

Department of Public Works

Jeffrey J. Mantes
Commissioner of Public Works

James P. Purko

September 20, 2004

Alderman Joe Davis, Sr., Chair Community & Economic Development Committee Office of the Common Council – City Clerk Room 205, City Hall

Dear Alderman Davis:

In July of this year, the Community and Economic Development Committee reviewed the 2002 Department of Public Works Annual Report for the Residents Preference Program. At the Committee meeting, Tom Miller acknowledged that the 2002 report was past due. He also committed to provide the 2003 report by the end of 2004. The Committee did not express any objection to this schedule.

Although we have not heard from you directly, Mr. Miller was recently contacted by Linda Elmer of the City Clerk's office who indicated that you wanted to receive the 2003 report in time for consideration during the Common Council's meeting cycle beginning on September 21. We have compiled the attached 2003 preliminary report in response to that request. It reflects the 2003 DPW formal public works contracts that have been closed out as of September 15, 2004.

Because the majority of our 2003 contracts have still not been fully closed out, we still intend to submit a more complete report by the end of the year. In the meantime, I hope that this preliminary report provides you with some useful information.

Very truly yours

deffrey J. Mantes

Commissioner of Public Works

JJM:THM:ph:

Attachment

c: Alderman Willie Hines, Common Council President (w/ attachment)

## DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

ANNUAL REPORT (Preliminary)

for the

RESIDENTS PREFERENCE PROGRAM

September 2004

#### SUMMARY STATEMENT

Section 309-41 of the Milwaukee Code of Ordinances requires that the Department of Public Works (DPW) submit an annual report to the Common Council summarizing the results of the previous year's Resident Preference Program activities.

The Resident Preference Program began in 1991. It mandates that a set percentage of all labor hours worked on Department of Public Works' formal public improvement contracts be performed by residents of a designated area of the city. The designated target district corresponds to the City's Community Development Block Grant Area. Since 1991, steady progress has been made employing target area residents on Public Works projects. From a modest start of 14.6% of the total hours worked on DPW contracts during the last quarter of 1991, target resident participation has grown to 30.1% for those 2003 DPW contracts fully closed out to date. As these percentages show, target resident participation in DPW contracts has more than doubled since the start of the program.

The following pages contain summary data covering the life of the Residents Preference Program. As this information illustrates, the Department of Public Works has, in the aggregate, always exceeded the percentage required by the Residents Preference Program. The required minimum percentage has been increased twice since the beginning of the program, starting at 14% in 1991 and rising to the current 25% in 1997.

The following pages also contain historical information regarding the certification of resident workers. The data shows that new people are regularly being added to the list of eligible participants. This demonstrates that the program is not static but rather achieves one of its primary objectives, that being the constant turnover of participants.

DPW has always partnered with community agencies to assist contractors in finding qualified resident workers. Initially the Central City Workers' Center performed this service. When it disbanded, Esperanza Unida stepped in. In 2003, the Milwaukee Urban League joined Esperanza Unida in providing resident certification services for the community. Sometime in the next few months, it is anticipated that Big Step will also begin to provide resident certification services.

#### 2003 RESIDENT PREFERENCE PROGRAM SUMMARY DATA

# Department of Public Works Contracts

2003 Formal Construction Contracts	144
2003 Contracts Closed as of 9/15/04	34
Overall Resident Participation in Closed Contracts	30.1%
2003 Contracts with <25% Resident Requirement	12
2003 Closed Contracts with Actual Performance <25%	11
Total Amount of 2003 Formal Construction Contracts	\$ 100,181,000
Total Amount with <25% Resident Requirement	\$ 5,010,182
% Contract Dollars with <25% Resident Requirement	5.0%

