00001 1	
2	CITY OF MILWAUKEE
3	LICENSES COMMITTEE
4	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
5	In the Matter of the Class "B" Tavern Renewal Application and Request for Permanent Extension of the Class "B"
6	premises for:
7	JOHN F. CARTER "THE CARTER"
8	507 West North Avenue
9	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
10	COMMITTEE MEMBERS
11	ALD. JAMES WITKOWIAK - Chair ALD. JAMES BOHL, JR., - Vice-Chairman
12	ALD. JOSEPH A. DUDZIK ALD. ROBERT PUENTE
13	ALD. WILLIE WADE
14	LICENSING DIVISION by REBECCA BARRON NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES by PANDORA BENDER
15	HEALTH DEPARTMENT by KEVIN HULBERT POLICE DEPARTMENT by OFFICER JAMES SANFILIPPO
16 17	OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY by ATTORNEY BRUCE SCHRIMPF
18	Proceedings had and testimony given in
19	the above-entitled matter, before the LICENSES
20	COMMITTEE OF THE CITY OF MILWAUKEE, on the 21st day
21	of November, 2005.
22	

00002						
1	PROCEEDINGS					
2	(Whereupon all City Employees were duly					
3	sworn.)					
4	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: In the 6th					
5	District, John F. Carter, Class "B" Tavern					
6	renewal application and request for a permanent					
7	extension of the Class "B" premises to include a					
8	four foot by six foot storage room in the					
9	basement of the current premises for "The Carter"					
10	at 507 West North Avenue.					
11	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Good morning.					
12	THE APPLICANT: Good morning.					
13	MR. GORDON: Good morning, Mr.					
14	Chairman.					
15	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Good morning.					
16	MR. GORDON: Good morning, members.					
17	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman Gordon					
18	is here.					
19	MR. GORDON: Happy Thanksgiving to you					
20	all.					
21	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Thank you very					
22	much. Always great to have you					
23	MR. GORDON: Thank you.					
24	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: have your					
25	presence noted in the room here.					

00003	
1	Would you all raign your right hands
2	Would you all raise your right hands,
3	we'll swear you in, please?
	(Whereupon those present were sworn.)
4	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Name and address
5	for the record, please.
6	THE APPLICANT: John Carter, 108 East
7	Meinecke, Milwaukee.
8	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: John, do you admit
9	to receiving a notice there's a possibility your
10	application could be denied because of items
11	contained on the police report, and neighborhood
12	objections to loitering, littering, parking,
13	traffic problems, presence and sales to underage
14	persons, vandalism, public urination,
15	drunkenness, trespassing, loud music, noise, late
16	night disturbances, fights, shootings, criminal
17	activity, drug activity, prostitution, conduct
18	which is detrimental to the health, safety and
19	welfare of the neighborhood.
20	THE APPLICANT: Yes, sir.
21	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Okay. Health
22	department?
23	HEALTH DEPARTMENT: No objections.
24	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Officer
25	Sanfilippo. The police report, please.

OFFICER SANFILIPPO: The applicant was convicted in January of 2005 for presence of minors from the November, 2004 incident, at - - it looks like it was underage. Or the accusation was it was a underage patron was killed in an accident, or was involved in an accident.

In January of 2005 there was an incident where a - a person was kicked out of the bar and said that she was going to go get her pistol, and there were three to four shots fired outside the place, or she heard three or four shots fired. There was a arrest made, but this was after a fight inside the tavern, between patrons in the bar - tavern.

In January of 2005 police were dispatched to a shooting at 507 West North at Carter's. Upon arrival four bouncers from Carter's were standing in front. As officers approached they asked them if they had heard or seen anyone shooting a gun, or had there been shots fired. All the bouncers responded by saying no. At the time a green Cadillac was seen driving away from 507 West North. About five minutes later officers were dispatched to investigate a shooting. That Cadillac had just

dropped off a shooting victim. Officers returned to the tavern on North Avenue. They identified the driver as John Carter, the licensee. He told officers a female patron got into - - into a fight in the tavern, and she was removed. A couple minutes later she came back to the tavern, saying she had been shot. Carter was asked why he did not tell officers he had the shooting victim in the car. He drove away from the scene. He said he did not know whether she had been shot, and he wanted to get her to the hospital quickly. He then became very uncooperative and confrontational.

