00001	
1	CITY OF MILWAUKEE
2	UTILITIES & LICENSING HEARING
3	
4	In the Matter of:
5	736 South 3rd Street
6	
7	Proceedings had and testimony given in the
8	above-entitled matter before DAVID L. BOROWSKI, 731
9	North Jackson Street, Suite 824, Milwaukee, Wisconsin
10	on the June 3rd, 2002, at 9:00 a.m., 200 East Wells
11	Street, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, before Terese M.
12	Schiebenes of Milwaukee Reporters Associated, Inc.
13	APPEARANCES
14	DAIN MADDOX, 806 South 3rd Street, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, Complainant.
15	DAVID LARSON, Owner of 736 South 3rd Street,
	Milwaukee, Wisconsin.
16	DEPARTMENT OF NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES, by
	PANDORA BENDER, 200 East Wells Street.
17	

00002 1 PROCEEDINGS HEARING EXAMINER BOROWSKI: Good morning, everyone. My name is David Borowski. I'm an 4 attorney. I was retained by the Utilities & Licenses 5 Committee to conduct these two hearings this morning. 6 The first one that we'll do this morning, as it seems 7 there's more people to testify on that matter, is the 8 rooming house or licensed dwelling facility located at 9 736 South 3rd Street. 10 The way we will proceed today is everyone 11 will have a chance to testify and documents that have 12 been submitted thus far. Dain Maddox, is he present? 13 All right, Mr. Maddox is essentially the complainant 14 in this case. Is the applicant present, as well? 15 AUDIENCE MEMBER: Yes. 16 HEARING EXAMINER BOROWSKI: The owner of the 17 rooming house? 18 AUDIENCE MEMBER: Yes. 19 HEARING EXAMINER BOROWSKI: If the two of 20 you gentlemen want to step up, and we'll get started. 21 Before we get started with testimony, as I indicated, 22 I was retained by the Utilities & Licenses Committee 23 to conduct these hearings under Chapter 275 of the 24 Milwaukee Code of Ordinances. The way we will proceed 25 is everyone will have a chance to testify today,

2.4

submit exhibits and other testimony. In the course of the next week to 10 days, I will submit a findings of fact and conclusions of law as a recommendation to the Utilities & Licenses Committee on June -- I'll submit them by June 12th. There will be a hearing on June 14th in front of the Utilities & Licenses Committee where I will make my recommendations. The U & L Committee will then act on those recommendations and make a recommendation one way or the other to the full Common Council.

In terms of options, there's three options for me to recommend and for the Council and the Utilities & Licenses Committee; either renewing the license, denying the renewal of the license, or the possibility of a suspension of the license. Before we start testimony today, we have a court reporter. She will be taking everthing down. I would ask that everybody try not to talk over another person. Everyone will have a chance to testify, but she can only take down one thing at a time, so if you can try to not talk over anyone else. Everyone will be sworn in to give their testimony. First of all, Mr. Maddox, if you could raise your right hand.

(Whereupon, DAIN MADDOX was duly sworn.)
HEARING EXAMINER BOROWSKI: And Mr. Larsen,

00004 1 if you could raise your right hand. (Whereupon, DAVID LARSON was duly sworn.) HEARING EXAMINER BOROWSKI: Procedurally, 3 4 the way we will proceed is to allow Mr. Maddox, since 5 he's the complainant, to call his witnesses and submit 6 whatever written testimony or documents he may have, 7 and then the applicant, Mr. Larson, will have an 8 opportunity to cross-examine those witnesses. After 9 Mr. Maddox has called all of his witnesses, then we 10 will reverse that procedure, and Mr. Larsen will have 11 a chance to call his witnesses, with Mr. Maddox having 12 a chance to cross-examine those witnesses. Any 13 questions on the procedure? 14 MR. MADDOX: No, sir. 15 HEARING EXAMINER BOROWSKI: Mr. Maddox, you 16 can call your first witness. 17 MR. MADDOX: May I -- excuse me -- may I 18 make an opening statement about some context? 19 HEARING EXAMINER BOROWSKI: Yes. 20 MR. MADDOX: First, Mr. Borowski, thank you 21 for permitting us to bring this issue before you. I 22 have lived in the immediate neighborhood, I live at 23 806 South 3rd Street, less than a block from the 2.4 rooming house up for renewal, and I've lived in the 25 neighborhood for nearly 20 years. I walk the

2.4

neighborhood often. I have a small business in the neighborhood. I also work downtown. I go past this property to and from work.

This particular property has been -- has had an amazing and significantly adverse impact on our neighborhood, and you'll be hearing testimony today to that effect. I would like to just raise a couple of points. There are -- and I'm submitting for the record a density map showing the number of rooming houses in the neighborhood. This map was prepared by the city's Legislative Reference Bureau at the request of Alderman Sanchez. There are 12 rooming houses within a three block area, there are 18 rooming houses within a six block area.

One of the problems that occurs is that you get a lot of -- at this particular rooming house at 736 -- I'm sorry. One other point. This rooming house is immediately across the street from the new Tech High School, immediately, 20, 30 feet from the high school, and it is one block from the Vieau Elementary School.

Specific to this rooming house -- and these problems have been going on for many years. I know Mr. Larson, I'm not sure how long he's owned the property, but certainly the problems that I'm

2.4

testifying to and others today are specific to the last year. There's a constant loud and ruckus noise. There are no doorbells. The way a tenant is called down is by honking and yelling, rocks and pebbles being thrown at the windows. This goes on all hours of the day and night. There's a deck off the east end, the rear of the building. It's very common, particularly last summer, for tenants to sit out there with loud stereo systems, drink beer, throw their bottles into the street. On one occasion I saw a fellow leaning over the balcony urinating on the sidewalk.

As I mentioned, I have a small business in the neighborhood, and I walk there frequently. I have been yelled at, screamed at, harassed, had things thrown at me by the tenants of the building sitting on the back deck, who were obviously intoxicated or some other altered mind state, yelling and fighting. I'm going to be submitting a police report that shows fighting. In fact, tenants have called police on fighting. This is my only copy, but it is a public record. This is a copy of -- I'm sorry, I need to look at it just a second. Thank you.

This is a police report prepared by the -- prepared at the request of the alderman. This shows

2.4

nine calls to this property in the last six months. I made a couple of those calls, Mr. Larson made a couple of those calls because of problems with his own tenants, tenants made calls to the police because people wouldn't leave their room. They were drinking and smoking, drinking and smoking drugs. One tenant called because his apartment was broken into. Nine calls to the police in the last six months. And that's one of the criteria for nonrenewal is frequency of police calls.

One of my main concerns is that there is clearly prostitution and drug sales occurring at this property. The police are informed of this, the police are watching this, and there are witnesses here today to testify to seeing prostitutes standing on the corner taking people inside the building.

 $\,$  My last submission are some photos. This shows -- and the photos have my name on the back -- the date that the photo was taken and the address of the property.

 $\label{eq:hearing_examiner_borowski:} \mbox{ Did you take those yourselves?}$ 

MR. MADDOX: Yes, sir, I took these myself. The first couple of photos are taken from the east side of the building looking west and show the litter

2.4

that's always in the back yard and was always in the back until a week ago when Mr. Larson cleaned up the property, and I only assume in anticipation of this hearing. The next two photos -- actually, the next three photos are from the front of the building, the west side of the building. Although the photos are rather dark, you can see -- and one photo shows it more clearly -- there's all sorts of litter, including a gallon of freshly spilled paint on the entranceway of the property. One of the photos shows Mr. Larson sitting in his car.

I happened to be working in my front yard this particular day. I saw the litter on the street and the sidewalk up to the front of the house. I was working in the front yard, I saw Larson pull up. I waited, he got out of his car, did some things. He was probably there after an hour or so going in and out of the building. He was getting back into his car -- and this litter, this old clothing, broken glass, the paint is still fresh -- I walked up to Mr. Larson, knocked on his -- I approached his car. He rolled down the window. I asked him if he owned the property. He said he did. I asked him if he were going to clean up this mess. He said, "It's not my mess. I don't have to clean up anything around here."