# Resident Participation Summary

Year	Required %	Actual %	Total Contracts	Contracts Closed
1991	14%	14.6%	26	26
1992	14%	18.5%	210	210
1993	21%	21.3%	179	179
1994	21%	22.3%	197	197
1995	21%	25.4%	190	190
1996	21%	22.8%	190	190
1997	25%	26.2%	186	186
1998	25%	27.5%	187	187
1999	25%	27.6%	147	147
2000	25%	30.4%	127	127
2001	25%	26.3%	119	92
2002	25%	29.7%	136	63
2003	25%	30.1%	144	. 34

## Certification of Resident Workers

Total Resident Workers Certified through 9/15/04	2,003
Total Resident Workers Eligible as of 9/15/04	1,848

New Resident Workers Certified by Year

<u>Year</u>	New Certified Workers
1997	161

New Resident Workers Certified by Year (continued)

1998	205
1999	161
2000	136
2001	107
2002	112
2003	205
2004 (through 9/15/04)	171

The 205 residents certified to participate in the Resident Preference program in 2003 matches the high number for the program previously achieved in 1998. Through mid September, certifications in 2004 are on a record pace. The reasons for the recent surge in enrollment are probably twofold. First, in 2003 the Milwaukee Urban League began certifying people to participate in the program. For the first time there are now both near north side and near south side certifying agencies. Second, the difficult economy over the last few years probably means there are more people than ever in need of employment. While becoming certified to participate in the Resident Preference Program does not guarantee anyone a job, it at least opens up some potential opportunities.

# CONTRACTS REQUIRING LESS THAN 25% RESIDENT PARTICIPATION

In 2003 there were 144 formal construction contracts entered into by the Department of Public Works. Twelve of these formal contracts had resident participation requirements of less than 25%. In each case, the decision to reduce the normal resident participation requirement was either imposed upon the Department or was made after discussions with the Department's contracting division concerning the type and exact method of construction involved in the project. Whenever the resident participation requirement was set below 25%, it was done so for one or more of the following reasons.

- 1. The work involved was highly specialized requiring skills and experience not represented on the list of eligible workers and not likely to be found in the population of such potential workers. An example of this situation was the contract for upgrading the elevators in the 809 building. Experienced and licensed elevator mechanics were required.
- 2. The contract was primarily for the purchase of equipment or for a highly mechanized service. In either of these situations, the contract would result in relatively few, if any, labor hours. For instance, the Department awarded a contract to Sterling Mechanical for installation of a new spray paint booth in DPW's Central Garage. The spray paint equipment constituted a significant portion of this contract.
- 3. No local contractors performed the type of work required meaning that the contractor selected to perform the work would not be from this area. An example is the Department's annual contract for seal coating various city streets. A new method of seal coating has been used in recent years which is only performed by contractors located outside the Milwaukee area. Unique equipment and specially trained personnel are required to undertake the seal coat operation. There is no potential for local, newly hired employees to work on this contract.
- 4. The project was funded either totally or in large part from a source other than the City. When non-City grant funds are used for public improvements, the grantor generally prohibits the City from imposing economic development requirements that exceed the standards and requirements of the grantor. For example, the City received a State grant to construct a bicycle / pedestrian bridge suspended under the Holton Street viaduct. The State does not have a resident participation program and would not let the Department impose its program while utilizing grant funds. This category represents the largest dollar value of exceptions to the Department's normal resident participation standards. In 2003, projects with a total contract value of \$ 3,428,314 were not subject to the resident participation program because they were grant funded. This represents 68% of the overall value of those contracts for which the normal requirements were not imposed. If the Department had not been restricted by grant regulations, less than 2% of the contract dollars awarded in 2003 would have been exempt from the 25% resident participation requirement.

#### JOB CLASSIFICATIONS OF RESIDENT WORKERS - 2003

For the thirty- four 2003 contracts that were closed out as of 9/15/04, the following jobs were filled by participants in the Residents Preference Program.