In January, 2005 the tavern was found to be opened. The license had been granted, but it wasn't issued yet. He was cited, but that citation was dismissed.

July, 2005 officers conducted an inspection of the tavern. They found that it was over capacity by 20 - 32 patrons. And that didn't include the seven - seven employees. He was cited for posting an occupancy, which he was - he was convicted of.

And in October of 2005 there was a triple shooting occurred at 507 West North. An

00006 1 unknown person fired several shots into the crowd on - - on a crowded sidewalk. The licensee stated that as he was closing - - closing the tavern he heard a gunshot, and then he heard 5 several more qunshots. There were three victims. 6 One with a gunshot in the knee. One was wounded 7 in the ankle, and third in the bicep. The victim drove himself to the hospital. All of the 8 9 victims, according to the report, were customers 10 of the tavern. 11 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Thank you. Do you 12 have any questions of the police report? 13 ALDERMAN BOHL: Mr. Chairman. 14 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman Bohl? 15 ALDERMAN BOHL: I would just move to 16 make the entire police report part of the record. 17 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Motion by Alderman 18 Bohl is to make the entire police report part of 19 the permanent record in this matter, and hearing 20 no objections, so ordered. Any questions on the 21 police report? 22 MR. GORDON: We have no questions on 23 the police report, Mr. Chairman. We just wish to 24 respond to the allegations included in the 25 incident report.

00007 1 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Okay. Go ahead. 2 MR. GORDON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Members. As to the incident on 1/19/05 at 1:53 4 a.m. Mr. Carter has concern about the way that 5 he was represented in this particular paragraph. 6 What happened, based on his assertion to me, was 7 that he was transporting a gunshot victim to the 8 hospital. This took place after his 9 establishment was closed. Apparently there was 10 some words had between this person and some other 11 people who drove by. And this person was shot. 12 Mr. Carter, who again, had closed his 13 establishment and was getting people away from 14 the area, sought - - She sought Mr. Carter's 15 help. Mr. Carter took her to the hospital, 16 whereupon her - - She was shot in the leg, I 17 believe. 18 THE APPLICANT: In the leg, yes. 19 MR. GORDON: And she was taken to the 20

21

22

23

24

25

THE APPLICANT: In the leg, yes.

MR. GORDON: And she was taken to the hospital by Mr. Carter, who then returned to the scene, that is, to his establishment. And he was fully cooperative with the police department during that period of time. He was questioned for well over two hours by the police department inside of their squad car. So to the extent that

2.4

this statement that he was uncooperative and confrontational, he was not.

I have known Mr. Carter since he was a teenager. He's 38 years old. He's a single parent. He has two collegebound sons who are going to college next year. This is his second entrepreneurial effort in this neighborhood. He has a clothing store located at 1000 East Center Street, which he recently has opened up. And, again, I'm here as a personal friend of Mr. Carter, because of our longstanding relationship.

The over capacity situation that is — that is described on 7/25/05, he was in the process of expanding his capacity, which is now approximately 66 people, and he was ticketed as a result of that. Mr. Carter has worked very extensively with Alderman McGee. This neighborhood is part of the Bronzeville District, and during the Spring and Summer months there was quite a bit of gunplay in the area. Mr. Carter has 14 cameras that he has installed since he took ownership of this establishment in March of this year. He has a security force, some of the members are here. Would you all stand up? Let the committee see. These are members of the

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

security detail, approximately six or seven guys who work for him. We also have the bartender. This is a gentleman who works at the bar. Would you stand up, sir? He is here. He works parttime for Mr. Carter.

