CITY OF MILWAUKEE

UTILITIES & LICENSES COMMITTEE

In the Matter of:

LOPEZ, Guillermo, Class 'B' Tavern, Tavern Dance and Billiard Hall applications for "Memos Place No. 2" at 1339 West Greenfield Avenue.

COMMITTEE MEMBERS

ALD. JAMES BOHL, JR. - Chairman

ALD. FREDRICK G. GORDON - Vice Chairman

ALD. JEFFREY PAWLINSKI

ALD. JOSEPH A. DUDZIK

DEPARTMENT OF NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES by KAREN JACOBS

HEALTH DEPARTMENT by KEVIN HULBERT

LICENSING DIVISION by JIM COPELAND

POLICE DEPARTMENT by SERGEANT JOHN HOGAN

OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY by BRUCE SCHRIMPF

Proceedings had and testimony given in the above-entitled matter, before the UTILITIES & LICENSING COMMITTEE OF THE CITY OF MILWAUKEE, on the 29th day of April, 2003, reported by Donna Gulczynski of Milwaukee Reporters Associated, Inc.

PROCEEDINGS

(NOTE: All City Personnel Were Sworn Under Oath Prior to These Proceedings.)

CHAIRMAN BOHL: The last item on the 1:30 agenda is Guillermo Lopez, Class B Tavern, Tavern

Dance and Billiard Hall applications for Memos Place

No. 2 at 1339 West Greenfield Avenue.

MR. KNOELLER: Good afternoon.

CHAIRMAN BOHL: Good afternoon, and please give me your name again.

MR. KNOELLER: Michael J. Knoeller, last name is spelled K-n-o-e-l-l-e-r, appearing on behalf of Mr. Lopez. Mr. Lopez is here in person.

CHAIRMAN BOHL: Okay. Mr. Knoeller, let me just put you on hold here for a second. Mr. Lopez, could you please raise your right hand to be sworn under oath.

(Whereupon Mr. Lopez was sworn under oath.)

CHAIRMAN BOHL: And Mr. Knoeller, I need you to ask Mr. Lopez if he has acknowledged receiving notice of today's meeting with the possibility that his application could be denied as to items in the police report in addition to neighborhood objections.

MR. KNOELLER: Yes.

CHAIRMAN BOHL: He does? Okay. And then

1	the items in here I'm going to ask you whether you
2	acknowledge to me waiving it so I don't have to read
3	the loitering, litter and the whole ball of wax.
4	MR. KNOELLER: He says he understands a
5	little bit of it. He's seen it.
6	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Okay, he has seen it. We'll
7	go around the horn. Health Department?
8	MR. HULBERT: Hold for inspection.
9	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Neighborhood Services?
10	MS. JACOBS: Hold for occupancy.
11	CHAIRMAN BOHL: This item does have a police
12	report. Sergeant Hogan?
13	SERGEANT HOGAN: It does?
14	MR. SCHRIMPF: Sure does.
15	ALDERMAN SANCHEZ: Mr. Chair?
16	CHAIRMAN BOHL: One second, Alderman
17	Sanchez.
18	SERGEANT HOGAN: If I'm reading it
19	correctly, this is a renewal. There's nothing since
20	the license was last issued.
21	CHAIRMAN BOHL: In fact, what it is,
22	Sergeant Hogan, I believe, is a second location, and
23	that Mr. Lopez owns another location.
24	SERGEANT HOGAN: Okay. My sheet is wrong.
25	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Alderman Sanchez.

Thank you. Again, I 1 ALDERMAN SANCHEZ: apologize to be out of order here. This police report 3 that I have, at least the copy that I have, is incomplete. I've gone through it, and -- in fact, I 4 5 asked for Mrs. Behren to see if she could pull up 6 where the other part of the police report is, and I don't -- What I was handed here shows the same thing that I have. But I know at least since I've been in 8 office, at location number one, which is part of the 9 10 police report, there have been some suspensions that 11 have been approved by the Common Council, and they're 12 not a part of this report, so we have an incomplete 13 police report is what I'm getting at. 14 MR. COPELAND: Mr. Chair, can I ask the 15 alderman --16 CHAIRMAN BOHL: Mr. Copeland, yes.

MR. COPELAND: When you use the term location number one, what are you referring to?

ALDERMAN SANCHEZ: Well, there is a Memos Place, which is located on Scott, 15th and Scott, and this application is for Memos Place Two, which would be on Greenfield Avenue. If you look at the police report that's attached here, it's incomplete is what I'm getting at.