Job Classifications		Number of Contract Jobs
Bituminous Lute Man		21
Bituminous Utility Man		22
Bottom Man		26
Cement Finisher		5
Fence Builder		1
Foreman		1
Laborer		64
Landscaper		10
Loader Operator		1
Manhole Builder		3
Mason		9
Operating Engineer		26
Painter		2
Pipelayer		1
Raker		2
Top Man		96
Truck Driver		53
Total:	17 Classifications	343 Contract Jobs

#### **UNEMPLOYMENT DATA**

#### July 2004 Rates

United States	5.5%
State of Wisconsin	4.7%
Metro Milwaukee Area	5.0%
City of Milwaukee	8.7%
CDBG Area	$20.1\%^{1}$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This is an estimated number based upon the relationship between the citywide and CDBG area rates in 2000. The 2000 census was the last time the actual unemployment rate for the block grant area was sampled.

SUMMARY OF TARGET AREA HOURS WORKED ON RESIDENCE PREFERENCE PROGRAM CONTRACTS FOR LPW - 2003

CONTRACTOR	CONTR. NO.	TARGET AREA RES. HOURS	% OF TARGET RES. HOURS	TOTAL MILW. RES. HOURS	% OF MILW. RES. HOURS	TOTAL NON-RES. HOURS	% OF NON- RES. HOURS	GRAND TOTAL HOURS	TOTAL CONTRACT AMOUNT	TARGET AREA DOLLARS PAID
M.J. CONSTRUCTION	C030001	408.75	24.5%	583.00	35.0%	1,083.25	65.0%	1,666.25	\$252,645	\$12,626
M.J. CONSTRUCTION	C030003	450.00	24.7%	569.50	31.3%	1,251.25	68.7%	1,820.75	\$218,983	\$14,089
M.J. CONSTRUCTION	C030004	514.75	25.9%	685.50	34.5%	1,302.75	65.5%	1,988.25	\$192,082	\$16,629
PAYNE & DOLAN	C030006	650.75	47.2%	1,379.25	100.0%	00.00	0.0%	1,379.25	\$110,358	\$21,636
BLACK DIAMOND	C030008	181.50	17.2%	244.25	23.1%	812.00	76.8%	1,057.25	\$138,565	\$6,852
M.J. CONSTRUCTION	C030012	131.50	22.3%	200.50	34.0%	389.25	%0.99	589.75	\$65,730	\$4,408
M.J. CONSTRUCTION	C030013	196.00	24.9%	206.00	26.1%	582.50	73.9%	788.50	\$95,854	\$5,957
AMERICAN SEWER	C030016	798.75	38.5%	1,160.25	55.9%	917.00	44.1%	2,077.25	\$252,799	\$31,296
AMERICAN SEWER	C030017	303.00	26.4%	524.50	45.8%	621.50	54.2%	1,146.00	\$158,577	\$10,569
AMERICAN SEWER	C030018	1,021.00	35.9%	1,273.50	44.8%	1,571.75	55.2%	2,845.25	\$409,766	\$32,157
AMERICAN SEWER	C030019	1,246.25	44.9%	1,591.75	57.4%	1,182.25	42.6%	2,774.00	\$386,115	\$42,862
UNDERGROUND PIPELINE	C030021	435.75	24.3%	562.75	31.4%	1,230.50	68.6%	1,793.25	\$208,911	\$13,481
SERVICE PAINTING CORP.	C030022	716.00	23.6%	1,150.50	38.0%	1,877.50	62.0%	3,028.00	\$180,002	\$24,291
UNITED SEWER & WATER	C030025	410.00	22.5%	598.50	32.8%	1,225.50	67.2%	1,823.75	\$343,717	\$12,786
NORTHERN FENCE	C030026	167.50	34.5%	219.50	45.2%	266.00	54.8%	485.50	\$33,850	\$5,413
M.J. CONSTRUCTION	C030028	180.50	25.2%	236.50	33.0%	479.50	%0'.29	715.75	\$93,725	\$4,964
LALONDE CONTRACTORS	C030029	1,056.25	34.1%	1,937.00	62.6%	1,156.25	37.4%	3,093.25	\$266,692	\$37,601
LALONDE CONTRACTORS	C030030	632.25	36.4%	873.25	50.2%	865.75	49.8%	1,739.00	\$157,721	\$19,688
UNITED SEWER & WATER	C030032	144.75	19.9%	212.50	29.2%	516.25	70.8%	728.75	\$134,304	\$4,621
AMERICAN SEWER	C030033	778.50	46.4%	889.75	53.0%	788.50	47.0%	1,678.25	\$271,035	\$28,485
AMERICAN SEWER	C030034	1,274.25	38.2%	1,551.50	46.5%	1,788.25	53.5%	3,339.75	\$607,829	\$44,049
MILWAUKEE GENERAL	C030036	773.50	29.5%	1,389.50	53.0%	1,229,75	47.0%	2,619.25	\$180,937	\$22,844
UNDERGROUND PIPELINE	C030037	211.50	26.8%	238.00	30.2%	551.25	69.8%	789.25	\$96,685	\$6,451
UNDERGROUND PIPELINE	C030040	520.75	28.1%	552.00	29.8%	1,301.25	70.2%	1,853.25	\$199,684	\$18,055
JF AHERN COMPANY	C030043	219.00	16.2%	365.00	26.9%	989.50	73.1%	1,354.50	\$178,850	\$7,426
M.J. CONSTRUCTION	C030046	461.75	24.9%	822.75	44.4%	1,030.00	55.6%	1,852.75	\$285,009	\$14,324

