CITY OF MILWAUKEE FISCAL NOTE

A)	DATE		06/0	5/09			FILI	E NUMBER:		090275		
									X Sub	stitute		
SUBJECT: Substitute resolution relating to the spending of federal economic recovery monies to maximize creation of American jobs restoring economic growth.											s restoring	
В)	SUBMIT	IITTED BY (Name/title/dept./ext.): Rhonda U. Kelsey, City Purchasing Director, DOA-Business Operations Division										
C)	CHECK ONE: ADOPTION OF THIS FILE AUTHORIZES EXPENDITURES ADOPTION OF THIS FILE DOES NOT AUTHORIZE EXPENDITURES; FURTHER COMMON COUNCIL ACTION NEEDED. LIST ANTICIPATED COSTS IN SECTION G BELOW.											
	NOT APPLICABLE/NO FISCAL IMPACT.											
D) CHARGE TO: DEPARTMENT ACCOUNT(DA) CONTINGENT FUND (CF) CAPITAL PROJECTS FUND (CPF) SPECIAL PURPOSE ACCOUNTS (SPA) PERM. IMPROVEMENT FUNDS (PIF) GRANT & AID ACCOUNTS (G & AA) OTHER (SPECIFY)												
E)	PURPO	SF		SP	ECIFY TYPE/USE		ACCOUNT	EXPENDITURE	REV	ENUE	SAVINGS	
	ARIES/W			- 0.	2011 1 111 2/002		AGGGGNI	EXI ENDITORE	. KEV	LINOL	OAVIITOO	
SUP	PLIES:											
MAT	ERIALS:											
NEW	/ EQUIPM	IENT:										
EQU	IPMENT I	REPAIR:										
отн	ER:											
тот	ALS											
F) FOR EXPENDITURES AND REVENUES WHICH WILL OCCUR ON AN ANNUAL BASIS OVER SEVERAL YEARS CHECK THE APPROPRIATE BOX BELOW AND THEN LIST EACH ITEM AND DOLLAR AMOUNT SEPARATELY.												
	1-3	YEARS			3-5 YEARS							
	1-3 YEARS				3-5 YEARS							
1-3 YEARS					3-5 YEARS							
G) LIST ANY ANTICIPATED FUTURE COSTS THIS PROJECT WILL REQUIRE FOR COMPLETION: While an exact figure cannot be provided, it is safe to say that there could be a fiscal impact in a situation where a bidder that provides non-USA products submits a bid that is lower than a bidder that submits a bid with only USA products. See reverse side of fiscal note for additional comments.												
H) COMPUTATIONS USED IN ARRIVING AT FISCAL ESTIMATE:												
This estimate is based on an anticipated employee start date in early July 2009.												

PLEASE LIST ANY COMMENTS ON REVERSE SIDE AND CHECK HERE X See comments below:

I am supportive of the intent of the resolution, but have concerns about the fact that the "Buy American" resolution is far broader than the "Buy American" requirements under the ARRA Act. In addition, it is important to note that the federal government is still in the process of developing implementation and enforcement guidelines. Therefore, there are no specific regulations that will provide various units of government with the tools that are necessary to effectively and efficiently enforce these requirements.

In short, the aforementioned resolution is very vague and unclear. For instance, is it acceptable if the product contains a certain percentage of USA made products or should the products be 100% USA made products; and does the assembly point matter or simply the manufacturing location?