MR. COPELAND: Sergeant, may I ask a

25

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

question? In the case of an applicant having two 1 places, and the offense is on location number one, does that entire record show up on the location? 3 SERGEANT HOGAN: Yes, if there are multiple locations for licensees, any incidents occurring at 5 any one of them will show up on the new location. 6 ALDERMAN SANCHEZ: Sergeant, if I may, he 8 does not have multiple --SERGEANT HOGAN: I understand that. 9 10 ALDERMAN SANCHEZ: Excuse me. Mr. Lopez does not have multiple locations. He has a location. 1.1 12 Today he is here for potentially a new location, so 13 there's only --14 CHAIRMAN BOHL: Alderman Sanchez, we have a police report with nine items. Is that what you're 15 16 saying is incomplete? 17 ALDERMAN SANCHEZ: Yes, it is incomplete. CHAIRMAN BOHL: Well, I don't know how we 18 19 rectify this, other than this is the police report 20 that he was noticed with, and that's the police report 21 we have. It is a new application. Go ahead, Alderman 22 Sanchez. 23 ALDERMAN SANCHEZ: You could ask the 24 applicant if he's ever been suspended during my tenure

here as alderman, and if he affirms that, that will

1 prove that he's been suspended, and if it doesn't show 2 3 incomplete, is what I'm getting at. 5 6 been suspended in the last three years? 7 applicant --Don't interrupt. me as well, Alderman Sanchez.

it on the police report, that validates that it's CHAIRMAN BOHL: Mr. Knoeller, do you want to ask your client if his license for Memos Place has MR. KNOELLER: I can affirm that at one point it was suspended. I cannot say that it was in 8 the last three years, to be very honest with you. I 9 know at one point it was suspended. I think it was 10 11 suspended for a period of 30 days is what I believe. 12 ALDERMAN SANCHEZ: Can you ask the 13 14 MR. KNOELLER: Please, please, please. 15 16 ALDERMAN SANCHEZ: All right. I apologize. 17 MR. KNOELLER: I didn't interrupt you. CHAIRMAN BOHL: Mr. Knoeller, I'm going to 18 ask that you address the committee here, and Alderman 19 20 Sanchez is not going to address you. You will address 21 MR. KNOELLER: Thank you. My recollection 22 23 is that there was a 30-day suspension. I cannot say 24 for sure whether that was in the last three years, but 25 I know there was because I remember going in front of

1 the Common Council on that.

CHAIRMAN BOHL: Mr. Schrimpf, do you have any thoughts on this matter here?

MR. SCHRIMPF: I can tell you that there were Findings of Fact issued on May 1st, 2001 recommending suspension of a license held by Guillermo Lopez. It was a Class B for 1501 West Scott Street known as Memos Place. I don't know what happened at Common Council. I don't have the Common Council findings, but I -- this obviously were Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law at the U & L Committee. It recommended renewal of the license with a 60-day suspension.

CHAIRMAN BOHL: Were there items in the police report that were cited that would have been current with that application year?

MR. SCHRIMPF: Yes.

CHAIRMAN BOHL: And the last one we have listed here is January of 2000 which, if we're looking at from May to May or even June to June, would not have fallen within that license application year. I have an inclination to believe that Alderman Sanchez is correct, that we don't have a full and complete police report.

MR. SCHRIMPF: The last incident that I have

1 occurring at the premises, Mr. Chairman, was -according to the police report, was occurring on 2 3 January 24th, 2000 at 6:05 p.m. 4 SERGEANT HOGAN: Mr. Chairman? 5 CHAIRMAN BOHL: Go ahead, Sergeant Hogan. 6 SERGEANT HOGAN: I have no reason to believe 7 there are any other incidents other than what is 8 listed in the police report. 9 CHAIRMAN BOHL: So you believe this is the 10 full and complete report, Sergeant Hogan? 11 SERGEANT HOGAN: Yes. Now, also understand 12 that is not the police department's responsibility to keep track of when the license is suspended or revoked 13 14 or anything else. That's Mr. Copeland's job. 15 not necessarily a part of our report. 16 CHAIRMAN BOHL: Alderman Sanchez, I'll tell you, we can move forward. This is a new application. 17 We can hold this item if you fully believe that there 18 19 is an additional report here, but the License Investigation Unit is saying that they believe that 20 21 this is full and complete. 22 ALDERMAN SANCHEZ: Well, I think that --23 CHAIRMAN BOHL: We can acknowledge and we 24 can get the applicant to acknowledge that there was,