00009	
1	I was working in the front yard about 15, 20 minutes
2	later. He then proceeded to clean up the mess.
3	There were constant repeated problems with
4	the property, problems with the tenants, loud ruckus
5	behavior, prostitution, drug sales, and total
6	disregard by the owner of his own admission even of
7	doing such simple things as cleaning up debris in
8	front of the house. Thank you, sir.
9	HEARING EXAMINER BOROWSKI: Thank you.
10	MR. MADDOX: I'd like to call Dave Martin,
11	please.
12	HEARING EXAMINER BOROWSKI: State your name
13	for the record, spell your last name for the court
14	reporter, please.
15	MR. MARTIN: David Martin, M-A-R-T-I-N.
16	(Whereupon, DAVID MARTIN was duly sworn.)
17	HEARING EXAMINER BOROWSKI: Go ahead, Mr.
18	Maddox.
19	MR. MADDOX: I'll let Mr. Martin testify.
20	HEARING EXAMINER BOROWSKI: You just want
21	him to testify? Do you want to ask him any questions
22	first?
23	MR. MADDOX: No, sir. Let him testify of
24	his own experiences.
25	HEARING EXAMINER BOROWSKI: I'll let Mr.

Martin testify, then Mr. Larson will have a chance to cross-examine. Mr. Martin, go ahead.

MR. MARTIN: My name is Dave Martin, and I live at 828 South 3rd Street in Walker's Point. I am here today to oppose the renewal of a rooming house permit for 736 South 3rd Street. I was a witness to a very disturbing riot — at least it looked like a riot — that took place on the sidewalk adjacent to the side entrance to this property in early summer of 2001. There were two men fighting at the center of the approximately 15 people. On May 7th, 2002, approximately 3:00 p.m., I witnessed a group of two women and approximately five to seven young men high school to college age collect around the side entrance of the rooming house and file through the door. One young man had his bicycle and carried into it into his house on his shoulder. Thanks.

HEARING EXAMINER BOROWSKI: Mr. Larson, sorry to interrupt. The court reporter is going to ask later, you need to speak into the microphone so she can hear you and also anybody else that's present can hear you.

0001	1	
1		EXAMINATION
2	MR.	LARSON:
3	Q	What is the issue with the bicycle?
4	A	I saw it.
5	Q	Is there something wrong about that?
6	A	I saw one guy had a bicycle. They were all very
7		young. It was a disturbing scene to me.
8	Q	To carry a bicycle in the building?
9	A	Um-hum.
10	Q	I don't know why that would be an issue. I don't
11		think that's a law violation I guess I don't
12		understand your point.
13	Α	Well, that's what I saw, sir.
14		HEARING EXAMINER BOROWSKI: Anything else,
15		Mr. Martin?
16		MR. MARTIN: No.
17		HEARING EXAMINER BOROWSKI: Mr. Maddox?
18		EXAMINATION
19	BY	MR. MADDOX:
20	Q	But your point is that you did see some fighting
21		occur?
22	Α	Correct.
23		MR. MADDOX: That's the point, sir.
24		HEARING EXAMINER BOROWSKI: Do you know who
25		the fight involved?

MR. MARTIN: I was too far away, and I was on my way to my car. All I saw is that there was an altercation, and everybody was participating in it, but it was focused on the two gentlemen.

 $\label{eq:hearing_examiner_borowski:} \mbox{ Do you know who the two gentlemen were:}$ 

MR. MARTIN: I do not.

MR. MADDOX: Do you know if they were -The statute says or the ordinance says that the owner
or employee, a resident, a guest, or anyone else
associated with any of the four. Clearly these folks
were there associated with either residents or guests
of the rooming house.

MR. MARTIN: I remember being upset -HEARING EXAMINER BOROWSKI: I do not want to
be in a position where I'm asking questions, so I'm
going to try to avoid that as much as possible,
however, just to get clarity on the testimony, one of
the things that I need to know not only from you, Mr.
Martin, but from anyone else who testifies regarding
things they saw is correct what Mr. Maddox said, I
need to know if you knew where the people came from,
were they employees, were they tenants of the property
as opposed to someone who just might have been walking
down the street in front of the property. There's a

00013 1 significant distinction legally, and so you or anyone else who testifies just be as specific as possible. Don't guess, but if you know they were tenants, if you 4 know they were employees, if you saw them come in and 5 out of the property, that's information I'd like to 6 have. 7 MR. MARTIN: What I can say is that most of 8 the people were within several feet of the side 9 entrance. 10 MR. LARSON: Could I add something? 11 HEARING EXAMINER BOROWSKI: You can ask 12 another follow-up, yes. 13 MR. LARSON: Well, I'd just like to make a 14 point that when the high school --15 HEARING EXAMINER BOROWSKI: You will --16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

After -- Right now, what I want you to do is just cross-examine Mr. Maddox's witnesses, asking them questions each time. You'll get your chance for you to testify yourself for you to present all of your own witnesses after the complainant is essentially done with his presentation. So just to keep it clear and keep the record easy, I don't want it to go back and forth. So you can ask questions right now, and later on, you'll get the opportunity to make your own statement and call your own witnesses.

0001	4	
1		MR. LARSON: I understand. Can I ask a
2		question?
3		HEARING EXAMINER BOROWSKI: If you have a
4		question for Mr. Martin, yes, go ahead.
5		MR. LARSON:
6	Q	Mr. Martin, are you aware that there's a bus stop
7		directly in front of this building?
8	Α	Yes, I am.
9	Q	The bus stop obviously draws traffic from the local
10		area. The accumulation of people out in front doesn't
11		necessarily indicate that they were people from my
12		building. So are you able to identify any of the
13		people that were involved as tenants of my building?
14	A	This had nothing to do with the bus.
15	Q	How do you know that?
16	Α	Because the bus wasn't there, and they weren't
17	Q	The purpose of a bus stop is for people to wait for
18		the bus.
19		MR. MADDOX: I believe Mr. Martin answered
20		the question.
21		MR. MARTIN: I answered the question.
22		HEARING EXAMINER BOROWSKI: Do you have any
23		other follow-ups, Mr. Larson?
24		MR. LARSON: No.
25		HEARING EXAMINER BOROWSKI: Anything else?

00015 1 MR. MARTIN: No. HEARING EXAMINER BOROWSKI: All right. Thank you, Mr. Martin. You can have a seat, and Mr. 4 Maddox can call his next witness. 5 MR. MADDOX: Tracy Williams, please. 6 MS. WILLIAMS: My name is Tracy Williams, 7 W-I-L-L-I-A-M-S. I live at 821 South 3rd Street. 8 I've lived in the neighborhood for over 15 years. 9 (Whereupon, TRACY WILLIAMS was duly sworn.) 10 MS. WILLIAMS: One comment I want to make is 11 the bus stop was not there a year ago. It moved when 12 Tech High School started construction. The bus stop 13 has always been in the middle of National -- along 14 National between 3rd and 4th, and when they started 15 construction of Tech, which didn't start until last 16 fall, then they moved the bus stop down to in front of 17 the rooming house. And I believe Mr. Martin's 18 testimony was from over a year ago. 19 I wanted to comment regarding the 20 prostitution. I've seen a woman stand on the corner 21 on several occasion, most notably Friday. When I got 22 home around 4:00, I parked in front of my house, and I 23 went -- I looked at the corner, and there were two 24 women out there, and a car pulled up, and they talked 25 to somebody in the car, he pulled around and parked in

```
00016
        the Junior House parking lot, and then they came back
1
        out, talked for a little bit, and then they all went
        up into the rooming house. And that's not the first
4
        time I've seen the woman -- It's the first time where
5
        I saw them actually move and have somebody go with
 6
        them, but it's not the first time I've seen a woman
 7
        stand at that corner. And a bus goes by, they're not
        getting on the bus. And that's my testimony.
8
9
                  HEARING EXAMINER BOROWSKI: Questions, Mr.
10
        Larson?
11
                  MR. LARSON: Just one.
12
                           EXAMINATION
13
   BY MR. LARSON:
14
       How do you know that that had anything to do with
15
        prostitution?
16
        Because they are standing -- this is an assumption, I
17
        don't know -- but they're standing on the corner
18
        outside. If you live in the place, why would you
19
        stand out on the corner? Most people don't do that.
20
        It's unusual. And then to talk to people to a car and
21
        then you see it on several occasions, and these people
22
        standing on the corner, that I'm assuming that there
23
        is something more going on than them just waiting for
24
        friends. Most people stay in their house, their
```

