

160' N. Jackson Development Survey

11039

Sent to 1,084 Neighboring Residents

AdditionalComments	Concerned?	Approve?
	Moderately	No
Very bad idea	Extremely	No
	Extremely	Yes
	Extremely	No
	Extremely	No
	NotConcerned	Yes
	Extremely	No
I look forward to the growth!	NotConcerned	Yes
	NotConcerned	Yes
Wow! Absolutely not, and I think anyone else who sees this will agree! Something like this would absolutely ruin our quiet little street. It's bad enough that Brady Street bar goes use it as a parking lot on weekends. A development like this would be much better suited closer to Water st. There is a whole heap of undeveloped land only blocks away just West of the East Point Shopping Center. Please, do not approve this development!	Extremely	No
I think this would be a good thing for the neighborhood, and replace a run down, seemingly abandoned building currently in that location.	NotConcerned	Yes
I live at 1611 N Jackson which is directly next to the proposed development. 95% of my windows face south which will be completely blocked of any natural light. I will also lose all of my privacy with balconies that will face directly into my house at an absurdly close distance. I have very nice tall 60+ in windows that will go from nice light to looking at cement or brick walls. My nice little backyard space will also be in constant shade with this building next to me, and again no privacy. With Sanfords, East Point Pub and the rest of the neighborhood parking is going to be a huge concern. Most people have more than 1 car and once you add the parking situation we already have with a huge complex and add the cars from people who come to visit at the new complex it's absurd. I live in a cream city brick house with nice details, built in 1890. The rest of the neighborhood also reflects this in a similar type of architecture. This development is ugly. If it's built I will be moving out.	Extremely	No
With all the vacancies in the condominiums and the continuous erection of similar residential properties in the near area, this will bring down the value of other residential properties. We need commercial and businesses. Land that is approved for businesses should not be converted to residential. I am vehemently against the building of this as a concerned citizen and neighbor. Traffic and parking is only a small part of many issues with this building. Please reject this proposal and bring commercial/retail/businesses to this vacant property! Please!!	Extremely	No
This doesn't fit in with the neighborhood. Parking is already an issue when east point and Sanford are busy	Extremely	No
I am more than happy to have the apartment building replace Joey's. The new building will be an asset.	NotConcerned	Yes
When it snows, between plowing and parking on the street, driving on Pleasant will be difficult. There might not be room for traffic in both directions. This is always an issue in condo heavy streets that weren't designed for it. Its such an issue that I have to reroute my normal driving route to work and back in the Winter time. Look at Humbolt and Water street for example. I also believe parking will be difficult even with 24 inside parking spaces. This area was simply not designed for a condo/apartment complex. We should preserve the neighborhood for what it is.	Extremely	No
We live south of Pick n Save, so we do not think this will impact our current street parking situation. I think that an addition of more modern, well-lit buildings will actually improve the perceived quality of our neighborhood.	NotConcerned	Yes

<p>The design takes away from the historical "old Milwaukee" feel of the neighborhood. To be sure, the present property does not add to the neighborhood aesthetics, but the boxy design of this complex would not fit with its architectural surroundings either. As a fourth generation resident, living in the residence at 615 E Pleasant, I have seen many changes from the pictures that my family has kept record of dating back to the 1930s. The changes, however, have been minor such as the updates to the multiplex on Pleasant and Van Buren, or further removed from this immediate area such as the apartments on Water and Pleasant. The design will quickly become outdated and become an eye sore, whereas a more classical design with less units and more parking would fit more with the area and bring value to the surrounding properties rather than depreciation. The street parking is already full, with the addition of this complex it would become impossible. There is no place for ANY additional cars.</p>	<p>Extremely</p>	<p>No</p>
<p>We already have too many multi-story, multi-unit residences in our neighborhood. They not only cause parking problems, but also result in noise late into the night from loud parties. I also would like to say that I appreciate the opportunity to express my point of view without having to take time off of work to go to a hearing that is scheduled in the middle of a work day. We should have a say in the quality of life in our neighborhood, and this is an excellent way to offer us that opportunity.</p>	<p>Extremely</p>	<p>No</p>
<p>The structure of question #2 is a little flawed. As someone who is in favor of development, I am not concerned about the quality of life in the neighborhood that this project poses. However, the way the question is phrased, I must have identical feelings about both parking and the quality of life. Nevertheless, I think that there is sufficient street parking available.</p>	<p>NotConcerned</p>	<p>Yes</p>
<p>Hello, Thanks for asking my opinion. I don't understand why more residences are being built in the city. To me it looks like we can't rent out or sell the condos that are already new and not used. It just doesn't make any sense to me. I don't believe this complex should be built without included parking built into the structure. The best reason for living in this neighborhood is parking availability; otherwise we are no different than the upper east side and we know how bad parking is there. We need more businesses Regards, Kirk Reindl. 601 East Ogden Ave. 53202</p>	<p>Extremely</p>	<p>No</p>
<p>This building doesn't fit the neighborhood at all, there are only homes and small condos on our street. I fear that with such high rents for relatively small studios and 1 bedroom apartments that one parking space for each apartment won't be enough and our already crowded street will be even more congested. I am also concerned that my property resale value will plummet. My husband is a firefighter for the City of Milw and we have to live in the city and love the family atmosphere of Jackson St. which is why we bought our house 18 years ago. This building will totally ruin the neighborhood! There must be other sites nearby where this complex would fit in better and not disrupt our quality of life!</p>	<p>Extremely</p>	<p>No</p>
<p>This is the worst thing that could possibly happen to this part of Jackson St. I moved to this block specifically because of the quality of living on this street. If this happens, I will be moving immediately. Don't allow more condos and apartment buildings to be built in Milwaukee that won't be filled because people can't stand the neighborhoods. This is one of the only blocks in Downtown Milwaukee that is tolerable. Please don't do this!</p>	<p>Extremely</p>	<p>No</p>
<p>Overall concerns in the neighborhood about traffic on Water St remain, and more residents/traffic in the neighborhood will increase such issues. In particular the Milwaukee St intersections with Water St and Lyon continue to be problematic. (numerous car accidents, need for a stoplight due to difficult vision and excessive speeds when trying to turn, skateboarders using all lanes while enjoying the hills, a high percentage of motorists ignoring stop signs)</p>	<p>NotConcerned</p>	<p>Yes</p>

I have no issue with the design of a building itself. My concerns relate primarily to the density proposed by this project. Jackson and Pleasant streets are narrow, residential streets in this area. A building of this scale and density would be more appropriate on a major street such as Water or VanBuren. Yes, parking difficulties and increased traffic on these streets would certainly be in issue, especially in winter. But more relevant, a building of this size and density changes the very nature and quality of the neighborhood.	Extremely	null
gs will be compromised and maintaining the integrity of the historic charm	Extremely	No
Does not match neighborhood.	Extremely	No
our neighborhood - especially during winter snow emergencies, when we d	Extremely	No
mention, Van Buren and Pleasant is about the only place that has a halfwa	Extremely	No

Calls Received

Michael Holloway 224-4129 He's going to come down to the meeting on Monday and say good things. Likes the new development on Jackson; Likes things kept closer to new urbanism	Moderately	Yes
; 1631 N Van Buren; Thinks a Water St parking entry will be best for the neighbor	Moderately	Yes
Doris Bauer; Lives across the street from 1601 N Jackson	Extremely	No
Tim Lishinski, 1611 N Jackson	Moderately	No
Kelly Swebergh, 1616 N Jackson	Extremely	No
19 N Jackson; thinks it's a great idea; opportunity for new jobs and its very	Moderately	Yes