00001	
2	CITY OF MILWAUKEE
3	LICENSES COMMITTEE
4	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
	In the Matter of the Class "B" Tavern and Tavern
	Amusement Cabaret Night Club Renewal Application and a change in entertainment for
	Sean Rafaelli - Agent Don Rafaelli - Owner
	RICKY'S ON STATE
9	2601 West State Street Street
10	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
11	COMMITTEE MEMBERS
12	ALD. JAMES WITKOWIAK - Chair ALD. JAMES BOHL, JR., - Vice-Chairman ALD. JOSEPH A. DUDZIK
13	ALD. ROBERT PUENTE ALD. WILLIE WADE
14	LICENSING DIVISION by RICHARD PFAFF
15	NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES by PANDORA BENDER HEALTH DEPARTMENT by JULIE HULTS
16	POLICE DEPARTMENT by SERGAENT CHESTER ULICKEY OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY by ATTORNEY BRUCE SCHRIMPF
17	OFFICE OF THE CITE ATTOMNET BY ATTOMNET BROCE SCHNIFFIF
18	Proceedings had and testimony given in
19	the above-entitled matter, before the LICENSES
20	COMMITTEE OF THE CITY OF MILWAUKEE, on
21	the 18th day of July, 2006.
22	4 4,
23	* * * *
24	

00002 1 PROCEEDINGS CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: In the - - In the 4th District, Sean Raffaelli, Agent for DLR, 4 Incorporated. Class "B" Tavern and Tavern 5 Amusement Cabaret Night Club Renewal Application 6 and a change in entertainment for Ricky's on 7 State, 2601 West State Street. 8 Good morning. 9 THE APPLICANT: (Sean) Good morning. 10 MR. LERNER: Good morning. Robert 11 Lerner, Attorney for Ricky's, and for - - This is 12 Don Raffaelli, who is the - - the owner, and his 13 son, Sean, who has the - - who has - - is the 14 registered agent. 15 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Okay. I don't 16 know. Can we get one more chair at this table 17 here. If you're the agent, I'd like you to be at 18 the table. Yeah, just drag one of those up, from 19 right over there. 20 MR. LERNER: Come sit by - - wherever 21 you're comfortable. 22 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: The cleaning crew 23 must have stolen a couple chairs. Okay. Would 24 you raise your right hands? We'll swear you in,

25

please.

00003	
1	(Whereupon those present were sworn.)
2	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: You've stated your
3	appearance already. Name and address for the
4	record, please?
5	THE APPLICANT: Donald Raffaelli, 5615
6	Grandview Drive, Greendale 53129.
7	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: And?
8	THE AGENT: Sean Raffaelli, 6630 Hill
9	Ridge Drive, Greendale, Wisconsin 53129.
10	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Okay. Do you
11	admit to receiving notice in the mail there's a
12	possibility your application could be denied
13	because of items contained on the police report,
14	and neighborhood objections to loitering,
15	littering, loud music, noise, sales to and
16	presence of underage, fights, shooting,
17	prostitution, drug activity, disorderly operation
18	of premises, failure to obtain the required
19	licenses for the operation of the premises, and
20	conduct which is has an adverse impact on the
21	health, safety and convenience and prosperity of
22	the neighborhood?
23	THE APPLICANT: Yes, I do.
24	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Okay. Health
25	Department?

00004 1 HEALTH: The Health Department has no 2 objections. 3 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Neighborhood 4 Services? 5 DNS: No objections. 6 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: No objections. 7 Okay. Sergeant Ulickey, the police report, 8 please? 9 SERGEANT ULICKEY: On 12/5/05 at 11:10 10 p.m., Milwaukee Police conducted a tavern check 11 at Ricky's on State, 2602 West State. 12 Investigation revealed several violations, and 13 the manager, Michael Segal, was issued several 14 citations for posting of occupancy, safe egress 15 from door, license of permit required, and 16 charges to be posted. Mr. Segal was found guilty 17 of the occupancy, and found - - fined 3,00018 dollars. Found guilty of the license permit 19 required, fined 212 dollars. Found guilty of the 20 charges to be posted and fined 343 dollars. The 21 safe egress was dismissed. 22 On 12/26 of '05 at 1:47, Milwaukee 23 Police were dispatched 2601 West State for shots 24 fired complaint, which was upgraded to a 25 shooting. Investigation revealed no shooting

00005 occurred. However, eight nine millimeter casings 1 were found outside the front door of Ricky's. There had been a large fight inside the tavern, 4 which resulted in one patron firing a gun. 5 Security camera showed the subject to be a black 6 male wearing a dark jacket with white rings on 7 the shoulders. A report was filed regarding this 8 incident, and while there, officers also 9 conducted a tavern check and found an underage 10 subject in the bar. He was identified. Issued a 11 citation for consuming alcohol. The bar manager, 12 Michael Segal, was also cited for disorderly 13 premise and presence of underage. He was found 14 guilty of the disorderly premise and fined 354 15 dollars. The presence of underage was dismissed.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

On 2/20 - - Sorry - - 2/7 of '06 at 1:18, police were dispatched to Ricky's for a large fight inside the tavern. Upon arrival officers found no fight, but found several tables and chairs were overturned, as well as broken glass all over the floor. Five pa - - patrons were found left in the bar, and officers conducted FIs. One subject had a suspect alert for burglary. He was identified and taken into custody. Two other patrons were under the age of

00006 1 21, and told officers no one - - no one asked them for ID to gain entry. Both subjects were cited for underage drinking. There was also a citation for restrict - - or resisting and 4 5 obstructing. Sean Raffaelli, licensee at 6 Ricky's, was issued citations for disorderly 7 premise and presence of underage. He was found 8 guilty of this - - the disorderly premise, fined 9 354 dollars. The presence of underage was 10 dismissed. 11 On 2/13 of '06, Milwaukee Police 12 conducted a tavern check and found the bar 13 manager, identified as Michael Segal, operating 14 as the manager with his manager's license expired 15 since June 30th of '05. A citation was issued. 16 He was found guilty and fined 175 dollars. 17 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Thank you, 18 Sergeant. Do you have any questions of the 19 police report? 20 MR. LERNER: No, can I - - Do you want 21 me to respond to the police very briefly? 22 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Yes. MR. LERNER: Okay. The officer did not 23 mention the first three items that are in the 2.4

letter in their report involving a Mr. Kopadek.

CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Okay.

MR. LERNER: And the claim that he is a new stockholder. Mr. Kopadek was hired by Mr. Raffaelli to manage the place when he bought it in 2002. And in July of 2004 his employment was terminated, and Mr. Kopadek is not a - - a stockholder. There was a lawsuit brought by Mr. Kopadek in the Circuit Court for Milwaukee County that was - - was settled between the parties. He has had - - Mr. Kopadek has had nothing to do with this business for more - - for more than the last two years.

CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Thank you.

MR. LERNER: As to - - As to each of the - - As to the citations, number four, the - - they passed over, so I'll pass over, since it doesn't appear there was anything wrong done by Ricky's, because a patron had a warrant from Illinois.

The incident on February 5th was resolved by no contest pleas in a Municipal Court after negotiations with the city attorney. That substantially reduced the - - the alleged fines and led to the dismissal of the charge of safe egress from the door. As to the charges to be

2.4

posted, we had to agree that the - - the sign wasn't posted, but we would have been able to show that the sign had been up and had fallen down. But we decided it cost less to pay it than to - - to fight it.

The license and permit required was for a machine. Machines in the - - in the premises that while Mister - - while Ricky's is responsible to make sure that the - -the licenses are obtained, they are obtained by the owners of these machines. These machines are only leased to - - to Ricky's, and the company that leases them to Ricky's upon being notified, the next day of - - of the problem, immediately took care of getting the licenses - - getting the licenses in a timely fashion.

As to the occupancy, there was - - there was a dispute between the City and Ricky's as to how many people were in the - - in the place. But in any event, there were too many, and we worked out this resolution that substantially reduced the fine - -

ALDERMAN BAUMAN: I'm going to interrupt this. I thought this was going to be brief. I mean, he - - he's basically going to

00009	
1	trial on convictions.
2	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: We haven't gotten
3	to the good stuff yet, so.
4	ALDERMAN BAUMAN: These are all
5	convictions of record. So any explanation is
6	essentially irrelevant. They're findings of
7	quilt.
8	MR. LERNER: They are They are
9	findings of guilt on a plea of no contest. A
10	plea of no contest doesn't mean you admit you did
11	anything wrong. I'm just trying to explain what
12	happened in regard to these matters.
13	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Please go ahead
14	and explain. Please be brief.
15	MR. LERNER: Okay. I'm trying to be.
16	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: We're We're
17	used to hearing this stuff.
18	MR. LERNER: Okay. I'm trying.
19	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: You can go a
20	little faster.
21	MR. LERNER: As to the incident on
22	December 26th, again, at that time there the
23	presence of underage was dismissed. A disorderly
24	premise ticket was issued. That was issued
25	because the people at Ricky's had called the

00010 1 police to come to the place, because their people were acting out inside the place, and they thought this was the safest way to take care of 4 it. 5 Similarly, on the December 7th 6 incident, same thing. At that time the bar - -7 the Ricky's had purchased a machine that every 8 person who comes into the bar has to put their ID 9 into, and we have a record of it. We never knew 10 the names of any of these people until - - until 11 now. And they were asked to gain - - to give 12 IDs. And we - - we believe they had a - - they 13 had a false ID, and - - and, again, the police 14 were called because of - - because Ricky's called 15 them to prevent a bigger disturbance. As to the 16 tavern check for Mr. Segal, he had forgotten to 17 renew his license, and he immediately did, and, 18 in fact, this committee approved, with a warning, 19 the renewal of his license in - - in May of this 20 year. 21 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Questions by 22 members of the committee? 23 ALDERMAN BOHL: Mr. Chairman. 2.4 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman Bohl.

ALDERMAN BOHL: I'm wondering when - -

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

when you obtained this - - this driver's license scanning device.

THE APPLICANT: I - - I took the - - I bought the license - - I bought the machine, I think it was in late January, and I - - I didn't know there was one available, and what it does is when you scan someone's card on there, it keeps the person's picture on a hard drive in a computer, so you're able to - - the police come in and say you didn't scan the person, we can go back and say, here's - - here's the hard drive or here's the tape or whatever it is. So you maintain a record of everybody that comes in that's - - that's carded. I didn't know some - something like that was available. But I talked to the security department. I said, "Is there something I can do about this?" And they said, "Well, we have a machine," they can take to scan and keep it on the hard drive that you can give to the police.

ALDERMAN BOHL: So you - - you have that machine and have had it operational since late January then?

THE APPLICANT: Right. I don't have the exact date, but I could get the exact date

00012	
1	for the committee. I have the receipt for the
2	machine. Or we could call Central One Security
3	who monitors the premises.
4	ALDERMAN BOHL: You You did have
5	that operating that machine operational then
6	by February. Correct?
7	THE APPLICANT: I believe I did, yeah.
8	I should have the exact date, and I can give that
9	to you.
10	ALDERMAN BOHL: February 7th, two
11	patrons were found in your establishment. One
12	identified as Keith Brazer. Another one, Brandon
13	Williams. Both were under the age 21. Told
14	officer at the door that no one asked them for ID
15	to gain entry.
16	THE APPLICANT: If I had the machine at
17	that time, I find that to be impossible. Because
18	everybody does get scanned.
19	ALDERMAN BOHL: Even during the early
20	hours?
21	THE APPLICANT: We almost never have
22	any customers before nine o'clock.
23	ALDERMAN BOHL: In the evening?
24	THE APPLICANT: Right. Right. So
25	rarely we get a customer before nine o'clock, but

00013	
1	they do come in occasionally.
2	ALDERMAN BOHL: What What are your
3	What are your functional hours?
4	THE APPLICANT: Seven o'clock until bar
5	closing.
6	ALDERMAN BOHL: And that is seven days
7	a week or?
8	THE APPLICANT: Seven days a week,
9	correct.
10	ALDERMAN BOHL: Thank you.
11	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Any questions by
12	members of the committee?
13	ALDERMAN PUENTE: Mr. Chairman.
14	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman Puente.
15	ALDERMAN PUENTE: Regarding the police
16	report to the Sergeant or Michael Segal is
17	not here. Is that correct?
18	THE APPLICANT: No, he's not.
19	ALDERMAN PUENTE: Is he still employed
20	by you?
21	THE APPLICANT: Yes, he is.
22	ALDERMAN PUENTE: Okay. I noticed that
23	the incident on 2/13/06 he was You got to
24	give me a second here to get this computer He
25	was cited for not having a license. Correct?

00014	
1	THE APPLICANT: For not having a
2	manager's license, yeah.
3	ALDERMAN PUENTE: Correct.
4	THE APPLICANT: Having an expired
5	manager's license.
6	ALDERMAN PUENTE: Right.
7	THE APPLICANT: He has a bar license.
8	ALDERMAN PUENTE: Yet there were two
9	incidents prior It expired 6/30/05, but yet
10	on $12/5/05$ and $12/26/05$ he was also cited. So at
11	those two incidents he must have had an expired
12	manager's license then, too. Is that correct?
13	MR. LERNER: But he was not cited by
14	He was there, but he was not given He was
15	not given any citation by by the police for
16	for not having for having a a license
17	that was that had expired, as far as we know.
18	ALDERMAN PUENTE: Exactly.
19	MR. LERNER: I guess.
20	ALDERMAN PUENTE: Even though it was
21	expired.
22	MR. LERNER: Apparently it was. I
23	don't know when it expired, exactly. But if
24	But if they're from year to year.
25	THE APPLICANT: So June 30th, 2005.

00015 1 MR. LERNER: Right. 2005. ALDERMAN PUENTE: So any time after that you should have been cited, but unfortunately, the police department did note 5 cite him in these two other incidents that we're aware of at this time. Correct? 7 THE APPLICANT: That's the way it 8 appears. 9 ALDERMAN PUENTE: I'm sure you don't 10 know why, right? 11 THE APPLICANT: I couldn't' tell you 12 that, but I would safely assume that he probably 13 should have been cited in the other ones. 14 MR. LERNER: And I can assure you that 15 if he had been cited the first time, he would 16 have taken care of the license immediately after 17 the first incident, as he did when he eventually 18 was cited. 19 ALDERMAN PUENTE: All right. Thank 20 you, Mr. Chair. 21 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Can I see a show 22 of hands? Is there anyone here in the audience 23 here to testify relative to 2601 West State Street? Okay. What I'd like to do is keep your 24

right hands raised, please. Everybody who is

00016	
1	going to testify. Right hand. One, two, three.
2	I see five people. And we're going to swear you
3	in at this time.
4	(Whereupon the witnesses were sworn.)
5	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Okay. What I want
6	you to do is come one at a time, stand by this
7	microphone here. Give us your name and address,
8	and then your testimony.
9	THE WITNESS: My name is Amy Theisen.
10	I live at 2735 West State Street.
11	MR. SCHRIMPF: Could I have that,
12	again, please?
13	THE WITNESS: Amy Theisen. It's T-H-E-
14	I-S-E-N. 2735 West State.
15	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: So you're
16	approximately one block away?
17	THE WITNESS: One block away.
18	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Okay. And your
19	testimony is?
20	THE WITNESS: The primary thing that
21	I'm seeing where I live is I know they have
22	security at the door, and I've heard this stated
23	before. Yes, we have the security. Prostitutes
24	work one block away. It's af Any Any
25	time I'm driving home after ten o'clock at night

00017 1 there's going to be a hooker at 27th and State. There's going to be a hooker at 27th and Highland. And there will be one work - - working 4 along between the two stretches of 27th Street. 5 It's - - It's very continual. Absolutely 6 guarantee you're going to see one there. 7 They'll usually - - Because I'll make the stop at - - There's a stop sign at 27th and 8 9 Highland, as I'm turning to come over to State 10 Street. And they'll walk over. It's like as 11 soon as they see I'm a woman, they'll walk away. 12 And if it's a male driver, they'll - - If there's 13 a male in the car, you know, I've had a friend 14 coming where I'm giving them a ride home. I've 15 been the designated driver. There's I'm in the 16 - - I'm driving. He's in the passenger side. 17 She'll come up and tap on the window. You know, 18 "Do you want to party?" My dad's been approached 19 when we've been coming home. 20 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Questions by 21 members of the committee? 22 ALDERMAN WADE: Mr. Chair. 23 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman Wade. 2.4 ALDERMAN WADE: Miss, how long has this 25 been the case over there?