based on the Findings of Fact, a suspension of 60 days

if that's the one item that's missing. 1 ALDERMAN SANCHEZ: Well, that's the one 2 item -- Okay. I would -- First of all, if you'd asked 3 his translator and legal counsel to ask him that, he can affirm whether or not that took place, for one. CHAIRMAN BOHL: Mr. Knoeller, does your 6 client acknowledge that according to the information 7 that Assistant City Attorney Schrimpf provided us, 8 that in May 2001, your client did receive a 60-day 9 10 suspension from the Common Council? MR. KNOELLER: 2001? 11 CHAIRMAN BOHL: Correct. 12 13 MR. KNOELLER: He says there was a 14 suspension for 60 days. CHAIRMAN BOHL: He said there was not? 15 16 MR. KNOELLER: There was a suspension for 17 60 days. 18 CHAIRMAN BOHL: Alderman Sanchez, he's under oath, and he's affirming that there was a suspension, 19 so if that's where we're at, we can move forward on 20 that. 21 22 ALDERMAN SANCHEZ: That's right, we can move forward. I'm fine with that. You know, I'm more than 23 certain that Attorney Knoeller was also his attorney 24 25 at that time, and whether the attorney remembers that

or not is also fine. I'll get right to my comments. I'd like to pass out some maps. I'll provide his attorney with a copy. I'll keep a copy for myself.

> CHAIRMAN BOHL: And Alderman Dudzik will move to make this concentration map a part of our record. Hearing no objection, so ordered. Go ahead, Alderman.

ALDERMAN SANCHEZ: I think if you look at the police report and go through what is available to us today, it kind of speaks for itself. The applicant here has been suspended several times over the decade. He has been --

CHAIRMAN BOHL: Mr. Knoeller, I appreciate that you have to translate, but I think that you're disturbing me as the chairman of this committee, and I certainly believe that you're probably disturbing Alderman Sanchez. I will ask Alderman Sanchez to break it up if you want to relay the information to your client, but I can't have two people talking at the same time. I just can't do that, okay?

Alderman Sanchez, I'm going to ask you for convenience to Mr. Lopez to kind of provide your comments, and we'll provide some brevity and some time, but I just -- If you want to whisper in his ear, fine, but I'm not going to have you providing loud

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

comments. Go ahead, Alderman Sanchez.

ALDERMAN SANCHEZ: Thank you. I'll keep my remarks short. Simply that the police report here indicates that over the past decade, several times Mr. Lopez has been suspended. It shows activity that has taken -- that's he's been cited for, that has taken place at his facility which includes, apparently here, that there's a .380 handgun, that there's also other episodes of concealed weapons. Item six shows things dealing with cocaine. Getting past item nine, there is a 60-day suspension that I put in place. So I think that the police report speaks for itself as far as some of the activities taking place at his current location.

Now, with respect to the new location, if you look at the these concentration maps, -- I guess here's a question. The question is this. There are 66 licenses in this area. If you recall, there was also an application from a business that was directly across the street in which this committee did not renew that license based on overconcentration. Well, this location is, you know, again 40, 50 feet away.

There's been a heavy problem in that area with drugs, there's been prostitution. This is within 75 feet where my cousin was murdered from. I thought

1 I'd throw that in there because there's been problems with drug houses in the area as well. But I don't 2 3 think this is the most suitable location for an 4 establishment of this nature. 5 Again, between the maps here, between his police record and suspensions, I don't know that if 6 indeed this committee would even consider opening up a place, this would be the most suitable licensee. 8 those were my comments, so I'd ask you not to approve 9 10 it this afternoon. 11 12

CHAIRMAN BOHL: First off, I'm going to have Alderman Dudzik move to make the entire police report part of our record. Hearing no objection to that, so ordered. Mr. Schrimpf?

MR. SCHRIMPF: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. couple of things. First of all, the police record is not yet a part of the record for purposes of this proceeding, and I would call the committee's attention to the fact that a few minutes ago, you recommended approval of a Class A Malt at 1110 West Greenfield Avenue, which is two blocks from this location. that's a Class A Malt and this is a Class B Tavern, but keep that in mind.