friends knock on the door, they don't stand outside on

```
00017
        a corner and try and talk to people as cars go by. So
1
        I don't know for sure, but that's an assumption.
       You don't know for sure that this had anything to do
4
        with prostitution?
5
       All I can tell you is what I saw, and that's what I
6
        saw.
7
   Q I understand.
8
                  HEARING EXAMINER BOROWSKI: Any other
9
        questions, Mr. Larson?
10
                  MR. LARSON: No, sir.
11
                  HEARING EXAMINER BOROWSKI: Anything else,
12
        Ms. Williams?
13
                  MS. WILLIAMS: No.
14
                  HEARING EXAMINER BOROWSKI: Before I excuse
15
         you, you are familiar -- You work for the city,
16
        right?
17
                  MS. WILLIAMS: Yes, I do.
18
                  HEARING EXAMINER BOROWSKI: You're
19
         testifying in your own capacity?
20
                  MS. WILLIAMS: That's correct. I'm on
21
        vacation right now.
22
                  HEARING EXAMINER BOROWSKI: I just wanted
23
        that clear for the record. Thank you.
2.4
                  MR. MADDOX: Pat Koehn.
25
                   (Whereupon, PATRICIA KOEHN was duly sworn.)
```

MS. KEOHN: Patricia Koehn, K-O-E-H-N. I live at 640 South 3rd Street. I'm right in bird's eye view of what goes on in that building. I don't know if I can discuss this, but we do have vice squad working on this building right now, and I'm going to let it out, okay. There has been, in my observation, I have sat on my steps -- And let me start -- Because if I would have known this, I would have brought a notebook in.

We have vehicles pulling up there and specifically vehicles coming and spending maybe a few minutes, a man with red hair -- not you, sir -- but somebody with short cropped hair, about 250 pounds, six foot tall, standing by the bus stop acting like he's waiting for the bus for like six hours, and all he's doing is going from vehicle to vehicle servicing them, it seems, unless he's got a fabulous amount of friends. I doubt that. And I'm very angry about this, and I have -- my suspicions are is the man is a drug dealer.

Now, I'm on the phone Friday -- and I can recall this -- a white car, four-door, African-American, about six feet tall -- let's see -- pulls up on West Pierce Street with another car. The guy gets out of his car -- I'm on my telephone talking

2.4

to my niece -- and the guy gets out of his car, the car in front of him gets out, they trade money, he gets back in his vehicle, they both look at my window, I roll the window up and wave to them, and they took off. Now, this white car, which I have the plates for, went back around to the 736 building and continued defiantly, he saw me, and he still continued to actively engage in conversation with people that were tenants of that building. And then he would take off, and he'd go for a ride, and then he'd come back. And this went on for an hour.

Now, I don't -- You know, I don't think you have to be a rocket scientist to figure out what's going on here. No, I haven't been in the building, no, I don't know what's going on in the rooms, but I can tell you that I think there's a lot of drug dealing going on there. And I'm an MPS employee, and I'm very upset about this because I'm seeing kids going by that building, and God only knows if they're being enticed in there to buy drugs. And there's a lot of children. And I'm going to get the principal from Milwaukee Tech involved in this. I'm going to see Mr. Peters personally today.

I just want you to also know that I own a rooming house. I own the 224 West Pierce property. I

2.4

bought it out of necessity. My husband and I were forced to buy it because it was full of ratty-type characters that were just making a lot of noise, a lot of drinking, drug use, and prostitutes going in and out of there. We had no control over that property until the landlord, the owner offered it to us. We didn't have any money, but we bought the building. We've worked on the building the last five years. With the little money we make, we've put money into it and rehabbed the property out of our own funding.

Today I can say -- and all my neighbors will vouch for this -- is I have very excellent tenants in there. They pay their rent, they're very quiet people, they don't hang out outside the building. They go in their house at night, and they go to bed, and they get up and go to their jobs in the morning. I have two men that are disabled who find it necessary to go to work every day. They go and find stuff to do in the neighborhood, fix people's -- you know, one guy, he likes to fix things, and another guy, he cuts lawns, and he's 62 years old.

I'm very proud of what we've done over there, and we have very good tenants. And like I said, nobody's waging war against me or coming after me, because I'm responsible and I'm accountable for

00021 ownership. And that's what I demand here to be done. 1 Something has to be done about this property. Because I just ran the rats out of my building, I want the 4 rats out of that building. And I'm fed up with it. 5 I'm sick of fighting. I'm sick of having to protect 6 and fight for my property. I'm a stakeholder. I'm 7 here to stay, these people behind me are here to stay. 8 We have all invested money into our homes. We've 9 worked hard. We're not wealthy, rich people, we're 10 all working class people. 11 So that's all I have to say. My husband 12 will probably fill in the blanks for me. 13 HEARING EXAMINER BOROWSKI: All right. Mr. 14 Larson, questions? 15 MR. LARSON: Just one. 16 EXAMINATION 17 BY MR. LARSON: 18 The idea of the vice squad having the building under 19 observation comes as no surprise to me. I was 20 wondering if you know how long that has been the case? 21 That's none of my business. They don't talk about it. 22 Once they go in, that's their business. 23 I have a letter here directed to Detective Valcarcel 2.4 from August of last year asking for help on the drug

issues, and that was a follow-up letter to an earlier

phone conversation that we had had. So I'm not denying that there have been people in the building that have used drugs or dealt drugs, but that's a --it's a difficult thing to prove with some people, which is why I asked for his help. Well, I've been asking them for the last three months. When did you call vice squad? Well, the date of this letter is August 24th. I had 

Q Well, the date of this letter is August 24th. I had been down to the 2nd District Police Station to express my concerns previous to that. I don't have dates on that, but my recollection is it was about a year ago. And I had some difficulty getting return information from the police, I assumed because of the privacy, the nature of this business, and the fact that they had been watching the building.

16 A Mr. Larson, I want to ask you a question when you're done.

HEARING EXAMINER BOROWSKI: All right. This already got into a little bit more of a dialogue than I would like. Let's leave Mr. Larson's testimony for when it's his opportunity. You can present all that when it's your testimony, and Mr. Maddox will have a chance to cross-examine. Thank you.

MS. KEOHN: Thank you.
MR. MADDOX: Mike Koehn.

2.4

MR. KOEHN: I'm Mike Koehn. I live at 640 South 3rd. Patricia's husband.

(Whereupon, MIKE KOEHN was Mike duly sworn.)

MR. KEOHN: Regarding the matter of us and our rooming home, as my wife had mentioned, we purchased the home because when we moved in there, we understood that that came with the neighborhood. And we had a chance to see what was going on, and the only way we felt we could rectify it was if the opportunity came to purchase the property and to get control of it, because we live there, and we felt that we could keep an eye on things.

One of the things that we had to do was rat out a couple of bad tenants. And after we were able to remove these tenants who were causing the same types of problems that Mr. Larson had, we had to find a way to keep good tenants. One of those ways was to set up a system of both screening and rules and regulations, and then after we had the screening and the rules and regulations, we had to have self-enforcement. Because we're property owners and we live there, the enforcement is a little bit easier, but I can tell you that, you know, we have to be on top of things all the time with our tenants with regards to maintenance of their particular room, with

2.4

regards to their getting rid of the trash, with regards to respect of others' rights, including people living in the building that have to go to work.

