Century City/Villard Square NMTC Financing March 22, 2011

B

Project Description

- 1. Borrower (QALICB): "Century City Redevelopment Corporation" or "CCRC". § 66.1301(3)(s), Wis. Stats.
 - A. City and RACM organize CCRC under Ch. 180 (Stock Corp.) (Sec. 66.1307(1) refers to "general corporation law. Shares owned in full by City of Milwaukee (the "beneficial owner" of the property for purposes of property tax).
 - B. Common Council appoints RACM as its agent to do everything the planning commission would otherwise do under the Urban Redevelopment Law.
 - C. RACM and CCRC create a development plan for each area/site, meeting the requirements of 66.1303(1).
 - D. RACM holds a hearing on the plan(s).
 - E. RACM approves the plan(s) after making the determinations set forth in 66.1303(3).
 - F. Common Council approves the plan(s) by 2/3 vote after making the determinations set forth in 66.1303(4).
 - G. Common Council approves any sale of real estate to third party and any lease of entire building to third party.
 - H. If any of the property is to be purchased by CCRC from the City, then notice, hearing and approval requirements under 66.1319 will be required.
- 2. CDE: MEDC Subsidiary CDE, LLC.
- 3. Investor: TBD (e.g. Chase affiliate).
- 4. Prior to the Closing, City/RACM enter into a loan agreement for City to provide RACM with a portion of the Leveraged Funds.
 - A. Bond Loan Proceeds (\$6.9 million). The source of repayment for the loan from City to RACM will be incremental tax revenues paid to CCRC in the form of a TIF grant. The source of funds for the loan should not, therefore, also be the

¹ See 66.1331(11) Wis. Stats., discussing limits on exemption for "private redevelopment company."

- pledge of incremental tax revenues; nor should the pledge of proceeds be used to support other borrowing.
- B. Villard Square Capital Funds. A portion of the funds are used upon the sale of the property by RACM to CCRC to repay the loan made for March acquisition. To service the \$2,750,000 debt from RACM to City:
 - 1. During compliance period (Yr. 1-7) RACM makes annual loan payment of \$24,000 to City, from proceeds of rent collected by CCRC.
 - 2. After compliance, RACM makes annual loan payment of \$134,000 (\$110,000 principal plus \$24,000 rent) to City, from proceeds of rent collected by CCRC. [City has option to acquire the Note from RACM]
- 5. Leverage Lender: RACM. enters into Loan Agreement with Investment Fund ("IF")
 - A. \$13,409,890 in Leverage Lender funds must transfer from Leverage Lender account(s) to IF account at NMTC Closing;
 - 1. RACM Revolving Loan Funds;
 - 2. RACM (HUD) Economic Development Initiative ("EDI") funds;
 - 3. RACM (WISDOT) funds must be [loaned][disbursed] to RACM (\$3,100,000);
 - 4. Commerce funds if only available as reimbursement, will need bridge loan; may be better to use BCRLF and seek reimbursement;
 - 5. Bond Loan Proceeds (\$6,900,0000).
 - 6. Villard Square Capital Funds
 - a. City 1-day loan ("One Day Loan)(\$1,276,000);
 - b. City capital improvement funds (\$1,474,000)
- Property is sold by RACM to CCRC²:
 - A. Century City, or a portion thereof, for [\$3.1/\$3.8] million (DOT reimbursement funds can then be paid by RACM to City to pay down TID)(additional purchase price received by RACM might be used to again revolve in the RLF)(Any

² Transfer tax not assessed where conveyances is from government agencies of a municipality in this state. Where the transferor is also a lender for the transaction, a return must be filed (but still no tax). See Sections 77.25(2) and 77.255. See also http://www.revenue.wi.gov/pubs/slf/pb802.pdf at 12

funds from sales or rent, not needed for debt service or project costs to be paid as contingent purchase price or distribution to shareholders).

- B. Villard Square Library. RACM can use proceeds to repay the March loan. Landlord provides a construction allowance to Tenant for buildout (draws from account on presentation of lien waivers, or a grant). Villard Square must be subject to redevelopment plan, in accordance with Sec. 66.1303, Wis. Stats. et seq.
- C. Prior to Closing on NMTC, need:
 - 1. to properly form CCRC (Articles of Incorporation and Bylaws; appoint board);
 - Purchase and Sale agreement for Villard Square and Century City by CCRC;
 - 3. Assignment of lease for Villard Square and Talgo.
 - 4. [Development Agreement between City and CCRC, providing CCRC with a developer grant in the form of future tax increments, corresponding to debt obligations under NMTC schedule, to be used to satisfy CCRC debt obligations to MEDC sub-CDE; funds trace up to pay down debt on Bond Loan to RACM.]
- 7. Investment Fund invests in Sub-CDE. Investment Fund subject to NMTC Investor requirements:
 - A. Forbearance;
 - B. Redeployment;
 - C. Guarantees by RACM and/or City
 - 1. NMTC Value;
 - Environmental and "traditional" lender requirements.
- 8. Sub-CDE makes loan (Note A and Note B) to CCRC
 - A. Proceeds used to pay:
 - Closing costs
 - Reserve Funds, if any
 - Villard Square
 - a. Acquisition Cost \$1.276 million;

