

City of Milwaukee

200 E. Wells Street Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202

Meeting Minutes HOUSING TRUST FUND ADVISORY BOARD TECHNICAL REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE

Craig Kammholz, Chair Joanne Passaro, Brian Peters, Kori Schneider Peragine, and Lanie Wasserman

> Staff Assistant, Joanna Polanco Phone: (414) 286-2366, jpolan@milwaukee.gov

Friday, December 10, 2010

9:00 AM

Port of Milwaukee, Conference Room 2323 S. Lincoln Memorial Dr.

Meeting convened at 9:20 A.M.

1. Roll call

Present 4 - Kammholz, Peters, Schneider Peragine and Wasserman

Excused 1 - Passaro

Also present:

Mario Higgins, Community Block Grant Administration Nikki Purvis, Emerging Business Enterprises Section

Interpreters for Mr. Peters: Kate Block Maria Kielma

2. Review and approval of the minutes of the December 3rd, 2010 meeting

Ms. Schneider - Peragine moved to approved minutes, seconded by Mr. Kammholz. There were no objections.

3. Discussion on the housing trust fund applications and the crafting of recommendations

Mr. Kammholz said that he did have discussion with the Department of City Development (DCD), regarding potential use of Neighborhood Stabilization Program (NSP) dollars for some of the applicants. There were two projects, the Gorman & Company and the Riverworks Development Corporation that, that could potentially receive NSP 2 funding. Mr. Higgins informed the committee that the Riverworks project is not NSP eligible, because it's located just outside the NSP 2 target area.

Using the Scoring sheet (Exhibit 1) and HTF Technical Scores Members sheet

(Exhibit 2); board members discussed their scores for each applicant in the different categories; Homelessness, Rental and Homeownership.

Each member provided their highest ranked projects in each funding category.

In the HOMELESSNESS category, the HTF Technical Committee would like to consider the Community Advocates project, but wanted to go through the Rental category first before making a commitment.

In the RENTAL category, the committee agreed that the two highest ranked projects were Gorman & Company and Riverwest Development Corp. and should be considered for funding.

In the HOMEOWNERSHIP category, the committee agreed that the three highest ranked projects were Northcott Neighborhood House, Dominican Center for Women and Layton Boulevard West Neighbor and they should be considered for funding.

The committee decided there were some follow up questions that needed to be answered before final funding recommendations could be made.

The committee needed the following issues addressed:

- 1) Community Advocates project to provide a complete financial plan to explain, what seemed to be a significant financial gap.
- 2) Riverworks Development Corp. to provide a complete financial plan to explain their financial gap. Additionally, the committee wanted any available information on the environmental assessment of the project site.
- 3) Confirmation of which projects are eligible for NSP assistance, and which are likely to be funded.

Mr. Kammholz suggested another meeting for January 4, 2011 at 9:00 a.m. because members still have questions on some of the projects and the applicants. The next meeting will be the final opportunity for the committee to formulate informed recommendations to the full housing trust fund.

Mr. Kammholz asked Mr. Higgins to follow up on the committee's questions.