The rules were posted. These people were screened. We did criminal background checks on them. These are things that, if you're going to be in the business of a rooming house, I think it's just good business. You have to look at the type of people you're putting in, and you can't just exchange dollars for taking dollars and having the cash flow.

What my wife said, she's observed and I've observed, and I can substantiate the same things, that the people have been milling around, drinking openly. We come and go. Both of us go to work. We pass that building quite often. We see people hanging out, they're going to the building, look like -- whether they're tenants or not, they're doing something there, and we can't substantiate exactly what it is, whether it's prostitution, drugs, or whatever. My testimony was just to say that you can run a rooming house if it's run in the proper manner without being an absentee landlord and have people who will respect your property and will live in the neighborhood and be good neighbors with the rest of the people that live there.

00025 1 And we've been there eight years, we've owned this rooming house about four years, so I've put a substantial amount of money and time into it to make 4 it a property where I know that the rest of the 5 neighborhood would be happy that these people are 6 living there and not causing problems. 7 HEARING EXAMINER BOROWSKI: Mr. Larson? 8 MR. LARSON: Yes, sir. 9 EXAMINATION 10 BY MR. LARSON: 11 Are you aware of any of the procedures that I have in 12 place for checking backgrounds? 13 No, I'm not aware. I just know that if you follow 14 procedures, you're not going to get 100 percent, but 15 we make our people follow rules, and if they don't and 16 they don't toe the line -- I mean, this is my 17 business, your business is your business -- we have 18 a way of vacating them very quickly, all within the 19 legal limits of the law. We've researched it and 20 found out we don't have to go through sheriff removal 21 or anything, we know how to terminate them and have 22 them out of there in a very quick manner. And it is 23 the only way you can run it, because some people just

won't follow rules no matter what you do.

And I would recommend highly that anybody

2.4

```
00026
1
        who is in that business take a look at it, because
        there's very bad stigma with rooming houses, and
        rightfully so, because when we took it over, we had
4
        similar problems, but we rectified them, and we don't
5
        have that problem. In fact, we have a room that's
 6
        been vacated, and I'm renovating it right now, and you
 7
        know, I could use the cash flow, but I want to see
8
        that the room is renovated correctly and that I have a
9
         tenant that's going to respect and fit in and respect
10
        the neighborhood as well as my property. And without
11
         that, I'd rather have the thing empty for a year until
12
        I find the right person or persons.
13
                  HEARING EXAMINER BOROWSKI: Any other
14
         questions, Mr. Larson?
15
                  MR. LARSON: No, sir.
16
                   HEARING EXAMINER BOROWSKI: Anything else,
17
        Mr. Koehn?
18
                  MR. KEOHN: No.
19
                  HEARING EXAMINER BOROWSKI: Mr. Maddox,
20
         anything else for Mr. Koehn?
21
                  MR. MADDOX: No. Two more witnesses,
22
        please. Thank you, Mike. Sue Potts, please.
23
                  MS. POTTS: My name is Susan Potts,
2.4
        P-O-T-T-S, and I live at 934 South 3rd Street,
25
        approximately two blocks away from this rooming house.
```

00027 1 (Whereupon, SUSAN POTTS was duly sworn.) 2 HEARING EXAMINER BOROWSKI: Go ahead. 3 MS. POTTS: This property has been a 4 problem, the rooming house portion of it, since I've 5 lived in the neighborhood, and that's about 12 years, 6 and historically, there has been difficulty by all of 7 the owners trying to control the tenants in this rooming house. Recently there has been much more 8 9 activity. I can speak specifically to my assumption 10 that the woman who was standing on the corner with 11 some regularity does not wait for the bus and on one 12 occasion has taken someone that she flagged down in a 13 car upstairs, I'm going to assume that this woman is 14 engaged in prostitution, because this is an educated 15 opinion as opposed to just a mere guess. I think 16 that, you know, we can assume, that we are smart 17 enough to be able to judge or at lease have an 18 educated opinion about whether this is illegal 19 activity or not. 20 I walk my dogs past the property and also 21 22

23 24

25

I walk my dogs past the property and also own a small building or part owner of a small building about half a block away. There have been continued problems. I think by Mr. Larson's own admission, he can't get control of his tenants. No one has been able to get control of his tenants. I think

00028	
1	historically there's a time when it becomes obvious
2	this should not be a rooming house, because it's just
3	not working out. And I think that is probably the
4	best argument. Mr. Larson can't get control with his
5	neighbors, we never have control. I think he needs to
6	find a better use for this property.
7	HEARING EXAMINER BOROWSKI: Ms. Potts
8	First of all, go ahead, Mr. Larson. Any questions?
9	MR. LARSON: No questions.
10	HEARING EXAMINER BOROWSKI: Ms. Potts,
11	the woman that you mentioned, did you see her go into
12	the building in question, 736 South 3rd?
13	MS. POTTS: On one occasion, I saw her take
14	a man into the building, and other times I saw her
15	making motions to cars or passing by.
16	HEARING EXAMINER BOROWSKI: What time of day
17	did this go on?
18	MS. POTTS: Late afternoon, early evening is
19	the time that I usually pass. I don't know if it's
20	any other time.
21	HEARING EXAMINER BOROWSKI: All right. And
22	Ms. Potts, anything else to add?
23	MS. POTTS: No.
24	HEARING EXAMINER BOROWSKI: Any other
25	questions, Mr. Larson?

00029 1 MR. LARSON: No, sir. 2 HEARING EXAMINER BOROWSKI: Thank you. 3 MR. MADDOX: Thank you. Ms. Lopez. 4 MS. LOPEZ: Good morning. My name is 5 Catalina Lopez, L-O-P-E-Z. I live at 713 South 4th, 6 and I have lived in the neighborhood since I was 14. 7 (Whereupon, CATALINA LOPEZ was duly sworn.) 8 MS. LOPEZ: So I've been living there for a 9 long time seeing people come in and coming out, buying 10 homes, selling homes, people I really like, people I 11 didn't like. On the corner -- I don't live on 3rd, 12 but I live on 4th, and I used to drive, I had a 13 stroke, but I do go by there, and there has been women 14 out there. And most of the people that go in there or 15 come out there, sometimes I'd stand on the corner 16 maybe 15 minutes, and they're going in and out I'd say 17 at least four times in 15 minutes. They look like 18 they are sour drunk. And to me, a rooming house 19 represents not mobility. I've lived in my 20 neighborhood a long time, and I like to know my 21 people. 22 Number two, I like to know if in other areas 23 they have rooming houses, and if they're so good, they 2.4 wouldn't have the traffic that they have, like he said 25 about the traffic. They would have it in Oak Creek,

00030 they would have it in Shorewood, they would have it 1 everywhere, but they have it in our area. We have taverns, too. And I don't like people coming in and 4 out, every Tom, Dick, and Harry. And that's about 5 all -- I'm saying this from my heart, from a person 6 that has been born in Milwaukee, I lived in this area 7 for a long time, I had a business 25 years before A & 8 9 I like to know my neighbors, and I don't 10 like those neighbors. I don't like those neighbors, 11 and it isn't because I don't know them, it's because 12 they're always rolling over from one to another. And 13 to me, when you buy a home or when you live in the 14 area, you want mobility. I think that's a very strong 15 statement. And I think that's about it. That's all I 16 have to say. Thank you. 17 HEARING EXAMINER BOROWSKI: You're welcome. 18 Mr. Larson? 19 MR. LARSON: No questions. 20 HEARING EXAMINER BOROWSKI: Any other 21 witnesses, Mr. Maddox? 22 MR. MADDOX: No, sir. 23 HEARING EXAMINER BOROWSKI: Anything else 2.4 you want to add?

