

Case Appeal Summary

At the hearing before the ZND, we will be addressing the following issues: Authority of the HPC and time allotted to present materials to the HPC. We will discuss the purchase of the home prior to any historic designations and misinformation set forth by the HPC.

With regard to the chimney, we will address the maintenance of the chimney. Mr. and Mrs. Case spent \$6,500.00 to tuckpoint the chimney and have a new cap put on. The HPC now wants them to tear down the chimney and spend an additional \$12,000.00 because the HPC believes that the mortar joints are not as thin as they would like. We will also address the flashing along the chimney.

With regard to the dormer, we will address the “historic” nature of the dormer, its location, and the previous and current materials on the outside of the dormer. Mr. and Mrs. spent \$3,275.00 to side the outside of the third-floor dormer. The HPC now wants them to spend an additional \$7,500.00 to tear off the siding and replace it with inferior cedar siding.

We have enclosed the “Historic Designation Study Report” for the North Point North Historic District and will be discussing that report together with when it was submitted to the Common Council.

With regard to the videos submitted, we will be discussing selective enforcement and the surrounding homes and the chimneys, flashing, vents, painting, skylights, windows, roof tops, pools, and other non-historic features allowed for the surrounding homes.

Respectfully submitted,

Attorney Gerald L. Crouse, Jr.
