The BID shall be a separate entity from the local business association, not withstanding the fact that members, officers and directors of each may be shared. The Association shall remain a private organization, not subject to the open meeting law, and not subject to the public record law except for its records generated in connection with the BID board. The Association may, and its intended, shall, contract with the BID to provide services to the BID, in accordance with this Plan.

METHOD OF ADSSESSMENT

1. Assessment Rate and Method

The principle behind the assessment methodology is that each property should contribute to the BID in proportion to the benefit derived from the BID. After consideration of other assessment methods, it was determined that assessed value of a property was the characteristic most directly related to the potential benefit provided by the BID. Therefore, a fixed assessment on the assessed value of the property was selected as the basic assessment methodology for this BID.

However, maintaining an equitable relationship between the BID assessment and the expected benefits requires an adjustment to the basic assessment method. To prevent the disproportional assessment of a small number of high value properties, a maximum assessment of \$1,500 per parcel will be applied and a minimum of \$150 per per parcel.

As of January 1st, 2003, the property in the proposed district had a total assessed value of over \$27,898.96. This plan proposed to assess the property in the district at a rate of \$.440 per \$1000.00 of assessed value, subject to the maximum assessment, for the purposes of the BID.

Appendix D shows the projected BID assessment of each property included in the district.

2. Excluded and Exempt Property

The BID law requires explicit consideration of certain classes of property. In compliance with the law the following statements are provided.

a. State Statute 66.1109 (1) (f) 1m: The district will contain property used exclusively for manufacturing purposes, as well as properties used in part for manufacturing. These properties will be assessed according to the method set forth in this plan because it is assumed that they ill benefit from development in the district.

- b. State Statute 66.1109 (5) (a): Property known to be used exclusively for residential purposes will not be assessed; such properties will be identified as BID exempt properties in Appendix D, as revised each year..
- c. In accordance with the interpretation of the City Attorney regarding State Statute 66.1109 (1)(b), property exempt from general real estate taxes has been excluded from the district. Privately owned tax-exempt property adjoining the district and which is expected to benefit from district activities may be asked to make a financial contribution to the district on a voluntary basis.

RELATIONSHIP TO MILWAUKEE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AND ORDERLY DEVELOPMENT OF THE CITY

1. City Plans

In February 1978, the Common Council of the City of Milwaukee adopted a Preservation Policy as the policy basis for its Comprehensive Plan and a a guide for its planning, programming and budgeting decisions. The Common Council reaffirmed and expanded the Preservation Policy in Resolution File Number 881978, adopted January 24th, 1989.

The Preservation Policy emphasizes maintaining Milwaukee's present housing, jobs, neighborhoods, services, and ax based rather than passively accepting loss of jobs and population, or emphasizing massive new development. In its January 989 reaffirmation of the policy, the Common Council gave new emphasis to forging new public and private partnerships as a means to accomplish preservation.

The district is a means of formalizing and funding the public-private partnership between the City and property owners in the North Avenue Gateway District area and for furthering preservation and redevelopment in this portion of the City of Milwaukee. Therefore, it is fully consistent with the City's Comprehensive Plan and Preservation Policy.

2. City Role in District Operation

The City of Milwaukee has committed to helping private property owners in the district promote its development. To this end, the City expected to play a significant role in the creation of the Business Improvement District and in the implementation of the Operating Plan. In particular, the city will:

a. Provide technical assistance to the proponents of the district through adoption of the

Plan, and provide assistance as appropriate thereafter.

b. Monitor and, when appropriate, apply for outside funds that could be used in support of the district.

c. Collect assessments, maintain in a a segregated account, and disburse the monies of the district.

d. Receive annual audits as required per sec. 66.608 (3) © of the BID law.

e. Provide the board, through the tax commissioner's Office on or before June 30th of each plan year, with the official City records and the asses value of each tax key number with the district, as of January 1st of each Plan year, for purposes of calculating the BID assessments.

f. Encourage the State of Wisconsin, Milwaukee County and other units of government to support the activities of the district.