MR. MADDOX: Am I to make a closing

00031 1 statement now or at the close? HEARING EXAMINER BOROWSKI: Let's wait until the end. Do you have any documents or photos or 4 anything else you want to submit? 5 MR. MADDOX: One other document. 6 HEARING EXAMINER BOROWSKI: What is it? 7 MR. MADDOX: It's a letter. It is addressed 8 to me, it is from Mr. Curt Olinger, who owns a rooming 9 house at 802 South 3rd Street, which is north of me, 10 south of 736. Mr. Olinger has owned that rooming 11 house, he and his father, for several years, and we 12 worked out a very workable congenial relationship, and 13 Mr. Olinger is very good about immediately addressing 14 problems. 15 This letter, as you can see, I told him that 16 there was a tenant that was causing some problems. He 17 sent this letter back to me telling me that that 18 tenant no longer lives at that address and is no 19 longer permitted on the property. He goes on to say, 20 however, this person does associate with many of the 21 tenants who live across the street at 736 South 3rd. 22 The point being that there are  $\ensuremath{\text{--}}$  anyone who knows 23 anything about 736, apparently, except Mr. Larson, 24 recognizes that there are numerous repeatable problems

with the tenants, the guests, and the operation,

00032 1 including other rooming house owners, Mr. Olinger, via this letter, as well as two other people who testified today who own rooming houses. 4 Thank you. That's all the additional 5 information. 6 HEARING EXAMINER BOROWSKI: Thank you. 7 Mr. Larson? 8 MR. LARSON: Can I make a statement? 9 HEARING EXAMINER BOROWSKI: You can make a 10 statement first, yes, and then call whatever witnesses 11 you wish. 12 MR. LARSON: I guess after listening to the 13 various witnesses in opposition to the renewal of this 14 rooming house license, I can certainly understand 15 their concern. My questioning of those witnesses I 16 think I kind of, as time went on, thought there really 17 wasn't much of a point in questioning what they're 18 seeing, because I believe what they're seeing is 19 accurate. I don't necessarily agree with all of the

interpretations of what they see, but I have no doubt

citizen, and I do understand their concerns about the

neighborhood. If I were in their position, I would

that everyone that was called here as a witness this

morning to this point appears to be a very solid

have the same concerns.

20

21

22

23

2.4

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

There are, I believe, though, some extenuating circumstances, I think, that make this a little more than an open and shut that this is a bad place full of bad people conclusion. I'm wondering -- Now, I've owned this building since November 8th of the year 2000, and other than Mr. Maddox, no one has ever approached me, called me regarding any complaints about this building or any of the tenants that are in it.

And what Mr. Maddox said was -- I would agree with what he said -- regarding the pictures that he has and the things that he said, except that I don't agree with how he said I responded to that. did get out and clean things up. But the circumstance there was a tenant had gotten drunk the night before, not in my building but in the local bars around there, and had, for whatever reason, completely lost control and did extensive damage to the inside of my building, and that included breaking windows, which resulted in the gallon of paint that was spilled on the sidewalk outside, and trash, clothes all over the place. There's no exaggeration as to what Mr. Maddox said. The only thing that I would like to have on the record regarding that incident, though, is that the tenant made the repairs to the building and did some of the

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

clean-up, which was my intent in holding this person responsible, and shortly after that, I took Mr. Maddox's comments to heart and thought okay, I understand his point. This shouldn't wait for clean-up, and I spent time cleaning things up, including broken glass in front of the building.

With regard to trash, I think you've -anyone that's lived in that neighborhood can see how the trash has accumulated over time, especially for people walking from one bus stop down on 2nd Street up to this bus stop or just walking past the building, whatever the case might be, plus the congregation of students. Since that bus stop was moved there, I believe that was -- I would agree with one of the witnesses who said it was just when the construction started for the new high school, I believe that is accurate. But I was never asked by the city or whoever is responsible for -- I guess that would be the County, the Transportation Department -- I was never consulted as to whether that was a proper place for the bus stop, and I wish it weren't there, because it's caused me damage to the building from students, and I've been there at approximately 2:30 when many of the students are out, and I've seen the trash accumulate and damage to my building occur. So I

think the bus stop being there hasn't done anybody any favors in terms of that particular location and people that have to walk by or just live in the neighborhood.

I have made a more concerted effort to keep the grounds clean, and I think in contrast to what Mr. Maddox said, that had nothing to do with this hearing. Actually, as I was -- The building is now for sale. I don't know if everyone knew that, but I put the building up for sale a week ago today, had attempted to sell it once before. And the reasons for that are I bought this as an investment opportunity. It hasn't proved to be that. There have been structural problems in the building -- I shouldn't say structural, but plumbing issues that prohibited a tenant from being downstairs, and I currently am suing the previous owners for the recollection of monies that I had spent to make it rentable or to be able to sell the building.

With regard to renting to tenants and doing screenings on them and things like that, I do have some copies of documents that I use. I have a room rental application, which is relatively extensive as far as the backgrounds go on these potential tenants, I do have a tenant agreement, which specifically mentions things like no drugs, no involvement in

00036 1 illegal or unlawful activities on or near the premises. So I'm just making the point that I do have things in place that are difficult to enforce 4 certainly, but the attempt is there. 5 I have a guest policy, which is highlighted 6 here about a curfew in terms of having quests there no 7 later than 11:00 p.m. I can't be there all the time, 8 so it's difficult for me to enforce, but I do have a 9 person in the building who does the cleaning for me. 10 He's also -- he refers to himself as the manager. 11 He's not the manager, but he is my eyes and ears and 12 will report things to me. 13 HEARING EXAMINER BOROWSKI: What's his name? 14

MR. LARSON: His name is Lester Valenzuela. HEARING EXAMINER BOROWSKI: Is he here

today?

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. LARSON: No, he's not. He's working. And the other thing I have is -- and this might have been -- one of the witnesses might have been referencing -- the use of a five-day notice for being able to remove tenants that either violate rules or fail to pay their rent or whatever the case might be. I do have that form here. And two of the phone calls that I had made to the police were involving getting the removal of one of my tenants using this form and

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

the knowledge of that system.

I previously mentioned that I had a letter here addressed to the Milwaukee Police Department, the vice squad specifically, Detective Valcarcel, and I'm a little unsure in terms of what they're doing or when they're doing it, because I haven't -- They don't report to me, obviously, but I had offered them keys to the building, if they wanted to make access easy, and they did not take me up on that offer. I had phone conversations with this detective, as well, and I haven't heard anything since shortly after this August 24th, 2001 letter, although they did remove one tenant from my building who happened to be a -- he was on probation, adult probation, and was removed for violating his probation with the use of drugs. happened -- I don't have an exact date, but it was somewhere around that time, late summer or early fall of last year.

I have here, too, a stack of nine former tenants that I kicked out of my building for problems that I had with them. So I guess this goes to say that I have been making attempts to clean things up at that building. I, too, was down to -- I had three open rooms. I have 10 rooms in that building, and I had three open rooms for an extensive period of time

00038 1 to -- as I was cleaning house, getting rid of those that I thought were bad tenants, were violating rules, weren't paying the rent, whatever the case might be, 4 and went some time without filling those rooms. I 5 currently have all 10 rooms full. 6 HEARING EXAMINER BOROWSKI: There's 10 rooms 7 in the property? 8 MR. LARSON: Correct. 9 HEARING EXAMINER BOROWSKI: And they're all 10 full right now? 11 MR. LARSON: Yes. I had mentioned the 12 police previously. I guess one thing that troubles me 13 is, as I said, I can certainly understand people's 14 concerns, but no one has approached me other than Mr. 15 Martin -- I'm sorry, Mr. Maddox, and that was just a 16 passing comment when he happened to be walking by, it 17 appeared. And I work in human services, and I've 18 overseen a group home operation that sometimes is in 19

a very similar situation in what this rooming house is now. People don't want them in their neighborhoods, but there's a need for them. Agreed, operation, a top-notch operation is what needs to happen to make everyone happy. And I'm not there 24 hours a day, I don't live there, but I do have good tenants in some respects, and I have some that probably shouldn't be

20

21

22

23 2.4

25

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

there and will be dealt with.