Mr. Carter is one of these budding entrepreneur, who over the years has taken responsibility and is attempting to make a living for himself and his family here in the City of Milwaukee. The last time I was before this committee and we were talking about some of the trials and tribulations that licensees have in certain neighborhoods in our community. Mr. Carter has worked very hard with Alderman McGee's office. Although we understand fully that this situation did involve some illegal use of weapons in the sense that gunplay was taking place, and we understand the committee's concern about that. Thus, we have a recommendation that I believe the alderman supports for a ten day suspension, and that's what we would like to proffer to the committee at this time. Thank you.

ALDERMAN BOHL: Mr. Chairman.
CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman Bohl.
ALDERMAN BOHL: Mr. Chairman, I - - I

00010 1 just want to go back to the incident of 1/10/05. This - - This allegedly was a fight that broke out in the establishment itself. Is - - Is 4 that - -5 THE APPLICANT: What happened that 6 night - -7 ALDERMAN BOHL: - - a disagreement of 8 some sorts? 9 THE APPLICANT: One of the bartender 10 - - Well, she was the bartender. She wasn't 11 working at the time. She did have words with 12 another young lady. She was on the other side of 13 the bar. 14 ALDERMAN BOHL: She was there as a 15 customer. 16 THE APPLICANT: As a customer. They 17 got into it. Where this gun came from, I don't 18 know. She shot in the air at the girl, outside, 19 and she was convicted of it. She's in jail now, 20 I think. She was fired immediately after. All 21 of the January incidents that happened, I was not 22 the owner at the time. I worked there. I was 23 managing the place. I didn't take legal license 24 until February 1st. So they - - I was always

there is why my name is on everything. All of

00011 1 January. I didn't take over the bar until 2 February. 3 ALDERMAN BOHL: The - - The other question right now. What is - - What is the 4 5 legal capacity of record here now? 6 THE APPLICANT: We got it up from - -7 from 25 to 66. 8 ALDERMAN BOHL: And - - And when did 9 that particular number change? When was that 10 changed, the capacity? 11 THE APPLICANT: A week after I was 12 cited and fined for over capacity, I was in a - -13 doing the work which I only anticipate it's going 14 to be about 5,000 dollars to make those 15 improvements. And I ended up spending about 8200 16 dollars. I did that. Got the capacity. 17 ALDERMAN BOHL: The ingress/egress of 18 door. Was that one of the - -19 THE APPLICANT: Yes. Doors. I had to 20 have - - redid, was drywalling. I did concrete 21 work. I found a back stair where I had to level 22 off the back to where they can come out on level 23 ground. Did quite a bit of work in the back for 24 the second exit. 25 ALDERMAN BOHL: Thank you.

00012 1 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Any questions by members of the committee? ALDERMAN DUDZIK: Mr. Chairman. 4 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman Dudzik. 5 ALDERMAN DUDZIK: Mr. Carter, it does 6 state in the police report, however, even as far 7 back as November of last year, that you were the 8 manager at this location? 9 THE APPLICANT: Yes, sir. 10 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Any other 11 questions by members of the committee? Can I see 12 a show of hands here? Is there anyone in the 13 audience that is here to testify in opposition to 14 this location? Anyone here to testify in 15 opposition to 507 West North Avenue. Let the 16 record show that no one acknowledges. Comments 17 from the alderman's office, please? 18 LEGISLATIVE AIDE: The alderman would 19 like to - - to say that he's trying to present 20 Bronzeville as a safe place, and - - and so it 21 does concern him because of some of the things 22 that are happening, specifically with Carter's. 23 And he is working with the police department to 24 try to clean that area up. Once again, I want to 25 say that he does - - he does want to give the ten

00013	day suspension to Carterie . Thatis his				
-	day suspension to Carter's. That's his				
2	recommendation.				
3	ALDERMAN PUENTE: Mr. Chair.				
4	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman Puente.				
5	ALDERMAN PUENTE: Would you have her				
6	identify herself?				
7	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Oh, please, for				
8	the record.				
9	LEGISLATIVE AIDE: I'm sorry. I'm				
10	Juanita Dix. I'm legislative assistant to				
11	Alderman McGee.				
12	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Thank you,				
13	Juanita.				
14	ALDERMAN WADE: Mr. Chair.				
15	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman Wade.				
16	ALDERMAN WADE: Sir, are you saying you				
17	didn't you didn't own the place until				
18	February of 2005.				
19	THE APPLICANT: Yes. I didn't take				
20	over the license until February.				
21	ALDERMAN WADE: So before, you were an				
22	employee				
23	THE APPLICANT: Yes.				
24	ALDERMAN WADE: of the former				
25	owner.				