00018 THE WITNESS: It's been - - I've - -1 I've lived there - - I bought in July of '96, and it's been pretty continual since I - - since I've 4 been there. If - - If I actually hear 5 solicitation that I can testify, I call the 6 police. But to be honest, in the 3rd District, 7 prostitution calls are not going to be a highest 8 rated when you're getting response. 9 ALDERMAN WADE: So - - But you have 10 called the police? 11 THE WITNESS: Yes. 12 ALDERMAN WADE: Has it been instances 13 where the police have - - you witnessed them 14 showing up, doing something about it? 15 THE WITNESS: You - - Because usually -16 - Usually this time of night in this area, I'm 17 going home and going to bed. So I haven't - -18 ALDERMAN WADE: So when you call the 19 police, you don't know if they come or not. 20 THE WITNESS: I call the police. I 21 don't know if they come or not. 22 ALDERMAN WADE: Okay. 23 THE WITNESS: And it wouldn't show up 2.4 as being pertaining to Ricky's, because they're 25 not right in front of Ricky's. They're on the

00019 1 corners. ALDERMAN WADE: And this goes on what days? THE WITNESS: It's - - It's pretty much 4 5 seven days a week. It - - Usually they - - they hit the corners. It's going to be, set, nine, 7 ten o'clock is when they're out, and then they'll 8 be out. 9 ALDERMAN WADE: Okay. I don't want to 10 do too much of a commercial for them, but I just 11 want to get an idea, because sometimes I'm riding 12 around, and I want to ride through and just see 13 if it's that obvious, and if it is that obvious, 14 then we have our police department, whose job it 15 is to ride around. I'm thinking that maybe they have seen it. And if they have, it's just 16 17 surprising to me that it could con - - continue 18 for ten consecutive years. 19 THE WITNESS: It's - - It's very 20 obvious. 21 ALDERMAN WADE: Okay. 22 THE WITNESS: Unless - - Unless you're, you know, like I said my dad had no idea. 23 24 Because I think I met one of your neighbors. 25 It's like well, what did - - "Well, she wanted to

1	party. And I said we're going we're going
2	here." And it was like, "Dad, she was a hooker."
3	He just went oh. Oh, I was going to give her a
4	beer.
5	ALDERMAN WADE: Okay. Thank you.
6	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Do you have any
7	questions of this witness?
8	MR. LERNER: Yes. When did this
9	incident with your father occur?
10	THE WITNESS: It would have been last
11	year. It was in the Springtime, because we were
12	going to see we went down to We went to
13	the MSO. We were coming back from the MSO,
14	and
15	MR. LERNER: Spring of 2005?
16	THE WITNESS: Spring of 2005. I don't
17	know the exact date. If I I could look at
18	the concert dates.
19	MR. LERNER: Have you ever talked with
20	Mister I'm sorry.
21	COURT REPORTER: I know, but you have
22	to kind of use the microphone.
23	MR. LERNER: Okay. Yes.
24	COURT REPORTER: Pull it close to you.
25	MR. LERNER: Yes.

00021	
1	COURT REPORTER: Don't lean back.
2	MR. LERNER: Usually I'm not told I
3	don't talk loud.
4	COURT REPORTER: I know. Your back was
5	away from this.
6	MR. LERNER: I'm sorry. Have you ever
7	talked with Mr. Raffaelli, this gentleman seated
8	to my left, or anybody else at at Ricky's
9	about this
10	THE WITNESS: No.
11	MR. LERNER: About this problem?
12	THE WITNESS: No.
13	MR. LERNER: You've never told them
14	there's a problem.
15	THE WITNESS: It's
16	MR. LERNER: You have not told them
17	THE WITNESS: I have not told them
18	there's a problem.
19	MR. LERNER: Okav.
20	ALDERMAN BAUMAN: It's obvious.
21	Correct?
22	THE WITNESS: It's obvious.
23	MR. LERNER: Okay. All right. And
24	this problem was going on when you moved there.
25	THE WITNESS: Yes.
	100.

00022	
1	MR. LERNER: Okay. Have there been
2	You live about a block and a half, two blocks
3	from
4	THE WITNESS: A block and a half.
5	MR. LERNER: Okay. And you've noticed
6	that there have been significant architectural
7	improvements at Ricky's in the in that time?
8	THE WITNESS: Well, you destroyed
9	something that was a historic building. No, that
10	that isn't completely We're in a historic
11	district, and we're all about preservation. If
12	you tear it down to make it something that
13	totally is out of character with the
14	neighborhood
15	MR. LERNER: I'm glad you brought that
16	up. Okay. And how I'm not going We'll
17	talk about that later with others. All right.
18	And as far as you know, you never
19	talked to the police about it?
20	THE WITNESS: About the hookers? Yes.
21	MR. LERNER: Okay. Have you talked
22	with your alderman about it prior to this year?
23	THE WITNESS: Yes.
24	MR. LERNER: Did you talk to him about
25	it before the license was up for renewal last

00023	
1	year?
2	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I'm sure we have.
3	Because, see, we were on the historic committee
4	for the area board.
5	MR. LERNER: Fair to say you probably
6	brought it to his attention almost every year
7	since you moved in.
8	THE WITNESS: Yes.
9	MR. LERNER: Okay. That's all.
10	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Thank you for your
11	testimony. Next witness, please.
12	THE WITNESS: My name is Charlene
13	Wagner.
14	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Closer to the
15	microphone, please.
16	THE WITNESS: It's Charlene Wagner. I
17	live
18	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Closer to the
19	microphone, please.
20	THE WITNESS: Now can you hear? Okay.
21	It's Charlene Wagner. I live on Highland
22	Boulevard. And I've only been in the
23	neighborhood for about a year and a half. But a
24	few months ago I was coming from a friend's that
25	lived down further on State Street, and I was

walking back. And I was walking like kind of near the Blood Center thing. And there was a girl and a guy - - Now, they say they don't open until seven, so I'm not too sure. I thought it was around five o'clock, because I get out of class at three. So I went home and then went to my friend's and then came back. But there were people, like loitering around, like the front of their place, and a girl and a guy walked across the street. And the girl looked like she was going to perform something for the guy, and then they saw me walking, and she got up and walked away real quick. And that was about two or three months ago.

CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Where did you first see these people at? Where were they standing when you first encountered them?

THE WITNESS: They were standing - - It looked like they were right on the corner by Ricky's there.

CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Okay.

THE WITNESS: So I didn't know if they came out of there or what? But then I saw them walk across the street, and then they saw me and stopped what they were going to do.

00025	
1	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: How How close
2	do you live to Ricky's?
3	THE WITNESS: I live on 29th and
4	Highland.
5	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: So you're how many
6	blocks away?
7	THE WITNESS: Hmm
8	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Four blocks away
9	or something?
10	THE WITNESS: Yeah, something like
11	that. But I had a friend that was living on 22nd
12	and State, so.
13	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Have you, other
14	than that experience, have you observed any other
15	any any other activities that appear to
16	be prostitution or drug related?
17	THE WITNESS: I can't really say,
18	because my friend moved to, like, South
19	Milwaukee, so I haven't really been down that
20	way.
21	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Okay. Do you have
22	any Hold on.
23	MR. LERNER: Yes. You don't know
24	whether these two people that you saw had
25	anything to do with Ricky's?

00026	
1	THE WITNESS: Well, I thought at first
2	they were coming out of there.
3	MR. LERNER: You don't know if they
4	were. If it doesn't open until seven o'clock
5	THE WITNESS: Yeah.
6	MR. LERNER: they wouldn't have
7	been coming out of there. Right?
8	THE WITNESS: No. But there was a few
9	people like by the door and around like by the
10	corner of it. So I don't know if they were
11	waiting for it to open, or what, but
12	MR. LERNER: You don't know if any of
13	those people were employed by Ricky's?
14	THE WITNESS: No. Because I wouldn't
15	know any of the employees.
16	MR. LERNER: Okay. Did you Did you
17	ever bring this to to the attention of the
18	people at Ricky's?
19	THE WITNESS: No, because I had no idea
20	who the people of Ricky's are. I'm not going in
21	there, I mean.
22	MR. LERNER: Okay. Did you bring it to
23	the attention of your alderman, Alderman Bauman.
24	THE WITNESS: I didn't know him at the
25	time. I didn't meet him until this past summer.

00027	
1	ALDERMAN BAUMAN: I I have a
2	question. You You heard earlier they say
3	that it was seven o'clock that they open. You
4	could be confused about the time you saw this
5	happen?
6	THE WITNESS: Yeah.
7	ALDERMAN BAUMAN: You told me before
8	you absolutely saw them come out of the premises.
9	Correct?
10	THE WITNESS: That's what I'm almost
11	positive that they did, because that's what it
12	looked like to me.
13	ALDERMAN BAUMAN: Thank you. Just so
14	we're I mean, you don't Don't be confused
15	by by timelines here. What you saw is what
16	you saw. What you saw is somebody come out of
17	the door of Ricky's, walk across the street
18	MR. LERNER: Excuse me.
19	ALDERMAN BAUMAN: with a woman.
20	She attempted to give him a blow job.
21	MR. LERNER: If there's been
22	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: All right. All
23	right. All right.
24	ALDERMAN BAUMAN: Correct? Yes.
25	THE WITNESS: That's what I saw.

00028 1 ALDERMAN BAUMAN: That's what you saw. 2 Thank you. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Quiet, or I'm 4 going to lunch. We'll finish this meeting at six 5 o'clock tonight. Okay. Next witness, please. 6 Name and address for the record. 7 THE WITNESS: Debra Fields, 2535 West 8 Highland Avenue. 9 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: How far are you 10 away from this location? 11 THE WITNESS: One block. I can see 12 them. I can see Ricky's out my back door or from 13 my garage now. 14 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Okay. And your 15 testimony? 16 THE WITNESS: I've lived there since 17 1977 and Ricky's has been a problem ever since 18 I've lived there. The prostitution. The 19 prostitutes will walk. They stay on - - They'll 20 come on 26th Street. I've literally driven them 21 and their johns away by standing there, letting 22 them know I'm observing them. The littering, 23 when patrons come out of there, the throwing of 24 the trash. They come by the carloads, the 25 busloads. They park on Highland. Any time there

00029	
1	are cars parked on Highland, there has been
2	property destruction. There has been theft of
3	property out of the cars. And some of these
4	patrons have come out of Ricky's. Urinating on
5	the streets and urinating behind the blood plasma
6	building. I've seen all of these things myself.
7	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Thank you.
8	Questions?
9	THE WITNESS: And the noise.
10	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Questions by
11	members of the committee?
12	ALDERMAN BOHL: Yeah. Yes, Mr.
13	Chairman.
14	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman Bohl.
15	ALDERMAN BOHL: How How close do
16	you live to this establishment?
17	THE WITNESS: Exactly one block. If I
18	walk out my drive on my driveway I can see
19	Ricky's. It's exactly one block.
20	ALDERMAN BOHL: You're
21	THE WITNESS: I'm on the corner of 26th
22	Street.
23	ALDERMAN BOHL: One block south?
24	ALDERMAN BAUMAN: It's north?
25	THE WITNESS: North.

00030 1 ALDERMAN BOHL: You're a block north. THE WITNESS: Yes. ALDERMAN BOHL: And if you could, you 4 mentioned lastly in terms of your comments, 5 issues with noise. Could you better describe the type of noise that you've encountered? 6 7 THE WITNESS: When the patrons come 8 out, they've been drunk, and they're - - they're 9 laughing and loud, and - - and I can hear them. 10 They've waken - - awakened me from my sleep. The 11 cars and the noise that they're making with the 12 cars when they're leaving and going on. Just all 13 kinds of things. And sometimes trucks, the truck 14 drivers and the noise and the trucks when they 15 frequented the bar. 16 ALDERMAN BOHL: In terms of the - - the 17 things that you have testified to, how frequent 18 are these occurrences taking place? 19 20

21

22

23

24

25

THE WITNESS: I will admit they've been less frequent, because there seems to be less patronage, but at least a couple a times a month it used to be. And the picking up, it was nothing for us every Saturday morning to have to get up and clean up our yard, pick up trash from where they've come, and they've partied, and then

00031 they drop the trash, beer bottles, cans and 1 things like that. So it was nothing a couple of times a week - - on Saturday mornings to get up 4 and pick up trash. 5 ALDERMAN BOHL: In the last year, if 6 you had to provide an estimation based on the 7 noise that you testified to, how many times would you say in the last 12 months have you been 8 9 awoken or disturbed? 10 THE WITNESS: In the last year, not a 11 lot at all. But, again, that's because it's been 12 not as many patrons going there as before. And 13 my fear is that that number will increase again, 14 and I'll be back to the situation we were before. 15 If I had to give a number, maybe four, five, six 16 times. 17 ALDERMAN BOHL: Four, five, six times 18 in the last - - the last 12 months? 19 THE WITNESS: Yes. 20 ALDERMAN BOHL: Thank you. 21 ALDERMAN WADE: Mr. Chair. 22 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman Wade? 23 ALDERMAN WADE: Thank you. Ma'am, you 24 - - you heard the - - the lady that came prior to 25

you express that it was obvious that prostitution

00032 1 was going on in this neighborhood. Is that something that you see on a daily basis? THE WITNESS: Oh, yes. Yes. 4 ALDERMAN WADE: So - - So when she make 5 - - When she makes a statement that any - - any 6 day you go down there, it's just almost like they 7 have a business there, that they're out there on 8 a daily basis. 9 THE WITNESS: Yes. 10 ALDERMAN WADE: At those two locations, 11 maybe more - -12 THE WITNESS: 27th Street for sure. 13 There's a lot of drug activity in that area, as 14 well. And as I said, sometimes patrons coming 15 out of Ricky's have been approached by - -16 ALDERMAN WADE: Now, have you - - Have 17 you or anyone who has visited you or left your 18 house been approached by any of those - - those 19 hookers out - - outside on the streets? 20 THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge. 21 ALDERMAN WADE: Not to your knowledge. 22 Okay. Thank you. 23 THE WITNESS: And I have - - We - - My 2.4 husband and I have previously called the police.

We've worked with our neighborhood association,

00033	
1	Midtown Neighborhood Association, to try and deal
2	with the issue. At the time when it was a real
3	problem, when we moved there, Paul Henningson was
4	our alderman. And so we had been continuously
5	trying to work with that situation.
6	ALDERMAN WADE: So the prostitution has
7	been going on for
8	THE WITNESS: Yes.
9	ALDERMAN WADE: at least ever since
10	you've been there.
11	THE WITNESS: Yes.
12	ALDERMAN WADE: All right. Thank you.
13	ALDERMAN DUDZIK: Mr. Chairman.
14	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman Dudzik.
15	ALDERMAN DUDZIK: Thank you very much.
16	I just want to make a positive link between
17	Ricky's and the litter that you have been picking
18	up. Can you tell me what type of beer bottles?
19	Are they plastic? Glass? Are they
20	THE WITNESS: They've been
21	ALDERMAN DUDZIK: Give me some names.
22	THE WITNESS: What's What's the one
23	in the gold can? It was
24	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman Dudzik
25	would know.