But I haven't received any complaints either from the police or from Alderman Sanchez or any of the people in the immediate neighborhood. So I guess the amount of opposition here today surprised me, because I haven't -- Other than the two letters that were in the file, I wasn't aware of anything else or anyone else's unhappiness regarding this situation.

I didn't ask for the school to be built across the street. That -- I knew that was going to happen when I bought the building. My thought was that it shouldn't make any difference, providing the rooming house were run in a good fashion. And as far as the downstairs tenant, there was -- I didn't hear it mentioned today, but I think Mr. Maddox had concern regarding a possible tavern license, and there was no intention on my part to have a tavern go in there. I had had a discussion some time ago, probably the better part of a year ago, with Alderman Sanchez about what type of a business might be acceptable in that neighborhood, and he made it clear that a tavern was not something that would be in the neighborhood's best interest, and most of our conversation was around restaurants, things like that, other businesses, but restaurants was probably the most important or

2.4

significant suggestion.

As I mentioned before, I'm currently on my second attempt to sell this building, and it's been nothing but a financial loss for me. In the 19 months or so that I've owned it, I've lost approximately \$8,000 on it, which is isn't a very good investment. And my reason for wanting to sell it is just that, it's been a poor investment for me. The main reason for that is that I haven't had a tenant downstairs in the commercial space for the majority of the time that I've owned the building, and there currently is no one in that space on the lower level.

I would like to say, though, that I've been in compliance with the city's expectations in terms of operating the rooming house and maintaining the property. I did receive a notice on graffiti from the city and had that cleaned up within the time period that I was instructed to do that. I also received a notice regarding some trees that were apparently diseased, and I had those trees removed within the time that was necessary.

As I mentioned, I do have an on-site caretaker that keeps the building clean. I had a licensing inspection in March, and that inspection went very well, and I was told my license would be

```
00041
1
        renewed at that point. Basically, that's it.
        I do have one witness.
                  HEARING EXAMINER BOROWSKI: First of all,
4
        before you call your witness, Mr. Maddox, do you have
5
         any questions for Mr. Larson?
 6
                  MR. MADDOX: Yes, just a couple, please.
 7
                   You said that you've owned the property and
8
         run it as a rooming house since November of 2000?
9
                  MR. LARSON: Correct.
10
                  MR. MADDOX: So by your own count, maybe 18,
11
         19 months?
12
                  MR. LARSON: Yes.
13
                  MR. MADDOX: And you've had nine evictions
14
         during that time?
15
                  MR. LARSON: No. I've had nine evictions
16
         during the year 2001.
17
                  MR. MADDOX: How many have you had total?
18
                  MR. LARSON: I don't have the number
19
         offhand. I could venture a guess.
20
                  MR. MADDOX: I'd want a number. I can
21
        guess, too. I'm not going to guess. It's your
22
        record, sir. How do you go about enforcing? By your
23
        own admission, sir, you said it's difficult to enforce
24
        the rules. You have an on-site manager or whatever
25
        the right title is apparently who's responsible for
```

00042 1 cleaning. I don't know, by your own admission, you can't enforce the very rules that you have; is that correct? 4 MR. LARSON: No, I didn't say I can't 5 enforce them, I said it's difficult. 6 MR. MADDOX: When are you there? How often 7 do you visit the property? 8 MR. LARSON: I have records here if you'd 9 like to see it. For tax purposes I keep track of my 10 trips to the building. And probably over the last six 11 months, I'm there nearly every day, previous to that, 12 not as frequent, but as the demands of the building 13 require it, that's how often I'm there. 14 MR. MADDOX: Did you call the police on your 15 own a couple of times over the last six months because 16 of problems with tenants, according to this police 17 record? 18 MR. LARSON: With one tenant. 19 MR. MADDOX: You made two calls? 20 MR. LARSON: Two calls on the same tenant. 21 MR. MADDOX: So you're having problems on your own with tenants? You had to call in police to 22 23 help you manage your tenants? 2.4 MR. LARSON: The reason I called in the 25 police on this particular tenant is that he wouldn't

00043 1 vacate his room. He hadn't paid rent, and he had been violating rules and causing difficulties with other people in the building, and I called the police to 4 have him removed. They gave him the benefit of the 5 doubt on the five-day notice. He claimed he never got 6 a five-day notice, and after I reissued it to him, he 7 was still there five days later, at which point, I 8 called the police, and they came and escorted him out 9 of the building. 10 MR. MADDOX: No more questions at this time. 11 HEARING EXAMINER BOROWSKI: All right. Mr. 12 Larson, before I have you call your witness, I just 13 want to ask you a couple of questions. First of all, 14 you acknowledge receiving notice of this meeting and 15 the possibility that your license could be affected, 16 whether that's revoked, suspended, or granted, 17 correct? 18 MR. LARSON: Yes, sir. 19 HEARING EXAMINER BOROWSKI: Okay. I think 20 you mentioned this earlier, but how many rooms are 21 there? 22 MR. LARSON: There are 10 rooms. 23 HEARING EXAMINER BOROWSKI: And they are all 2.4 rented right now?

MR. LARSON: Yes.

25

00044 1 HEARING EXAMINER BOROWSKI: What's the rent basis of the rooms; are they monthly, weekly? MR. LARSON: That depends. I have some 4 clients that either an agency pays for them, like 5 Wisconsin Correctional Service, I have one in that 6 situation, or I have one gentleman who's my witness 7 here that is able to pay his rent on a monthly basis 8 and receives a discount as a result of that, and I 9 have one that receives an SSI payment and makes a 10 monthly payment in that respect. All the rest are 11 weekly. I would prefer the monthly, because it's a 12 lot of work chasing people for their rent, but the 13 majority are weekly renters. The rents vary from 14 -- the smaller rooms are \$80 a week, and as they go 15 up, I have one room that's 90 and the rest are 85. 16 HEARING EXAMINER BOROWSKI: Mr. Larson, go 17 ahead, call your witness, please. 18 MR. LARSON: I'd like to call one of my 19 tenants who's been with me for seven months now. His 20 name is Albert Wellstein. 21 (Whereupon, ALBERT WELLSTEIN was duly 22 sworn.) HEARING EXAMINER BOROWSKI: Do you want to 23 2.4 make a statement or, Mr. Larson, do you have questions 25 for him?

00045 MR. LARSON: I'd like to just explain Mr. 1 2 Wellstein's situation and then I'd --MR. WELLSTEIN: I can explain it. 4 HEARING EXAMINER BOROWSKI: Yeah, why don't 5 we have him do that. 6 MR. WELLSTEIN: My name Albert Wellstein. I 7 was born in the City of Milwaukee. I believe I was 8 baptized at Our Lady of Guadalupe Parish when my 9 parents were married. And I hold a bachelor's degree 10 from the University of Wisconsin at Madison. I served 11 my country as a United States Peace Corps. volunteer 12 in the Island Paradise of Jamaica. It's in the West 13 Indies. I have been away from Milwaukee for nearly 17 14 or 18 years living in the east traveling extensively. 15 I'm a professional salesman. In the year 2000, I 16 returned to University to garner my master/webmaster 17 ranking and completed Drexel Commerce Program. That 18 is in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. I'm a card carrying 19 member of the Democratic National Committee. I have 20 volunteered for the Barrett For Governor campaign and 21 have been an observer since I left that campaign. 22 That status will be changing shortly. 23 I know Mr. Larson to be a good man, lenient 2.4 and fair. I live there largely because I could not 25 afford to live anywhere else. It is convenient. I am

2.4

a dedicated heterosexual. I like women. I married one. It just didn't work out. None of the women that live in the building -- I believe there are three black women and one Latino -- have ever offered any services to me. We get along quite well. Folks, this ain't the Ritz. But these people are poor like me, and we try to get along as best we can.

