Tuesday, March 04, 2003

TO:

ALL ALDERMEN/WOMEN

FROM:

LINDA HOZE

8148 NORTH JOSEPH

371-0379

RE:

**TODAY'S VOTING** 

SHE IS TOTALLY AGAINST THE HEALTH DEPT.
PARTNERING UP WITH PLAN PARENTHOOD. WOULD
LIKE FOR ALL OF YOU TO VOTE AGAINST IT.

Phone: Fax:

# Fax

From:	Rosie Schmidt	To: City Clerk
Pages:	two	Fax: 286-3456
Date:	3/3/03	Phone: ( ) -
Subject	Planned Parenthood Health	

Confidential Note: Information in this facsimile is confidential and intended for use by the individual or entity named above If you received this telecopy in error please immediately telephone us and return the original via U.S. Postal Service

#### Message:

Would you please make copies of my memo and see that each Alderman receives one.

Thank you very much.

I would like to let you know that I am against any Planned Parent hood Health Center which you will be voting on Tuesday, as I understand.

You might be interested in knowing that TV6 did a call in poll. 80% of the callers were against the Center and 20% for.

Rosie Schmidt

Jilithiliihilille,

r miliadi.

To- All members of the milworker Common Council 286-3456

FROM - Wisconsin Right to Syemilwowker Chapter Mary Strasin, Con. Secy.

## WISCONSIN RIGHT TO LIFE - MILWAUKEE CHAPTER

March 3, 2003

TO ALL MEMBERS OF THE COMMON COUNCIL:

The only way that Planned Parenthood can justify receiving millions of tax dollars is to encourage teenage promiscuity, and PP does that very well. If teenagers were sexually abstinent, PP would not have much reason for being.

In the early 1980s, Duchess County in New York banned PP from their public schools and there was a subsequent drop in teen pregnancies. That tells you a lot about the so-called need for PP services. As the number of teens choosing abstinence is growing because of AIDS, other sexually-transmitted diseases, and the emotional turmoil caused by casual sex, PP has become more aggressive in its attempts to gain more customers so that they can garner more tax dollars. They hire and pay teenagers to act as "peer counselors."

To those of you on the Common Council who are Roman Catholic, PPs founder, Margaret Sanger, was rabidly anti-Catholic and considered the Pope and the Catholic Church as the main obstacles to her goal of uninhibited sexual freedom for all. International Planned Parenthood was behind the move to revoke the status of the Vatican at the United Nations.

Sanger's birth control efforts were aimed at preventing reproduction by the "feeble-minded" and "racially defective, "(specifically Negro). her words.

Please oppose any contract for services with PP in our city clinics. It is bad enough that they spread their philosophy with our tax dollars, we should not provide the building for them, too.

Thank you for your consideration of this request.

Yours truly,

Mrs. Mary Strosin, Cor. Secy

5238 N. 48th St. Milwaukee, WI 53218

461-3726

TATARSKY

The following fax is to the Milwaukee Common Council

From: Mrs. Liane Ripatte 4237 No. 91<sup>st</sup> St.

Date: March 3, 2003

Pages: 4 including cover

Please make a copy of the 3 pages and distribute to all aldermen and alderwomen

Thank you,

Liane Ripatte

### 14144660745 I HE L'MPIRE STRIKES OUT

by Daniel S. Light

o borrow from the terminology of the underworld, there is a "contract" out today on one of every three babies now in their mothers' wombs. At the current level, a staggering one and a half million preborn infants per year are being annihilated in abortion facilities. That translates into 4,000 a day, one every 20 seconds. If the present trend holds its ominous course, a third of all American pregnancies will end m abortion.

By far, the most hazardous place for a human being in America today is not in the path of a raging fire, rampaging flood or ravaging tornado; nor is it in a crime-ridden neighborhood. Statistically speaking, the place of supreme peril is in the womb of one's own mother.

The real enemies of the unborn, however, are not only the abortion clinics and hospitals. The most visible, influential and active adversary of life is an organization: Planned Parenthood.

Dr. Bernard Nathanson, formerly the leading abortionist in the western world (whose dramatic conversion to the prolife cause has received international media attention), refers to Planned Parenthood as "The Empire"—an apt description of an organization whose worldwide multi-million dollar enterprises have determined the fate of countless innocent children.

Currently, however, with the tenacious pro-life movement gaining new and effective momentum, the Planned Parenthood monolith is cracking, and its advocates are on the defensive. Many observers believe that the day is in sight when, to paraphrase the title of the second episode in the popular "Star Wars" trilogy, the "Empire" strikes out.