1	MR. SCHRIMPF: Mr. Chairman, I believe
2	that's Miller Genuine Draft.
3	THE WITNESS: I mean, and and the
4	bottles are broken. And I So I'm not always
5	looking
6	ALDERMAN DUDZIK: So they're glass
7	and
8	THE WITNESS: Yeah, glass and and
9	cans.
10	ALDERMAN DUDZIK: Besides the beer
11	bottles and and these golden cans that you
12	pick up, is there any other trash that you pick
13	up?
14	THE WITNESS: Oh, yeah. We picked up
15	needles and used condoms.
16	ALDERMAN DUDZIK: I'm just trying to
17	make a link between the trash and and Is
18	there any other liquor establishments? I'm not
19	really familiar with the area.
20	THE WITNESS: Yes, there there is a
21	liquor store right across the street on the
22	southeast corner. And I believe there's a
23	tavern, another tavern there. No? No.
24	ALDERMAN DUDZIK: Thank you very much.
	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Counselor, do you

00035	
1	have any questions of this witness?
2	MR. LERNER: Yes. These numbers when
3	you were asked by, I believe it was Alderman
4	Bohl, how many times. Those were guesses by you
5	in the last year. Is that right?
6	THE WITNESS: That's correct.
7	MR. LERNER: Okay. And it's been going
8	on for a long time. It may have been more than a
9	year.
10	THE WITNESS: No, within a year.
11	MR. LERNER: Okay. And you did testify
12	that that there was a lot less of the
13	littering in the last year?
14	THE WITNESS: A lot less? I guess
15	there's less. I don't know about a "lot less."
16	There's less.
17	MR. LERNER: Do you know that Ricky's
18	picks up litter two or three times every day?
19	THE WITNESS: They don't come by my
20	house.
21	MR. LERNER: Oh, okay. On State
22	Street.
23	THE WITNESS: They very well may do
24	that.
25	MR. LERNER: Okay. You don't know

00036	
1	where any of the people who who if they
2	litter at your house, you don't know where they
3	were?
4	THE WITNESS: Oh, yes. Oh, yes, sir.
5	MR. LERNER: How do you know they were
6	at Ricky's?
7	THE WITNESS: Because they're When
8	they they park on Highland, sir. And we see
9	them going into the establishment. We see them
10	getting back into their vehicles.
11	MR. LERNER: Do you know whether
12	Strike that. That's all.
13	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman Bauman,
14	any questions?
15	ALDERMAN BAUMAN: No.
16	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: All right. Next
17	witness, please?
18	THE WITNESS: I live in the
19	neighborhood.
20	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Name and address
21	for the record.
22	THE WITNESS: My name is Rosanne
23	Mathias, but I own a rental property at 2415 West
24	Kilbourn, which is sort of right around the back
25	door of Ricky's. I'm sorry that there aren't

00037	
1	more people here today to talk to you. People
2	have to work, and have other responsibilities.
3	I'm sure the committee always takes that into
4	consideration. The committee also has got to
5	know that our neighborhood is a block grant
6	neighborhood. That means that the Federal
7	government has realized that we need special
8	help. And we need special help in our
9	neighborhood. Ricky's has long been an
10	establishment that has not done anything positive
11	for our neighborhood, even their rehab job two
12	years ago.
13	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: I don't want
14	to
15	THE WITNESS: Ricky's has
16	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Rosanne, excuse
17	me, please. I don't want to You have to
18	testify
19	THE WITNESS: Right. Larry Mathias and
20	I walk around our block. Right.
21	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Ma'am, please.
22	You have to testify to items that are on the
23	notice.
24	THE WITNESS: Rick Larry Mathias
25	and I walk around the block, around our rental

00038	
1	property. Ricky's door is often open. I'm not
2	quite certain if there's a cover charge there at
3	Ricky's. I've seen women entering with the door
4	open, walking through. I have never seen the
5	machine close to the door. There is definitely
6	prostitution coming from there. I have seen, in
7	our walks, sex acts being performed on 26th
8	Street between State and Kilbourn. Ricky's is a
9	hub for everything bad. Drugs, it's got to be.
10	Liquor, again, and the prostitution. I don't
11	know any more except that it's fairly obvious
12	what happens in our neighborhood when Ricky's
13	customers leave. It's amazing to me that they're
14	only open from seven to bar closing time. I
15	never knew that. It doesn't look like that's
16	when you're open to me.
17	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Hold on. There
18	might be some questions.
19	ALDERMAN DUDZIK: Mr. Chair. Ma'am.
20	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Questions,
21	Alderman Dudzik?
22	ALDERMAN DUDZIK: Ma'am, have you
23	personally witnessed anything? I mean, you
24	THE WITNESS: Yes, I've seen sex acts.
25	ALDERMAN DUDZIK: Can you testify to

00039	
1	that and and that has to be within the last
2	year, too. Or to drugs.
3	THE WITNESS: Yes, it was May
4	ALDERMAN DUDZIK: What did you see?
5	THE WITNESS: I've seen a sex act
6	performed along the side street.
7	ALDERMAN DUDZIK: In May?
8	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
9	ALDERMAN DUDZIK: Okay. What about
10	drugs?
11	THE WITNESS: Well, I mean, there is
12	drug selling
13	ALDERMAN DUDZIK: What What have
14	you seen?
15	THE WITNESS: There's always drugs
16	being sold on 26th Street.
17	ALDERMAN DUDZIK: Have you seen that?
18	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
19	ALDERMAN DUDZIK: Okay. When was that?
20	THE WITNESS: All the time. I mean,
21	May, June, July. We walk all the time around our
22	block.
23	ALDERMAN DUDZIK: And you saw
24	THE WITNESS: Yes.
25	ALDERMAN DUDZIK: drug uses or drug

00040	
1	transactions or?
2	THE WITNESS: Drug transactions.
3	ALDERMAN DUDZIK: What about
4	prostitution?
5	THE WITNESS: In the neighborhood women
6	can't walk I mean, because people assume that
7	they are there to sell sex.
8	ALDERMAN DUDZIK: Have you been
9	propositioned when you were in or around Ricky's?
10	THE WITNESS: I have never been in
11	Ricky's, but walking
12	ALDERMAN DUDZIK: In or around.
13	THE WITNESS: through.
14	ALDERMAN DUDZIK: In the area, is what
15	I'm trying to say.
16	THE WITNESS: Um, No, sir, I have not
17	been propositioned.
18	ALDERMAN DUDZIK: But you have
19	THE WITNESS: I've seen men
20	propositioned.
21	ALDERMAN DUDZIK: So you have witnessed
22	it. Correct?
23	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
24	ALDERMAN DUDZIK: Anything else,
25	regarding loitering or disorderly conduct or?

00041 THE WITNESS: In terms of loitering 1 2 I've also, walking up the street, have seen men and women in cars together. I mean, that's a 4 huge thing in our neighborhood. 5 ALDERMAN DUDZIK: But that, in itself, 6 is not illegal. What are the - -7 THE WITNESS: I mean, sex acts 8 obviously being performed. 9 ALDERMAN DUDZIK: Well, it's not 10 obvious unless you tell us. I don't know what 11 you have seen. 12 THE WITNESS: Well, yes, and I have 13 called the police. Again, in our neighborhood 14 you don't call 9-1-1. You call the community 15 number and ask for a squad to come when they have 16 time. 17 ALDERMAN DUDZIK: And what have the 18 police done? 19 THE WITNESS: Well, the police patrol 20 heavily, but I mean, there are other priorities 21 for the police rather than patrolling Ricky's. 22 Ricky's pays 3,000 dollars in property tax last 23 year. I'm sure that they use well over the 2.4 amount of public services. ALDERMAN DUDZIK: What about littering? 25

00042	
1	Have you seen anybody come out of Ricky's with
2	open intoxicants or?
3	THE WITNESS: I've seen beer cans and
4	things around Ricky's. If they have people
5	picking up twice a day, I commend them.
6	ALDERMAN DUDZIK: But have you seen
7	anybody come outside Ricky's from inside
8	Ricky's
9	THE WITNESS: With a beer can, throw
10	it. Sure. Yes, sir.
11	ALDERMAN DUDZIK: Within the last year.
12	THE WITNESS: Within the last year. I
13	don't walk a lot in the wintertime, but these
14	things that I've seen, I've seen this Spring.
15	ALDERMAN DUDZIK: Okay. Thank you.
16	Thank you, Mr. Chair.
17	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Any Do you
18	have any questions of this witness?
19	MR. LERNER: Yes. Are you aware,
20	ma'am, that Ricky's does not sell beer by the can
21	to anybody?
22	THE WITNESS: No.
23	MR. LERNER: That's all.
24	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Okay. Is there
25	anyone else to testify?

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

THE WITNESS: My name is Eileen Hanley. I live at 945 North 29th Street. It's approximately two and a half blocks from Ricky's. That route home is not something that I do frequently at nine or ten o'clock at night. I'm guessing four to six times a year I may be passing State Street at that time of the night. So if I'm there between nine and ten o'clock at night and I see prostitution the four to six times of the year that I'm driving by there, I think it's safe to assume that it's happening more than those four to six times of the year that I'm at that corner. And I've seen it predominantly at 27th and State. I don't know if there's any direct connection to Ricky's. But any logical person would assume that if you got clientele, that is where prostitutes will ply their trade.

And I am also on the promotions committee for Main Street So Hih, and Ricky's is not part of the future of 27th Street. It does not in any way is not in any way, an asset to the community.

CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Any questions by members of the committee? Alderman Puente?

00044 ALDERMAN PUENTE: Thank you. Have you 1 seen anything else besides prostitution there? THE WITNESS: Personally, no, I 4 haven't. Other than we have a very well 5 connected neighborhood group, both on List Serve and a lot of one on one contact. And so what 7 I've heard is hearsay by people who live nearby 8 and describe the problems. I personally haven't 9 seen it. 10 ALDERMAN PUENTE: All right. 11 you. Thank you, Mr. Chair. 12 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Counselor, do you 13 have any questions of this witness? 14 MR. LERNER: No. 15 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: All right. Thank 16 you very much. Anyone else here to testify? 17 Okay. Thank you. Alderman Bauman. 18 ALDERMAN BAUMAN: Thank you, Mr. Chair, 19 members of the committee. I'm here to ask the 20 committee to not renew this license, any part of this license. Not the Class "B" tavern nor the 21 22 Cabaret license, and absolutely not allow them to 23 add DJs, which they're now requesting. As we 24 look on their entertainment form, which they 25 indicate what they're requesting to have licensed

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

for, I noticed that this has basically become a hip-hop club with the addition of strippers. Hip-hop is crossed off, as well as exotic dancers, strippers, and now they want a disc jockey as well.

Frankly, my district can't tolerate any more of this. We had two homicides on Sunday morning at a club on Old World Third Street, which didn't have - - Although they didn't have the opportunity, but didn't have half the incidents that this establishment has had over the last year. We have six findings of guilt through municipal citations. Six in the last license period. The Sergeant read them off. I'm not going to repeat them, other than the two that are the most troublesome for me, and that is the one where there was gunfire and fighting on December 26th. And fighting on February 7th. These are the classic signs, the early warning signs of trouble in River City. Of trouble that will eventually spill out into the neighborhood, eventually end up in Ms. Field's backyard, a long time taxpaying resident of this community, and a community acti - - community activist, as well. Eventually, spill over into Ms. Theisen's

2.4

neighborhood, one block to the west. Sooner or later there will be trouble. There is trouble there. There's trouble. Six convictions involving two - - And two of the incidents involving shootings, guns, and fighting. It's time we put a halt to this. It's time we hold these establishments accountable. It's time we have zero tolerance for this behavior anywhere in the City.

And this - - Before we get to the issues that the neighborhood has testified to. We have established the existence of prostitution, and just like a magnet, the - - for prostitution. I see the - - I can testify under personal knowledge. I'm - - I'm under oath. I live three blocks from this establishment. I've been going by this establishment as long as I've been going by Pick and Choose. And there is never a time passing by this establishment in the vicinity of 27th and State that you are not motioned to by a female walking the street. There is never a time late at night when that does not happen.

I have transported visitors past this establishment to my home, and they remark, "Why

00047 1 do you keep this place in business?" I says, "Well, it's not exactly my call all the time." It's the committee's call. We have a record here 4 which goes - - which - - which easily will 5 sustain a non-renewal. Six convictions, 6 gunshots, fighting, and that's just within the 7 last year. Coupled with neighborhood testimony 8 and neighborhood objections indicating that 9 there's prostitution, litter, loitering and noise 10 by individuals with personal knowledge who have 11 testified to their personal knowledge. 12 I'm asking this committee to non-renew 13 this license and allow our police, allow our 14 citizens to live in some peace and quiet in this 15 particular part of the City. Thank you. 16 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Thank you, 17 Alderman Bauman. 18 ALDERMAN PUENTE: Mr. Chair. 19 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman Puente 20 moves to make the entire police report part of 21 the permanent record in this hearing. And 22 hearing no objections, so ordered. 23 ALDERMAN PUENTE: You read my mind. 2.4 Also, I have a question. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman Puente. 25