SUMMARY OF TARGET AREA HOURS WORKED ON RESIDENCE PREFERENCE PROGRAM CONTRACTS FOR LPW - 2003

TARGET AREA DOLLARS PAID	\$41,499 \$11,393 \$43,753 \$11,220 \$17,511 \$46,939 \$18,470 \$19,385 \$2,331
TOTAL CONTRACT AMOUNT	\$465,700 \$154,389 \$597,032 \$332,152 \$393,560 \$604,262 \$386,774 \$516,078 \$112,000
GRAND TOTAL HOURS	2,848.75 945.75 3,538.00 2,537.00 3,827.25 3,190.75 2,913.75 819.75
% OF NON- RES. HOURS	49.3% 62.0% 38.6% 44.0% 64.7% 74.6% 78.5%
TOTAL NON-RES. HOURS	1,403.50 586.75 1,365.50 1,1494.50 1,936.50 2,065.00 2,173.25 643.75
% OF MILW. RES. N HOURS	50.7% 38.0% 61.4% 34.5% 49.4% 35.3% 21.5%
TOTAL MILW. RES. HOURS	1,445.25 359.00 2,172.50 1,420.75 786.50 1,125.75 740.50 176.00
% OF TARGET RES. HOURS	43.4% 38.0% 35.8% 22.7% 16.7% 9.8%
TARGET AREA RES. HOURS	1,236.50 359.00 1,266.25 575.00 536.75 1,392.25 599.75 80.50
CONTR.	C030047 C030048 C030050 C030051 C030054 C030055 C030061
CONTRACTOR	AMERICAN SEWER SUPER EXCAVATORS AMERICAN SEWER CW PURPERO UNITED SEWER & WATER AMERICAN SEWER RAWSON CONTRACTORS UNITED SEWER & WATER HMB CONTRACTORS

SUMMARY OF TARGET AREA HOURS WORKED ON RESIDENCE PREFERENCE PROGRAM CONTRACTS FOR LPW - 2003

CONTRACTOR NO.	TARGET AREA R. RES. HOURS	% OF TARGET RES. HOURS	TOTAL MILW. RES. HOURS	% OF MILW. RES. HOURS	TOTAL NON-RES. HOURS	% OF NON- RES. HOURS	GRAND TOTAL HOURS	TOTAL CONTRACT AMOUNT	TARGET AREA DOLLARS PAID
TOTAL	20,462		30,134	44.4%	30.1% 30,134 44.4% 37,794		67,929	55.6% 67,929 9,082,371 676,061	676.061