00014	
1	THE APPLICANT: Yes.
2	ALDERMAN WADE: So it was under your
3	man your management after February.
4	THE APPLICANT: Yes.
5	ALDERMAN WADE: Okay. All right.
6	ALDERMAN BOHL: Mr. Chairman.
7	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman Bohl.
8	ALDERMAN BOHL: I'm wondering, when the
9	official date of when the this gentleman came
10	before us as an applicant. Does Ms. Barron have
11	that?
12	THE APPLICANT: December 7th.
13	MS. BARRON: Mr. Chair.
14	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Yes.
15	MS. BARRON: The application came
16	before us on December 7th, 2004. It was
17	recommended for approval at the Common Council
18	granted application on December 21st, 2004.
19	Application The license was not issued until
20	February 1st, 2005. I don't have any record of
21	any manager's license at this location for Mr.
22	Carter. Do you have
23	THE APPLICANT: I was a bartender. I
24	was like a head bartender.
25	MS. BARRON: Oh, I thought you had said

00015	
1	you were a manager.
2	THE APPLICANT: No. I managed over the
3	place. I had a bartender's license at the time.
4	ALDERMAN BOHL: The other question that
5	I have is with the Mary Anderson was the previous
6	previous buyer at this of this
7	establishment. When did Did she have an
8	overlapping license and reg Mr. Carter
9	applied for license but did not come to claim it,
10	and she had to have continuation of her license?
11	Is
12	MS. BARRON: Her license expiration
13	date was July 30th.
14	ALDERMAN BOHL: Of 2005.
15	MS. BARRON: Right.
16	ALDERMAN BOHL: Okay. Thank you.
17	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: We heard from the
18	Health Department, did we? Yes. Neighborhood
19	services.
20	DNS: Hold for orders.
21	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Any other
22	questions by members of the committee?
23	ALDERMAN DUDZIK: Mr. Chairman.
24	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman Dudzik.
25	ALDERMAN DUDZIK: Mr. Carter, how long

2.4

has this location been known as a - - or titled $\mbox{\footnote{the}}$ Carter?

THE APPLICANT: Since February. Well, actually we put this sign - - We - - We put the sign up, January, like the middle of January, because my license was there. I had some tax problems that I had to take care of. So I was still working under her until I took care of that, and then I was taking over as soon as I took care of that. And it was agreed with me and her.

 $\label{eq:CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK:} \ \ \mbox{Do you know what} \\ \ \mbox{was the name of the previous establishment?}$

THE APPLICANT: What Goes Up Must Come Down. What Goes Up Must Come Down.

CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Okay. Any other questions by members of the committee? Anything you'd like to say in closing before we take this matter into committee?

MR. GORDON: Only that Mr. Carter will continue to work with Alderman McGee in making the neighborhood safer. It's one of those situations where, as a budding entrepreneur, you know, he's had to make several adjustments, and I think that he's well on his way to doing that.

00017 And as I've said, I've known him since he was a 1 teenager, and I'm real pleased to see him realizing his dream, as an entrepreneur in the 4 City of Milwaukee. And we think that the 5 suspension will be appropriate. It would give 6 him another opportunity to work with the 7 alderman's office to further make the 8 neighborhood safer for patrons. Thank you. 9 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: This matter is in 10 committee. 11 ALDERMAN DUDZIK: Mr. Chairman. 12 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman Dudzik. 13 ALDERMAN DUDZIK: Only in an attempt to 14 be consistent, because I have hue and cry on this 15 committee, since I've been on this committee. I 16 don't know if I like the way that came out. But 17 I'm going to not support a ten day suspension. I 18 will direct the committee's attention and anyone 19 listening to a situation that occurred on 20 Wisconsin Avenue since I've been on this 21 committee, in which there was only a single 22 shooting that supposedly happened in or near the 23 establishment. It was known as Pure, I believe 24 it was at that point in time. There was 25 considerable concern about the shooting in an