PLAN APPROVAL PROCESS

1. Public Review Process

The Wisconsin Business Improvement district law establishes a specific process for reviewing and approving proposed districts. Pursuant to the statutory requirements, the following process will be followed:

a. The Milwaukee City Plan Commission will review the proposed district boundaries and proposed Operating Plan and will then set a date for a formal public hearing.

b. The City Plan Commission will send, by certified mail, a public hearing notice and a copy of the proposed Operating Plan to all owners of real property within the proposed district. In addition a Class 2 notice of the public hearing will be published in a local newspaper of general circulation.

c. The City Plan Commission will hold a public hearing, will approve or disapprove the

Plan, and will report its action to the Common Council.

d. The Economic Development Committee of the Common Council will review the proposed BID Plan at a public meeting and will make a recommendation to the full Common Council.

e. The Common Council will act on the proposed BID Plan.

f. If adopted by the Common Council, the proposed BID Plan is sent to the Mayor for his approval.

g. If approved by the Mayor, the BID is created and the Mayor will appoint members to

the district board established to implement the Plan.

FUTURE YEAR OPERATING PLANS

1. Phased Development

It is anticipated that the BID will continue to revise and develop the Operating Plan annually, in response to changing development needs and opportunities in the district, in accordance with the purposes and objectives defined in this initial operating Plan.

Section 66.608 (3) (a) of the BID law requires the board and the City to annual review and make chances as appropriate in the Operating Plan. Therefore, while this document outlines in general terms the complete development program, it focuses upon Year One activities, and information on specific assessed values, budget amounts and assessment amounts are based on Year One conditions. Greater detail about subsequent year's activities will be provided in the required annual Plan updates, and approval by the Common Council of such Plan updates shall be conclusive evident of compliance with this Plan and the BID law.

In later years, the BID Operating Plan will continue to apply the assessment formula, as adjusted, to raise funds to meet the next annual budget. However, the method of assessing shall not be materially altered, except with the consent of the City of Milwaukee.

2. Amendment, Severability and Expansion

The BID ahs been created under authority of Section 66.60 of the Statues of the State of Wisconsin. Should any court find any portion of this Statue invalid or unconstitutional its

decision will not invalidate or terminate the BID and this BID plan shall be amended to conform to the law without need of re-establishment.

Should the legislature amend the Statute to narrow or broaden the process of a BID so as to exclude or include as assessable properties a certain class or classes of properties, then this BID Plan may be amended by the Common Council of the City of Milwaukee as and when it conducts its annual Operating Plan approval and without necessity to undertake any other act. This is specifically authorized under Section 66.608 (3)(b).

APPENDICES

- BID Statutes
- BID Map
- Year One Projected Assessment

WEST'S WISCONSIN STATUTES ANNOTATED MUNICIPALITIES SUBCHAPTER XI. DEVELOPMENT

Copr. © West Group 2003. All rights reserved.

Current through 2003 Act 28, published 6/2/03

66.1109. Business improvement districts

- (1) In this section:
- (a) "Board" means a business improvement district board appointed under sub. (3)(a).
- (b) "Business improvement district" means an area within a municipality consisting of contiguous parcels and may include railroad rights-of-way, rivers, or highways continuously bounded by the parcels on at least one side, and shall include parcels that are contiguous to the district but that were not included in the original or amended boundaries of the district because the parcels were tax-exempt when the boundaries were determined and such parcels became taxable after the original or amended boundaries of the district were determined.
- (c) "Chief executive officer" means a mayor, city manager, village president or town chairperson.
- (d) "Local legislative body" means a common council, village board of trustees or town board of supervisors.
- (e) "Municipality" means a city, village or town.
- (f) "Operating plan" means a plan adopted or amended under this section for the development, redevelopment, maintenance, operation and promotion of a business improvement district, including all of the following:
- 1. The special assessment method applicable to the business improvement district.
- Im. Whether real property used exclusively for manufacturing purposes will be specially assessed.
- 2. The kind, number and location of all proposed expenditures within the business improvement district.
- 3. A description of the methods of financing all estimated expenditures and the time when related costs will be incurred.
- 4. A description of how the creation of the business improvement district promotes the orderly development of the municipality, including its relationship to any municipal master plan.
- 5. A legal opinion that subds. 1 to 4 have been complied with.
- (g) "Planning commission" means a plan commission under s. 62.23, or if none a board of public land commissioners, or if none a planning committee of the local legislative body.
- (2) A municipality may create a business improvement district and adopt its operating plan if all of the following are met:
- (a) An owner of real property used for commercial purposes and located in the proposed business improvement district designated under par. (b) has petitioned the municipality for creation of a business improvement district.