Meeting adjourned at 11:45 A.M. Joanna Polanco Staff Assistant



CITY OF MILWAUKEE HOUSING TRUST FUND Scoring Point System

	Max 115 P	Max 115 Pt Scale(a)	
	Point Range	Max Points	
Leveraged Dollars		15	
HTF dollars are less than 3% of total project cost	15		
HTF dollars account for 3 - 5% of total project cost	12		
HTF dollars account for 6 - 10% of total project cost	9		
HTF dollars account for 11 - 15% of total project cost	6		
HTF dollars are more than 15% of total project cost	3		
Income Targets - Please Use Attached Chart		15	
# of units with residents up to 30% of income target			
# of units with residents between 30% and 50% of income target			
# of units with residents between 50% and 60% of income target			
# of units with residents between 60% and 80% of income target			
# of units with residents between 80% and 100% of income target			
Affordability Period	5	10	
Meets HTF Affordability Period	1		
Exceeds HTF Affordability Period by 25%	2		
Exceeds HTF Affordability Period by 50%	5		
Exceeds HTF Affordability Period by 75%	8		
Exceeds HTF Affordability Period by 100% or more	10		
Employment and Contracting for City of Milwaukee residents and businesses	10	15	
Creation of training program to provide better employment opportunities		***	
Use of existing training programs that provide employment opportunities	1 1		
Use of Residential Preference Program or Section 3 requirements			
Did agency describe an effective method of recruitment for local residents?	1 1		
Use of Certified M/W/D/E/Section 3 Businesses greater than 18% participation			
Did agency describe an effective method of recruitment for local businesses?			
All workers paid a Family Supporting Wage at a minimum of \$8.80 per hour?			
An workers paid a raining supporting wage at a minimum of 50.00 per nour:			
Neighborhood Diversity		5	
Project Increases diversity of housing types in the neighborhood			
Green Building Principles		5	
Project Utilizes Green building Principles			
Coordination with Community Institutions		5	
Project is Coordinated with Community Institutions			
Community Integration		5	
Move persons from institutions to community			
Experience		10	
Agency experience with same type/similar project	2		
Staff experience with same type/similar project	4		
Management Agency Experience	4		
Accessibility improvements or modifications		5	
Meets Minimum Standards	1		
Exceeds Minimum Standards	5		
Service Partners (b)		5	
Provision of services on site w/out use of HTF \$			
Construction Financing		5	
Construction Loan is Firmly Committed	5		
Construction Loan is Conditionally Committed	2		
Construction Loan is conditionally committed Construction Loan is not Identified			
	U	15	
Proposal Meets Community Needs (Subjective) TBD by Reviewer	0.16	15	
	0-15	44.0	
Total Points		115	

EXHIBIT /

NOTE: All proposals must receive at least fifty (50) points for further consideration

- (a) 115 point maximum applies to projects requiring on-site services such as Shelter + Care. Maximum points available for all other projects is 110.
- (b) Only applies to projects requiring on-site services such as Shelter + Care

