JOHNNIE JOHNSON JR. 2355 NORTH 8TH STREET 2005 JUL 2 | AM | MILWAUKEE, WISCONSIN 53206 (414) 263-5453

UNFICE OF CITY ATTORNEY

June 20, 2005

The Milwaukee City Clerk Attention: Claims 200 East Wells Street, Room 205 Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202-3567

RE:

Johnnie Johnson, Jr.

C.I. File No.: 05-S-140

Dear Clerk,

I would like to appeal the decision made in the above reference case by your writing dated June 8, 2005, (copy of letter enclosed.). The Police Officer admits he was in the wrong location. The Milwaukee Police Department has made it known that they do not respond to burglar alarms without charge and other reasons originally stated in my letter to you dated May 3, 2005 (copy enclosed).

I would also like to request a hearing. Please inform me of all appropriate information to make this possible.

If you have any questions or concerns, you may contact me at the above address and telephone number.

Respectfully,

Johnnie Johnson Jr.

JOHNNIE JOHNSON JR. 2355 NORTH 8TH STREET MILWAUKEE, WISCONSIN 53206 (414) 263-5453

May 3, 2005

The City Clerk Attention: Claims 200 E Wells Street, Room 205 Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202-3567

Dear Clerk,

On April 29, 2005, a Milwaukee County Police Officer, shot and killed my dog. The Police Officer was at the wrong address. The police report is enclosed.

Signs are posted to beware of dog around my residence/place of business and it is well lite. Neighbors have even warned visitors of the dog's presence.

I have had the dog (King) for approximately seven years. King was trained along side of my dog Ralph, which is my practice, to watch for visitors or trespassers because I operate a business in my home. I have a lot of valuable tools on the premises.

I have suffered a great loss. I don't have the protection and I will not be able to train another dog, in the same manner, as I have in the past. My premises will not be protected for an undetermined amount of time.

At your request to state the amount of damages in writing, I seek \$5000 (five thousand dollars) for damages. I understand this to be the limit in small claims. My lost is worth much more for the very reason stated above.

Respectfully,

Johnnie Johnson Jr.

MILWAUKEE POLICE DEPARTMENT DAMAGE NOTICE

(SUPERVISOR TO LEAVE IN PROMINENT PLACE AT SCENE)

The Milwaukee Police Department received a call for
service on: 4-29 265 at: 750 a.m/p.m.
at: 2355 N X ST
- (\$2.76.28)
to: X confirm welfare of occupant(s)
🔾 make an arrest
cxecute a search warrant
recover evidence of crime
Agenter (describe) Partex 10 pricess
The following damage to premises or vehicle occurred:
Pos was skat
Leer aller Distriction
Supervisor's Name: Symposium (PRINT)
52 EARLY 61262
DIST / WORK LOC SHIFT PAYROLL #)
SEE NOTICE ON REVERSE SIDE

****	PORT	34 21	 	 		
c. mus.	n c	6.80	 	 ********	,.,	

MILWAUKEE POLICE DEPARTMENT CITIZEN COMPLAINT REPORT

COMPLAINANT'S NAME LAST FIRST MIDDLE DATE OF BIRTH SEXRACE
Johnson JR. Johnnie 1-13-48 Make Black
ADDRESS STREET 2355N STATE 21P PHONE (WORK) PHONE (HOME)
DATE OF INCIDENT TIME OF INCIDENT LOGATION OF INCIDENT
ACENT DEDDESENTING COMPLANANT ON I BOUNDARY DELATIONSHIP PHONE
ETAILS OF INCIDENT (include the identity of any witnesses) PRINT LEGIBLY
Signs are posted - To bewere & Do. and Trace. Of Derical admitted Coming to wrong
CHICH CAMITICA COMMING TO WICH
address. Did not ring front door belli
The officer walked to rear of homes
and I heard (3) three gunshots.
Officer admits he should have been
a few homes down. The dojs a watch
Og & fam. U do Signs posted. Petuves tater Was s.s. 946.66 (2) False complaints of police reisconduct. Whydever knowingly makes a false complaint regarding the conduct of a law enforglement officer is subject to a Steel A forfeiture.
: (artach additional pages if needed)
HAVE RECEIVED A COPY OF THIS COMPLAINT AND ATTEST THAT THE INFORMATION HEREIN IS TRUE AND CORRECT TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE. Signed HAVE LEVEL STORY OF THIS COMPLAINT AND ATTEST THAT THE INFORMATION HEREIN IS TRUE AND CORRECT TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE. Signed HAVE LEVEL STORY OF THIS COMPLAINT AND ATTEST THAT THE INFORMATION HEREIN IS TRUE AND CORRECT TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE.
Resolved at District Level
Request P.P.D. Investigation Signed Commanding Officer

DISTRIBUTION: 1. Professional Performance Division 2. Receiving Unit 3. Complainant/Agent