- b. 2011 project cost \$1.55 million
- 4. Century City
 - a. 2011 acquisition cost [\$3.1/\$3.8] million
 - b. 2011 Project Costs \$7 million
 - (i) Demolition
 - (ii) Investigation/Remediation
 - c. 2012 Project Costs \$6 million³
- B. Security traditionally in the form of Mortgage and UCC filings. Exclude assets as appropriate or necessary.
- 9. Debt Service on Loan yr. 1-7 (\$207,000/yr. for yr. 1-7):
 - A. Villard Square Rent (\$ 24,000/yr.)
 - B. Talgo Rent (\$360,000/yr.)
 - C. T-Mobile (\$19,200/yr.)
 - D. Developer grant from City (from Incremental Tax Revenues) (up to \$163,800 depending on Talgo rent)
- 10. Debt Service on Loan yr. 8-25 (2018-2036) (\$1,003,000/yr principal, plus \$207,000/yr interest)
 - A. Villard Square Rent (\$134,000/yr.)
 - B. Land Sales (est. \$270,000/yr., from 2018 to 2025; see attached)
 - C. Developer grant from City (from Incremental Tax Revenues)
 - 1. \$806,000 from 2018 to 2025;
 - 2. \$1,210,000 per year from 2025 through 2041.
- 11. At year 7, ownership in Investment Fund is conveyed to/acquired by RACM or related party through Put (\$1,000) or Call. Notes can be terminated.

 $^{^3}$ If project cash > 5% of CCRC total assets, may have issue of non-qualifying financial assets.

City of Milwaukee- N. 35th St. and W. Capitol Dr. TID Economic Feasibility Study Figure 5.8: TID Debt Capacity

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ario It Tax Rate	abilization at 2.0	Scenario 21 Tax Rate Stabilization at 2.3%													
		Replyment Sources								Repayment Sources								
Year of TID	Calendar Year	Incremental Property Tax Tower Site Only	T	Estimated Sale Proceeds Toner Site		lacremental Property Tax- Briance of TiD		Total Anticipated Sources		Izeremental Property Tax Tower Site Only		Estimated Sale Proceeds Tower Site	L	Incremental Property Tus- Belance of TID		Total Anticipated Sources		
1	2009	Ś	\$	-	s		\$	4	Ş		3		\$		\$			
	2010	Š	Š		\$	•	\$		1		3		5		\$			
3	2011	\$	\$		s		5_		Ŀ		2	, ,	S		Ş			
4	2012	5 .	\$		\$	37,269	Ş	37,269	<u>s</u>		5		5	37,269	\$	37,269		
5	2013	\$.	2	4,183,131	\$	74,055	\$	4,257,195	Ŀ		Ŀ	4,183,131	3	74,065		4,257,195		
6	2014	S	\$	280,248	\$	110,395	\$	390,613			2	250,248	****	110,395	_	390.643		
7	2015	\$ 66,780	\$	285,853	5	146,268	5	498,901			\$	283,853		146,268		498,901		
8	2016	\$ 195,808	\$	291,570	\$	181,692	\$	669,071	ls		3	291,570		183,619	Ş	673,074		
9	2017	\$ 279,644	\$	279,826	5	216,675	\$	776,145	1	287,259	Ş	279,826		232,576	S	789,662		
10	2018	\$ 351,324		267,497	\$	251,223	\$	870,044	\$		3	267,497	5	262,311		896,638		
11	2019	3 408,425			5	285,345	\$	966,617	Ŀ	433,469	1	272,847	ļs	302,841		1,009,157		
12	2020	S 450,843	\$	276,303	\$	319,047	\$_	1,048,194	1	486,361		278,303	3	344,182		1,108,846		
13	2021	S. 491,595	\$	· 283,870	s	357,338	s	1,128,103	Ŀ	539,379					S	1,209,598		
14	2022	5 531,616	5	289,547	\$	385,224		1,206,187				289,547		129,361		1,311,433		
15	2023	\$ 570,038		295,338	5	417,712	_	1,283,088		645,804		295,338		473,232				
16	2024	\$ 608,014	S	301,245	S	450,418	\$	1,359,677	•			301,245	15			1,518,442		
17	2025	\$ 654,579	\$	271,837	5	490,108	5	1,416,524	Ş			271,837	3	563,624				
18	2026	\$ 701,265	3	-	ş	530,592	_	1,231,856	15	805,454	Ŀ	· · · · ·	\$	610,181		1,416,635		
19	2027	\$ 748,074	\$	•	5	571,885	5	1,319,959			1.5		H	657,668		1,517,953 1,620,367		
20	2028	5 795,010	\$		\$	614,005	\$	1,409,014	Ŀ				1.5	705,105				
21	2029	\$ 842,074	5		3	6\$6,967	\$	1,499,041	Ľ	968,385	Ľ		15	755,512	_	1,723,897		
22	2030	\$ 859,270	5		\$	7(0,788	\$	1,590,057	-		Ľ		15	805,905		1,828,308		
23	2031	\$ 936,599	5	•	\$		15.	1,682,084		17,77	15		\$	857,308		2,021,273		
24	2032	\$ 966,552	\$		s		5	1,757,629		1;111,535	Ľ		3	509,738				
25	2033	\$ 973,658	3	-	\$	837,580	\$],81],237		1,119,708	1		ļ۶	963,217	3	2087,923		
26	2034	\$. 980,905	\$	-	\$	885,013	5	1,865,918			Į\$		15	1,017,765	3-	2,145,806 2,209,947		
27	2035	\$ 983,298		•	\$	933,395		1,921,693					\$	1,073,404		2,275,370		
	2036	\$ 995,838		•	5	987,745		1,978,583				5 634 212	H	13,541,033		37,430,592		
TOTALS		\$ 14,416,508		7,581,111		11,961,309		33,974,928						4,832,365		16,763,291		
PV 51010	4.5%	\$ 5,339,345	5	5,925,912	5	4,349,652	\$	15,625,909	\$	6,005,014	ĻŞ	5,925,912	13	4,21,50		10,103,691		