		•	# of Units										SCORING		_
Applicant	Project Name/Location	Rehab	Дeм	ээО-жимО	Amount	Proposed Amount Leveraged	Refinested Total Project Cost	Request as Percent of Preject	Developer Fee	Developer Fee as Percent of Project	Population Served	Project Description	the Magneting of the Ma	Service Parlent Construction Francing Community Moods TOTAL	70
CATEGORY: BOMELESSNESS	一种人员是是一种人	SSERVE	Der Zan	S. S	Section 1933	11 11 11 11	The second	STATE OF THE PARTY	THE PERSON NAMED IN	The state of the s	M	The Parties of the last		County of the second se	
	Autuma West		7		\$173.464	11977.631	\$2.147.095	213		» %0°0	w/mental t	transitional living center for homeless persons	9 15 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 25.0	
and the option of the contract				1						3					
	Total	-			21/3/04	31,3/3431	34,141,475	41.3	2	2.70	STATE OF THE PERSON NAMED IN	STATE OF THE PARTY		THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE	
Amount Available Amount Requested Balance	\$173,464 \$173,464 \$0	Alba													
			d of Theirs	[.									SCORING		
Avestinant	Product Nonethra	dad		200-12	Amount	Proposed	Estimated Total Project	Request as	Developer	Developer Fee as	Population	Project Description	boines ville A tem Bood Bood Bodd Bulbil William Willi	90	
		PYE	N	15WO	Requested		8		- 1	Project	Serve		Leverage Affordable Employer Common Displace Displace D	Service 7 Continuo Torran Continuo Torrar	. 1
CATEGORY: REPTAL	Spring Account of the Control of the			1200	The same of	A STATE OF	AND STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE P	All Printers	がないので						HIST.
b) Center for Veterans Issues, Ltd.	Veteran Manor 35th									- 049	at with	*Construction of Economic Development	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	90 0 0	
*Ineligible Activity/Use of Funds	@ Wisconsin Ave. Northeide Homersment Initiative		ĸ	Ť	\$242,850	\$11,034,909	\$11,277,759	*1		0.0% II		and Job Training Center strate family homes for			_
Gonnan & Company	Scattered Sites		8		\$225,000	\$8,484,225	\$8,709,225	2.6%	000'606	10.4% R	. 4	ent-to-own program		0 0 D	
Martin Luther King EDC	King Commons IV 2701 N. MLK Dr Scattered Sites	vo	8		\$200,000	\$1,751,840	\$3,953,840	22%	922,376	Low-Inc 10.3% Renters	8	mused use-mixed income housing development	0 0 0 0 0 0 1 6 51	0 0 0 25.0	
Picture of Party and Party	Riverworks Lofts 1377 M. Holton Street	×			2460 000	11011933	\$6.003.933	7.5%	000'089	1 × 20	Low-Income Renters <60%	Rehabilitation of formal industrial site into 36 affordable rental units	9 11 1 6 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 21.8	
		. 4	22		\$1,127,850	533,911,987		3.2%	14	7.1%					10000
Amount Available Amount Requested Rainner	\$242,850 \$1,127,850 \$1,850,000														parcy care
		H	# of Units	١,									SCORING		ı
Applemat	Project Name/Location	Rehab	Мом	ээО-галичО	Amount	Proposed Amount Levuraged	Retimend Total Project Cost	Request as Percent of Project	Developer Fee	Developer For as Percent of Project	Population Served	Project Description	Levenged Pungeling Income Turgeling Affandalility Period Employment & Employment & Doubstoned Diversity Diversity Community Definitions Community Definitions	Sovice Partners Constructing Community Moods	
CATEGORY: HOMBOWNERSHIP							STATE OF THE PERSON NAMED IN	Name of the last		RCTURE SILVE		Homeline			
Affortable Housing Centers of America	Homebuyer Counseling Various				\$100,000	\$124,200	\$224,200	44.6%		T %000	Low-Income Homebuyers	services for low-income citents	3 6 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	
Dominica Center for Women	Owner-Occupied Rehabilitation Scattered Site	a.		=	\$125,000	\$203.894	\$328,894	70 M	•	> 4 4 %00 4 4 4 4 4	Very Low- Income homeowners	Rehabilitation of owner occupied homes	3 12 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 179	
Lewton Shot Word Naiethbox	Tumbey Resovations Prosmm	-			\$30.000	\$250.393				1 0.0%		Rehabilitation and sale of foreclosed homes	9 3 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 13.0	
Milwankee Christian Center	Youth Build Project Undetermined		-		\$40,000	5675,537				1 %0.0		Homebuilding by st-risk youth	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 6	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1
Neighborhood Improvement Development Co	Emergency Repair Loan up. Program Scattered Sites			R	\$173,464	\$26,250		Ī		1 0.0%		homeowners for emergency repairs	3 12 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0	
Northcott Neighborhood House, Inc.	Youthbuild - A Fresh Start Broszwille 2772, 2476 N. 5th St.		7		\$105,000	\$758,000	000'8988	11.2%		1 2000		Homebuilding by st-risk youth	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	d. 0 0 b	1
Owner-Occupied Rehabilitation Rebuilding Together Greater Milwaukee Seattered Sites	Owner-Occupied Rehabilitation Scattered Sites			Con	\$100,000	\$834,531		10.7%		0.0% d	Elderly and disabled	modifications and entergency repairs of	6 12 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	- 1
THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE OWNER.	Total:	-	E	31	\$673,464	\$2,877,805	\$3,546,269	×0.61		% 0 0				The second second	PERSONAL PROPERTY.
Amount Available	\$173,464				18 (198)			1							100 51
Allence Balance	(960,0028)	E COLOR										The second second			100
										-	1				

EXHIBIT

Kosinetes: (a) Formal appraisal was not provided, written estimate of value provided by developer.

(b) Ineligible Activity/Use of funds

(c) (d)

(e)

107A. ALL UNITS

\$869.856

\$1,506,502)

\$1,506,502)

TOTAL ALL CATEGORIES