Facility Name: Milwaukee City	Last Updated: Reporting Year: 2005
	6/30/2006

Financial Management **Points** Questions Person Providing This Financial Information Name: Nader Jaber (414) 286-0514 Telephone: E-Mail Address(optional): njaber@mpw.net Are User Charge or other Revenues sufficient to cover O&M Expenses for your wastewater treatment plant AND/OR collection system ? 0 2. Yes (0 points) 0 No (40 points) If No, please explain: 0 When was the User Charge System or other revenue source(s) last reviewed and/or revised? 3 Year: 2004 • 0-2 years ago (0 points) 0 3 or more years ago (20 points) 0 Not Applicable (Private Facility) Did you have a special account (e.g., CWFP required segregated Replacement Fund, etc.) or financial resources available for repairing or replacing equipment for your wastewater treatment 0 4. plant and/or collection system? Yes 0 No (40 points) REPLACEMENT FUNDS(PUBLIC MUNICIPAL FACILITIES SHALL COMPLETE QUESTION 5) Equipment Replacement Funds 5. 0 5.1 When was the Equipment Replacement Fund last reviewed and/or revised? Year: 2004 8 1-2 years ago (0 points) 0 3 or more years ago (20 points) O Not Applicable Explain: 5.2 Equipment Replacement Fund Activity \$0.00 5.2.1 Ending Balance Reported on Last Year's CMAR: \$0.00 5.2.2 Adjustments if necessary (e.g., earned interest, audit correction, withdrawal of excess funds, increase making up previous shortfall, etc.) 5.2.3 Adjusted January 1st Beginning Balance \$0.00 **5.2.4** Additions to Fund (e.g., portion of User Fee, earned interest, etc.) \$870,800.00

	Last Updated: 6/30/2006	Reporting Yea			
nancial Management (Continued)					
5.2.5 Subtractions from Fund (e.g., equipment replacement, ma - use description box 5.2.5.1 below*.)	ajor repairs -	\$870,800.00			
5.2.6 Ending Balance as of December 31st for CMAR Report	ting Year	\$0.00			
(All Sources: This ending balance should include all Equipment Funds whether held in a bank account(s), certificate(s) of depositions.	sit, etc.)				
	*5.2.5.1. Indicate adjustments, equipment purchases and/or major repairs from 5.2.5 above				
In 2005, the City of Milwaukee budgeted \$569,800 for equ (vac-cons, dump trucks, etc.), and \$301,000 for sanitary by					
5.3 What is the minimum required replacement fund balance? (If	you had a CWFP	loan, this			
balance was originally based on the Financial Assistance Agreen updated in subsequent years.) \$1.00					
5.3.1 Is the Dec. 31 Ending Balance equal to or greater than the fund balance (#5.3)?	minimum required	replacement			
O Yes					
No Explain: Not applicable. The City of Milwaukee does not have a fun	nd for equipment re	eplacement.			
Rather, equipment replacement is budgeted for on an ann	ual basis as part o	of our annual			
O&M budget.					
Future Planning		·			
6.1 During the next ten years, will you be involved in formal plann	ning for upgrading.	rehabilitating			
or new construction of your treatment facility or collection system	?				
Yes (If yes, please provide major project information)No					
Project Description	Estimated Cost	Approximate Construction			
		Year Year			
The City of Milwaukee has an ongoing sewer replacement	\$3,800,000.00	2006			
program. From 2006 to 2011, our six year capital Improvement Program is \$175.5 million. This amount is for replacement of the					
City's combined, sanitary and storm sewers. Of that amount, approximately \$3,800,000 is budgeted for the sanitary sewer	Water State				
system rehabilitation each year.					
Financial Management General Comments:					
The City's budget is based upon the calendar year (Janua.	ry 1st to Decembe	ır 31et\			
The City's budget is based upon the calendar year (Jahua)	ry racto Decembe	1 3 1 3 0			
THE CONTRACT OF THE CONTRACT O		KS977KCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC			

Facility Name: Milwaukee City	Last Updated:	Reporting Year: 2005
	6/30/2006	

Financial Management (Continued)

Total Points Generated 0	
Score (100 - Total Points Generated) 100	5
Section Grade A	

Facility Name: Milwa	aukee City Last Updated: Reportin 7/3/2006	g Year: 2005
Sanitary Sewer Collec	tion Systems	
	Questions	Points
1. Do you have a WPDES permi	Capacity, Management, Operation & Maintenance(CMOM) requirement in your t?	
0	Yes No	
	a <u>documented</u> (written records/files, computer files, video tapes, etc.) sanitary sewer em operation & maintenance or CMOM program last calendar year?	0
•	Yes (go to question 3) No (30 points) (go to question 4)	
3. Check the eler CMOM progra	nents listed below that are included in your Operation and Maintenance (O&M) or m.:	
	Goals: specific identification of major goals of your O&M/CMOM program such as I/I reduction, basement backup and SSO reductions, repair and rehabilitation of sewers, system cleaning and monitoring, etc.	
	Organization: identification of those managers and persons who are responsible for implementing your O&M/CMOM program and reporting sanitary sewer overflows	
	Legal Authority: sufficient authority, through sewer use ordinances, service agreements or other legally binding documents to control infiltration/inflow sources, proper design, construction, inspection and testing of new and rehabilitated sewers and laterals and address flows from satellite collection systems, if present.	
	Maintenance Activities: routine preventative O&M activities, including adequate maintenance of facilities and equipment. By the use of: sewer system monitoring; inspections; a system to identify infiltration/inflow sources (including private property); a system for replacement part inventories; control of fat, oil & grease; employee training program; and a management system for the collection and use of information to establish O&M priorities	
	Design and Performance Standards: establish requirements and standards for design, installation and inspection of new sewers, service laterals, pump stations and sewer rehabilitation projects.	
	Overflow Emergency Response Plan: documented procedures for responding to SSOs, power outages, lift station failures sewer blockages or any other similar events of an emergency nature.	
	Capacity Assurance: a program to assess the current capacity of the collection system to identify problems or bottlenecks; and if required, a System Evaluation and Capacity Assurance Plan (SECAP).	
	Annual Self-Auditing of your O&M/CMOM Program to ensure above components are being implemented, evaluated, and re-prioritized as needed.	
	Special Studies (if applicable): any special studies undertaken such as I/I Analysis, Sewer System Evaluation Surveys (SSES), or sewer pipe studies.	