> ALDERMAN SANCHEZ: Mr. Chair. CHAIRMAN BOHL: Alderman Sanchez.

24

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1	ALDERMAN SANCHEZ: That was just a renewal.
2	That's an existing location. That was not a new
3	place.
4	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Is that correct,
5	Mr. Copeland?
6	MR. COPELAND: Yes.
7	CHAIRMAN BOHL: Okay. That should be
8	clarified, Mr. Schrimpf.
9	MR. SCHRIMPF: No, all it says is Class A
10	Malt application. It doesn't say renewal.
11	ALDERMAN SANCHEZ: But it is a renewal.
12	MR. COPELAND: What was that address,
13	Mr. Schrimpf.
14	MR. SCHRIMPF: 1110 West Greenfield.
15	MR. COPELAND: It's a renewal. It says
16	renewal.
17	MR. SCHRIMPF: It doesn't say renewal on the
18	notice.
19	MR. COPELAND: That's a typo then on the
20	agenda. If you look, it says renewal.
21	MR. SCHRIMPF: Just so long as we clarify
22	it.
23	CHAIRMAN BOHL: That is clarified. Any
24	questions for Alderman Sanchez by committee?
25	Mr. Knoeller, this is your opportunity to address to

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

1.4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 the committee the reasons why you believe that your 2 applicant should receive a license and any concerns 3 that Alderman Sanchez provided us.

> MR. KNOELLER: May it please the committee. Mr. Lopez has run a location for a number of years. Now, there have been some problems, but if you note from the police report, the last problem I believe in 2000 didn't relate to -- didn't relate to him, it related to a bartender who lives on the premises, but basically has nothing to do with him.

The police did not give him any type of a citation for that, so we go back to 1997 on that There was a suspension. Again, this has nothing to do with him. It was a -- what's the word I'm looking for, -- vicarious suspension.

I'd like to know whether any type of request for neighborhood input was sent out in this case and how many people did not show up; whether they were sent regarding El Pieso, which was the prior name of this establishment, or whether it was sent regarding this new establishment. I'm sure there were some type of -- there was some type of input requested, and I'd like to know what was on that input, if there was any type of letter sent out. I'd like to know how they were addressed, what was included on there, and why

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

there'd be some type of -- if there was any objection at all, or if there wasn't any objection at all.

I can see where the inclusions on the letter were -- on the map. I'd like to know if any of these establishments were closed or have been closed since this -- This is April of 2003. I don't know if this is -- if these amounts were accurate because I don't know -- I haven't had an opportunity to review this with the client. I know that some of these, just by looking at them, have been closed within a recent period of time. Therefore, there would be an opportunity for Mr. Lopez to open his establishment.

He has -- he has opened -- He's preparing a situation where the establishment would not be solely There are restaurant facilities which he is a bar. beginning, and I was in there. There are tables where a restaurant would be or could be set up. There is a bar section, and that's basically where he would be starting at. But if you go in there, you would see that the first floor is really set up for a restaurant, and the second floor would also accommodate a large restaurant.

I would certainly like to know what input was set forth to the neighbors. Concentration of the Class B Tavern, -- there are a lot in the

neighborhood. However, I believe that since there was -- When was Pieso closed? I'd like to know when Pieso closed. That was about a year ago, I think. It must be within a year, because it was something which was an ongoing business. I think it closed within that year, so --

CHAIRMAN BOHL: Alderman Sanchez, can you shed light on that? Do you have any idea?

ALDERMAN SANCHEZ: I don't know when they closed. I think it would certainly be within the year at some point.

MR. KNOELLER: So it would basically -- if it was within the year, it would not be subject to the, what is it, 91 point -- Oh, I can't think of it right now, the statute of being renewed after being closed for a year. I can't think of what that statute is right off the top of my head right now. But I think it could be classified as an ongoing business coming from Pieso into Mr. Lopez's business.

He does have experience. He does not -- he does not have any problems with the police in the last year or so, or two years really. He has kept his place clean, he has learned his lesson. He has gone to considerable lengths and expenses to establish a position here, a place here, which is not suitable to

FORM OSR - LASER REPORTERS PAPER & MFG. CO. 803-628-6313

1

2

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1.4

any type of drug activity, any type of -- anything
that would be conducive to the type of illegal or
improper activity that Alderman Sanchez referred to.