00018 1 area that is up and coming at Wisconsin Avenue. Up and coming for the past 30 years. I - - I - - I have to be real honest 4 with you in an attempt to be consistent, when I 5 see a triple shooting occurring, I believe when 6 weigh everything similarly, I couldn't support a 7 ten day suspension, but I will - - When the time is right, I will make my recommendation for a 8 9 suspension of this license. 10 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: This matter is in 11 committee. 12 ALDERMAN DUDZIK: Then I'll - - I would 13 move a 45 day suspension. 14 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Motion by Alderman 15 Dudzik is to recommend renewal of this license 16 with a 45 day suspension, based on items 17 contained on the police report. 18 ALDERMAN WADE: Mr. Chair. 19 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman Wade, on 20 the motion? 21 ALDERMAN WADE: On the motion. Most of 22 the incidents that occurred occurred before this 23 gentleman was actually the owner of the 2.4 establishment. The one incident with the triple

shooting on 10/29/05 is a serious matter. But

00019 1 according to what I'm reading, it happened outside on the sidewalk. THE APPLICANT: It actually happened 4 near the other end of the block, and we were 5 closed. I have videotape outside. I have five 6 cameras outside of my bar. None of that happened 7 on tape, and I cover most of the street going in 8 each way, each opposite way, in front of my bar 9 and on the side. This incident happened near the 10 laundromat, which is on the far west side of the 11 block. It was at 1:45, and normally that's when 12 incidents happen, is at 1:45. All the time that 13 we've been there we've had maybe two fights 14 inside of our bar, two. And that's the reason 15 why it's only happening outside. 16 ALDERMAN WADE: Okay. I - - I - - Just 17 looking at this, you know, and knowing the 18 neighborhood the way I do, that, you know, an 19 incident could happen on that block, and I don't 20 think you should be held responsible for every 21 incident that happened on that block. 22 THE APPLICANT: That's what's been 23 going on. 2.4 ALDERMAN WADE: Because of that, I

think that a ten day suspension is - - is

00020	
1	appropriate, because in my estimation what I'm
2	saying that we're penalizing you for something
3	that went on on the block that didn't happen at
4	your establishment, in front of your
5	establishment, or had much to do with your
6	establishment. My committee members, if I'm not
7	reading this correctly, by all means, correct me.
8	Thank you.
9	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Thank you. Any
10	further discussion on the motion?
11	ALDERMAN DUDZIK: Mr. Chair.
12	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman Dudzik.
13	ALDERMAN DUDZIK: I would just like to
14	point out the last sentence in the very last
15	item. It does indicate that the victims were
16	customers at the tavern. The fact that they
17	walked across the street or up the block to me
18	is
19	THE APPLICANT: But they They
20	weren't.
21	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: No. You
22	THE APPLICANT: Oh, I'm sorry.
23	ALDERMAN PUENTE: Mr. Chair.
24	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman Puente.
25	ALDERMAN PUENTE: In the incident it

00021 1 says that, "the triple shooting occurred at 507 West North Avenue," which is the address of the establishment. Is that not correct? 4 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: That's - - That's 5 what the report says. 6 ALDERMAN PUENTE: Okay. All right. 7 Officer Sanfilippo, do you say it occurred at 507 8 West North Avenue? 9 OFFICER SANFILIPPO: Mr. Chairman, when 10 the calls come into the police department, the 11 address given is when they - - when they call the 12 police, they might say, "There's been a 13 shooting." What's - - What's the address? 14 West North. That does not necessarily mean it 15 happened in or on the property. But from where 16 the - - From where the - - the call came in. So

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

by where the address is.