now about two shootings that occurred there. A I - I've looked over and over, and I can't fi the second one. I - I can't - ALDERMAN BAUMAN: Well, did - If I If I said two, I am mistaken. I was referrin to the one on December 26th. ALDERMAN PUENTE: Okay. Thank you. just want to make sure we're on the same page. ALDERMAN BAUMAN: There were two fights, however, documented. ALDERMAN PUENTE: Yeah, we got that. All right. Thank you, Alderman. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: I'd like to ask the MR. LERNER: I would ask, if I may - CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Mr. Pfaff, from the license division, is that - is that true, looking at the previous tavern supplement, is hip-hop new and DJ new? MR. PFAFF: That's correct.	now about two shootings that occurred there. And I I've looked over and over, and I can't find the second one. I I can't ALDERMAN BAUMAN: Well, did If I - If I said two, I am mistaken. I was referring to the one on December 26th. ALDERMAN PUENTE: Okay. Thank you. I just want to make sure we're on the same page. ALDERMAN BAUMAN: There were two fights, however, documented. ALDERMAN PUENTE: Yeah, we got that. All right. Thank you, Alderman. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: I'd like to ask the
I I've looked over and over, and I can't fit the second one. I I can't ALDERMAN BAUMAN: Well, did If I I said two, I am mistaken. I was referring to the one on December 26th. ALDERMAN PUENTE: Okay. Thank you. Just want to make sure we're on the same page. ALDERMAN BAUMAN: There were two fights, however, documented. ALDERMAN PUENTE: Yeah, we got that. All right. Thank you, Alderman. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: I'd like to ask the MR. LERNER: I would ask, if I may - CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Mr. Pfaff, from the license division, is that is that true, looking at the previous tavern supplement, is hip-hop new and DJ new? MR. PFAFF: That's correct.	I I've looked over and over, and I can't find the second one. I I can't ALDERMAN BAUMAN: Well, did If I - If I said two, I am mistaken. I was referring to the one on December 26th. ALDERMAN PUENTE: Okay. Thank you. I just want to make sure we're on the same page. ALDERMAN BAUMAN: There were two fights, however, documented. ALDERMAN PUENTE: Yeah, we got that. All right. Thank you, Alderman. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: I'd like to ask the
the second one. I I can't ALDERMAN BAUMAN: Well, did If I I - If I said two, I am mistaken. I was referring to the one on December 26th. ALDERMAN PUENTE: Okay. Thank you. Just want to make sure we're on the same page. ALDERMAN BAUMAN: There were two fights, however, documented. ALDERMAN PUENTE: Yeah, we got that. All right. Thank you, Alderman. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: I'd like to ask the MR. LERNER: I would ask, if I may - CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Mr. Pfaff, from the license division, is that is that true, looking at the previous tavern supplement, is hip-hop new and DJ new? MR. PFAFF: That's correct.	the second one. I I can't ALDERMAN BAUMAN: Well, did If I - If I said two, I am mistaken. I was referring to the one on December 26th. ALDERMAN PUENTE: Okay. Thank you. I just want to make sure we're on the same page. ALDERMAN BAUMAN: There were two fights, however, documented. ALDERMAN PUENTE: Yeah, we got that. All right. Thank you, Alderman. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: I'd like to ask the
ALDERMAN BAUMAN: Well, did If I I f I said two, I am mistaken. I was referrir to the one on December 26th. ALDERMAN PUENTE: Okay. Thank you. just want to make sure we're on the same page. ALDERMAN BAUMAN: There were two fights, however, documented. ALDERMAN PUENTE: Yeah, we got that. All right. Thank you, Alderman. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: I'd like to ask the MR. LERNER: I would ask, if I may - CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Mr. Pfaff, from the license division, is that is that true, looking at the previous tavern supplement, is hip-hop new and DJ new? MR. PFAFF: That's correct.	ALDERMAN BAUMAN: Well, did If I - If I said two, I am mistaken. I was referring to the one on December 26th. ALDERMAN PUENTE: Okay. Thank you. I just want to make sure we're on the same page. ALDERMAN BAUMAN: There were two fights, however, documented. ALDERMAN PUENTE: Yeah, we got that. All right. Thank you, Alderman. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: I'd like to ask the
- If I said two, I am mistaken. I was referring to the one on December 26th. ALDERMAN PUENTE: Okay. Thank you. just want to make sure we're on the same page. ALDERMAN BAUMAN: There were two fights, however, documented. ALDERMAN PUENTE: Yeah, we got that. All right. Thank you, Alderman. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: I'd like to ask the MR. LERNER: I would ask, if I may - CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Mr. Pfaff, from the license division, is that is that true, looking at the previous tavern supplement, is hip-hop new and DJ new? MR. PFAFF: That's correct.	- If I said two, I am mistaken. I was referring to the one on December 26th. ALDERMAN PUENTE: Okay. Thank you. I just want to make sure we're on the same page. ALDERMAN BAUMAN: There were two fights, however, documented. ALDERMAN PUENTE: Yeah, we got that. All right. Thank you, Alderman. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: I'd like to ask the
ALDERMAN PUENTE: Okay. Thank you. just want to make sure we're on the same page. ALDERMAN BAUMAN: There were two fights, however, documented. ALDERMAN PUENTE: Yeah, we got that. All right. Thank you, Alderman. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: I'd like to ask the MR. LERNER: I would ask, if I may - CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Mr. Pfaff, from the license division, is that is that true, looking at the previous tavern supplement, is hip-hop new and DJ new? MR. PFAFF: That's correct.	ALDERMAN PUENTE: Okay. Thank you. I just want to make sure we're on the same page. ALDERMAN BAUMAN: There were two fights, however, documented. ALDERMAN PUENTE: Yeah, we got that. All right. Thank you, Alderman. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: I'd like to ask the
just want to make sure we're on the same page. ALDERMAN BAUMAN: There were two fights, however, documented. ALDERMAN PUENTE: Yeah, we got that. All right. Thank you, Alderman. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: I'd like to ask the MR. LERNER: I would ask, if I may - CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Mr. Pfaff, from the license division, is that is that true, looking at the previous tavern supplement, is hip-hop new and DJ new? MR. PFAFF: That's correct.	just want to make sure we're on the same page. ALDERMAN BAUMAN: There were two fights, however, documented. ALDERMAN PUENTE: Yeah, we got that. All right. Thank you, Alderman. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: I'd like to ask the
ALDERMAN BAUMAN: There were two fights, however, documented. ALDERMAN PUENTE: Yeah, we got that. All right. Thank you, Alderman. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: I'd like to ask the MR. LERNER: I would ask, if I may - CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Mr. Pfaff, from the license division, is that is that true, looking at the previous tavern supplement, is hip-hop new and DJ new? MR. PFAFF: That's correct.	ALDERMAN BAUMAN: There were two fights, however, documented. ALDERMAN PUENTE: Yeah, we got that. All right. Thank you, Alderman. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: I'd like to ask the
fights, however, documented. ALDERMAN PUENTE: Yeah, we got that. All right. Thank you, Alderman. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: I'd like to ask the MR. LERNER: I would ask, if I may - CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Mr. Pfaff, from the license division, is that is that true, looking at the previous tavern supplement, is hip-hop new and DJ new? MR. PFAFF: That's correct.	fights, however, documented. ALDERMAN PUENTE: Yeah, we got that. All right. Thank you, Alderman. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: I'd like to ask the
ALDERMAN PUENTE: Yeah, we got that. All right. Thank you, Alderman. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: I'd like to ask the MR. LERNER: I would ask, if I may - CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Mr. Pfaff, from the license division, is that is that true, looking at the previous tavern supplement, is hip-hop new and DJ new? MR. PFAFF: That's correct.	ALDERMAN PUENTE: Yeah, we got that. All right. Thank you, Alderman. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: I'd like to ask the
All right. Thank you, Alderman. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: I'd like to ask the MR. LERNER: I would ask, if I may - CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Mr. Pfaff, from the license division, is that is that true, looking at the previous tavern supplement, is hip-hop new and DJ new? MR. PFAFF: That's correct.	All right. Thank you, Alderman. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: I'd like to ask the
CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: I'd like to ask the MR. LERNER: I would ask, if I may - CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Mr. Pfaff, from the license division, is that is that true, looking at the previous tavern supplement, is hip-hop new and DJ new? MR. PFAFF: That's correct.	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: I'd like to ask
MR. LERNER: I would ask, if I may - CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Mr. Pfaff, from the license division, is that is that true, looking at the previous tavern supplement, is hip-hop new and DJ new? MR. PFAFF: That's correct.	16 the
MR. LERNER: I would ask, if I may - CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Mr. Pfaff, from the license division, is that is that true, looking at the previous tavern supplement, is hip-hop new and DJ new? MR. PFAFF: That's correct.	
CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Mr. Pfaff, from the license division, is that is that true, looking at the previous tavern supplement, is hip-hop new and DJ new? MR. PFAFF: That's correct.	MR. LERNER: I WOULD ask, IT I may
the license division, is that is that true, looking at the previous tavern supplement, is hip-hop new and DJ new? MR. PFAFF: That's correct.	O CHATDMAN DITTLOCHTAIL NO DE-SE Soon
looking at the previous tavern supplement, is hip-hop new and DJ new? MR. PFAFF: That's correct.	,
hip-hop new and DJ new? MR. PFAFF: That's correct.	
MR. PFAFF: That's correct.	
That's correct. Okay. Now, go ahead.	
MR. LERNER: We do We do not	

00049 1 request any hip-hop. If it was put in there, it 2 wasn't meant to be. 3 THE APPLICANT: I filled out the form, 4 and it's got to be a mistake, because I did not 5 request hip - - hip-hop at all for that club. In 6 fact, we even - - We even have a jukebox in there 7 where we've absolute - - we've absolutely taken 8 the music and screened it before it's on there. 9 So - -10 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: How - - How could 11 you make a mistake on there - -12 ALDERMAN BAUMAN: And last year has 13 hip-hop checked. 14 THE APPLICANT: Well, then - - Well, 15 then it's a mistake on my part. Because I have 16 never - - never condoned hip-hop in the place. 17 And since the place has been - - And about the 18 DJ, since the place - -19 MR. LERNER: I'm going to ask that 20 Alderman Bauman be told to - - He doesn't have to 21 gesture while everything is going on here. I'm -22 - I don't think it's appropriate, with all due 23 respect. 2.4 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: So noted.

THE APPLICANT: And there's - - Since I

25

00050 bought the place in 2002, and there was a DJ 1 there. So I don't know what the meaning of DJ is, but there's someone who plays music for the 4 dancers. So I'm not sure what is meant by DJ. 5 It's a different interpretation that I'm thinking 6 of. But it's - - It's obviously a mistake, 7 because hip-hop was - - was something that I don't even - - I don't do at all. 8 9 MR. SCHRIMPF: Mr. Chairman. 10 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Mr. Schrimpf. 11 MR. SCHRIMPF: Thank you. Mr. Pfaff, 12 are you examining the documents as filed by the 13 licensee? 14 MR. PFAFF: Yes, I am. 15 MR. SCHRIMPF: And is hip-hop checked? 16 MR. PFAFF: It's checked for the 17 current application, and it's checked in the 18 previous license period. 19 MR. SCHRIMPF: And is DJ checked? 20 MR. PFAFF: We have a change in form 21 types. It's not checked in the previous license 22 year, but it is checked for this application. 23 MR. SCHRIMPF: Is that instrument 2.4 signed by anyone? 25 MR. PFAFF: By Donald Raffaelli.

00051	
1	MR. SCHRIMPF: Is it signed under oath?
2	MR. PFAFF: It is.
3	MR. SCHRIMPF: Mr. Chairman, perhaps a
4	copy of that document should be made a part of
5	the record of the proceedings?
6	ALDERMAN BOHL: So moved.
7	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: A copy of the
8	tavern supplement will be made a part of the
9	permanent record, being moved by Alderman Bohl.
10	Hearing
11	ALDERMAN BOHL: Mr. Chairman, that
12	would be that would be the tavern supplement
13	from last year, as well as this year.
14	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: The tavern
15	supplement last year, as well as this year.
16	Hearing no objections, so ordered. Now I have
17	two questions, sir. So you've been operating
18	this place for how how many years?
19	THE APPLICANT: 2002.
20	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Okay. And you've
21	always had a DJ.
22	THE APPLICANT: There's always been a
23	DJ there playing music for the for the
24	ladies. Correct.
25	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: So

00052	
1	THE APPLICANT: There never has not
2	been one there since I've been there.
3	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: So it appears to
4	me that you were operating that part of it
5	illegally in the past, and now you want to
6	straighten that out?
7	THE APPLICANT: No, I I really
8	don't know what you're talking about as far as a
9	DJ. I'm not understanding what
10	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Inadvertently.
11	You have to You have to say that you want to
12	have a disc jockey in order to have a disc
13	jockey. It has to be part of your tavern
14	supplement and it wasn't in the past.
15	THE APPLICANT: I don't know. I'm
16	confused about the DJ portion of it.
17	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: And I And I'm
18	not I'm not accusing you purposely of
19	breaking the law. I think that this is You
20	took over the place in '02?
21	THE APPLICANT: Correct.
22	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: And there was a DJ
23	at the time?
24	THE APPLICANT: Correct.
25	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: You just
	-

00053	
1	THE APPLICANT: Just kept doing
2	whatever I was doing. Whatever I was doing, they
3	were doing before. And by checking something and
4	not checking something, I didn't intend to change
5	anything from what we were doing in 2002.
6	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: My other question
7	was, do you wish, as we proceed here, do you wish
8	to amend your application in any way before we
9	proceed?
10	MR. LERNER: We would certainly like to
11	remove the request for hip-hop. I haven't seen
12	the license. I haven't seen the form, so it's
13	hard without If I could see it, if we could
14	look at it, we could tell you if if there
15	were other
16	THE APPLICANT: I didn't know I was
17	requesting hip-hop.
18	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Okay.
19	THE APPLICANT: So, I guess, I would
20	like to change it.
21	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Okay. Did you
22	sign the form?
23	THE APPLICANT: Yes, I did.
24	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: I think I would
25	have kind of read it before I signed it. Who

00054	
1	checked the boxes then?
2	THE WITNESS: I quess I I would
3	have checked the box, yeah. I don't recall, like
4	I said. I would not have signed up for hip-hop
5	or DJs. It was just part of the
6	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: So the application
7	is Can we do that verbally, Mr. Schrimpf?
8	MR. SCHRIMPF: If it's executed under
9	oath, I think there's going to be a new
10	application.
11	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Then what.
12	MR. SCHRIMPF: What?
13	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Can he amend this
14	application to remove the hip-hop?
15	MR. SCHRIMPF: Well, he can He can
16	amend it on the record, but I think it should be
17	followed up with a written instruments.
18	Otherwise, we have to keep a transcript with the
19	file.
20	MR. LERNER: We will do that.
21	ALDERMAN BAUMAN: And no disc jockey
22	and no exotic dancers, as well?
23	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Well, what I'm
24	trying to do here is I'm trying to So as not
25	to complicate things. I'm just trying to compare

00055	
1	an apple with an apple have. And although the
2	an apple with an apple here. And although the -
3	- you have the disc jockey checked as new, you've
4	been doing that in the past. THE APPLICANT: When I took over the
5	
	club in 2002, nothing has changed as far as the
6	disc jockey, and I'm I'm confused Excuse
7	me. I'm sorry.
8	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: I don't Oh, go
9	ahead. I mean, I don't know how the rest of the
10	committee feels, but I think that it's just been
11	advertently stated.
12	ALDERMAN BOHL: Mr. Chairman.
13	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: The rest of the
14	committee can decide what right means, Alderman
15	Bohl.
16	ALDERMAN BOHL: Mr. Raffaelli?
17	THE APPLICANT: Yes, sir.
18	ALDERMAN BOHL: Have you been the
19	You were the owner. You were not the agent. Is
20	that correct?
21	THE APPLICANT: I am the owner. My
22	son's the agent.
23	ALDERMAN BOHL: You You are the
24	gentleman, though, who is responsible for pulling
25	out the the tavern application and the tavern

00056	
1	supplement application. Is that right?
2	THE APPLICANT: Yes, I did, yes.
3	ALDERMAN BOHL: And you've done that
4	for years. Correct?
5	THE APPLICANT: Yes, I did.
6	ALDERMAN BOHL: You indicate that you
7	have not had hip-hop, and that that was
8	inadvertent in terms of the listing of of
9	that on your on your supplement?
10	THE APPLICANT: Yes, it Yes, it
11	was.
12	ALDERMAN BOHL: So it was inadvertent
13	this year. Last year it was inadvertent, as
14	well, too. Correct?
15	THE APPLICANT: I assume, if that's
16	what this says from last year.
17	ALDERMAN BOHL: I Mr. Chairman, I'm
18	going to, at this point, move to make the the
19	application from even 2003 part of the record,
20	and the tavern supplement, and what I want to say
21	is at this point in 2003 it was it was
22	actually Sean Raffaelli who had the signature
23	here that year.
24	THE APPLICANT: Okay.
25	ALDERMAN BOHL: It did not have the

00057	
1	check at that point in terms of type of music and
2	had to actually be written in by hand. There are
3	two listed type of music. Rock and Roll and hip-
4	hop. I'd be more than happy to show you, Mr.
5	Raffaelli, because I'm going to ask you a
6	question on whether or not this is your
7	handwriting here.
8	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman Bohl
9	moves to make the application for the year 2003
10	part of the permanent record. Hearing no
11	objections, so ordered.
12	ALDERMAN WADE: Mr. Chair.
13	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman Wade.
14	ALDERMAN WADE: Sir, do you play hip-
15	hop at your at your establishment?
16	THE APPLICANT: I have a DJ, and I'm
17	not really The DJ question, again.
18	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: So just
19	Alderman Bohl, just stay there. Alderman Wade,
20	go ask your question again.
21	ALDERMAN WADE: Do you play hip-hop at
22	your establishment?
23	THE APPLICANT: I $-$ - I'm not exactly
24	sure what hip-hop is. If it's the music where
25	those gangsters and killing and all that stuff, I

00058 do not. I mean, they don't - - If you hear music 1 where somebody is going to say cursing and they're going to kill people and shoot people, 4 then the answer is no. 5 ALDERMAN WADE: Okay. Obviously, Mr. 6 Chair, we have a cultural divide. 7 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Correct me if I'm 8 wrong, Alderman Wade. There's gospel hip-hop, 9 too, isn't there? 10 ALDERMAN WADE: There is. So, you 11 know, I don't think we're going to be able to 12 address my question. But, I mean, because, you 13 know. You know, personally I think it's going to 14 be difficult for you to have dancers dancing 15 without hip-hop music the way dance establishment 16 and dance trends are in the country right now. 17 So I'm assuming that you probably do play hip-hop 18 music. 19 THE APPLICANT: Okay. 20 ALDERMAN WADE: Because your dancers 21 are probably younger people, and younger people 22 are of the hip-hop generation. So I doubt if 23 they're stripping to, you know - -2.4 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Tony Bennett.