- (b) The planning commission has designated a proposed business improvement district and adopted its proposed initial operating plan.
- (c) At least 30 days before creation of the business improvement district and adoption of its initial operating plan by the municipality, the planning commission has held a public hearing on its proposed business improvement district and initial operating plan. Notice of the hearing shall be published as a class 2 notice under ch. 985. Before publication, a copy of the notice together with a copy of the proposed initial operating plan and a copy of a detail map showing the boundaries of the proposed business improvement district shall be sent by certified mail to all owners of real property within the proposed business improvement district. The notice shall state the boundaries of the proposed business improvement district and shall indicate that copies of the proposed initial operating plan are available from the planning commission on request.
- (d) Within 30 days after the hearing under par. (c), the owners of property to be assessed under the proposed initial operating plan having a valuation equal to more than 40% of the valuation of all property to be assessed under the proposed initial operating plan, using the method of valuation specified in the proposed initial operating plan, or the owners of property to be assessed under the proposed initial operating plan having an assessed valuation equal to more than 40% of the assessed valuation of all property to be assessed under the proposed initial operating plan, have not filed a petition with the planning commission protesting the proposed business improvement district or its proposed initial operating plan.
- (e) The local legislative body has voted to adopt the proposed initial operating plan for the municipality.
- (3)(a) The chief executive officer shall appoint members to a business improvement district board to implement the operating plan. Board members shall be confirmed by the local legislative body and shall serve staggered terms designated by the local legislative body. The board shall have at least 5 members. A majority of board members shall own or occupy real property in the business improvement district.
- (b) The board shall annually consider and may make changes to the operating plan, which may include termination of the plan, for its business improvement district. The board shall then submit the operating plan to the local legislative body for its approval. If the local legislative body disapproves the operating plan, the board shall consider and may make changes to the operating plan and may continue to resubmit the operating plan until local legislative body approval is obtained. Any change to the special assessment method applicable to the business improvement district shall be approved by the local legislative body.
- (c) The board shall prepare and make available to the public annual reports describing the current status of the business improvement district, including expenditures and revenues. The report shall include an independent certified audit of the implementation of the operating plan obtained by the municipality. The municipality shall obtain an additional independent certified audit upon termination of the business improvement district.
- (d) Either the board or the municipality, as specified in the operating plan as adopted, or amended and approved under this section, has all powers necessary or convenient to implement the operating plan, including the power to contract.
- (4) All special assessments received from a business improvement district and all other appropriations by the municipality or other moneys received for the benefit of the business improvement district shall be placed in a segregated account in the municipal treasury. No disbursements from the account may be made except to reimburse the municipality for appropriations other than special assessments, to pay the costs of audits required under sub. (3)(c) or on order of the board for the purpose of implementing the operating plan. On termination of the business improvement district by the municipality, all moneys collected by special assessment remaining in the account shall be disbursed to the owners of specially assessed property in the business improvement district, in the same proportion as the last collected special assessment.
- (4m) A municipality shall terminate a business improvement district if the owners of property assessed under the operating plan having a valuation equal to more than 50% of the valuation of all property assessed under the operating plan, using the method of valuation specified in the operating plan, or the owners of property assessed under the operating plan having an assessed valuation equal to more than 50% of the assessed valuation of all property assessed under the operating plan, file a petition with the planning commission requesting termination of the business

improvement district, subject to all of the following conditions:

- (a) A petition may not be filed under this subsection earlier than one year after the date the municipality first adopts the operating plan for the business improvement district.
- (b) On and after the date a petition is filed under this subsection, neither the board nor the municipality may enter into any new obligations by contract or otherwise to implement the operating plan until the expiration of 30 days after the date of hearing under par. (c) and unless the business improvement district is not terminated under par. (e).
- (c) Within 30 days after the filing of a petition under this subsection, the planning commission shall hold a public hearing on the proposed termination. Notice of the hearing shall be published as a class 2 notice under ch. 985. Before publication, a copy of the notice together with a copy of the operating plan and a copy of a detail map showing the boundaries of the business improvement district shall be sent by certified mail to all owners of real property within the business improvement district. The notice shall state the boundaries of the business improvement district and shall indicate that copies of the operating plan are available from the planning commission on request.
- (d) Within 30 days after the date of hearing under par. (c), every owner of property assessed under the operating plan may send written notice to the planning commission indicating, if the owner signed a petition under this subsection, that the owner retracts the owner's request to terminate the business improvement district, or, if the owner did not sign the petition, that the owner requests termination of the business improvement district.
- (e) If after the expiration of 30 days after the date of hearing under par. (c), by petition under this subsection or subsequent notification under par. (d), and after subtracting any retractions under par. (d), the owners of property assessed under the operating plan having a valuation equal to more than 50% of the valuation of all property assessed under the operating plan, using the method of valuation specified in the operating plan, or the owners of property assessed under the operating plan having an assessed valuation equal to more than 50% of the assessed valuation of all property assessed under the operating plan, have requested the termination of the business improvement district, the municipality shall terminate the business improvement district on the date that the obligation with the latest completion date entered into to implement the operating plan expires.
- (5)(a) Real property used exclusively for residential purposes and real property that is exempted from general property taxes under s. 70. 11 may not be specially assessed for purposes of this section.
- (b) A municipality may terminate a business improvement district at any time.
- (c) This section does not limit the power of a municipality under other law to regulate the use of or specially assess real property.