Let us take a closer look at Planned Parenthood and discover why it has become the spearhead of the pro-abortion coalition.

irst of all, we must realize that the Planned Parenthood Federation of America (PPFA) is the leading abortionist in the United States. It is the largest single operator of abortion facilities, boasting over 50 so-called "clinics" that perform upwards of 85,000 "procedures" every year. Planned Parenthood offices also refer tens of thousands of women to other abortionists when none

vital part of Planned Parenthood's public relations script is its claim that it is not pro-abortion, but pro-choice.1 Obviously, this is comparable to a Toyota dealer telling us that he is not pro-driving, but if we absolutely insist on driving, he will reluctantly sell us a Toyota. Really now! He is in business for the very purpose of selling Toyotas. Likewise, the evidence clearly indicates that Planned Parenthood is in the business of selling abortions, averaging 1,700 per clinic per year. Do its members really expect us to believe that an agency that makes a million dollars a month from abortions is neutral about the relative merits or injustices of the procedure? Strike one for the Empire!

Planned Parenthood is not only this country's number one abortionist, but also its most formidable political force for abortion. The Empire has concentrated its heaviest legal artillery on securing abortion on demand. An all-out campaign to legalize abortion, which was launched in the 1960s under the late Alan Guttmacher's chairmanship, climaxed on January 22, 1973, when the U.S. Supreme Court declared it legal for any mother to kill her unborn child at any time during the nine months of her pregnancy. Having successfully secured that "right" for women, Planned Parenthood continued its relentless campaign to make abortion available and culturally acceptable, without regulation or restriction of any kind, regardless of the wishes of the community.

o other organization has been anywhere near as active in court, challenging national, state and local attempts to regulate abortion. Cases include:

1. Planned Parenthood v. Danforth; July 1, 1976

This was PPFA's Bicentennial gift to the people of America. Husbands and parents of minor girls lost any right of mutual consent to abortion.

2. Planned Parenthood v. Bellotti: 1980

This action robbed parents of their right even to be informed of their minor daughter's abortion in some instances, at the discretion of a judge.

3. Planned Parenthood v. Alexander: 1979

The court struck down the requirement of a 24-hour waiting period before an abortion could be performed....

4. Planned Parenthood v. Ashcroft: 1980

The court ruled out the requirement that a second doctor be present at a late-term abortion to care for the baby if it is born alive.

This ruling outlawed the use of public funds to support organizations that help women who choose to give birth rather than abort. On the other hand, abortion groups like Planned Parenthood receive millions in government funds. 6. Planned Parenthood also filed an amicus brief in City of Akron v. Akron Center for Reproductive Health, which did away with the requirement that women receive information about fetal

development prior to the abortion

procedure.

The above cases represent some of the most anti-family Supreme Court decisions of recent record, and Planned Parenthood brought them into court. Yet in its publicity materials, the organization has the audacity to tout itself as "pro-family." Two strikes against the Empire!

ne cannot fully comprehend the essential nature of the Planned Parenthood complex without some understanding of its rather bizarre history. The Empire's founder was Margaret Higgins Sanger, an early advocate of sexual promiscuity, radical feminism and various related causes. After several years of close association with some of the foremost American radicals of the time, she went to England in 1914 at the age of

While in Great Britain, Sanger became involved both sexually and intellectually with a collection of social extremists known as the Wantley Circle.2 Her most intimate contacts were with H.C. Wells. "the apostle of the new science," and Havelock Ellis, who was, among other pursuits, a promoter of a pseudo-science called eugenics, which advocates the stock breeding or "selective breeding" of the human race. Eugenics took advantage of an undercurrent of racism in that era which preached that Anglo-Saxon and Teutonic peoples were genetically superior to blacks, Jews, Slavs and other "undesirables."

Returning to the United States after her extended illicit relationship with Ellis, and having absorbed the racist philosophies of the British eugenicists, Sanger plunged into the leadership of the eugenics movement in America.3 Her activities and propagandizing underscored one of the movements' major thrusts: the sterilization of the so-called "unfit." Her favorite statement was, "More children from the fit, fewer children from the unfit." Her "unfit" were Jews, Poles, blacks, and the poor and uneducated, whom she lumped together as "human weeds."5

14144660745 I this point we must be a the ... kinship of the Planned Parenthood movement in America and of Nazism in Germany. It is instructive to realize that Adolph Hitler embraced the theories of the eugenics philosophy. The holocaust of World War II in Europe was actually launched in the form of a sterilization law enacted by Hitler in 1933. The structure of that law originated in the eugenics movement in the United States 6 As a matter of fact, a number of individuals prominent in American eugenics sat in as guest judges in the eugenics courts in Germany during the 1930s, Upon their return, they wrote glowing articles in such magazines as the Saturday Evening Post, praising Hitler for solving Germany's social prob lems in a "scientific and humane way."8 The world soon witnessed the kind of scientific, humane way in which European Jews were dealt with.