ALDERMAN WADE: Yeah. But the reason

25

why I ask that is because I think that if you check hip-hop music or not, it may be a spot on there for Top 40, and if you check Top 40, then within that Top 40 range there will be some hip-hop music in that range. So you may want to consider that piece of it, if you want to continue to do what you try to do, depending on what the will is of this body, that you could check Top 40, and it could include the latest hottest hip-hop records that are out, which is probably what some of the dancers probably enjoy dancing to. So you might want to consider that when you're doing your check list.

THE APPLICANT: I certainly will look over the application a little closer before I check any boxes.

 $\label{eq:ALDERMAN WADE: I can't imagine them} $$\operatorname{dancing to} -- \operatorname{Well.}$

THE APPLICANT: Well, actually, you get a very eclectic mix of people in the club. You get people on Saturday night, couples coming over from Coerpers for after dinner, come over. And we have bachelorette parties where girls want to come in and have their own party before they get married. And so, the group of people that come

00060	
1	in there is very eclectic. I mean, it's just
2	ALDERMAN WADE: Okay.
3	THE APPLICANT: You just don't ever
4	know on a particular night what's going to come
5	in the club.
6	ALDERMAN BAUMAN: People armed.
7	ALDERMAN WADE: All right.
8	THE APPLICANT: No, people cannot come
9	in armed, because everybody is patted down before
10	they come into the club. So, male and female is
11	patted down, as opposed to Mr. Bauman's
12	statement. People are not armed. In fact,
13	people have told me they come there because they
14	feel comfortable that people are patted down.
15	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman Bohl, you
16	had a question? Do you want it put on the
17	record?
18	ALDERMAN BOHL: I just Mr.
19	Raffaelli, I I know that I showed you the
20	tavern supplement from 2003. You indicated to me
21	that this was not your this was not your
22	printing in terms of the type of music. Is
23	that
24	THE APPLICANT: (Sean) That's my
25	signature. That is not my printing.

00061	
1	ALDERMAN BOHL: Do you know who might
2	have made the who might have written the type
3	of music there?
4	THE APPLICANT: (Sean) It could have
5	been the owner. It could have been the manager.
6	
7	ALDERMAN BOHL: So, you know, the
8	the type of music, because there is a diversity
9	within hip-hop, I think I I like dance
10	rhythm. I like a fair amount of hip-hop. I
11	think a lot of it is just pure garbage, and I
12	think that it's it's very difficult when one
13	tries to typecast and say that hip-hop is all
14	associated necessarily with gangster rap. But
15	that being said, the the fact that you had
16	this on here isn't so disturbing to me, as the
17	fact that I believe that you two have been lying
18	before this committee.
19	THE APPLICANT: That's absolutely not
20	true.
21	ALDERMAN BOHL: Well, you know what?
22	I'm I'm entitled to my opinion.
23	THE APPLICANT: I'm sorry.
24	ALDERMAN BOHL: And I'm expressing it
25	to you that I believe that you have lied. And,

00062 1 you know, it's just for me when one lies about - - When somebody lies to me about one thing, it makes me question what else they're telling the 4 truth about. It just does. It question - - It 5 provides for me a question of credibility. You 6 know, I understand that hip-hop has - - has 7 certainly had a bad name. It's like the - - the big "L" word, the liberal one. Nobody - - Nobody 8 9 wants to say that they're a liberal anymore. 10 They - - They run from that and they're all 11 progressive, and, perhaps, you know, hip-hop's 12 going to come up with some new name to it. But 13 the fact is you just - - year in and year out, I 14 just fail to believe that somebody inadvertently 15 blindly, you know, just checked and put their 16 signature on and didn't even know what they were 17 checking, year in and year out. I mean, if it 18 had happened once, that would have been one 19 thing. It happened year in, year out, and the 20 fact is is even one of the years it was handwritten out. So don't tell me you just 21

22

23

2.4

25

three, four, five categories of music checked off including dance, pop, hip-hop, jazz, rap and techno. This new year's app - - The application for the current renewal only hip-hop and the jazz are the same. Classic R&B and classic rock have been added. Dance pop is not checked off. Rap is not checked off. Techno is not checked off. So it indicates to me, a very deliberate process of filling out the form, rather than just a random casual process. A very deliberate studying and a very conscious administration of the filling out of this form, rather than just inadvertence.

CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Okay. We've established that. Now, do you have a comment on this?

MR. LERNER: I do. I don't believe that Mr. Raffaelli willfully misrepresented any facts. What he certainly meant was that the gangster rap that people don't like, as he sort of indicated, is what he, in his mind, takes to mean what is what would not be appropriate. And — — And I think the Chair has already said, at least in his mind, that he believes that this was inadvertent error, and if I — — if I — — If I'm

```
00064
1
          - - If I'm recalling correctly.
                   CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: I want to ask {\tt Mr.}
          Raffaelli a question. Did you fill out the form
 4
          for this year?
 5
                    THE APPLICANT: Yes, I did.
                   CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Okay. When you
 6
 7
          - - You're under oath now.
 8
                    THE APPLICANT: Yes, I am.
 9
                   CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: When you filled
10
          out that form for this year, did - - were you
11
          looking at last year's form when you filled out
12
          this year's form?
13
                    THE APPLICANT: I had - - I had last
14
          year's form with me. But I was - - I don't
          recall looking at it. I recall just filling it
15
16
          out. And I think it might have changed. It
17
          might not have changed. I don't know. And I
18
          also understand I'm under oath, and I'm - - and
19
          I'm - - I'm not lying under oath as - - as
20
          opposed to - -
21
                   CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: The reason I asked
22
          that question, and - - and - - Well, you didn't
23
          help me in one way or another with your answer.
2.4
                   THE APPLICANT: Yeah, I don't - - I - -
25
          Right.
```

00065	
1	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: But the reason I
2	asked that question is because if I I could
3	understand if somebody is filling that form out
4	and you have music that's on the borderline of
5	this or that, you say, gee, I can't remember if I
6	checked that last year. Just to be safe, I'll
7	check this one. But if you're actually looking
8	at the form from the previous year, and then you
9	were checking the boxes off while looking at that
10	one, then I would question why you were changing.
11	THE APPLICANT: Yeah. But there's no
12	intention to change anything on it.
13	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Okay.
14	MR. LERNER: Can we make make a
15	presentation?
16	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Go ahead.
17	MR. LERNER: I would like to give to
18	each of you.
19	ALDERMAN BAUMAN: I'm going to object
20	to the relevancy of the first item.
21	MR. LERNER: It's to
22	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Well, let Let
23	me Well, let's see it.
24	ALDERMAN BAUMAN: Totally irrelevant.
25	MR. LERNER: Hear me out, first.

CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: I want to see it, first. I'll tell you what. On this side of the table, you are only going to talk at one time. Otherwise, I I am It's noon, and I'm going to break for lunch. I've been known to take three hour lunches. Then you're all going to sit here. The only guy that's going to benefit is the lawyers who are being paid by the hour. So you only can have one person at a time on that side of the table, and we'd sure appreciate it. Plus, you're upsetting the Court Reporter. ALDERMAN BAUMAN: And I might add the letter is also hearsay and is inadmissible. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: We will ALDERMAN BAUMAN: Ms. Moverly could be subject to cross-examination by me. She is not here. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Yeah, we will not accept the letter. MR. LERNER: Ms. Moverly is not here because of medical reasons. We heard testimony of fathers and other people, and friends and neighbors and - ALDERMAN BAUMAN: I object and asked	00066	
table, you are only going to talk at one time. Otherwise, I I am It's noon, and I'm going to break for lunch. I've been known to take three hour lunches. Then you're all going to sit here. The only guy that's going to benefit is the lawyers who are being paid by the hour. So you only can have one person at a time on that side of the table, and we'd sure appreciate it. Plus, you're upsetting the Court Reporter. ALDERMAN BAUMAN: And I might add the letter is also hearsay and is inadmissible. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: We will ALDERMAN BAUMAN: Ms. Moverly could be subject to cross-examination by me. She is not here. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Yeah, we will not accept the letter. MR. LERNER: Ms. Moverly is not here because of medical reasons. We heard testimony of fathers and other people, and friends and neighbors and	1	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: I want to see it,
Otherwise, I I am It's noon, and I'm going to break for lunch. I've been known to take three hour lunches. Then you're all going to sit here. The only guy that's going to benefit is the lawyers who are being paid by the hour. So you only can have one person at a time on that side of the table, and we'd sure appreciate it. Plus, you're upsetting the Court Reporter. ALDERMAN BAUMAN: And I might add the letter is also hearsay and is inadmissible. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: We will - ALDERMAN BAUMAN: Ms. Moverly could be subject to cross-examination by me. She is not here. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Yeah, we will not accept the letter. MR. LERNER: Ms. Moverly is not here because of medical reasons. We heard testimony of fathers and other people, and friends and neighbors and		first. I'll tell you what. On this side of the
going to break for lunch. I've been known to take three hour lunches. Then you're all going to sit here. The only guy that's going to benefit is the lawyers who are being paid by the hour. So you only can have one person at a time on that side of the table, and we'd sure appreciate it. Plus, you're upsetting the Court Reporter. ALDERMAN BAUMAN: And I might add the letter is also hearsay and is inadmissible. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: We will ALDERMAN BAUMAN: Ms. Moverly could be subject to cross-examination by me. She is not here. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Yeah, we will not accept the letter. MR. LERNER: Ms. Moverly is not here because of medical reasons. We heard testimony of fathers and other people, and friends and neighbors and	3	table, you are only going to talk at one time.
take three hour lunches. Then you're all going to sit here. The only guy that's going to benefit is the lawyers who are being paid by the hour. So you only can have one person at a time on that side of the table, and we'd sure appreciate it. Plus, you're upsetting the Court Reporter. ALDERMAN BAUMAN: And I might add the letter is also hearsay and is inadmissible. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: We will ALDERMAN BAUMAN: Ms. Moverly could be subject to cross-examination by me. She is not here. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Yeah, we will not accept the letter. MR. LERNER: Ms. Moverly is not here because of medical reasons. We heard testimony of fathers and other people, and friends and neighbors and	4	Otherwise, I I am It's noon, and I'm
to sit here. The only guy that's going to benefit is the lawyers who are being paid by the hour. So you only can have one person at a time on that side of the table, and we'd sure appreciate it. Plus, you're upsetting the Court Reporter. ALDERMAN BAUMAN: And I might add the letter is also hearsay and is inadmissible. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: We will ALDERMAN BAUMAN: Ms. Moverly could be subject to cross-examination by me. She is not here. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Yeah, we will not accept the letter. MR. LERNER: Ms. Moverly is not here because of medical reasons. We heard testimony of fathers and other people, and friends and neighbors and	5	going to break for lunch. I've been known to
benefit is the lawyers who are being paid by the hour. So you only can have one person at a time on that side of the table, and we'd sure appreciate it. Plus, you're upsetting the Court Reporter. ALDERMAN BAUMAN: And I might add the letter is also hearsay and is inadmissible. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: We will ALDERMAN BAUMAN: Ms. Moverly could be subject to cross-examination by me. She is not here. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Yeah, we will not accept the letter. MR. LERNER: Ms. Moverly is not here because of medical reasons. We heard testimony of fathers and other people, and friends and neighbors and	6	take three hour lunches. Then you're all going
hour. So you only can have one person at a time on that side of the table, and we'd sure appreciate it. Plus, you're upsetting the Court Reporter. ALDERMAN BAUMAN: And I might add the letter is also hearsay and is inadmissible. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: We will ALDERMAN BAUMAN: Ms. Moverly could be subject to cross-examination by me. She is not here. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Yeah, we will not accept the letter. MR. LERNER: Ms. Moverly is not here because of medical reasons. We heard testimony of fathers and other people, and friends and neighbors and		to sit here. The only guy that's going to
on that side of the table, and we'd sure appreciate it. Plus, you're upsetting the Court Reporter. ALDERMAN BAUMAN: And I might add the letter is also hearsay and is inadmissible. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: We will ALDERMAN BAUMAN: Ms. Moverly could be subject to cross-examination by me. She is not here. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Yeah, we will not accept the letter. MR. LERNER: Ms. Moverly is not here because of medical reasons. We heard testimony of fathers and other people, and friends and neighbors and		benefit is the lawyers who are being paid by the
appreciate it. Plus, you're upsetting the Court Reporter. ALDERMAN BAUMAN: And I might add the letter is also hearsay and is inadmissible. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: We will ALDERMAN BAUMAN: Ms. Moverly could be subject to cross-examination by me. She is not here. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Yeah, we will not accept the letter. MR. LERNER: Ms. Moverly is not here because of medical reasons. We heard testimony of fathers and other people, and friends and neighbors and	9	hour. So you only can have one person at a time
Reporter. ALDERMAN BAUMAN: And I might add the letter is also hearsay and is inadmissible. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: We will ALDERMAN BAUMAN: Ms. Moverly could be subject to cross-examination by me. She is not here. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Yeah, we will not accept the letter. MR. LERNER: Ms. Moverly is not here because of medical reasons. We heard testimony of fathers and other people, and friends and neighbors and	10	on that side of the table, and we'd sure
ALDERMAN BAUMAN: And I might add the letter is also hearsay and is inadmissible. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: We will ALDERMAN BAUMAN: Ms. Moverly could be subject to cross-examination by me. She is not here. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Yeah, we will not accept the letter. MR. LERNER: Ms. Moverly is not here because of medical reasons. We heard testimony of fathers and other people, and friends and neighbors and		appreciate it. Plus, you're upsetting the Court
letter is also hearsay and is inadmissible. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: We will ALDERMAN BAUMAN: Ms. Moverly could be subject to cross-examination by me. She is not here. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Yeah, we will not accept the letter. MR. LERNER: Ms. Moverly is not here because of medical reasons. We heard testimony of fathers and other people, and friends and neighbors and	12	Reporter.
CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: We will ALDERMAN BAUMAN: Ms. Moverly could be subject to cross-examination by me. She is not here. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Yeah, we will not accept the letter. MR. LERNER: Ms. Moverly is not here because of medical reasons. We heard testimony of fathers and other people, and friends and neighbors and	13	ALDERMAN BAUMAN: And I might add the
ALDERMAN BAUMAN: Ms. Moverly could be subject to cross-examination by me. She is not here. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Yeah, we will not accept the letter. MR. LERNER: Ms. Moverly is not here because of medical reasons. We heard testimony of fathers and other people, and friends and neighbors and		letter is also hearsay and is inadmissible.
subject to cross-examination by me. She is not here. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Yeah, we will not accept the letter. MR. LERNER: Ms. Moverly is not here because of medical reasons. We heard testimony of fathers and other people, and friends and neighbors and		CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: We will
here. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Yeah, we will not accept the letter. MR. LERNER: Ms. Moverly is not here because of medical reasons. We heard testimony of fathers and other people, and friends and neighbors and		ALDERMAN BAUMAN: Ms. Moverly could be
19 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Yeah, we will not 20 accept the letter. 21 MR. LERNER: Ms. Moverly is not here 22 because of medical reasons. We heard testimony 23 of fathers and other people, and friends and 24 neighbors and	= :	subject to cross-examination by me. She is not
accept the letter. MR. LERNER: Ms. Moverly is not here because of medical reasons. We heard testimony of fathers and other people, and friends and neighbors and	18	here.
MR. LERNER: Ms. Moverly is not here because of medical reasons. We heard testimony of fathers and other people, and friends and neighbors and		CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Yeah, we will not
because of medical reasons. We heard testimony of fathers and other people, and friends and neighbors and -		accept the letter.
of fathers and other people, and friends and neighbors and		
24 neighbors and		because of medical reasons. We heard testimony
		of fathers and other people, and friends and
25 ALDERMAN BAUMAN: I object and asked		<u> </u>
	25	ALDERMAN BAUMAN: I object and asked