<< For credits, see Historical Note field.>>

HISTORICAL AND STATUTORY NOTES

2003 Main Volume

Source:

1983 Act 184, § 2, eff. April 6, 1984. 1989 Act 56, § 258, eff. Nov. 16, 1989. St.1997, § 66.608. 1999 Act 150, § 539, eff. Jan. 1, 2001. 2001 Act 85, § § 1, 2, eff. May 3, 2002. 2001 Act 85 amended subsecs. (1)(b) and (5)(a).

1999 Act 150 renumbered § 66.608 as this section, and as renumbered, amended subsec.(3)(d).

1983 Act 184, § 1 provides:

"Legislative declaration. It is declared that:

- "(1) The continued vitality of the commercial business districts of this state, especially those in downtown and central city areas, is necessary to retain existing businesses in and attract new businesses to this state.
- "(2) Declining public revenues emphasize the importance of assembling viable public-private partnerships to undertake revitalization of these districts.
- "(3) The establishment of a business improvement district system benefits the health, safety, welfare and prosperity of the people of this state.
- "(4) It is the purpose of this act to authorize cities, villages and towns to create one or more business improvement districts to allow businesses within those districts to develop, to manage and promote the districts and to establish an assessment method to fund these activities."

LIBRARY REFERENCES

2003 Main Volume

Municipal Corporations 450.

Westlaw Topic No. 268.

C.J.S. Municipal Corporations § 1193 to 1199.

W. S. A. 66.1109

WI ST 66,1109

END OF DOCUMENT

TAXKEY	HOUS E_NR_ SI LO	SDIR STREET	ET STT	C.A ASS	. 2004 Assessment		Special Assessment	OWNER_NAME_1	OWNER_CITY_STATE	OWNER_ZIP
3260834110	3200 W	NORTH	H A	2	\$285,000	မှ	1,254.00	COLMIC LLC	MILWAUKEE, WI	532100000
3261663000	3420 W	NORTH	H AV	2	\$400,000	↔	1,500.00	CAPITAL CHRISTIAN CENTER INC	MILWAUKEE WI	532100000
3270020000	3624 W	NORTH	H AV	2	\$69,200	جه	304.48	304.48 LIGHTHOUSE GOSPEL CHAPEL INC	MILWAUKEE WI	532080000
3270115000	2303 N	39TH	ST	2	\$35,200	49	154.88	154.88 BARBARA HOLZMANN	MILWAUKEE, WI	532100000
3270146000	4020 W	NORTH	H AV	2	\$40,700	₩	179.08	METZGER REVOC TRUST	HALES CORNERS, WI	531300000
3270952000	3710 W	NORTH	<u>¥</u> ⊥	2	\$38,000	- 8	167.20	167.20 CORNELL WESTMORELAND JR &	MILWAUKEE WI	532160000
3270953000	3714 W	NORTH	¥ ±	7	\$225,000	↔	990.00	DEMETRIUS A THOMPSON	MILWAUKEE WI	532100000
3270954000	3724 W	NORTH	₽	2	\$46,500	*	204.60	LANDMARK DEVELOPMENT	MILWAUKEE, WI	532100000
3271220120	3508 W	NORTH	<u></u> ¥	2	\$63,000	↔	277.20	NEW COVENANT MISSIONARY	MILWAUKEE, WI	532100000
3271221100	3532 W	NORTH	<u>}</u> ∃	2	\$108,000	69	475.20	475.20 JOSEPH E BOOTH &	MILWAUKEE WI	532080000
3271253000	3600 W	NORTH	TH AV	2	\$300,000	↔	1,320.00	1,320.00 36NORTH LLC	MILWAUKEE, WI	532020000
3271254000 3271255000	3608 W 3614 W	NORTH	<u> </u>	2 2	\$40,400	% %	177.76 232.76	JEWEL FOOD STORES INC, #3618 JEWEL FOOD STORES INC	OAK BROOK, IL BOISE ID	605230000 837260000
3271802100	3814 W	NORTH	H AV		2 \$649,000	\$	1,500.00	1,500.00 NEW COVENANT MISSIONARY	MILWAUKEE WI	532100000
3480401000	3901 W	NORTH	TH AV	. 4	2 \$117,000	8	514.80	RONALD R SUNIE	MILWAUKEE WI	532100000
3480403000	3911 W	NORTH	TH AV		2 \$43,100	\$	189.64	TIA ROBERTSON	MILWAUKEE, WI	532080000
3480404000	3915 W	/ NORTH	TH AV		2 \$27,800	↔	150.00	150.00 TIA ROBERTSON	MILWAUKEE, WI	532080000
3480405000	3919 W	/ NORTH	TH AV		2 \$58,500	69	257.40	TIA ROBERTSON	MILWAUKEE, WI	532080000
3480406100	3925 W	/ NORTH	 □ □		2 \$44,600	69	196.24	196.24 DOROTHY J WOOD	MILWAUKEE, WI	532080000