Souter, S. B. Friedman & Company

Note: These projections are based on estimates, assumptions, and other information developed from research of the market, knowledge of the industry, and meetings during which we obtained certain information. Some essumptions inevitably will not materialize, and unanticipated events and circumstances may occur, therefore, actual results achieved during the period covered by our analysis will necessarily vary from those shown here and the variations may be material.

City of Milwaukec- N. 35th St. and W. Capitol Dr. TID Economic Feasibility Study DRAFT Figure 5.7: Anticipated Sale Revenues

Industrial	. per acre	s	65,000	0.30 FAR
Bldgs 35 & 36	per sf (bidg)	\$	8	
Retail	persf	\$	10	0.25 FAR
For-Sale Residential	per lot	\$	5,000	
Rental Residential	per unit	\$		900 struntt avg

Land Sale Proceeds

Land Sale	Proceeds		,				•		;				
		Inflation					F	or-Sale	l R	ental		•	
Proj Yr	Year	Pactor	Industrial ·		Refail			sidential		ldential	TOTAL		
0	2008	0.98	\$		\$		S	-	\$		\$	•	
i	2009	1.00	\$	-	\$		\$	•	\$	•	\$		
2	2010	1.02	\$		Š	-	\$	•	\$	-	\$		
3	2011	1.04	S	•	\$	•	\$	-	\$		S	4	
4	2012	1.06	S		\$		S		\$	•	\$		
3	2013	1.03	S	2,202,280	\$	1,948,378	\$	32,473	S		\$	4,183,131	
6	2014	1.10	S	247,126	\$	-	\$	33,122	\$_	-	S	280,248	
7	2015	1.13	5	252,068	\$		\$	33,785	\$		\$.	285,853	
8	2016	1,15	S	257,109	S	•	\$	34,461	\$		\$	291,570	
9	2017	1.17	S	262,252	ŝ		\$	17,575	\$	<u>-</u> -	S	279,826	
10	2018	1.20	\$	267,497	s		\$	·	\$	•	\$	267,497	
11	2019	1.22	\$	272,847	\$		\$	_	\$	•	\$	272,847	
12	2020	1.24	\$	278,303	\$	•	S	•	.\$		\$	278,303	
13	2021	1.27	\$	283,870	\$	•	\$	•	\$	•	\$	283,870	
14	2022	1.29	\$	289,547	\$		S	•	\$	•	\$	289,547	
15	2023	1.32	S	295,338	\$	•	S	•	\$		\$	295,338	
16	2024	1.35	S	301,245	\$	•	S		S	-	\$	301,245	
17	2025	1.37	\$	271,837	\$	•	\$_	•	\$	-	\$	271,837	
18	2026	1.40	\$		\$	•	\$		\$	•	S		
19	2027	1.43	\$	-	\$	•	S		\$		\$	•	
20	2028	1.46	\$	•	\$	-	\$	-	\$	•	\$		
21	2029	1.49	\$	•	\$	-	\$		\$		\$	<u>.</u>	
22	2030	1,52	`\$		\$	•	\$		\$	•	\$	•	
23	2031	1.55	\$		\$	•	\$	•	\$	•	S		
24	2032	1.58	\$	-	\$	•	\$		S		\$,	
25	2033	1,61	\$	-	\$	-	\$		\$	4	\$		
26	2034	1.64	\$		s	4	\$		\$	•	\$		
27	2035	1.67	\$		S	•	\$. •	S	-	\$	•	
TOTAL			\$	5,481,317	S	1,948,378	\$	151,416	\$		S	7,581,111	

Source: S.B. Friedman and Company