00067	
1	for a ruling, and the ruling has been that the
2	letter is not admissible.
3	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: I I do not
4	wish to accept the letter.
5	MR. LERNER: Okay. As to the the
6	list of of monies that have been spent. I
7	believe it's relevant. It's certainly relevant,
8	following the comments that many members of this
9	committee made on many of the other applications
10	here.
11	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: And you you
12	may speak to that.
13	ALDERMAN BAUMAN: And then they put the
14	quality of the work in question. I will speak to
15	what they did to that building. Then that
16	becomes relevant.
17	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman
18	Alderman Bauman.
19	ALDERMAN BAUMAN: Then the testimony of
20	the neighbors about what it looks like becomes
21	relevant, if they want to put in the cost
22	ALDERMAN DUDZIK: Mr. Chairman Mr.
23	Chairman, are you running this committee or is
24	Alderman Bauman?
25	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: I'm running this

00068	
1	committee.
2	ALDERMAN BAUMAN: Well, Alderman
3	Dudzik, if they want to put in the cost to
4	renovate, then I should be allowed
5	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman Bauman.
6	ALDERMAN BAUMAN: to offer
7	testimony on the quality of the renovation.
8	ALDERMAN DUDZIK: Alderman Alderman
9	Bauman, I totally agree with you, but
10	ALDERMAN BAUMAN: there's a time
11	and place for your objection.
12	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: What What I'd
13	like you to do, because this isn't actually part
14	of the notice. All right. But I I just want
15	you to say real briefly, you you contend here
16	that you spent 389,000 dollars on the building.
17	MR. LERNER: Yes.
18	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Okay.
19	ALDERMAN BAUMAN: Including the
20	purchase price.
21	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: And And we'll
22	comment on the quality on it
23	ALDERMAN BAUMAN: Right.
24	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: or or what
25	the people's opinions are of it, but you're

00069	
1	saying here you spent 389,000 dollars improving
2	the building.
3	MR. LERNER: Not all improvements.
4	Some of it's paying the purchase price.
5	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Okay.
6	MR. LERNER: Okay?
7	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: All right. I see.
8	Thank you.
9	MR. LERNER: What happened is Mr.
10	Raffaelli originally purchased the building in
11	in 2002. I think it might even be better if
12	I left him explain why they did and then in
13	2003 they closed for several months to do
14	extensive remodeling and rebuilding of the
15	building. And
16	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: I don't think we
17	need to get into that, but
18	MR. LERNER: Okay. And
19	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: what So
20	then was anything done substantially from 2003
21	after that major remodeling?
22	MR. LERNER: Then we're An addition
23	in There are some additional costs that have
24	been just done recently. There is this license
25	checker and number 23, that's the item that I

2.4

referred when - - Mr. Raffaelli referred to earlier to - - to the machine to check to the licenses of the people.

Number 24, is for - - is when - - when Mr. Raffaelli got the - - the citations for the incident of December 5, I think, there was some question as to whether he would be eligible or not to - - to have a higher capacity than the capacity that was on the building. And it was suggested by the Assistant City Attorney that we talk to - - that we look into ways to see if the capacity could be increased. And Mr. Raffaelli went to the Department of Neighborhood Services in that regard, and obtained a permit to - - to do certain things. He was told by the Department that he needed to do these fresh air ducts. And

17 – –

ALDERMAN BAUMAN: Relevancy here?
CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: All right. Just hang on a second. Alderman Bohl moves to make this - - this document showing the investment part of the record in this matter. Hearing no objections, so ordered. Okay. Here's where we're going to go with this. I just want to --So --

00071	
1	MR. LERNER: I want
2	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: So So I'm
3	<pre>I'm going to I'm going to take your word that</pre>
4	this amount of money was invested in the
5	building. I understand that probably the
6	objections are that this this was not done in
7	a historical restoration sort of character.
8	ALDERMAN BAUMAN: It's an eyesore.
9	MR. LERNER: He can talk to that. Let
10	Mister I'll let Mr. Raffaelli
11	ALDERMAN BAUMAN: It's an eyesore.
12	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: And that
13	MR. LERNER: I object to him objecting
14	before I
15	ALDERMAN BAUMAN: I object, as well.
16	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: We're in recess.
17	(Whereupon a recess was taken.)
18	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: So having had a
19	little time to think about this, what I'm going
20	to do is I'm going to read the I'm going to
21	read the notice one more time here. And then I'm
22	just going to speak to the subject of relevancy.
23	The notice mentions the police report, which
24	we've covered. And neighborhood objections to
25	loitering, littering, loud music, noise, sales

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and presence of underage, fights and shootings, prostitution, drug activity, disorderly operation of the premises, failure to obtain the required licenses for operation of the premises, and conduct which has an adverse impact on the health, safety or convenience and prosperity of the neighborhood.

Now, I've allowed - - We've brought this into the - - one item into the record which shows an investment of 389,000 dollars, and I think just for the purpose of establishing a substantial investment, that that's okay with me. As far as the commenting on how - - how this money was spent and the subsequent, if you want to call them, improvements or changes to how the place looks, that's not really relevant, because it's not part of the notice here. So we're just going to drop discussion on that completely. So I - - I have allowed you to - - I have allowed you to show our committee that you've made a substantial investment, but beyond that, I don't want to hear anything from either side about how the place appears, because it's not part of the notice.

And going into - - We're going to speak

00073 1 one person at a time. I'll decide, just because I'm the committee's chair, not because I'm - -I'm trying to be difficult on anybody today. 4 I'll decide who is going to talk and when they're 5 going to talk. And right now, I would like to 6 - - I want to hear from the - - I'd like to hear 7 a closing statement from the applicant, after which time I'll - - I would like to hear comments 8 9 from Alderman Bauman, and then we'll take this 10 matter into committee and make our 11 recommendations. Okay? Does everybody 12 understand the - -13 MR. LERNER: I understand. May I be 14 heard? Make my - -15 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Go ahead. 16 MR. LERNER: I would like to make my 17 objection to that ruling, if I may stay - - say 18 why. I'll be very brief on saying why. 19 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Go ahead. Tell me 20 why. 21 MR. LERNER: I believe that there is a 22 lot of evidence that ought to come in, that will 23 bear on whether you make a decision, when you 24 make a decision, whether - - what level of 25 discipline you would impose if you decide that

00074 1 some discipline is appropriate. And I believe a lot of the things, including the information about the investment in the building, the efforts 4 that he has made, we'll talk - - we would talk 5 about the efforts he's made to cooperate with 6 Captain Harpol at the district station and with 7 the police, the efforts that he makes to - - to 8 clean up the property on a daily basis, and other 9 things that they have done that - - And about ${\tt Mr.}$ 10 Raffaelli, personally, as a man and as a citizen 11 in this community. They are relevant to what, if 12 anything, you do in this case. And I - - I think 13 we have not had an opportunity to be heard. With 14 all due respect to the Chair, most of this 15 hearing has gone to allowing Mister - - the Alder 16 - - Alderman Bauman to - - to call his witnesses. 17 We have - - Every time we have started or 18 attempted to start, he has continuously 19 interrupted, and we have not been able to make 20 our presentation in any - - in any way. We have 21 a number of facts to present to you that we 22 believe are relevant to your decisions in regard 23 to this matter. And - -2.4 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Okay. And I thank 25

you. But I - - I want to say the amount of money

00075 spent, I don't believe, is relevant to the items 1 contained on this notice. So. MR. LERNER: I - - I would agree, but I 4 believe it is - - it is relevant to what you do. 5 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Well, I - - I have 6 allowed this into the record to show that there's 7 a substantial investment made. MR. LERNER: Okay. If I may, one other 8 9 thing I want to - - If I may. 10 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Go ahead. 11 MR. LERNER: And I was going to do it 12 before - - before the adjournment, but I didn't 13 have a chance. 14 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Okay. 15 MR. LERNER: Alderman Bauman makes a 16 big deal about a shooting on December 26th. We 17 don't believe there was any shooting in the 18 tavern, at all. And the report at paragraph six 19 is - - is to say the least, confusing. First, it 20 says, "We went - - we were dispatched for 'shots 21 fired'." Which was upgraded to a shooting. And then when they say, "Investigation revealed no shooting occurred." However, "casings were found

outside". And then it goes on - - And it goes on

like that. I got the citation that was issued to

22 23 24

00076 Mr. Segal on that incident, which is - - which we 1 paid, because we called the police to come to the premises. It says nothing about a gun. I can 4 read it to you. 5 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Read it to me. 6 MR. LERNER: It says, "Subjects inside 7 of license establishment were fighting, creating a disorderly premise." That is all it says, and 8 9 that's all we were ever told. We - - There was 10 never any claim when I was meeting with the City 11 Attorney's office about these cases, trying to 12 resolve this, of any shooting going on in that 13 tavern. 14 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Okay. So we've 15 established a fight occurred, and there is some 16 shades of gray about the shooting. 17 ALDERMAN PUENTE: Mr. Chair, please, 18 if I may. 19 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman Puente. 20 ALDERMAN PUENTE: Regarding the 21 citation, if I can ask the Sergeant. Sergeant, 22 isn't it not typically that the front of the 23 citation is just a - a small synopsis of what 24 went on, especially as it pertains to the charge,

and then there's a backside to it, a narrative,

00077					
1	if you will, of the citation that gets a little				
2	more lengthy and more descriptive?				
3	SERGEANT ULICKEY: That That is				
4	correct.				
5	ALDERMAN PUENTE: So what he read is				
6	not the complete part of the incident.				
7	MR. LERNER: I				
8	SERGEANT ULICKEY: That's correct.				
9	MR. LERNER: I agree with that.				
10	SERGEANT ULICKEY: That statement that				
11	he read establishes the criteria for the				
12	disorderly premise, and that's all that's				
13	ALDERMAN PUENTE: Right. Probable				
14	cause.				
15	SERGEANT ULICKEY: That's all it needs,				
16	yes.				
17	ALDERMAN PUENTE: All right. Thank				
18	you. I just wanted to make sure the members				
19	realized that.				
20	ALDERMAN BAUMAN: Well, Mr. Chair, if I				
21	could.				
22	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman Bauman.				
23	ALDERMAN BAUMAN: I mean, the applicant				
24	is now challenging a conclusion of the license				
25	investigation unit's report to this committee,				

00078 1 which I'm asking this committee to rely on as a basis to non-renew this license. I think if they're challenging the truth of that, that - -4 we should establish, is that true or not? Was 5 there what - - Is there a police report that 6 indicates there was a shooting or not a shooting? 7 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Sergeant Ulickey, 8 would you read that part of the police report 9 over again and - - and give us your comments on 10 it? 11 SERGEANT ULICKEY: I think I - - If - -12 If I may, Mr. Chair, without going - - I can 13 explain the difference in terms that we use. And 14 it's police jargon is what it boils down to. 15 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Okay. 16 SERGEANT ULICKEY: A "shooting" is 17 normally what we've determined or what we call 18 - - or what we call when somebody gets shot. A 19 "shots fired" is just that. That somebody's out 20 there shooting a gun. So if - - if a call is 21 upgraded to a "shooting," that means that 22 somebody got shot. Once we get there and 23 determine that there is no, again, "shooting"

that occurred, we still make the determination

that shots were fired. And that's what happened

24

00079 1 in this case. A shooting does not mean necessarily that someone just shoots someone. It means - - Or it normally means in our jargon that 4 somebody has been shot. In this case, we get 5 numerous calls or different calls over the radio. 6 We don't know what we have until we get there. I 7 hope that explains it. 8 ALDERMAN BAUMAN: So is it fair - - Is 9 it fair to say, Sergeant, that based on the 10 records kept in the ordinary course of business 11 by the Milwaukee Police Department there was a 12 finding that a shooting - - that shots were fired 13 on this particular occasion at these premises? 14 SERGEANT ULICKEY: Correct. ALDERMAN BAUMAN: Thank you. 15 16 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: And I'm clear on 17 that, and I appreciate that - - that explanation. 18 The shots were fired, as evidenced by the - -19 Well, first the report, and then it was verified 20 by the casings being found. But it could not be 21 found that any person was hit with those shots. 22 Therefore - - And that would be called a 23 shooting. 24 ALDERMAN PUENTE: Mr. Chair. 25 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman Puente.

08000	
1	ALDERMAN PUENTE: Sergeant, isn't it a
2	fact that you do your police report that is
3	before us from a report that is a PP-42, a tavern
4	report, as often referred to in the department?
5	SERGEANT ULICKEY: It's a PA-33.
6	ALDERMAN PUENTE: That's what it is.
7	It's been a while. PA-33. Excuse me. PP-42 is
8	a conveyance for an ambulance. Right?
9	SERGEANT ULICKEY: Yes.
10	ALDERMAN PUENTE: I got to check up on
11	my PPs, I guess. But anyways, the PA-33. And
12	don't you take that information and then
13	transform it onto what we see before us?
14	SERGEANT ULICKEY: That's correct.
15	ALDERMAN PUENTE: Which is, again, a
16	synopsis of of what occurred.
17	SERGEANT ULICKEY: That's correct.
18	ALDERMAN PUENTE: Thank you.
19	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: I would like the
20	At this time I would like applicant and/or
21	his attorney to give us like a closing statement.
22	You can talk about anything that's relative to
23	the notice. I don't want to hear anything about
24	how good or bad the building looks or
25	MR. LERNER: I wasn't going to put in

2.4

anything about how good or bad the building looks. The - - The City approved the building. The architectural plans.

CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: You've established your investment and DNS says it's okay to be operating, so I'm fine with that.

MR. LERNER: I've known Mr. Raffaelli for close to 40 years. He was employed for many years as - - as a national sales manager here in Milwaukee for a large company that is listed on the New York Stock Exchange, and he now still works in sales. He sells advertising for the Milwaukee Journal/Sentinel, and that was the business he was in before - - before he went to work for the Milwaukee Journal/Sentinel.

He - - He bought this business. It was an ongoing business that had been in operation at that location for - - from before the time he moved to Milwaukee in 1968. I remember Ricky's being here when I moved here in 1964. He bought that. He has put substantial amounts of money into the building, into maintaining the building. He has tried to be a good neighbor to all of his neighbors in the - - there. Not one person, including this Alderman, has ever, since he owned

the - - bought the building four years ago, ever come to him and said one word about any of these complaints that are in the - - these papers. Not once did anybody come to him. And I assume they didn't come here, either, because this matter has - - this has been approved. His license has been approved every year up until now. And - - And from the testimony of his witnesses, things are better now than they were before he got there.