3480408000	3929 W	8	NORTH	Α<	77	\$45,700 \$		201.08 MDW III LLC	MILWAUKEE, WI	532080000
3480426000	4001 W	≥	NORTH	۸ ک	2	\$76,400 \$	\$ 336.16	336.16 EUGENE SMITH	MILWAUKEE, WI	532120000
3480428000	4017 W	3	NORTH	¥	2	\$42,000	\$ 184.80	184.80 LAVERN LEE	MILWAUKEE WI	532080000
3480453000	4105W	_ ≥	NORTH	⋛	2	\$79,400	\$ 349.36	349.36 LONNIE & ERNESTINE TUCKER	MILWAUKEE WI	532230000
3480457000	4113W	≩	NORTH	¥	7	\$46,600	\$ 205.04	205.04 COMMUNITY VILLAGE LTD	MILWAUKEE, WI	532080000
3480458000	4117 W	3	NORTH	۸	2	\$46,600	\$ 205.04	205.04 COMMUNITY VILLAGE LTD	MILWAUKEE, WI	532080000
3480486000	4215W		NORTH	¥	7	\$50,100	\$ 220.44	220.44 COMMUNITY BAPTIST CHURCH	MILWAUKEE, WI	532080000
3480487000	4219 W	×	NORTH	ΑV	N	\$45,000	\$ 198.00	198.00 COMMUNITY VILLAGE LTD	MILWAUKEE, WI	532080000
3480901000	3501 W	3	NORTH	AV	7	\$131,000	\$ 576.40	576.40 3501 W NORTH AVE LLC	MILWAUKEE, WI	9
3480902000	3513W	3	NORTH	A	2	\$225,000	\$ 990.00	990.00 3501 W NORTH AVE LLC	MILWAUKEE, WI	532080000
3480903000	3521 W	×	NORTH	₹	2	\$45,000	\$ 198.00	198.00 DESMOND O WILSON	MILWAUKEE, WI	532160000
3480904000	3525 W	<u> </u>	NORTH	₹	2	\$153,000	\$ 673.20	673.20 BILL THE BUTCHER INC	MILWAUKEE, WI	532080000
3480919000	3605 W	_ ≷	NORTH	₹	7	\$9,100	\$ 150.00	RONALD R SUNIE	MILWAUKEE, WI	532100000
3480920000	3607 W	8	NORTH	A\	2	\$81,400	\$ 358.16	358.16 RONALD R SUNIE	MILWAUKEE, WI	532080000
3480947000	3717 W	3	NORTH	<u></u>	7	\$32,500	\$ 150.00	150.00 JOHN A PALMER	MILWAUKEE, WI	532080000
3480949000	3725 W	<u>×</u>	NORTH	- ≩	~	\$17,500	\$ 150.00	150.00 HENRY THOMAS	MILWAUKEE WI	532100000
3480951000	3731 W	<u>×</u>	NORTH	₹	2	\$52,700	\$ 231.8	231.88 WILLIE E HARRIS	MILWAUKEE, WI	532120000
3480967000	3801 W	3	NORTH	- }	2	\$31,300	49	150.00 FAISAL SHAWAR	FRANKLIN WI	531320000
3480968000	3805 W	<u>≯</u>	NORTH	₹	2	\$33,500	\$ 150.00	150.00 NEW COVENANT HOUSING CORP	MILWAUKEE WI	532100000
3480969000	3809 W		NORTH	₹	2	\$42,000	€9	184.80 NORTH AVENUE COMMUNITY	MILWAUKEE, WI	532080000
3480970000	3811 W	3	NORTH	₹	2	\$25,800	€9	150.00 THE SHOWERS OF BLESSINGS	MILWAUKEE WI	532120000
3480971000	3817 W		NORTH	-}	7	\$30,700	63	150.00 MALCOLM MCCRAE	MILWAUKEE, WI	532080000