I am vehemently anti-drug, so I don't do drugs, I don't like people who do drugs. Now, I know there have been some problems there. Mr. Larson has been excellent about attending to them. Rarely do I complain. I keep to myself. I'm a fairly busy individual. And he has dealt with them fairly. He's reasonable with us who have trouble bringing the cash on a timely basis. Do I want to live there? I don't know, but it is a convenient place to live and serves a valuable service to these people who are poor, black, and Latino.

I'm glad I'm not here because there's a Barrett For Governor sign in the window. I'm glad I'm not here because I am a Democrat. I don't like Republicans. That is a statement of fact. That is why I'm here.

 $\label{eq:hearing_examiner_borowski:} \mbox{ Any follow-up,} \\ \mbox{Mr. Larson?}$ 

00047 1 MR. LARSON: When I had said I wanted to explain his situation, I was referring to his stay in my building. He began on one side of the building. I 4 don't know that anyone here has been in the building 5 other than Mr. Wellstein and myself, but the building 6 is split in two. He was on the west side of the 7 building for probably about six months and more 8 recently has moved to the east side of the building 9 for a larger room. So he has pretty good knowledge of 10 how things operate in the building on both sides. 11 There's four rooms on the west side and six rooms on 12 the east side, and he could speak for himself in terms 13 of if there's a difference between the two sides or 14 what kind of problems there might be in the building, 15 but he has a good flavor, having lived there for seven 16 months, what type of operation we have. 17 HEARING EXAMINER BOROWSKI: Mr. Maddox, any 18 questions? 19 MR. MADDOX: Yes, just a couple. 20 EXAMINATION 21 BY MR. MADDOX: 22 Mr. Wellstein, you've lived there since when? 23 February, I believe. Α 24 And you've lived there continuously from February 25 until today?

1 A Yes, sir. MR. MADDOX: That's the only question I 3 have. 4 HEARING EXAMINER BOROWSKI: All right. 5 Anything else, Mr. Wellstein? 6 MR. WELLSTEIN: None, except that I know Mr. 7 Larson again to be a good and fair man and a good 8 landlord. 9 HEARING EXAMINER BOROWSKI: Thank you. 10 Mr. Larson, do you have any other witnesses? 11 MR. LARSON: No, sir. Could I just correct 12 one statement, though? 13 HEARING EXAMINER BOROWSKI: Whose statement? 14 MR. LARSON: Mr. Wellstein in his response 15 as to how long he had been in the building. He 16 actually moved in in November of 2001 as opposed to 17 February. 18 HEARING EXAMINER BOROWSKI: All right. 19 First of all, before I close testimony, is there 20 anyone else here to testify in regard to the license 21 for the rooming house at 736 South 3rd Street? I'll take that as a no. Before I let you both do your closing statements, Mr. Larson, let me just ask you 22 23 24 this. Obviously, there was some testimony from 25 citizens and residents that indicated that there may

00048

2.4

be prostitution going on at the property or people coming in and out of the property and doing things that are illicit or potentially illegal. How do you respond to those allegations?

MR. LARSON: I'm not aware that there is any prostitution or illegal activity happening in or around the building, but I do understand from the observation of other people why they would draw that conclusion. There is a lot of traffic. I often find the door to the building at 736 South 3rd Street open when it's supposed to be locked at all times except when people are coming in and out. As a part of the guest policy that I have, the tenants are supposed to be locking the door behind their guests if their guests are leaving, or they're supposed to meet their guests at the door.

I understand the -- I don't doubt that there are people driving by honking their horns, things like that. There is no doorbell, that's correct. Those are things we could work on if it were felt that that was necessary.

HEARING EXAMINER BOROWSKI: There was testimony earlier regarding the issue of people driving by yelling, honking their horns. If there's not a doorbell on the property, if someone is picking

2.4

someone up, how do they contact them? How do they know they are out front if they're there?

MR. LARSON: From my observation, the tenants should be -- they all have a window in their room, they should be watching for that, otherwise, they should be downstairs waiting if someone's picking them up. It may not necessarily be a planned meeting, but I -- I don't know how you could avoid that.

HEARING EXAMINER BOROWSKI: Mr. Larson, how do you respond to the allegations and the photos that were submitted earlier regarding litter and things around the property?

MR. LARSON: I admit there has been some significant litter, but I think if you look at the property now, that was my own motivation for cleaning things up. I have even gone to the point of -- on the reverse side of the fence, which actually isn't my property, the large parking lot there that's owned by Mr. Coakley, people park there and throw their trash when they get out of their cars. That's unauthorized parking, but that doesn't really have anything to do with my building in particular, but I have to collect the trash that blows against the fence there, and that's pretty much an everyday thing that I need to do -- I've even considered taking down the fence, but

00051 1 understanding that that trash would just then continue to blow rather than be collected someplace, I thought it was best to leave the fence up and just clean up as 4 necessary. 5 HEARING EXAMINER BOROWSKI: Mr. Larson, you 6 indicated earlier that -- Well, let me ask this. Is 7 there a property manager at the property? MR. LARSON: The gentleman that's at the 8 9 property that does the cleaning is not a property 10 manager, in his mind he is a property manager, but he 11 is not. I manage the property myself. 12 HEARING EXAMINER BOROWSKI: That was going 13 to be my next question. You're the owner, and you're 14 responsible for managing it and keeping it in order 15 and all those things, correct? 16 MR. LARSON: Yes, sir. 17 HEARING EXAMINER BOROWSKI: All right. 18 Before we close testimony, you're here from the 19 Department of Neighborhood Services, correct? 20 MS. BENDER: Yes. 21 HEARING EXAMINER BOROWSKI: Can you state 22 your name for the record and spell your last name. 23 MS. BENDER: My name is Pandora Bender, 2.4 B-E-N-D-E-R. I'm an assistant code enforcement 25 supervisor for commercial.

00052 HEARING EXAMINER BOROWSKI: And what's DNS's 1 2 position on this rooming house license? MS. BENDER: Mr. Larson is correct in that 4 we have issued orders on the property. He has, in 5 fact, made corrections. We did do an inspection of 6 the property. We at that time did not have objection 7 to the license. HEARING EXAMINER BOROWSKI: And that's your 8 9 position today, there's no objection from DNS of the 10 license being granted? 11 MS. BENDER: That's correct. 12 HEARING EXAMINER BOROWSKI: Once again, 13 anyone else who wants to offer testimony? Okay. Mr. 14 Maddox, you can do a closing and then Mr. Larson. 15 MR. MADDOX: Thank you, sir. May I ask a 16 procedural question? 17 HEARING EXAMINER BOROWSKI: Yes. 18 MR. MADDOX: Neighborhood Services has just 19 testified concerning their objection. I was wondering 20 if before the June 14 or as part of the June 14 21 hearing where you present your findings to the 22 committee that the police and other city agencies, 23 departments would also have an opportunity to state 2.4 whatever concerns they may have? 25 HEARING EXAMINER BOROWSKI: That's a good

00053 1 question. I would imagine, yes, the police department, if there was an objection, would have that opportunity. 4 MR. MADDOX: That's something that would be 5 presented to the committee prior to their --6 HEARING EXAMINER BOROWSKI: I would imagine, 7 yes. 8 MR. MADDOX: And secondly, related to that, 9 as I understand the ordinance, it's at the discretion 10 of the chair as to whether any additional testimony 11 would be taken by any residents, concerned citizens, 12 but it is optional, and it is up to the chair to decide. Is that correct? 13 14 HEARING EXAMINER BOROWSKI: That's the way I 15 understand it, as well, yes. 16 MR. MADDOX: So we don't know what the chair 17 is going to do until the 14th? 18 HEARING EXAMINER BOROWSKI: Right. The 19 reason -- Just so you're aware and anyone else that's 20 here, these types of objections to rooming house 21 licenses like this don't come up real often in terms 22 of the committee. Because of the other calendar the 23 Utilities & Licenses Committee has, that was why they

decided to go the route of having a hearing examiner. And then I will make a recommendation and reduce it to

24

25

 a smaller set of findings of facts which I'll submit on the 12th. And just so you're aware, Mr. Maddox and Mr. Larson, when I submit those on the 12th, it will go to the committee, but you also will both get copies of the recommendations and findings of fact that I issue. And yes, it's my understanding, as well, that it's up to the chair of the committee whether they take any other testimony on the 14th.