And - - And he's making every effort to do a good job. He has this thing to take the pictures. He has security. Has - - has given that security - - He has allowed the police in cases having nothing to do with his - - with his business to review the tapes on the outside in regard to investigations of incidents that have happened in the neighborhood that are not involving him at all or his business.

He has a policy of patting down every person who comes into that bar for weapons, and they're not allowed to come in. He has a clientele that isn't served most place - - in most other places in this City. They may not be - - It may not be where you'd want to go, but there are obviously people who like to go there

and watch - - watch the girls dancing. The overwhelming majority of his patrons are African-Americans who work in jobs. He's willing, if it would help, for instance, to - - to put a 25 year old minimum age to keep out the real young people if they are trying to come in there. He's willing to do that. He's not looking for trouble. He's looking to make - - make money so he can pay - - he has 30 some people who work for him, either as employees or the dancers who work as - - they're independent contractors who work there. He has payroll of over 100,000 dollars for just the employees, not talking about the dancers. These people will be without a job if they're closed.

He has - - He has done everything to bring this place up. When he bought the place and they started looking at it, one of the reasons they - - they did all the - - the refurbishing of the place, and there can be arguments about whether it was a good - - a good job or not, was that there were numerous, numerous building code violations in place when he bought the place that had to be taken care of. He has done everything he can to try and - - and

bring the place up. When it - - He - - When we talked about the idea of increasing the - - increasing the occupancy, he decided eventually not to do that because he didn't want to do that. He doesn't run that kind of business. You will never see in any newspaper or in the, what's it called, The Shepherd Express, or any of those papers that come out, you'll see lots of adds for - - for gentleman's clubs and dancers and all that, you never see. He doesn't run any ads. He doesn't go out looking for to bring in lots of people here.

There were people here who talked about busloads of people coming. That was referring to, I believe, Hoops, when it was in business across the street a number of years ago. No busloads of people, no people come by bus to - - to Ricky's. It's not advertised that way. If you look at the outside, there's no big neon sign or anything else to encourage people to come there. The woman talked about well, there's this back - - this door people were going in. That's the employees' door. Everything is - - is not as terrible as it seems to be. There were - - There were - - There was this, as far as I was

concerned, as a lawyer and as a citizen, I thought the biggest violation was having too many people in there that one time. That was a result of a promotion over the radio by one of the stations to get people to come there. As soon as that incident happened, they stopped that, because he doesn't - - he doesn't need to have all that kind of people in there, or that many to do - - to do a good job and to make a decent living out of that place.

He - - There have been fights in the bar. There have been fights in every bar in the - - in the City at one time or another. Probably including in the - - in the Pfister Hotel or - - or - - or the Metro or whatever. And I don't think a person should lose his license, because he called the police to ask them to come because of the fight. They were - - They were trying to avoid a bigger problem, and so they had - - they called the police to come on both of these occasions.

Now I know there's a lot of concern in the community about these incidents of last weekend, and rightfully so, but this is not the kind of place. He was not violating his license

at the time. He was doing what had - - what he - - what he was legally entitled to do. It seems to me he's, at a minimum, he is entitled to another chance to perhaps a warning. You've given warnings to others today. I've seen warnings to other people for - - that are less likely to be taken care of, I think, than - - than any warnings that he would give - - be given.

But he should not - - He's - - This is a major investment that he's made, and he's going to lose a lot of money if you close him down. And I don't believe it's fair, given that the license has been approved for all these other years, and - - and for now, to say this.

Alderman Bauman, when I called him to try and talk with him, when I finally was able to get through after trying several times, and he didn't return my calls. Said he didn't want a strip - - a strip joint in his neighborhood. That may - - He may not want that. That's not the issue before - - before you today. They lawfully have a license. They've relied on their right to have it. It's not a place that's brand new. This is a place that's been ongoing for - -

1 for 44 years or more. And they should not - -They should not just simply be put out of business, based upon the evidence that you've 4 heard today. We're not - - We can't say that 5 there weren't some violations. We got citations. 6 We negotiated a deal with the City Attorney to -7 - to pay some of them. Two of them were because they called the police. The message you would 8 9 give, if you find that those two are the ones, 10 are a basis for taking away his license, would be 11 that bar owners shouldn't call the police for 12 help if there - - if things are getting out of 13 control in their tavern, because they're going to 14 use it as a basis to take away your license. It 15 seems to me that would be - - that would be 16 counter-productive at - - at best. The other 17 citations for having underage people, we don't -18 - we don't dispute that they were underage, but 19 they did not show any ID that was underage to 20 them, and we believe that in all those cases, and 21 we've never known the names of these individuals, 22 we don't know - - have any way to get a hold of 23 them to find out how they got into the place. We 24 believe they had IDs that were phony, and when 25 the police came in, they dumped them. And that's

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25 - - He has a policy. Why would he put all this money in this business to let a couple - - to let a couple kids come in? What's he going to get out of it?

That woman testified about beer cans. They don't sell any beer by the can. This is a neighborhood that's had a lot of difficulties for many years. I moved to Milwaukee right before the riots in 19 - - in - - in the late 1960s. And 27th Street has been a difficult area for many years. And to blame it on Ricky's, to say that there is prostitution in the area, there's no connection shown. There's absolutely no connection been shown that any prostitution that is in the neighborhood, and I don't doubt that there is, happens because Ricky's is there. I would suspect that the - - My guess is as much as the guess of these - - of the witnesses who testified today or guesses that you would make. My guess is that that Blood Center where people are going in and selling their blood and getting cash, that probably draws more drug traffic problems and - - and - - and prostitution problems than - - than anything that - - that happens at Ricky's. People come to Ricky's.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

There's - - There's just no evidence that - - There's been no evidence that anybody from Ricky's, who has ever been a patron of Ricky's was ever arrested as - - as being a patron of a prostitute in the vicinity of Ricky's at any time, ever. Certainly not this year, and certainly not in any years prior to this. Your job isn't to be - - isn't to just guess, and you need - - there needs to be evidence.

There is - - There is a lot - - There is a lot of emotion involved in all of this, and I appreciate the problem, the difficulties these women are talking about. We appreciate it, and that's why Don does and started, since he's taken over that property, he has taken over and has attempted to keep the area clean. They clean up on a regular, on a daily basis. They clean up in the neighborhood. They cooperate with - - with - - with the police, and - - Mister - - Alderman Bauman brought in these people, but he didn't tell you about the three people who have neighboring businesses who he called and - - and asked about this, and they told him that - - that they were fine with this license being here. And I - -

00090					
1	ALDERMAN BAUMAN: That's an absolute				
2	lie.				
3	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Wait, wait, wait.				
4	ALDERMAN BAUMAN: That's a bald-faced				
5	lie.				
6	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Wait a minute.				
7	ALDERMAN BAUMAN: That's a bald-faced				
8	lie and you know it.				
9	MR. LERNER: I don't know it.				
10	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Wait a minute.				
11	Wait a minute.				
12	MR. LERNER: I don't know it, at all.				
13	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Quiet. I'm going				
14	to throw you all out of here in a minute. Don't				
15	test me anymore. All of you. I'll throw you all				
16	out of here. He's talking. You will get your				
17	turn. One more I'll tell you I'll tell				
18	you what. One more time somebody at this table				
19	talks out of line, and I'm going to hold this				
20	matter to the Call of the Chair, and that's going				
21	to be when hell freezes over. And you can go to				
22	court and talk to any judge you want and say this				
23	committee was unfair to you, and I don't care.				
24	Okay. Please, finish.				
25	ALDERMAN BAUMAN: I'm I				

00091 1 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman Bauman, 2 please be silent. MR. LERNER: We don't - - I don't want 4 to go to - - I hope we don't have to go to court. 5 I don't want to go to court. I want to - - I 6 want this business to be able to continue. If 7 you feel some sort of warning is necessary, I 8 believe - - I - - I can't say that's inappropriate. I - - I - - Even though I think 9 10 that Don Raffaelli knows what he has to do, and 11 he knows that - - that things - - that he has a 12 difficult - - there's difficulties in the 13 neighborhood, and there's difficulty with the 14 Alderman. There's absolutely no doubt about it. 15 There is - - My understanding, there is 16 some building of new apartments going on on 27th 17 Street. The builders of those apartments must 18 know that Ricky's is right around the corner, and 19 they don't - - They obviously don't feel that 20 that it is such a detriment to the neighborhood 21 that they won't be able to rent or sell those 22 apartments. 23 Mr. Raffaelli is - - wants to run a 2.4 legitimate business. I think I'm probably 25 getting repetitious. I think it's important that

00092 1 I repeat that .. He is not out here to - - to pull the wool over anybody's eyes. He wants to run a legitimate business. He believes he is 4 doing the best he can, and he is doing well at 5 running the business. There are many, many 6 places where - - I've been to Brew City, and I've 7 been to other bars on Water Street, and there 8 have been plenty of fights in those bars, but 9 they don't seem to be held to the same standard, 10 because - - because they're sort of yuppie 11 places, and they don't have the clientele that 12 Mister - - Mr. Raffaelli has at - - a this place. 13 And, therefore, I ask that - - that his license 14 be re - - that the license be renewed. 15 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Thank you. 16 Alderman Bauman, please be brief in your closing. 17 ALDERMAN BAUMAN: Thank you, Mr. 18 Chair. First - - First I'm going to state 19 it's an absolute bald-faced lie that I 20 contacted any neighboring businesses. I did 21 not contact any neighboring businesses. But 22 I've had numerous discussions over the years with neighborhood residents. And this fac - $\,$ 23 2.4 - this operation has been the scourge of 25 this neighborhood. And it's very

00093	
1	interesting some of the comments that
2	Attorney Lerner has made, which precisely
3	reinforces my point. This perception that
4	the west side has difficulties, so,
5	therefore, it's okay to have a strip club
6	and a hip-hop club, and to have nine
7	citations in one year, six judgments of
8	guilt in one year, and have rampant
9	prostitution in the neighborhood, which they
10	claim has nothing to do with them, of
11	course. That is all okay, because the
12	neighborhood has difficulties. Ms. Fields
13	has lived there since the late '70s. Maybe
14	she should leave now, because obviously this
15	neighborhood has difficulty. Let's chase
16	her out. Let's chase Amy Theisen, who
17	purchased her home on 27th Street, and has
18	invested a far higher percentage of her net
19	worth, I can guarantee you, in her home than
20	Mr. Raffaelli has as a percentage of his net
21	worth. Maybe we can get into a study of
22	whose net worths have been invested to a
23	greater degree in this neighborhood. I
24	don't know. But these are homeowners,
25	stakeholders, people who have invested in

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

this community to live there and pay taxes there. Not to live in Greendale and then come into the City and extract their wealth from the City. We have to hold them to a high standard.

And I love the argument that's given, well, there's fights in every bar in the City. Well, as far as I'm concerned, that argument can stop being made, because we should hold every licensee to the same high standard. If there are two fights in a licensed period verified by police record. If there are shots fired in an establishment verified by the police record. Six convictions and nine citations, that's not every bar in the City. I - - In my two years in office, I have never come before this committee with a record this - - this full of judgments of conviction within a single year, nor citations within a single year. Nine citations, six convictions. Never, not one establish - - Da Jungle, who we gave a 90 day suspension to, had zero citations and zero convictions. So by the standard of Da Jungle that we heard in the 4th District, this should absolutely be a nonrenewal, because they got a 90 day suspension for

00095 no convictions, no citations, and no evidence of 1 there ever being any incidents within the establishment. Here, there's un - - undisputed 4 evidence of incidents within the establishment. 5 Fighting, twice. Gunshots, once. Six citations. 6 Six convictions, nine citations. That's coupled 7 with the neighborhood objections, eyewitness 8 testimony, which also meets the standard. 9 Absolutely has to be consequences to this 10 continuing behavior. We see what happens when 11 there aren't consequences. I do not want to be 12 standing before a microphone and some news media 13 outlet tells me why did you renew that license 14 when there are bodies covered with sheets, 15 sitting outside the door at 26th and State 16 Street. 17 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: This matter is in 18 committee. 19 ALDERMAN BOHL: Mr. Chairman. 20 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman Bohl. 21 ALDERMAN BOHL: Mr. Chairman, at this 22 time I would move for renewal of the Class "B" $\,$ 23 tavern license with a 30 day suspension. I will 24 also move at this point for renewal of the Class 25 "B" - - I'm sorry - - of the tavern amusement

00096 renewal - - I will move for renewal of the 1 tavern amusement cabaret license with the issuance of a 90 day suspension. 4 On my motion, Mr. Chairman, I - - I 5 just want to say a couple things. I - - I - - I 6 take - -7 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Before you go any further. No one at this side of the table can 8 9 talk when we're in committee, okay. There will 10 be no comments from anyone. Continue, Alderman. 11 ALDERMAN BOHL: I - - I have some real 12 concerns regarding some of the comments that were 13 made here by counsel. I understand that there 14 was some testimony of - from residents who said 15 that they were concerns, and that the noise has 16 been mitigated, and that there's been a - - a17 reduction - - a slight reduction in the amount of 18 litter in the neighborhood. What I just will say 19 is if a - - If a person is awoken six times in 20 one year from a sound sleep, that's six times too 21 many. So if it were ten or 15 times the year 22 before, that - - that doesn't mitigate the fact 23 that the concern is - - is - - is such that it 2.4 ought to be brought to bear in terms of the 25 license.

1 The argument was made that no one has 2 come to the applicant to make known the concerns. What I will tell you this much with all due 4 respect is a good operator, the places that takes 5 the burden on themselves and doesn't have to be 6 told that there are problems in their own 7 neighborhood. A good operator is the - - the 8 type of person who is out there and visible at 9 closing time. Will walk out and actually 10 visually make a note of what's going on on the 11 scene, and makes changes to account for problems 12 and does those kind of things pro-actively. 13 Doesn't have to rely on a neighbor to contact them and tell them that the problem has gotten 14 15 this bad. With all due respect, I'm sorry, I 16 call that bad, bad business. That's a bad - -17 That's a problematic operator in my eyes. You 18 know, you're placing the burden on the somebody 19 else to - - to contact you. The fact is, is if 20 your business is - - is creating those problems, 21 you, as a good operator, ought to be out there 22 and ought to be dealing with those things on your 23 own accord, without having to be told that. 24 Without having to have the - - the Alderman 25 contact you. You're the type of operator who

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 2.4

should, when you have those kind of issues, ought to be on the phone with the Alderman saying, "You know what? There was something that went on this weekend. This is what occurred, and I just want to let you know. I want to talk about this issue with you. I want to discuss how we're going to remedy this to insure that that it never happens again." That's what I expect out of my businesses, because the burden and the ball is in your court, not the other way around. That's just the way I see a good operation.

Now I just want to say that I do have some questions in terms of - - I - - I can appreciate that you've gone to - - to doing the photo ID. I will just say it was your testimony that the photo ID was placed in in January, and I noticed that it was in February where there were underage persons that were caught there. You've indicated in your own testimony that - - that you provide pat down searches to every one, and yet there's - - there's - - There may not have been someone shot, but there's - - there's no dispute over the fact that there were gunshots fired in your op - - in your operation.