					 		.	3,0		1 X 1 X 1 X 1 X 1 X 1 X 1 X 1 X 1 X 1 X	00000000
3480973000	3829 W		NORTH A	} } ¥	4 6	\$340,000	9 69	313.40 II	496.00 NORTH AVENUE COMMUNITY DEV	MILWAUKEE, WI	532080000
3489999000	4227 W			\	2	\$41,700	s	183.48 (MILWAUKEE, WI	532080000
3490002110	3123 W			₹	2	\$157,500	€9	693.00	693.00 FREDS ORNAMENTAL DOORS	MILWAUKEE, WI	532080000
3490003000	3117 W		NORTH /	۸۷	2	\$34,600	↔	152.24	152.24 DAVID J INGVOLDSTAD	MILWAUKEE W!	532081443
3490004000	3113 W		NORTH /	≩	74	\$42,900	€9	188.76	188.76 KURT H GREENHOLT	MILWAUKEE, WI	532130000
3490009000	3209 W		NORTH /	¥	7	\$61,800	↔	271.92	271.92 BACHAN SINGH	BROOKFIELD WI	530050000
3490010000	3201 W		NORTH ,	¥	7	\$55,000	↔	242.00	242.00 MERRIE M FELDER	MILWAUKEE, WI	532100000
3490203000	3227 W		NORTH	≩	2	\$76,200	49	335.28	335.28 CHARLES HILL	MILWAUKEE WI	532060000
3490252000	3419 W		NORTH	¥	7	\$64,000	₩,	281.60	281.60 DENNIS EDWARDS	MILWAUKEE WI	532120000
3490253000	3425 W		NORTH	٩	~~	\$178,000	es	783.20	783,20 CHARLAND INC	MILWAUKEE WI	532340000
3491001000	2901 W		NORTH	¥	7	\$45,000	69	198.00	198.00 BERNICE E HOLLANDER	MEQUON, WI	530920000
000000000000000000000000000000000000000					~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	6		, 100 C	I William	IN FINIOG XOD	622470000
0000001846	M 6067		באסא	À.	7	400,400	9	07.177	A WILSON	IM IND VOL	222 11 2000
3491038100	3013 W		NORTH	¥	7	\$73,000	8	321.20	321.20 DAVID A FROEHLICH	FRANKLIN, WI	531329045
3492002100	3041 W		NORTH	¥	7	\$68,500	€	301.40	301.40 3041 WEST NORTH AVENUE LLC	MILWAUKEE, WI	532090000
3492123000	2817 W		NORTH	AV	2	\$113,000	&	497.20	497.20 REGINALD TROTMAN	MILWAUKEE, WI	532090000
0400564000	7,000		- 10 FG	T	Ċ	£400 000	.	470 GO	470 60 REDNAPD KALL MAN	SHOREWOOD WI	532110000
3434.00			5	5	1						
3492562000	3105 W		NORTH	¥	2	\$52,100	€	229.24	229.24 BERNARD KALLMAN	SHOREWOOD WI	532110000
3260628113	2700 W		NORTH	\ \	3	\$3,397,500	69	1,500.00	1,500.00 CAPITOL STAMPINGS CORP	MILWAUKEE, WI	532100000
3270951000	3708W		NORTH	<u></u>	4	\$506,700	↔	1,500.00	1,500.00 ELVIS GORDON	MILWAUKEE, WI	532060000
**************************************	l	-	·	_	-						

3491106110	2727 W	NORTH	<u></u>	4	\$1,998,000	1,500.00	MICHIGAN-ADAMS BUILDING	DEEFIELD, ILL	600150000
TOTAL	***************************************				\$11,522,800 \$	\$ 27,898.96	A type promonent promonent and the second prom		***************************************