Later on, as the investigation that was not made part of the PA33, which is what we base our synopsis report on, they may not have necessarily put the actual address of where the shooting, you know, where the - - where the

- - And I'm sure as Alderman - - Alderman Puente

remembers when he was on the police department,

that's how these calls are - - are logged then,

00022 trigger was pulled. So the address that we put on the report there, 507 West North, is where the 1 call came in from. 4 ALDERMAN PUENTE: Mr. Chair. 5 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman Puente. 6 ALDERMAN PUENTE: So you're saying the 7 - - the incident that you're reporting here you 8 - - you just got from the - - the PA33, and in 9 the body of that report it did not tell you where 10 the shooting occurred or the incident report, 11 which would have given the location of where the 12 shooting actually occurred? 13 OFFICER SANFILIPPO: Correct. 14 ALDERMAN PUENTE: Well, I would 15 recommend to you, Mr. Chair, then from this day 16 forth, we have to know exactly where these 17 incidents occur, and if the PA40 - - or PA33 has 18 not accurately depicted where these incidents are 19 occurring, we need to have that information. I 20 - - I think the incident report is the most 21 accurate one that shows where the incident 22 occurred. If we're not doing that, it's not fair 23 to this committee. 2.4 MR. GORDON: Mr. Chairman, I know we're

in committee, but just for the committee's

00023 1 verification, Mr. Carter in these incidents has made the call to the police from his establishment, which was for shots fired. 4 THE APPLICANT: It's 507. 5 MR. GORDON: But, again, he made the 6 call for assistance, and that's why the PA33 7 report has his address. 8 ALDERMAN WADE: Mr. Chair. 9 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman Wade. 10 ALDERMAN WADE: On - - On the - - On 11 the - - On the motion, also, the gentleman is 12 saying that he has cameras outside his 13 establishment that shows that - - that the 14 incident didn't happen in front of his bar, that 15 he - - he can prove that that's not the case. 16 He's stating under oath that it didn't happen in 17 front of his bar. He made the call from his bar. 18 It happened down the street. This is the exact 19 situation that we have when we had that 20 communications file for how police reports end up 21 being detrimental to a business owner because 22 it's not exactly accurate. He's saying this 23 under oath. There's no reason for us not to 24 believe him. He's telling us he made the call from that address, but the actual shooting didn't 25

2.4

not happen in that - - at that address, and he has documentation to prove that. For us to penalize this gentleman for actually helping out, being a good citizen, I don't think that's how we're supposed to - - to - - to operate. I think a ten day suspension is warranted, because there may be some problems, the overcrowding situation. But to hold him responsible for him being a good citizen, I don't think that that's accurate.

ALDERMAN PUENTE: Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman Puente.
ALDERMAN PUENTE: On what Alderman Wade

ALDERMAN PUENTE: On what Alderman Wade just said, I need to clarify, depending on the cameras and where they're angled and such may not depict any - - the incident. I had a shooting unfortunately in my district on 22nd and Silver Spring. The owners there said the exact same thing. "We have cameras. We can prove that these people were not on the property just prior to the shooting." In talking with the captain from the homicide division they did look at the tape. It did not show them on there. But, however, witnesses, including one of the persons that were inside the vehicle when this man got shot said, "Yes. Just prior to the shooting we

00025 1 were on that gas station lot." Whether the videotape depicted it or not, to me does not give 100 percent insurance that they were or were not 4 there for accuracy. But once you get testimony, 5 once people through witnesses tell you that this 6 is what happened, then that's when it's more 7 likely happened that way than not seeing it on 8 the videotape. 9 ALDERMAN WADE: Mr. Chair, if I may. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman Wade. 10 11 ALDERMAN WADE: If those people were 12 here saying that under oath, then that would be a 13 whole different circumstance. This gentleman is 14 here saying that under oath that that's not the 15 case, and that's the evidence that's before us. 16 ALDERMAN BOHL: Mr. Chairman. 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ALDERMAN BOHL: Mr. Chairman.
CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman Bohl.
ALDERMAN BOHL: On the motion. I will
support the motion. My reason for doing so has
to do with several items that are in the police
report. When - - When we look at several of the
incidents in January prior to Mr. Carter
indicating that - - or prior to Mr. Carter coming
in and actually being issued the license, I have
to know a few things.