The issue is is multiple years here

1 that the statement was made we don't provide a certain type of music. You know, I'm not going to get into a dispute over whether - - That's 4 good dance music, I guess, for - - for the type 5 of operation that you provide. But the fact of 6 the matter is is don't come here and say that you 7 didn't check that thing, or that it was 8 inadvertent, and then it goes back multiple, 9 multiple, multiple years. You know, if - - If I 10 was going to agree with - - with the counsel that 11 this operation's gotten better, I wouldn't say 12 that there were three full years of operation 13 where there was not one citation that was 14 written, where there was not one item in the 15 police report, and all of a sudden I noticed that 16 in the past operating - - in the past operating 17 year there were multiple police items, and all of 18 the citations that were written, other than 19 something that was to the background of - - of 20 someone who had some involvement in management here years and years ago. They all came in the 21 last year. So I personally would disagree 22 strongly with - - with - - with the argument that 23 24 was made here. 25

You know, for me this type of operation

00100 1 is - - is frankly hanging on a limb, and - - and if I believe for one moment that there was the ability to yank this license, I would make a 4 motion for non-renewal today. I just don't 5 believe that this committee will support that. I 6 think that you are, in my estimation, hanging on 7 by that much of a threat and that if you get by, 8 by the 9 - - by the nary of your - - of your life, that 10 you ought to employ changes. Not place the 11 burden on the neighbors. Not place the burden on 12 Alderman Bauman. Not say that Alderman Bauman 13 needs to call you in advance. That you ought to 14 self reflect about the way you operate the 15 business, and - - and, you know what? I'm going 16 to say this much in terms of the prostitution. 17 - - I do believe that there is some bearing, and 18 I don't think that you, as an operator who I hope 19 is - - are present during your hours of 20 operation, I'm certain that you, yourself, are 21 aware of what's going on there. Because I just 22 think that you can't turn a blind eye to that. 23 I'm not saying that it's coming directly out of 24 your establishment. But at the very least, I 25 would say that you, as an operator, who are

00101 1 involved enough with the Avenues West Business 2 Association, that you bring this - - this letter here, ought to be going to June Moverly, ought to 4 be sitting down with the Captain of - - of the 5 3rd District Police Station, and ought to be saying, you know what? I don't want this black 6 7 eye to - - to be drawn into the type of business 8 that I'm operating there, because guess what? 9 People are going to make those rightful 10 assumptions. You ought to be actively and pro-11 actively dealing with the Alderman, and the - -12 and the Captain and this business association, 13 saying we want that prostitution out of there, 14 and I'm going to be calling the police department 15 myself actively to say, you know what? I've got 16 nothing to hide. Bring those cops out of there 17 and get this type of activity out of this 18 neighborhood. That's what I would do. And I'm -19 - I'm suggesting if - - if you live to - - to 20 have another day here, that you put that burden 21 on your own shoulders, and that you not say that 22 the Alderman's got to send you a letter, telling 23 you that - - that you ought to do X or you ought

2.4

25

to do Y.

CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Motion by Alderman

00102 1 Bohl is to recommend approval of the renewal of the Class "B" tavern license with a 30 day suspension, and the renewal of the tavern dance 4 supplement license with a 90 day suspension, 5 based on items contained on the police report 6 and - -7 ALDERMAN BOHL: And neighborhood 8 testimony. 9 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: - - neighborhood 10 testimony. 11 ALDERMAN PUENTE: Mr. Chair. 12 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: On the motion, 13 Alderman Puente. 14 ALDERMAN PUENTE: On the motion, I just 15 wanted to make sure that we understand this shots 16 fired, as I will indicate, not a shooting. That 17 Alderman Bohl stated that there was a shooting 18 inside, and again, I'm reading this, and - - and 19 I can't see that. It says, "Investigations 20 revealed no shooting occurred, however, eight 21 nine millimeter casings were found outside the 22 front door of Ricky's." So I don't - - I'm not 23 getting that from this text, that there was a 24 shooting inside. Unless somebody can show me 25 something else in here that says there was a

00103 shooting inside, I don't believe there was. I 1 2 just wanted to clarify that. 3 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Is - -4 ALDERMAN PUENTE: Because it makes a 5 difference to me. 6 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Well, then your 7 interpretation of the - - Your interpretation of 8 the police report is somewhat different than 9 Alderman Bohl's, and his further speaking on the 10 motion. 11 ALDERMAN PUENTE: Or maybe he was 12 speaking - -13 Well, on the motion, I will not be in 14 favor of it. Based on what we've had done in the 15 past with previous similar incidents. I, too, 16 would like to revoke this, but unfortunately, as 17 been stated publicly by Alderman Bauman, other 18 alderman, especially on this committee, that we 19 are governed by State Statutes. We are governed 20 by other rules and regulations that allow us to 21 do certain things under certain instances and 22 certain circumstances. And I, at this point, $\ensuremath{\text{I}}$ 23 don't see that, and I - - I will not be in favor 24 of the motion. Thank you.

ALDERMAN BOHL: Mr. Chairman.

00104 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman Bohl. 1 ALDERMAN BOHL: I just want to be very brief. According to the synopsis in the police 4 report here, it indicates that - - that casings 5 were found outside the front door of Ricky's. It 6 however does also indicate that - - that a fight 7 had started in, which resulted in one patron 8 firing a gun, and that a security camera showed 9 this subject - - Obviously the security camera 10 was from the in - - I'm taking that the security 11 camera showed the subject to be then in the 12 inside. But I will say that it is - - It - - The 13 investigation did show that it was a patron of 14 the establishment who fired a gun. 15 ALDERMAN PUENTE: Oh, absolutely - - I 16 concur with that. 17 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: So I think we're 18 - - I think, at least from what I understand, 19 Alderman Bohl and Alderman Puente are in 20 agreement with the fact it was - - that - - that 21 based on this police report it was a patron 22 firing the gun. We just don't know where the gun 23 was fired. It appears like it may have been 24 fired outside. 25

ALDERMAN BOHL: And my view is - - is

00105						
1	I don't know that I don't know that it's					
2	relevant one way or another. You know, the					
3	shooting that occurred on Old World Third Street					
4	was in the street, not in the club. Two people					
5	were killed. Does it matter whether or not they					
6	would have been killed inside the tavern or not?					
7	ALDERMAN PUENTE: I just want to get					
8	the facts straight. I understand					
9	ALDERMAN BOHL: Sure. I I					
10	understand. Yeah.					
11	ALDERMAN PUENTE: I'm not weighing it					
12	one way or another, Alderman. I just want the					
13	facts out there.					
14	ALDERMAN BOHL: Right.					
15	ALDERMAN PUENTE: And when you're					
16	saying it's inside, I don't believe it is. And					
17	I'm not weighing it one way or or another,					
18	whether someone gets shot inside a tavern or					
19	outside. A homicide's a homicide.					
20	ALDERMAN BOHL: Yeah, I can appreciate					
21	that.					
22	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Any further					
23	discussion on the motion.					
24	ALDERMAN WADE: Mr. Chair.					
25	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman Wade.					

00106 1 ALDERMAN WADE: This is a interesting 2 day at committee. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Sure is. 4 ALDERMAN WADE: We need some lunch. I 5 - - I agree with - - On the motion, I agree with 6 Alderman Puente. It doesn't clearly state to me 7 that the gunshots were inside the establishment. 8 I don't think that's - - that - - I don't draw 9 that conclusion. 10 But on the motion, it's - - it's - -11 It's a part of this that I want to be clear on. 12 There were some things checked off on the 13 operation of the business. I want to make sure 14 that we're clear on exactly what's checked off 15 and what will be allowed and what won't be 16 allowed. And I think maybe the licensing 17 division could help me clarify that. He will be 18 allowed to have a DJ, and he will be allowed to 19 play hip-hop music, or he won't be allowed to 20 play hip-hop music. I need to have some 21 clarification on that piece of it, it - - if, Mr. 22 Chair, if we can. 23 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: It's my 2.4

25

understanding that, verbally, the - - the applicant has amended his tavern dance to remove 00107 hip-hop. However, I believe there was a 1 discussion, although not a decision made, as to whether they wanted to add Top 40, in other 4 words, change hip-hop. Exchange hip-hop for Top 5 40. So what I - - To - - Just to, and I don't -6 - I don't want to get involved in questions and 7 answers with the applicant here. But I would 8 like to see the application amended removing the 9 - - removing the hip-hop and adding Top 40. That 10 would - - That would be my understanding of what 11 would make sense. So if that's the case, 12 subsequently they'll go downstairs and change 13 that application, and we can always - - You can 14 always discuss this at the beginning of the 15 meeting before the Council next week. We can - -16 We can look at the amended application which I 17 believe they're going to take care of. 18 ALDERMAN WADE: Now, about the issue of 19 having a DJ. That's been cleared up. A DJ will 20 be permitted. 21 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: DJ is still on the 22 application, and they have not amended the 23 application to remove the DJ. 2.4 ALDERMAN WADE: Okay. I wanted to be

clear on that piece of it. And as far as the - -

00108 1 the length of the - - the - - the suspension of the 90 days for the cabaret portion of the - - of the license, I do believe that based on the - -4 the information about this establishment, that 5 that is somewhat severe. So because of that 90 6 day - - The other part I do agree with. I think 7 the - - you do need to do a self-assessment, you 8 know, gather your people and look at how you're 9 conducting business, and I'm sure that you will 10 do that. But a 90 day suspension, based on the 11 information that's in front of us, tends to be 12 somewhat severe. So because of that, and - - and 13 not to say that I don't believe you should have a suspension, but 90 days is just a little more 14 15 than I think is fair, based on the information 16 and the testimony that I heard at this time. 17 So because of that I will not be 18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

supporting the motion made by my colleague from the 5th District.

CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Motion is renewal with a 30 day suspension of the Class "B" tavern license, and a renewal with a 90 day suspension of the tavern dance license as amended. Let's take a roll call on that.

CLERK ELMER: Alderman Bohl?

Aye.
derman Puente?
No.
derman Wade?
No.
. Chair?
AK: No.
tion failed. One to
AK: This matter is
Mr. Chair.
AK: Alderman Puente.
I would recommend a
icense, based on the
estimony.
AK: The Class "B" and
Yes.
AK: Motion by Alderman
0 day suspension of the
nd the tavern dance
- as amended, based on
ice report and
lease call the roll on

00110					
1	that.				
2	CLERK ELMER: Alderman Bohl?				
3	ALDERMAN BOHL: No.				
4	CLERK ELMER: Alderman Puente?				
5	ALDERMAN PUENTE: Aye.				
6	CLERK ELMER: Alderman Wade?				
7	ALDERMAN WADE: Aye.				
8	CLERK ELMER: Alderman Witkowiak, Mr.				
9	Chair?				
10	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Aye.				
11	CLERK ELMER: Motion is approved.				
12	Three to one.				
13	MR. SCHRIMPF: Mr. Lerner, and the Mr.				
14	Raffaellis, the committee is going to be doing				
15	findings of fact and conclusions of law				
16	recommending renewal of the entire license,				
17	tavern related as well as the Class "B", with a				
18	30 day suspension. If you choose to contest that				
19	recommendation, you must file written objections				
20	by not later than the close of business, July 26,				
21	2006, with the City Clerk, second floor of this				
22	building.				
23	If you file written objections, then				
24	you will also have the ability to argue the				
25	matter before the Common Council when it meets to				

consider this matter at approximately nine a.m. on July 31st, 2006 in the Common Council Chambers of this building. Understand all that? MR. LERNER: July, what was that? MR. SCHRIMPF: 31st at nine a.m. The 26th for filing objections. MR. LERNER: Yes, I have that. Thank you. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: And if I could just, Mr. Lerner, please please take care of amending that tavern dance thing, because that - that will That does It's just going to complicate things. MR. LERNER: We're going to go right there now. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Thank you. MR. LERNER: Thank you. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Thank you. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Thank you.	00111	
of this building. Understand all that? MR. LERNER: July, what was that? MR. SCHRIMPF: 31st at nine a.m. The 26th for filing objections. MR. LERNER: Yes, I have that. Thank you. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: And if I could just, Mr. Lerner, please please take care of amending that tavern dance thing, because that - that will That does It's just going to complicate things. MR. LERNER: We're going to go right there now. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Thank you. MR. LERNER: Thank you. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Thank you.	1	consider this matter at approximately nine a.m.
MR. LERNER: July, what was that? MR. SCHRIMPF: 31st at nine a.m. The 26th for filing objections. MR. LERNER: Yes, I have that. Thank you. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: And if I could just, Mr. Lerner, please please take care of amending that tavern dance thing, because that - that will That does It's just going to complicate things. MR. LERNER: We're going to go right there now. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Thank you. MR. LERNER: Thank you. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Thank you.		on July 31st, 2006 in the Common Council Chambers
MR. SCHRIMPF: 31st at nine a.m. The 26th for filing objections. MR. LERNER: Yes, I have that. Thank you. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: And if I could just, Mr. Lerner, please please take care of amending that tavern dance thing, because that - that will That does It's just going to complicate things. MR. LERNER: We're going to go right there now. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Thank you. MR. LERNER: Thank you. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Thank you.	3	of this building. Understand all that?
6 26th for filing objections. 7	4	MR. LERNER: July, what was that?
7 MR. LERNER: Yes, I have that. Thank 8 you. 9 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: And if I could 10 just, Mr. Lerner, please please take care of 11 amending that tavern dance thing, because that - 12 - that will That does It's just going to 13 complicate things. 14 MR. LERNER: We're going to go right 15 there now. 16 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Thank you. 17 MR. LERNER: Thank you. 18 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Thank you.	5	MR. SCHRIMPF: 31st at nine a.m. The
8 you. 9 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: And if I could 10 just, Mr. Lerner, please please take care of 11 amending that tavern dance thing, because that - 12 - that will That does It's just going to 13 complicate things. 14 MR. LERNER: We're going to go right 15 there now. 16 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Thank you. 17 MR. LERNER: Thank you. 18 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Thank you.	6	26th for filing objections.
9 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: And if I could 10 just, Mr. Lerner, please please take care of 11 amending that tavern dance thing, because that - 12 - that will That does It's just going to 13 complicate things. 14 MR. LERNER: We're going to go right 15 there now. 16 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Thank you. 17 MR. LERNER: Thank you. 18 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Thank you.	7	MR. LERNER: Yes, I have that. Thank
just, Mr. Lerner, please please take care of amending that tavern dance thing, because that that will That does It's just going to complicate things. MR. LERNER: We're going to go right there now. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Thank you. MR. LERNER: Thank you. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Thank you.		you.
amending that tavern dance thing, because that - 12 - that will - That does - It's just going to 13 complicate things. 14 MR. LERNER: We're going to go right 15 there now. 16 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Thank you. 17 MR. LERNER: Thank you. 18 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Thank you.	9	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: And if I could
- that will That does It's just going to complicate things. MR. LERNER: We're going to go right there now. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Thank you. MR. LERNER: Thank you. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Thank you.	10	just, Mr. Lerner, please please take care of
complicate things. MR. LERNER: We're going to go right there now. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Thank you. MR. LERNER: Thank you. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Thank you.	11	amending that tavern dance thing, because that -
MR. LERNER: We're going to go right there now. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Thank you. MR. LERNER: Thank you. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Thank you.	12	- that will That does It's just going to
there now. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Thank you. MR. LERNER: Thank you. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Thank you.	13	complicate things.
16 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Thank you. 17 MR. LERNER: Thank you. 18 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Thank you.	14	MR. LERNER: We're going to go right
17 MR. LERNER: Thank you. 18 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Thank you.	15	there now.
18 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Thank you.	16	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Thank you.
	17	MR. LERNER: Thank you.
* * * * *	18	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Thank you.
	19	* * * *

0011 1 2 3	2 STATE OF WISCONSIN)
4	MILWAUKEE COUNTY)
5	
6	I, JEAN M. BARINA, of Milwaukee Reporters
7	Associated, Inc., do certify that the foregoing
8	transcript was reduced to writing under my direction
9	and that it is a true and accurate transcription of
10	the Licenses Committee Hearing held on July 18, 2006.
11	
12	
13	
	JEAN M. BARINA - COURT REPORTER
14	
	Dated this day of July, 2006
15	
16	