When the defeat of the Nazis brought an end to World War II in Europe, the great eugenics experiment of the Third Reich also came to an end. Americans, along with the rest of the world, were shocked and appalled at the results of the Fuehrer's racist madness.

After 1945 it obviously was not the best public relations tactic for Planned Parenthood to approach the American people with the eugenics world-view. Thus, in a well-advised, expedient move. the organization changed its strategy. Actually, as early as 1942, Planned Parenthood's officers, sensing that Hitler had poisoned the air by politically carrying out Sanger's eugenic philosophies, changed the organization's name from the American Birth Control League to its present designation.9 The racist tone of the group's language was altered as well. Terms such as "good or bad breeding stock" were changed to "class" or "income level," and Sanger's 'human weed" rhetoric was toned down.10

Equipped with a more attractive appeal and substantially supported by private funds, Planned Parenthood faced the decade of the 1950s with an effective face lift. It was in the '50s that PPFA cultivated the public prestige which, in many circles, it enjoys to this day. During that period the organization provided family planning information to married couples only. It had nothing to do with the abortion business and gained wide respect as a humanitarian enterprise. President Truman, upon leaving office in 1953, became honorary president of PFFA, as did President Eisenhower in 1961.

The 1960s launched the strengthened Empire into the next stage of its growth: persuading the government to support birth control progrms, something the Eisenhower administration had refused to advocate. The climate of the '60s,

however, was more conducive to both government and public acceptance of federally promoted population control policies.

his was due, in part, to the convergence of two phenomena: the so-called sexual revolution and a fear of the growing power of black people spawned by the civil rights movement. Concerning the latter, it was not too difficult to persuade legislators to incorporate birth control programs into the welfare system, since "welfare" and "crime in the streets" had become code words for the expression of a racially based paranoia. In fact, many openly racist statements were made by lawmakers in various states in order to promote the passage of Planned Parenthoodsponsored legislation. Having entered the turbulent decade of the '60s as a privately funded birth control organization marketing a controversial program of contraception and sterlization, PPFA had become a potent, semi-public agency by the end of those pivotal 10 years.11 The federation's numerous new allies in key government positions, coupled with its bolstered financial and organizational ties, proved advantageous in subsequent efforts to legalize abortion on demand.

Although kept "under wraps," a strategy was developed during the 1960s to circumvent the legislative process and provide access to legalized abortion through the courts. Then, in October 1969, Dr. Alan Guttmacher, PPFA president, and Harriet Pilpel, PPFA general counsel and vice president of the American Civil Liberties Union, pushed through the adoption of the organization's first abortion policy at its annual meeting in New York City.

The policy called for the abolition of all existing statutes and criminal laws regarding abortion.12 Almost from the very hour of that momentous shift in PPFA's official stance, it vaulted into the unquestioned leadership of the pro-abortion movement.

he Empire wasted no time in accelerating its new role. From 1970 through 1972, PPFA peformed hundreds of abortions and made thousands of referrals in states where the procedure was already legalized. 13 PPFA lawyers got busy in the state and federal courts to litigate for the establishment of a constitutional sanction of abortion. The federation's lobbyists worked the halls and offices of New York's, Hawaii's and Washington's legislative bodies to successfully liberalize the abortion laws of those states. By the middle of the decade, the organization had, indeed, become the nation's preeminent network of abortion information and referrals.

It was only a matter of time before it would also claim the distinction of being the nation's single largest network of abortionists.

With the liberalization of abortion laws on the way, the most obvious market for PPFA's coming role as top abortion provider was the young. In 1970, as a result of the relentless efforts of the Empire's influential allies in the federal government, the Title X program began providing contraceptives to teenagers without respect to marital status. In a feature entitled, "Illegitimacy: Myths, Causes and Cures," which appeared in the January, 1971 issue of Family Planning Perspectives, prominent sociologist Phillips Cutright laid out the blueprint for Planned Parenthood's program for the '70s. Cutright's scenario, warmly received throughout the so-called family. planning community, advocated a threepronged approach to the problems of out-of-wedlock births:

- 1. Universal sex education in the schools.
- 2. A nationwide network of birth control clinics to distribute contraceptives to teenagers, and
  - 3. Legalized abortion as a backup.

The most intriguing part of the article, however, is the author's assessment of the program's success. He admitted that sex education in the schools would not solve the problem of teen pregnancy (which has since been clearly demonstrated by national statistics). Cutright also stated that, according to his studies. the incidence of teenage pregnancies was significantly higher in areas where contraceptives were provided than in areas where none were available. He further acknowledged that teen birth control clinics would not keep teens from becoming pregnant.