I would say if they do, it's probably going to be brief, because one of the reasons that they retained me is so that they can not spend as much time themselves conducting all these hearings, but ultimately, I think it would be the decision of the chair.

MR. MADDOX: Last point on that issue; and that is, if they do decide, how would we be notified, or should we just be there and await the chair's decision on whether or not additional testimony can be provided at that time? As much as I like the building and like the room, there's other places I'd rather be.

HEARING EXAMINER BOROWSKI: Rather than in this room at City Hall?

MR. MADDOX: Yes, sir, yes, sir. I just have to make that call, you don't have any particular advice on that one?

00055 HEARING EXAMINER BOROWSKI: I don't know the 1 2 answer to that. 3 MR. MADDOX: Closing statement. 4 HEARING EXAMINER BOROWSKI: Go ahead. 5 MR. MADDOX: You've heard from several 6 witnesses, all of whom are long-time residents of the 7 neighborhood, and several individuals stated have made 8 substantial personal and economic investments to work 9 to make this neighborhood better, and I think that we 10 are succeeding, but occasionally problems arise, as we 11

have testified here with this rooming house, and the only course of action that we have is to come before the licensing authority and ask that our issues be addressed.

14 15

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

In this particular instance, the numerous witnessed violations and the compromising the integrity of our neighborhood that you've heard today is overwhelming. These are a variety of people from all different angles of this property that all have witnessed, directly witnessed the same events. The same people standing on the corner -- not waiting for a bus, because they see buses go by again and again -waiting for a car or soliciting a car, taking people upstairs. I've witnessed drug sales that have been reported to the vice. These are irrefutable. You can

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

say, well, how do you know it's prostitution? How do I know I'm going to get wet if I go outside and it's raining? Some of these thing are just intuitive.

I realize this is not evidence that would hold up in court, but the committee is not limited to that evidence. The statute is very clear. And this is not anecdotal. This is factual direct eyewitnesses to prostitution and drug sale that has been going on for well over a year. Mr. Wellstein testified to the economic condition of many of the tenants. Each person who testified here today would need make this statement on their own. However, I have spent 20 years in this city working very, very hard to insure low income housing, economic opportunities, securing grants for people to purchase homes, grants to make security deposits in rental properties. I've been on the board of different organizations whose sole purpose is to work toward the broad full spectrum of economic development and opportunities in our neighborhood and throughout the city. Other people here today have been on similar committees and similar boards and have their own experiences.

This is not an issue of economics, this is not trying to force out people who may not be as fortunate as we are. That's absolutely not the case,

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

and I take very personal strong umbrage to that innuendo. These rents aren't cheap. This isn't a bargain here. If they're paying close to \$400 for four weeks to share a bathroom, to have a room and share a bathroom, if two of those people got together, they could rent a nice apartment on the east side if they chose.

This is an issue of poor management, this is an issue of turning a blind eye to repeated violations over a long period of time. I watched Mr. Larson stand on the sidewalk on one of his visits while the women took two men upstairs. He's not there very often, by his own admission when he came before us, he has difficulty enforcing. He's the manager of the property. He won't let anyone else be the manager, he won't permit an on-site manager. He's had the property for nearly two years. He's called the police himself for problems, he's called vice to come in over a year ago to solve problems. He can't control what's going on. This is not someone who, "Oh, give me a chance, I'll try better." By his own admission, he's been working hard to get control of the situation and by his own admission cannot.

Please help us continue to improve our neighborhood. We're not anti-rooming house, we're not

2.4

anti-poor people, we're not anti-anything except things that detract from the willingness of families to move into the neighborhood. We're opposed to people who take advantage of the situation or turn a property into a house of prostitution. That's what we're opposed to. And we have no recourse but to come to you as a representative of the committee to not renew the license for a rooming house. Thank you, sir.

 $\label{eq:hearing_examiner_borowski:} \mbox{ Thank you. Mr.} \\ \mbox{Larson.}$ 

MR. LARSON: I guess my biggest concern is that I'm not sure that when the problems began that this has become a fair process for me, and the reason I say that is the lack of complaints from people. It's my understanding from my 22 years in human service that if there's an issue in the community, that the first step is that you maybe make direct contact yourself or you call your -- you can call the police or you call the local politician, and I haven't received complaints from any of those individuals, so it's --

As I said, I'm not there all the time. You might imagine that when, if you're in a school room, for example, and when the teacher turns his or her

 back, people behave differently than when the teacher is observing. We probably have a similar situation here when I'm not present that things happen, and I'm not always aware of what goes on, but under those circumstances, I would think that people from the neighborhood, if their concerns were that great, that they would contact me directly. I have a sign posted on the side of the building with my phone number, yet my phone has never rung on any of these issues.

So I guess I'm a little bit -- I'm feeling a little bit cheated in that we're at this point with the possibility of the rooming house being not renewed when I don't feel I've been given an adequate opportunity to address any issues that have come from the local community. I mentioned, but I don't think it was entered into evidence, I have documentation here of my frequency of visits, if that's anything that's necessary.

HEARING EXAMINER BOROWSKI: Mr. Larson, you testified as to your frequency of visits, and I think that's adequate. Do you have any challenge or dispute with that, Mr. Maddox?

MR. MADDOX: No.

HEARING EXAMINER BOROWSKI: Go ahead, you can continue, Mr. Larson.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

MR. LARSON: I'd like to also mention that, again, that I have the building up for sale. It's mainly for financial reasons, and also, I'll admit there have been a lot of headaches in terms of trying to manage this property both from a lack of a tenant downstairs as well as the turnover that I've had and problems in dealing with some of my tenants. My concern -- and I don't know if this would be shared by anyone or not  $\operatorname{--}$  but my concern is if my rooming house license is not renewed, I don't know how that's going to affect the potential sale of this building. So if people were looking for someone else to be managing this building in whatever form it might be, I don't know how that might impact the situation, but my belief is that it could limit my ability to sell the building, and considering that -- I've already mentioned I've lost about \$8,000 since I took over this building in November of 2000, I really would like to sell it, and I'm tired of all the problems that I've had to deal with, but if I'm not able to sell it, I have to somehow pay for the two mortgages I have on the building, and the two of those total close to \$2,000. So I'm not in a position right now to be able to operate that building without an active rooming house.

00061					
1	So I have great concerns over my financial				
2	situation, but I do understand I want to reiterate				
3	that again I understand the concern of the local				
4	community and would like to have the opportunity to				
5	address some of those concerns without the rooming				
6	house license being denied. I think that's only fair.				
7	Thank you.				
8	HEARING EXAMINER BOROWSKI: Thank you, Mr.				
9	Larson. With that, I'm going to end the hearing on				
10	the licensed dwelling facility at 736 South 3rd				
11	Street.				
12	(Proceedings concluded at 10:45 a.m.)				
13	* * *				

```
00062
1 STATE OF WISCONSIN )
   MILWAUKEE COUNTY )
4
5
                  I, TERESE M. SCHIEBENES, of Milwaukee
6
        Reporters Associated, Inc., 5120 West Blue Mound Road,
        Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53208, certify that the
7
        foregoing proceedings is a full and complete
8
9
        transcript of my stenographic notes taken in the
10
        foregoing proceedings.
11
12
13
14
15
16
                               TERESE M. SCHIEBENES
17
18
                               Certified Shorthand Reporter
19
20
21
   Dated this day of
                                       , 2002.
22
23
24
```