2.4

The incident of 1/10/05, I realize the legality of when a new owner would come in and receive the issuance of a license. However, if there were one isolated police report that — that said something that may be misinformation, I would have some questions. There's multiple ones. 1/10/05, the driver was identified as — as Wetherall. This was the bartender. The officers spoke to the licensee, John Carter, who told us that Wetherall is the bartender at his bar, and that she did get invited inside of the tavern.

1/19/05, they identified the driver as John Carter, the licensee, indicating that he was uncooperative and confrontational.

1/26/05, Mary Anderson, the previous owner indicates that she turned over the - - the bar on - - I can't tell, because our information is cut off. She is no longer involved with the premises and does not receive any moneies from Mr. Carter. She advised - - She was advised to surrender her license, therefore.

It may be that - - that Mr. Carter here received and finally was issued his license in February. Now whether or not - - All we know is

that he indicates that he was loosely the manager or the head bartender, but that on multiple incidents in January when police responded, police reports indicate that he is the licensee. So either - - Either on multiple occasions information was being relayed falsely or there was something more to this. And - - And with that - - with that I have to believe that there was some culpability here in terms of his running the operation and the fact that the police report even indicates the previous owner states that she was no longer involved directly with the establishment by 1/26.

I think that if we look at the entirety of the police report, that Alderman Dudzik is correct. There are - There are establishments that we've shut down for this police report. We really have. I will - - I will bend in terms of giving the benefit of the doubt from February on. But from when I look at the entirety of the police report in multiple incidents that list Mr. Carter as the licensee, the owner and the operator over and over again, I think that - - that I have to draw the conclusion that there was some type of arrangement that was - - that was

00028					
1	kindled prior to prior to the issuance of his				
2	license in February.				
3	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Okay. Thank you.				
4	The motion on the floor is for the by				
5	Alderman Dudzik is for renewal of this license				
6	with a 45 day suspension based on items contained				
7	in the police report. Let's take a roll call on				
8	this.				
9	CITY CLERK: Alderman Bohl?				
10	ALDERMAN BOHL: Aye.				
11	CITY CLERK: Alderman Puente?				
12	ALDERMAN PUENTE: Aye.				
13	CITY CLERK: Alderman Wade?				
14	ALDERMAN WADE: No.				
15	CITY CLERK: Alderman Dudzik?				
16	ALDERMAN DUDZIK: Aye.				
17	CITY CLERK: Mr. Chair?				
18	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: No.				
19	CITY CLERK: Motion approved, three to				
20	two.				
21	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Motion carries on				
22	a vote of three to two. That it will be the				
23	recommendation of the committee will be a renewal				
24	of this license with a 45 day suspension, based				
25	on items contained in the police report. Thank				

00029	
1	you.
2	MR. GORDON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman,
3	members.
4	MR. SCHRIMPF: Mr. Chairman.
5	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Mr. Schrimpf.
6	MR. SCHRIMPF: The committee will be
7	doing findings of fact and conclusions of law
8	recommending renewal of this license with a 45
9	day suspension. You'll receive a copy of that
10	recommendation and have an opportunity to submit
11	written objections as well as appear before the
12	Milwaukee Common Council when it meets on this
13	matter on December 13th, 2005 at approximately
14	nine a.m.
15	* * * * *
16	

0003	0
2 3 4 5	STATE OF WISCONSIN) MILWAUKEE COUNTY)
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	I, JEAN M. BARINA, of Milwaukee Reporters Associated, Inc., do certify that the foregoing transcript was reduced to writing under my direction and that it is a true and accurate transcription of the Licenses Committee Hearing held on November 21, 2005.
14	JEAN M. BARINA - COURT REPORTER
15	Dated this day of December, 2005
16	Dated this day of December, 2005
17	
18	