Please list the study reports of the last year below:

Facili	ty Name: Milwaukee City	Last U 7/3/20	pdated: Reportir 06	ng Year: 2005
Sanita	amount of I/I in sanitary sewers report was submitted to WDNF Report." Additionally, in 2005 w foundation drains in a sanitary pre-disconnection flow amount	per an MMSD grant, conducted a study near stormwater detention facilities. A as part of our "2005 Municipal Stormw e started a project to study the affects of sewer system. Flow monitors were instant s. In the summer 2006, we are planning I upon completion, post-disconnection f	copy of this study's ater Permit Annual of disconnecting alled to obtain to award a contract to	
4.		n system maintenance program include e all that apply and indicate the amount		
	Cleaning Root Removal Flow Monitoring Smoke Testing	38.6 % of system/year 10 % of system/year 9.2 % of system/year 4.8 % of system/year		
A PARTY OF THE PAR	Sewer Line Televising Manhole Inspections Lift Station O&M	3.9 % of system/year		
Absolute the Absolute of the A	Manhole Rehabilitation	# per L.S/year 3.7 % of manholes rehabed		A COLUMN TO STATE OF THE STATE
VORTER RETURN AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN	Mainline Rehabilitation Private Sewer Inspections	0.43 % of sewer lines rehabed 0 % of system/year		

cility Name: Milwauk	ee City Last Updated: 7/3/2006	Reporting Year: 2005
nitary Sewer Collection	n Systems (Continued)	
Private Sewer I/I		
	dditional comments about your sanitary sewer collection system belo	
	aukee has a separate sanitary sewer collection system and a combin. The information provided above relates only to work performed in system.	
Provide the follow	ing collection system and flow information for the past year:	70
25.75	Total Actual Amount of Precipitation Last Year	
34	Annual Average Precipitation (for your location)	# # #
935	Miles of Sanitary Sewer	AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER
5	Number of Lift Stations	CLASSACE AND COMMON AN
	Number of Lift Station Failure	
0	Number of Sewer Pipe Failures	
7	Number of Sanitary Sewer OverFlow (SSO) Occurrences:(10 point occurrence)	s per
45	Number of Basement Backup Occurrences	
1698	Number of Complaints	
	Average Daily Flow in MGD	
	Peak Monthly Flow in MGD(if available)	
	Peak Hourly Flow in MGD(if available)	A MANAGEMENT
PERFORMANCE	EINDICATORS	
0.00	Lift Station Failures(failures/ps/year)	A SOCIAL PROPERTY AND
0.00	Sewer Pipe Failures(pipe failures/sewer mile/yr)	de rooms en

Facility Name: Milwaukee City Last Updated 7/3/2006	i: Reporting Year: 2005
Sanitary Sewer Collection Systems (Continued)	
0.01 Sanitary Sewer Overflows (number/sewer mile/yr)	
0.05 Basement Backups(number/sewer mile)	
1.82 Complaints (number/sewer mile)	
Peaking Factor Ratio (Peak Monthly:Annual Daily Average)	
Peaking Factor Ratio(Peak Hourly:Annual daily Average)	
Was infiltration/inflow(I/I) significant in your community last year?	
O Yes • No	
If Yes, please describe:	
7. Has infiltration/inflow and resultant high flows affected performance or created collection system, lift stations, or treatment plant at any time in the past year?	problems in your
O Yes	
No If Yes, please describe:	
8. Explain any infiltration/inflow(I/I) changes this year from previous years?	
9. What is being done to address infiltration/inflow in your collection system?	
1- A flow monitoring program. 2- A manhole inspection program. 3- A manhol program. 4- Working closely with MMSD to their CMOM and 2020 facilities pl	le rehabilitation ans.

Total Points Generated 70	
Score (100 - Total Points Generated) 30	
Section Grade	

Facility Name: Milwaukee City Last Updated: Reporting Year: 2005

WPDES No.0047341

GRADING SUMMARY				
SECTION	LETTER GRADE	GRADE POINTS	WEIGHTING FACTORS	SECTION POINTS
Financial Management	A	4.0	1	4
Collection Systems	F	0.0	3	0
TOTALS			4	4
GRADE POINT AVERAGE(GPA)=1.00		1.00		

Notes:

A = Voluntary Range

B = Voluntary Range

C = Recommendation Range (Response Required)

D = Action Range (Response Required)

F = Action Range (Response Required)