The front is lighted. There is a sufficient area -- If you go inside, it's not a darkened place. It's light on the inside. There's one section, a large section, it's like a Hawaiian village where there's hay coming over the top of little, like, booths. And it looks like a restaurant. There's a little dance floor section and a bar section that's kind of off to the side.

CHAIRMAN BOHL: Mr. Knoeller, you're getting to the point where you're writing a novel.

MR. KNOELLER: Yeah, I am, because it's not a darkened bar like you see usually in some of these places. It's different. It's something which is conducive to a family going in there and sitting down, rather than a bar with loud music playing and thumping rap music. It's something different than that. It's something which would change the atmosphere of the neighborhood.

It's not something that -- You have to see it, really, in order to appreciate it. It's different from the 1501 address, which I'm sure Alderman Sanchez is aware of and which is reflected in this police

FORSTONG CAREN KEYONES

report. I'm sure if you put him in there, it's not going to be at all like the 1501 or the majority of these other bars around. I can see these other bars that are around there, and I can see -- I've been in a lot of these, and I know exactly the nature of these bars, and they are basically Class B and only Class B dark bars, nothing like this, which is -- I would say you give him a chance here, and you're going to find a Class B -- you're going to find a Class B operation, which probably in a couple of years is going to be more -- 50 percent or more restaurant, and he's going to be coming in here and asking for a -- going over to the annex over here and asking for a food license.

CHAIRMAN BOHL: Okay. Mr. Knoeller, you've got to wrap this up because you're just getting repetitive.

MR. KNOELLER: I'm wrapping right now.

CHAIRMAN BOHL: Please do so.

MR. KNOELLER: Not the music, but I'm wrapping. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BOHL: Okay. This item is cited for neighborhood objections. Are there any neighbors here to testify on this matter? Are there any questions for the applicant or counsel? Alderman Sanchez, very briefly if you want to wrap this up.

ALDERMAN SANCHEZ: Very brief. Just simply,
I guess the question is regarding this applicant and
the behavior -- or the instances that have occurred at
his current location in the past. The question for me
is has he learned his lesson.

Item four shows that he was cited. Item five, not even a month later, the same citation is there. Do you think the applicant would tell his employees, we're cited for a wrongdoing, do not do this? Item five shows that he was cited. Item six shows drugs, shows that there was some drugs that were found and some dollar bills on the pool table in '96. Do you think he'd get the lesson straight that drugs are not a good thing, that they're illegal?

Item nine, four years later, shows that his girl friend -- It says here the officer spoke to

Mrs. Melandez, Carmen, who stated that she bartends

for her boyfriend who is the licensee. She further

stated that she had numerous baggies of cocaine inside

her bra. She then handed the officer six corner-cut

plastic baggies which contained cocaine. Well, she's

not here, he is. He's the licensee. He's the one

that's responsible for his employees and all those

citations. So, again, my comments are simply there's

congestion here, and then number two, even if there

weren't congestion, would you license him based on his past history. Thanks.

CHAIRMAN BOHL: This item is in committee. Is there a motion?

ALDERMAN GORDON: Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN BOHL: Alderman Gordon.

ALDERMAN GORDON: Thank you. Mr. Chair, at this time I'm going to move to deny this applicant based on concentration. We have 66 total licenses in this one square mile area; five Class A Liquor Malt, 10 Class A Malt, one Class B Beer, and 50 Class B Tavern. I think it's clear that this area is oversaturated.

The 12th Aldermanic District probably has the largest concentration of liquor and restaurant establishments in the City of Milwaukee, and there's no need for another one. And as Alderman Sanchez indicated, this licensee's record is replete with every indicia that suggests that he's fortunate to be able to run this establishment that he's already running, and to give him another opportunity would not be in the best interests of the 12th Aldermanic District and the citizens, so I'm going to move for denial.

CHAIRMAN BOHL: The motion before us is to

deny the license based on overconcentration. Is there any objection to that motion? Hearing none, so ordered. Mr. Knoeller, Mr. Lopez, good luck to you.

* * *

STATE OF WISCONSIN)
) ss:
MILWAUKEE COUNTY)

I, DONNA GULCZYNSKI, of Milwaukee Reporters Associated, Inc., 5120 West Bluemound Road, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, certify that the foregoing transcript, consisting of pages 2 through 21 inclusive, is a full and complete transcript of the proceedings taken in this cause.

Gover Gilleygnste

Donna Gulczynski - Court Reporter

Dated this 25 day of 1800ml, 2003 Milwaukee, Wisconsin