So why have these facilities at all? Cutright suggested that the real purpose of the clinics would be to attract government sponsorship in order to give respectability to the total plan, so that the 'pseudo-moral barriers" in the mind of the public would be overcome. He further asserted that the clinics would accustom young people to seeking medical solutions to their reproductive health needs, so that when they become pregnant they will do the right thing.

The problem, Cutright concluded, was not premarital sex or premarital pregnancy, but only premarital pregnancies that resulted in live births. "The supposed ill effects of premarital sex." he wrote, "have never been documented, so long as premarital sex did not lead to an illicit pregnancy that was carried to term. It is the control of these unwanted pregnancies-not the control of premarital sex—that is the problem."14 And the means of controlling that problem, of course, is abortion.

Back in 1971, before our 14-year-old daughters were even born, the leaders of PPFA knew precisely the predictable result of their proposed "solutions" to the epidemic of teenage pregnancy. Nevertheless, the pro-abortion policymakers forged ahead with the scheme.

In 1970, the year that Title X was enacted, approximately 300,000 teens became pregnant out-of-wedlock. About 190,000 of them gave birth, and about half that number had abortions. By 1980, after ten years of government-funded birth control service to America's youth, premarital teenage pregnancies had nearly tripled. More than 250,000 unmarried teenagers gave birth and almost half a million underwent abortions. Two out of three of those pregnant teenagers had "controlled" their pregnancies as Cutright had foreseenby abortion.

nformed personnel in the contraceptive field generally agree that the younger a woman is, the more likely she is to become pregnant while she is using contraceptives. In fact, a whopping 30 percent of all out-of-wedlock pregnancies among teens occurred while contraceptives were being used. 15

Would you believe, however, that this is not something the folks at Planned Parenthood tell the teens who come to them? On the contrary, the young clients are led to believe that if they just follow the simple directions, they won't get pregnant. Naturally, the junior high school student is likely to trust the "professional."

Under the guise of offering "health services" and "counseling," Planned Parenthood continues to help the nation's youth "exercise their right to choose." And if the choice backfires. PPFA will be glad to get them in touch with an abortionist. But who must pick up the pieces when an abortion turns a teenage girl into an emotional wreck? The parents—who must bear all of the responsibilities after being denied most of their rights.

It is truly ironic that a recent PPFA advertising program hypes the organization as "pro-child, pro-family and pro-choice." Here is an enterprise that destroys 85,000 preborn children a year in its own clinics, has repeatedly gone to court—at enormous expense—to strip families of their rights and has maintained a tenacious effort to keep women in the dark concerning fetal development and abortion-related health risks. Yet it expects us to swallow the incredible absurdity that PPFA is a champion of children, family and choice. Strike three!

Unfortunately, millions of Americans are accepting the Planned Parenthood propaganda hook, line and checkbook, I

am convinced, however, that as more and more people realize the true motivating force of the genocidal madness of abortion, we will see the end of this nightmarksh devastation of the unborn, and with it the fall of the most antichild, anti-family, and anti-informed-choice organization in American history.

TATARSKY

#### REFERENCES

- See various PPFA publicity pieces. The "prochoice" term was obviously coined to avoid the more accurate but more incriminating "proabortion."
- 2. Elasah Drogin, Margaret Sanger: Father of Modern Society (Coarsegold, Calif.: CUL Publications, 1979), p. 68.
  - 3. *Ibid.*, pp. 18-20.
- 4. Margaret Sanger, Birth Control Review, 3:5 (May, 1919), p. 12.
- 5. Margaret Sanger, Pivot of Civilization (New York: Brentano's, 1922), p. 177.
- 6. Kenneth Ludmerer, Genetics and American Society (Baltimore & New York: Johns Hopkins University Press, 1972), p. 118.
  - 7. Ibld., p. 25.
- 8. Lothrop Stoddard, Into the Dorkness, Nazi Germany Today (New York: Duell, Sloan and Pearce, 1940), p. 196.
- 9 Drogin, op. cit., p. 28,
- 10. *Ibid*.
- 11. Richard Glasow, Ph.D., "Who Are the Right to Lifers; Who Are the Pro-Abortionists? and Why," National Right to Life News, 12:2 (Jan. 31, 1985), p. 6.
- 12. Ibid.
- 13. Ibid.
- 14. Philips Cutright, "Riegitimacy: Myths, Causes and Cures," *Family Planning Perspectives*, 3:1 (Jan., 1971), p. 47.
- 15. Melvin Zeinik and John F. Kantner, "Sexual Activity, Contraceptive Use and Pregnancy Among Metropolitan Area Teenager: 1971-1979," Family Planning Perspectives, 12:5 (Sept./Oct., 1980), p. 236.

submitted by Fane W. Repatte 4237 N. 91 st St Milmaker, WI